

RESEARCH

Open Access

Mean and uniform convergence of Lagrange interpolation with the Erdős-type weights

Hee Sun Jung^{1*} and Ryozi Sakai²*Correspondence:
hsun90@skku.edu¹Department of Mathematics
Education, Sungkyunkwan
University, Seoul, 110-745, Republic
of KoreaFull list of author information is
available at the end of the article**Abstract**

Let $\mathbb{R} = (-\infty, \infty)$, and let $Q \in C^1(\mathbb{R}) : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+ := [0, \infty)$ be an even function. We consider the exponential-type weights $w(x) = e^{-Q(x)}$, $x \in \mathbb{R}$. In this paper, we obtain a mean and uniform convergence theorem for the Lagrange interpolation polynomials $L_n(f)$ in L_p , $1 < p \leq \infty$ with the weight w .

MSC: 41A05**Keywords:** exponential-type weight; Lagrange interpolation polynomial

1 Introduction and preliminaries

Let $\mathbb{R} = (-\infty, \infty)$, and let $Q \in C^1(\mathbb{R}) : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+ := [0, \infty)$ be an even function, and $w(x) = \exp(-Q(x))$ be the weight such that $\int_0^\infty x^n w^2(x) dx < \infty$ for all $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$. Then we can construct the orthonormal polynomials $p_n(x) = p_n(w^2; x)$ of degree n with respect to $w^2(x)$. That is,

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} p_n(x)p_m(x)w^2(x) dx = \delta_{mn} \quad (\text{Kronecker's delta})$$

and

$$p_n(x) = \gamma_n x^n + \dots, \quad \gamma_n > 0.$$

We denote the zeros of $p_n(x)$ by

$$-\infty < x_{n,n} < x_{n-1,n} < \dots < x_{2,n} < x_{1,n} < \infty.$$

We denote the Lagrange interpolation polynomial $L_n(f; x)$ based at the zeros $\{x_{k,n}\}_{k=1}^n$ as follows:

$$L_n(f; x) := \sum_{k=1}^n f(x_{k,n})l_{k,n}(x), \quad l_{k,n}(x) := \frac{p_n(x)}{(x - x_{k,n})p'_n(x_{k,n})}.$$

A function $f : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ is said to be quasi-increasing if there exists $C > 0$ such that $f(x) \leq Cf(y)$ for $0 < x < y$.

We are interested in the following subclass of weights from [1].

Definition 1.1 Let $Q : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ be an even function satisfying the following properties:

- (a) $Q'(x)$ is continuous in \mathbb{R} , with $Q(0) = 0$.
- (b) $Q''(x)$ exists and is positive in $\mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$.
- (c) $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} Q(x) = \infty$.
- (d) The function

$$T(x) := \frac{xQ'(x)}{Q(x)}, \quad x \neq 0$$

is quasi-increasing in $(0, \infty)$ with

$$T(x) \geq \Lambda > 1, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^+ \setminus \{0\}.$$

- (e) There exists $C_1 > 0$ such that

$$\frac{Q''(x)}{|Q'(x)|} \leq C_1 \frac{|Q'(x)|}{Q(x)}, \quad \text{a.e. } x \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}.$$

Then we write $w(x) = \exp(-Q(x)) \in \mathcal{F}(C^2)$. If there also exist a compact subinterval $J (\ni 0)$ of \mathbb{R} and $C_2 > 0$ such that

$$\frac{Q''(x)}{|Q'(x)|} \geq C_2 \frac{|Q'(x)|}{Q(x)}, \quad \text{a.e. } x \in \mathbb{R} \setminus J,$$

then we write $w(x) = \exp(-Q(x)) \in \mathcal{F}(C^{2+})$.

Example 1.2 (1) If $T(x)$ is bounded, then the weight $w = \exp(-Q)$ is called the Freud-type weight. The following example is the Freud-type weight:

$$Q(x) = |x|^\alpha, \quad \alpha > 1.$$

If $T(x)$ is unbounded, then the weight $w = \exp(-Q)$ is called the Erdős-type weight. The following examples give the Erdős-type weights $w = \exp(-Q)$.

