

## *Research Article*

# **Dietary Intake of Metals from Fresh Cage-Reared Hens' Eggs in Tenerife, Canary Islands**

Carmen Rubio,<sup>1</sup> Soraya Paz,<sup>1</sup> Iballa Ojeda,<sup>1</sup> Angel J. Gutiérrez,<sup>1</sup> Dailos González-Weller,<sup>1,2</sup> Arturo Hardisson,<sup>1</sup> and Consuelo Revert<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Toxicology, School of Medicine, Universidad de La Laguna, 38071 Santa Cruz de Tenerife, Spain <sup>2</sup>Canarian Public Health Service, Central Laboratory, Santa Cruz de Tenerife, Spain <sup>3</sup>Department of Physical Medicine and Pharmacology, Universidad de La Laguna, 38071 Santa Cruz de Tenerife, Spain

Correspondence should be addressed to Angel J. Gutiérrez; ajguti@ull.es

Received 1 February 2017; Revised 13 March 2017; Accepted 12 April 2017; Published 7 May 2017

Academic Editor: Marina Carcea

Copyright © 2017 Carmen Rubio et al. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

The concentrations of 20 metals (Na, K, Ca, Mg, V, Mn, Fe, Cu, Zn, Cr, Mo, Co, B, Ba, Sr, Ni, Si, Al, Pb, and Cd) in cage-reared hens' eggs have been determined in this study using inductively coupled plasma atomic emission spectroscopy (ICP-OES). There were significant differences in the metal content depending on the edible part of the egg, with the yolk having the greater concentrations of metals. The daily consumption of eggs (24.3 g/person/day for children and 31.2 g/person/day for adults) contributes to the intake of trace metals, notably Fe (3.8% children, 3.2% women, and 6.5% men) and Zn (4.5% children, 6.6% women, and 4.9% men). In addition, the consumption of eggs does not imply a high contribution of toxic metals.

#### 1. Introduction

Hen's eggs have long been one of the most important foods for man because of their high nutritional value, low cost, and easy preparation [1]. It is a food that contributes to the intake of nutrients, proteins, vitamins ( $B_1$ ,  $B_2$ ,  $B_{12}$ , niacin, biotin, choline, pantothenic acid, A, E, K, and D) and minerals (Se, K, P, I, Zn, Cu, Mn, and Fe) [2]. The nutritional value varies markedly between the yolk and the white. The fat, cholesterol, and some micronutrients are located in the yolk, while the egg white is mainly formed of water and protein. On the other hand, some minerals and water-soluble vitamins are found in higher concentrations in the yolk [3, 4].

Average consumption data for the Spanish regional areas indicate that the consumption of eggs in the Canary Islands is 31.5 g/person/day [5]. On the other hand, the average consumption recommended by the Spanish Agency for Food Safety and Nutrition (AESAN) is 24.3 g/person/day for children between the ages of 7 and 12 years and 31.2 g/person/day for adults over 17 years old [6].

Diet is an important source of metals [7], among which can be found macroelement metals (Ca, K, Na, and Mg) that

are required in large quantities, which are found in greater proportion in the tissues of living beings [8].

The trace elements (Mn, Fe, Cu, Zn, Cr, Mo, and Co) are present in small quantities and are necessary for the adequate development of the physiological functions [9–14]. In the case of chromium, some institutions such as the Institute of Medicine, Food and Nutrition Board [15] or the FESNAD (Spanish Federation of Societies of Nutrition and Dietetics) [16] reported in the past that Cr (III) is an essential metal with a function in carbohydrate metabolism and in particular in maintaining normal blood glucose levels. However, a recent assessment by the European Food Safety Authority (EFSA) however highlighted that Cr is not an essential element based on insufficient proofs of a functional role [17]. Cobalt is an essential element as a part of cobalamin (vitamin  $B_{12}$ ), and a deficiency of this vitamin causes anaemia and retarded growth [18, 19].

Several enzymes, such as ferroxidases, cytochrome C oxidase, or tyrosinase, contain copper, which is an essential trace metal; however an excess could damage the liver and cause gastrointestinal distress. Iron is one of the most abundant metals and is an essential element that plays an important role in the human organism participating in the oxygen transport in blood and muscle tissue and in redox processes. Regarding zinc functions, this metal is necessary for some biochemical processes such as DNA and RNA synthesis, elimination of free radicals, or the preservation of the integrity of the cell membrane [15, 19].

Manganese is necessary for bone formation, and it also has a role in carbohydrate metabolism, but Mn in excess is neurotoxic. Molybdenum is an important metal due to it being a cofactor for enzymes [15, 18].

The toxic metals (V, B, Ba, Ni, Sr, Al, Pb, and Cd) are characterized as having a long biological half life and as lacking a biological function and being accumulative in nature [14, 20–22]. The toxicity of the barium is because of an accumulation of this metal in the skeleton and in the pigmented parts of the eye. In addition, Ba seems to be an antagonist of potassium and calcium. Barium acts in blocking the potassium channels of the N-K pump in cell membranes [23].

Boron does not have a function in the human organism and the adverse effects of an excessive intake of this metal are manifested in reproductive and developmental effects; however, these effects have been shown in experimental animals [15].

Vanadium (V) is a toxic metal that could produce damage in the liver, kidney, and nervous system. However, the gastrointestinal absorption of V is low in humans [15, 18].

The compounds of nickel are considered as carcinogens by the International Agency for Cancer Research (IARC). The EFSA has recently reduced the tolerable daily intake (TDI) of nickel from 8  $\mu$ g/day to 2.8  $\mu$ g/day [24]. The adverse effects of nickel intake decrease with gain in body weight. People who are nickel hypersensitive or have kidney dysfunction accumulate toxic metals in many tissues like muscle and in the case of kidney and liver tissue over a long period of time. Both metals act by interfering with some zinc-dependent enzymatic reactions causing renal dysfunctions, hypertension, or endocrine disruption [19]. Aluminium is a neurotoxic metal which has been suggested to be responsible for increasing the probability of suffering Alzheimer's disease [18].

Silicon is a metalloid that, at present, has not been convincingly demonstrated to have a biological function in humans. The adverse effects derived from an excessive intake of silicon from food and water are unknown [15]. Although strontium is another toxic metal, an overdose of Sr has not been reported in humans. A high intake of this metal could produce insoluble compounds with phosphorus causing a deficiency in P [25].

