

Research Article

Interpolation by Hankel Translates of a Basis Function: Inversion Formulas and Polynomial Bounds

Cristian Arteaga and Isabel Marrero

Departamento de Análisis Matemático, Universidad de La Laguna, 38271 La Laguna (Tenerife), Spain

Correspondence should be addressed to Isabel Marrero; imarrero@ull.es

Received 31 August 2013; Accepted 14 October 2013; Published 16 January 2014

Academic Editors: R. Avery and Y. Li

Copyright © 2014 C. Arteaga and I. Marrero. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

For $\mu \geq -1/2$, the authors have developed elsewhere a scheme for interpolation by Hankel translates of a basis function Φ in certain spaces of continuous functions Y_n ($n \in \mathbb{N}$) depending on a weight w . The functions Φ and w are connected through the distributional identity $t^{4n}(h'_\mu \Phi)(t) = 1/w(t)$, where h'_μ denotes the generalized Hankel transform of order μ . In this paper, we use the projection operators associated with an appropriate direct sum decomposition of the Zemanian space \mathcal{H}_μ in order to derive explicit representations of the derivatives $S_\mu^m \Phi$ and their Hankel transforms, the former ones being valid when $m \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ is restricted to a suitable interval for which $S_\mu^m \Phi$ is continuous. Here, S_μ^m denotes the m th iterate of the Bessel differential operator S_μ if $m \in \mathbb{N}$, while S_μ^0 is the identity operator. These formulas, which can be regarded as inverses of generalizations of the equation $(h'_\mu \Phi)(t) = 1/t^{4n}w(t)$, will allow us to get some polynomial bounds for such derivatives. Corresponding results are obtained for the members of the interpolation space Y_n .

1. Introduction

The method of radial basis function interpolation has seen substantial developments, both theoretical and computational, and in applications; compare [1–3] and references therein. A radially symmetric function in Euclidean space \mathbb{R}^d can be identified with a function on the positive real axis. The d -dimensional Fourier transform of a radial function is also radial and reduces to a 1-dimensional Hankel transform of order $d/2 - 1$ [4, Theorem 3.3]. The natural convolution structure in the positive real axis is not that of a group but is given by the family of the so-called Bessel-Kingman hypergroups, depending on a parameter $\mu \geq -1/2$. The Kingman convolution is defined upon a generalized translation operator, known as Delsarte translation, and for $\mu = -1/2$ coincides with the standard one. Recently [5, 6], the authors have benefited from the hypergroup structure in order to provide a new approach to the problem of radial basis function interpolation, which extends the usual scheme. Such an approach yields a greater variety of manageable kernels, which could be useful in handling mathematical models built

upon classes of radial basis functions depending on the order μ and whose performance is expected to improve by suitably adjusting μ , as it happens, for instance, with the family of Matérn kernels in [7, Supplement, page 6]. The examples and numerical experiments included in [5] seem to support this view.

Our scheme actually considers a variant of the Delsarte translation, the so-called Hankel translation, in order to accommodate the usual definition of the Hankel integral transformation, namely,

$$(h_\mu \varphi)(x) = \int_0^\infty \varphi(t) \mathcal{F}_\mu(xt) dt \quad (x \in I), \quad (1)$$

where $I =]0, \infty[$, $\mathcal{F}_\mu(z) = z^{1/2} J_\mu(z)$ ($z \in I$) and J_μ denotes the Bessel function of the first kind and order $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$.

Aiming to define the Hankel transformation in spaces of distributions, Zemanian [8] introduced the space \mathcal{H}_μ of all

those smooth, complex-valued functions $\varphi = \varphi(x)$ ($x \in I$) such that

$$\nu_{\mu,r}(\varphi) = \max_{0 \leq k \leq r} \sup_{x \in I} \left| (1+x^2)^r (x^{-1}D)^k x^{-\mu-1/2} \varphi(x) \right| < \infty \quad (r \in \mathbb{Z}_+).$$

Here, and in the sequel, $D = D_x = d/dx$ and $(x^{-1}D)^k$ is the operator $x^{-1}D$ iterated k times ($k \in \mathbb{N}$) or the identity operator ($k = 0$). When topologized by the family of norms $\{\nu_{\mu,r}\}_{r \in \mathbb{Z}_+}$, \mathcal{H}_μ becomes a Fréchet space where h_μ is an automorphism provided that $\mu \geq -1/2$. Then the generalized Hankel transformation h'_μ , defined by transposition on the dual \mathcal{H}'_μ of \mathcal{H}_μ , is an automorphism of \mathcal{H}'_μ when this latter space is endowed with either its weak* or its strong topology.

The space \mathcal{O} consists of all those smooth, complex-valued functions θ on I such that $\theta\psi \in \mathcal{H}_\mu$ whenever $\psi \in \mathcal{H}_\mu$ and the linear operator $\psi \mapsto \theta\psi$ is a continuous mapping of \mathcal{H}_μ into itself. This \mathcal{O} is also the space of multipliers of \mathcal{H}'_μ , the corresponding multiplication operators being defined by transposition [9].

Denote by $L^1_{\mu,l}$ the class of all those Lebesgue measurable functions $u = u(t)$ ($t \in I$) such that

$$\int_0^a |u(t)| t^{\mu+1/2} dt < \infty \quad (a > 0). \quad (3)$$

The following spaces were introduced in [5].

Definition 1. Let $w = w(t) > 0$ ($t \in I$) be a continuous function, let

$$S_\mu = S_{\mu,t} = t^{-\mu-1/2} D_t t^{2\mu+1} D_t t^{-\mu-1/2} \quad (4)$$

be the Bessel differential operator, and let

$$Y_n = \left\{ f \in \mathcal{H}'_\mu : h'_\mu S_\mu^n f \in L^1_{\mu,l} \cap L^2_{\mu,w} \right\} \quad (n \in \mathbb{Z}_+), \quad (5)$$

where S_μ^0 is the identity operator, S_μ^n ($n \in \mathbb{N}$) is the operator S_μ iterated n times, and $L^2_{\mu,w}$ stands for the class of all measurable functions $u = u(t)$ ($t \in I$) satisfying

$$\|u\|_{\mu,w} = \left(\int_0^\infty |u(t)|^2 w(t) t^{\mu+1/2} dt \right)^{1/2} < \infty. \quad (6)$$

A seminorm (norm if $n = 0$) is defined on Y_n by setting

$$\begin{aligned} |f|_n &= \|h'_\mu S_\mu^n f\|_{\mu,w} \\ &= \left(\int_0^\infty |(h'_\mu S_\mu^n f)(t)|^2 w(t) t^{\mu+1/2} dt \right)^{1/2} \quad (f \in Y_n). \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

The function w will be called a weight function for Y_n .

In [5], for $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and suitable conditions on the weight w related to the values of n , the spaces Y_n were shown to consist

of continuous functions on I . Also, interpolants to $f \in Y_n$ of the form

$$(Uf)(x) = \sum_{i=1}^m \alpha_i (\tau_{a_i} \Phi)(x) + \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \beta_j p_{\mu,j}(x) \quad (x \in I) \quad (8)$$

were obtained, where $\{a_1, \dots, a_m\} \subset I$ is the set of interpolation points; $\Phi \in \mathcal{H}'_\mu$ is a complex function defined on I (the so-called basis function), connected with w through the distributional identity

$$t^{4n} (h'_\mu \Phi)(t) = \frac{1}{w(t)}; \quad (9)$$

$p_{\mu,j}(x) = x^{2j+\mu+1/2}$ ($j \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, $0 \leq j \leq n-1$) are Müntz monomials; τ_z ($z \in I$) denotes the Hankel translation operator of order μ ; and α_i, β_j ($i, j \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, $1 \leq i \leq m$, $0 \leq j \leq n-1$) are complex coefficients.

In [5] the regularity results for the basis distribution Φ [5, Theorem 4.4] and the members of Y_n [5, Theorems 3.2 and 3.6] were achieved with the aid of the Lagrange interpolation projector onto the space of Müntz polynomials

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_{\mu,n-1} &= \text{span} \left\{ p_{\mu,j}(t) = t^{2j+\mu+1/2} \quad (t \in I) : j \in \mathbb{Z}_+, \right. \\ &\quad \left. 0 \leq j \leq n-1 \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

In this paper we use, instead, the projectors associated with a suitable direct sum decomposition $\mathcal{H}_\mu = \mathcal{H}_{\mu,n} \oplus \Pi_{\mu,n}(\rho)$ (which will be described in Section 2) to obtain conditions guaranteeing the regularity of Φ , the distributions in Y_n , and their S_μ^m -derivatives. In spite of the conditions obtained being stronger than those in [5], this new approach has the advantage of providing an explicit representation of these functions, their S_μ^m -derivatives, and their Hankel transforms, along with some polynomial bounds. The formulas for $S_\mu^m \Phi$ hold when $m \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ ranges over a suitable interval and may be considered as inverses of generalizations of the equation

$$(h'_\mu \Phi)(t) = \frac{1}{t^{4n} w(t)}, \quad (11)$$

valid on $\mathcal{H}'_{\mu,2n}$.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we introduce the direct sum decomposition $\mathcal{H}_\mu = \mathcal{H}_{\mu,n} \oplus \Pi_{\mu,n}(\rho)$ along with the projection operators P_n onto $\Pi_{\mu,n}(\rho)$ and Q_n onto $\mathcal{H}_{\mu,n}$. Section 3 is devoted to studying the properties of their adjoints P'_n and Q'_n . Our main results are in Section 5, where a Hankel inversion formula is presented in a general setting and then specialized to basis distributions and members of the interpolation space Y_n . Section 4 contains some auxiliary results of a rather technical nature.

