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We generalize the concept of C-hyperoperation and introduce the concept of F-C-hyperoperation. We list some basic properties of F-C-hyperoperation and the relationship between the concept of C-hyperoperation and the concept of F-C-hyperoperation. We also research F-C-hyperoperations associated with special fuzzy relations.

## 1. Introduction and Preliminaries

Hyperstructures and binary relations have been studied by many researchers, for instance, Chvalina [1, 2], Corsini and Leoreanu [3], Feng [4], Hort [5], Rosenberg [6], Spartalis [7], and so on.

A partial hypergroupoid $\langle H, *\rangle$ is a nonempty set $H$ with a function from $H \times H$ to the set of subsets of $H$.

A hypergroupoid is a nonempty set $H$, endowed with a hyperoperation, that is, a function from $H \times H$ to $P(H)$, the set of nonempty subsets of $H$.

If $A, B \in \mathbf{P}(H)-\{\emptyset\}$, then we define $A * B=\cup\{a * b \mid a \in A, b \in B\}, x * B=\{x\} * B$ and $A * y=A *\{y\}$.

A Corsini's hyperoperation was first introduced by Corsini [8] and studied by many researchers; for example, see [3, 8-15].

Definition 1.1 (see [8]). Let $\langle H, R\rangle$ be a a pair of sets where $H$ is a nonempty set and $R$ is a binary relation on $H$. Corsini's hyperoperation (briefly, C-hyperoperation) $*_{R}$ associated with
$R$ is defined in the following way:

$$
\begin{equation*}
*_{R}: H \times H \longrightarrow P(H): x *_{R} y=\{z \in H \mid x R z, z R y\}, \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $P(H)$ denotes the family of all the subsets of $H$.
A fuzzy subset $A$ of a nonempty set $H$ is a function $A: H \rightarrow[0,1]$. The family of all the fuzzy subsets of $H$ is denoted by $F(H)$.

We use $\emptyset$ to denote a special fuzzy subset of $H$ which is defined by $\emptyset(x)=0$, for all $x \in H$.

For a fuzzy subset $A$ of a nonempty set $H$, the $p$-cut of $A$ is denoted $A_{p}$, for any $p \in$ $(0,1]$, and defined by $A_{p} \doteq\{x \in H \mid A(x) \geq p\}$.

A fuzzy binary relation $R$ on a nonempty set $H$ is a function $R: H \times H \rightarrow[0,1]$. In the following, sometimes we use fuzzy relation to refer to fuzzy binary relation.

For any $a, b \in[0,1]$, we use $a \wedge b$ to stand for the minimum of $a$ and $b$ and $a \vee b$ to denote the maximum of $a$ and $b$.

Given $A, B \in F(H)$, we will use the following definitions:

$$
\begin{gather*}
A \subseteq B \doteq A(x) \leq B(x), \quad \forall x \in H, \\
A=B \doteq A(x)=B(x), \quad \forall x \in H,  \tag{1.2}\\
(A \cup B)(x) \doteq A(x) \vee B(x), \quad \forall x \in H, \\
(A \cap B)(x) \doteq A(x) \wedge B(x), \quad \forall x \in H .
\end{gather*}
$$

A partial fuzzy hypergroupoid $\langle H, *\rangle$ is a nonempty set endowed with a fuzzy hyperoperation $*: H \times H \rightarrow F(H)$. Moreover, $\langle H, *\rangle$ is called a fuzzy hypergroupoid if for all $x, y \in H$, there exists at least one $z \in H$, such that $(x * y)(z) \neq 0$ holds.

Given a fuzzy hyperoperation $*: H \times H \rightarrow F(H)$, for all $a \in H, B \in F(H)$, the fuzzy subset $a * B$ of $H$ is defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
(a * B)(x) \doteq \vee_{B(b)>0}(a * b)(x) . \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

$B * a, A * B$ can be defined similarly. When $B$ is a crisp subset of $H$, we treat $B$ as a fuzzy subset by treating it as $B(x)=1$, for all $x \in B$ and $B(x)=0$, for all $x \in H-B$.

## 2. Fuzzy Corsini's Hyperoperation

In this section, we will generalize the concept of Corsini's hyperoperation and introduce the fuzzy version of Corsini's hyperoperation.

