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#### Abstract

The purpose of this paper is to investigate the fixed points of solutions $f(z)$ of some $q$-difference equations and obtain some results about the exponents of convergence of fixed points of $f(z)$ and $f\left(q^{j} z\right)\left(j \in \mathbb{N}_{+}\right), q$-differences $\Delta_{q} f(z)=f(q z)-f(z)$, and $q$-divided differences $\Delta_{q} f(z) / f(z)$.


## 1. Introduction and Main Results

Throughout this paper, we will assume that the readers are familiar with basic notations such as $m(r, f), N(r, f)$, and $T(r, f)$ of Nevanlinna theory (see Hayman [1], Yang [2], and Yang and Yi [3]). We use $\rho(f), \lambda(f)$, and $\lambda(1 / f)$ to denote the order, the exponent of convergence of zeros, and the exponent of convergence of poles of $f(z)$, respectively, and we also use the notation $\tau(f)$ to denote the exponent of convergence of fixed points of $f(z)$, which is defined as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tau(f)=\limsup _{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log N(r, 1 /(f(z)-z))}{\log r} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $S(r, f)$ to denote any quantity satisfying $S(r, f)=o(T(r$, $f)$ ) for all $r$ on a set $F$ of logarithmic density 1 , where the logarithmic density of a set $F$ is defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\log r} \int_{[1, r] \cap F} \frac{1}{t} d t \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Throughout this paper, the set $F$ of logarithmic density 1 will be not necessarily the same at each occurrence.

Recently, a number of papers (including [4-9]) focused on complex difference equations, system of complex difference equations, and difference analogues of Nevanlinna theory. Correspondingly, there are many papers focusing on the $q$-difference (or $q$-shift difference) equations, such as [1016].

In 2013, Zhang [17] investigated the growth of meromorphic solutions of some complex $q$-difference equations and the exponents of convergence of fixed points and zeros of transcendental meromorphic solutions of the second order $q$-difference equation and obtained the following theorem.

Theorem 1 (see [17]). Suppose that $f(z)$ is a transcendental meromorphic solution of the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
f\left(q^{2} z\right)+\gamma_{1} f(q z)=\frac{\alpha_{0}+\alpha_{1} f(z)+\alpha_{2} f^{2}(z)}{\beta_{0}+\beta_{1} f(z)+\beta_{2} f^{2}(z)} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $|q|<1$, coefficients $\gamma_{1}, \alpha_{0}, \alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}, \beta_{0}, \beta_{1}$, and $\beta_{2}$ are constants, and at least one of $\alpha_{2}, \beta_{2}$ is nonzero. Then, $\rho(f)=0$ and (i) $f(z)$ has infinitely many fixed points, and (ii) $f(z)$ has infinitely many zeros, whenever $\alpha_{0} \neq 0$.

Our first result of this paper is about the exponents of convergence of fixed points and zeros of transcendental meromorphic solutions of the higher order $q$-difference equation as follows.

Theorem 2. Suppose that $f(z)$ is a transcendental meromorphic solution of the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
f\left(q^{n} z\right)+\sum_{t=1}^{n-1} \gamma_{t} f\left(q^{t} z\right)=\frac{\sum_{j=0}^{n} \alpha_{j} f^{j}(z)}{\sum_{j=0}^{n} \beta_{j} f^{j}(z)} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $q \in \mathbb{C},|q|<1$, coefficients $\gamma_{t}(t=1, \ldots, n-1), \alpha_{j}$, $\beta_{j},(j=0, \ldots, n)$, are constants, and at least one of $\alpha_{n}, \beta_{n}$ is nonzero. Then, $\rho(f)=0$ and (i) $f(z)$ has infinitely many fixed points, and (ii) $f(z)$ has infinitely many zeros, whenever $\alpha_{0} \neq$ 0.

From Theorem 2, it is a natural question to ask, What will happen if the right-hand side of (4) is a rational function in both arguments?