Throughout the rest of this paper $n \in \mathbb{N}$ will be fixed. The positive real axis will be always denoted by I , while μ will stand for a real number not less than $-1/2$ and C will represent a suitable positive constant, depending only on the opportune subscripts (if any), whose value may vary from line to line. Furthermore, we will adhere to the notations

$\mathbb{Z}_+ = \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ for the set of nonnegative integers and $\mathcal{F}_\mu(z) = z^{1/2}J_\mu(z)$ ($z \in I$) for the function giving the kernel of the Hankel transformation h_μ . The symbol \mathcal{C} (resp., \mathcal{C}^{n-1}) will denote the space of all continuous (resp., of class $n - 1$) functions on I (note that $\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{C}^0$). For the operational rules of the Hankel transformation that eventually might be required, both in the classical and the generalized senses, the reader is mainly referred to [10].

2. The Operators P_n and Q_n

In this section, we introduce the direct sum decomposition $\mathcal{H}_\mu = \mathcal{H}_{\mu,n} \oplus \Pi_{\mu,n}(\rho)$ along with the projectors P_n onto $\mathcal{H}_{\mu,n}(\rho)$ and Q_n onto $\mathcal{H}_{\mu,n}$. The main properties of these projectors are established.

We begin by recalling some definitions and results from [5] which will be needed in the sequel.

Proposition 2. Assume $\varphi \in \mathcal{H}_\mu$. Then, for every $m \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ one has

$$x^{-\mu-1/2}\varphi(x) = \sum_{j=0}^m a_{2j}x^{2j} + R_{2m}(x) \quad (x \in I), \quad (12)$$

where

$$a_{2j} = \frac{1}{2^j j!} \lim_{z \rightarrow 0^+} (z^{-1}D)^j z^{-\mu-1/2}\varphi(z) \quad (13)$$

$$(j \in \mathbb{Z}_+, 0 \leq j \leq m),$$

and the remainder term satisfies

$$|R_{2m}(x)| \leq C_m x^{2m+1} \times \max_{0 \leq k \leq m} \sup_{z \in I} \left| z^{2(m-k)+1} (z^{-1}D)^{2m-k+1} z^{-\mu-1/2}\varphi(z) \right| \quad (14)$$

$$(x \in I)$$

for some $C_m > 0$.

Definition 3. Let

$$\mathcal{H}_{\mu,n} = \left\{ \varphi \in \mathcal{H}_\mu : \lim_{x \rightarrow 0^+} (x^{-1}D)^j x^{-\mu-1/2}\varphi(x) = 0 \quad (15)$$

$$(j \in \mathbb{Z}_+, 0 \leq j \leq n - 1) \right\}.$$

The space $\mathcal{H}_{\mu,n}$ is endowed with the topology inherited from that of \mathcal{H}_μ .

In view of Proposition 2, loosely speaking, one can say that $\mathcal{H}_{\mu,n}$ consists of all those $\varphi \in \mathcal{H}_\mu$ such that $x^{-\mu-1/2}\varphi(x)$ has a Maclaurin series expansion starting at x^{2n} .

Definition 4. For $j \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, the distribution $\Lambda_j \in \mathcal{H}'_\mu$ is defined by

$$\langle \Lambda_j, \varphi \rangle = (-1)^j c_{\mu,j} \lim_{x \rightarrow 0^+} (x^{-1}D)^j x^{-\mu-1/2}\varphi(x) \quad (16)$$

$$(\varphi \in \mathcal{H}_\mu),$$

where $c_{\mu,j} = 2^{\mu+j}\Gamma(\mu + j + 1)$.

Theorem 5. The following hold:

- (i) Given $j \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, one has $h'_\mu \Lambda_j = p_{\mu,j}$, where $p_{\mu,j}(t) = t^{2j+\mu+1/2}$ ($t \in I$).
- (ii) The kernel of the operator S_μ^n in \mathcal{H}'_μ is $\pi_{\mu,n-1}$.

Next we introduce new spaces and mappings.

Definition 6. By $\mathcal{V}_{\mu,n}$ we denote the space of all complex-valued functions $\phi \in \mathcal{C}^{n-1}$ such that the limit

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow 0^+} (x^{-1}D)^j x^{-\mu-1/2}\phi(x) \quad (17)$$

exists for all $j \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, $0 \leq j \leq n - 1$.

Note that the functionals Λ_j ($j \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, $0 \leq j \leq n - 1$) are well defined on $\mathcal{V}_{\mu,n}$.

Definition 7. The space $\mathcal{H}_{\mu,n,*}$ consists of all those $\rho \in \mathcal{H}_\mu$ such that

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow 0^+} x^{-\mu-1/2}\rho(x) = 1,$$

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow 0^+} (x^{-1}D)^j x^{-\mu-1/2}\rho(x) = 0 \quad (j \in \mathbb{N}, 1 \leq j \leq n - 1). \quad (18)$$

Given $\rho \in \mathcal{H}_{\mu,n,*}$, we set

$$\Pi_{\mu,n}(\rho) = \{x^{-\mu-1/2}\rho(x)p(x) : p \in \pi_{\mu,n-1}\}. \quad (19)$$

Definition 8. Let $\rho \in \mathcal{H}_{\mu,n,*}$ be fixed. The mappings $P_n : \mathcal{V}_{\mu,n} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}_{\mu,n}$ and $Q_n = \mathbf{I} - P_n : \mathcal{V}_{\mu,n} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}_{\mu,n}$ (\mathbf{I} the identity operator) are, respectively, defined by

$$(P_n\varphi)(x) = \rho(x) \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \langle L_j, \varphi \rangle x^{2j} \quad (\varphi \in \mathcal{V}_{\mu,n}, x \in I),$$

$$(Q_n\varphi)(x) = \varphi(x) - \rho(x) \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \langle L_j, \varphi \rangle x^{2j} \quad (\varphi \in \mathcal{V}_{\mu,n}, x \in I), \quad (20)$$

where

$$L_j = \frac{(-1)^j}{2^j j! c_{\mu,j}} \Lambda_j \quad (j \in \mathbb{Z}_+, 0 \leq j \leq n - 1). \quad (21)$$

The next theorem proves some useful properties of the operators P_n and Q_n and also clarifies the relationship between \mathcal{H}_μ and $\mathcal{H}_{\mu,n}$. In what follows we will adopt the usual notation $\mathcal{N}(P)$ and $\mathcal{R}(P)$ for the kernel and range of a linear operator P . Recall that a projector or projection P of a vector space X is a linear transformation $P : X \rightarrow X$ which is idempotent, meaning that $P^2 = P$.

Theorem 9. *Let P_n, Q_n be as in Definition 8.*

(i) P_n and Q_n are continuous linear mappings from \mathcal{H}_μ into \mathcal{H}_μ .

(ii) P_n and Q_n are projections into \mathcal{H}_μ which satisfy

$$\mathcal{N}(P_n) = \mathcal{R}(Q_n) = \mathcal{H}_{\mu,n}, \quad \mathcal{R}(P_n) = \mathcal{N}(Q_n) = \Pi_{\mu,n}(\rho). \tag{22}$$

(iii) $\mathcal{H}_\mu = \mathcal{H}_{\mu,n} \oplus \Pi_{\mu,n}(\rho)$.

(iv) Finally,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}_\mu &= h_\mu(\mathcal{H}_{\mu,n}) \oplus h_\mu[\Pi_{\mu,n}(\rho)] \\ &= h_\mu(\mathcal{H}_{\mu,n}) \\ &\oplus \left\{ \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} a_j (-S_\mu)^j (h_\mu \rho) \right. \\ &\quad \left. (a_j \in \mathbb{C}, j \in \mathbb{Z}_+, 0 \leq j \leq n-1) \right\}. \end{aligned} \tag{23}$$

Proof. To prove (i), let $\varphi \in \mathcal{H}_\mu$ and let $r, m \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, with $0 \leq m \leq r$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} &(1+x^2)^r (x^{-1}D)^m x^{-\mu-1/2} (P_n \varphi)(x) \\ &= (1+x^2)^r (x^{-1}D)^m \left[x^{-\mu-1/2} \rho(x) \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \langle L_j, \varphi \rangle x^{2j} \right] \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \langle L_j, \varphi \rangle (1+x^2)^r (x^{-1}D)^m x^{-\mu-1/2} x^{2j} \rho(x) \\ &\quad (x \in I). \end{aligned} \tag{24}$$

Since $\rho \in \mathcal{H}_\mu$ and all even polynomials lie in \mathcal{O} [10, Lemma 5.3-1], we may write

$$\begin{aligned} &\sup_{x \in I} \left| (1+x^2)^r (x^{-1}D)^m x^{-\mu-1/2} (P_n \varphi)(x) \right| \\ &\leq \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \sup_{z \in I} \left| (1+z^2)^r (z^{-1}D)^m z^{-\mu-1/2} z^{2j} \rho(z) \right| \\ &\quad \times |\langle L_j, \varphi \rangle| \quad (\varphi \in \mathcal{H}_\mu). \end{aligned} \tag{25}$$

Now it suffices to take into account that $L_j \in \mathcal{H}'_\mu$ ($j \in \mathbb{Z}_+, 0 \leq j \leq n-1$).

