

Research Article

Multiplicity of Positive Solutions for a p - q -Laplacian Type Equation with Critical Nonlinearities

Tsing-San Hsu and Huei-Li Lin

Division of Natural Science, Center for General Education, Chang Gung University, Taoyuan 333, Taiwan

Correspondence should be addressed to Tsing-San Hsu; tshsu@mail.cgu.edu.tw

Received 25 September 2013; Accepted 9 March 2014; Published 3 April 2014

Academic Editor: Guozhen Lu

Copyright © 2014 T.-S. Hsu and H.-L. Lin. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

We study the effect of the coefficient $f(x)$ of the critical nonlinearity on the number of positive solutions for a p - q -Laplacian equation. Under suitable assumptions for $f(x)$ and $g(x)$, we should prove that for sufficiently small $\lambda > 0$, there exist at least k positive solutions of the following p - q -Laplacian equation, $-\Delta_p u - \Delta_q u = f(x)|u|^{p^*-2}u + \lambda g(x)|u|^{r-2}u$ in Ω , $u = 0$ on $\partial\Omega$, where $\Omega \subset \mathbf{R}^N$ is a bounded smooth domain, $N > p$, $1 < q < N(p-1)/(N-1) < p \leq \max\{p, p^* - q/(p-1)\} < r < p^*$, $p^* = Np/(N-p)$ is the critical Sobolev exponent, and $\Delta_s u = \operatorname{div}(|\nabla u|^{s-2}\nabla u)$ is the s -Laplacian of u .

1. Introduction

This paper is concerned with the multiplicity of positive solutions to the following p - q -Laplacian equation with critical nonlinearities:

$$\begin{aligned} -\Delta_p u - \Delta_q u &= f(x)|u|^{p^*-2}u + \lambda g(x)|u|^{r-2}u \quad \text{in } \Omega, \\ u &= 0 \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{aligned} \quad (E_\lambda)$$

where $\Omega \subset \mathbf{R}^N$ is a bounded smooth domain with smooth boundary $\partial\Omega$, $1 < q < p < N$, $\lambda > 0$, and $\Delta_s u = \operatorname{div}(|\nabla u|^{s-2}\nabla u)$ is the s -Laplacian of u , and assume that

- (H1) $1 < q < N(p-1)/(N-1) < p \leq \max\{p, p^* - q/(p-1)\} < r < p^* = Np/(N-p)$;
- (H2) f and g are positive continuous functions in $\overline{\Omega}$;
- (H3) There exist k points a^1, a^2, \dots, a^k in Ω such that $f(a^i)$ are strict local maxima satisfying

$$f(a^i) = \max_{x \in \overline{\Omega}} f(x) = 1 \quad \text{for } 1 \leq i \leq k, \quad (1)$$

and for some $\beta > N/(p-1)$, $f(x) = f(a^i) + O(|x - a^i|^\beta)$ as $x \rightarrow a^i$ uniformly in i .

Problem (E_λ) comes, for example, from a general reaction-diffusion system

$$u_t = \operatorname{div}[H(u)\nabla u] + c(x, u), \quad (2)$$

where $H(u) = |\nabla u|^{p-2} + |\nabla u|^{q-2}$. This system has a wide range of applications in physics and related science such as biophysics, plasma physics, and chemical reaction design. In such applications, the function u describes a concentration, the first term on the right-hand side of (2) corresponds to the diffusion with a diffusion coefficient $H(u)$, whereas the second one is the reaction and relates to sources and loss processes. Typically, in chemical and biological applications, the reaction term $c(x, u)$ has a polynomial form with respect to the concentration u .

The stationary solution of (2) was studied by many authors; that is, many works are considered the solutions of the following problem:

$$-\operatorname{div}[H(u)\nabla u] = c(x, u). \quad (3)$$

See [1–5] for different $c(x, u)$. In the present paper we are concerned with problem (E_λ) in a bounded domain with $c(x, u) = f(x)|u|^{p^*-2}u + \lambda g(x)|u|^{r-2}u$ in (3). Recently, in [6], the authors obtain the existence of $\operatorname{cat}_\Omega(\Omega)$ positive solutions

of problem (E_λ) for $N > p^2$ and $f(x) \equiv g(x) \equiv 1$ when condition (H1) holds, where $\text{cat}_\Omega(\Omega)$ denotes the Lusternik-Schnirelmann category of Ω in itself.

Specially, if $p = q$, (E_λ) can be reduced to the following elliptic problems:

$$-\Delta_p u = f(x) |u|^{p^*-2} u + \lambda g(x) |u|^{r-2} u \quad \text{in } \Omega, \tag{4}$$

$$u = 0 \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega.$$

After the well-known results of Brézis and Nirenberg [7], who studied (4) in the case of $p = r = 2$ and $f(x) \equiv g(x) \equiv 1$, a lot of problems involving the critical growth in bounded and unbounded domains have been considered; see, for example, [8–10] and reference therein. In particular, the first multiplicity result for (4) has been achieved by Rey in [11] in the semilinear case. Precisely Rey proved that if $N \geq 5$, $p = r = 2$, and $f(x) \equiv g(x) \equiv 1$, for λ small enough, problem (4) has at least $\text{cat}_\Omega(\Omega)$ solutions. Furthermore, Alves and Ding in [12] obtained the existence of $\text{cat}_\Omega(\Omega)$ positive solutions to problem (4) with $p \geq 2$, $r \in [p, p^*)$, and $f(x) \equiv g(x) \equiv 1$. Finally, we mention that [13] studied (4) when $1 < r < p < N$ and f, g are sign-changing and verified the existence of two positive solutions for $\lambda \in (0, \lambda_0)$ for some positive constant λ_0 .

The main purpose of this paper is to analyze the effect of the coefficient $f(x)$ of the critical nonlinearity to prove the multiplicity of positive solutions of problem (E_λ) for small $\lambda > 0$. By the similar argument in [14], we can construct the k compact Palais-Smale sequences that are suitably localized in correspondence of k maximum points of f . Under some assumptions (H1)–(H3), we could show that there are at least k positive solutions of problem (E_λ) for sufficiently small $\lambda > 0$.

This paper is organized as follows. First of all, we study the argument of the Nehari manifold \mathcal{M}_λ . Next, we prove the existence of a positive solution $u_0 \in \mathcal{M}_\lambda$. Finally, we show that the condition (H3) affects the number of positive solution of (E_λ) ; that is, there are at least k critical points $u_i \in \mathcal{M}_\lambda$ of J_λ such that $J_\lambda(u_i) = \alpha_\lambda^i$ ((PS)-value) for $1 \leq i \leq k$.

The main results of this paper are given as follows.

