RESEARCH



CrossMark



Saad Nseir^{1,2*}, Leonardo Lorente³, Miquel Ferrer⁴, Anahita Rouzé¹, Oswaldo Gonzalez³, Gianluigi Li Bassi⁴, Alain Duhamel^{2,5} and Antoni Torres⁴

Abstract

Background: Underinflation of tracheal cuff is a risk factor for microaspiration of contaminated secretions and subsequent ventilator-associated pneumonia (VAP). The aim of this collaborative meta-analysis of individual participant data is to determine the impact of continuous control of P_{cuff} on the incidence of VAP.

Methods: Studies were identified by searching PubMed and references of relevant articles. Data from 3 prospective controlled trials (two randomized and one quasi-randomized), which evaluated the impact of continuous control of P_{cuff} on the incidence of VAP, were obtained and pooled together. Three different devices were used to continuously control P_{cuff} . VAP was diagnosed using clinical, radiologic, and quantitative microbiological criteria. The impact of continuous control of P_{cuff} on VAP was assessed by Cox regression analysis, stratified on trial.

Results: 263 (48.4 %) patients received continuous control of P_{cuff} , and 280 (51.5 %) patients received routine control of P_{cuff} using a manometer. 36 (13.6 %) VAP were diagnosed in continuous control group, and 72 (25.7 %) in routine care group (HR 0.47, 95 % Cl 0.31–0.71, p < 0.001). However, heterogeneity was apparent in continuous control effect size across trials ($l^2 = 58$ %, p = 0.085). The number of patients needed to treat to prevent one VAP episode was 8. No significant impact of continuous control of P_{cuff} was found on duration of mechanical ventilation, ICU length of stay, or mortality.

Conclusion: Continuous control of P_{cuff} might be beneficial in reducing the risk for VAP. However, no significant impact of continuous control of P_{cuff} was found on duration of mechanical ventilation, ICU length of stay, or mortality. **Keywords:** Cuff pressure, Pneumonia, Mechanical ventilation, Microaspiration, Critical care, Meta-analysis

Background

Prevention of ventilator-associated pneumonia (VAP) is an important strategy to improve the quality of care provided to critically ill patients [1–3]. While VAP-attributable mortality is still a matter for debate [4], this ICU-acquired infection is associated with increased anti-microbial use and duration of mechanical ventilation [5, 6]. Important progress has been achieved during the last two decades in the understanding of pathophysiology of

*Correspondence: s-nseir@chru-lille.fr

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article



VAP, resulting in improvement in prevention strategies and reduced incidence of VAP [7, 8].

Microaspiration of contaminated oropharyngeal and gastric secretions is the main mechanism of entry of bacteria into the lower respiratory tract [9, 10]. Local and general host defenses play an important role in the progression from colonization to VAP [11]. The quantity and virulence of bacteria are also important factors in this process [12]. Several measures have been studied for prevention of microaspiration in intubated critically ill patient. These could be classified into enteral nutrition, mechanical ventilation, tracheal tube, and patient-related factors [13]. With regards to tracheal tube, several recent studies investigated how sealing could be improved,

© 2015 Nseir et al. This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made.

¹ CHU Lille, Centre de Réanimation, 59000 Lille, France

in order to avoid microaspiration. Subglottic secretion drainage has been shown to significantly reduce VAP incidence, antimicrobial use, and duration of mechanical ventilation [14, 15]. Other preventive measures related to tracheal tube, such as polyurethane cuff and conical cuff shape have been suggested. However, a recent randomized controlled multicenter study did not report any significant impact of these measures regarding the rate of tracheobronchial colonization, or VAP [16].

Underinflation of tracheal cuff (<20 cmH₂O) is a wellknown risk factor for microaspiration and VAP [17]. Therefore, it is recommended to adjust cuff pressure (P_{cuff}) around 25 cmH₂O using a manometer, to prevent complications related to underinflation and overinflation of tracheal cuff [18]. However, in spite of routine control of P_{cuff} using a manometer, underinflation and overinflation are very common in intubated patients [19, 20]. Continuous control of $P_{\rm cuff}$ has been suggested to improve tracheal sealing and to prevent VAP. Three prospective trials evaluated the impact of continuous control of P_{cuff} on the incidence of VAP [21–23]. However, all these studies were performed in single centers and reported different results. Therefore, we performed this collaborative-pooled meta-analysis to determine the impact of continuous control of $P_{\rm cuff}$ on the incidence of VAP in critically ill adult patients.

Methods

We established a collaboration to undertake this metaanalysis of individual patient data. We included all prospective trials, which evaluated the impact of continuous control of P_{cuff} on the incidence of VAP.

Ethical aspects

The three studies used for this pooled analysis have been approved by local institutional regulatory boards. Informed consent was obtained from all patients, or from their next of kin.