- (2) [2, Theorem 3.1] For $\alpha > 1, l = 1, 2, 3, \dots$

$$Q(x) = Q_{l,\alpha}(x) = \exp_l(|x|^\alpha) - \exp_l(0),$$

where

$$\exp_l(x) = \exp(\exp(\exp \cdots \exp x) \cdots) \quad (l\text{-times}).$$

More generally, we define for $\alpha + u > 1, \alpha \geq 0, u \geq 0$ and $l \geq 1$,

$$Q_{l,\alpha,u}(x) := |x|^u (\exp_l(|x|^\alpha) - \alpha^* \exp_l(0)),$$

where $\alpha^* = 0$ if $\alpha = 0$, otherwise $\alpha^* = 1$. (We note that $Q_{l,0,u}(x)$ gives a Freud-type weight.)

- (3) We define $Q_\alpha(x) := (1 + |x|)^{|x|^\alpha} - 1, \alpha > 1$.

In this paper, we investigate the convergence of the Lagrange interpolation polynomials with respect to the weight $w \in \mathcal{F}(C^2_+)$. When we consider the Erdős-type weights, the following definition follows from Damelin and Lubinsky [3].

Definition 1.3 Let $w(x) = \exp(-Q(x))$, where $Q : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is even and continuous. Q'' exists in $(0, \infty)$, $Q^{(j)} \geq 0$, in $(0, \infty)$, $j = 0, 1, 2$, and the function

$$T^*(x) := 1 + \frac{xQ''(x)}{Q'(x)}$$

is increasing in $(0, \infty)$ with

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} T^*(x) = \infty; \quad T^*(0+) := \lim_{x \rightarrow 0+} T^*(x) > 1. \tag{1.1}$$

Moreover, we assume that for some constants $C_1, C_2, C_3 > 0$,

$$C_1 \leq T^*(x) / \left(\frac{xQ'(x)}{Q(x)} \right) \leq C_2, \quad x \geq C_3,$$

and for every $\varepsilon > 0$,

$$T^*(x) = O(Q(x)^\varepsilon), \quad x \rightarrow \infty. \tag{1.2}$$

Then we write $w \in \mathcal{E}$.

Damelin and Lubinsky [3] got the following results with the Erdős-type weights $w = \exp(-Q) \in \mathcal{E}$.

Theorem A ([3, Theorem 1.3]) *Let $w = \exp(-Q) \in \mathcal{E}$. Let $L_n(f, x)$ denote the Lagrange interpolation polynomial to f at the zeros of $p_n(w^2, x)$. Let $1 < p < \infty$, $\Delta \in \mathbb{R}$, $\kappa > 0$. Then for*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left\| (f - L_n(f))w(1+Q)^{-\Delta} \right\|_{L_p(\mathbb{R})} = 0$$

to hold for every continuous function $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfying

$$\lim_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} |f(x)w(x)(\log|x|)^{1+\kappa}| = 0,$$

it is necessary and sufficient that

$$\Delta > \max \left\{ 0, \frac{2}{3} \left(\frac{1}{4} - \frac{1}{p} \right) \right\}.$$

Our main purpose in this paper is to give mean and uniform convergence theorems with respect to $\{L_n(f)\}$, $n = 1, 2, \dots$, in L_p -norm, $1 < p \leq \infty$. The proof for $1 < p < \infty$ will be shown by use of the method of Damelin and Lubinsky. In Section 2, we write the main theorems. In Section 3, we prepare some fundamental lemmas; and in Section 4, we will prove the theorem for $1 < p < \infty$. Finally, we will prove the theorem for the uniform convergence in Section 5.

For any nonzero real-valued functions $f(x)$ and $g(x)$, we write $f(x) \sim g(x)$ if there exist constants $C_1, C_2 > 0$ independent of x such that $C_1g(x) \leq f(x) \leq C_2g(x)$ for all x . Similarly, for any two sequences of positive numbers $\{c_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ and $\{d_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$, we define $c_n \sim d_n$. We denote the class of polynomials of degree at most n by \mathcal{P}_n .

Throughout C, C_1, C_2, \dots denote positive constants independent of n, x, t , and polynomials of degree at most n . The same symbol does not necessarily denote the same constant in different occurrences.