Theorem 1. *Suppose that (H1)–(H3) hold; then problem (E_λ) has a positive solution u_0 in $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ for all $\lambda > 0$.*

Theorem 2. *Suppose that (H1)–(H3) hold; then there exists a $\lambda_0 > 0$ such that for any $\lambda \in (0, \lambda_0)$, problem (E_λ) admits at least k positive solutions in $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$.*

2. Preliminaries

In what follows, we denote by $\|\cdot\|_p, |\cdot|_p$ the norms on $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ and $L^p(\Omega)$, respectively; that is,

$$\|u\|_p = \left(\int_\Omega |\nabla u|^p dx \right)^{1/p}, \quad |u|_p = \left(\int_\Omega |u|^p dx \right)^{1/p}. \tag{5}$$

We denote the dual space of $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ by $W'(\Omega)$. Set also

$$D^{1,p}(\mathbf{R}^N) := \left\{ u \in L^{p^*}(\mathbf{R}^N) : \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \in L^p(\mathbf{R}^N) \text{ for } i = 1, 2, \dots, N \right\} \tag{6}$$

equipped with the norm

$$\|u\|_* = \left(\int_{\mathbf{R}^N} |\nabla u|^p dx \right)^{1/p}. \tag{7}$$

We will denote by S the best Sobolev constant as follows:

$$S = \inf_{u \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega) \setminus \{0\}} \frac{\|u\|_p^p}{|u|_{p^*}^p}. \tag{8}$$

It is well known that S is independent of Ω and is never achieved except when $\Omega = \mathbf{R}^N$ (see [15]). Throughout this paper, we denote the Lebesgue measure of Ω by $|\Omega|$ and denote a ball centered at $a \in \mathbf{R}^N$ with radius r by $B_r(a)$ and also denote positive constants (possibly different) by C, C_i . $O(\varepsilon^t)$ denotes $|O(\varepsilon^t)|/\varepsilon^t \leq C$, $o(\varepsilon^t)$ denotes $|o(\varepsilon^t)|/\varepsilon^t \rightarrow 0$ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$, and $o_n(1)$ denotes $o_n(1) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Associated with (E_λ) , we consider the energy functional J_λ in $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$, for each $u \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$,

$$J_\lambda(u) = \frac{1}{p} \|u\|_p^p + \frac{1}{q} \|u\|_q^q - \frac{1}{p^*} \int_\Omega f(x) |u|^{p^*} dx - \frac{1}{r} \int_\Omega \lambda g(x) |u|^r dx. \tag{9}$$

It is well known that J_λ is of C^1 in $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ and the solutions of (E_λ) are the critical points of the energy functional J_λ (see [16]).

We define the Nehari manifold

$$\mathcal{M}_\lambda := \{u \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega) \setminus \{0\} : \langle J'_\lambda(u), u \rangle = 0\}, \tag{10}$$

where

$$\langle J'_\lambda(u), u \rangle = \|u\|_p^p + \|u\|_q^q - \int_\Omega f(x) |u|^{p^*} dx - \int_\Omega \lambda g(x) |u|^r dx = 0. \tag{11}$$

The Nehari manifold \mathcal{M}_λ contains all nontrivial solutions of (E_λ) .

Note that J_λ is not bounded from below in $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$. From the following lemma, we have that J_λ is bounded from below on the Nehari manifold \mathcal{M}_λ .

Lemma 3. *Suppose that $1 < q < p < r < p^*$ and (H2) hold. Then for any $\lambda > 0$, one has that J_λ is bounded from below on \mathcal{M}_λ . Moreover, $J_\lambda(u) > 0$ for all $u \in \mathcal{M}_\lambda$.*

Proof. For $u \in \mathcal{M}_\lambda$, (10) leads to

$$\begin{aligned}
 J_\lambda(u) &= \left(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{r}\right) \|u\|_p^p + \left(\frac{1}{q} - \frac{1}{r}\right) \|u\|_q^q \\
 &+ \left(\frac{1}{r} - \frac{1}{p^*}\right) \int_\Omega f(x) |u|^{p^*} dx > 0.
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{12}$$

Define

$$\alpha_\lambda := \inf_{u \in \mathcal{M}_\lambda} J_\lambda(u). \tag{13}$$

Now we show that J_λ possesses the mountain-pass (MP, in short) geometry.

Lemma 4. *Suppose $1 < q < p < r < p^*$ and (H2) holds. Then for any $\lambda > 0$, one has that*

- (i) *there exist positive numbers R and d_0 such that $J_\lambda(u) \geq d_0$ for $\|u\|_p = R$;*
- (ii) *there exists $\bar{u} \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ such that $\|\bar{u}\|_p > R$ and $J_\lambda(\bar{u}) < 0$.*

Proof. (i) By (8), the Hölder inequality, and the Sobolev embedding theorem, we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
 J_\lambda(u) &\geq \frac{1}{p} \|u\|_p^p - \frac{1}{p^*} \int_\Omega f(x) |u|^{p^*} dx \\
 &- \frac{1}{r} \int_\Omega \lambda g(x) |u|^r dx \\
 &\geq \frac{1}{p} \|u\|_p^p - \frac{1}{p^*} S^{-p^*/p} \|u\|_p^p \\
 &- \frac{1}{r} \lambda |g|_\infty |\Omega|^{(p^*-r)/p^*} S^{-r/p} \|u\|_p^r.
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{14}$$

Hence, there exist positive R and d_0 such that $J_\lambda(u) \geq d_0$ for $\|u\| = R$.

(ii) For any $u \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega) \setminus \{0\}$, from

$$\begin{aligned}
 J_\lambda(tu) &= \frac{t^p}{p} \|u\|_p^p + \frac{t^q}{q} \|u\|_q^q - \frac{t^{p^*}}{p^*} \int_\Omega f(x) |u|^{p^*} dx \\
 &- \frac{t^r}{r} \int_\Omega \lambda g(x) |u|^r dx,
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{15}$$

we have $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} J_\lambda(tu) = -\infty$. For fixed some $u \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega) \setminus \{0\}$, there exist $\bar{t} > 0$ such that $\|\bar{t}u\|_p > R$ and $J_\lambda(\bar{t}u) < 0$. Let $\bar{u} = \bar{t}u$. \square

Define

$$\phi_\lambda(u) := \langle J'_\lambda(u), u \rangle. \tag{16}$$

Then for $u \in \mathcal{M}_\lambda$,

$$\begin{aligned}
 \langle \phi'_\lambda(u), u \rangle &= p \|u\|_p^p + q \|u\|_q^q \\
 &- p^* \int_\Omega f(x) |u|^{p^*} dx - r \int_\Omega \lambda g(x) |u|^r dx \\
 &= (p^* - r) \int_\Omega \lambda g(x) |u|^r dx \\
 &- (p^* - p) \|u\|_p^p - (p^* - q) \|u\|_q^q \\
 &= (p - r) \|u\|_p^p + (q - r) \|u\|_q^q \\
 &+ (r - p^*) \int_\Omega f(x) |u|^{p^*} dx < 0.
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{17}$$

Lemma 5. *Suppose that $1 < q < p < r < p^*$ and (H2) holds. If $u_0 \in \mathcal{M}_\lambda$ satisfies*

$$J_\lambda(u_0) = \min_{u \in \mathcal{M}_\lambda} J_\lambda(u) = \alpha_\lambda, \tag{18}$$

then u_0 is a solution of (E_λ) .

