Klagsbrun and Lemke Oliver *Research in the Mathematical Sciences* 2014, **1**:15 http://www.resmathsci.com/content/1/1/15

 Research in the Mathematical Sciences
 a SpringerOpen Journal

RESEARCH

Open Access

The distribution of the Tamagawa ratio in the family of elliptic curves with a two-torsion point

Zev Klagsbrun¹ and Robert J Lemke Oliver^{2*}

*Correspondence: rjlo@stanford.edu ²Department of Mathematics, Stanford University, Building 380, Stanford, CA 94305, USA Full list of author information is available at the end of the article

Abstract

In recent work, Bhargava and Shankar have shown that the average size of the 2-Selmer group of an elliptic curve over Q is exactly 3, and Bhargava and Ho have shown that the average size of the 2-Selmer group in the family of elliptic curves with a marked point is exactly 6. In contrast to these results, we show that the average size of the 2-Selmer group in the family of elliptic curves with a two-torsion point is unbounded. In particular, the existence of a two-torsion point implies the existence of rational isogeny. A fundamental quantity attached to a pair of isogenous curves is the Tamagawa ratio, which measures the relative sizes of the Selmer groups associated to the isogeny and its dual. Building on previous work in which we considered the Tamagawa ratio in quadratic twist families, we show that, in the family of all elliptic curves with a two-torsion point, the Tamagawa ratio is essentially governed by a normal distribution with mean zero and growing variance.

Background

In recent work [1], Bhargava and Shankar showed that when all elliptic curves over \mathbb{Q} are ordered by height, the average size of the 2-Selmer group is equal to 3. Similar work in a preprint of Bhargava and Ho shows that the average size is 6 when the average is taken over all elliptic curves with a marked point. This result has the same flavor as that of Bhargava and Shankar, in that, after discounting for the known contribution of the marked point, the average size is 3. Here, we consider the related case where the marked point is of order two. Unlike the case of the generic marked point (which is almost always of infinite order) considered by Bhargava and Ho, the existence of this point affects the average size of the 2-Selmer group in an essential way - in particular, we show that the average size is no longer bounded.

Results

Given an elliptic curve E/\mathbb{Q} with a rational isogeny $\phi: E \to E'$ of degree p, one can associate to E a finite p-group called the ϕ -Selmer group, which we denote by $Sel_{\phi}(E/\mathbb{Q})$.



© 2014 Klagsbrun and Lemke Oliver; licensee Springer. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly credited.

Similarly, one can also associate to the dual isogeny $\hat{\phi} \colon E' \to E$ the *p*-group $\operatorname{Sel}_{\hat{\phi}}(E'/\mathbb{Q})$. The *Tamagawa ratio* is defined to be

$$\mathcal{T}(E/E') := \frac{|\operatorname{Sel}_{\phi}(E/K)|}{|\operatorname{Sel}_{\hat{\phi}}(E'/K)|}.$$

In this work, we consider the distribution of $\mathcal{T}(E/E')$ as *E* ranges over the set of elliptic curves with a rational two-torsion point.

Let $E_{A,B}$: $y^2 = x^3 + Ax^2 + Bx$ denote a generic such curve, and let $\phi : E_{A,B} \to E'_{A,B}$ be the degree two isogeny corresponding to the rational subgroup generated by the point (0,0). We are interested in the distribution of the (logarithmic) Tamagawa ratio

$$t(A,B) := \operatorname{ord}_2 \mathcal{T}(E_{A,B}/E'_{A,B})$$

Let $\mathcal{E}(X) := \{(A, B) \in \mathbb{Z}^2 : |A|, B^2 \le X, A^2 - 4B \ne 0, \text{ and, for all } p, \text{ if } p^4 | B, \text{ then, } p^2 \nmid A\}$ be the set of *A* and *B* in a box for which the model $E_{A,B}$ is minimal. Our main theorem is that, as we vary over elements of $\mathcal{E}(X)$, t(A, B) becomes normally distributed.