Regarding the above question, we will investigate the exponents of convergence of fixed points of meromorphic solutions of the $q$-difference equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(q z)=\frac{R(z) f(z)}{Q(z)+P(z) f(z)}, \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $P(z), Q(z)$, and $R(z)$ are nonzero polynomials, $q \in$ $\mathbb{C}$, and $|q| \neq 0,1$. Similar to [18, Page 99], we can call (5) a $q$-Pielou logistic equation, which is a special form of nonautonomous Schröder equations.

Theorem 3. Let $P(z), Q(z)$, and $R(z)$ be nonzero polynomials such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{deg} P(z) \geq \max \{\operatorname{deg} R(z), \operatorname{deg} Q(z), 1\} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Set $\Delta_{q} f(z)=f(q z)-f(z)$, where $q \in \mathbb{C}$ and $|q| \neq 0,1$. Then every transcendental meromorphic solution $f(z)$ of (5) satisfies the following statements:
(i) $f\left(q^{j} z\right)$ has infinitely many fixed points and $\tau\left(f\left(q^{j} z\right)\right)$ $=\rho(f),(j=0,1,2, \ldots)$;
(ii) if $R(z)-(z+1) Q(z) \not \equiv 0$, then $\Delta_{q} f(z) / f(z)$ has infinitely many fixed points and $\tau\left(\Delta_{q} f / f\right)=\rho(f)$.

We also study fixed points of transcendental meromorphic solutions of the following $q$-difference equations:

$$
\begin{align*}
& a_{n}(z) f\left(q^{n} z\right)+\cdots+a_{1}(z) f(q z)+a_{0}(z) f(z)=0  \tag{7}\\
& a_{n}(z) f\left(q^{n} z\right)+\cdots+a_{1}(z) f(q z)+a_{0}(z) f(z)=F(z) \tag{8}
\end{align*}
$$

where $0<|q|<1, a_{j}(z)(j=0,1, \ldots, n)$, and $F(z)$ are polynomials and $a_{n}(z) a_{0}(z) \not \equiv 0$, and obtain the following results.

Theorem 4. Let $q \in \mathbb{C}, 0<|q|<1$, let $a_{j}(z)(j=0,1, \ldots$, $n)$ be polynomials, and let $a_{n}(z) a_{0}(z) \not \equiv 0$. If $a_{0}(z), a_{1}(z), \ldots$, $a_{n}(z)$ satisfy one of the following conditions:
(i) there exists an integer $s(0 \leq s \leq n)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{deg} a_{s}(z)>\max \left\{\operatorname{deg} a_{j}(z), j=0,1, \ldots, n, j \neq s\right\} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

(ii)

$$
\begin{equation*}
q^{n} a_{n}(z)+\cdots+q a_{1}(z)+a_{0}(z) \not \equiv 0 \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

then every transcendental meromorphic solution $f(z)$ of (7) satisfies that $f\left(q^{j} z\right)$ has infinitely many fixed points and $\tau\left(f\left(q^{j} z\right)\right)=\rho(f)$ for $j \in \mathbb{N}$.

By using the same argument as that in Theorem 4, we can easily obtain the following theorem.

Theorem 5. Let $q \in \mathbb{C}, 0<|q|<1, a_{j}(z)(j=0,1, \ldots$, $n)$, and $F(z)$ be polynomials and let $a_{n}(z) a_{0}(z) \not \equiv 0$. If $a_{0}(z)$, $a_{1}(z), \ldots, a_{n}(z), F(z)$ satisfy one of the following conditions:
(i) $a_{0}(z), a_{1}(z), \ldots, a_{n}(z)$ and $F(z)$ contain just one term of maximal total degree;
(ii)

$$
\begin{equation*}
q^{n} a_{n}(z)+\cdots+q a_{1}(z)+a_{0}(z)-F(z) \not \equiv 0 \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

then every transcendental meromorphic solution $f(z)$ of (8) satisfies that $f\left(q^{j} z\right)$ has infinitely many fixed points and $\tau\left(f\left(q^{j} z\right)\right)=\rho(f)$ for $j \in \mathbb{N}$.

## 2. Some Lemmas

The following result is a difference counterpart to the standard result due to A. A. Mohon'ko and V. D. Mohon'ko [19].