Proof. By (17), $\langle \phi'_\lambda(u), u \rangle < 0$ for $u \in \mathcal{M}_\lambda$. Since $J_\lambda(u_0) = \min_{u \in \mathcal{M}_\lambda} J_\lambda(u)$, by the Lagrange multiplier theorem, there is $\tau \in \mathbf{R}$ such that $J'_\lambda(u_0) = \tau \phi'_\lambda(u_0)$ in $W^1(\Omega)$. This implies that

$$0 = \langle J'_\lambda(u_0), u_0 \rangle = \tau \langle \phi'_\lambda(u_0), u_0 \rangle. \tag{19}$$

It then follows that $\tau = 0$ and $J'_\lambda(u_0) = 0$ in $W^1(\Omega)$. Thus, u_0 is a nontrivial solution of (E_λ) and $J_\lambda(u_0) = \alpha_\lambda$. \square

Lemma 6. *Suppose that $1 < q < p < r < p^*$ and (H2) holds. For each $u \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega) \setminus \{0\}$, there exists a unique positive number t_u such that $t_u u \in \mathcal{M}_\lambda$ and $J_\lambda(t_u u) = \sup_{t \geq 0} J_\lambda(tu)$ for any $\lambda > 0$.*

Proof. For fixed $u \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega) \setminus \{0\}$, consider

$$\begin{aligned}
 h(t) &= J_\lambda(tu) = \frac{t^p}{p} \|u\|_p^p + \frac{t^q}{q} \|u\|_q^q \\
 &- \frac{t^{p^*}}{p^*} \int_\Omega f(x) |u|^{p^*} dx - \frac{t^r}{r} \int_\Omega \lambda g(x) |u|^r dx.
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{20}$$

Since $h(0) = 0$, $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} h(t) = -\infty$, by Lemma 4(i), then it is easy to see that there exists a unique positive number t_u such that $\sup_{t \geq 0} h(t)$ is achieved at t_u . This means that $h'(t_u) = 0$; that is, $t_u u \in \mathcal{M}_\lambda$. \square

We will denote by $\tilde{\alpha}_\lambda$ the MP level:

$$\tilde{\alpha}_\lambda := \inf_{u \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega) \setminus \{0\}} \sup_{t \geq 0} J_\lambda(tu). \tag{21}$$

Then we have the following result.

Lemma 7. *Suppose that $1 < q < p < r < p^*$ and (H2) holds, then $\alpha_\lambda = \tilde{\alpha}_\lambda$ for any $\lambda > 0$.*

Proof. By Lemma 6, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\alpha}_\lambda &= \inf_{u \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega) \setminus \{0\}} \sup_{t \geq 0} J_\lambda(tu) = \inf_{t, u \in \mathcal{M}_\lambda} J_\lambda(tu) \\ &\geq \inf_{u \in \mathcal{M}_\lambda} J_\lambda(u) = \alpha_\lambda. \end{aligned} \tag{22}$$

On the other hand, for $u \in \mathcal{M}_\lambda$, by Lemma 6, we have $t_u = 1$ and $J_\lambda(u) = \sup_{t \geq 0} J_\lambda(tu)$. Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_\lambda &= \inf_{u \in \mathcal{M}_\lambda} J_\lambda(u) = \inf_{u \in \mathcal{M}_\lambda} \sup_{t \geq 0} J_\lambda(tu) \\ &\geq \inf_{u \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega) \setminus \{0\}} \sup_{t \geq 0} J_\lambda(tu) = \tilde{\alpha}_\lambda. \end{aligned} \tag{23}$$

Now the desired result follows from (22) and (23). \square

Remark 8. By Lemma 7 and the definition, it is apparent that $\alpha_{\lambda_1} \leq \alpha_{\lambda_2}$ if $\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2$; that is, α_λ is nonincreasing in λ . Moreover, by Lemma 4(i), for any $\lambda_0 > 0$, there exists a $d = d(\lambda_0)$, related to the MP geometry, such that

$$0 < d \leq \alpha_\lambda \leq \alpha_0 \quad \forall \lambda \in [0, \lambda_0]. \tag{24}$$

Here α_0 is the MP level associated to the functional

$$J_0(u) = \frac{1}{p} \|u\|_p^p + \frac{1}{q} \|u\|_q^q - \frac{1}{p^*} \int_\Omega f(x) |u|^{p^*} dx. \tag{25}$$

3. (PS)_c-Condition in $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ for J_λ

First, we define the Palais-Smale (denote by (PS)) sequence, (PS)-value, and (PS)-conditions in $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ for J_λ .

Definition 9. (i) For $c \in \mathbf{R}$, a sequence $\{u_n\}$ is a (PS)_c-sequence in $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ for J_λ if $J_\lambda(u_n) = c + o_n(1)$ and $J'_\lambda(u_n) = o_n(1)$ strongly in $W'(\Omega)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

(ii) $c \in \mathbf{R}$ is a (PS)-value in $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ for J_λ if there exists a (PS)_c-sequence in $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ for J_λ .

(iii) J_λ satisfies the (PS)_c-condition in $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ if every (PS)_c-sequence $\{u_n\}$ in $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ for J_λ contains a convergent subsequence.

Applying Ekeland's variational principle and using the same argument as in Cao and Zhou [17] or Tarantello [18], we have the following lemma.

Lemma 10. *Suppose that $1 < q < p < r < p^*$ and (H2) holds. Then for any $\lambda > 0$, there exists a (PS) _{α_λ} -sequence $\{u_n\}$ in \mathcal{M}_λ for J_λ .*

To prove the existence of positive solutions, we claim that J_λ satisfies the (PS)_c-condition in $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ for $c \in (0, (1/N)S^{N/p})$.