Theorem 1. As $X \to \infty$, the set $\{t(A, B) : (A, B) \in \mathcal{E}(X)\}$ becomes normally distributed with mean 0 and variance $2 \log \log X$. That is, for any $z \in \mathbb{R}$, we have that

$$\lim_{X\to\infty}\frac{1}{\#\mathcal{E}(X)}\#\{(A,B)\in\mathcal{E}(X):t(A,B)\leq z\sqrt{2\log\log X}\}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\int_{-\infty}^{z}e^{-t^{2}/2}dt.$$

Remark. Lemma 10 below shows that $\#\mathcal{E}(X) \sim 4X^{3/2}/\zeta(6)$.

This theorem has a nice consequence for the distribution of 2-Selmer ranks of the elliptic curves $E_{A,B}$, owing to the fact that $|Sel_{\phi}(E_{A,B}/\mathbb{Q})|$ is essentially a lower bound for $|Sel_2(E_{A,B}/\mathbb{Q})|$. As remarked above, for the family of all elliptic curves over \mathbb{Q} , Bhargava and Shankar [1] have shown that average size of the 2-Selmer group is exactly 3, and for the family of curves with a marked point, but where that point is not required to be torsion, Bhargava and Ho have shown that the average size of the 2-Selmer group is exactly 6. In contrast to these results, Theorem 1 implies the following corollary.

Corollary 2. For any integer $r \ge 0$, we have that

$$\liminf_{X\to\infty}\frac{1}{\#\mathcal{E}(X)}\#\{(A,B)\in\mathcal{E}(X):\dim_{\mathbb{F}_2}(\mathrm{Sel}_2(E_{A,B}/\mathbb{Q}))\geq r\}\geq\frac{1}{2}.$$

In particular, the average size of $Sel_2(E_{A,B}/\mathbb{Q})$ is unbounded.

Remark. Of course, Corollary 2 contradicts neither Bhargava and Shankar's result nor Bhargava and Ho's, as the set of elliptic curves with a two-torsion point is of density zero in either family.

Remark. In the forthcoming work, Kane and the first author, using different techniques, show that the average size of $\text{Sel}_{\phi}(E_{A,B}/\mathbb{Q})$ for $E_{A,B} \in \mathcal{E}(X)$ is $\asymp \sqrt{\log X}$, from which it follows that the average size of $\text{Sel}_2(E_{A,B}/\mathbb{Q})$ is $\gg \sqrt{\log X}$.

In recent work [2], the authors considered the analogous problem in the family of quadratic twists and proved the analog of Theorem 1. The key insight in that case is that the Tamagawa ratio is essentially an additive function, which could be studied by

proving a variant of the classical Erdős-Kac theorem. For the family under consideration in this paper, the Tamagawa ratio is no longer an additive function. However, it can be decomposed into two pieces which are individually additive. We adapt the proof of the Erdős-Kac theorem due to Billingsley [3] to show that these two pieces are independently and normally distributed, from which Theorem 1 follows. In the forthcoming work, we consider in greater generality these joint Erdős-Kac style theorems and we apply them to the study of simultaneous twists of elliptic curves.

Selmer groups

We begin by briefly recalling the definition of the ϕ -Selmer group of *E*.

If $E(\mathbb{Q})$ has a point *P* of order two, then there is a two-isogeny $\phi : E \to E'$ between *E* and *E'* with kernel $C = \langle P \rangle$. We have a short exact sequence of $G_{\mathbb{Q}}$ modules

$$0 \to C \to E(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}) \xrightarrow{\phi} E'(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}) \to 0 \tag{1}$$

which gives rise to a long exact sequence of cohomology groups

$$0 \to C \to E(\mathbb{Q}) \xrightarrow{\phi} E'(\mathbb{Q}) \xrightarrow{\delta} H^1(\mathbb{Q}, C) \to H^1(\mathbb{Q}, E) \to H^1(\mathbb{Q}, E') \dots$$

The map δ is given by $\delta(Q)(\sigma) = \sigma(R) - R$ where *R* is any point on $E(\overline{\mathbb{Q}})$ with $\phi(R) = Q$.

This sequence remains exact when we replace \mathbb{Q} by its completion \mathbb{Q}_{ν} at any place ν , which gives rise to the following commutative diagram.