Definition 2.1. Let $\langle H, R\rangle$ be a pair of sets where $H$ is a non-empty set and $R$ is a fuzzy relation on $H$. We define a fuzzy hyperoperation $*_{R}: H \times H \rightarrow F(H)$, for any $x, y, z \in H$, as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(x *_{R} y\right)(z) \doteq R(x, z) \wedge R(z, y) . \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Table 1

| $R$ | $a$ | $b$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| $a$ | 0.1 | 0.2 |
| $b$ | 0.3 | 0.4 |

Table 2

| $*_{R}$ | $a$ | $b$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| $a$ | $0.1 / a+0.2 / b$ | $0.1 / a+0.2 / b$ |
| $b$ | $0.1 / a+0.3 / b$ | $0.2 / a+0.4 / b$ |

$*_{R}$ is called a fuzzy Corsini's hyperoperation (briefly, F-C-hyperoperation) associated with $R$. The fuzzy hyperstructure $\left\langle H, *_{R}\right\rangle$ is called a partial F-C-hypergroupoid.

Remark 2.2. It is obvious that the concept of F-C-hyperoperation is a generalization of the concept of C-hyperoperation.

Example 2.3. Letting $H=\{a, b\}$ be a non-empty set, $R$ is a fuzzy relation on $H$ as described in Table 1.

From the previous definition, by calculating, for example, $\left(a *_{R} a\right)(a)=R(a, a) \wedge$ $R(a, a)=0.1 \wedge 0.1=0.1, R(a * b)(a)=R(a, a) \wedge R(a, b)=0.1 \wedge 0.2=0.1$, we can obtain Table 2 which is a partial F-C-hypergroupoid.

Definition 2.4. Supposing $R, S$ are two fuzzy relations on a non-empty set $H$, the composition of $R$ and $S$ is a fuzzy relation on $H$ and is defined by $(R \circ S)(x, y) \doteq \bigvee_{z \in H}(R(x, z) \wedge S(z, y))$, for all $x, y \in H$.

Proposition 2.5. A partial F-C-hypergroupoid $\left\langle H, *_{R}\right\rangle$ is a F-C-hypergroupoid if and only if $\operatorname{supp}(R \circ R)=H \times H$, where $\operatorname{supp}(R \circ R)=\{(x, y) \mid(R \circ R)(x, y) \neq 0\}$.

Proof. Suppose that $\left\langle H, *_{R}\right\rangle$ is a hypergroupoid. For any $x, y \in H$, there exists at least one $z \in H$, such that $\left(x *_{R} y\right)(z) \neq 0$ holds.

So $(R \circ R)(x, y)=\bigvee_{z \in H}(R(x, z) \wedge R(z, y)) \neq 0$. Thus $(x, y) \in \operatorname{supp}(R \circ R)$. And we conclude that $H \times H \subseteq \operatorname{supp}(R \circ R)$.
$\operatorname{supp}(R \circ R) \subseteq H \times H$ is obvious. And so $\operatorname{supp}(R \circ R)=H \times H$.
Conversely, if $\operatorname{supp}(R \circ R)=H \times H$, then for any $x, y \in H,(x, y) \in H \times H=\operatorname{supp}(R \circ R)$. So $(R \circ R)(x, y)=\bigvee_{z \in H}(R(x, z) \wedge R(z, y)) \neq 0$. That is, there exists at least one $z \in H$ such that $\left(x *_{R} y\right)(z) \neq 0$ holds. And so $\left\langle H, *_{R}\right\rangle$ is a hypergroupoid.

Thus we complete the proof.

Definition 2.6. Letting $H$ be a non-empty set, * is a fuzzy hyperoperation of $H$, the hyperoperation $*_{p}$ is defined by $x *_{p} y=(x * y)_{p}$, for all $x, y \in H, p \in[0,1] . *_{p}$ is called the p-cut of $*$.

