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#### Abstract

For a class of partially ordered means, we introduce a notion of the (nontrivial) cancelling mean. A simple method is given, which helps to determine cancelling means for the well-known classes of the Hölder and Stolarsky means. MSC: Primary 39B22; 26D20 Keywords: Hölder means; Stolarsky means; cancelling mean


## 1 Introduction

A mean is a map $M: \mathbb{R}_{+} \times \mathbb{R}_{+} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{+}$with a property

$$
\min (a, b) \leq M(a, b) \leq \max (a, b)
$$

for each $a, b \in \mathbb{R}_{+}$.
Denote by $\Omega$ the class of means which are symmetric (in variables $a, b$ ), reflexive and homogeneous (necessarily of order one). We shall consider in the sequel only means from this class.

The set of means can be equipped with a partial ordering defined by $M \leq N$ if and only if $M(a, b) \leq N(a, b)$ for all $a, b \in \mathbb{R}_{+}$. Thus, $\Delta$ is an ordered family of means if for any $M, N \in$ $\Delta$ we have $M \leq N$ or $N \leq M$.
Most known ordered family of means is the following family $\Delta_{0}$ of elementary means,

$$
\Delta_{0}: H \leq G \leq L \leq I \leq A \leq S
$$

where

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
H=H(a, b):=2(1 / a+1 / b)^{-1} ; & G=G(a, b):=\sqrt{a b} ; \quad L=L(a, b):=\frac{b-a}{\log b-\log a} ; \\
I=I(a, b):=\left(b^{b} / a^{a}\right)^{1 /(b-a)} / e ; \quad A=A(a, b):=\frac{a+b}{2} ; \quad S=S(a, b):=a^{\frac{a}{a+b}} b^{\frac{b}{a+b}},
\end{array}
$$

are the harmonic, geometric, logarithmic, identric, arithmetic and Gini mean, respectively.

Another example is the class of Hölder (or Power) means $\left\{A_{s}\right\}$, defined for $s \in \mathbb{R}$ as

$$
A_{s}(a, b):=\left(\frac{a^{s}+b^{s}}{2}\right)^{1 / s}, \quad A_{0}=G
$$

It is well known that the inequality $A_{s}(a, b)<A_{t}(a, b)$ holds for all $a, b \in \mathbb{R}_{+}, a \neq b$ if and only if $s<t$. This property is used in a number of papers for approximation of a particular mean by means from the class $\left\{A_{s}\right\}$.
Hence (cf. [1-3]),

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
G=A_{0}<L<A_{1 / 3} ; & A_{2 / 3}<I<A_{1}=A \\
A_{\log _{\pi} 2}<P<A_{2 / 3} ; & A_{\log _{\pi / 2} 2}<T<A_{5 / 3},
\end{array}
$$

where all bounds are best possible and Seiffert means $P$ and $T$ are defined by

$$
P=P(a, b):=\frac{a-b}{2 \arcsin \frac{a-b}{a+b}} ; \quad T=T(a, b):=\frac{a-b}{2 \arctan \frac{a-b}{a+b}} .
$$

In the recent paper [4], we introduce a more complex structured class of means $\left\{\lambda_{s}\right\}$, given by

$$
\lambda_{s}(a, b):=\frac{s-1}{s+1} \frac{A_{s+1}^{s+1}-A^{s+1}}{A_{s}^{s}-A^{s}}, \quad s \in \mathbb{R}
$$

that is,

$$
\lambda_{s}(a, b):= \begin{cases}\frac{s-1}{s+1} \frac{a^{s+1}+b^{s+1}-2\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right)^{s+1}}{a^{s}+b^{s}-2\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right)^{s}}, & s \in \mathbb{R} /\{-1,0,1\} ; \\ \frac{2 \log \frac{a+b}{2}-\log a-\log b}{2 a}+\frac{1}{2 b}-\frac{2}{a+b} & s=-1 ; \\ \frac{a \log a+b \log b-(a+b) \log \frac{a+b}{2}}{2 \log \frac{a+b}{2}-\log a-\log b}, & s=0 ; \\ \frac{(b-a)^{2}}{4\left(a \log a+b \log b-(a+b) \log \frac{a+b}{2}\right)}, & s=1,\end{cases}
$$

where the last three formulae are obtained by the proper limit processes at the points -1 , 0,1 , respectively. Those means are obviously symmetric and homogeneous of order one.
We also proved that $\lambda_{s}$ is monotone increasing in $s \in \mathbb{R}$; therefore $\left\{\lambda_{s}\right\}$ represents an ordered family of means.
Among others, the following approximations are obtained for $a \neq b$ :