We define a distinguished local subgroup $H^1_{\phi}(\mathbb{Q}_{\nu}, C) \subset H^1(\mathbb{Q}_{\nu}, C)$ as the image

$$\delta_{\nu}\left(E'(\mathbb{Q}_{\nu})/\phi(E(\mathbb{Q}_{\nu}))\right) \subset H^{1}(\mathbb{Q}_{\nu},C)$$

for each place ν of \mathbb{Q} and we define the ϕ -*Selmer group* of E/\mathbb{Q} , denoted Sel_{ϕ}(E/\mathbb{Q}), by

$$\operatorname{Sel}_{\phi}(E/\mathbb{Q}) = \operatorname{ker}\left(H^{1}(\mathbb{Q}, C) \xrightarrow{\sum \operatorname{Res}_{\nu}} \bigoplus_{\nu \text{ of } \mathbb{Q}} H^{1}(\mathbb{Q}_{\nu}, E[2])/H^{1}_{\phi}(\mathbb{Q}_{\nu}, C)\right).$$

The isogeny ϕ on E gives rise to a dual isogeny $\hat{\phi}$ on E' with kernel $C' = \phi(E[2])$. Exchanging the roles of (E, C, ϕ) and $(E', C', \hat{\phi})$ in the above defines the $\hat{\phi}$ -Selmer group, $\operatorname{Sel}_{\hat{\phi}}(E'/\mathbb{Q})$, as a subgroup of $H^1(\mathbb{Q}, C')$. The groups $\operatorname{Sel}_{\phi}(E/\mathbb{Q})$ and $\operatorname{Sel}_{\hat{\phi}}(E'/\mathbb{Q})$ are finite dimensional \mathbb{F}_2 -vector spaces and their ranks are related to that of the 2-Selmer group $\operatorname{Sel}_2(E/\mathbb{Q})$ via the following theorem.

Theorem 3. The ϕ -Selmer group, the $\hat{\phi}$ -Selmer group, and the 2-Selmer group sit inside the exact sequence

$$0 \to E'(\mathbb{Q})[2] / \phi(E(\mathbb{Q})[2]) \to \operatorname{Sel}_{\phi}(E/\mathbb{Q}) \to \operatorname{Sel}_{2}(E/\mathbb{Q}) \xrightarrow{\psi} \operatorname{Sel}_{\hat{\phi}}(E'/\mathbb{Q}).$$
(2)

Ŧ

Proof. This is a well-known diagram chase. See Lemma 2 in [4], for example. \Box

Tamagawa ratios

Our methods take advantage of a natural duality which exists between the groups $\operatorname{Sel}_{\phi}(E/\mathbb{Q})$ and $\operatorname{Sel}_{\hat{\phi}}(E/\mathbb{Q})$. This global duality is a consequence of a local duality between the distinguished local conditions $H^1_{\phi}(\mathbb{Q}, C)$ and $H^1_{\hat{\phi}}(\mathbb{Q}, C')$ which is established in the following two lemmas.

Lemma 4. The sequence

$$0 \to C'/\phi\left(E(\mathbb{Q}_{\nu})[2]\right) \xrightarrow{\delta_{\nu}} H^{1}_{\phi}(\mathbb{Q}_{\nu}, C) \to H^{1}_{[2]}(\mathbb{Q}_{\nu}, E[2]) \xrightarrow{\varphi} H^{1}_{\hat{\phi}}(\mathbb{Q}_{\nu}, C') \to 0$$
(3)

is exact.

Proof. This is a well-known result. See Remark X.4.7 in [5], for example.

Lemma 5 (Local Duality). For each place v of \mathbb{Q} , there is a local Tate pairing $H^1(\mathbb{Q}_v, C) \times H^1(\mathbb{Q}_v, C') \to \{\pm 1\}$ induced by a pairing $[,]: C \times C' \to \{\pm 1\}$ given by $[Q, \tilde{R}] = \langle Q, R \rangle$, where $\langle Q, R \rangle$ is the Weil pairing and R is any pre-image of \tilde{R} under ϕ . The subgroups defining the local conditions $H^1_{\phi}(\mathbb{Q}_v, C)$ and $H^1_{\phi}(\mathbb{Q}_v, C')$ are orthogonal complements under this pairing.

Proof. Orthogonality is Equation 7.15 and the immediately preceding comment in [6]. Counting dimensions of the terms in (3) shows that $H^1_{\phi}(\mathbb{Q}_{\nu}, C)$ and $H^1_{\hat{\phi}}(\mathbb{Q}_{\nu}, C')$ are not only orthogonal, but are in fact orthogonal complements.