Search for eligible trials

We identified clinical prospective clinical trials that compared the continuous control of $P_{\rm cuff}$ and routine care regarding the incidence of VAP. We searched PubMed (from January 1995 through June 2015), using the terms "continuous control of tracheal cuff pressure," "continuous control of endotracheal cuff pressure," and the term "ventilator-associated pneumonia." We also searched references of relevant articles. Studies comparing continuous control of $P_{\rm cuff}$ and another intervention versus routine care were excluded, because it is impossible to determine the exact impact of continuous control of $P_{\rm cuff}$ on VAP rate in these studies.

Outcomes

The primary outcome was the incidence of VAP. Secondary outcomes included duration of mechanical ventilation, mechanical ventilation-free days, duration of antimicrobial treatment, length of ICU stay, and ICU mortality.

Collected data

The rationale for choice of factors was based on prior association with outcome. At ICU admission: age, acute physiology and chronic health evaluation (APACHE) II score, sequential organ failure assessment (SOFA) score, male gender, cause for ICU admission, type of admission, diabetes mellitus, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD), chronic heart failure, cirrhosis, chronic renal failure, and immunosuppression. During ICU stay: SOFA score at randomization, subglottic secretion drainage, duration of mechanical ventilation before randomization, sucralfate, proton-pump inhibitor, or H2 receptor antagonist use, reintubation, mean P_{cuff} , percentage of $P_{\rm cuff}$ measurements <20 cmH₂O, underinflation of $P_{\rm cuff}$ (<20 cmH₂O), overinflation of P_{cuff} (>30 cmH₂O), mean positive end expiratory pressure (PEEP) level, sedation, Ramsay score, head of bed elevation, paralytic agent use, red blood cell transfusion, enteral nutrition, ventilatorassociated tracheobronchitis (VAT) [24], and tracheostomy. At VAP diagnosis: polymicrobial VAP and type of microorganisms.

VAP definition

VAP was defined as the presence of new or progressive pulmonary infiltrate and at least two of the following criteria: (a) fever (\geq 38 °C) or hypothermia (<36 °C), (b) leukocytosis (>12 × 10⁹/L) or leukopenia (<3.5 × 10⁹/L), and (c) purulent respiratory secretions. Microbiological confirmation was required in all patients (positive bronchoalveolar lavage \geq 10⁴ cfu/mL, or positive tracheal aspirate \geq 10⁵ cfu/mL) [25]. Only first episodes of VAP diagnosed > 48 h after starting mechanical ventilation were taken into account.

Statistical analysis

Quantitative variables are expressed as mean [\pm standard deviation (SD)] in case of Gaussian distribution, or median [interquartile range (IQR)] otherwise. Normality was examined using histograms and Shapiro–Wilk test. Qualitative variables are expressed as numbers (percentage). Patient characteristics at ICU admission and during ICU stay; and secondary outcomes were compared between the two study groups using Student t-test for quantitative variables (Mann–Whitney *U* test was used for non-Gaussian distribution) and Chi-square test for qualitative variables (Fisher's exact was used when the expected cell frequency was <5).

The probability of VAP occurrence over time was compared between the two study group using a Cox proportional hazard model stratified on trial. Heterogeneity across trials was examined by formal interaction test and quantified by calculating the I^2 . Patients were censored at the time of death or extubation. We performed an exclusion sensitivity analysis to evaluate the contribution of individual studies to the overall pooled estimate. A sensitivity analysis excluding patients receiving subglottic secretion drainage was also performed.

In both groups pooled together, we used a Cox proportional hazard model stratified on trial to identify factors associated with the occurrence of VAP. All variables with a p value < 0.20 were included into a backward-stepwise Cox regression analysis.

Statistical analysis was done at the 2-tailed α level of 0.05, except tests for the homogeneity in which an α level of 0.10 was chosen. Data were analyzed with the SAS software package, version 9.3 (SAS Institute, Cary, NC, USA).

Results

Study characteristics

Among the 30 identified studies, 23 studies were directly excluded (reviews 9, duplicates 5, out of scope 5, others 4). Among the 7 remaining studies, 2 were excluded because $P_{\rm cuff}$ control was not continuous, and 2 because other concomitant preventive measures were used in the intervention group (Fig. 1).

Data from 543 patients were obtained from the three included trials, representing 99 % of all included patients (5 patients were excluded for missing data). Two studies were randomized controlled and one was quasi-randomized controlled (patients who were admitted to an odd-numbered ICU cubicle received continuous control of P_{cuff} , and those admitted to an even-numbered ICU cubicle received routine care). Three different devices (Mallinckrodt Pressure Control®, VBM Medizintechnik GmbH, Sulz am Neckar, Germany; Nosten[®], Leved, Saint Maur, France; and an electronic artisanal device) were used to continuously control P_{cuff} . Subglottic secretion drainage was used in some patients included in one trial. All tracheal tubes were polyvinyl chloride (PVC), standard shape-cuffed. Characteristics of the three studies are presented in Table 1.