$$
\lambda_{-4}<H<\lambda_{-3} ; \quad \lambda_{-1}<G<\lambda_{-1 / 2} ; \quad \lambda_{0}<L<\lambda_{1}<I<\lambda_{2}=A ; \quad \lambda_{5}<S,
$$

and there is no $s, s>5$ such that the inequality $S(a, b) \leq \lambda_{s}(a, b)$ holds for each $a, b \in \mathbb{R}^{+}$.
This last result shows that in a sense, the mean $S$ is 'greater' than any other mean from the class $\left\{\lambda_{s}\right\}$. We shall say that $S$ is the cancelling mean for the class $\left\{\lambda_{s}\right\}$.

Definition 1 The mean $S^{*}(\Delta)$ is a right cancelling mean for an ordered class of means $\Delta \subset \Omega$ if there exists $M \in \Delta, M \neq S^{*}$ such that $S^{*}(a, b) \geq M(a, b)$, but there is no mean $N \in \Delta, N \neq S^{*}$ such that the inequality $N(a, b) \geq S^{*}(a, b)$ holds for each $a, b \in \mathbb{R}_{+}$.

Definition of the left cancelling mean $S_{*}$ is analogous.
Therefore,

$$
S_{*}\left(\Delta_{0}\right)=H ; \quad S^{*}\left(\Delta_{0}\right)=S ; \quad S^{*}\left(\lambda_{s}\right)=S
$$

Of course the left and right cancelling means exist for an arbitrary ordered family of means as $S^{*}(a, b)=\max (a, b), S_{*}(a, b)=\min (a, b)$. We call them trivial.
The aim of this article is to determine non-trivial cancelling means for some well-known classes of ordered means. We shall also give a simple criteria for the right cancelling mean with a further discussion in the sequel.
As an illustration of problems and methods, which shall be treated in this paper, we prove firstly the following.

### 1.1 Cancellation theorem for the generalized logarithmic means

The family of generalized logarithmic means $\left\{L_{p}\right\}$ is given by

$$
L_{p}=L_{p}(a, b):=\left(\frac{a^{p}-b^{p}}{p(\log a-\log b)}\right)^{1 / p}, \quad p \in \mathbb{R} ; \quad L 0=G, \quad L_{1}=L
$$

It is a subclass of well-known Stolarsky means (cf. [5-7]), hence symmetric, homogeneous and monotone increasing in $p$. Therefore, it represents an ordered family of means.

Theorem 1.1 For the class $\left\{L_{p}\right\}$, we have

$$
S_{*}\left(L_{p}\right)=H, \quad S^{*}\left(L_{p}\right)=A .
$$

Moreover, for $-3<p<3, a \neq b$,

$$
S_{*}\left(L_{p}\right)=H(a, b)<L_{-3}(a, b)<L_{p}(a, b)<L_{3}(a, b)<A(a, b)=S^{*}\left(L_{p}\right),
$$

with those bounds as best possible.

Proof We prove firstly that the inequality $L_{3}(a, b)<A(a, b)$ holds for all $a, b \in \mathbb{R}_{+}, a \neq b$. Indeed,

$$
\frac{L_{3}^{3}}{A^{3}}=\frac{\left(\frac{2 a}{a+b}\right)^{3}-\left(\frac{2 b}{a+b}\right)^{3}}{3\left(\log \frac{2 a}{a+b}-\log \frac{2 b}{a+b}\right)}=\frac{(1+t)^{3}-(1-t)^{3}}{3(\log (1+t)-\log (1-t))}=\frac{3+t^{2}}{3\left(1+t^{2} / 3+t^{4} / 5+\cdots\right)}<1,
$$

where we put $t:=\frac{a-b}{a+b},-1<t<1$.
Also,

$$
\frac{L_{p}^{p}}{A^{p}}=\frac{(1+t)^{p}-(1-t)^{p}}{p(\log (1+t)-\log (1-t))}
$$

and

$$
\lim _{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{t^{2}}\left(\frac{L_{p}^{p}}{A^{p}}-1\right)=\frac{1}{6} p(p-3) .
$$

Thus, $p=3$ is the largest $p$ such that the inequality $L_{p}(a, b) \leq A(a, b)$ holds for each $a, b \in \mathbb{R}_{+}$, since for $p>3$ and $t$ sufficiently small (i.e., $a$ is sufficiently close to $b$ ) we have that $L_{p}(a, b)>A(a, b)$.