Lemma 6 (see [20], Theorem 2.2). Let $f(z)$ be a nonconstant zero-order meromorphic solution of $P(z, f)=0$, where $P(z, f)$ is a q-difference polynomial in $f(z)$. If $P(z, a) \not \equiv 0$ for a slowly moving target $a(z)$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
m\left(r, \frac{1}{f-a}\right)=S(r, f) \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

on a set of logarithmic density 1 .
Lemma 7 (see [21,22]). Let $a_{j}(z), j=0,1, \ldots, n$, and $Q(z)$ be rational functions, and let $a_{0}(z) \not \equiv 0, a_{n}(z) \equiv 1$, and $q$ $(0<|q|<1)$. Then
(i) all meromorphic solutions of the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{j=0}^{n} a_{j}(z) f\left(q^{j} z\right)=Q(z) \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\text { satisfy } T(r, f)=O\left((\log r)^{2}\right)
$$

(ii) all transcendental meromorphic solutions of (13) satisfy $(\log r)^{2}=O(T(r, f))$.

Lemma 8 (see [17], Theorem 2). Suppose that $f(z)$ is a nonconstant meromorphic solution of the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{j=1}^{n} \gamma_{j}(z) f\left(q^{j} z\right)=R(z, f(z))=\frac{\sum_{i=0}^{s} \alpha_{i}(z) f^{i}(z)}{\sum_{i=0}^{t} \beta_{i}(z) f^{i}(z)} \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $q(0<|q|<1)$ is a complex number, $\alpha_{j}(z)(j=$ $0,1, \ldots, s), \alpha_{s}(z) \not \equiv 0, \beta_{j}(z)(j=0,1, \ldots, t), \beta_{t}(z) \not \equiv 0$, $\gamma_{n}(z) \equiv 1$, and $\gamma_{j}(z)(j=0,1, \ldots, n)$ are small functions of $f(z)$, and $R(z, f)$ is irreducible in $f(z)$. Then, $d=\max \{s, t\} \leq$ $n$ and $\rho(f) \leq(\log n-\log d) /-\log |q|$.

Lemma 9 (see [21, page 249] or [23, Theorem 1.1]). Let $f(z)$ be a transcendental meromorphic function of zero-order and let $q$ be a nonzero complex constant. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
T(r, f(q z))=T(r, f)+S(r, f) \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

on a set of logarithmic density 1 .

## 3. Proof of Theorem 2

Suppose that $f(z)$ is a transcendental meromorphic solution of (4). From the assumptions of Theorem 2, it follows from Lemma 8 that $\rho(f) \leq 0=(\log n-\log n) /-\log |q|$. Thus, $\rho(f)=0$. Clearly, we have $\lambda(f)=\tau(f)=\rho(f)=0$.
(i) Firstly, we prove that $f(z)$ has infinitely many fixed points. Set $g(z)=f(z)-z$. Then $g(z)$ is transcendental, $T(r, g)=T(r, f)+O(\log r)$, and $S(r, f)=S(r, g)$. So, $g(z)$ is of zero-order. Then substituting $f(z)=g(z)+z$ into (4), we get that

$$
\begin{align*}
& g\left(q^{n} z\right)+\sum_{t=1}^{n-1} \gamma_{t} g\left(q^{t} z\right)+q^{n} z+\sum_{t=1}^{n-1} \gamma_{t} q^{t} z \\
& \quad=\frac{\sum_{j=0}^{n} \alpha_{j}(g(z)+z)^{j}}{\sum_{j=0}^{n} \beta_{j}(g(z)+z)^{j}} . \tag{16}
\end{align*}
$$

Set $A(z)=g\left(q^{n} z\right)+\sum_{t=1}^{n-1} \gamma_{t} g\left(q^{t} z\right)+q^{n} z+\sum_{t=1}^{n-1} \gamma_{t} q^{t} z$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{1}(z, g(z)):=A(z) \sum_{j=0}^{n} \beta_{j}(g(z)+z)^{j}-\sum_{j=0}^{n} \alpha_{j}(g(z)+z)^{j} \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows from (17) that