Global duality motivates the following definition.

$$\mathcal{T}(E/E') = \frac{\left|\operatorname{Sel}_{\phi}(E/\mathbb{Q})\right|}{\left|\operatorname{Sel}_{\hat{\phi}}(E'/\mathbb{Q})\right|}$$

is called the Tamagawa ratio of E.

What is important for our application is that the Tamagawa ratio can be computed using a local product formula.

Theorem 6 (Cassels). *The Tamagawa ratio* $\mathcal{T}(E/E')$ *is given by*

$$\mathcal{T}(E/E') = \prod_{\nu \text{ of } \mathbb{Q}} \frac{\left| H^1_{\phi}(\mathbb{Q}_{\nu}, C) \right|}{2}.$$

Proof. This is a combination of Theorem 1.1 and Equations 1.22 and 3.4 in [6]. Alternatively, this follows from combining Lemma 5 with Theorem 2 in [7]. \Box

Remark. The product in Theorem 6 converges because $\frac{|H_{\phi}^{1}(\mathbb{Q}_{p},C)|}{2} = 1$ for primes *p* different from 2 where *E* has good reduction. More generally, because $H^{1}(\mathbb{Q}_{\nu},C) \simeq \mathbb{Q}_{\nu}^{\times}/(\mathbb{Q}_{\nu}^{\times})^{2}$, $|H_{\phi}^{1}(\mathbb{Q}_{\nu},C)| \leq 8$ for all places ν of \mathbb{Q} .

This next Lemma gives an easy formula for computing $\left|H^1_{\phi}(\mathbb{Q}_p, C)\right|$ for $p \neq 2$.

Lemma 7. For *p* different from 2, $\left|H_{\phi}^{1}(\mathbb{Q}_{p}, C)\right| = \frac{c'_{p}}{c_{p}}$, where c_{p} and c'_{p} are the Tamagawa fudge factors at *p* for *E* and *E'*, respectively.

Proof. This is a combination of Lemmas 4.2.(2) and 4.3 in [8].

Local conditions

If *E* is an elliptic curve with a single point of order two, then *E* is given by a model of the form $y^2 = x^3 + Ax^2 + Bx$, where the point (0, 0) has order two. If we insist that we do not have both $p^2 | A$ and $p^4 | B$ for any prime *p*, then *E* has a unique model of this form, and this model will be minimal except possibly at 2.

Given such a model, we can easily read off the reduction type of *E* at any prime $p \neq 2$.

Proposition 8. Let p be a prime different from 2.

- (i) *E* has good reduction at *E* if $p \nmid B(A^2 4B)$.
- (ii) *E* has additive reduction at *E* if p | B and $p | A^2 4B$.
- (iii) *E* has multiplicative reduction at *p* if *p* divides exactly one of $A^2 4B$ and *B*. If $p \mid A^2 4B$, then this reduction is split if and only if $\left(\frac{-2AB}{p}\right) = 1$; if $p \mid B$, then this reduction is split if and only if Bp = 1.

Proof. This follows easily from Tate's algorithm. See Section IV.9 in [9], for example. \Box

Proposition 8 tells us that for a given prime *p*, the probability that a curve *E* has multiplicative reduction at *p* is $\frac{2}{p} + O(\frac{1}{p^2})$ and the probability *E* has additive reduction at *p* is $O(\frac{1}{p^2})$. This leads us to expect that the dominant contribution towards $\mathcal{T}(E/E')$ will come from primes of multiplicative reduction and we therefore compute the contribution at such places.

Proposition 9. Suppose that E has multiplicative reduction at p different from 2. Then

$$|H^{1}_{\phi}(\mathbb{Q}_{p},C)| = \frac{c'_{p}}{c_{p}} = \begin{cases} 4 \text{ if } \operatorname{ord}_{p}(A^{2} - 4B) \text{ is odd } or \left(\frac{-2AB}{p}\right) = 1\\ 1 \text{ if } \operatorname{ord}_{p} B \text{ is odd } or \left(\frac{B}{p}\right) = 1\\ 2 \text{ otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Proof. It is easy to check that *E* and *E'* have Kodaira types I_n and $I_{n'}$ respectively, where $n = \operatorname{ord}_p(A^2 - 4B) + 2\operatorname{ord}_p B$ and $n' = 2\operatorname{ord}_p(A^2 - 4B) + \operatorname{ord}_p B$. The equality on the right is then immediate from Tate's algorithm combined with Proposition 8 (iii). The equality on the left is Lemma 7.