2 Theorems

In the following, we introduce useful notations. Mhaskar-Rakhmanov-Saff numbers (MRS) a_x are defined as the positive roots of the following equations:

$$x = \frac{2}{\pi} \int_0^1 \frac{a_x u Q'(a_x u)}{(1-u^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}} du, \quad x > 0.$$

The function $\varphi_u(x)$ is defined as follows:

$$\varphi_u(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{a_u}{u} \frac{1 - \frac{|x|}{a_{2u}}}{\sqrt{1 - \frac{|x|}{a_u} + \delta_u}}, & |x| \leq a_u, \\ \varphi_u(a_u), & a_u < |x|, \end{cases}$$

where

$$\delta_x = (xT(a_x))^{-\frac{2}{3}}, \quad x > 0.$$

We define

$$\Phi(x) := \frac{1}{(1 + Q(x))^{\frac{2}{3}} T(x)}$$

and

$$\Phi_n(x) := \max \left\{ \delta_n, 1 - \frac{|x|}{a_n} \right\}.$$

Here we note that for $0 < d \leq |x|$,

$$\Phi(x) \sim \frac{Q(x)^{\frac{1}{3}}}{xQ'(x)}$$

and we see

$$\Phi(x) \leq C\Phi_n(x), \quad n \geq 1$$

(see Lemma 3.3 below). Moreover, we define

$$\Phi^{(\frac{1}{4} - \frac{1}{p})^+}(x) := \begin{cases} 1, & 0 < p < 4, \\ \Phi^{\frac{1}{4} - \frac{1}{p}}(x), & 4 \leq p \leq \infty. \end{cases}$$

Let $1 < p < \infty$. We give a convergence theorem as an analogy of Theorem A for $L_n(f)$ in L_p -norm. We need to prepare a lemma.

Lemma 2.1 ([4, Theorem 1.6]) *Let $w = \exp(-Q) \in \mathcal{F}(C^2+)$.*

(a) *Let $T(x)$ be unbounded. Then for any $\eta > 0$, there exists a constant $C(\eta) > 0$ such that for $t \geq 1$,*

$$a_t \leq C(\eta)t^\eta.$$

(b) *Assume*

$$\frac{Q''(x)}{Q'(x)} \leq \lambda(b) \frac{Q'(x)}{Q(x)}, \quad |x| \geq b > 0, \tag{2.1}$$

where $b > 0$ is large enough. Suppose that there exist constants $\eta > 0$ and $C_1 > 0$ such that $a_t \leq C_1 t^\eta$. If $\lambda := \lambda(b) > 1$, then there exists a constant $C(\lambda, \eta)$ such that for $a_t \geq 1$,

$$T(a_t) \leq C(\lambda, \eta) t^{\frac{2(\eta+\lambda-1)}{\lambda+1}}. \tag{2.2}$$

If $0 < \lambda \leq 1$, then for any $\mu > 0$, there exists $C(\lambda, \mu)$ such that

$$T(a_t) \leq C(\lambda, \mu) t^\mu, \quad t \geq 1. \tag{2.3}$$

For a fixed constant $\beta > 0$, we define

$$\phi(x) := (1 + x^2)^{-\beta/2}. \tag{2.4}$$

Using this function, we have the following theorem. We suppose that the weight w is the Erdős-type weight.

Our theorem is as follows. Let $f \in C_0(\mathbb{R})$ mean that $f \in C(\mathbb{R})$ and $\lim_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} f(x) = 0$.

Theorem 2.2 *Let $w = \exp(-Q) \in \mathcal{F}(C^2+)$, and let $T(x)$ be unbounded. Let $1 < p < \infty$ and $\beta > 0$, and let us define ϕ as (2.4), and $\lambda = \lambda(b) \geq 1$ as (2.1). We suppose that for $f \in C(\mathbb{R})$,*

$$\phi^{-1}(x)w(x)f(x) \in C_0(\mathbb{R}),$$

and

$$\Delta > \frac{9}{4} \frac{\lambda - 1}{3\lambda - 1}. \tag{2.5}$$

Then we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left\| (f - L_n(f)) w \Phi^{\Delta + (\frac{1}{4} - \frac{1}{p})^+} \right\|_{L_p(\mathbb{R})} = 0.$$