$$
\begin{align*}
& P_{1}(z, 0) \\
& \quad=\left(q^{n}+\sum_{t=1}^{n-1} \gamma_{t} q^{t}\right) \sum_{j=0}^{n} \beta_{j} z^{j+1}-\sum_{j=0}^{n} \alpha_{j} z^{j} \\
& =\left(q^{n}+\sum_{t=1}^{n-1} \gamma_{t} q^{t}\right) \beta_{n} z^{n+1}  \tag{18}\\
& \quad+\sum_{j=0}^{n-1}\left[\left(q^{n}+\sum_{t=1}^{n-1} \gamma_{t} q^{t}\right) \beta_{j}-\alpha_{j+1}\right] z^{j+1}-\alpha_{0}
\end{align*}
$$

Suppose that $P_{1}(z, 0) \equiv 0$. If $q^{n}+\sum_{t=1}^{n-1} \gamma_{t} q^{t}=0$, then it follows from (18) that $\alpha_{0}=\alpha_{1}=\cdots=\alpha_{n}=0$. Thus, the right-hand side of (4) is 0 , which is in contradiction with the assumption of Theorem 2. If $q^{n}+\sum_{t=1}^{n-1} \gamma_{t} q^{t} \neq 0$, it follows from (18) that $\beta_{n}=\alpha_{0}=0$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\alpha_{j+1}}{\beta_{j}}=q^{n}+\sum_{t=1}^{n-1} \gamma_{t} q^{t}, \quad j=0,1, \ldots, n-1 \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, we have from (4) and (19) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
f\left(q^{n} z\right)+\sum_{t=1}^{n-1} \gamma_{t} f\left(q^{t} z\right)=\left(q^{n}+\sum_{t=1}^{n-1} \gamma_{t} q^{t}\right) f(z) \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is in contradiction with the assumption of Theorem 2. Hence, we have $P_{1}(z, 0) \not \equiv 0$. By Lemma 6, we get that

$$
\begin{equation*}
m\left(r, \frac{1}{g}\right)=S(r, g)=S(r, f) \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

on a set of logarithmic density 1 . Thus, it follows from (21) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
N\left(r, \frac{1}{f-z}\right)=N\left(r, \frac{1}{g}\right)=T(r, f)+S(r, f) \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

on a set of logarithmic density 1 . Since $f(z)$ is a transcendental meromorphic solution of (4), then it follows from (22) that $f(z)$ has infinitely many fixed points.
(ii) From (4), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
P_{2}(z, f(z)):= & {\left[f\left(q^{n} z\right)+\sum_{t=1}^{n-1} \gamma_{t} f\left(q^{t} z\right)\right] \sum_{j=0}^{n} \beta_{j} f^{j}(z) } \\
& -\sum_{j=0}^{n} \alpha_{j} f^{j}(z) . \tag{23}
\end{align*}
$$

Since $\alpha_{0} \neq 0$ and from (23), we derive that

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{2}(z, 0)=\alpha_{0} \not \equiv 0 . \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, it follows from Lemma 6 that

$$
\begin{equation*}
m\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right)=S(r, f) \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

on a set of logarithmic density 1 ; that is,

$$
\begin{equation*}
N\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right)=T(r, f)+S(r, f) \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

on a set of logarithmic density 1 . Since $f(z)$ is a transcendental solution of (4), then it follows from (26) that $f(z)$ has infinitely many zeros.

Thus, this completes the proof of Theorem 2.

## 4. Proof of Theorem 3

Suppose that $f(z)$ is a transcendental meromorphic solution of (5). Since $q \in \mathbb{C},|q| \neq 0,1$, and $P(z), Q(z)$, and $R(z)$ are polynomials, it follows from Lemma 8 and [11] that $f(z)$ is of zero-order.
(i) We first prove that $f(z)$ has infinitely many fixed points and $\tau(f)=\rho(f)$. Set $g(z)=f(z)-z$. Then $g(z)$ is transcendental, $T(r, g)=T(r, f)+O(\log r)$, and $S(r, g)=$ $S(r, f)$. Then it follows that $g(z)$ is of zero-order. Set

$$
\begin{align*}
P_{3}(z, f(z)):= & P(z) f(z) f(q z)  \tag{27}\\
& +f(q z) Q(z)-R(z) f(z) \equiv 0 .
\end{align*}
$$