We shall show now that $A$ is the right cancelling mean for the class $\left\{L_{p}\right\}$.

Indeed, since $\lim _{t \rightarrow 1^{-}} \frac{L_{p}^{p}}{A^{p}}=0$ for fixed positive $p$, we conclude that the inequality $L_{p} \geq A$ cannot hold.

Hence by Definition $1, A$ is the right cancelling mean for the class $\left\{L_{p}\right\}$.
Noting that $H(a, b)=\frac{a b}{A(a, b)}$ and $L_{-p}(a, b)=\frac{a b}{L_{p}(a, b)}$, we readily get

$$
L_{-p}(a, b) \geq L_{-3}(a, b) \geq H(a, b)=S_{*}\left(L_{p}\right) .
$$

## 2 Characteristic number and characteristic function

Let $M=M(a, b)$ be an arbitrary homogeneous and symmetric mean. In order to facilitate determination of a non-trivial right cancelling mean, we introduce here a notion of characteristic number $\sigma(M)$ as

$$
\sigma(M):=\lim _{a / b \rightarrow \infty} \frac{M(a, b)}{A(a, b)}=M\left(2,0^{+}\right)=M\left(0^{+}, 2\right) .
$$

By homogeneity, we get

$$
\frac{M(a, b)}{A(a, b)}=M\left(\frac{2 a}{a+b}, \frac{2 b}{a+b}\right)=M\left(2 \frac{\frac{a}{b}}{\frac{a}{b}+1}, \frac{2}{\frac{a}{b}+1}\right)
$$

and the result follows.
Therefore,

$$
\sigma(H)=\sigma(G)=\sigma(L)=0 ; \quad \sigma(I)=2 / e ; \quad \sigma(A)=1 ; \quad \sigma(S)=2
$$

and, in general,

$$
0 \leq \sigma(M) \leq 2
$$

Some simple reasoning gives the next.

Theorem 2.1 Let $M, N \in \Omega$. If $M \leq N$, then $\sigma(M) \leq \sigma(N)$ but if $\sigma(M)>\sigma(N)$, then the inequality $M \leq N$ cannot hold, at least when $a / b$ is sufficiently large.

This assertion is especially important in applications.
Also,

$$
\frac{M(a, b)}{A(a, b)}=M\left(\frac{2 a}{a+b}, \frac{2 b}{a+b}\right)=M\left(1-\frac{b-a}{a+b}, 1+\frac{b-a}{a+b}\right)=M(1-t, 1+t)
$$

where $t:=\frac{b-a}{a+b},-1<t<1$.
We say that the function $\phi=\phi_{M}(t):=M(1-t, 1+t)$ is a characteristic function for $M$ (related to the arithmetic mean). If $\phi$ is analytic, then, because of $\phi(0)=1, \phi(-t)=\phi(t)$, it has a power series representation of the form

$$
\phi(t)=\sum_{0}^{\infty} a_{n} t^{2 n}, \quad a_{0}=1,0 \leq t<1
$$

In this way, a comparison between means reduces to a comparison between their characteristic functions [3, 4, 8].

Obviously,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \phi_{H}(t)=1-t^{2} ; \quad \phi_{G}(t)=\sqrt{1-t^{2}} ; \quad \phi_{L}(t)=\frac{2 t}{\log (1+t)-\log (1-t)} ; \quad \phi_{A}(t)=1 ; \\
& \phi_{I}(t)=\exp \left(\frac{(1+t) \log (1+t)-(1-t) \log (1-t)}{2 t}-1\right)  \tag{1}\\
& \phi_{S}(t)=\exp \left(\frac{1}{2}((1+t) \log (1+t)+(1-t) \log (1-t))\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

Note that

$$
\sigma(M)=\lim _{t \rightarrow 1^{-}} \phi_{M}(t) .
$$

We shall give now some applications of the above.
First of all, for an arbitrary mean $M=M(a, b)$, it is not difficult to show that $M_{s}=$ $M_{s}(a, b):=\left(M\left(a^{s}, b^{s}\right)\right)^{1 / s}$ is also a mean for $s \neq 0$. Especially $M_{-1}(a, b)=\frac{a b}{M(a, b)}$ is a mean.

Moreover, it is proved in [9] that the condition $[\log M(x, y)]_{x y}<0$ is sufficient for $M_{s}$ to be monotone increasing in $s \in \mathbb{R}$ and, if $M \in \Omega$, then $M_{0}=\lim _{s \rightarrow 0} M_{s}=G$.