Patient characteristics

Two hundred and sixty-three (48 %) patients received continuous control of P_{cuff} and 280 (52 %) received routine care using a manometer. No significant difference

was found in patient characteristics at ICU admission between patients who received continuous control of $P_{\rm cuff}$ and those who received routine care (Table 2). While mean $P_{\rm cuff}$ was significantly higher in patients who received continuous control of $P_{\rm cuff}$ compared with those who received routine care, rate of patients with underinflation of $P_{\rm cuff}$ with overinflation of $P_{\rm cuff}$ and percentage of $P_{\rm cuff}$ measurements <20 cmH₂O were significantly lower in patients with continuous control of $P_{\rm cuff}$ compared with those who received routine care. Other patient characteristics during ICU stay were similar in the two groups (Table 3).

Characteristics of VAP patients

One hundred and eight (19.8 %) patients developed at least one VAP episode. Early-onset and late-onset pneumonia represented 49 and 51 % of VAP episodes, respectively. Duration of mechanical ventilation [5 (2, 10) vs 6 (3, 10) days, p = 0.323] and percentage of patients with early-onset or late-onset VAP (8 vs 12 %, 6 vs 14 %, p = 0.153; respectively) were similar in patients with continuous control of $P_{\rm cuff}$ compared with patients with routine care. Ten patients (9 %) had polymicrobial VAP. Gram-negative bacteria and MDR bacteria represented 84 and 36 % of microorganisms responsible for VAP episodes, respectively. *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* was the most frequently identified microorganism (16 %), followed by *Staphylococcus aureus* (10 %), and *Enterobacter* spp. (10 %) (Table 4).

Impact of continuous control of P_{cuff} on outcomes

Continuous control of $P_{\rm cuff}$ was associated with significantly reduced incidence of VAP, with a HR of 0.47 (95 % CI 0.31–0.71) (Fig. 2). However, heterogeneity in continuous control effect size across trial was apparent ($I^2 = 58$ %, p = 0.085). The effect of continuous control of $P_{\rm cuff}$ to reduce the incidence of VAP remained significant in exclusion sensitivity analysis, with a lower effect after exclusion of the study of Nseir and colleagues (HR 0.58; 95 % CI 0.37–0.92, p = 0.019). In further sensitivity analysis, excluding patients receiving subglottic secretion drainage, the effect of continuous control of $P_{\rm cuff}$ on VAP occurrence was not modified with a HR of 0.52 (95 % CI 0.33–0.79).

In multivariate cox regression analysis, continuous control of $P_{\rm cuff}$ remained significantly associated with decreased risk for VAP [HR 0.42, 95 % CI (0.27–0.64), p < 0.0001], independently of subglottic secretion drainage, chronic renal failure, respiratory, and digestive failures at ICU admission.

No significant difference was found in VAT rate between patients with continuous control of P_{cuff} and patients with routine care [13 of 263 (5 %) patients vs



22 of 280 (8 %), p = 0.227]. No significant impact of this procedure was found on duration of mechanical ventilation, mechanical ventilation-free days, antimicrobial treatment, length of ICU stay, or ICU mortality (Table 5).

Safety data and cost-effectiveness

Similar rates of tracheostomy and reintubation were found in patients who received in patients with continuous control of P_{cuff} compared with patients with routine care (Table 3). No significant difference was found

in tracheal ischemic lesions between the two groups (n = 96).

The number of patients needed to treat to prevent one VAP episode was 8.

Discussion

Our results suggest that continuous control of P_{cuff} might be beneficial in reducing the incidence of VAP. However, continuous control of P_{cuff} had no significant impact on secondary outcomes such as duration of mechanical

| | Valencia et al. [21] | Nseir et al. [22] | Lorente et al. [23] |
|--|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------------|
| Number of included patients | 137 | 122 | 284 |
| Type of study | Randomized controlled | Randomized controlled | Quasi-randomized controlled |
| Primary objective | VAP | Microaspiration | VAP |
| Device | Electronic | Pneumatic | Electronic |
| Target $P_{\rm cuff}$ (cmH ₂ O) | 25 | 25 | 25 |
| Surgical patients | 28 | 0 | 28 |
| Chronic respiratory disorders | 38 | 27 | 15 |
| VAP preventive measures | | | |
| Oral care | CHX 0.12 % X3/days | CHX 0.10 % X3/days | CHX 0.12 % X3/days |
| Semirecumbent position | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Subglottic secretion drainage | No | No | Yes |
| VAP incidence in control group | 15 | 26 | 22 |
| Reduction in VAP rate | NS | 62 | 51 |

Table 1 Characteristics of studies assessing the impact of continuous control of cuff pressure on the incidence of ventilator-associated pneumonia

Results are %, unless otherwise specified

VAP ventilator-associated pneumonia, CHX chlorhexidine, NS not significant

ventilation, mechanical ventilation-free days, antimicrobial treatment, ICU stay, or ICU mortality.