Due to the great importance of the some metals and the properties of hen's egg, which is a basic food ingredient, this study has been conducted to determine the concentration level of different metals, using, for this purpose, inductively coupled plasma atomic emission spectroscopy (ICP-OES), a multielement analytical technique that has favourable detection limits for refractory elements, a high capacity for simultaneous sequential analysis, and a wide linear range [7, 26]. The analysis of the metallic content allows the estimation of the nutritional importance of the egg and the assessment of toxic risk, which is of great interest for food quality and safety. The European Regulation (EC) number 1881/2006 sets maximum levels for certain contaminants, such as Pb and Cd, in foodstuffs [27]; however, these maximum levels have not been set for hen's eggs.

The objectives of this study are to determine the content of 21 metals (Na, K, Ca, Mg, V, Mn, Fe, Cu, Zn, Cr, Mo, Co, B, Ba, Li, Sr, Ni, Si, Al, Pb, and Cd) in fresh eggs of hens kept in cages, differentiating between the edible parts of the egg (yolk and white) and to evaluate the intake of these metals taking into account the intake recommendations and limits for each metal.

#### 2. Material and Methods

*2.1. Samples.* A total of 144 fresh eggs from caged hens were used, the samples were comprised of 12 egg boxes with 12 eggs in each box. The samples were separated depending on the edible parts of the eggs:

- (i) 144 yolk samples
- (ii) 144 egg white samples
- (iii) 144 homogenized egg samples

The samples were collected in local shops on the island of Tenerife (Canary Islands, Spain) from November 2012 to April 2013. They were transported to the laboratory and stored at 4°C until treatment.

2.2. Treatment of Samples and Analysis. Three grams of the separated samples (yolk, egg white, and homogenized egg) were placed in porcelain crucibles that were desiccated for 24 hours in an oven at 70°C. The samples were then subjected to incineration in a muffle furnace with a temperature-time programme of  $450\pm25^{\circ}$ C-24 to 48 hours, until the production of white ash [28–30]. The white ashes were diluted in 1.5% HNO<sub>3</sub> to a volume of 25 mL.

The laboratory material was previously washed to prevent contamination and to eliminate possible traces of metals. The material was kept for 24 hours in 5%  $HNO_3$  and then washed with milli-Q grade deionized water [31–33].

The metals were determined by an inductively coupled plasma atomic emission spectrometer (ICP-OES) model ICAP 6300 Duo Thermo Scientific. The instrumental conditions were as follows: approximate RF power, 1150 W; gas flow (nebulizer gas flow, auxiliary gas flow), 0.5 L/min; injection of the sample to the pump flow, 50 rpm; stabilization time, 0 s.

Quality controls were performed to verify the accuracy of the analytical procedure. These controls were based on the study of the recovery percentage obtained with the reference material measured under reproducible conditions. The quality controls with the reference materials were performed following the same incineration process as the samples. The following reference materials were used: SRM 1515 Apple Leaves; SRM 1548a Typical Diet; SRM 1567a Wheat Flour, from NIST (National Institute of Standards and Technology) [34, 35]. The recovery rates obtained were higher than 96.5% (Table 1). The detection and quantification limits, under reproducibility conditions, were calculated as three and ten

3

Metal	Material	Certified concentration	Obtained concentration	Recovery study%
	SRM 1515 apple leaves	$24.4 \pm 1.2$	$24.3 \pm 0.8$	99.6
Na	SRM 1548a typical diet	$8132 \pm 942$	$8001.9 \pm 476$	98.4
	SRM 1567a wheat flour	$6.1 \pm 0.8$	$6.1 \pm 0.3$	99.2
	SRM 1515 apple leaves	$1.61 \pm 0.02$	$1.57 \pm 0.04$	97.8
Κ	SRM 1548a typical diet	$6970 \pm 125$	$6858.5 \pm 318$	98.4
	SRM 1567a wheat flour	$0.133 \pm 0.003$	$0.132 \pm 0.02$	99.3
	SRM 1515 apple leaves	$1.53 \pm 0.02$	$1.57 \pm 0.05$	102.3
Ca	SRM 1548a typical diet	1967 ± 113	$1961.1 \pm 158$	99.7
	SRM 1567a wheat flour	$0.02 \pm 0.00$	$0.02 \pm 0.02$	101.4
	SRM 1515 apple leaves	$0.27 \pm 0.01$	$0.26 \pm 0.03$	98.1
Mg	SRM 1548a typical diet	$580 \pm 26.7$	$580 \pm 26.7$	97.7
U	SRM 1567a wheat flour	$0.04 \pm 0.00$	$0.04 \pm 0.03$	102.6
	SRM 1515 apple leaves	$80.0 \pm 0.0$	$79.6 \pm 0.2$	99.5
Fe	SRM 1548a typical diet	35.3 + 3.77	35.6 + 5.17	101.3
	SRM 1567a wheat flour	$14.1 \pm 0.5$	$13.9 \pm 0.3$	98.9
	SRM 1515 apple leaves	54.0 + 3.0	54.8 + 6.2	101.5
Mn	SRM 1548a typical diet	$5.75 \pm 0.17$	5.71 + 0.36	99.3
	SRM 1567a wheat flour	$9.4 \pm 0.9$	9.6 + 1.5	102.4
	SRM 1515 apple leaves	5.6 + 0.24	5.58 + 0.32	98.9
Cu	SRM 1548a typical diet	$2.32 \pm 0.16$	$2.34 \pm 0.29$	100.7
	SRM 1567a wheat flour	2.1 + 0.2	2.09 + 0.4	99.7
	SRM 1515 apple leaves	$12.5 \pm 0.3$	$12.7 \pm 0.5$	101.9
Zn	SRM 1548a typical diet	$24.6 \pm 1.79$	$24.3 \pm 1.32$	98.7
	SRM 1567a wheat flour	$11.6 \pm 0.4$	$11.9 \pm 0.2$	102.7
Cr	SRM 1515 apple leaves	$0.30 \pm 0.00$	$0.29 \pm 0.03$	97.8
	SRM 1515 apple leaves	$0.09 \pm 0.01$	$0.09 \pm 0.02$	99.4
Мо	SRM 1548a typical diet	$0.26 \pm 0.02$	$0.26 \pm 0.05$	98.6
	SRM 1567a wheat flour	$0.48 \pm 0.03$	$0.48 \pm 0.06$	99.6
	SRM 1515 apple leaves	$0.09 \pm 0.00$	$0.09 \pm 0.03$	101.5
Со	SRM 1567a wheat flour	$0.006 \pm 0.00$	$0.006 \pm 0.002$	102.4
	SRM 1515 apple leaves	270 + 2.0	27.0 + 1.5	99.9
В	SRM 1548a typical diet	$4.16 \pm 0.04$	$4.23 \pm 0.02$	101.8
Ba	SRM 1548a typical diet	1.10 ± 0.10	1.13 + 0.09	102.5
	SRM 1515 apple leaves	25.0 + 2.0	246+40	98.3
Sr	SRM 1548a typical diet	$2.93 \pm 0.10$	$2.91 \pm 0.25$	99.2
	SRM 1515 apple leaves	0.91 + 0.12	0.92 + 0.18	100.6
Ni	SRM 1548a typical diet	$0.37 \pm 0.02$	$0.38 \pm 0.04$	102.3
	SRM 1548a typical diet	$0.26 \pm 0.02$	0.26 ± 0.06	100.6
V	SRM 1545a typical dict	$0.20 \pm 0.00$	$0.20 \pm 0.00$	99.4
	SRM 1515 apple leaves	286 + 9	285.1 + 26	99.7
Al	SRM 1548a typical diet	$72.4 \pm 1.52$	71.2 + 3.23	98.3
	SRM 1545a typical dict	$57 \pm 1.32$	57 + 26	99.2
	SRM 1507 a wheat hour	0.47 + 0.02	0.47 + 0.04	100.3
Ph	SRM 1548a typical diet	$0.47 \pm 0.02$	$0.47 \pm 0.04$	08.0
	SRM 1567a wheat flour	<0.011 ± 0.000 </td <td>&lt;0.013</td> <td>90.9</td>	<0.013	90.9
	SRM 1515 apple leaves	0.014 ± 0.00	0.014 ± 0.003	00.2
Cd	SRM 15480 typical dist	$0.014 \pm 0.00$ 0.025 ± 0.015	$0.014 \pm 0.0000$	77.J 100 0
Ju	SDM 1567a wheat flowr	$0.035 \pm 0.015$	$0.030 \pm 0.000$	102.2
	SKIM 1507 a Wheat hour	$0.020 \pm 0.002$	$0.020 \pm 0.008$	90.4