Next, let $\varphi \in \mathcal{H}_\mu$. A simple manipulation yields

$$\begin{aligned} (P_n^2 \varphi)(x) &= \rho(x) \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \langle L_j, P_n \varphi \rangle x^{2j} \\ &= \rho(x) \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \langle L_{j,z}, z^{2k} \rho(z) \rangle \langle L_k, \varphi \rangle x^{2j} \\ &\quad (x \in I). \end{aligned} \tag{26}$$

Since $\rho \in \mathcal{H}_{\mu,n,*}$, for $j, k \in \mathbb{Z}_+, 0 \leq j, k \leq n-1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle L_{j,z}, z^{2k} \rho(z) \rangle &= \frac{1}{2^j j!} \lim_{z \rightarrow 0^+} (z^{-1}D)^j z^{-\mu-1/2} z^{2k} \rho(z) \\ &= \frac{1}{2^j j!} \sum_{i=0}^j \binom{j}{i} \lim_{z \rightarrow 0^+} \left[(z^{-1}D)^i z^{2k} \right] \\ &\quad \times \lim_{z \rightarrow 0^+} \left[(z^{-1}D)^{j-i} z^{-\mu-1/2} \rho(z) \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{2^j j!} \lim_{z \rightarrow 0^+} (z^{-1}D)^j z^{2k} \\ &= \frac{2^k k!}{2^j j! \cdot 2^{k-j} (k-j)!} \lim_{z \rightarrow 0^+} z^{2(k-j)} \\ &= \begin{cases} 1, & k = j \\ 0, & k \neq j. \end{cases} \end{aligned} \tag{27}$$

Plugging (27) into (26),

$$(P_n^2 \varphi)(x) = \rho(x) \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \langle L_j, \varphi \rangle x^{2j} = (P_n \varphi)(x) \quad (x \in I). \tag{28}$$

Therefore, P_n is a projection, and hence so is Q_n .

Let us show that $P_n : \mathcal{H}_\mu \rightarrow \Pi_{\mu,n}(\rho)$ is onto. If $\varphi(x) = x^{-\mu-1/2} \rho(x) p(x) \in \Pi_{\mu,n}(\rho)$, with $p \in \pi_{\mu,n-1}$, then $\varphi \in \mathcal{H}_\mu$ and, using (27), it is easily seen that $P_n \varphi = \varphi$.

In view of Definition 8, it is apparent that $\varphi \in \mathcal{H}_{\mu,n}$ implies $P_n \varphi = 0$, so that $\mathcal{H}_{\mu,n} \subset \mathcal{N}(P_n)$. To prove the reverse inclusion, take $\varphi \in \mathcal{N}(P_n)$. Then

$$\rho(x) \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \langle L_j, \varphi \rangle x^{2j} = 0 \quad (x \in I). \tag{29}$$

As $\rho \in \mathcal{H}_{\mu,n,*}$ we have $\lim_{x \rightarrow 0^+} x^{-\mu-1/2} \rho(x) = 1$, and hence there exists $\delta > 0$ such that $x^{-\mu-1/2} \rho(x) > 0$ ($0 < x < \delta$). Consequently,

$$\rho(x) \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \langle L_j, \varphi \rangle x^{2j} = 0 \quad (0 < x < \delta) \tag{30}$$

forces

$$\langle L_j, \varphi \rangle = 0 \quad (j \in \mathbb{Z}_+, 0 \leq j \leq n-1). \quad (31)$$

From (21) we conclude that $\varphi \in \mathcal{H}_{\mu,n}$.

Since $Q_n = \mathbf{I} - P_n$, we also have

$$\mathcal{N}(Q_n) = \mathcal{R}(P_n) = \Pi_{\mu,n}(\rho), \quad \mathcal{R}(Q_n) = \mathcal{N}(P_n) = \mathcal{H}_{\mu,n}. \quad (32)$$

With the map P_n being a projection of \mathcal{H}_μ , we may write

$$\mathcal{H}_\mu = \mathcal{N}(P_n) \oplus \mathcal{R}(P_n) = \mathcal{H}_{\mu,n} \oplus \Pi_{\mu,n}(\rho). \quad (33)$$

From the fact that h_μ is an automorphism of \mathcal{H}_μ we infer

$$\mathcal{H}_\mu = h_\mu(\mathcal{H}_\mu) = h_\mu(\mathcal{H}_{\mu,n}) \oplus h_\mu[\Pi_{\mu,n}(\rho)]. \quad (34)$$

Let $p \in \pi_{\mu,n-1}$, $p(x) = \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} a_j x^{2j+\mu+1/2}$ ($x \in I$, $a_j \in \mathbb{C}$, $j \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, $0 \leq j \leq n-1$), and consider $x^{-\mu-1/2} \rho(x) p(x) \in \Pi_{\mu,n}(\rho)$. Then

$$x^{-\mu-1/2} \rho(x) p(x) = \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} a_j x^{2j} \rho(x), \quad (35)$$

so that

$$h_\mu [x^{-\mu-1/2} \rho(x) p(x)] = \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} a_j (-S_\mu)^j (h_\mu \rho). \quad (36)$$

We thus conclude

$$h_\mu [\Pi_{\mu,n}(\rho)] = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} a_j (-S_\mu)^j (h_\mu \rho) \\ (a_j \in \mathbb{C}, j \in \mathbb{Z}_+, 0 \leq j \leq n-1) \end{array} \right\}. \quad (37)$$

This completes the proof. \square

3. The Distribution Adjoints of P_n and Q_n

This section is devoted to studying the definition and properties of the distribution adjoints of P_n and Q_n . A new space of functions must be introduced first.

Definition 10. Set

$$\mathcal{H}_{\mu,n} = \left\{ \varphi \in \mathcal{C}^{n-1} : \lim_{x \rightarrow 0^+} (x^{-1}D)^j \varphi(x) = 0 \right. \\ \left. (j \in \mathbb{Z}_+, 0 \leq j \leq n-1) \right\}. \quad (38)$$

Definition 11. The adjoints $P'_n, Q'_n : \mathcal{H}'_\mu \rightarrow \mathcal{H}'_\mu$ of $P_n, Q_n : \mathcal{H}_\mu \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_\mu$ are defined by transposition:

$$\langle P'_n u, \varphi \rangle = \langle u, P_n \varphi \rangle \quad (u \in \mathcal{H}'_\mu, \varphi \in \mathcal{H}_\mu), \\ \langle Q'_n u, \varphi \rangle = \langle u, Q_n \varphi \rangle \quad (u \in \mathcal{H}'_\mu, \varphi \in \mathcal{H}_\mu). \quad (39)$$

Theorem 12. The operators P'_n and Q'_n have the following properties:

(i) P'_n and Q'_n are projections and $P'_n + Q'_n = \mathbf{I}'$, the identity on \mathcal{H}'_μ .

(ii) If $u \in \mathcal{H}'_\mu$, then

$$P'_n u = \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} b_j(u) L_j, \quad (40)$$

where $b_j(u) = \langle u_x, x^{2j} \rho(x) \rangle$ ($j \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, $0 \leq j \leq n-1$).

(iii) $\mathcal{R}(P'_n) = \mathcal{N}(Q'_n) = h'_\mu(\pi_{\mu,n-1}) = \text{span}\{\Lambda_j : j \in \mathbb{Z}_+, 0 \leq j \leq n-1\}$.

(iv) $\mathcal{N}(P'_n) = \mathcal{R}(Q'_n) = \{u \in \mathcal{H}'_\mu : \langle u_x, x^{2j} \rho(x) \rangle = 0 (j \in \mathbb{Z}_+, 0 \leq j \leq n-1)\} = \Pi_{\mu,n}^\perp(\rho)$.

(v) $\mathcal{H}'_\mu = \Pi_{\mu,n}^\perp(\rho) \oplus \text{span}\{\Lambda_j : j \in \mathbb{Z}_+, 0 \leq j \leq n-1\}$.

(vi) $\psi u = \psi(Q'_n u)$ whenever $u \in \mathcal{H}'_\mu$ and $\psi \in \mathcal{O} \cap \mathcal{H}'_\mu$.

Proof. Part (i) is a direct consequence of P_n and Q_n being projections of \mathcal{H}_μ , with $P_n + Q_n = \mathbf{I}$ on \mathcal{H}_μ :

$$\langle (P'_n + Q'_n) u, \varphi \rangle = \langle u, (P_n + Q_n) \varphi \rangle \\ = \langle u, \mathbf{I} \varphi \rangle = \langle \mathbf{I}' u, \varphi \rangle \quad (\varphi \in \mathcal{H}_\mu). \quad (41)$$

To establish (ii), let $u \in \mathcal{H}'_\mu$. Then

$$\langle P'_n u, \varphi \rangle = \langle u, P_n \varphi \rangle = \left\langle u_x, \rho(x) \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \langle L_j, \varphi \rangle x^{2j} \right\rangle \\ = \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \langle L_j, \varphi \rangle \langle u_x, x^{2j} \rho(x) \rangle = \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} b_j(u) \langle L_j, \varphi \rangle \\ = \left\langle \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} b_j(u) L_j, \varphi \right\rangle \quad (\varphi \in \mathcal{H}_\mu), \quad (42)$$

where $b_j(u) = \langle u_x, x^{2j} \rho(x) \rangle$ ($j \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, $0 \leq j \leq n-1$).