Strengths and limitations

The major strengths of this study are the large number of included patients (n = 543) and the collaborative-pooled design. The similarity of the trials, including intervention, disease, and study population, provided a strong rationale to pool individual patient-level data. However, some limitations of our study should be acknowledged. First, the number of included studies was small, one study was quasi-randomized, and different devices were used to continuously control P_{cuff} in these trials, which could probably explain the observed heterogeneity in estimates. Nevertheless, percentage of measurements of $P_{\rm cuff}$ < 20 cmH₂O in continuous control of $P_{\rm cuff}$ group was quite similar in the three studies. Other differences between the three studies, including the lower rate of VAP in control group, and the higher percentage of patients with chronic respiratory disorders in one study [21] compared with the two others, could also explain the high heterogeneity. Second, no significant impact of continuous control of P_{cuff} was found on secondary outcomes, such as duration of mechanical ventilation, mechanical ventilation-free days, antimicrobial treatment, or ICU mortality. This could be explained by the fact that a larger study sample is required to demonstrate such an effect. A posteriori calculation, based on the results of the current meta-analysis, of number of patients required to demonstrate a significant impact of continuous control of P_{cuff} on outcomes indicates that 348 patients are required to demonstrate a reduction of VAP incidence of 12 % (from 26 to 14 %, p = 0.05, power 80 %), and 1132 patients are required to demonstrate a reduction of mechanical ventilation duration of 2 days (mean 14 vs 12 days, standard deviation 12, p = 0.05, power 80 %). Previous well-conducted randomized controlled studies aiming at evaluating a preventive measure of VAP also suffered from this limitation [14, 26, 27]. For example, several randomized controlled studies comparing subglottic secretion drainage to routine care demonstrated a significant reduction in VAP rate, but all failed to show any significant reduction in mortality rate or duration of mechanical ventilation. However, a large meta-analysis [15] performed on 2442 patients showed a significant reduction in mechanical ventilation duration in patients with subglottic secretion drainage compared to those with routine care. Third, subglottic secretion drainage was used in some patients of one trial, and might have influenced the results. However, the rate of patients who received subglottic secretion drainage was similar in patients who received a continuous control of $P_{\rm cuff}$ and those who received routine care. In addition, sensitivity analysis excluding patients who received subglottic secretion drainage did not modify the protective effect of continuous control of P_{cuff} on VAP incidence. Fourth, cost-effectiveness analysis could not be performed. However, the number of patients needed to treat to prevent one VAP episode was 8. Fifth, the safety of continuous control of $P_{\rm cuff}$, regarding tracheal ischemic lesions, was evaluated in only one study. Sixth, the under-reporting of negative results could have biased

Table 2 Patient characteristics at ICU admission

| | Continuous control of P _{cuff} | | |
|----------------------------------|---|----------------------|----------------|
| | Yes (<i>n</i> = 263) | No (<i>n</i> = 280) | <i>p</i> value |
| Age, years, mean \pm SD | 61 ± 16 | 63 ± 15 | 0.141 |
| APACHE II score | 18 (13, 23) | 18 (13, 23) | 0.624 |
| SOFA score | 5 (3, 7) | 5 (3, 8) | 0.424 |
| Male gender | 177 (67) | 172 (61) | 0.181 |
| Direct admission | 86 (33) | 86 (31) | >0.999 |
| Cause for admission ^a | | | |
| Cardiac surgery | 23 (10) | 26 (9) | 0.944 |
| Cardiovascular failure | 52 (20) | 49 (17) | 0.569 |
| Respiratory failure | 98 (37) | 104 (37) | >0.999 |
| Digestive failure | 25 (9) | 27 (10) | >0.999 |
| Neurologic failure | 48 (18) | 52 (18) | >0.999 |
| Others | 18 (7) | 24 (8) | 0.554 |
| Type of admission | | | 0.868 |
| Surgical | 57 (22) | 62 (22) | |
| Medical | 182 (69) | 196 (70) | |
| Trauma | 24 (9) | 22 (8) | |
| Diabetes mellitus | 57 (22) | 71 (25) | 0.363 |
| COPD | 65 (25) | 66 (23) | 0.833 |
| Chronic heart failure | 48 (18) | 43 (15) | 0.431 |
| Cirrhosis | 22 (8) | 16 (6) | 0.298 |
| Chronic renal failure | 16 (6) | 23 (8) | 0.427 |
| Immunosuppression | 39 (15) | 44 (16) | 0.867 |
| Study | | | 0.828 |
| 1 | 68 (26) | 69 (25) | |
| 2 | 61 (23) | 61 (22) | |
| 3 | 134 (51) | 150 (53) | |

Data are number (%), or median (IQR); unless otherwise specified

APACHE acute physiology and chronic health evaluation, SOFA sequential organ failure assessment

^a Some patients had more than one cause for ICU admission

our results. However, we have checked the abstract of the major international critical care congresses and did not find any additional study on the impact of continuous control of P_{cuff} on VAP incidence.