For the family of means $\left\{M_{s}\right\}$, we can state the following cancellation assertion.

Theorem 2.2 Let $M \in \Omega$ with $[\log M(x, y)]_{x y}<0$ and $0<\sigma(M)<2$.
For the ordered class of means

$$
M_{s}=M_{s}(a, b):=\left(M\left(a^{s}, b^{s}\right)\right)^{1 / s} \in \Omega, \quad s \neq 0 ; \quad M_{0}=G,
$$

we have

$$
S_{*}\left(M_{s}\right)=a^{\frac{b}{a+b}} b^{\frac{a}{a+b}} ; \quad S^{*}\left(M_{s}\right)=a^{\frac{a}{a+b}} b^{\frac{b}{a+b}} .
$$

Proof For fixed $s, s>0$, we have $G=M_{0} \leq M_{s}$.
But

$$
\sigma\left(M_{s}\right)=\left(M\left(0^{+}, 2^{s}\right)\right)^{1 / s}=2^{1-1 / s}(\sigma(M))^{1 / s}<2=\sigma(S) .
$$

Therefore, by Theorem 2.1, we conclude that $S$ is the right cancelling mean for $\left\{M_{s}\right\}$.
Also $G=M_{0} \geq M_{-s}$. Since

$$
\begin{aligned}
M_{-s}(a, b) & =\left(M\left(a^{-s}, b^{-s}\right)\right)^{-1 / s}=\left(M\left((a b)^{-s} b^{s},(a b)^{-s} a^{s}\right)\right)^{-1 / s} \\
& =a b\left(M\left(b^{s}, a^{s}\right)\right)^{-1 / s}=\frac{a b}{M_{s}(a, b)}
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
a^{\frac{b}{a+b}} b^{\frac{a}{a+b}}=a^{1-\frac{a}{a+b}} b^{1-\frac{b}{a+b}}=\frac{a b}{S(a, b)},
$$

it easily follows that $a^{\frac{b}{a+b}} b^{\frac{a}{a+b}}=S_{*}\left(M_{s}\right)$.

Another consequence is the cancellation assertion for the family of Hölder means $A_{r}=$ $A_{r}(a, b):=\left(A\left(a^{r}, b^{r}\right)\right)^{1 / r}=\left(\frac{a^{r}+b^{r}}{2}\right)^{1 / r}, A_{0}=G$. Since $[\log A(x, y)]_{x y}=-\frac{1}{(x+y)^{2}}<0$, we obtain (as is already stated) that $A_{r}$ are monotone increasing with $r$.

Theorem 2.3 For $-2 \leq r \leq 2$ we have

$$
S_{*}\left(A_{r}\right)=a^{\frac{b}{a+b}} b^{\frac{a}{a+b}} \leq A_{-2}(a, b) \leq A_{r}(a, b) \leq A_{2}(a, b) \leq a^{\frac{a}{a+b}} b^{\frac{b}{a+b}}=S^{*}\left(A_{r}\right)
$$

where given constants are best possible.

Proof We have

$$
\frac{A_{r}(a, b)}{S(a, b)}=\frac{A_{r}(a, b) / A(a, b)}{S(a, b) / A(a, b)}=\frac{\phi_{A_{r}}(t)}{\phi_{S}(t)}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
f_{r}(t) & :=\log \frac{\phi_{A_{r}}(t)}{\phi_{S}(t)} \\
& =\frac{1}{r} \log \left(\frac{(1+t)^{r}+(1-t)^{r}}{2}\right)-\frac{1}{2}((1+t) \log (1+t)+(1-t) \log (1-t)), \quad 0<t<1 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Denote

$$
g(t):=2 f_{2}(t)=2 \log \frac{\phi_{A_{2}}(t)}{\phi_{S}(t)}=\log \left(1+t^{2}\right)-(1+t) \log (1+t)-(1-t) \log (1-t)
$$

Since

$$
g^{\prime}(t)=\frac{2 t}{1+t^{2}}-\log (1+t)+\log (1-t)
$$

and

$$
g^{\prime \prime}(t)=\frac{2}{1+t^{2}}-\frac{4 t^{2}}{\left(1+t^{2}\right)^{2}}-\frac{1}{1+t}-\frac{1}{1-t}=-\frac{8 t^{2}}{\left(1+t^{2}\right)\left(1-t^{4}\right)}<0
$$

we clearly have $g^{\prime}(t)<g^{\prime}(0)=0$ and $g(t)<g(0)=0$.
Therefore, the inequality $A_{2}(a, b) \leq S(a, b)$ holds for all $a, b \in \mathbb{R}_{+}$.
Also, since

$$
\lim _{t \rightarrow 0^{+}} \frac{f_{r}(t)}{t^{2}}=\frac{1}{2}(r-2)
$$

we conclude that $r=2$ is the best possible upper bound for $A_{r} \leq S$ to hold.
Values for $S_{*}\left(A_{r}\right)$ and $S^{*}\left(A_{r}\right)$ follow from Theorem 2.2.