Since, distributionally, $(h'_\mu \Lambda_j)(x) = x^{2j+\mu+1/2}$ for all $j \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, $0 \leq j \leq n-1$ (Theorem 5), from (ii) and (21) it follows that

$$\mathcal{R}(P'_n) \subset h'_\mu(\pi_{\mu,n-1}). \quad (43)$$

Next we prove

$$h'_\mu(\pi_{\mu,n-1}) \subset \mathcal{N}(Q'_n). \quad (44)$$

Take $u \in h'_\mu(\pi_{\mu,n-1})$, so that

$$u = \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} a_j \Lambda_j \quad (a_j \in \mathbb{C}, j \in \mathbb{Z}_+, 0 \leq j \leq n-1), \quad (45)$$

and let $\varphi \in \mathcal{H}_\mu$. Then $Q_n\varphi \in \mathcal{H}_{\mu,n}$ (Theorem 9) implies

$$\langle Q'_n u, \varphi \rangle = \langle u, Q_n \varphi \rangle = \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} a_j \langle \Lambda_j, Q_n \varphi \rangle = 0. \tag{46}$$

As $\mathcal{R}(P'_n) = \mathcal{N}(Q'_n)$, by virtue of (43) and (44) we may write

$$\mathcal{R}(P'_n) = \mathcal{N}(Q'_n) = h'_\mu(\pi_{\mu,n-1}). \tag{47}$$

On the other hand, given $u \in \mathcal{H}'_\mu$ we have $P'_n u = 0$ if, and only if, $h'_\mu(P'_n u) = 0$, or, from (ii) and (21),

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} b_j(u) h'_\mu L_j &= \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \frac{(-1)^j b_j(u)}{2^j j! c_{\mu,j}} h'_\mu \Lambda_j \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \frac{(-1)^j b_j(u)}{2^j j! c_{\mu,j}} x^{2j+\mu+1/2} = 0. \end{aligned} \tag{48}$$

This happens if, and only if, $\langle u_x, x^{2j} \rho(x) \rangle = b_j(u) = 0$ ($j \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, $0 \leq j \leq n-1$). Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} R(Q'_n) = \mathcal{N}(P'_n) &= \{u \in \mathcal{H}'_\mu : \langle u_x, x^{2j} \rho(x) \rangle = 0 \\ &\quad (j \in \mathbb{Z}_+, 0 \leq j \leq n-1)\}. \end{aligned} \tag{49}$$

From the identity $P'_n = \mathbf{I}' - Q'_n$ we arrive at

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}'_\mu &= \mathcal{N}(P'_n) \oplus \mathcal{R}(P'_n) \\ &= \{u \in \mathcal{H}'_\mu : \langle u_x, x^{2j} \rho(x) \rangle = 0 \\ &\quad (j \in \mathbb{Z}_+, 0 \leq j \leq n-1)\} \oplus h'_\mu(\pi_{\mu,n-1}). \end{aligned} \tag{50}$$

To complete the proof, let $\varphi \in \mathcal{H}'_\mu$ and $\psi \in \mathcal{O} \cap \mathcal{H}_{\mu,n}$. The Leibniz rule ensures that $\psi\varphi \in \mathcal{H}_{\mu,n} = \mathcal{R}(Q_n)$, so that $\psi\varphi = Q_n(\psi\varphi)$ (Theorem 9). Thus, for any $u \in \mathcal{H}'_\mu$ we may write

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \psi u, \varphi \rangle &= \langle u, \psi\varphi \rangle = \langle u, Q_n(\psi\varphi) \rangle \\ &= \langle Q'_n u, \psi\varphi \rangle = \langle \psi(Q'_n u), \varphi \rangle. \end{aligned} \tag{51}$$

The arbitrariness of $\varphi \in \mathcal{H}'_\mu$ leads us to conclude that $\psi u = \psi(Q'_n u)$ as distributions over \mathcal{H}'_μ . \square

4. Auxiliary Results

Here we prove two auxiliary lemmas.

Lemma 13. For $\psi \in \mathcal{H}'_\mu$, there holds

$$\begin{aligned} P_n(h_\mu \psi)(t) &= \int_0^\infty P_{n,\xi}(\mathcal{F}_\mu(x\xi))(t) \psi(x) dx \quad (t \in I), \\ Q_n(h_\mu \psi)(t) &= \int_0^\infty Q_{n,\xi}(\mathcal{F}_\mu(x\xi))(t) \psi(x) dx \quad (t \in I). \end{aligned} \tag{52}$$

Proof. Let $\psi \in \mathcal{H}'_\mu$ and fix $x \in I$. According to Definition 8, we have

$$P_{n,\xi}(\mathcal{F}_\mu(x\xi))(t) = \rho(t) \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \langle L_{j,\xi}, \mathcal{F}_\mu(x\xi) \rangle t^{2j} \quad (t \in I), \tag{53}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \langle L_{j,\xi}, \mathcal{F}_\mu(x\xi) \rangle &= \frac{(-1)^j}{2^j j! c_{\mu,j}} \langle \Lambda_{j,\xi}, \mathcal{F}_\mu(x\xi) \rangle \\ &= \frac{1}{2^j j!} \lim_{\xi \rightarrow 0^+} (\xi^{-1} D_\xi)^j \xi^{-\mu-1/2} (x\xi)^{1/2} J_\mu(x\xi) \\ &= \frac{x^{\mu+1/2}}{2^j j!} \lim_{\xi \rightarrow 0^+} (\xi^{-1} D_\xi)^j (x\xi)^{-\mu} J_\mu(x\xi) \\ &= \frac{(-1)^j x^{2j+\mu+1/2}}{2^j j!} \lim_{\xi \rightarrow 0^+} (x\xi)^{-\mu-j} J_{\mu+j}(x\xi) \\ &= \frac{(-1)^j x^{2j+\mu+1/2}}{2^j j! c_{\mu,j}}. \end{aligned} \tag{54}$$

Hence,

$$P_{n,\xi}(\mathcal{F}_\mu(x\xi))(t) = \rho(t) \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \frac{(-1)^j x^{2j+\mu+1/2}}{2^j j! c_{\mu,j}} t^{2j} \quad (t \in I). \tag{55}$$

Now,

$$\begin{aligned} P_n(h_\mu \psi)(t) &= \rho(t) \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \langle L_j, h_\mu \psi \rangle t^{2j} \\ &= \rho(t) \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \frac{(-1)^j}{2^j j! c_{\mu,j}} \langle \Lambda_j, h_\mu \psi \rangle t^{2j} \\ &= \rho(t) \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \frac{(-1)^j}{2^j j! c_{\mu,j}} \langle h'_\mu \Lambda_j, \psi \rangle t^{2j} \\ &= \rho(t) \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \frac{(-1)^j t^{2j}}{2^j j! c_{\mu,j}} \int_0^\infty \psi(x) x^{2j+\mu+1/2} dx \\ &= \int_0^\infty \left[\rho(t) \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \frac{(-1)^j x^{2j+\mu+1/2}}{2^j j! c_{\mu,j}} t^{2j} \right] \psi(x) dx \\ &= \int_0^\infty P_{n,\xi}(\mathcal{F}_\mu(x\xi))(t) \psi(x) dx \quad (t \in I). \end{aligned} \tag{56}$$

Consequently

$$\begin{aligned} Q_n(h_\mu \psi)(t) &= (h_\mu \psi)(t) - P_n(h_\mu \psi)(t) \\ &= \int_0^\infty \mathcal{F}_\mu(xt) \psi(x) dx \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & - \int_0^\infty P_{n,\xi}(\mathcal{F}_\mu(x\xi))(t) \psi(x) dx \\
 &= \int_0^\infty [\mathcal{F}_\mu(xt) - P_{n,\xi}(\mathcal{F}_\mu(x\xi))(t)] \psi(x) dx \\
 &= \int_0^\infty Q_{n,\xi}(\mathcal{F}_\mu(x\xi))(t) \psi(x) dx \quad (t \in I),
 \end{aligned} \tag{57}$$

as asserted. \square

Remark 14. Since $\rho \in \mathcal{H}_\mu$ and all even polynomials lie in \mathcal{O} , from (55) it follows that

$$P_{n,\xi}(\mathcal{F}_\mu(x\xi)) \in \mathcal{H}_\mu \subset \mathcal{H}'_\mu \tag{58}$$

for each $x \in I$. On the other hand, it is apparent that $\mathcal{F}_\mu(x \cdot) \in \mathcal{H}'_\mu$ for each $x \in I$. Therefore, $Q_{n,\xi}(\mathcal{F}_\mu(x\xi)) \in \mathcal{H}'_\mu$ for each $x \in I$.

We close this section with some useful estimates.