Definition 2.7. Letting $R$ be a fuzzy relation on a non-empty set $H$, we define a binary relation $R_{p}$ on $H$, for all $p \in(0,1]$, as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
x R_{p} y \doteq R(x, y) \geq p . \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

$R_{p}$ is called the p-cut of the fuzzy relation $R$.
Proposition 2.8. Let $\left\langle H, *_{R}\right\rangle$ be a partial F-C-hypergroupoid. Then $\left(*_{R}\right)_{p}$ is a C-hyperoperation associated with $R_{p}$, for all $0<p \leq 1$.

Proof. For any $0<p \leq 1$ and for any $x, y \in H$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
x\left(*_{R}\right)_{p} y & =\left(x *_{R} y\right)_{p}=\left\{z \in H \mid\left(x *_{R} y\right)(z) \geq p\right\}=\{z \in H \mid R(x, z) \wedge R(z, y) \geq p\}  \tag{2.3}\\
& =\{z \in H \mid R(x, z) \geq p, R(z, y) \geq p\}=\left\{z \in H \mid x R_{p} z, z R_{p} y\right\}
\end{align*}
$$

From the definition of C-hyperoperation, we conclude that $\left(*_{R}\right)_{p}$ is a C-hyperoperation associated with $R_{p}$.

Thus we complete the proof.
From the previous proposition and the construction of the F-C-hyperoperation, we can easily conclude that a fuzzy hyperoperation is a F-C-hyperoperation if and only if every p-cut of the F-C-hyperoperation is a C-hyperoperation. That is, consider the following.

Proposition 2.9. Let $H$ be a non-empty set and let $*$ be a fuzzy hyperoperation of $H$, then the fuzzy hyperoperation $*$ is an F-C-hyperoperation associated with a fuzzy relation $R$ on $H$ if and only if $*_{p}$ is a C-hyperoperation associated with $R_{p}$, for any $0<p \leq 1$.

## 3. Basic Properties of F-C-Hyperoperations

In this section, we list some basic properties of F-C-hyperoperations.
Proposition 3.1. Let $\left\langle H, *_{R}\right\rangle$ be a partial or nonpartial F-C-hypergroupoid defined on $H \neq \emptyset$. Then, for all $x, y, a, b \in H$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
x *_{R} y \cap a *_{R} b=x *_{R} b \cap a *_{R} y . \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. For any $x, y, a, b, z \in H$, we have that $\left(x *_{R} y \cap a *_{R} b\right)(z)=\left(x *_{R} y\right)(z) \wedge\left(a *_{R} b\right)(z)=$ $R(x, z) \wedge R(z, y) \wedge R(a, z) \wedge R(z, b)=R(x, z) \wedge R(z, b) \wedge R(a, z) \wedge R(z, y)=\left(x *_{R} b \cap a *_{R} y\right)(z)$.

So

$$
\begin{equation*}
x *_{R} y \cap a *_{R} b=x *_{R} b \cap a *_{R} y \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x, y, a, b \in H$.

Proposition 3.2. Let $\left\langle H, *_{R}\right\rangle$ be a partial F-C-hypergroupoid and $x, y \in H, x *_{R} y=\emptyset$. Then,
(1) $x *_{R} H \cap H *_{R} y=\emptyset$;
(2) If $H=x *_{R} H$ then $H *_{R} y=\emptyset$;
(3) If $H=H *_{R} x$ then $y *_{R} H=\emptyset$.

Proof. (1) Supposing $x *_{R} H \cap H *_{R} y \neq \emptyset$, then there exist $a, b \in H$, such that $x *_{R} a \cap b *_{R} y \neq \emptyset$. So from the previous proposition, we have $x *_{R} y \cap b *_{R} a \neq \emptyset$. This is a contradiction.
(2) From $H=x *_{R} H$ and $x *_{R} H \cap H *_{R} y=\emptyset$, we have that $H \cap H *_{R} y=\emptyset$, and so, $H *_{R} y=\emptyset$.
(3) is proved similar to (2).

Proposition 3.3. Letting $*_{R}$ be the F-C-hyperoperation defined on the non-empty set $H, p \in(0,1]$, then the following are equivalent:
(1) for some $a \in H,\left(a *_{R} a\right)_{p}=H$;
(2) for all $x, y \in H, a \in\left(x *_{R} y\right)_{p}$.