TABLE 1: Reference metals and recovery study of the analyzed metals.

Metal	Wavelength (nm)	Detection limit (mg/kg)	Quantification limit (mg/kg)
Al	167.0	0.033	0.100
В	249.7	0.025	0.100
Ba	455.4	0.008	0.042
Ca	317.9	4.833	16.29
Cd	226.5	0.003	0.008
Со	228.6	0.005	0.017
Cr	267.7	0.025	0.067
Cu	327.3	0.033	0.100
Fe	259.9	0.017	0.042
K	769.9	4.708	15.70
Mg	279.1	4.858	16.19
Mn	257.6	0.017	0.067
Мо	202.0	0.006	0.017
Na	589.6	9.142	30.46
Ni	231.6	0.006	0.025
РЬ	220.3	0.003	0.008
Si	185.0	0.017	0.050
Sr	407.7	0.006	0.025
V	310.2	0.008	0.042
Zn	206.2	0.017	0.058

TABLE 2: Detection and quantification limits.

times the standard deviation (SD) resulting from the analysis of 15 blanks [36], and they are shown in Table 2.

2.3. Statistical Analysis. Statistical analysis was performed using the statistical package IBM Statistics SPSS 22.0 (Statistical Package for the Social Sciences). In order to test the normality of the analyzed data, the Kolmogorov-Smirnov and Shapiro-Wilk tests were performed [37] and Levene's test was used for the test of homogeneity of the variances [29]. Parametric tests were performed by means of the ANOVA test for those data in which normality existed, whereas, for data in which there was no normality, a nonparametric study was performed using the Kruskal-Wallis test. These analyses were carried out in order to confirm the existence or not of significant differences between the study samples [38]. The samples have been classified by the edible part of the egg (yolk, egg white, and homogenized). Values of p < 0.05 were considered statistically significant.

#### 3. Results and Discussion

Table 3 shows the concentration and standard deviation (SD) of each metal analyzed in the egg white, yolk, and homogenized egg samples.

The highest concentration of metals was found in the egg yolk, where the highest levels of K, Ca, Fe, Mn, Cu, Zn, Mo, Ba, Sr, Ni, and Pb were recorded, whereas the levels of B, Si, and Al were the highest in the egg whites. Finally, the homogenized egg samples had the highest concentrations of Na, Mg, Cr, and V. Na was the macroelement found in greater proportions in the egg white, with levels of 1092 mg/kg, followed by K > Ca > Mg. Na was also found in the highest proportion (1149 mg/kg) in the homogenized egg samples followed by K > Ca > Mg, whereas Ca was the macroelement found in the highest proportion in the yolk samples (775 mg/kg), followed by K > Na > Mg.

Furthermore, Si was the most abundant trace element in egg whites with a concentration of 18.03 mg/kg; the rest of the trace elements were found in the following sequence Al > Sr > Cu > Fe > Zn > B > Ba > V > Ni > Pb > Cr. The aforementioned sequence changes in the egg yolk samples, in which the major trace element is Fe (18.63 mg/kg), followed by Zn > Al > Si > Cu > Sr > B > Mn > V > Cr > Mo > Ni > Pb. In the case of homogenized egg samples, Al is the major trace element, which is a toxic metal, with a concentration of 9.41 mg/kg, followed by the sequence Si > Fe > Zn > Cu > Sr > Ba > V > Cr > Mn > Ni > Pb > Mo.

Significant differences (p > 0.05) were detected in the Cr, Ca, Mg, Mo, and Si levels, as well as in concentrations of B, Ba, Ca, Fe, K, Mn, Na, Sr, Ni, Zn, V, Al, and Pb, among the different sample types (yolk, egg white, and homogenized egg). The detected levels of Co and Cd were below the quantification limit. Notable concentrations of the metals Si, Al, Fe, and Zn were found in the different samples. Several authors suggest that bioaccumulation of metals in hen's eggs may occur from the ingestion of contaminated food or from soil contamination [4, 39], besides which the reason why higher concentrations of metals are detected in the yolk is because this is part where the greatest amount of fats is found, as the metals are accumulated in fat [4].