The distribution of the Tamagawa ratio

Recall from Theorem 6 that the Tamagawa ratio $\mathcal{T}(E/E')$ can be expressed as a product of local factors,

$$\mathcal{T}(E/E') = \prod_{\nu|2\Delta\infty} \mathcal{T}_{\nu}(E/E'),$$

one for each place of bad reduction. For the elliptic curve $E_{A,B}: y^2 = x^3 + Ax^2 + Bx$ with a two-torsion point, we can therefore express $t(A, B) = \text{ord}_2 \mathcal{T}(E/E')$ as a sum over such places,

$$t(A,B) = \sum_{\nu|2\Delta_{A,B}\infty} t_{\nu}(A,B),$$

which we can further split as

$$t(A, B) = t_{\text{mult}}(A, B) + t_{\text{add}}(A, B) + O(1),$$

where $t_{\text{mult}}(A, B)$ is the contribution from the primes of multiplicative reduction, $t_{\text{add}}(A, B)$ is the contribution from the primes of additive reduction, and the O(1) term comes from the places 2 and ∞ . As observed earlier, Proposition 8 shows that the probability that a given prime p is of multiplicative reduction is $2/p + O(1/p^2)$ and the probability it is of additive reduction is $O(1/p^2)$ (Though it is likely clear that these are roughly the correct probabilities, Lemma 10 below makes this precise.). We therefore expect that the primes of additive reduction will have a finite contribution to the distribution of the Tamagawa ratio, owing to the convergence of $\sum 1/p^2$, whereas the primes of multiplicative reduction will not. Before establishing this, we make our intuition on probabilities precise.

Lemma 10. For each prime p and for any integers a and b, let

$$\delta(p; (a, b)) := \begin{cases} \frac{p^4}{p^6 - 1} & \text{if } p \nmid a \text{ or } p \nmid b, \text{ and} \\ \frac{p^4 - 1}{p^6 - 1} & \text{if } p \mid a \text{ and } p \mid b. \end{cases}$$

Let q be a square-free integer, and let $\delta(q; (a, b)) = \prod_{p|q} \delta(p; (a, b))$. We then have that

$$\#\{(A,B) \in \mathcal{E}(X) : (A,B) \equiv (a,b) \pmod{q}\} = \delta(q;(a,b)) \cdot \frac{4X^{3/2}}{\zeta(6)} + O(q^2X + q^6X^{3/8}),$$

where $\zeta(s)$ is the Riemann zeta function.

Proof. For each prime *p*, consider the class $(a, b) \pmod{p}$. If $(a, b) \neq (0, 0) \pmod{p}$, then it lifts to p^6 classes $(\mod p^4)$, each of which is occupied by elements of $\mathcal{E}(X)$. On the other hand, if $(a, b) \equiv (0, 0) \pmod{p}$, there will be p^2 lifts $(\mod p^4)$ which are not occupied. Thus, a class $(a, b) \pmod{q}$, with *q* square-free, can be lifted $(\mod q^4)$ in exactly

$$\prod_{\substack{p \mid q \\ p \nmid a \text{ or } p \nmid b}} p^6 \prod_{\substack{p \mid q \\ p \mid a \text{ and } p \mid b}} (p^6 - p^2)$$

ways that will occur in $\mathcal{E}(X)$. Let (a', b') be such a lift. We then have that

$$\begin{split} \sum_{\substack{(A,B)\in\mathcal{E}(X):\\(A,B)\equiv(a',b')\pmod{q^4}}} 1 &= \sum_{\substack{B^2\leq X\\B\equiv b'(\bmod q^4)}} \sum_{\substack{|A|\leq X\\A\equiv a'(\bmod q^4)}} 1 \\ &= \sum_{\substack{B^2\leq X\\B\equiv b'(\bmod q^4)}} \left[\frac{2X}{q^4} \prod_{p^4|B,p\nmid q} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p^2}\right) + O\left(\prod_{p^4|B,p\nmid q} p^2\right) \right] \\ &=: \frac{2X}{q^4} \sum_{\substack{B^2\leq X\\B\equiv b'(\bmod q^4)}} f_q(B) + O\left(\sum_{\substack{B^2\leq X\\B\equiv b'(\bmod q^4)}} \prod_{p^4|B,p\nmid q} p^2\right), \end{split}$$