Proof. Let $\left(a *_{R} a\right)_{p}=H$. Then, for all $x, y \in H$, we have that $\left(a *_{R} a\right)(x) \geq p,\left(a *_{R} a\right)(y) \geq p$, that is $R(a, x) \geq p, R(x, a) \geq p, R(a, y) \geq p, R(y, a) \geq p$ and so $R(x, a) \wedge R(a, y) \geq p$. Thus $a \in\left(x *_{R} y\right)_{p}$, for all $x, y \in H$.

Conversely, let $a \in\left(x *_{R} y\right)_{p}$, for all $x, y \in H$. Specially, we have $a \in\left(a *_{R} x\right)_{p}$ and $a \in\left(x *_{R} a\right)_{p}$. Thus, $R(a, x) \geq p$ and $R(x, a) \geq p$. And so $x \in\left(a *_{R} a\right)_{p}$.

Proposition 3.4. Let $\left\langle H, *_{R}\right\rangle$ be a partial or nonpartial $F$-C-hypergroupoid defined on $H \neq \emptyset$. Then, for all $a, b \in H, p \in(0,1]$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
a \in\left(b *_{R} b\right)_{p} \Longleftrightarrow b \in\left(a *_{R} a\right)_{p} . \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. For any $a, b \in H$, we have that

$$
\begin{align*}
a \in\left(b *_{R} b\right)_{p} & \Longrightarrow\left(b *_{R} b\right)(a) \geq p \Longrightarrow R(b, a) \wedge R(a, b) \geq p \\
& \Longrightarrow R(a, b) \wedge R(b, a) \geq p \Longrightarrow\left(a *_{R} a\right)(b) \geq p \Longrightarrow b \in\left(a *_{R} a\right)_{p} \tag{3.4}
\end{align*}
$$

The remaining part can be proved similarly.

## 4. F-C-Hyperoperations Associated with p-Fuzzy Reflexive Relations

In this section, we will assume that $R$ is a p-fuzzy reflexive relation on a non-empty set.
Definition 4.1. A fuzzy relation $R$ on a non-empty set $H$ is called $p$-fuzzy reflexive if for any $x \in H$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
R(x, x) \geq p \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Example 4.2. The fuzzy relation $R$ introduced in Example 2.3 is 0.1 -fuzzy reflexive. Of course, it is p-fuzzy reflexive, where $0 \leq p \leq 0.1$.

Proposition 4.3. Letting $\left\langle H, *_{R}\right\rangle$ be a partial $F$-C-hypergroupoid defined on $H \neq \emptyset, R$ is $p$-fuzzy reflexive. Then, for all $a, b \in H, p \in(0,1]$, the following are equivalent:
(1) $R(a, b) \geq p$;
(2) $a \in\left(a *_{R} b\right)_{p}$;
(3) $b \in\left(a *_{R} b\right)_{p}$.

Proof. " $(1) \Rightarrow(2)$ "
From $R(a, a) \geq p$ and $R(a, b) \geq p$ we have that $R(a, a) \wedge R(a, b) \geq p$ which shows that $a \in\left(a *_{R} b\right)_{p}$.
" 2 ) $\Rightarrow(3)$ "
From $a \in\left(a *_{R} b\right)_{p}$ we have that $R(a, b) \geq p$. Since $R(b, b) \geq p$, so $R(a, b) \wedge R(b, b) \geq p$ which implies that $b \in\left(a *_{R} b\right)_{p}$.
" $(3) \Rightarrow(1) "$
It is obvious.
Proposition 4.4. Letting $\left\langle H, *_{R}\right\rangle$ be a partial $F$-C-hypergroupoid defined on $H \neq \emptyset, R$ is $p$-fuzzy reflexive. Then, for any $a \in H$, we have that

$$
\begin{equation*}
a \in\left(a *_{R} a\right)_{p} \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. From $R(a, a) \geq p$ we have $R(a, a) \wedge R(a, a) \geq p$. That is $a \in\left(a *_{R} a\right)_{p}$.
Proposition 4.5. Letting $\left\langle H, *_{R}\right\rangle$ be a partial $F$-C-hypergroupoid defined on $H \neq \emptyset, R$ is $p$-fuzzy reflexive. Then, for any $a, b \in H, p \in(0,1]$, we have that