## 3 Cancellation theorem for the class of Stolarsky means

There are plenty of papers (cf. [5-7]) studying different properties of the so-called Stolarsky (or extended) two-parametric mean value, defined for positive values of $x, y$,
$x \neq y$ by the following

$$
I_{r, s}=I_{r, s}(x, y):= \begin{cases}\left(\frac{r\left(x^{s}-y^{s}\right)}{s\left(x^{r}-y^{r}\right)}\right)^{1 /(s-r)}, & r s(r-s) \neq 0, \\ \exp \left(-\frac{1}{s}+\frac{x^{s} \log x-y^{s} \log y}{x^{s}-y^{s}}\right), & r=s \neq 0, \\ \left(\frac{x^{s}-y^{s}}{s(\log x-\log y)}\right)^{1 / s}, & s \neq 0, r=0, \\ \sqrt{x y}, & r=s=0, \\ x, & y=x>0 .\end{cases}
$$

In this form, it was introduced by Stolarsky in [6].
Most of the classical two variable means are special cases of the class $\left\{I_{r, s}\right\}$. For example, $I_{1,2}=A, I_{0,0}=I_{-1,1}=G, I_{-2,-1}=H, I_{0,1}=L, I_{1,1}=I$, etc.

The main properties of the Stolarsky means are given in the following assertion.

Proposition 3.1 Means $I_{r, s}(x, y)$ are
a. symmetric in both parameters, i.e., $I_{r, s}(x, y)=I_{s, r}(x, y)$;
b. symmetric in both variables, i.e., $I_{r, s}(x, y)=I_{r, s}(y, x)$;
c. homogeneous of order one, that is $I_{r, s}(t x, t y)=t I_{r, s}(x, y), t>0$;
d. monotone increasing in either $r$ or $s$;
e. monotone increasing in either $x$ or $y$;
f. logarithmically convex for $r, s \in \mathbb{R}_{-}$and logarithmically concave for $r, s \in \mathbb{R}_{+}$.

Theorem 3.2 For $-3 \leq r \leq s \leq 3$, we have

$$
S_{*}\left(I_{r, s}\right)=a^{\frac{b}{a+b}} b^{\frac{a}{a+b}} \leq I_{-3,-3}(a, b) \leq I_{r, s}(a, b) \leq I_{3,3}(a, b) \leq a^{\frac{a}{a+b}} b^{\frac{b}{a+b}}=S^{*}\left(I_{r, s}\right),
$$

where given constants are best possible.

Proof We prove firstly that $I_{3,3}(a, b) \leq S(a, b)$, and that $s=3$ is the largest constant such that the inequality $I_{s, s}(a, b) \leq S(a, b)$ holds for all $a, b \in \mathbb{R}_{+}$. For this aim, we need a notion of Lehmer means $l_{r}$ defined by

$$
l_{r}=l_{r}(a, b):=\frac{a^{r+1}+b^{r+1}}{a^{r}+b^{r}} .
$$

They are continuous and strictly increasing in $r \in \mathbb{R}(c f$. [8]).

Lemma 3.3 [8] $L(a, b)>l_{-\frac{1}{3}}(a, b)$ for all $a, b>0$ with $a \neq b$, and $l_{-\frac{1}{3}}(a, b)$ is the best possible lower Lehmer mean bound for the logarithmic mean $L(a, b)$.

We also need the following interesting identity, which is new to our modest knowledge.