Lemma 15. *Suppose that the function ρ used to define the projection operator Q_n (cf. Definition 8) also satisfies $0 \leq t^{-\mu-1/2} \rho(t) \leq 1$ ($t \in I$) and $\text{supp } \rho \subset [0, 1]$. Then:*

(i) for all $x, t \in I$, one has

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \left| (x^{-1}D_x)^m x^{-\mu-1/2} [Q_{n,\xi}(\mathcal{F}_\mu(x\xi))(t)] \right| \\
 & \leq C(1+x^2)^n t^{2m+\mu+1/2}, \\
 & \left| S_{\mu,x}^m [Q_{n,\xi}(\mathcal{F}_\mu(x\xi))(t)] \right| \\
 & \leq Cx^{\mu+1/2} (1+x^2)^{n+m} \sum_{i=0}^m t^{2(m+i)+\mu+1/2},
 \end{aligned} \tag{59}$$

(ii) for $x \in I$ and $0 < t \leq a$, one has

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \left| (x^{-1}D_x)^m x^{-\mu-1/2} [Q_{n,\xi}(\mathcal{F}_\mu(x\xi))(t)] \right| \\
 & \leq C(1+x^2)^n t^{2n+\mu+1/2},
 \end{aligned} \tag{60}$$

$$\left| S_{\mu,x}^m [Q_{n,\xi}(\mathcal{F}_\mu(x\xi))(t)] \right| \leq Cx^{\mu+1/2} (1+x^2)^{n+m} t^{2n+\mu+1/2}. \tag{61}$$

Proof. Fix $x, t \in I$. Equation (55) gives

$$\begin{aligned}
 Q_{n,\xi}(\mathcal{F}_\mu(x\xi))(t) &= \mathcal{F}_\mu(xt) - P_{n,\xi}(\mathcal{F}_\mu(x\xi))(t) \\
 &= \mathcal{F}_\mu(xt) - \rho(t) \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \frac{(-1)^j x^{2j+\mu+1/2}}{2^j j! c_{\mu,j}} t^{2j},
 \end{aligned} \tag{62}$$

so that

$$\begin{aligned}
 & (x^{-1}D_x)^m x^{-\mu-1/2} [Q_{n,\xi}(\mathcal{F}_\mu(x\xi))(t)] \\
 &= \begin{cases} (x^{-1}D_x)^m x^{-\mu-1/2} \mathcal{F}_\mu(xt) \\ -\rho(t) \sum_{k=0}^{n-m-1} \frac{(-1)^{m+k} x^{2k}}{2^k k! c_{\mu,m+k}} t^{2(m+k)}, & m < n \\ (x^{-1}D_x)^m x^{-\mu-1/2} \mathcal{F}_\mu(xt), & m \geq n. \end{cases}
 \end{aligned} \tag{63}$$

If $m \geq n$,

$$\begin{aligned}
 & (x^{-1}D_x)^m x^{-\mu-1/2} [Q_{n,\xi}(\mathcal{F}_\mu(x\xi))(t)] \\
 &= (-1)^m t^{2m+\mu+1/2} (xt)^{-\mu-m} J_{\mu+m}(xt),
 \end{aligned} \tag{64}$$

whence

$$\left| (x^{-1}D_x)^m x^{-\mu-1/2} [Q_{n,\xi}(\mathcal{F}_\mu(x\xi))(t)] \right| \leq c_{\mu,m}^{-1} t^{2m+\mu+1/2}. \tag{65}$$

If $m < n$,

$$\begin{aligned}
 & (x^{-1}D_x)^m x^{-\mu-1/2} [Q_{n,\xi}(\mathcal{F}_\mu(x\xi))(t)] \\
 &= (-1)^m t^{2m+\mu+1/2} (xt)^{-\mu-m} J_{\mu+m}(xt) \\
 & \quad - (-1)^m t^{2m} \rho(t) \sum_{k=0}^{n-m-1} \frac{(-1)^k x^{2k}}{2^k k! c_{\mu,m+k}} t^{2k},
 \end{aligned} \tag{66}$$

whence

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \left| (x^{-1}D_x)^m x^{-\mu-1/2} [Q_{n,\xi}(\mathcal{F}_\mu(x\xi))(t)] \right| \\
 & \leq \left(c_{\mu,m}^{-1} + \sum_{k=0}^{n-m-1} \frac{x^{2k}}{2^k k! c_{\mu,m+k}} \right) t^{2m+\mu+1/2} \\
 & \leq \left[c_{\mu,m}^{-1} + \sum_{k=0}^{n-m-1} \frac{(1+x^2)^k}{2^k k! c_{\mu,m+k}} \right] t^{2m+\mu+1/2} \\
 & \leq C(1+x^2)^{n-m-1} t^{2m+\mu+1/2}.
 \end{aligned} \tag{67}$$

Here we have used our hypotheses on ρ . To summarize,

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \left| (x^{-1}D_x)^m x^{-\mu-1/2} [Q_{n,\xi}(\mathcal{F}_\mu(x\xi))(t)] \right| \\
 & \leq \begin{cases} C(1+x^2)^{n-m-1} t^{2m+\mu+1/2}, & m < n \\ Ct^{2m+\mu+1/2}, & m \geq n. \end{cases}
 \end{aligned} \tag{68}$$

Thus we find

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \left| (x^{-1}D_x)^m x^{-\mu-1/2} [Q_{n,\xi}(\mathcal{F}_\mu(x\xi))(t)] \right| \\
 & \leq C(1+x^2)^n t^{2m+\mu+1/2}.
 \end{aligned} \tag{69}$$

Assume now $0 < t \leq a$. When $m \geq n$, (65) yields

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \left| (x^{-1}D_x)^m x^{-\mu-1/2} [Q_{n,\xi}(\mathcal{F}_\mu(x\xi))(t)] \right| \\
 & \leq c_{\mu,m}^{-1} a^{2m+\mu+1/2} \frac{t^{2m+\mu+1/2}}{a^{2m+\mu+1/2}} \\
 & \leq c_{\mu,m}^{-1} a^{2(m-n)} t^{2n+\mu+1/2} = Ct^{2n+\mu+1/2}.
 \end{aligned} \tag{70}$$

If $m < n$, (66) can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} & (x^{-1}D_x)^m x^{-\mu-1/2} [Q_{n,\xi}(\mathcal{F}_\mu(x\xi))(t)] \\ &= \left([1 - t^{-\mu-1/2} \rho(t)] (xt)^{-\mu-m} J_{\mu+m}(xt) + t^{-\mu-1/2} \rho(t) \right. \\ & \quad \times \left. \left[(xt)^{-\mu-m} J_{\mu+m}(xt) - \sum_{k=0}^{n-m-1} \frac{(-1)^k (xt)^{2k}}{2^k k! c_{\mu+m,k}} \right] \right) \\ & \quad \times (-1)^m t^{2m+\mu+1/2} \\ &= \left([1 - t^{-\mu-1/2} \rho(t)] (xt)^{-\mu-m} J_{\mu+m}(xt) \right. \\ & \quad \left. + t^{-\mu-1/2} \rho(t) \sum_{k=n-m}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^k (xt)^{2k}}{2^k k! c_{\mu+m,k}} \right) (-1)^m t^{2m+\mu+1/2}. \end{aligned} \tag{71}$$

Since $\rho \in \mathcal{H}_{\mu,n,*}$, from Proposition 2 it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} & |(x^{-1}D_x)^m x^{-\mu-1/2} [Q_{n,\xi}(\mathcal{F}_\mu(x\xi))(t)]| \\ & \leq C \left([1 - t^{-\mu-1/2} \rho(t)] + t^{-\mu-1/2} \rho(t) (xt)^{2(n-m)} \right) t^{2m+\mu+1/2} \\ &= C \left(\frac{t^{-\mu-1/2} [1 - t^{-\mu-1/2} \rho(t)]}{t^{2n}} t^{2m+\mu+1/2} \right. \\ & \quad \left. + t^{-\mu-1/2} \rho(t) x^{2(n-m)} \right) t^{2m+\mu+1/2} \\ & \leq C \left[\frac{1 - t^{-\mu-1/2} \rho(t)}{t^{2n}} a^{2m} + t^{-\mu-1/2} \rho(t) \right] \\ & \quad \times (1 + x^2)^{n-m} t^{2n+\mu+1/2} \\ & \leq C(1 + x^2)^{n-m} t^{2n+\mu+1/2}. \end{aligned} \tag{72}$$

To summarize,

$$\begin{aligned} & |(x^{-1}D_x)^m x^{-\mu-1/2} [Q_{n,\xi}(\mathcal{F}_\mu(x\xi))(t)]| \\ & \leq \begin{cases} C(1 + x^2)^{n-m} t^{2n+\mu+1/2}, & m < n \\ Ct^{2n+\mu+1/2}, & m \geq n. \end{cases} \end{aligned} \tag{73}$$

In any case, we get

$$\begin{aligned} & |(x^{-1}D_x)^m x^{-\mu-1/2} [Q_{n,\xi}(\mathcal{F}_\mu(x\xi))(t)]| \\ & \leq C(1 + x^2)^n t^{2n+\mu+1/2}. \end{aligned} \tag{74}$$

To complete the proof we note that if $\phi \in \mathcal{E}^{2m}$, then

$$x^{-\mu-1/2} (S_\mu^m \phi)(x) = \sum_{i=0}^m a_{m,i} x^{2i} (x^{-1}D)^{m+i} x^{-\mu-1/2} \phi(x) \tag{75}$$

for suitable coefficients $a_{m,i}$ ($i \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, $0 \leq i \leq m$). Hence

$$\begin{aligned} & |x^{-\mu-1/2} S_{\mu,x}^m [Q_{n,\xi}(\mathcal{F}_\mu(x\xi))(t)]| \\ & \leq C \sum_{i=0}^m x^{2i} (1 + x^2)^n t^{2(m+i)+\mu+1/2} \\ & \leq C(1 + x^2)^{n+m} \sum_{i=0}^m t^{2(m+i)+\mu+1/2} \end{aligned} \tag{76}$$

for any $t \in I$, while

$$\begin{aligned} & |x^{-\mu-1/2} S_{\mu,x}^m [Q_{n,\xi}(\mathcal{F}_\mu(x\xi))(t)]| \\ & \leq C \sum_{i=0}^m x^{2i} (1 + x^2)^n t^{2n+\mu+1/2} \\ & \leq C(1 + x^2)^{n+m} t^{2n+\mu+1/2} \end{aligned} \tag{77}$$

if $0 < t \leq a$ for some $a \in I$. □

5. Main Results

Throughout this section we will assume that the function ρ used in Definition 8 satisfies $0 \leq x^{-\mu-1/2} \rho(x) \leq 1$ ($x \in I$) and $\text{supp } \rho \subset [0, 1]$, so that Lemma 15 applies.