$$
\begin{equation*}
b \in\left(a *_{R} a\right)_{p} \Longleftrightarrow a \in\left(a *_{R} b \cap b *_{R} a\right)_{p} \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. From $b \in\left(a *_{R} a\right)_{p}$ we have that $R(a, b) \wedge R(b, a) \geq p$. So $R(a, b) \geq p$ and $R(b, a) \geq p$. Thus $R(a, a) \wedge R(a, b) \geq p$ and $R(b, a) \wedge R(a, a) \geq p$. That is $\left(a *_{R} b\right)(a) \geq p$ and $\left(b *_{R} a\right)(a) \geq p$. So $\left(a *_{R} b \cap b *_{R} a\right)(a) \geq p$. Thus $a \in\left(a *_{R} b \cap b *_{R} a\right)_{p}$.

Conversely, suppose that $a \in\left(a *_{R} b \cap b *_{R} a\right)_{p}$. Then $\left(a *_{R} b\right)(a) \wedge\left(b *_{R} a\right)(a) \geq p$. Thus $R(a, a) \wedge R(a, b) \wedge R(b, a) \wedge R(a, a) \geq p$. So $R(a, b) \wedge R(b, a) \geq p$. That is $b \in\left(a *_{R} a\right)_{p}$.

Corollary 4.6. Letting $\left\langle H, *_{R}\right\rangle$ be a partial $F$-C-hypergroupoid defined on $H \neq \emptyset, R$ is $p$-fuzzy reflexive. Then, for any $a, b \in H, p \in(0,1]$, we have that

$$
\begin{equation*}
b \in\left(a *_{R} a\right)_{p} \Longleftrightarrow a \in\left(b *_{R} b\right)_{p} \Longleftrightarrow a \in\left(a *_{R} b \cap b *_{R} a\right)_{p} \Longleftrightarrow b \in\left(a *_{R} b \cap b *_{R} a\right)_{p} \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proposition 4.7. Letting $\left\langle H, *_{R}\right\rangle$ be a partial $F$-C-hypergroupoid defined on $H \neq \emptyset, R$ is $p$-fuzzy reflexive. Then, for any $a, b \in H$, we have that

$$
\begin{equation*}
c \in\left(a *_{R} b\right)_{p} \Longleftrightarrow c \in\left(a *_{R} c \cap c *_{R} b\right)_{p} . \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. If $c \in\left(a *_{R} b\right)_{p}$, then $R(a, c) \geq p$ and $R(c, b) \geq p$. Thus $c \in\left(a *_{R} c\right)_{p}$ and $c \in\left(c *_{R} b\right)_{p}$. So $c \in\left(a *_{R} c \cap c *_{R} b\right)_{p}$.

Conversely, if $c \in\left(a *_{R} c \cap c *_{R} b\right)_{p}$, then $\left(a *_{R} c\right)(c) \wedge\left(c *_{R} b\right)(c) \geq p$. Thus $R(a, c) \wedge$ $R(c, c) \wedge R(c, c) \wedge R(c, b) \geq p$. And so $R(a, c) \wedge R(c, b) \geq p$. Thus $c \in\left(a *_{R} b\right)_{p}$.

Proposition 4.8. Letting $\left\langle H, *_{R}\right\rangle$ be a partial F-C-hypergroupoid defined on $H \neq \emptyset, R$ is $p$-fuzzy reflexive. Then, for any $a, b, c \in H, p \in(0,1]$, the following are equivalent:
(1) $c \in\left(a *_{R} b\right)_{p}$;
(2) $a \in\left(a *_{R} c\right)_{p}$ and $b \in\left(c *_{R} b\right)_{p}$;
(3) $a \in\left(a *_{R} c\right)_{p}$ and $c \in\left(c *_{R} b\right)_{p}$.