Lemma 3.4 For all $s \in \mathbb{R} /\{0\}$, we have

$$
\log \frac{I_{s, s}(a, b)}{S(a, b)}=\frac{1}{s}\left(\frac{l_{-\frac{1}{s}}\left(a^{s}, b^{s}\right)}{L\left(a^{s}, b^{s}\right)}-1\right) .
$$

Proof Indeed, by the definition of $I_{s, s}$, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\log \frac{I_{s, s}(a, b)}{S(a, b)} & =-\frac{1}{s}+\frac{a^{s} \log a-b^{s} \log b}{a^{s}-b^{s}}-\frac{a \log a+b \log b}{a+b} \\
& =-\frac{1}{s}+a b \frac{a^{s-1}+b^{s-1}}{a+b} \frac{\log a-\log b}{a^{s}-b^{s}} \\
& =\frac{1}{s}\left(\frac{\left(a^{s}\right)^{1-1 / s}+\left(b^{s}\right)^{1-1 / s}}{\left(a^{s}\right)^{-1 / s}+\left(b^{s}\right)^{-1 / s}} \frac{\log a^{s}-\log b^{s}}{a^{s}-b^{s}}-1\right) \\
& =\frac{1}{s}\left(\frac{l_{-\frac{1}{s}}\left(a^{s}, b^{s}\right)}{L\left(a^{s}, b^{s}\right)}-1\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Now, putting $s=3$ in the identity above and applying Lemma 3.3, the proof follows immediately.

Therefore, by Property d of Proposition 3.1, for $r, s \in[-3,3]$, we get

$$
I_{r, s} \leq I_{3,3} \leq S
$$

Also, since for fixed $s, s>3$,

$$
\sigma\left(I_{s, s}\right)=2 e^{-1 / s}<2=\sigma(S),
$$

it follows by Theorem 2.1 that the mean $S$ is the right cancelling mean for $\left\{I_{s, s}\right\}$.
Similarly,

$$
I_{r, s} \geq I_{-3,-3}
$$

and the left hand side of Theorem 3.2 follows from easy-checkable relations

$$
I_{-s,-s}(a, b)=\frac{a b}{I_{s, s}(a, b)}, \quad a^{\frac{b}{a+b}} b^{\frac{a}{a+b}}=\frac{a b}{S(a, b)} .
$$

## 4 Discussion and some open questions

Obviously, the right cancelling mean $S^{*}(\Delta)$ (respectively, the left cancelling mean $S_{*}(\Delta)$ ) is not unique. For instance, $T(a, b)=\frac{1}{2}\left(S^{*}(\Delta)+\max (a, b)\right), T \in \Omega$ is also cancelling mean for the class $\Delta$.
Therefore, the mean $S$ is not an exclusive right cancelling mean in the assertions above. Moreover, we can construct a whole class of means, which may replace the mean $S$ as the right cancelling mean.

Theorem 4.1 For $r>-1$, each term of the family of means $K_{r}$,

$$
K_{r}=K_{r}(a, b):=\left(\frac{a^{r+1}+b^{r+1}}{a+b}\right)^{1 / r}, \quad K_{0}=S,
$$

can be taken as the right cancelling mean for the class $\left\{M_{s}\right\}$.

Proof We shall prove first that $K_{r}$ is monotone increasing in $r \in \mathbb{R}$. For this aim, consider the weighted arithmetic mean $A_{p, q}(x, y):=p x+q y$, where $p, q$ are arbitrary positive numbers such that $p+q=1$. Since

$$
\left[\log A_{p, q}(x, y)\right]_{x y}=-\frac{p q}{(p x+q y)^{2}}
$$

we conclude that

$$
\tilde{A}_{r}(p, q ; a, b):=\left(p a^{r}+q b^{r}\right)^{1 / r}
$$

is monotone increasing in $r \in \mathbb{R}$.
Hence, the relation

$$
\tilde{A}_{r}\left(\frac{a}{a+b}, \frac{b}{a+b} ; a, b\right)=K_{r}(a, b),
$$

yields the proof.
Now, since for fixed $r>-1$,

$$
M_{0}=G \leq A=K_{-1} \leq K_{r},
$$

and $\sigma\left(K_{r}\right)=2$, it follows that $K_{r}$ is the right cancelling mean for the class $\left\{M_{s}\right\}$, analogously to the proof of Theorem 2.2.

Finally, we propose two open questions concerning the matter above.

Q 1 Does there exist $\min \left(S^{*}\left(A_{s}\right)\right)$ ?

Denote by $\left\{K_{r}^{\prime}\right\}$ the subset of $\left\{K_{r}\right\}$ with $r>-1$, i.e., $\sigma\left(K_{r}^{\prime}\right)=2$. Then $\max \left(S_{*}\left(K_{r}^{\prime}\right)\right)=K_{-1}=A$.

Q 2 Does there exist a non-trivial right cancelling mean for the class $\left\{K_{r}^{\prime}\right\}$ ?
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