As regards toxic metals, European legislation does not establish any limits on toxic metals in hen's eggs.

Metal	White ( <i>n</i> = 144)	Yolk ( $n = 144$ )	Homogenized egg ( $n = 144$ )
Ca	$78.3 \pm 35.8$	$775 \pm 185$	$174 \pm 83.3$
K	$541 \pm 177$	$628 \pm 143$	$491 \pm 217$
Na	$1092 \pm 105$	$307 \pm 110$	$1149 \pm 96.2$
Mg	$81.2 \pm 26.5$	$31.7 \pm 11.1$	$87.5 \pm 26.1$
Fe	$1.46 \pm 0.90$	$18.6 \pm 7.82$	$5.49 \pm 4.10$
Mn	<loq< td=""><td><math>0.36 \pm 0.13</math></td><td><math>0.10 \pm 0.11</math></td></loq<>	$0.36 \pm 0.13$	$0.10 \pm 0.11$
Cu	$1.69 \pm 0.76$	$3.21 \pm 2.79$	$2.22 \pm 1.10$
Zn	$0.92 \pm 0.56$	$14.9 \pm 3.16$	$3.37 \pm 1.73$
Cr	$0.02 \pm 0.04$	$0.12 \pm 0.13$	$0.17 \pm 0.24$
Мо	<loq< td=""><td><math>0.07\pm0.04</math></td><td><math>0.01 \pm 0.01</math></td></loq<>	$0.07\pm0.04$	$0.01 \pm 0.01$
Co	<loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<>	<loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""></loq<></td></loq<>	<loq< td=""></loq<>
В	$0.55 \pm 0.36$	$0.52 \pm 0.17$	$0.31 \pm 0.41$
Ba	$0.50 \pm 0.24$	$1.75 \pm 0.72$	$0.72 \pm 0.30$
Sr	$2.28 \pm 2.94$	$2.32 \pm 2.38$	$2.05 \pm 2.73$
Ni	$0.03 \pm 0.03$	$0.07\pm0.04$	$0.05 \pm 0.03$
V	$0.12 \pm 0.10$	$0.15 \pm 0.08$	$0.21 \pm 0.04$
Si	$18.0 \pm 16.8$	$7.26 \pm 1.95$	$7.80 \pm 3.69$
Al	$15.3 \pm 14.9$	$11.2 \pm 8.32$	$9.41 \pm 11.9$
Pb	$0.02 \pm 0.01$	$0.04 \pm 0.03$	$0.02 \pm 0.02$
Cd	<loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<>	<loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""></loq<></td></loq<>	<loq< td=""></loq<>

TABLE 3: Mean concentration ± standard deviation of the studied metals in fresh cage reared hens (mg/kg).

3.1. Comparison with Other Authors. Table 4 shows the comparison of the content of the metals studied (mg/kg) in different hen's egg samples with data obtained by other authors.

The macroelement concentrations obtained here in the different samples were lower (with the exception of Ca in the egg whites) than those recorded by the consulted authors. On the other hand, the concentrations obtained here for the trace elements were lower than those found by the authors (except Cu, Cr, Ba, Sr, Ni, and Al in homogenized egg samples).

Table 5 shows the comparison of the content of metals analyzed (mg/kg) with the concentration obtained by other authors who analyzed eggs from hens raised in cages.

The concentrations of Cu and V obtained by Demirulus [43] are higher than those obtained in the present study. The concentration of Mg obtained by Alam Chowdhury et al. [48] is lower (43.26 mg/kg) than that obtained here (81.2 mg/kg), and the level of nickel is higher (12.81 mg/kg) than that obtained at the present study. Giannenas et al. [42] reported lower concentrations of Cr, Ni, and V than those obtained here, except for the Cu and Zn levels which are higher than those obtained here.

As regards the metal content obtained in the yolk samples in the present research, the levels of Cu, Mn, Ni, and Zn obtained by Demirulus [43] are higher than those obtained here. The concentrations of Cu, Zn, Mn, and Mo reported by Giannenas et al. [42] are higher than those obtained in the present study. Alam Chowdhury et al. [48] reported higher concentrations of Fe, Mg, Ni, and Zn than those found here.

As for the metal content of the homogenized eggs, the concentrations of Fe, Mg, Pb, and Zn obtained by Alam

Chowdhury et al. [48] are higher than those obtained in the present study. González-Weller et al. [46] reported higher concentrations of Ni (15.6 mg/kg) than those obtained here (0.05 mg/kg).

*3.2. Evaluation of Dietary Intake.* Consumption data, provided by the Spanish Agency for Food Safety and Nutrition (AESAN), has been used to evaluate the contribution to the daily intake for the metals studied which is 24.3 g/person/day for children aged between 7 and 12 and 31.2 g/person/day for adults over the age of 17 [6]. In addition, the average weight of 34.48 and 68.48 kg for children and adults, respectively, has been used for the evaluation of the toxic metals [6].

The daily requirements (recommended daily intake, RDI) for children and adults in the Spanish population have been established by Spanish Federation of Nutrition, Food and Dietetic Societies (FESNAD) and are as follows [16]:

- (i) 1200–1500 mg Na/day for children (6–13 years) and 1500 mg/day for men and women
- (ii) 2000–3100 mg K/day for children (6–13 years) and 3100 mg/day for men and women
- (iii) 800–1100 mg Ca/day for children (6–13 years) and 900–1000 mg/day for men and women
- (iv) 170–280 mg Mg/day for children (6–13 years), 300 mg/day for women, and 350 mg/day for men
- (v) 0.7–1.0 mg Cu/day for children (6–13 years) and 1.1 mg/day for men and women
- (vi) 9–12 mg Fe/day for children (6–13 years), 18 mg/day for women, and 9 mg/day for men

(a) Comparison of metal concentration levels in the egg whites						
Metal	Souci et al. [40]	CESNID [41]	Moreiras et al. [2]	Giannenas et al. [42]	Demirulus [43]	This study (2015)
Ca	110	60	50	_	_	78.3
Cr	—	—	—	0.048	—	0.02
Cu	1.3	—	—	0.212	7	1.69
Fe	2	1	1	—	—	1.46
Κ	1550	1420	1500	—	—	541
Mg	120	100	110	—	—	81.2
Na	1700	1600	1900	—	—	1092
Ni	—	—	—	0.064	3.7	0.03
Pb	—	—	—	—	—	0.02
V	—	—	—	0.013	—	0.12
Zn	0.2	Traces	1	1.003	7.4	0.92

TABLE 4: Comparison of metal concentrations in hen eggs from nonspecified breeder system.