Proof. "(1) $\Rightarrow(2)$ "
Suppose that $c \in\left(a *_{R} b\right)_{p}$. Then $R(a, c) \geq p$ and $R(c, b) \geq p$. So $R(a, a) \wedge R(a, c) \geq p$ and $R(c, b) \wedge R(b, b) \geq p$. Thus $a \in\left(a *_{R} c\right)_{p}$ and $b \in\left(c *_{R} b\right)_{p}$.
"(2) $\Rightarrow(3)$ "
Suppose that $b \in\left(c *_{R} b\right)_{p}$. Then $R(c, b) \geq p$. Thus $R(c, c) \wedge R(c, b) \geq p$. And so $c \in$ $\left(c *_{R} b\right)_{p}$.
"(3) $\Rightarrow(1)$ "
From $a \in\left(a *_{R} c\right)_{p}$ and $c \in\left(c *_{R} b\right)_{p}$, we have that $R(a, c) \geq p$ and $R(c, b) \geq p$. Thus $R(a, c) \wedge R(c, b) \geq p$. So $c \in\left(a *_{R} b\right)_{p}$.

## 5. F-C-Hyperoperations Associated with p-Fuzzy Symmetric Relations

In this section, we will assume that $R$ is a p-fuzzy symmetric relation on a non-empty set.
Definition 5.1. A fuzzy binary relation $R$ on a non-empty set $H$ is called $p$-fuzzy symmetric if for any $x, y \in H$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
R(x, y) \geq p \Longrightarrow R(y, x) \geq p \tag{5.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Example 5.2. The fuzzy relation $R$ introduced in Example 2.3 is 0.2 -fuzzy symmetric. Of course, it is p-fuzzy reflexive, where $0 \leq p \leq 0.2$.

Proposition 5.3. Letting $\left\langle H, *_{R}\right\rangle$ be a partial $F$-C-hypergroupoid defined on $H \neq \emptyset, R$ is $p$-fuzzy symmetric relation. Then, for all $a, b \in H$, we have that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(a *_{R} b\right)_{p}=\left(b *_{R} a\right)_{p} . \tag{5.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. For all $a, b \in H$, two cases are possible.
(1) If $\left(a *_{R} b\right)_{p}=\emptyset$, then $\left(a *_{R} b\right)_{p} \subseteq\left(b *_{R} a\right)_{p}$.
(2) If $\left(a *_{R} b\right)_{p} \neq \emptyset$, let $x \in\left(a *_{R} b\right)_{p}$. Then $R(a, x) \geq p$ and $R(x, b) \geq p$.

Since $R$ is p-fuzzy symmetric, so $R(x, a) \geq p$ and $R(b, x) \geq p$. Thus $\left(b *_{R} a\right)(x)=R(b, x) \wedge$ $R(x, a) \geq p$. So $x \in\left(b *_{R} a\right)_{p}$. And in this case, we also have that $\left(a *_{R} b\right)_{p} \subseteq\left(b *_{R} a\right)_{p}$.

The remaining part can be proved by exchanging $a$ and $b$.

Proposition 5.4. Let $\left\langle H, *_{R}\right\rangle$ be a partial F-C-hypergroupoid defined on $H \neq \emptyset, p \in(0,1]$, if
(1) for all $a, b \in H,\left(a *_{R} b\right)_{p}=\left(b *_{R} a\right)_{p}$,
(2) for any $x \in H$, there exists a $y \in H$, such that $R(x, y) \geq p$.

Then $R$ is a p-fuzzy symmetric binary relation on $H$.
Proof. For all $a, b \in H$, suppose that $R(a, b) \geq p$. We need to show that $R(b, a) \geq p$.
Since for $b \in H$, there exists a $x \in H$, such that $R(b, x) \geq p$. So $R(a, b) \wedge R(b, x) \geq p$. That is, $b \in\left(a *_{R} x\right)_{p}=\left(x *_{R} a\right)_{p}$. And so $R(x, b) \wedge R(b, a) \geq p$. And finally we have that $R(b, a) \geq p$.

## 6. F-C-Hyperoperations Associated with p-Fuzzy Transitive Relations

In this section, we will assume that $R$ is a p-fuzzy transitive relation on a non-empty set.
Definition 6.1. A fuzzy binary relation $R$ on a non-empty set $H$ is called p-fuzzy transitive if for any $x, y, z \in H$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
R(x, y) \geq p, R(y, z) \geq p \Longrightarrow R(x, z) \geq p \tag{6.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Example 6.2. The fuzzy relation $R$ introduced in Example 2.3 is 0.1 -fuzzy transitive. Of course, it is p-fuzzy transitive, where $0 \leq p \leq 0.1$.