Lemma 11. *Suppose that $1 < q < p < r < p^*$, $|f|_\infty = 1$, and (H2) holds. Then for any $\lambda > 0$, J_λ satisfies the (PS)_c-condition in $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ for all $c \in (0, (1/N)S^{N/p})$.*

Proof. Let $\{u_n\} \subset W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ be a (PS)_c-sequence for J_λ which satisfies

$$J_\lambda(u_n) = c + o_n(1), \quad J'_\lambda(u_n) = o_n(1) \quad \text{in } W'(\Omega). \tag{26}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} c + s_n + \frac{t_n \|u_n\|}{p} &\geq J_\lambda(u_n) - \frac{1}{r} \langle J'_\lambda(u_n), u_n \rangle \\ &= \left(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{r}\right) \|u_n\|_p^p + \left(\frac{1}{q} - \frac{1}{r}\right) \|u_n\|_q^q \\ &\quad + \left(\frac{1}{r} - \frac{1}{p^*}\right) \int_\Omega f(x) |u_n|^{p^*} dx \\ &\geq \frac{r-p}{rp} \|u_n\|_p^p, \end{aligned} \tag{27}$$

where $s_n = o_n(1)$, $t_n = o_n(1)$, as $n \rightarrow \infty$. It follows that $\{u_n\}$ is bounded in $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$. Thus, there exist a subsequence still denoted by $\{u_n\}$ and $u \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} u_n &\rightharpoonup u \quad \text{weakly in } W_0^{1,p}(\Omega), \\ u_n &\rightarrow u \quad \text{strongly in } L^s(\Omega) \quad \forall 1 \leq s < p^*, \\ u_n &\rightarrow u \quad \text{a.e. in } \Omega. \end{aligned} \tag{28}$$

Furthermore, we have that $J'_\lambda(u) = 0$ in $W'(\Omega)$. By g being continuous on $\overline{\Omega}$, we get

$$\lambda \int_\Omega g(x) |u_n|^r dx = \lambda \int_\Omega g(x) |u|^r dx + o_n(1). \tag{29}$$

Let $v_n = u_n - u$. Then by f being positive continuous on $\overline{\Omega}$ and Brézis-Lieb lemma (see [19]), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|v_n\|_p^p &= \|u_n\|_p^p - \|u\|_p^p + o_n(1), \\ \|v_n\|_q^q &= \|u_n\|_q^q - \|u\|_q^q + o_n(1), \\ \int_\Omega f(x) |v_n|^{p^*} dx &= \int_\Omega f(x) |u_n|^{p^*} dx - \int_\Omega f(x) |u|^{p^*} dx + o_n(1). \end{aligned} \tag{30}$$

From (26)–(30), we can deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{p} \|v_n\|_p^p + \frac{1}{q} \|v_n\|_q^q - \frac{1}{p^*} \int_\Omega f(x) |v_n|^{p^*} dx \\ = c - J_\lambda(u) + o_n(1), \end{aligned} \tag{31}$$

$$\|v_n\|_p^p + \|v_n\|_q^q = \int_\Omega f(x) |v_n|^{p^*} dx + o_n(1). \tag{32}$$

Without loss of generality, we may assume that

$$\|v_n\|_p^p = a + o_n(1), \quad \|v_n\|_q^q = b + o_n(1). \tag{33}$$

So (32) and $|f|_\infty = 1$ imply that

$$\int_{\Omega} |v_n|^{p^*} dx \geq \int_{\Omega} f(x) |v_n|^{p^*} dx = a + b + o_n(1). \quad (34)$$

By the Sobolev inequality and (33) and (34), we have $\|v_n\|_p^p \geq S(\int_{\Omega} |v_n|^{p^*} dx)^{p/p^*}$ and

$$a \geq S(a+b)^{p/p^*} \geq Sa^{p/p^*}. \quad (35)$$

If $a > 0$, then (35) implies that $a \geq S^{N/p}$, combined with (31), (33)–(35) and Lemma 3, $1 < q < p < p^*$, as $n \rightarrow \infty$; we get

$$c = \frac{a}{p} + \frac{b}{q} - \frac{a+b}{p^*} + J_\lambda(u) \geq \frac{1}{N}a \geq \frac{1}{N}S^{N/p}, \quad (36)$$

which is a contradiction. So, we have $a = 0$; J_λ satisfies the $(PS)_c$ -condition in $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ for all $c \in (0, (1/N)S^{N/p})$. \square

4. Existence of k Positive Solutions

In this section, we first give some preliminary notations and useful lemmas.

Choose $r_0 > 0$ small enough such that $\overline{B_{r_0}(a^i)} \subset \Omega$ and $\overline{B_{r_0}(a^i)} \cap \overline{B_{r_0}(a^j)} = \emptyset$ for $i \neq j$, $i, j = 1, 2, \dots, k$.

Define

$$Q_i(u) = \frac{\int_{\Omega} \phi_i(x) |u|^{p^*} dx}{\int_{\Omega} |u|^{p^*} dx}, \quad (37)$$

$$\phi_i(x) = \min\{1, |x - a^i|\}, \quad 1 \leq i \leq k.$$

Then we have the following separation result.

Lemma 12. *If $Q_i(u) \leq r_0/3$ and $Q_j(u) \leq r_0/3$ for $u \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega) \setminus \{0\}$, then $i = j$.*

Proof. For any $u \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega) \setminus \{0\}$ satisfying $Q_i(u) \leq r_0/3$ ($1 \leq i \leq k$), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{r_0}{3} \int_{\Omega} |u|^{p^*} dx &\geq \int_{\Omega} \phi_i(x) |u|^{p^*} dx \\ &\geq \int_{\Omega \setminus B_{r_0}(a^i)} \phi_i(x) |u|^{p^*} dx \\ &\geq r_0 \int_{\Omega \setminus B_{r_0}(a^i)} |u|^{p^*} dx, \end{aligned} \quad (38)$$

which implies that

$$\int_{\Omega} |u|^{p^*} dx \geq 3 \int_{\Omega \setminus B_{r_0}(a^i)} |u|^{p^*} dx, \quad 1 \leq i \leq k. \quad (39)$$

Hence, from (39), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} 2 \int_{\Omega} |u|^{p^*} dx &\geq 3 \left(\int_{\Omega \setminus B_{r_0}(a^i)} |u|^{p^*} dx + \int_{\Omega \setminus B_{r_0}(a^j)} |u|^{p^*} dx \right) \\ &\geq 3 \int_{\Omega} |u|^{p^*} dx \quad \text{if } i \neq j, \end{aligned} \quad (40)$$

which is a contradiction. \square

For $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$, we set

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{N}_\lambda^i &:= \left\{ u \in \mathcal{M}_\lambda : Q_i(u) < \frac{r_0}{3} \right\} \\ \partial \mathcal{N}_\lambda^i &:= \left\{ u \in \mathcal{M}_\lambda : Q_i(u) = \frac{r_0}{3} \right\}, \end{aligned} \quad (41)$$

and define

$$\alpha_\lambda^i := \inf_{\mathcal{N}_\lambda^i} J_\lambda(u), \quad \tilde{\alpha}_\lambda^i := \inf_{\partial \mathcal{N}_\lambda^i} J_\lambda(u). \quad (42)$$