First we prove a regularity result, along with a Hankel inversion formula and a polynomial estimate, in a general setting.

Theorem 16. *Let $f \in \mathcal{H}'_\mu$ be such that the distribution $h'_\mu f$ is regular on $\mathcal{H}_{\mu,n}$. Then, for all $m \in \mathbb{Z}_+$,*

$$\begin{aligned} \langle h'_\mu (S_\mu^m f), \psi \rangle &= \int_0^\infty (h'_\mu f)(\xi) (-\xi^2)^m (Q_n \psi)(\xi) d\xi \\ & \quad + \langle h'_\mu (S_\mu^m p), \psi \rangle \quad (\psi \in \mathcal{H}_\mu), \end{aligned} \tag{78}$$

where

$$p(\xi) = \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \frac{(-1)^j \langle (h'_\mu f)(x), x^{2j} \rho(x) \rangle}{2^j j! c_{\mu,j}} \xi^{2j+\mu+1/2} \quad (\xi \in I). \tag{79}$$

Further, if there exists $r \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ and a function G integrable on I for which

$$\begin{aligned} & |(h'_\mu f)(t) S_{\mu,x}^m [Q_{n,\xi}(\mathcal{F}_\mu(x\xi))(t)]| \\ & \leq C(1 + x^2)^r G(t) \quad (x, t \in I), \end{aligned} \tag{80}$$

where C is independent of t , then $S_\mu^m f \in \mathcal{C}$, with

$$\begin{aligned} (S_\mu^m f)(x) &= \int_0^\infty (h'_\mu f)(t) S_{\mu,x}^m [Q_{n,\xi}(\mathcal{F}_\mu(x\xi))(t)] dt \\ & \quad + (S_\mu^m p)(x) \quad (x \in I), \end{aligned} \tag{81}$$

and the estimate

$$|(S_\mu^m f)(x)| \leq C(1+x^2)^r + |(S_\mu^m p)(x)| \quad (x \in I) \quad (82)$$

holds.

Proof. Fix $m \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ and let $\psi \in \mathcal{H}_\mu$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle h'_\mu(S_\mu^m f), \psi \rangle &= \langle (-\xi^2)^m (h'_\mu f)(\xi), \psi(\xi) \rangle \\ &= \langle (h'_\mu f)(\xi), (-\xi^2)^m \psi(\xi) \rangle \\ &= \langle (h'_\mu f)(\xi), (-\xi^2)^m (Q_n \psi)(\xi) \rangle \\ &\quad + \langle (h'_\mu f)(\xi), (-\xi^2)^m (P_n \psi)(\xi) \rangle. \end{aligned} \quad (83)$$

On the one hand, from our hypotheses on f and since $Q_n \psi \in \mathcal{H}_{\mu,n}$, we may write

$$\begin{aligned} &\langle (h'_\mu f)(\xi), (-\xi^2)^m (Q_n \psi)(\xi) \rangle \\ &= \int_0^\infty (h'_\mu f)(\xi) (-\xi^2)^m (Q_n \psi)(\xi) d\xi. \end{aligned} \quad (84)$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned} &h'_\mu [P'_n (h'_\mu f)](\xi) \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \frac{(-1)^j \langle (h'_\mu f)(x), x^{2j} \rho(x) \rangle}{2^j j! c_{\mu,j}} \xi^{2j+\mu+1/2} = p(\xi) \quad (85) \\ &\quad (\xi \in I), \end{aligned}$$

or

$$P'_n (h'_\mu f) = h'_\mu p. \quad (86)$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} &\langle (h'_\mu f)(\xi), (-\xi^2)^m (P_n \psi)(\xi) \rangle \\ &= \langle (h'_\mu f)(\xi), P_n [(-t^2)^m \psi(t)](\xi) \rangle \\ &= \langle (-\xi^2)^m P'_n (h'_\mu f)(\xi), \psi(\xi) \rangle \\ &= \langle (-\xi^2)^m (h'_\mu p)(\xi), \psi(\xi) \rangle = \langle h'_\mu (S_\mu^m p), \psi \rangle. \end{aligned} \quad (87)$$

A combination of (83), (84), and (87) gives (78).

Note that (80) and the fact that $(h'_\mu f) S_{\mu,x}^m [Q_{n,\xi}(\mathcal{F}_\mu(x\xi))] \in \mathcal{C}$ as a function of $x \in I$ ensure that

$$\int_0^\infty (h'_\mu f)(t) S_{\mu,x}^m [Q_{n,\xi}(\mathcal{F}_\mu(x\xi))(t)] dt \quad (88)$$

also belongs to \mathcal{C} as a function of $x \in I$ (cf. [11, Proposition 7.8.3]). Furthermore, (80) entails

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_0^\infty |(h'_\mu f)(t) S_{\mu,x}^m [Q_{n,\xi}(\mathcal{F}_\mu(x\xi))(t)]| dt \\ &\leq C(1+x^2)^r \quad (x \in I). \end{aligned} \quad (89)$$

Now we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle S_\mu^m f, \psi \rangle &= \langle h'_\mu f, h_\mu (S_\mu^m \psi) \rangle \\ &= \langle h'_\mu f, Q_n [h_\mu (S_\mu^m \psi)] \rangle + \langle h'_\mu f, P_n [h_\mu (S_\mu^m \psi)] \rangle. \end{aligned} \quad (90)$$

Lemma 13 allows us to write

$$\begin{aligned} &\langle h'_\mu f, Q_n [h_\mu (S_\mu^m \psi)] \rangle \\ &= \int_0^\infty (h'_\mu f)(t) Q_n [h_\mu (S_\mu^m \psi)](t) dt \\ &= \int_0^\infty (h'_\mu f)(t) dt \int_0^\infty Q_{n,\xi}(\mathcal{F}_\mu(x\xi))(t) (S_\mu^m \psi)(x) dx. \end{aligned} \quad (91)$$

In view of Remark 14,

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_0^\infty Q_{n,\xi}(\mathcal{F}_\mu(x\xi))(t) (S_\mu^m \psi)(x) dx \\ &= \langle Q_{n,\xi}(\mathcal{F}_\mu(x\xi))(t), (S_\mu^m \psi)(x) \rangle \\ &= \langle S_{\mu,x}^m [Q_{n,\xi}(\mathcal{F}_\mu(x\xi))(t)], \psi(x) \rangle \\ &= \int_0^\infty S_{\mu,x}^m [Q_{n,\xi}(\mathcal{F}_\mu(x\xi))(t)] \psi(x) dx. \end{aligned} \quad (92)$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} &\langle h'_\mu f, Q_n [h_\mu (S_\mu^m \psi)] \rangle \\ &= \int_0^\infty (h'_\mu f)(t) dt \int_0^\infty S_{\mu,x}^m [Q_{n,\xi}(\mathcal{F}_\mu(x\xi))(t)] \psi(x) dx \\ &= \int_0^\infty \psi(x) dx \int_0^\infty (h'_\mu f)(t) S_{\mu,x}^m [Q_{n,\xi}(\mathcal{F}_\mu(x\xi))(t)] dt \\ &= \left\langle \int_0^\infty (h'_\mu f)(t) S_{\mu,x}^m [Q_{n,\xi}(\mathcal{F}_\mu(x\xi))(t)] dt, \psi(x) \right\rangle, \end{aligned} \quad (93)$$

the change in the order of integration being justified by (89). Lastly, from (86),

$$\begin{aligned} \langle h'_\mu f, P_n [h_\mu (S_\mu^m \psi)] \rangle &= \langle h'_\mu [P'_n (h'_\mu f)], S_\mu^m \psi \rangle \\ &= \langle p, S_\mu^m \psi \rangle = \langle S_\mu^m p, \psi \rangle. \end{aligned} \quad (94)$$

Equations (90), (93), and (94) lead us to (81), while the estimate (82) follows immediately from (89) and (81). The proof is thus complete. \square

At this point, let us formalize the definition of a basis distribution.

Definition 17. We call $\Phi \in \mathcal{H}'_\mu$ a basis distribution if $t^{4n} (h'_\mu \Phi)(t) = 1/w(t)$ for some weight w (cf. Definition 1) such that $1/w \in L^1_{\mu,l}$ and there exists $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}$ with $1/w(t) = O(t^{-\gamma})$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$.

The existence of basis distributions is guaranteed by the next Lemma 18, which also proves that a basis distribution is unique modulo a polynomial in $\pi_{\mu,2n-1}$. Although Lemma 18 is substantially Lemma 3.3 in [5], its proof illustrates the ideas behind our main results below, and we include it for the sake of completeness.

Lemma 18. Assume $F \in L^1_{\mu,l}$ and there exists $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $F(x) = O(x^{-\gamma})$ as $x \rightarrow \infty$. Let $r \in \mathbb{Z}_+$. On $\mathcal{H}_{\mu,r}$ we define the linear functional F_r by

$$\langle F_r, \psi \rangle = \int_0^\infty \frac{F(t)}{(-t^2)^r} \psi(t) dt \quad (\psi \in \mathcal{H}_{\mu,r}). \quad (95)$$

Then

(i) $F_r \in \mathcal{H}'_{\mu,r}$.