Proposition 6.3. Letting $\left\langle H, *_{R}\right\rangle$ be a partial $F$-C-hypergroupoid defined on $H \neq \emptyset, R$ is a $p$-fuzzy transitive relation on $H, p \in(0,1]$. Then for all $x, y \in H$, we have that

$$
\begin{equation*}
R(x, y) \geq p \Longrightarrow\left(x *_{R} x \cup y *_{R} y\right)_{p} \subseteq\left(x *_{R} y\right)_{p} \tag{6.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. (1) If $\left(x *_{R} x\right)_{p}=\emptyset$, then obviously $\left(x *_{R} x\right)_{p} \subseteq\left(x *_{R} y\right)_{p}$.
Supposing that $\left(x *_{R} x\right)_{p} \neq \emptyset$, then for any $w \in\left(x *_{R} x\right)_{p}$, we have that $R(x, w) \wedge$ $R(w, x) \geq p$, that is, $R(x, w) \geq p$ and $R(w, x) \geq p$. From $R(w, x) \geq p$ and $R(x, y) \geq p$ we have that $R(w, y) \geq p$. From $R(x, w) \geq p$ and $R(w, y) \geq p$ we conclude that $w \in\left(x *_{R} y\right)_{p}$.

So $\left(x *_{R} x\right)_{p} \subseteq\left(x *_{R} y\right)_{p}$.
(2) If $\left(y *_{R} y\right)_{p}=\emptyset$, then obviously $\left(y *_{R} y\right)_{p} \subseteq\left(x *_{R} y\right)_{p}$.

Supposing that $\left(y *_{R} y\right)_{p} \neq \emptyset$, then for any $w \in\left(y *_{R} y\right)_{p}$, we have that $R(y, w) \wedge$ $R(w, y) \geq p$, that is, $R(y, w) \geq p$ and $R(w, y) \geq p$. From $R(y, w) \geq p$ and $R(x, y) \geq p$ we have that $R(x, w) \geq p$. From $R(x, w) \geq p$ and $R(w, y) \geq p$ we conclude that $w \in\left(x *_{R} y\right)_{p}$.

So $\left(y *_{R} y\right)_{p} \subseteq\left(x *_{R} y\right)_{p}$.
Proposition 6.4. Letting $\left\langle H, *_{R}\right\rangle$ be a partial $F$-C-hypergroupoid defined on $H \neq \emptyset, R$ is a $p$-fuzzy transitive binary relation. For any $a, b, c \in H$, we have that
(1) $\left(\left(a *_{R} b\right)_{p} *_{R} c\right)_{p} \subseteq\left(a *_{R} c\right)_{p}$;
(2) $\left(a *_{R}\left(b *_{R} c\right)_{p}\right)_{p} \subseteq\left(a *_{R} c\right)_{p}$.

Proof. (1) If $\left(\left(a *_{R} b\right)_{p} *_{R} c\right)_{p}=\emptyset$, then it is obvious that $\left(\left(a *_{R} b\right)_{p} *_{R} c\right)_{p} \subseteq\left(a *_{R} c\right)_{p}$.
Suppose that $\left(\left(a *_{R} b\right)_{p} *_{R} c\right)_{p} \neq \emptyset$. Then for any $w \in\left(\left(a *_{R} b\right)_{p} *_{R} c\right)_{p^{\prime}}$, there exists a $w_{1} \in$ $\left(a *_{R} b\right)_{p}$ such that $w \in\left(w_{1} *_{R} c\right)_{p}$. That is $R\left(a, w_{1}\right) \geq p, R\left(w_{1}, b\right) \geq p, R\left(w_{1}, w\right) \geq p$ and $R(w, c) \geq p$. From $R\left(a, w_{1}\right) \geq p$ and $R\left(w_{1}, w\right) \geq p$, we have that $R(a, w) \geq p$. Thus $R(a, w) \wedge$ $R(w, c) \geq p \wedge p=p$. That is, $w \in\left(a *_{R} c\right)_{p}$. So $\left(\left(a *_{R} b\right)_{p} *_{R} c\right)_{p} \subseteq\left(a *_{R} c\right)_{p}$.
(2) Can be proved similarly.
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