Now let us assume that (H1)–(H3) hold. From conditions (H2) and (H3), we can choose a $\rho \in (0, r_0/2)$ small enough and there exist some positive constants γ_1, γ_2 such that for $1 \leq i \leq k$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{\bigcup_{1 \leq i \leq k} B_{2\rho}(a^i)} &\subset \Omega, \\ |f(x) - f(a^i)| &\leq \gamma_1 |x - a^i|^\beta \quad \forall x \in \overline{B_{2\rho}(a^i)}, \end{aligned} \quad (43)$$

$$g(x) \geq \gamma_2 \quad \forall x \in \overline{\bigcup_{1 \leq i \leq k} B_{2\rho}(a^i)},$$

for some $\beta > N/(p-1)$. For $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, k\}$ and $\varepsilon > 0$, we define

$$\begin{aligned} u_\varepsilon^i(x) &= \frac{\eta_i(x)}{\left(\varepsilon + |x - a^i|^{p/(p-1)}\right)^{(N-p)/p}}, \\ v_\varepsilon^i(x) &= \varepsilon^{(N-p)/p^2} u_\varepsilon^i(x), \end{aligned} \quad (44)$$

where $\eta_i \in C_0^\infty(B_{2\rho}(a^i))$ is a function such that $0 \leq \eta_i(x) \leq 1$ and $\eta_i(x) \equiv 1$ on $B_\rho(a^i)$. Then we obtain the following estimates (see [20]):

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} |u_\varepsilon^i|^t dx &= \begin{cases} K_1 \varepsilon^{(N(p-1)-t(N-p))/p} + O(1), & t > \frac{N(p-1)}{p}, \\ K_1 |\ln \varepsilon| + O(1), & t = \frac{N(p-1)}{p}, \\ O(1), & t < \frac{N(p-1)}{p}, \end{cases} \end{aligned} \quad (45)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_\varepsilon^i|^t dx &= \begin{cases} K_2 \varepsilon^{(t+N(p-1)-tN)/p} + O(1), & t > \frac{N(p-1)}{p}, \\ K_2 |\ln \varepsilon| + O(1), & t = \frac{N(p-1)}{p}, \\ O(1), & t < \frac{N(p-1)}{p}. \end{cases} \end{aligned} \quad (46)$$

From (43)–(46) [13, Lemma 4.2] and conditions (H2)–(H3), we can deduce the following estimates:

$$\int_{\Omega} |\nabla v_{\varepsilon}^{a^i}|^p dx = K_2 + O(\varepsilon^{(N-p)/p}), \tag{47}$$

$$\int_{\Omega} |\nabla v_{\varepsilon}^{a^i}|^q dx = K_2 + O(\varepsilon^{q(N-p)/p^2}),$$

$$\int_{\Omega} f(x) |v_{\varepsilon}^{a^i}|^{p^*} dx = K_3^{p^*/p} + O(\varepsilon^{N/p}), \tag{48}$$

$$\int_{\Omega} |v_{\varepsilon}^{a^i}|^r dx = K_1 \varepsilon^{((p-1)/p)(N-r((N-p)/p))} + O(\varepsilon^{r(N-p)/p^2}), \tag{49}$$

where $K_1, K_2,$ and K_3 are positive constants independent of ε , and $S = K_2/K_3$ is the best Sobolev constant given in (8).

Next, we will investigate the effect of the coefficient $f(x)$ to find some Palais-Smale sequences which are used to prove Theorem 2.

Lemma 13. *If (H1)–(H3) hold, then for any $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, k\}$ and any $\lambda > 0$, there exists a $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ such that for $\varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_0)$ one has*

$$\sup_{t \geq 0} J_{\lambda}(tv_{\varepsilon}^{a^i}) < \frac{1}{N} S^{N/p} \quad \text{uniformly in } i. \tag{50}$$

In particular, $0 < \alpha_{\lambda} \leq \alpha_{\lambda}^i < (1/N)S^{N/p}$ for all $\lambda > 0$.

Proof. By Lemma 6, there exists a $t_{\varepsilon}^i > 0$ such that $t_{\varepsilon}^i v_{\varepsilon}^{a^i} \in \mathcal{M}_{\lambda}$. Furthermore,

$$\begin{aligned} Q_i(t_{\varepsilon}^i v_{\varepsilon}^{a^i}) &= \frac{\int_{\Omega} \phi_i(x) |v_{\varepsilon}^{a^i}|^{p^*} dx}{\int_{\Omega} |v_{\varepsilon}^{a^i}|^{p^*} dx} \\ &= \frac{\int_{\Omega_{\varepsilon}} \phi_i(a^i + \varepsilon y) |\eta_i(a^i + \varepsilon y) V(y)|^{p^*} dy}{\int_{\Omega_{\varepsilon}} |\eta_i(a^i + \varepsilon y) V(y)|^{p^*} dy} \tag{51} \\ &\rightarrow \phi_i(a^i) = 0 \quad \text{as } \varepsilon \rightarrow 0, \end{aligned}$$

where $\Omega_{\varepsilon} = \{x : \varepsilon x + a^i \in \Omega\}$ and $V(y) = 1/(1 + |y|^{p/(p-1)})^{(N-p)/p}$. Hence, there exists an $\varepsilon_1 > 0$ small enough such that for any $\varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_1)$, we have

$$Q_i(t_{\varepsilon}^i v_{\varepsilon}^{a^i}) < \frac{r_0}{3}, \tag{52}$$

which implies $t_{\varepsilon}^i v_{\varepsilon}^{a^i} \in \mathcal{N}_{\lambda}^i$ for any $\varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_1)$, and then

$$0 < \alpha_{\lambda} \leq \alpha_{\lambda}^i \leq J_{\lambda}(t_{\varepsilon}^i v_{\varepsilon}^{a^i}) \leq \sup_{t \geq 0} J_{\lambda}(tt_{\varepsilon}^i v_{\varepsilon}^{a^i}) = \sup_{t \geq 0} J_{\lambda}(tv_{\varepsilon}^{a^i}). \tag{53}$$

Set

$$\begin{aligned} h(t) &= J_{\lambda}(tv_{\varepsilon}^{a^i}) = \frac{t^p}{p} \|v_{\varepsilon}^{a^i}\|_p^p dx + \frac{t^q}{q} \|v_{\varepsilon}^{a^i}\|_q^q \\ &\quad - \frac{t^{p^*}}{p^*} \int_{\Omega} f(x) |v_{\varepsilon}^{a^i}|^{p^*} dx - \frac{t^r}{r} \int_{\Omega} \lambda g(x) |v_{\varepsilon}^{a^i}|^r dx. \end{aligned} \tag{54}$$