Then substituting $f(z)=g(z)+z$ into (27), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
P_{4}(z, g(z))= & P(z)(g(z)+z)(g(q z)+q z) \\
& +Q(z)(g(q z)+q z)-R(z)(g(z)+z)=0 . \tag{28}
\end{align*}
$$

It follows from (28) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{4}(z, 0)=q z^{2} P(z)+q z Q(z)-z R(z) . \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, we derive by (6) and (29) that $P_{4}(z, 0) \not \equiv 0$. Thus, by Lemma 6 and $P_{4}(z, 0) \not \equiv 0$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
m\left(r, \frac{1}{g}\right)=S(r, g)=S(r, f) \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

on a set of logarithmic density 1 ; that is,

$$
\begin{equation*}
N\left(r, \frac{1}{f-z}\right)=N\left(r, \frac{1}{g}\right)=T(r, f)+S(r, f) \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

on a set of logarithmic density 1 .
Since $f(z)$ is a transcendental meromorphic solution of (5), then it follows from (31) that $f(z)$ has infinitely many fixed points.

Next, we prove that $f(q z)$ has infinitely many fixed points and $\tau(f(q z))=\rho(f)$. From (5), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
f & (q z)-z \\
& =\frac{(R(z)-z P(z)) f(z)-z Q(z)}{Q(z)+P(z) f(z)} \\
& =\frac{(R(z)-z P(z))[f(z)-z Q(z) /(R(z)-z P(z))]}{Q(z)+P(z) f(z)} . \tag{32}
\end{align*}
$$

By (6), we have $R(z)-z P(z) \not \equiv 0$. Since $f(z)$ is transcendental and $P(z), Q(z)$, and $R(z)$ are polynomials, we have by (32) the fact that $f(z)-z Q(z) /(R(z)-z P(z))$ and $Q(z)+P(z) f(z)$ have the same poles, except possibly finitely many poles. Moreover, we can get that $(R(z)-z P(z)) f(z)-z Q(z)$ and $Q(z)+P(z) f(z)$ have at most finitely many common zeros. In fact, suppose that $z_{0}$ is a common zero of $(R(z)-z P(z)) f(z)-z Q(z)$ and $Q(z)+P(z) f(z)$. Then $\left(R\left(z_{0}\right)-z_{0} P\left(z_{0}\right)\right) f\left(z_{0}\right)-z_{0} Q\left(z_{0}\right)=0 ;$ that is, $f\left(z_{0}\right)=z_{0} Q\left(z_{0}\right) /\left(R\left(z_{0}\right)-z_{0} P\left(z_{0}\right)\right)$. Substituting it into $Q\left(z_{0}\right)+P\left(z_{0}\right) f\left(z_{0}\right)$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{z_{0} Q\left(z_{0}\right)}{R\left(z_{0}\right)-z_{0} P\left(z_{0}\right)} P\left(z_{0}\right)+Q\left(z_{0}\right)=\frac{R\left(z_{0}\right) Q\left(z_{0}\right)}{R\left(z_{0}\right)-z_{0} P\left(z_{0}\right)}=0 . \tag{33}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, this shows that $z_{0}$ must be the zeros of $R(z) Q(z) /(R(z)-$ $z P(z)$ ). Since $P(z), Q(z)$, and $R(z)$ are polynomials, then $R(z) Q(z) /(R(z)-z P(z))$ has only finitely many zeros. So, $f(z)-z Q(z) /(R(z)-z P(z))$ and $Q(z)+P(z) f(z)$ have at most finitely many common zeros. Then it follows from (32) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tau(f(q z))=\lambda(f(q z)-z)=\lambda\left(f(z)-\frac{z Q(z)}{R(z)-z P(z)}\right) \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (27), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& P_{3}\left(z, \frac{z Q(z)}{R(z)-z P(z)}\right) \\
& \quad=P(z) \frac{z Q(z)}{R(z)-z P(z)} \frac{q z Q(q z)}{R(q z)-q z P(q z)} \\
& \quad+\frac{q z Q(q z)}{R(q z)-q z P(q z)} Q(z)-R(z) \frac{z Q(z)}{R(z)-z P(z)}  \tag{35}\\
& =\left(q z^{2} P(q z) Q(z) R(z)+q z Q(q z) Q(z) R(z)\right. \\
& \\
& \quad-z Q(z) R(z) R(q z)) \\
& \quad \times((R(z)-z P(z))(R(q z)-q z P(q z)))^{-1} .
\end{align*}
$$