1	1.005

(b) Comparison of metal concentration levels in the egg yolks						
Metal	Souci et al. [40]	CESNID [41]	Moreiras et al. [2]	Giannenas et al. [42]	Demirulus [43]	This study (2015)
Ca	1400	1370	1300	_	_	775
Cr	_	—	—	0.066	—	0.12
Cu	3.5	—	—	1.357	10.5	3.21
Fe	70	55	61	—	—	18.6
Κ	1400	970	1200	—	—	628
Mg	160	130	150	75.96	—	31.7
Mn	0.5-2	—	—	0.836	1.9	0.36
Mo	—	—	—	0.26	—	0.07
Na	500	500	500	—	—	307
Ni	_	—	—	0.063	1.7	0.07
Pb	_	—	—	—	—	0.04
V	_	—	—	0.012	—	0.15
Zn	40	39	39	20.68	35.6	14.9

(c)	Comparison of me	tal concentrations	levels in the	homogenized egg
(-)				

Metal	Moreiras et al. [2]	Fakayode and Olu-Owolabi [44]	Abduljaleel and Shuhaimi-Othman [45]	González-Weller et al. [46]	Iwegbue et al. [47]	This study (2015)
Al	_	_	17.11	2.930	_	9.41
Ba	_	_	_	0.468	_	0.72
Ca	570	_	_	_	_	174
Cr		_	3.24	0.115	0.32	0.17
Cu		0.78	_	_	1.03	2.22
Fe	19	23.20	—	—	—	5.49
Κ	1300	_	_	_	_	491
Mg	120	—	—	—	—	87.5
Na	1400	—	—	—	—	1149
Ni		0.03	1.11	0.038	0.86	0.05
Pb	_	0.59	0.420	—	0.82	0.02
Sr	_	—	—	0.388	—	2.05
Zn	13	13.75	34.22	_	6.45	3.37

- (vii) 1.5–1.6 mg Mn/day for children (6–13 years), 1.8 mg Mn/day for women, and 2.3 mg/day for men.
- (ix) 15–21 mg Cr/day for children (6–13 years), 25 mg Cr/day for women, and 35 mg/day for men
- (viii) 6.5–8 mg Zn/day for children (6–13 years), 7 mg Zn/day for women, and 9.5 mg/day for men
- (x) 22–34 mg Mo/day for children (6–13 years) and 45 mg/day for men and women.

Metal	Giannenas et al. [42]	Alam Chowdhury et al. [48]	Demirulus [43]	This study (2015)	
Cr	0.048	<loq< td=""><td>—</td><td>0.02</td></loq<>	—	0.02	
Cu	0.212	0.1	7.0	1.69	
Fe	—	2.96	—	1.46	
Mg	—	43.26	—	81.2	
Ni	0.064	12.81	3.7	0.03	
Pb	—	1.06	—	0.02	
V	0.013	—	—	0.12	
Zn	1.003	16.88	7.4	0.92	

#### TABLE 5: Comparison of metal concentrations in eggs from cage-reared hens.

(a) C	Comparison	of metal	concentration	levels in	the egg whites
-------	------------	----------	---------------	-----------	----------------

(b) Comparison of metal concentration levels in the egg yolks

Metal	Giannenas et al. [42]	Alam Chowdhury et al. [48]	Demirulus [43]	This study (2015)
Cr	0.066	<loq< td=""><td>_</td><td>0.12</td></loq<>	_	0.12
Cu	1.357	0.16	10.5	3.21
Fe	_	27.27	—	18.6
Mg	_	75.96	—	31.7
Mn	0.836	—	1.9	0.36
Мо	0.26	—	—	0.07
Ni	0.063	2.79	1.7	0.07
V	0.012	—	—	0.15
Zn	20.68	81.97	35.6	14.9

(c) Comparison of metal concentrations levels in the homogenized egg

Metal	González-Weller et al. [46]	Alam Chowdhury et al. [48]	This study (2015)
Al	2.930	_	9.41
Ba	0.468	_	0.72
Cr	0.115	<loq< td=""><td>0.17</td></loq<>	0.17
Cu	_	0.26	2.22
Fe	_	30.66	5.49
Mg	_	119.22	87.5
Ni	15.6	0.038	0.05
Pb	_	1.06	0.02
Sr	0.388	_	2.05
Zn	—	98.85	3.37

Concerning the toxic metals, different values have been used. The European Food Safety Authority (EFSA) has established the following limits:

- (i) The tolerable daily intake (TDI) of nickel is 2.8 μg Ni/kg bw/day [24].
- (ii) The tolerable weekly intake (TWI) of aluminium is 1 mg Al/kg bw/week [49].

The Institute of Medicine, Food and Nutrition Board (IOM) set the following tolerable upper intake levels (ULs):

- (i) The UL of vanadium is 1.8 mg V/day for adults (19->70 years) [15].
- (ii) The UL of boron is 3–6 mg/day for children (1–8 years) and 17–20 mg/day for adults (18–>70 years) [15].

The Scientific Committee of Health and Environmental Risk (SCHER) established the TDI value of barium in 0.02 mg Ba/kg bw/day [23].

The World Health Organization (WHO) established a tolerable daily intake (TDI) of 0.13 mg Sr/kg bw/day for the Sr [50].

In the case of lead, the EFSA has calculated a BMDL<sub>01</sub> whose values are 0.5  $\mu$ g/kg bw/day (developmental neurotoxicity), 0.63  $\mu$ g/kg bw/day (effects on the prevalence of chronic kidney disease), and 1.50  $\mu$ g/kg bw/day (effects on systolic blood pressure) [51]. Based on this fact, the AESAN (Spanish Agency for Food Safety and Nutrition) has suggested a value of 30  $\mu$ g per day for a person of 60 kg of body weight as a substitute of the tolerable daily intake (TDI) [52].