Since $h(0) = 0$, $\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} h(t) = -\infty$, then there exists a t_{ε} such that $\sup_{t \geq 0} J_{\lambda}(tv_{\varepsilon}^{a^i}) = J_{\lambda}(t_{\varepsilon} v_{\varepsilon}^{a^i})$ hold, and then t_{ε} satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= h'(t_{\varepsilon}) = t_{\varepsilon}^{p-1} \|v_{\varepsilon}^{a^i}\|_p^p + t_{\varepsilon}^{q-1} \|v_{\varepsilon}^{a^i}\|_q^q \\ &\quad - t_{\varepsilon}^{p^*-1} \int_{\Omega} f(x) |v_{\varepsilon}^{a^i}|^{p^*} dx - t_{\varepsilon}^{r-1} \int_{\Omega} \lambda g(x) |v_{\varepsilon}^{a^i}|^r dx; \end{aligned} \tag{55}$$

then we have

$$\|v_{\varepsilon}^{a^i}\|_p^p + t_{\varepsilon}^{q-p} \|v_{\varepsilon}^{a^i}\|_q^q > t_{\varepsilon}^{p^*-p} \int_{\Omega} f(x) |v_{\varepsilon}^{a^i}|^{p^*} dx. \tag{56}$$

From (47) and (48), fixing any $\varepsilon_2 > 0$ small enough, there exists $T_1 > 0$ such that

$$t_{\varepsilon} \leq T_1 \quad \text{for any } \varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_2). \tag{57}$$

Also, from (55), we obtain

$$\|v_{\varepsilon}^{a^i}\|_p^p < t_{\varepsilon}^{p^*-p} \int_{\Omega} f(x) |v_{\varepsilon}^{a^i}|^{p^*} dx + t_{\varepsilon}^{r-p} |g|_{\infty} \int_{\Omega} \lambda |v_{\varepsilon}^{a^i}|^r dx. \tag{58}$$

From (47)–(49) and (58), there exist $\varepsilon_3 > 0$ and $T_2 > 0$ such that

$$t_{\varepsilon} \geq T_2 \quad \text{for any } \varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_3). \tag{59}$$

Let $\varepsilon_4 = \min\{\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, \varepsilon_3\} > 0$; then

$$0 < T_2 \leq t_{\varepsilon} \leq T_1 \quad \forall \varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_4), \tag{60}$$

where T_1 and T_2 are independent of ε . From [13, Lemma 4.2] and conditions (H2)–(H3), we also have

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{t \geq 0} \left(\frac{t^p}{p} \|v_{\varepsilon}^{a^i}\|_p^p - \frac{t^{p^*}}{p^*} \int_{\Omega} f(x) |v_{\varepsilon}^{a^i}|^{p^*} dx \right) \\ = \frac{1}{N} S^{N/p} + O(\varepsilon^{(N-p)/p}). \end{aligned} \tag{61}$$

By (43), (46)–(49), (60), and (61), for $\varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_4)$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} h(t_\varepsilon) &\leq \sup_{t \geq 0} \left(\frac{t^p}{p} \|v_\varepsilon^i\|_p^p - \frac{t^{p^*}}{p^*} \int_\Omega f(x) |v_\varepsilon^i|^{p^*} dx \right) \\ &\quad + \frac{t^q}{q} \|v_\varepsilon^i\|_q^q - \frac{t^r}{r} \int_\Omega \lambda g(x) |v_\varepsilon^i|^r dx \\ &\leq \frac{1}{N} S^{N/p} + O(\varepsilon^{(N-p)/p}) + \frac{T_1^q}{q} \|v_\varepsilon^i\|_q^q \\ &\quad - \frac{T_2^r}{r} \lambda \gamma_2 \int_\Omega |v_\varepsilon^i|^r dx \\ &\leq \frac{1}{N} S^{N/p} + C_1 \varepsilon^{(N-p)/p} \\ &\quad + C_2 \varepsilon^{q(N-p)/p^2} - C_3 \varepsilon^{p/(p-1)(N-r(N-p)/p)}, \end{aligned} \tag{62}$$

where C_1, C_2, C_3 are positive constants independent of ε . Since $1 < q < N(p-1)/(N-1) < p \leq \max\{p, p^* - q/(p-1)\} < r < p^*$, we obtain that

$$\frac{N-p}{p} > \frac{q(N-p)}{p^2} > \frac{p}{p-1} \left(N - r \frac{N-p}{p} \right); \tag{63}$$

then there exists an $\varepsilon_0 \in (0, \varepsilon_3)$ such that $h(t_\varepsilon) = \sup_{t \geq 0} J_\lambda(t v_\varepsilon^i) < (1/N) S^{N/p}$ uniformly in i for all $\varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_0)$. Moreover, from (53), we have $0 < \alpha_\lambda \leq \alpha_\lambda^i < (1/N) S^{N/p}$ for all $1 \leq i \leq k$ and $\lambda > 0$. This completes the proof. \square

Proof of Theorem 1. From Lemmas 5, 10, 11, and 13, we get for all $\lambda > 0$ that there exists a u_0 such that $J'_\lambda(u_0) = 0$ and $J_\lambda(u_0) = \alpha_\lambda$. Set $u_+ = \max\{u, 0\}$. Replace the terms $\int_\Omega f(x) |u|^{p^*} dx$ and $\int_\Omega g(x) |u|^r dx$ of the functional J_λ by $\int_\Omega f(x) u_+^{p^*} dx$ and $\int_\Omega g(x) u_+^r dx$, respectively. It then follows that u_0 is a nonnegative solution of (E_λ) . Applying the maximum principle, (E_λ) admits at least one positive solution u_0 in $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$. \square

By studying the argument as in [21, Theorem III 3.1] and [22], we obtain the following lemma.