We remark that if $w \in \mathcal{F}(C^2+)$ is the Erdős-type weight, then we have $\lambda = \lambda(b) \geq 1$ in (2.1). In fact, if $\lambda < 1$, then by Lemma 3.9 below, we see that for $x \geq b > 0$,

$$T(x) = \frac{xQ'(x)}{Q(x)} \leq \frac{x}{Q(x)} Q'(b) \left(\frac{Q(x)}{Q(b)} \right)^\lambda = \frac{Q'(b)}{Q(b)^\lambda} \frac{x}{Q(x)^{1-\lambda}} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } x \rightarrow \infty.$$

This contradicts our assumption for $T(x)$. In Example 1.2, we consider the weight $w_{l,\alpha,m} = \exp(-Q_{l,\alpha,m})$. In (2.1), we set $Q := Q_{l,\alpha,m}$ and $\lambda := \lambda(b)$. If $w_{l,\alpha,m}$ is an Erdős-type weight, that is, $T(x) := T_{l,\alpha,m}(x)$ is unbounded, then it is easy to show

$$\lim_{b \rightarrow \infty} \lambda(b) = 1.$$

Therefore, when we give any $\Delta > 0$, there exists a constant b large enough such that

$$\Delta > \frac{9}{4} \frac{\lambda(b) - 1}{3\lambda(b) - 1}.$$

Hence, we have the following corollary.

Corollary 2.3 *Let $1 < p < \infty$ and $\Delta > 0$. Then for the weight $w_{l,\alpha,m} = \exp(-Q_{l,\alpha,m})$ ($\alpha > 0$), we have*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left\| (f - L_n(f)) w_{l,\alpha,m} \Phi^{\Delta + (\frac{1}{4} - \frac{1}{p})^+} \right\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R})} = 0.$$

We also consider the case of $p = \infty$.

Theorem 2.4 *Let $w = \exp(-Q) \in \mathcal{F}(C^2_+)$, and let $T(x)$ be unbounded. For every $f \in C_0(\mathbb{R})$ and $n \geq 1$, we have*

$$\left\| (f - L_n(f)) w \Phi^{3/4} \right\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R})} \leq C E_{n-1}(w; f) \log n,$$

where

$$E_m(w; f) = \inf_{P_m \in \mathcal{P}_m} \max_{x \in \mathbb{R}} |(f(x) - P_m(x)) w(x)|, \quad m = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

Moreover, if $f^{(r)}$, $r \geq 1$, is an integer, then for $n > r + 1$ we have

$$\left\| (f - L_n(f)) w \Phi^{3/4} \right\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R})} \leq C \left(\frac{a_n}{n} \right)^r E_{n-r-1}(w; f^{(r)}) \log n.$$

3 Fundamental lemmas

To prove the theorems we need some lemmas.

Lemma 3.1 *Let $w = \exp(-Q) \in \mathcal{F}(C^2_+)$. Then we have the following.*

(a) [1, Lemma 3.11(a), (b)] *Given fixed $0 < \alpha, \alpha \neq 1$, we have uniformly for $t > 0$,*

$$\left| 1 - \frac{a_{\alpha t}}{a_t} \right| \sim \frac{1}{T(a_t)},$$

and we have for $t > 0$,

$$\left| 1 - \frac{a_t}{a_s} \right| \sim \frac{1}{T(a_t)} \left| 1 - \frac{t}{s} \right|, \quad \frac{1}{2} \leq \frac{t}{s} \leq 2.$$

(b) [1, Lemma 3.7 (3.38)] *For some $0 < \varepsilon \leq 2$, and for large enough t ,*

$$T(a_t) \leq t^{2-\varepsilon}.$$

Lemma 3.2 Let $w = \exp(-Q) \in \mathcal{F}(C^2+)$. Then we have the following.