Taking into account the average consumption of the cagereared hens' eggs, the daily intake and their contribution to

(a)								
	Edible part <sup>b</sup>	EDI (mg/day) <sup>a</sup>	EDI (mg/day) <sup>a</sup>	Men	% RDI Women	Children		
Macroelements								
	White	26.5	34.1	2.27	2.27	1.77		
Na	Yolk	7.46	9.58	0.64	0.64	0.50		
	Н	27.9	35.9	2.39	2.39	1.86		
	White	13.2	16.9	0.55	0.55	0.42		
K	Yolk	15.3	19.6	0.63	0.63	0.49		
	Н	11.9	15.3	0.49	0.49	0.39		
	White	1.90	2.44	0.24	0.24	0.17		
Ca	Yolk	18.8	24.2	2.42	2.42	1.71		
	Н	4.23	5.43	0.54	0.54	0.39		
	White	1.97	2.53	0.72	0.85	0.71		
Mg	Yolk	0.77	0.99	0.28	0.33	0.28		
	Н	2.13	2.73	0.78	0.91	0.76		
Trace elements								
	White	0.04	0.05	4.79	4.79	4.10		
Cu	Yolk	0.08	0.10	9.11	9.11	7.80		
	Н	0.05	0.07	6.31	6.31	5.40		
	White	0.04	0.05	0.51	0.25	0.30		
Fe	Yolk	0.45	0.58	6.46	3.23	3.77		
	Н	0.13	0.17	1.90	0.952	1.11		
	White	_	_	_	_			
Mn	Yolk	0.01	0.01	0.48	0.62	0.54		
	Н	3.00 <sup>c</sup>	3.00 <sup>c</sup>	0.14	0.18	0.16		
	White	0.02	0.03	0.30	0.41	0.28		
Zn	Yolk	0.36	0.46	4.87	6.62	4.51		
	Н	0.08	0.11	1.11	1.50	1.02		
	White	$4.00^{\circ}$	$1.00^{\circ}$	0.002	0.002	0.002		
Cr	Yolk	3.00 <sup>c</sup>	$4.00^{\circ}$	0.001	0.02	0.01		
	Н	$4.00^{\circ}$	5.00 <sup>c</sup>	0.02	0.02	0.02		
	White	_	_		_			
Мо	Yolk	$2.00^{\circ}$	$2.00^{\circ}$	0.01	0.01	0.004		
	Н	0.03 <sup>c</sup>	0.03 <sup>c</sup>	0.001	0.001	0.001		
		()	b)					
		``````````````````````````````````````						
	Edible part <sup>b</sup>	EDI (mg/day) <sup>a</sup>	EDI (mg/day	y) <sup>a</sup>	% UL, PIWI Adults	Children		
Toxic metals					1144110	Gillarti		
	White	0.37	0.48		4.88	7.47		
Al	Yolk	0.27	0.35		3.57	5.47		
	Н	0.23	0.29		3.00	4.59		
	White	0.06	0.07		0.80	1.22		
Sr	Yolk	0.06	0.07		0.81	1.24		
	н	0.05	0.06		0.72	1 10		
	II M/hita	0.05 2.02 <sup>c</sup>	2.74 <sup>C</sup>		0.72	0.16		
V	vv 111.e	2.72	5./4		0.21	0.10		
*	IOIK	3.05	4.08		0.20	0.20		

Η

5.10<sup>c</sup>

6.55<sup>c</sup>

0.36

0.28

TABLE 6: Estimation of the daily intake (mg/day) and contribution (%) to the recommended daily intake (RDI) of the essential metals analyzed.

	Edible part <sup>b</sup>	EDI (mg/day)ª	EDI (mg/day) <sup>a</sup>	% UL, PTWI, or TDI	
	Edible part			Adults	Children
В	White	0.01	0.02	0.10	0.45
	Yolk	0.01	0.02	0.10	0.42
	Н	7.53 <sup>c</sup>	9.67 <sup>c</sup>	0.06	0.25
Ва	White	0.01	0.02	1.14	1.74
	Yolk	0.04	0.06	3.99	6.10
	Н	0.02	0.02	1.64	2.51
Ni	White	0.73 <sup>c</sup>	0.94 <sup>c</sup>	0.49	0.75
	Yolk	1.70 <sup>c</sup>	2.18 <sup>c</sup>	1.14	1.74
	Н	1.22 <sup>c</sup>	1.56 <sup>c</sup>	0.81	1.25
Pb	White	0.49 <sup>c</sup>	0.62 <sup>c</sup>	1.82	2.53
	Yolk	0.97 <sup>c</sup>	1.25 <sup>c</sup>	3.64	5.05
	Н	$0.49^{\circ}$	$0.62^{c}$	1.82	2.53

(b) Continued.

<sup>a</sup>Data for mean consumption of hen's egg established by the AESAN (24.3 g/person/day for children, 31.2 g/person/day for adults).

<sup>b</sup>H: the homogenized egg.

<sup>c</sup>Expressed in  $\mu$ g/day.

the RDI and to the TDI of the different studied metals have been estimated and the results are shown in Table 6.

Egg yolks are, in general, the part that contributes most to the recommended daily intake of the essential metals, except for the contribution percentages for Na and Mg, which are higher in the homogenized egg. It was found that egg yolks have the highest contribution of Ca for adults (2.4%), whereas the homogenized egg provides the highest Na contribution for children (1.9%), followed by Mg and K.

Cu is the trace element that makes the highest contribution to daily intake (9.1% for adults, 7.8% for children), followed by Fe, Zn, and Mn. On the other hand, the contribution of Mo and Cr to the daily intake is low.

Regarding the toxic metals, the highest percentage contributions are found in the egg white for Al (4.88% adults, 7.47% children) and in the yolk for Ba (3.99% adults, 6.10% children) and for Pb (3.64% adults, 5.05% children). However, these contribution percentages do not pose a health risk.

#### 4. Conclusions

Macroelement metals and trace metals have been determined in cage-reared hen's eggs on the island of Tenerife (Canary Islands, Spain) by means of ICP-OES. This study shows that, in general, the yolk is the part of the egg that has the most metals, with significant differences in the levels of the metals studied with the other part of the egg. Eggs are an acceptable source of essential elements, in particular of Cu, Fe, and Zn. In addition, the consumption of eggs does not mean a high contribution of toxic metals.

### **Conflicts of Interest**

The authors declare that there are no conflicts of interest regarding the publication of this paper.

#### References

- A. Sastre Gallego, R. M. Ortega Anta, and F. Tortuero Cosialls, Egg book, Instituto de Estudios del Huevo, Madrid, Spain, 2001.
- [2] O. Moreiras, A. Carbajal, L. Cabrera, and C. Cuadrado, *Tables of food composition*, Pirámide S.A, Madrid, Spain, 10th edition, 2005.
- [3] H. D. Belitz and W. Grosch, *Food Chemistry*, Editorial Acribia, Zaragoza, Spain, 1997.
- [4] J. L. Domingo, "Health risks of human exposure to chemical contaminants through egg consumption: a review," *Food Research International*, vol. 56, pp. 159–165, 2014.
- [5] A. Carbajal, Egg Consumption Habits, Nutritional Quality and Health-Related, Universidad Complutense de Madrid, Madrid, Spain, 2014.