Lemma 14. *Let $\{u_n\} \subset W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ be a nonnegative function sequence with $|u_n|_{p^*} = 1$ and $\|u_n\|_p^p \rightarrow S$. Then there exists a sequence $(y_n, \sigma_n) \in \Omega \times \mathbf{R}^+$ such that*

$$v_n(x) := \sigma_n^{(N-p)/p} u_n(\sigma_n x + y_n) \tag{64}$$

contains a convergent subsequence denoted again by $\{v_n\}$ such that

$$v_n \rightarrow v \text{ in } D^{1,p}(\mathbf{R}^N), \tag{65}$$

where $v(x) > 0$ in \mathbf{R}^N . Moreover, we have $\sigma_n \rightarrow 0$, $(1/\sigma_n) \text{dist}(y_n, \partial\Omega) \rightarrow \infty$, and $y_n \rightarrow y \in \bar{\Omega}$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Lemma 15. *Suppose that (H2) and (H3) hold. Then for any $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, k\}$, there exists $\tilde{\lambda}_i > 0$ such that*

$$\tilde{\alpha}_\lambda^i > \frac{1}{N} S^{N/p} \quad \forall \lambda \in (0, \tilde{\lambda}_i). \tag{66}$$

Proof. Fix $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, k\}$. Assume the contrary; that is, there then exists a sequence $\{\lambda_n\}$ with $\lambda_n \rightarrow 0^+$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ such that $\tilde{\alpha}_{\lambda_n}^i \rightarrow c \leq (1/N) S^{N/p}$. Consequently, there exists a sequence $\{u_n\} \subset \partial \mathcal{N}_{\lambda_n}^i$ such that, as $n \rightarrow \infty$,

$$\begin{aligned} \|u_n\|_p^p + \|u_n\|_q^q &= \int_\Omega f(x) |u_n|^{p^*} dx \\ &\quad + \lambda_n \int_\Omega g(x) |u_n|^r dx + o_n(1), \end{aligned} \tag{67}$$

and by Remark 8, we have that there exists a $d > 0$ such that

$$0 < d \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_{\lambda_n} \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} J_{\lambda_n}(u_n) = c \leq \frac{1}{N} S^{N/p}, \tag{68}$$

where d is independent of λ_n for all n . It then follows easily that $\{u_n\}$ is uniformly bounded in $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$, and since $g(x)$ is continuous on $\bar{\Omega}$, we obtain

$$\lambda_n \int_\Omega g(x) |u_n|^r dx = o_n(1) \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty. \tag{69}$$

From (67)–(69), we may assume that there exist $a \geq 0$ and $b \geq 0$ such that

$$\|u_n\|_p^p = a + o_n(1), \quad \|u_n\|_q^q = b + o_n(1). \tag{70}$$

So (70) and $|f|_\infty = 1$ imply that

$$|u_n|_{p^*}^{p^*} \geq \int_\Omega f(x) |u_n|^{p^*} dx = a + b + o_n(1). \tag{71}$$

By (70), (71), and the Sobolev inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} a &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|u_n\|_p^p \geq S \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |u_n|_{p^*}^{p^*} \\ &\geq S \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\int_\Omega f(x) |u_n|^{p^*} dx \right)^{p/p^*} \\ &\geq S(a + b)^{p/p^*} \geq Sa^{p/p^*}, \end{aligned} \tag{72}$$

which implies $a = 0$ or $a \geq S^{N/p}$. If $a = 0$, then by (72) we have that $b = 0$. From $a = b = 0$, we can deduce that $c = 0$ which is a contradiction. Hence,

$$a \geq S^{N/p}. \tag{73}$$

On the other hand, by $J_{\lambda_n}(u_n) = c + o_n(1)$, $c \leq (1/N) S^{N/p}$, and (69)–(71), we get

$$\frac{a}{p} + \frac{b}{q} - \frac{a+b}{p^*} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} J_{\lambda_n}(u_n) = c \leq \frac{1}{N} S^{N/p}. \tag{74}$$

This implies that

$$\frac{a}{N} \leq \left(\frac{a}{p} - \frac{a}{p^*} \right) + \left(\frac{b}{q} - \frac{b}{p^*} \right) = c \leq \frac{1}{N} S^{N/p}. \tag{75}$$

Hence, together with (73), we get $a = S^{N/p}$ and $b = 0$, and then, from (71) and (72), we also have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |u_n|_{p^*}^{p^*} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_\Omega f(x) |u_n|^{p^*} dx = a = S^{N/p}. \tag{76}$$

Set $w_n = u_n/|u_n|_{p^*}$; then we have

$$|w_n|_{p^*} = 1, \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|w_n\|_p^p = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\|u_n\|_p^p}{|u_n|_{p^*}^p} = S. \quad (77)$$

Using Lemma 14, there exists a sequence $(y_n, \sigma_n) \in \Omega \times \mathbf{R}^+$ such that the sequence

$$v_n(x) := \sigma_n^{(N-p)/p} w_n(\sigma_n x + y_n) \quad (78)$$

converges strongly to $v \in D^{1,p}(\mathbf{R}^N)$, $\sigma_n \rightarrow 0$, $y_n \rightarrow y \in \bar{\Omega}$, and $(1/\sigma_n) \text{dist}(y_n, \partial\Omega) \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Let $\Omega_n = \{x : \sigma_n x + y_n \in \Omega\}$. Since $\sigma_n \rightarrow 0$, $y_n \rightarrow y \in \bar{\Omega}$, and $(1/\sigma_n) \text{dist}(y_n, \partial\Omega) \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, then $\Omega_n \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^N$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Observe that $Q_i(w_n) = Q_i(u_n) = r_0/3$. By the Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{r_0}{3} &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Q_i(w_n) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\int_{\Omega} \phi_i(x) |w_n|^{p^*} dx}{\int_{\Omega} |w_n|^{p^*} dx} \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\int_{\Omega} \phi_i(x) |v_n((x - y_n)/\sigma_n)|^{p^*} dx}{\int_{\Omega} |v_n((x - y_n)/\sigma_n)|^{p^*} dx} \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\int_{\Omega_n} \phi_i(\sigma_n x + y_n) |v_n(x)|^{p^*} dx}{\int_{\Omega_n} |v_n(x)|^{p^*} dx} = \phi_i(y), \end{aligned} \quad (79)$$

which implies that $y \neq a^i$ by the definition of $\phi_i(x)$. On the other hand, by the Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem again and (76), we get

$$\begin{aligned} 1 &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} f(x) |w_n(x)|^{p^*} dx \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega_n} f(\sigma_n x + y_n) |v_n(x)|^{p^*} dx = f(y), \end{aligned} \quad (80)$$

which is impossible, because $f(x)$ is not a constant function by condition (H3). \square

According to Lemma 13, we have

$$0 < \alpha_{\lambda} \leq \alpha_{\lambda}^i < \frac{1}{N} S^{N/p} \quad \forall \lambda > 0. \quad (81)$$

According to Lemma 15, for each $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, k\}$, there exists $\tilde{\lambda}_i > 0$ such that

$$\tilde{\alpha}_{\lambda}^i > \frac{1}{N} S^{N/p} \quad \forall \lambda \in (0, \tilde{\lambda}_i). \quad (82)$$

Let $\lambda_0 = \min_{1 \leq i \leq k} \tilde{\lambda}_i > 0$. Then for each $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, k\}$, by (81) and (82), we obtain that

$$\alpha_{\lambda}^i < \tilde{\alpha}_{\lambda}^i \quad \forall \lambda \in (0, \lambda_0). \quad (83)$$

Hence

$$\alpha_{\lambda}^i = \inf_{u \in \mathcal{N}_{\lambda}^i \cup \partial \mathcal{N}_{\lambda}^i} J_{\lambda}(u) \quad \forall \lambda \in (0, \lambda_0). \quad (84)$$

Applying Ekeland's variational principle and using the standard computation, we have the following lemma.