(a) [1, Lemma 3.5(a), (b)] Let $L > 0$ be a fixed constant. Uniformly for $t > 0$,

$$Q(a_{Lt}) \sim Q(a_t) \quad \text{and} \quad Q'(a_{Lt}) \sim Q'(a_t).$$

Moreover,

$$a_{Lt} \sim a_t \quad \text{and} \quad T(a_{Lt}) \sim T(a_t).$$

(b) [1, Lemma 3.4 (3.18), (3.17)] Uniformly for $x > 0$ with $a_t := x$, $t > 0$, we have

$$Q'(x) \sim \frac{t\sqrt{T(x)}}{a_t} \quad \text{and} \quad Q(x) \sim \frac{t}{\sqrt{T(x)}}.$$

(c) [1, Lemma 3.8(a)] For $x \in [0, a_t)$,

$$Q'(x) \leq C \frac{t}{a_t} \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - \frac{x}{a_t}}}.$$

Lemma 3.3 Let $w = \exp(-Q) \in \mathcal{F}(C^2+)$. For $x \in \mathbb{R}$, we have

$$\Phi(x) \leq C\Phi_n(x), \quad n \geq 1.$$

Proof Let $x = a_u$, $u \geq 1$. By Lemma 3.2(b), we have

$$u \sim Q(a_u)\sqrt{T(a_u)}.$$

So, we have

$$\delta_u^{-1} \sim Q^{\frac{2}{3}}(a_u)T(a_u) = \frac{a_u Q'(a_u)}{Q^{\frac{1}{3}}(a_u)} = \frac{x Q'(x)}{Q^{\frac{1}{3}}(x)}. \tag{3.1}$$

Now, if $u \leq \frac{n}{2}$, then we have

$$\begin{aligned} 1 - \frac{a_u}{a_n} &\geq 1 - \frac{a_{n/2}}{a_n} \sim \frac{1}{T(a_n)} \quad (\text{by Lemma 3.1(a)}) \\ &\geq \frac{1}{(nT(a_n))^{\frac{2}{3}}} = \delta_n \quad (\text{by Lemma 3.1(b)}). \end{aligned}$$

So, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_n(x) &= 1 - \frac{a_u}{a_n} \geq 1 - \frac{a_u}{a_{2u}} \sim \frac{1}{T(a_u)} \quad (\text{by Lemma 3.1(a)}) \\ &\geq \frac{1}{(uT(a_u))^{\frac{2}{3}}} = \delta_u \sim \Phi(x) \quad (\text{by Lemma 3.2(b) and (3.1)}). \end{aligned}$$

Let $\frac{n}{2} < u < n$. Then we have

$$\Phi_n(x) \geq \delta_n \sim \delta_u \sim \Phi(x) \quad (\text{by Lemma 3.2(a), (b) and (3.1)}). \quad \square$$

Lemma 3.4 *Let $w \in \mathcal{F}(C^2+)$. Then we have the following.*

(a) [1, Theorem 1.19(f)] *For the minimum positive zero $x_{[n/2],n}$,*

$$x_{[n/2],n} \sim \frac{a_n}{n},$$

and for the maximum zero $x_{1,n}$,

$$1 - \frac{x_{1,n}}{a_n} \sim \delta_n.$$

(b) [1, Theorem 1.19(e)] *For $n \geq 1$ and $1 \leq j \leq n - 1$,*

$$x_{j,n} - x_{j+1,n} \sim \varphi_n(x_{j,n}).$$

(c) [1, p.329, (12.20)] *Uniformly for $n \geq 1, 1 \leq k \leq n - 1$,*

$$\varphi_n(x_{k,n}) \sim \varphi_n(x_{k+1,n}).$$

(d) *Let $\max\{|x_{k,n}|, |x_{k+1,n}|\} \leq a_{\alpha n}, 0 < \alpha < 1$. Then we have*

$$w(x_{k,n}) \sim w(x_{k+1,n}) \sim w(x) \quad (x_{k+1,n} \leq x \leq x_{k,n}).$$

So, for given $C > 0$ and $|x| \leq a_{\beta n}, 0 < \beta < \alpha$, if $|x - x_{k,n}| \leq C\varphi_n(x)$, then we have

$$w(x) \sim w(x_{k,n}).$$

Proof (d) Let $\max\{|x_{k,n}|, |x_{k+1,n}|\} = |x_{k,n}|$ (for the case of $\max\{|x_{k,n}|, |x_{k+1,n}|\} = |x_{k+1,n}|$, we also have the result similarly). By (b) there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that