- [6] [AESAN] Agencia Española de Seguridad Alimentaria y Nutrición, Modelo de dieta española para la determinación de la exposición del consumidor a sustancias químicas [Spanish model diet for the determination of consumer exposure to chemicals], Ministerio de Sanidad y Consumo, España, 2006.
- [7] G. Luis, C. Rubio, C. Revert et al., "Dietary intake of metals from yogurts analyzed by inductively coupled plasma optical emission spectrometry (ICP-OES)," *Journal of Food Composition and Analysis*, vol. 39, pp. 48–54, 2015.
- [8] L. Serra-Majem and A. Bartrina, "Nutritional requirements and recommended intakes: dietary reference intakes," in *NUtrition* and Public Health: Methods, Scientific Bases And Applications, L. Serra-Majen, A. Bartrina, and J. Mataix, Eds., pp. 20–23, Masson, Barcelona, Spain, 2006.
- [9] F. Baruthio, "Toxicology of trace elements essentials," in *The Trace Elements in Medicine and Biology*, Lavoisier\_Tec Doc, Paris, France, 1991.
- [10] R. Kroes, D. Müller, J. Lambe et al., "Assessment of intake from the diet," *Food and Chemical Toxicology*, vol. 40, no. 2-3, pp. 327– 385, 2002.
- [11] A. Ferrer, "Metal intoxication," Anales del Sistema Sanitario de Navarra, vol. 26, pp. 141–153, 2003.

- [12] A. E. Mohamed, M. N. Rashed, and A. Mofty, "Assessment of essential and toxic elements in some kinds of vegetables," *Ecotoxicology and Environmental Safety*, vol. 55, pp. 251–260, 2003.
- [13] M. Olivares, F. Pizarro, S. De Pablo, M. Araya, and R. Uauy, "Iron, zinc, and copper: contents in common chilean foods and daily intakes in santiago, chile," *Nutrition*, vol. 20, no. 2, pp. 205– 212, 2004.
- [14] L. Nasreddine, O. Nashalian, F. Naja et al., "Dietary exposure to essential and toxic trace elements from a total diet study in an adult Lebanese urban population," *Food and Chemical Toxicology*, vol. 48, no. 5, pp. 1262–1269, 2010.
- [15] [IOM] Food and Nutrition Board of the Institute of Medicine of the National Academies, Dietary Reference Intakes for Vitamin A, Vitamin K, Arsenic, Boron, Chromium, Copper, Iodine, Iron, Manganese, Molybdenum, Nickel, Silicon, Vanadium, and Zinc, National Academy Press (US), Wash, USA, 2001.
- [16] [FESNAD] Federación Española de Sociedades de Nutrición, Alimentación, and Dietética, "Dietary reference intakes (DRI) for the Spanish population," *Actividad Dietética*, vol. 14, no. 4, pp. 196–197, 2010.
- [17] [EFSA] European Food Safety Authority, "Scientific opinion on dietary reference values for chromium," *EFSA Journal*, vol. 12, no. 10, article 3845, 2014.
- [18] G. F. Nordberg, B. A. Fowler, M. Nordberg, and L. Friberg, *Handbook on the Toxicology of Metals*, Academic Press, Amsterdam, Netherlands, 3rd edition, 2007.
- [19] D. González-Weller, C. Rubio, A. J. Gutiérrez et al., "Dietary content and evaluation of metals in four types of tea (white, black, red and green) consumed by the population of the canary islands," *Pharmaceutical Analitica Acta*, vol. 6, no. 10, asrticle 428, 2015.
- [20] C. Rubio, I. Frías, and A. Hardisson, in *Lead Toxicology And Their Presence in Food*, pp. 77–85, Alimentaria, Madrid, Spain, 1999.
- [21] A. R. Cruz, C. C. Vique, M. L. L. Tovar, and M. C. L. Martínez, "Lead and cadmium content in sunflower oil," *Grasas y Aceites*, vol. 52, no. 3-4, pp. 229–234, 2001.
- [22] G. Turconi, C. Minoia, A. Ronchi, and C. Roggi, "Dietary exposure estimates of twenty-one trace elements from a total diet study carried out in Pavia, Northern Italy," *British Journal* of Nutrition, vol. 101, no. 8, pp. 1200–1208, 2009.
- [23] [SCHER] Scientific Committee on Health and Environmental Risk, Assessment of the Tolerable Daily Intake of Barium, European Commission, 2012, http://ec.europa.eu/health/scientific\_committees/environmental\_risks/scher\_o\_161.pdf.
- [24] [EFSA] European Food Safety Authority, "cientific opinion on the risks to public health related to the presence of nickel in food and drinking water," *EFSA Journal*, vol. 13, no. 2, pp. 4002–4204, 2015.
- [25] S. Pors Nielsen, "The biological role of strontium," *Bone*, vol. 35, no. 3, pp. 583–588, 2004.
- [26] H. Schorin, Z. Benzo, E. Marcano, C. Gomez, and F. O. Bamiro, "Accurate and precise trace element determination in biomonitors using ICP-OES," *Atomic Spectroscopy*, vol. 19, no. 4, pp. 129–132, 1998.
- [27] [EC] European Commission, "Commission Regulation (EC) N8 1881/2006 of 19 December 2006 setting maximum levels for certain contaminants in food-stuffs," *Official Journal of the European Union*, vol. L364, pp. 5–24, 2006.

- [28] A. Hardisson, C. Rubio, A. Báez, M. M. Martín, R. Álvarez, and E. Díaz, "Mineral composition of the banana (musa acuminata) from the island of tenerife," *Food Chemistry*, vol. 73, pp. 153–161, 2001.
- [29] A. Gutiérrez, D. González-Weller, T. González, A. Burgos, G. Lozano, and A. Hardisson, "Content of trace metals (iron, zinc, manganese, chromium, copper, nickel) in canned variegated scallops (Chlamys varia)," *International Journal of Food Sciences* and Nutrition, vol. 59, no. 6, pp. 535–543, 2008.
- [30] G. Luis, C. Rubio, D. González-Weller, A. J. Gutiérrez, C. Revert, and A. Hardisson, "Comparative study of the mineral composition of several varieties of potatoes (Solanum tuberosum L.) from different countries cultivated in Canary Islands (Spain)," *International Journal of Food Science and Technology*, vol. 46, no. 4, pp. 774–780, 2011.