Since $\operatorname{deg} P(z) \geq \max \{\operatorname{deg} R(z), \operatorname{deg} Q(z)\} \quad$ and $\operatorname{deg} P(q z)=\operatorname{deg} P(z)$, then we have $\operatorname{deg}\left\{q z^{2} P(q z) Q(z) R(z)+\right.$ $q z Q(q z) Q(z) R(z)-z Q(z) R(z) R(q z)\} \geq 1$. Thus, it follows from (35) that $P_{3}(z, z Q(z) /(R(z)-z P(z))) \quad \neq 0$. Since $f(z)$ is transcendental function of zero-order and $z \mathrm{Q}(z) /(R(z)-z P(z))$ is a rational function, then we have by Lemma 6 the fact that

$$
\begin{equation*}
m\left(r, \frac{1}{f(z)-z Q(z) /(R(z)-z P(z))}\right)=S(r, f) \tag{36}
\end{equation*}
$$

on a set of logarithmic density 1 ; that is,

$$
\begin{align*}
& N\left(r, \frac{1}{f(z)-z Q(z) /(R(z)-z P(z))}\right)  \tag{37}\\
& \quad=T(r, f)+S(r, f)
\end{align*}
$$

on a set of logarithmic density 1 . Since $f(z)$ is transcendental, we can derive from (34) and (37) that $f(q z)$ has infinitely many fixed points and $\tau(f(q z))=\rho(f)$.

Now, we prove that $f\left(q^{2} z\right)$ has infinitely many fixed points and $\tau\left(f\left(q^{2} z\right)\right)=\rho(f)$. From (5), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{1}(q z)=\frac{R(q z) f_{1}(z)}{Q(q z)+P(q z) f_{1}(z)} \tag{38}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $f_{1}(z)=f(q z)$. By Lemma 9, we have $\rho\left(f_{1}\right)=\rho(f)=$ 0 . Obviously, $\operatorname{deg} P(q z)=\operatorname{deg} P(z) \geq 1, \operatorname{deg} R(q z)=$ $\operatorname{deg} R(z)$, and $\operatorname{deg} Q(q z)=\operatorname{deg} Q(z)$. Thus, by using the same argument as in the proof of $\tau(f(q z))=\rho(f)$, we can prove that $f_{1}(q z)=f\left(q^{2} z\right)$ has infinitely many fixed points and $\tau\left(f\left(q^{2} z\right)\right)=\tau\left(f_{1}(q z)\right)=\rho\left(f_{1}\right)=\rho(f)$.

Thus, by using the same method as above, we can obtain that $f\left(q^{j} z\right)$ has infinitely many fixed points and $\tau\left(f\left(q^{j} z\right)\right)=$ $\rho(f)$ for $j=0,1, \ldots$.
(ii) Now, we prove that $\Delta_{q} f(z) / f(z)$ has infinitely many fixed points and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tau\left(\frac{\Delta_{q} f}{f}\right)=\rho(f) \tag{39}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (5) and from $R(z)-(z+1) Q(z) \not \equiv 0$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{\Delta_{q} f(z)}{f(z)}-z \\
& \quad=\frac{f(q z)-f(z)}{f(z)}-z \\
& =\frac{R(z)-(z+1) Q(z)-(z+1) P(z) f(z)}{Q(z)+P(z) f(z)}  \tag{40}\\
& =-(z+1) P(z) \\
& \quad \times\left(f(z)-\frac{R(z)-(z+1) Q(z)}{(z+1) P(z)}\right) \\
& \quad \times(Q(z)+P(z) f(z))^{-1} .
\end{align*}
$$