say, where $f_q(B)$ is multiplicative. Let $g_q := f_q * \mu$, so that $f_q(B) = \sum_{d|B} g_q(d)$; note that $g_q(d) = 0$ if (d,q) > 1. The summation in the main term is thus

$$\begin{split} \sum_{\substack{B^2 \leq X \\ B \equiv b' \pmod{q^4}}} f_q(B) &= \sum_{\substack{d \leq X^{1/2} \\ (d,q) = 1}} g_q(d) \sum_{\substack{|B| \leq X^{1/2}/d \\ B \equiv b'd^{-1} \pmod{q^4}}} 1 \\ &= \frac{2X^{1/2}}{q^4} \sum_{\substack{d \leq X^{1/2} \\ (d,q) = 1}} \frac{g_q(d)}{d} + O\left(\sum_{\substack{d \leq X^{1/2} \\ d \leq X^{1/2}}} |g_q(d)|\right). \end{split}$$

We note that the Dirichlet series $L(s, g_q)$ satisfies

$$L(s, g_q) = \prod_{p \nmid q} \left(1 - p^{-2-4s} \right) \text{ and } L(1, g_q) = \zeta(6)^{-1} \prod_{p \mid q} \left(1 - p^{-6} \right)^{-1},$$

so that

$$\sum_{\substack{B^2 \leq X \\ B \equiv b' \pmod{q^4}}} f_q(B) = \frac{2X^{1/2}}{q^4 \zeta(6)} \prod_{p \mid q} \left(1 - p^{-6}\right)^{-1} + O(1).$$

Similarly, we also find that

$$\sum_{\substack{B^2 \le X \\ B \equiv b' \pmod{q^4}}} \prod_{p^4 \mid B, p \nmid q} p^2 \ll \frac{X^{1/2}}{q^4} + X^{3/8},$$

whence

$$\sum_{\substack{(A,B)\in\mathcal{E}(X):\\(A,B)\equiv (a',b') \pmod{q^4}}} 1 = \frac{4X^{3/2}}{q^8\zeta(6)} \prod_{p|q} \left(1-p^{-6}\right)^{-1} + O\left(\frac{X}{q^4} + X^{3/8}\right).$$

Summing over lifts (a', b'), the result follows.

We are now ready to prove Theorem 1.

Proof of Theorem 1. We proceed via the method of moments, adapting an approach due to Billingsley [3] to prove the classical Erdős-Kac theorem.

We first note that the set of $(A, B) \in \mathcal{E}(X)$ for which $A^2 - 4B$ is a square is O(X), and so, in view of the fact that $\#\mathcal{E}(X) \sim 4X^{3/2}/\zeta(6)$, such (A, B) will have no contribution to the

limiting distribution. We therefore assume in the sequel that $A^2 - 4B$ is not a square, which amounts to assuming that (0, 0) is the only non-trivial two-torsion point on $E_{A,B}/\mathbb{Q}$.

Consider the functions

$$g_1(A,B) := \sum_{p|A^2 - 4B} 1 \text{ and } g_2(A,B) := \sum_{p|B} 1_p$$

and note that

$$t(A,B) = g_1(A,B) - g_2(A,B) + t_{add}(A,B) + O\left(\sum_{\substack{p^2 | A^2 - 4B \\ \text{or } p^2 | B}} 1\right),$$

where the implied constant may to be taken to be 1. Let $T = \epsilon \sqrt{\log \log X}$ and consider the error term. There are $O(X^{3/2}/p^2)$ pairs $(A,B) \in \mathcal{E}(X)$ with either $p^2 | A^2 - 4B$ or $p^2 | B$, whence there are $O(X^{3/2}/T)$ pairs satisfying these divisibility conditions for some prime p > T. For the remaining full-density subset, the contribution from the sum is manifestly $\leq T$. Similarly, there are $O(X^{3/2}/T)$ pairs (A,B) for which $t_{add}(A,B) > T$. We will now show that $g_1(A,B)$ and $g_2(A,B)$ are asymptotically independent and normally distributed, each with mean and variance $\log \log X$, from which Theorem 1 therefore follows.