Explanations for study results

Rello et al. [17] have previously reported that underinflation of $P_{\rm cuff}$ was independently associated with VAP in a subgroup of patients without antimicrobial treatment. In addition, one of the three studies included in this analysis [22] has investigated the impact of continuous control of $P_{\rm cuff}$ on microaspiration of gastric content and tracheobronchial colonization. This study reported a significant (27 %) reduction of microaspiration of gastric contents, defined as the presence of

Table 3 Patient characteristics during ICU stay

| | Continuous control of P _{cuff} | | |
|--|---|----------------------|---------|
| | Yes (<i>n</i> = 263) | No (<i>n</i> = 280) | p value |
| SOFA score at randomization | 4 (1, 7) | 4 (2, 6) | 0.538 |
| Subglottic secretion drainage | 53 (20) | 65 (23) | 0.447 |
| Antimicrobial treatment | 237 (90) | 260 (93) | 0.321 |
| MV duration before randomi- zation | 0 (0, 1) | 0 (0, 1) | 0.531 |
| Sucralfate | 42 (16) | 45 (16) | >0.999 |
| Proton-pump inhibitor | 182 (69) | 180 (64) | 0.732 |
| H2 receptor antagonists | 31 (12) | 47 (17) | 0.124 |
| Reintubation | 41 (15) | 30 (11) | 0.120 |
| Mean P _{cuff} | 25 (24, 26) | 22 (21, 24) | < 0.001 |
| Underinflation of P _{cuff} | 2 (1) | 118 (42) | < 0.001 |
| % P _{cuff} measurements <20 cmH ₂ O | 0 (0,0) | 16 (0, 18) | <0.001 |
| Overinflation of $P_{\rm cuff}$ | 8 (3) | 82 (29) | < 0.001 |
| Mean PEEP (cmH ₂ O) | 5 (5, 5) | 5 (5, 5) | 0.358 |
| Sedation | 235 (89) | 253 (90) | 0.806 |
| Ramsay score | 4 (3, 4) | 4 (3, 4) | 0.432 |
| HOB elevation (°) | 37 (30, 40) | 35 (30, 40) | 0.508 |
| Paralytic agent use | 22 (8) | 32 (11) | 0.315 |
| Red blood cell transfusion | 77 (29) | 73 (26) | 0.359 |
| Enteral nutrition | 178 (68) | 195 (70) | 0.689 |
| Tracheostomy | 49 (19) | 41 (15) | 0.257 |

Data are number (%), or median (IQR)

SOFA sequential organ failure assessment, MV mechanical ventilation, P_{cuff} cuff pressure, PEEP positive end expiratory pressure, HOB head of bed

pepsin in >65 % of tracheal aspirates, in patients who received continuous control of $P_{\rm cuff}$, compared with those who received routine care. Further, a significant reduction in bacterial concentration in tracheal aspirates was also observed.

Several studies reported that routine care using a manometer was not efficient in continuously controlling P_{cuff} and that risk factors for underinflation and overinflation of tracheal cuff were not modifiable [19, 28, 29]. Other studies clearly showed the efficiency of some devices in continuously controlling P_{cuff} suggesting that their use might be beneficial in preventing intubation-related complications [30, 31].

Two randomized studies, excluded from this analysis, reported a beneficial effect of continuous control of P_{cuff} on VAP incidence [32, 33]. However, in these studies continuous control of P_{cuff} was not the only tested preventive measure. While, low-volume low-pressure cuff was used in intervention group, PVC-standard cuff was used in routine care group. Therefore, it is impossible to determine whether the reduced incidence of VAP is related to

Table 4 Microorganisms responsible for ventilator-associated pneumonia

| | Continuous control of P _{cuff} | |
|---------------------------------|---|---------------------|
| | Yes (<i>n</i> = 36) | No (<i>n</i> = 72) |
| Microorganisms (n) | 38 | 80 |
| Polymicrobial VAP | 2 (5) | 8 (11) |
| MDR bacteria | 13 (36) | 30 (42) |
| Gram-negative | 33 (92) | 66 (92) |
| Pseudomonas aeruginosa | 5 (14) | 14 (19) |
| Enterobacter species | 3 (8) | 9 (12) |
| Escherichia coli | 6 (17) | 5 (7) |
| Citrobacter freundii | 1 (3) | 4 (5) |
| Acinetobacter baumannii | 2 (5) | 5 (7) |
| Haemophilus influenzae | 8 (22) | 8 (11) |
| Stenotrophomonas maltophilia | 1 (3) | 4 (5) |
| Klebsiella oxytoca | 2 (5) | 6 (8) |
| Serratia species | 2 (5) | 7 (10) |
| Others | 3 (8) | 4 (5) |
| Gram-positive | 5 (14) | 14 (19) |
| Methicillin-resistant S. aureus | 1 (3) | 7 (10) |
| Methicillin-sensitive S. aureus | 1 (3) | 3 (4) |
| Streptococcus pneumoniae | 1 (3) | 2 (3) |
| Others | 2 (5) | 2 (3) |
| | | |