(ii) Any extension $F_r^e \in \mathcal{H}'_\mu$ of F_r to \mathcal{H}_μ satisfies

$$\langle (-t^2)^r F_r^e(t), \varphi(t) \rangle = \langle F, \varphi \rangle \quad (\varphi \in \mathcal{H}_\mu). \quad (96)$$

(iii) If $F_{2r}^e \in \mathcal{H}'_\mu$ is an extension of $F_{2r} \in \mathcal{H}'_{\mu,2r}$ to \mathcal{H}_μ , then

$$\langle (-t^2)^r F_{2r}^e(t), \psi(t) \rangle = \langle F_r, \psi \rangle \quad (\psi \in \mathcal{H}_{\mu,r}). \quad (97)$$

(iv) If F_r^1 and F_r^2 are two extensions of F_r to \mathcal{H}_μ , then $h'_\mu(F_r^1) - h'_\mu(F_r^2) \in \pi_{\mu,r-1}$.

Proof. Note that (ii) gives $h'_\mu S'_\mu(h'_\mu F_r^e)(t) = (-t^2)^r F_r^e(t) = F(t)$. Therefore, part (iv) is a consequence of Theorem 5.

Let $a, C > 0$ be such that $F(x) \leq Cx^{-\gamma}$ ($x > a$). To prove (i), take $\psi \in \mathcal{H}_{\mu,r}$ and write

$$\langle F_r, \psi \rangle = \int_0^a \frac{F(t)}{(-t^2)^r} \psi(t) dt + \int_a^\infty \frac{F(t)}{(-t^2)^r} \psi(t) dt. \quad (98)$$

Using Proposition 2 and the hypothesis that $F \in L^1_{\mu,l}$, for the first integral we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \int_0^a \frac{F(t)}{(-t^2)^r} \psi(t) dt \right| \\ & \leq \int_0^a \frac{|t^{-\mu-1/2} \psi(t)|}{t^{2r}} |F(t)| t^{\mu+1/2} dt \\ & \leq C \left[\sup_{z \in I} \left| (z^{-1}D)^r z^{-\mu-1/2} \psi(z) \right| \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \max_{0 \leq k \leq r} \sup_{z \in I} \left| z^{2(r-k)+1} (z^{-1}D)^{2r-k+1} z^{-\mu-1/2} \psi(z) \right| \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (99)$$

As to the second integral, we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \int_a^\infty \frac{F(t)}{(-t^2)^r} \psi(t) dt \right| \\ & \leq C \int_a^\infty \frac{|t^{k-\mu-1/2} \psi(t)|}{t^{k+2r+\gamma-\mu-1/2}} dt \\ & \leq C \sup_{z \in I} |z^{k-\mu-1/2} \psi(z)| \int_a^\infty \frac{dt}{t^{k+2r+\gamma-\mu-1/2}} \\ & = C \sup_{z \in I} |z^{k-\mu-1/2} \psi(z)|, \end{aligned} \quad (100)$$

provided $k \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ is chosen so that $k > -2r - \gamma + \mu + 3/2$. A combination of (98), (99), and (100) along with the arbitrariness of $\psi \in \mathcal{H}_{\mu,r}$ completes the proof of (i).

Now we establish (ii). First of all, we note that specializing $r = 0$ in (i) we obtain $F \in \mathcal{H}'_\mu$. Next, let $F_r^e \in \mathcal{H}'_\mu$ be an extension of F_r to \mathcal{H}_μ , and let $\varphi \in \mathcal{H}_\mu$. Since $(-t^2)^r \varphi(t) \in \mathcal{H}_{\mu,r}$, we may write

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle (-t^2)^r F_r^e(t), \varphi(t) \rangle \\ & = \langle F_r^e(t), (-t^2)^r \varphi(t) \rangle = \int_0^\infty \frac{F(t)}{(-t^2)^r} (-t^2)^r \varphi(t) dt \\ & = \int_0^\infty F(t) \varphi(t) dt = \langle F, \varphi \rangle. \end{aligned} \quad (101)$$

The arbitrariness of $\varphi \in \mathcal{H}_\mu$ gives (ii).

Finally, we prove (iii). Define $F_{2r} \in \mathcal{H}'_{\mu,2r}$ by (95), and let $F_{2r}^e \in \mathcal{H}'_\mu$ be an extension of F_{2r} to \mathcal{H}_μ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle (-t^2)^r F_{2r}^e(t), \psi(t) \rangle \\ & = \langle F_{2r}^e(t), (-t^2)^r \psi(t) \rangle = \int_0^\infty \frac{F(t)}{t^{4r}} (-t^2)^r \psi(t) dt \\ & = \int_0^\infty \frac{F(t)}{(-t^2)^r} \psi(t) dt = \langle F_r, \psi \rangle \end{aligned} \quad (102)$$

whenever $\psi \in \mathcal{H}_{\mu,r}$. Thus we are done. \square

When applied to a basis distribution Φ , Theorem 16 yields our second main result.

Theorem 19. Pick a weight function w with the properties that $1/w \in L^1_{\mu,l}$ and $1/w(t) = O(t^{-\gamma})$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$ for some $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}$. Let $\Phi \in \mathcal{H}'_\mu$ satisfy $t^{4n}(h'_\mu \Phi)(t) = 1/w(t)$, so that $h'_\mu \Phi \in \mathcal{H}'_{\mu,2n}$ and

$$\langle h'_\mu \Phi, \varphi \rangle = \int_0^\infty \frac{\varphi(t)}{t^{4n} w(t)} dt \quad (\varphi \in \mathcal{H}_{\mu,2n}) \quad (103)$$

(Lemma 18). Then, for all $m \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ one has

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle h'_\mu (S_\mu^m \Phi), \psi \rangle = (-1)^m \int_0^\infty \frac{\xi^{2(m-2n)} (Q_{2n} \psi)(\xi)}{w(\xi)} d\xi \\ & \quad + \langle h'_\mu (S_\mu^m p), \psi \rangle \quad (\psi \in \mathcal{H}_\mu), \end{aligned} \quad (104)$$

where

$$p(\xi) = \sum_{j=0}^{2n-1} \frac{(-1)^j \langle (h'_\mu \Phi)(x), x^{2j} \rho(x) \rangle}{2^j j! c_{\mu,j}} \xi^{2j+\mu+1/2} \quad (\xi \in I). \tag{105}$$

Further, if

$$4m < 4n + \gamma - \mu - \frac{3}{2}, \tag{106}$$

then $S_\mu^m \Phi \in \mathcal{C}$,

$$(S_\mu^m \Phi)(x) = \int_0^\infty \frac{S_{\mu,x}^m [Q_{2n,\xi}(\mathcal{F}_\mu(x\xi))(t)]}{t^{4n} w(t)} dt + (S_\mu^m p)(x) \quad (x \in I), \tag{107}$$

and the inequality

$$|(S_\mu^m \Phi)(x)| \leq C(1+x^2)^r + |(S_\mu^m p)(x)| \quad (x \in I) \tag{108}$$

holds for some $r \in \mathbb{Z}_+$.

Proof. In order to derive this result from Theorem 16 it suffices to establish, under (106), an estimate like (80), with Φ instead of f .

Let $C > 0$, $a > 1$ be such that $1/w(t) \leq Ct^{-\gamma}$ ($t > a$), with $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}$. Use Lemma 15 to choose $r \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ satisfying

$$|S_{\mu,x}^m [Q_{2n,\xi}(\mathcal{F}_\mu(x\xi))(t)]| \leq C(1+x^2)^r t^{4n+\mu+1/2} \quad (x \in I, 0 < t \leq a), \tag{109}$$

$$|S_{\mu,x}^m [Q_{2n,\xi}(\mathcal{F}_\mu(x\xi))(t)]| \leq C(1+x^2)^r \sum_{i=0}^m t^{2(m+i)+\mu+1/2} \quad (x \in I, t > a). \tag{110}$$

Note that

$$\begin{aligned} & (h'_\mu \Phi)(t) S_{\mu,x}^m [Q_{2n,\xi}(\mathcal{F}_\mu(x\xi))(t)] \\ &= t^{4n} (h'_\mu \Phi)(t) \frac{S_{\mu,x}^m [Q_{2n,\xi}(\mathcal{F}_\mu(x\xi))(t)]}{t^{4n}} \\ &= \frac{S_{\mu,x}^m [Q_{2n,\xi}(\mathcal{F}_\mu(x\xi))(t)]}{t^{4n} w(t)} \quad (x, t \in I). \end{aligned} \tag{111}$$

Now

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \frac{S_{\mu,x}^m [Q_{2n,\xi}(\mathcal{F}_\mu(x\xi))(t)]}{t^{4n} w(t)} \right| \\ & \leq C(1+x^2)^r \frac{t^{4n+\mu+1/2}}{t^{4n} w(t)} \\ & = C(1+x^2)^r \frac{t^{\mu+1/2}}{w(t)} \quad (x \in I) \end{aligned} \tag{112}$$

if $0 < t \leq a$, while

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \frac{S_{\mu,x}^m [Q_{2n,\xi}(\mathcal{F}_\mu(x\xi))(t)]}{t^{4n} w(t)} \right| \\ & \leq C(1+x^2)^r \sum_{i=0}^m \frac{t^{2(m+i)+\mu+1/2}}{t^{4n} w(t)} \\ & \leq C(1+x^2)^r t^{4m-4n-\gamma+\mu+1/2} \quad (x \in I) \end{aligned} \tag{113}$$

if $t > a$. Set

$$G(t) = \begin{cases} t^{\mu+1/2} \\ w(t), & 0 < t \leq a \\ t^{4m-4n-\gamma+\mu+1/2}, & t > a. \end{cases} \tag{114}$$

This function is integrable on I as long as $1/w \in L_{\mu,l}^1$ and (106) holds. The required estimate is established by combining (111), (112), and (113). \square

Remark 20. In [5, Theorem 4.4], under the same hypotheses on the weight w as in Theorem 19, we proved that any basis distribution Φ has the property that $S_\mu^m \Phi \in \mathcal{C}$ whenever $m \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ satisfies $2m < 4n + \gamma - \mu - 3/2$, a condition in fact weaker than (106). However, interestingly enough, Theorem 19 provides us with explicit expressions and polynomial bounds for the Bessel derivatives $S_\mu^m \Phi$ whenever $m \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ satisfies (106).