For any prime p, let $\rho(p) = (p^5 - 1)/(p^6 - 1)$. A simple calculation with Lemma 10 reveals that $\rho(p)$ is both the probability that $(A, B) \in \mathcal{E}(X)$ satisfies $p \mid A^2 - 4B$ and the probability that $p \mid B$. We therefore expect that $g_1(A, B)$ and $g_2(A, B)$ should be normal with mean $\mu(X)$ and variance $\sigma^2(X)$ both given by

$$\mu(X), \sigma^2(X) = \sum_{p < X} \rho(p) = \log \log X + O(1).$$

Let $z = X^{\delta}$ for some $\delta > 0$. For each odd prime p < z, denote by D_p and D'_p random variables which are 1 with probability $\rho(p)$ and 0 with probability $1 - \rho(p)$, and are such that

$$\operatorname{Prob}(D_p = 1 \text{ and } D'_p = 1) = \frac{p^4 - 1}{p^6 - 1}.$$

In view of Lemma 10, we think of D_p and D'_p as modeling the events $p \mid B$ and $p \mid A^2 - 4B$. If we set

$$D(z) := \sum_{p < z} D_p$$
 and $D'(z) := \sum_{p < z} D'_p$,

the multidimensional central limit theorem (with Lindeberg's criterion, say) implies that, as $z \to \infty$, D(z) and D'(z) become independent and normally distributed with mean and variance each log log z. We will show that the (k_1, k_2) -mixed moment of $g_1(A, B)$ and $g_2(A, B)$ agrees with the (k_1, k_2) -mixed moment of D(z) and D'(z), and since mixed moments determine the multinormal distribution, the result will follow.

First, let $g_1(A, B; z)$ and $g_2(A, B; z)$ be defined by

$$g_1(A,B;z) := \sum_{\substack{p \mid A^2 - 4B \\ p < z}} 1 \text{ and } g_2(A,B;z) := \sum_{\substack{p \mid B \\ p < z}} 1.$$

For any integers $k_1, k_2 \ge 0$, set $z = X^{1/7(k_1+k_2)}$. Using Lemma 10, we compute that

$$\frac{1}{\#\mathcal{E}(X)} \sum_{(A,B)\in\mathcal{E}(X)} g_1(A,B;z)^{k_1} g_2(A,B;z)^{k_2} = \sum_{\substack{p_1,\dots,p_{k_1} < z \\ q_1,\dots,q_{k_2} < z \\ \text{prime}}} \frac{1}{\#\mathcal{E}(X)} \sum_{\substack{(A,B)\in\mathcal{E}(X): \\ p_i|A^2 - 4B \ \forall i \\ q_j|B \ \forall j}} 1$$
$$= \sum_{\substack{p_1,\dots,p_{k_1} < z \\ q_1,\dots,q_{k_2} < z \\ \text{prime}}} P(\mathbf{p};\mathbf{q}) + O(X^{-1/14})$$

where $P(\mathbf{p}; \mathbf{q})$ is the density of $(A, B) \in \mathcal{E}(X)$ for which each $p_i \mid A^2 - 4B$ and each $q_j \mid B$. We also observe that

$$\mathbb{E}\left[D(z)^{k_1}D'(z)^{k_2}\right] = \sum_{\substack{p_1,\dots,p_{k_1} < z \\ q_1,\dots,q_{k_2} < z \\ \text{prime}}} P(\mathbf{p}; \mathbf{q})$$

by the construction of D_p , D'_p . We therefore have, letting $\mu(z) = \log \log z$, that

$$\begin{split} \frac{1}{\#\mathcal{E}(X)} & \sum_{(A,B)\in\mathcal{E}(X)} \left(g_1(A,B;z) - \mu(z) \right)^{k_1} \left(g_2(A,B;z) - \mu(z) \right)^{k_2} \\ &= \sum_{j_1=0}^{k_1} \sum_{j_2=0}^{k_2} (-\mu(z))^{j_1+j_2} \binom{k_1}{j_1} \binom{k_2}{j_2} \cdot \\ & \cdot \frac{1}{\#\mathcal{E}(X)} \sum_{(A,B)\in\mathcal{E}(X)} g_1(A,B;z)^{k_1-j_1} g_2(A,B;z)^{k_2-j_2} \\ &= \sum_{j_1=0}^{k_1} \sum_{j_2=0}^{k_2} (-\mu(z))^{j_1+j_2} \binom{k_1}{j_1} \binom{k_2}{j_2} \mathbb{E} \left[D(z)^{k_1-j_1} D'(z)^{k_2-j_2} \right] \\ & + O(X^{-1/14}) \\ &= \mathbb{E} \left[(D(z) - \mu(z))^{k_1} (D'(z) - \mu(z))^{k_2} \right] + O(X^{-1/14}). \end{split}$$