VAP ventilator-associated pneumonia, MDR multidrug resistant

Data are number (%)

p > 0.2 for all comparisons

continuous control of P_{cuff} or to low-volume low-pressure cuff use. This is the reason why these studies were not included in our analysis. Similarly, two other studies showed a beneficial effect of implementing a bundle for VAP prevention, including routine care for tracheal cuff [34, 35]. However, whether this beneficial effect is related to P_{cuff} control is unknown.

Table 5 Impact of continuous control of cuff pressure on secondary outcomes

| | Continuous control of P _{cuff} | | |
|----------------------------------|---|----------------------|----------------|
| | Yes (<i>n</i> = 263) | No (<i>n</i> = 280) | <i>p</i> value |
| MV duration (day) | 8 (4, 16) | 8 (4, 16) | 0.681 |
| MV free days | 3 (0, 6) | 2 (0, 5) | 0.426 |
| ICU length of stay (day) | 11 (6, 24) | 12 (7, 21) | 0.440 |
| Duration of antibiotic treatment | 9 (6, 15) | 10 (6, 15) | 0.778 |
| ICU mortality | 86 (33) | 91 (32) | >0.999 |

Data are number (%), or median (interquartile range)

 $\it MV$ mechanical ventilation, $\it VAP$ ventilator-associated pneumonia, $\it ICU$ intensive care unit

Different devices for continuous control of P_{cuff}

The heterogeneity between the three trials was high, probably reflecting the use of different devices for $P_{\rm cuff}$ control. An experimental study reported that electronic $P_{\rm cuff}$ controllers with rapid pressure correction interfere with the self-sealing mechanism of high-volume, low-pressure PVC–cuffed tracheal tubes and reduce their sealing characteristics [36]. Further, a recent prospective crossover study compared the efficiency of a pneumatic with an electronic device aiming at continuously controlling $P_{\rm cuff}$ [37]. The authors found underinflation of tracheal cuff to be more frequent using the electronic device than the pneumatic device (7 vs 0 %, respectively) and attributed this result to the over compensation of any elevated $P_{\rm cuff}$.

Future studies

Future randomized controlled multicenter studies are required to confirm the beneficial effect of continuous control of $P_{\rm cuff}$ on VAP incidence, before recommending its routine use. In addition, the efficiency of different available devices should also be compared in critically ill patients.



Conclusions

Continuous control of P_{cuff} might be beneficial in reducing the incidence of VAP. However, no significant impact of this preventive measure was found on duration of mechanical ventilation, mechanical ventilation-free days, antimicrobial treatment, or ICU mortality. Further studies are required to confirm these results and to evaluate safety and cost-effectiveness of this preventive measure of VAP.

Abbreviations

APACHE: Acute physiology and chronic health evaluation; COPD: Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease; ICU: Intensive care unit; *P_{cuff}*: Cuff pressure; PEEP: Positive end expiratory pressure; PVC: Polyvinyl chloride; SOFA: Sequential organ dysfunction assessment; VAP: Ventilator-associated pneumonia.

Authors' contributions

SN, LL, and AT designed the study. SN, LL, MF, AR, OG, and GLB collected data. AD performed statistical analysis. All authors contributed in data analysis and manuscript preparation. SN is the guarantor of the paper, taking responsibility for the integrity of the work as a whole, from inception to published article. All authors read and approved the final version of the manuscript.

Author details

¹ CHU Lille, Centre de Réanimation, 59000 Lille, France. ² Faculté de Médecine, Université Lille, 59000 Lille, France. ³ Intensive Care Unit, Hospital Universitario de Canarias, Tenerife, La Laguna, Spain. ⁴ Respiratory Intensive and Intermediate Care Unit, Department of Pneumology, Hospital Clinic, Institute of Thorax, Barcelona, Spain. ⁵ Epidemiology, Public Health and Quality of Care, Nord-de-France University, Lille, France.