Since $R(z)-(z+1) Q(z) \not \equiv 0, f(z)$ is transcendental, and $P(z)$, $Q(z)$, and $R(z)$ are polynomials, we have by (40) the fact that $f(z)-(R(z)-(z+1) Q(z)) /(z+1) P(z)$ and $Q(z)+P(z) f(z)$ have the same poles, except possibly finitely many poles. Moreover, by using the same argument as in (i), we can get that $R(z)$ $(z+1) Q(z)-(z+1) P(z) f(z)$ and $Q(z)+P(z) f(z)$ have at most finitely many common zeros. Then it follows from (40) that

$$
\begin{align*}
\tau\left(\frac{\Delta_{q} f}{f}\right) & =\lambda\left(\frac{\Delta_{q} f}{f}-z\right)  \tag{41}\\
& =\lambda\left(f(z)-\frac{R(z)-(z+1) Q(z)}{(z+1) P(z)}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

From (27), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
P_{3} & \left(z, \frac{R(z)-(z+1) Q(z)}{(z+1) P(z)}\right) \\
& =P(z) \frac{R(z)-(z+1) Q(z)}{(z+1) P(z)} \frac{R(q z)-(q z+1) Q(q z)}{(q z+1) P(q z)} \\
& +\frac{R(q z)-(q z+1) Q(q z)}{(q z+1) P(q z)} Q(z) \\
& -R(z) \frac{R(z)-(z+1) Q(z)}{(z+1) P(z)} \\
& :=\frac{B(z)}{(q z+1)(z+1) P(q z) P(z)}, \tag{42}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
B(z)= & (q z+1)(z+1) P(q z) Q(z) R(z) \\
& -(q z+1) P(z) Q(q z) R(z) \\
& -(q z+1) P(q z) R^{2}(z)+P(z) R(z) R(q z) . \tag{43}
\end{align*}
$$

Since $P(z), Q(z)$, and $R(z)$ are polynomials satisfying (6), then it follows from (43) that $B(z)$ is a polynomial of degree
$t \geq 1$. Thus, from (42) we have $P_{3}(z,(R(z)-(z+1) Q(z)) /(z+$ 1) $P(z)) \not \equiv 0$. Since $f(z)$ is transcendental function of zeroorder and $(R(z)-(z+1) Q(z)) /(z+1) P(z)$ is a rational function, then we have by Lemma 6 the fact that

$$
\begin{align*}
& m\left(r, \frac{1}{f(z)-(R(z)-(z+1) Q(z)) /(z+1) P(z)}\right)  \tag{44}\\
& \quad=S(r, f)
\end{align*}
$$

on a set of logarithmic density 1 ; that is,

$$
\begin{align*}
& N\left(r, \frac{1}{f(z)-(R(z)-(z+1) Q(z)) /(z+1) P(z)}\right)  \tag{45}\\
& \quad=T(r, f)+S(r, f)
\end{align*}
$$

on a set of logarithmic density 1 . Since $f(z)$ is transcendental, we can derive from (41) and (45) that $\Delta_{q} f(z) / f(z)$ has infinitely many fixed points and $\tau\left(\Delta_{q} f / f\right)=\rho(f)$.

Thus, this completes the proof of Theorem 3.

## 5. Proof of Theorem 4

Suppose that $f(z)$ is a transcendental meromorphic solution of (7). Since $q \in \mathbb{C}, 0<|q|<1$, and $a_{j}(z), j=0,1, \ldots, n$, are polynomials, by Lemma 7, we see that $f(z)$ is of zero-order. Set

$$
\begin{align*}
P_{5}(z, f(z)):= & a_{n}(z) f\left(q^{n} z\right)+\cdots+a_{1}(z) f(q z)  \tag{46}\\
& +a_{0}(z) f(z)=0 .
\end{align*}
$$

Thus, it follows from (46) that

$$
\begin{align*}
P_{5}(z, z) & =a_{n}(z) q^{n} z+\cdots+a_{1}(z) q z+a_{0}(z) z \\
& =z\left[q^{n} a_{n}(z)+\cdots+q a_{1}(z)+a_{0}(z)\right] . \tag{47}
\end{align*}
$$