Thus, $g_1(A, B; z)$ and $g_2(A, B; z)$ have the same moments as D(z) and D'(z). Finally, for i = 1, 2, we see that

$$g_i(A, B) - \mu(X) = g_i(A, B; z) - \mu(z) + O(1),$$

so that, by the binomial theorem and the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality,

$$\sum_{(A,B)\in\mathcal{E}(X)} (g_1(A,B) - \mu(X))^{k_1} (g_2(A,B) - \mu(X))^{k_2}$$

=
$$\sum_{(A,B)\in\mathcal{E}(X)} (g_1(A,B;z) - \mu(z))^{k_1} (g_2(A,B;z) - \mu(z))^{k_2}$$

+
$$O\left(\mu(X)^{\frac{k_1+k_2-1}{2}}\right),$$

Thus, the mixed moments of $g_1(A, B)$ and $g_2(A, B)$ converge to those of D(z) and D'(z), and the result is proved.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Acknowledgements

The second author was supported by an NSF Mathematical Sciences Postdoctoral Research Fellowship. Both authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Author details

¹Center for Communications Research, 4320 Westerra Court, San Diego, CA 92121, USA. ²Department of Mathematics, Stanford University, Building 380, Stanford, CA 94305, USA.

Received: 31 July 2014 Accepted: 9 October 2014

Published online: 02 December 2014

References

- 1. Bhargava, M, Shankar, A: Binary quartic forms having bounded invariants, and the boundedness of the average rank of elliptic curves. http://annals.math.princeton.edu/2015/181-1/p03
- 2. Klagsbrun, Z, Lemke Oliver, RJ: The distribution of 2-Selmer ranks of quadratic twists of elliptic curves with partial two-torsion. Preprint available at http://arxiv.org/abs/1307.7030 (2013)
- 3. Billingsley, P: The probability theory of additive arithmetic functions. Ann. Probability 2, 749–791 (1974)
- Flynn, EV, Grattoni, C: Descent via isogeny on elliptic curves with large rational torsion subgroups. J. Symbolic. Comput. 43(4), 293–303 (2008)
- Silverman, JH: The Arithmetic of Elliptic Curves, 2nd edn. Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 106. Springer (2009). doi:10.1007/978-0-387-09494-6. http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/978-0-387-09494-6
- Cassels, JWS: Arithmetic on curves of genus 1. VIII: on the conjectures of Birch and Swinnerton-Dyer. Journal f
 ür die reine und angewandte Mathematik (Crelles Journal). 1965(217), 180–199 (1965)
- 7. Washington, LC: The non-p-part of the class number in a cyclotomic \mathbb{Z}_p -extension. Invent. Math. **49**(1), 87–97 (1978)
- 8. Dokchitser, T, Dokchitser, V: Local invariants of isogenous elliptic curves. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. http://www.ams. org/journals/tran/0000-00/S0002-9947-2014-06271-5/
- Silverman, JH: Advanced Topics in the Arithmetic of Elliptic Curves. Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 151. Springer (1994). doi:10.1007/978-1-4612-0851-8. http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4612-0851-8

doi:10.1186/s40687-014-0015-4

Cite this article as: Klagsbrun and Lemke Oliver: The distribution of the Tamagawa ratio in the family of elliptic curves with a two-torsion point. Research in the Mathematical Sciences 2014 1:15.

Submit your manuscript to a SpringerOpen[™] journal and benefit from:

- ► Convenient online submission
- ► Rigorous peer review
- Immediate publication on acceptance
- ► Open access: articles freely available online
- ► High visibility within the field
- ▶ Retaining the copyright to your article

Submit your next manuscript at > springeropen.com