Acknowledgements

None.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Received: 23 July 2015 Accepted: 9 November 2015 Published online: 24 November 2015

References

- Branch-Elliman W, Wright SB, Howell MD. Determining the ideal strategy for ventilator-associated pneumonia prevention: cost-benefit analysis. Am J Respir Crit Care Med.
- Craven DE, Hudcova J, Rashid J. Antibiotic therapy for ventilator-associated tracheobronchitis: a standard of care to reduce pneumonia, morbidity and costs? Curr Opin Pulm Med. 2015;21:250–9.
- Nair GB, Niederman MS. Ventilator-associated pneumonia: present understanding and ongoing debates. Intensive Care Med. 2014;41:34–48.
- Bekaert M, Timsit JF, Vansteelandt S, Depuydt P, Vésin A, Garrouste-Orgeas M, Decruyenaere J, Clec'h C, Azoulay E, Benoit D. Attributable mortality of ventilator-associated pneumonia: A reappraisal using causal analysis. Am J Respir Crit Care Med. 2011;184:1133–9.
- Melsen WG, Rovers MM, Groenwold RHH, Bergmans DCJJ, Camus C, Bauer TT, Hanisch EW, Klarin B, Koeman M, Krueger WA, Lacherade JC, Lorente L, Memish ZA, Morrow LE, Nardi G, van Nieuwenhoven CA, O'Keefe GE, Nakos G, Scannapieco FA, Seguin P, Staudinger T, Topeli A, Ferrer M, Bonten MJM. Attributable mortality of ventilator-associated pneumonia: a meta-analysis of individual patient data from randomised prevention studies. Lancet Infect Dis. 2013;13:665–71.
- Jaillette E, Nseir S. Relationship between inhaled β₂-agonists and ventilator-associated pneumonia: a cohort study. Crit Care Med. 2011;39:725–30.
- 7. Rouzé A, Nseir S. Continuous control of tracheal cuff pressure for the prevention of ventilator-associated pneumonia in critically ill patients: where is the evidence? Curr Opin Crit Care. 2013;19:440–7.

- Klompas M, Branson R, Eichenwald EC, Greene LR, Howell MD, Lee G, Magill SS, Maragakis LL, Priebe GP, Speck K, Yokoe DS, Berenholtz SM. Strategies to prevent ventilator-associated pneumonia in acute care hospitals: 2014 update. Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol. 2014;35:915–36.
- Nseir S, Zerimech F, Jaillette E, Artru F, Balduyck M. Microaspiration in intubated critically ill patients: diagnosis and prevention. Infect Disord Drug Targets. 2011;11:413–23.
- Blot SI, Poelaert J, Kollef M. How to avoid microaspiration? A key element for the prevention of ventilator-associated pneumonia in intubated ICU patients. BMC Infect Dis. 2014;14:119.
- Brusselaers N, Labeau S, Vogelaers D, Blot S. Value of lower respiratory tract surveillance cultures to predict bacterial pathogens in ventilatorassociated pneumonia: systematic review and diagnostic test accuracy meta-analysis. Intensive Care Med. 2013;39:365–75.
- Messika J, Magdoud F, Clermont O, Margetis D, Gaudry S, Roux D, Branger C, Dreyfuss D, Denamur E, Ricard JD. Pathophysiology of *Escherichia coli* ventilator-associated pneumonia: implication of highly virulent extraintestinal pathogenic strains. Intensive Care Med. 2012;38:2007–16.
- Jaillette E, Martin-Loeches I, Artigas A, Nseir S. Optimal care and design of the tracheal cuff in the critically ill patient. Ann Intensive Care. 2014;4:7.
- Damas P, Frippiat F, Ancion A, Canivet J-L, Lambermont B, Layios N, Massion P, Morimont P, Nys M, Piret S, Lancellotti P, Wiesen P, D'orio V, Samalea N, Ledoux D. Prevention of ventilator-associated pneumonia and ventilator-associated conditions. Crit Care Med. 2015;43:22–30.
- Muscedere J, Rewa O, McKechnie K, Jiang X, Laporta D, Heyland DK. Subglottic secretion drainage for the prevention of ventilator-associated pneumonia: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Crit Care Med. 2011;39:1985–91.
- Philippart F, Gaudry S, Quinquis L, Lau N, Ouanes I, Touati S, Nguyen JC, Branger C, Faibis F, Mastouri M, Forceville X, Abroug F, Ricard JD, Grabar S, Misset B. Randomized intubation with polyurethane or conical cuffs to prevent pneumonia in ventilated patients. Am J Respir Crit Care Med. 2015;191:637–45.
- Rello J, Soñora R, Jubert P, Artigas A, Rué M, Vallés J. Pneumonia in intubated patients: role of respiratory airway care. Am J Respir Crit Care Med. 1996;154:111–5.
- Touat L, Fournier C, Ramon P, Salleron J, Durocher A, Nseir S. Intubationrelated tracheal ischemic lesions: incidence, risk factors, and outcome. Intensive Care Med. 2013;39:575–82.
- Nseir S, Brisson H, Marquette C-H, Chaud P, Di Pompeo C, Diarra M, Durocher A. Variations in endotracheal cuff pressure in intubated critically ill patients: prevalence and risk factors. Eur J Anaesthesiol. 2009;26:229–34.
- Nseir S, Zerimech F, De Jonckheere J, Alves I, Balduyck M, Durocher A. Impact of polyurethane on variations in tracheal cuff pressure in critically ill patients: a prospective observational study. Intensive Care Med. 2010;36:1156–63.
- Valencia M, Ferrer M, Farre R, Navajas D, Badia JR, Nicolas JM, Torres A. Automatic control of tracheal tube cuff pressure in ventilated patients in semirecumbent position: a randomized trial. Crit Care Med. 2007;35:1543–9.
- Nseir S, Zerimech F, Fournier C, Lubret R, Ramon P, Durocher A, Balduyck M. Continuous control of tracheal cuff pressure and microaspiration of gastric contents in critically ill patients. Am J Respir Crit Care Med. 2011;184:1041–7.
- Lorente L, Lecuona M, Jiménez A, Lorenzo L, Roca I, Cabrera J, Llanos C, Mora ML. Continuous endotracheal tube cuff pressure control system protects against ventilator-associated pneumonia. Crit Care. 2014;18:R77.
- 24. Nseir S, Di Pompeo C, Pronnier P, Beague S, Onimus T, Saulnier F, Grandbastien B, Mathieu D, Delvallez-Roussel M, Durocher A. Nosocomial tracheobronchitis in mechanically ventilated patients: Incidence, aetiology and outcome. Eur Respir J. 2002;20:1483–9.
- 25. Focaccia R, Gomes Da Conceicao OJ. Pneumonia Hospitalar. Rev Bras Med. 1994;51(SPEC. ISS.):95–8.
- Bouza E, Granda MJP, Hortal J, Barrio JM, Cercenado E, Muñoz P. Preemptive broad-spectrum treatment for ventilator-associated pneumonia in high-risk patients. Intensive Care Med. 2013;39:1547–55.
- Bergan EH, Tura BR, Lamas CC. Impact of improvement in preoperative oral health on nosocomial pneumonia in a group of cardiac surgery patients: a single arm prospective intervention study. Intensive Care Med. 2014;40:23–31.