(i) Suppose that $a_{0}(z), \ldots, a_{n}(z)$ satisfy condition (9). Then it follows that $P_{5}(z, z) \not \equiv 0$. Since $f(z)$ is a transcendental solution of zero-order, then it follows from Lemma 6 that

$$
\begin{equation*}
m\left(r, \frac{1}{f-z}\right)=S(r, f) \tag{48}
\end{equation*}
$$

on a set of logarithmic density 1 . So,

$$
\begin{equation*}
N\left(r, \frac{1}{f-z}\right)=T(r, f)+S(r, f) \tag{49}
\end{equation*}
$$

on a set of logarithmic density 1 . Thus, it follows that $f(z)$ has infinitely many fixed points and $\tau(f)=\rho(f)$.

Now, we prove that $f(q z)$ has infinitely many fixed points and $\tau(f(q z))=\rho(f)$. By (7), we derive

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{n}(q z) f_{1}\left(q^{n} z\right)+\cdots+a_{1}(q z) f_{1}(q z)+a_{0}(q z) f_{1}(z)=0 \tag{50}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $f_{1}(z)=f(q z)$. Since $f(z)$ is a transcendental meromorphic function of zero-order, then we have by Lemma 9
the fact that $f_{1}(z)$ is a transcendental and $\rho\left(f_{1}\right)=\rho(f)$. By $\operatorname{deg} a_{j}(q z)=\operatorname{deg} a_{j}(z), j=0,1, \ldots, n$, and (9), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \operatorname{deg} a_{s}(q z) \\
& \quad=\operatorname{deg} a_{s}(z)>\max \left\{a_{j}(q z), j=0,1, \ldots, n, j \neq s\right\} . \tag{51}
\end{align*}
$$

Thus, by the above proof of $\tau(f)=\rho(f)$, we see that $f_{1}(z)=$ $f(q z)$ has infinitely many fixed points and $\tau\left(f_{1}\right)=\tau(f(q z))=$ $\rho\left(f_{1}\right)=\rho(f)$. Continuing to use the same method as the above, we can prove that $f\left(q^{j} z\right)$ has infinitely many fixed points and $\tau\left(f\left(q^{j} z\right)\right)=\rho(f)$ for $j=0,1, \ldots$.
(ii) Suppose that $a_{0}(z), \ldots, a_{n}(z)$ satisfy the condition (10).

By using the same argument as the one above, we can prove that $f(z)$ has infinitely many fixed points and $\tau(f)=$ $\rho(f)$ easily.

Now, we prove that $f(q z)$ has infinitely many fixed points and $\tau(f(q z))=\rho(f)$. Set

$$
\begin{align*}
P_{6}\left(z, f_{1}(z)\right):= & a_{n}(q z) f_{1}\left(q^{n} z\right)+\cdots+a_{1}(q z) f_{1}(q z) \\
& +a_{0}(q z) f_{1}(z)=0 . \tag{52}
\end{align*}
$$

Thus, it follows from (10) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{6}(z, z)=z\left[q^{n} a_{n}(q z)+\cdots+q a_{1}(q z)+a_{0}(q z)\right] \not \equiv 0 . \tag{53}
\end{equation*}
$$

In fact, if $P_{6}(z, z) \equiv 0$, replacing $z$ by $z / q$ into (53), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{6}\left(\frac{z}{q}, \frac{z}{q}\right)=\frac{z}{q}\left[q^{n} a_{n}(z)+\cdots+q a_{1}(z)+a_{0}(z)\right] \equiv 0, \tag{54}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is in contradiction with the condition (10). Since $f_{1}(z)=f(q z)$ and $f(z)$ is transcendental meromorphic of zero-order, then it follows from (53) and Lemma 6 that $f_{1}(z)=f(q z)$ has infinitely many fixed points and $\tau\left(f_{1}\right)=$ $\tau(f(q z))=\rho\left(f_{1}\right)=\rho(f)$. Continuing to use the same method as the one above, we can prove that $f\left(q^{j} z\right)$ has infinitely many fixed points and $\tau\left(f\left(q^{j} z\right)\right)=\rho(f)$ for $j=$ $0,1, \ldots$.

Thus, this completes the proof of Theorem 4.
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