Lemma 16. *If $\lambda \in (0, \lambda_0)$, then for each $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, k\}$, there exists a $(PS)_{\alpha_{\lambda}^i}$ -sequence $\{u_n^i\} \subset \mathcal{N}_{\lambda}^i$ in $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ for J_{λ} .*

Proof. See Cao and Zhou [17] or Tarantello [18]. \square

Proof of Theorem 2. By Lemma 16, for all $\lambda \in (0, \lambda_0)$, there exists a $(PS)_{\alpha_{\lambda}^i}$ -sequence $\{u_n^i\} \subset \mathcal{N}_{\lambda}^i$ in $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ for J_{λ} where $1 \leq i \leq k$. From (81), we have

$$\alpha_{\lambda}^i \in \left(0, \frac{1}{N} S^{N/p}\right). \quad (85)$$

Note that J_{λ} satisfies the $(PS)_c$ -condition for $c \in (0, (1/N)S^{N/p})$. Hence, we obtain that J_{λ} at least k critical points in \mathcal{M}_{λ} for all $\lambda \in (0, \lambda_0)$. Set $u_+ = \max\{u, 0\}$. Replace the terms $\int_{\Omega} f(x)|u|^{p^*} dx$ and $\int_{\Omega} g(x)|u|^r dx$ of the functional J_{λ} by $\int_{\Omega} f(x)u_+^{p^*} dx$ and $\int_{\Omega} g(x)u_+^r dx$, respectively. It then follows that (E_{λ}) has k nonnegative solutions. Applying the maximum principle, (E_{λ}) admits at least k positive solutions. \square

Conflict of Interests

The authors declare that there is no conflict of interests regarding the publication of this paper.

References

- [1] V. Benci, A. M. Micheletti, and D. Visetti, "An Eigenvalue problem for a quasilinear elliptic field equation," *Journal of Differential Equations*, vol. 184, no. 2, pp. 299–320, 2002.
- [2] M. Wu and Z. Yang, "A class of p - q -Laplacian type equation with potentials eigenvalue problem in \mathbf{R}^N , Bound," *Boundary Value Problems*, vol. 2009, Article ID 185319, 2009.
- [3] C. He and G. Li, "The existence of a nontrivial solution to the p - q -Laplacian problem with nonlinearity asymptotic to u^{p-1} at infinity in \mathbf{R}^N ," *Nonlinear Analysis: Theory, Methods & Applications*, vol. 68, no. 5, pp. 1100–1119, 2008.
- [4] L. Gongbao and Z. Guo, "Multiple solutions for the p - q -Laplacian problem with critical exponent," *Acta Mathematica Scientia B*, vol. 29, no. 4, pp. 903–918, 2009.
- [5] H. Yin and Z. Yang, "A class of p - q -Laplacian type equation with concave-convex nonlinearities in bounded domain," *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 382, no. 2, pp. 843–855, 2011.
- [6] H. Yin and Z. Yang, "Multiplicity of positive solutions to a p - q -Laplacian equation involving critical nonlinearity," *Nonlinear Analysis: Theory, Methods & Applications*, vol. 75, no. 6, pp. 3021–3035, 2012.
- [7] H. Brézis and L. Nirenberg, "Positive solutions of nonlinear elliptic equations involving critical sobolev exponent," *Communications on Pure and Applied Mathematics*, vol. 36, no. 4, pp. 437–477, 1983.
- [8] C. O. Alves, J. M. do Ó, and O. H. Miyagaki, "On perturbations of a class of a periodic m -Laplacian equation with critical growth," *Nonlinear Analysis: Theory, Methods & Applications*, vol. 45, no. 7, pp. 849–863, 2001.
- [9] A. Ambrosetti, H. Brézis, and G. Cerami, "Combined effects of concave and convex nonlinearities in some elliptic problems," *Journal of Functional Analysis*, vol. 122, no. 2, pp. 519–543, 1994.

- [10] V. Benci and G. Cerami, "The effect of the domain topology on the number of positive solutions of nonlinear elliptic problems," *Archive for Rational Mechanics and Analysis*, vol. 114, no. 1, pp. 79–93, 1991.
- [11] O. Rey, "A multiplicity result for a variational problem with lack of compactness," *Nonlinear Analysis: Theory, Methods & Applications*, vol. 13, no. 10, pp. 1241–1249, 1989.
- [12] C. O. Alves and Y. H. Ding, "Multiplicity of positive solutions to a p -Laplacian equation involving critical nonlinearity," *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 279, no. 2, pp. 508–521, 2003.
- [13] T.-S. Hsu, "Multiplicity results for p -Laplacian with critical nonlinearity of concave-convex type and sign-changing weight functions," *Abstract and Applied Analysis*, vol. 2009, Article ID 652109, 24 pages, 2009.
- [14] P. Han, "Multiple solutions to singular critical elliptic equations," *Israel Journal of Mathematics*, vol. 156, no. 1, pp. 359–380, 2006.
- [15] G. Talenti, "Best constant in Sobolev inequality," *Annali di Matematica Pura ed Applicata*, vol. 110, no. 1, pp. 353–372, 1976.
- [16] P. H. Rabinowitz, *Minimax Methods in Critical Point Theory with Applications to Differential Equations*, vol. 65 of *Regional Conference Series in Mathematics*, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, USA, 1986.
- [17] D.-M. Cao and H.-S. Zhou, "Multiple positive solutions of nonhomogeneous semilinear elliptic equations in \mathbf{R}^N ," *Proceedings of the Royal Society of Edinburgh A: Mathematics*, vol. 126, no. 2, pp. 443–463, 1996.
- [18] G. Tarantello, "On nonhomogeneous elliptic equations involving critical sobolev exponent," *Annales de l'Institut Henri Poincaré: Analyse Non Linéaire Open Archive*, vol. 9, no. 3, pp. 281–304, 1992.
- [19] H. Brézis and E. Lieb, "A relation between pointwise convergence of functions and convergence of functionals," *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society*, vol. 88, no. 3, pp. 486–490, 1983.
- [20] P. Drábek and Y. X. Huang, "Multiplicity of positive solutions for some quasilinear elliptic equation in \mathbf{R}^N with critical Sobolev exponent," *Journal of Differential Equations*, vol. 140, no. 1, pp. 106–132, 1997.
- [21] M. Struwe, *Variational Methods*, Springer, Heidelberg, Germany, 2nd edition, 1996.
- [22] M. Willem, *Minimax Theorems*, Birkhäuser, 1996.



Hindawi

Submit your manuscripts at
<http://www.hindawi.com>