- Lizy C, Swinnen W, Labeau S, Poelaert J, Vogelaers D, Vandewoude K, Dulhunty J, Blot S. Cuff pressure of endotracheal tubes after changes in body position in critically ill patients treated with mechanical ventilation. Am J Crit Care. 2014;23:e1–8.
- Sole ML, Su X, Talbert S, Penoyer DA, Kalita S, Jimenez E, Ludy JE, Bennett M. Evaluation of an intervention to maintain endotracheal tube cuff pressure within therapeutic range. Am J Crit Care. 2011;20:109–18.
- Duguet A, D'Amico L, Biondi G, Prodanovic H, Gonzalez-Bermejo J, Similowski T. Control of tracheal cuff pressure: a pilot study using a pneumatic device. Intensive Care Med. 2007;33:128–32.
- Farré R, Rotger M, Ferrer M, Torres A, Navajas D. Automatic regulation of the cuff pressure in endotracheally-intubated patients. Eur Respir J. 2002;20:1010–3.
- Young PJ, Pakeerathan S, Blunt MC, Subramanya S. A low-volume, lowpressure tracheal tube cuff reduces pulmonary aspiration. Crit Care Med. 2006;34:632–9.

- 33. Gopal S, Luckraz H, Giri R, Nevill A, Muhammed I, Reid M, Bickerton S, Jenkins D. Significant reduction in ventilator-associated pneumonia with the Venner-PneuX System in high-risk patients undergoing cardiac surgery: the low ventilator-associated-pneumonia study. Eur J Cardio Thoracic Surg. 2015;47:e92–6.
- 34. Rello J, Afonso E, Lisboa T, Ricart M, Balsera B, Rovira A, Valles J, Diaz E. A care bundle approach for prevention of ventilator-associated pneumonia. Clin Microbiol Infect. 2013;19:363–9.
- Bouadma L, Mourvillier B, Deiler V, Le Corre B, Lolom I, Régnier B, Wolff M, Lucet J-C. A multifaceted program to prevent ventilator-associated pneumonia: impact on compliance with preventive measures. Crit Care Med. 2010;38:789–96.
- Weiss M, Doell C, Koepfer N, Madjdpour C, Woitzek K, Bernet V. Rapid pressure compensation by automated cuff pressure controllers worsens sealing in tracheal tubes. Br J Anaesth. 2009;102:273–8.
- Brisson H, Bouhamed B, Lu Q, Rouby JJ. Comparison of two automated endotracheal cuff pressure regulators devices in intubated critically ill patients: mechanical and electronic (abstract). Intensive Care Med. 2011;37:296.

Submit your manuscript to a SpringerOpen[®] journal and benefit from:

- Convenient online submission
- ► Rigorous peer review
- Immediate publication on acceptance
- Open access: articles freely available online
- High visibility within the field
- Retaining the copyright to your article

Submit your next manuscript at > springeropen.com