- [31] L. Jorhem, "Determination of metals in foods by atomic absorption spectrometry after dry ashing: NMKL collaborative study," *Journal of AOAC International*, vol. 83, no. 5, pp. 1204– 1211, 2000.
- [32] C. Rubio, A. Hardisson, J. I. Reguera, C. Revert, M. A. Lafuente, and T. González-Iglesias, "Cadmium dietary intake in the Canary Islands, Spain," *Environmental Research*, vol. 100, no. 1, pp. 123–129, 2006.
- [33] P. Ekholm, H. Reinivuo, P. Mattila et al., "Changes in the mineral and trace element contents of cereals, fruits and vegetables in Finland," *Journal of Food Composition and Analysis*, vol. 20, no. 6, pp. 487–495, 2007.
- [34] R. Dybczynski, "Preparation and use of reference materials for quality assurance in inorganic trace analysis," *Food Additives* and Contaminant, vol. 19, pp. 928–938, 2002.
- [35] G. V. Iyengar, J. Kawamura, R. M. Parr et al. et al., "Dietary intake of essentials minor and trace elements from Asian diets," *Food Nutrition Bulleting*, vol. 23, pp. 124–128, 2002.
- [36] L. A. Currie, "Nomenclature in evaluation of analytical methods including detection and quantification capabilities," *Pure and Applied Chemistry*, vol. 67, no. 10, pp. 1699–1723, 1995.
- [37] N. M. Razali and Y. B. Wah, "Power comparisons of shapirowilk, kolmogorov-smirnov, lilliefors and anderson-darling test," *Journal of Statistical Modelling and Analytics*, vol. 2, no. 1, pp. 21– 33, 2011.
- [38] D. J. Sheski, Handbook of Parametric And Non-Parametric Statistical Procedures, Chapman & Hall/CRC, London, UK, 2004.
- [39] E. J. Grace and G. R. MacFarlane, "Assessment of the bioaccumulation of metals to chicken eggs from residential backyards," *Science of the Total Environment*, vol. 563-564, pp. 256–260, 2016.
- [40] S. W. Souci, W. Fachmann, and H. Kraut, *Tables of Food Composition*, Edición del Deutsche Forschungsanstalt für Lebensmittelchemie, Garching bei München, Germany, 1999.
- [41] [CESNID] Centre d'Esenyament Superior de Nutrició I Dietética, *Tables of Food Composition*, Edicións Universitat de Barcelona y McGraw-Hill Interamericana, Barcelona, Spain, 2004.
- [42] I. Giannenas, P. Nisianakis, A. Gavriil, G. Kontopidis, and I. Kyriazakis, "Trace mineral content of conventional, organic and courtyard eggs analysed by inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry (ICP-MS)," *Food Chemistry*, vol. 114, no. 2, pp. 706–711, 2009.
- [43] H. Demirulus, "The heavy metal content in chicken eggs consumed in Van Lake Territory," *Ekoloji*, no. 86, pp. 19–25, 2013.

- [44] S. O. Fakayode and I. B. Olu-Owolabi, "Trace metal content and estimated daily human intake from chicken eggs in ibadan, nigeria," *Archives of Environmental Health*, vol. 58, no. 4, pp. 245–251, 2003.
- [45] S. A. Abduljaleel and M. Shuhaimi-Othman, "Metals concentrations in eggs of domestic avian and estimation of health risk from eggs consumption," *Journal of Biological Sciences*, vol. 11, no. 7, pp. 448–453, 2011.
- [46] D. González-Weller, C. Rubio, Á. J. Gutiérrez et al., "Dietary intake of barium, bismuth, chromium, lithium, and strontium in a spanish population (Canary Islands, Spain)," *Food and Chemical Toxicology*, vol. 62, pp. 856–868, 2013.
- [47] C. M. A. Iwegbue, S. O. Nwozo, C. L. Overah et al., "Concentrations of selected metals in chicken eggs from commercial farms in Southern Nigeria," *Toxicological and Environmental Chemistry*, vol. 94, no. 6, pp. 1152–1163, 2012.
- [48] M. Z. Alam Chowdhury, Z. Abedin Siddique, S. M. Afzal Hossain et al., "Determination of essential and toxic metals in meats, meat products and eggs by spectrophotometric method," *Journal of the Bangladesh Chemical Society*, vol. 24, no. 2, pp. 165–172, 2011.
- [49] [EFSA] European Food Safety Authority, "Statement on the evaluation on a new study related to the bioavailability of aluminum in food," *EFSA Journal*, vol. 9, no. 5, article 2157, 2011.
- [50] [WHO] World Health Organization, "Strontium and strontium compounds," in *Concise International Chemical Assessment Document*, vol. 77 of 63, p. 1.
- [51] [EFSA] European Food Safety Authority, "EFSA Panel on contaminants in the food chain (CONTAM). Scientific opinion on lead in food," *EFSA Journal*, vol. 8, no. 4, article 1570, 2010.
- [52] [AESAN] Agencia Española de Seguridad Alimentaria y Nutrición, "Report of the scientific committee of the spanish agency for food safety and nutrition (AESAN) regarding criteria for the estimation of concentrations for the discussion of proposals for migration limits of certain heavy metals and other elements from ceramic articles intended to come into contact with foodstuffs," *Journal of the Scientific Committee*, vol. 16, pp. 11–20, 2012.



BioMed Research International







International Journal of Genomics







Submit your manuscripts at https://www.hindawi.com





The Scientific World Journal



Archaea



Anatomy Research International

Enzyme Reséarch



International Journal of Microbiology



Biochemistry Research International



Molecular Biology International



Advances in Bioinformatics



Journal of Marine Biology







International Journal of Evolutionary Biology