Next we apply Theorem 16 to the distributions in Y_n in order to obtain Theorem 21, our last main result.

Theorem 21. Assume $1/w \in L_{\mu,l}^1$ and there exists $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $1/w(t) = O(t^{-\gamma})$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$. Let $f \in Y_n$, so that $(-t^2)^n (h'_\mu f)(t) \in L_{\mu,l}^1$. Then $h'_\mu f \in \mathcal{H}'_{\mu,n}$, and for all $m \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ one has

$$\begin{aligned} \langle h'_\mu (S_\mu^m f), \psi \rangle &= \int_0^\infty (h'_\mu f)(\xi) (-\xi^2)^m (Q_n \psi)(\xi) d\xi \\ &+ \langle h'_\mu (S_\mu^m p), \psi \rangle \quad (\psi \in \mathcal{H}_\mu), \end{aligned} \tag{115}$$

where

$$p(\xi) = \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \frac{(-1)^j \langle (h'_\mu f)(x), x^{2j} \rho(x) \rangle}{2^j j! c_{\mu,j}} \xi^{2j+\mu+1/2} \quad (\xi \in I). \tag{116}$$

Further, if

$$8m < 4n + \gamma - \mu - \frac{3}{2}, \tag{117}$$

then $S_\mu^m f \in \mathcal{C}$, with

$$\begin{aligned} (S_\mu^m f)(x) &= \int_0^\infty (h'_\mu f)(t) S_{\mu,x}^m [Q_{n,\xi}(\mathcal{F}_\mu(x\xi))(t)] dt \\ &+ (S_\mu^m p)(x) \quad (x \in I), \end{aligned} \tag{118}$$

and the estimate

$$\left| (S_\mu^m f)(x) \right| \leq C(1+x^2)^r + \left| (S_\mu^m p)(x) \right| \quad (x \in I) \quad (119)$$

holds for some $r \in \mathbb{Z}_+$.

Proof. Since $h'_\mu(S_\mu^n f) \in L^2_{\mu,w}$, we have

$$|f|_n = \left(\int_0^\infty \left| (-\xi^2)^n (h'_\mu f)(\xi) \right|^2 w(\xi) \xi^{\mu+1/2} d\xi \right)^{1/2} < \infty. \quad (120)$$

Choose $C > 0, a > 1$ such that $1/w(t) \leq Ct^{-\gamma}$ ($t > a$), with $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}$. For any $\psi \in \mathcal{H}_{\mu,n}$, the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality gives

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^\infty \left| (h'_\mu f)(\xi) \psi(\xi) \right| d\xi \\ & \leq |f|_n \left(\int_0^\infty \frac{|\xi^{-\mu-1/2} \psi(\xi)|^2}{\xi^{4n} w(\xi)} \xi^{\mu+1/2} d\xi \right)^{1/2} \\ & \leq |f|_n \left[\left(\int_0^a \frac{|\xi^{-\mu-1/2} \psi(\xi)|^2}{\xi^{4n} w(\xi)} \xi^{\mu+1/2} d\xi \right)^{1/2} \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \left(\int_a^\infty \frac{|\xi^{-\mu-1/2} \psi(\xi)|^2}{\xi^{4n} w(\xi)} \xi^{\mu+1/2} d\xi \right)^{1/2} \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (121)$$

Using Proposition 2, for the first bounding integral we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^a \frac{|\xi^{-\mu-1/2} \psi(\xi)|^2}{\xi^{4n} w(\xi)} \xi^{\mu+1/2} d\xi \\ & \leq C \left[\sup_{z \in I} \left| (z^{-1}D)^n z^{-\mu-1/2} \psi(z) \right| \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \max_{0 \leq k \leq n} \sup_{z \in I} \left| z^{2(n-k)+1} (z^{-1}D)^{2n-k+1} z^{-\mu-1/2} \psi(z) \right| \right]^2. \end{aligned} \quad (122)$$

As to the second one,

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_a^\infty \frac{|\xi^{-\mu-1/2} \psi(\xi)|^2}{\xi^{4n} w(\xi)} \xi^{\mu+1/2} d\xi \\ & \leq C \sup_{z \in I} \left| z^{k-\mu-1/2} \psi(z) \right|^2 \int_a^\infty \frac{d\xi}{\xi^{2k+4n+\gamma-\mu-1/2}} \\ & = C \sup_{z \in I} \left| z^{k-\mu-1/2} \psi(z) \right|^2, \end{aligned} \quad (123)$$

provided $k \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ is chosen so that $2k > -4n - \gamma + \mu + 3/2$. This proves that $h'_\mu f \in \mathcal{H}'_{\mu,n}$. Now (115) follows from (78).

To complete the proof it suffices to establish, under (117), an estimate like (80) and apply Theorem 16.

With this purpose, fix $x \in I$. As above, factorize

$$(h'_\mu f)(t) S_{\mu,x}^m [Q_{n,t}(\mathcal{F}_\mu(xt))(t)] = F(t) R(t) \quad (t \in I), \quad (124)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} F(t) &= (-t^2)^n (h'_\mu f)(t) w^{1/2}(t) t^{(\mu+1/2)/2} \quad (t \in I), \\ R(t) &= \frac{S_{\mu,x}^m [Q_{n,t}(\mathcal{F}_\mu(xt))(t)]}{(-t^2)^n w^{1/2}(t)} t^{-(\mu+1/2)/2} \quad (t \in I). \end{aligned} \quad (125)$$

Because of (120), F is square-integrable on I . On the other hand, Lemma 15 and the argument in the proof of Theorem 19 show that

$$\begin{aligned} |R(t)| &= \left| \frac{S_{\mu,x}^m [Q_{n,t}(\mathcal{F}_\mu(xt))(t)]}{t^{2n} w^{1/2}(t)} \right| t^{-(\mu+1/2)/2} \\ &\leq C(1+x^2)^r H(t) \quad (t \in I) \end{aligned} \quad (126)$$

for some $r \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, where

$$H^2(t) = \begin{cases} \frac{t^{\mu+1/2}}{w(t)}, & 0 < t \leq a \\ t^{8m-4n-\gamma+\mu+1/2}, & t > a \end{cases} \quad (127)$$

is integrable on I , as far as $1/w \in L^1_{\mu,l}$ and (117) holds. Letting $G(t) = |F(t)|H(t)$ ($t \in I$), from (124) we find that G is integrable and

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| (h'_\mu f)(t) S_{\mu,x}^m [Q_{n,t}(\mathcal{F}_\mu(xt))(t)] \right| \\ & \leq C(1+x^2)^r G(t) \quad (t \in I). \end{aligned} \quad (128)$$

This ends the proof. \square

Remark 22. In [5, Theorem 3.2], under the same hypotheses on the weight w as in Theorem 21, we proved that every $f \in Y_n$ has the property that $S_\mu^m f \in \mathcal{C}$ whenever $m \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ satisfies $4m < 4n + \gamma - \mu - 3/2$. Although this condition is actually weaker than (117), Theorem 21 provides us with explicit expressions and polynomial bounds for those S_μ -derivatives.

Conflict of Interests

The authors declare that there is no conflict of interests regarding the publication of this paper.

Acknowledgments

This paper is dedicated to Professor Manuel de León on the occasion of his 60th birthday. Cristian Arteaga is supported by a 2011 CajaCanarias Research Grant for Postgraduates. Both authors are partially supported by MICINN-FEDER Grant MTM2011-28781 (Spain).

References

- [1] M. D. Buhmann, *Radial Basis Functions: Theory and Implementations*, Cambridge University Press, 2003.
- [2] G. Fasshauer, *Meshfree Approximation Methods with MATLAB*, World Scientific Publishing, 2007.
- [3] H. Wendland, *Scattered Data Approximation*, Cambridge University Press, 2005.
- [4] E. M. Stein and G. Weiss, *Introduction to Fourier Analysis on Euclidean Spaces*, Princeton University Press, 1971.
- [5] C. Arteaga and I. Marrero, "A scheme for interpolation by Hankel translates of a basis function," *Journal of Approximation Theory*, vol. 164, no. 12, pp. 1540–1576, 2012.
- [6] C. Arteaga and I. Marrero, "Direct form seminorms arising in the theory of interpolation by Hankel translates of a basis function," *Advances in Computational Mathematics*, 2013.
- [7] H. Corrada, K. Leeb, B. Klein, R. Klein, S. Iyengarc, and G. Wahbad, "Examining the relative influence of familial, genetic, and environmental covariate information in flexible risk models," *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, vol. 106, no. 20, pp. 8128–8133, 2009.
- [8] A. H. Zemanian, "A distributional Hankel transform," *The SIAM Journal on Applied Mathematics*, vol. 14, pp. 561–576, 1966.
- [9] J. J. Betancor and I. Marrero, "Multipliers of Hankel transformable generalized functions," *Commentationes Mathematicae Universitatis Carolinae*, vol. 33, no. 3, pp. 389–401, 1992.
- [10] A. H. Zemanian, *Generalized Integral Transformations*, Interscience Publishers, 1968.
- [11] P. Malliavin, H. Airault, L. Kay, and G. Letac, *Integration and Probability*, Springer, 1995.



Hindawi

Submit your manuscripts at
<http://www.hindawi.com>