$$|x_{k,n} - x_{k+1,n}| \leq C\varphi_n(x_{k,n}).$$

Then we see

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_n(x_{k,n}) &\sim \frac{a_n}{n} \frac{1 - \frac{|x_{k,n}|}{a_{2n}}}{\sqrt{1 - \frac{|x_{k,n}|}{a_n}}} = \frac{a_n}{n} \frac{1 - \frac{|x_{k,n}|}{a_n} + |x_{k,n}| \left\{ \frac{1}{a_n} - \frac{1}{a_{2n}} \right\}}{\sqrt{1 - \frac{|x_{k,n}|}{a_n}}} \\ &= \frac{a_n}{n} \frac{1 - \frac{|x_{k,n}|}{a_n} + \frac{|x_{k,n}|}{a_n} \left(1 - \frac{a_n}{a_{2n}}\right)}{\sqrt{1 - \frac{|x_{k,n}|}{a_n}}} \sim \frac{a_n}{n} \frac{1 - \frac{|x_{k,n}|}{a_n} + C \frac{|x_{k,n}|}{a_n} \frac{1}{T(a_n)}}{\sqrt{1 - \frac{|x_{k,n}|}{a_n}}} \\ &\sim \frac{a_n}{n} \sqrt{1 - \frac{|x_{k,n}|}{a_n}}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.2}$$

Therefore, from (3.2) and Lemma 3.2(c), we have

$$\begin{aligned} |Q(x_{k,n}) - Q(x_{k+1,n})| &= |Q'(\xi)(x_{k,n} - x_{k+1,n})| \leq C|Q'(\xi)|\varphi_n(x) \quad (x_{k+1,n} \leq \xi \leq x_{k,n}) \\ &\leq C|Q'(x_{k,n})| \frac{a_n}{n} \sqrt{1 - \frac{|x_{k,n}|}{a_n}} \leq C \frac{n}{a_n} \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - \frac{|x_{k,n}|}{a_n}}} \frac{a_n}{n} \sqrt{1 - \frac{|x_{k,n}|}{a_n}} \leq C. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently,

$$w(x_{k,n}) \sim w(x_{k+1,n}) \sim w(x) \quad (x_{k+1,n} \leq x \leq x_{k,n}).$$

Let $|x - x_{k,n}| \leq C\varphi_n(x)$ and $|x| \leq a_{\beta n}$. Then we see that there exists $n_0 > 0$ such that $|x_{k,n}| \leq a_{\alpha n}$, $n \geq n_0$. In fact, we can show it as follows. We use Lemma 3.1(a) and (b). For $|x| \leq a_{\beta n}$, we see

$$|x_{k,n}| \leq |x| + C\varphi_n(x) \leq |x| + C\frac{a_n}{n} \sqrt{1 - \frac{|x|}{a_n}},$$

and if we take n large enough, then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \left(t + C\frac{a_n}{n} \sqrt{1 - \frac{t}{a_n}} \right) &= 1 - C\frac{1}{n} \frac{1}{2\sqrt{1 - \frac{t}{a_n}}} \geq 1 - C\frac{1}{n} \frac{1}{2\sqrt{1 - \frac{a_n/3}{a_n}}} \\ &\geq 1 - C\frac{\sqrt{T(a_n)}}{2n} \geq 1 - C\frac{1}{2n^{\epsilon/2}} > 0, \end{aligned}$$

that is, $g(t) = t + C\frac{a_n}{n} \sqrt{1 - \frac{t}{a_n}}$ is increasing. So, we see

$$|x_{k,n}| \leq a_{\beta n} + C\frac{a_n}{n} \sqrt{1 - \frac{a_{\beta n}}{a_n}} \leq a_{\beta n} + C\frac{a_n}{n} \frac{1}{\sqrt{T(a_n)}}.$$

Therefore, we have

$$\begin{aligned} a_{\alpha n} - \left(a_{\beta n} + C\frac{a_n}{n} \frac{1}{\sqrt{T(a_n)}} \right) &\sim \frac{a_n}{T(a_n)} - C\frac{a_n}{n} \frac{1}{\sqrt{T(a_n)}} \\ &= \frac{a_n}{T(a_n)} \left(1 - C\frac{\sqrt{T(a_n)}}{n} \right) \geq \frac{a_n}{T(a_n)} \left(1 - C\frac{1}{n^{\epsilon/2}} \right) > 0. \end{aligned}$$

Now, we can show (d). Without loss of generality, we may assume $x \in [x_{j+1,n}, x_{j,n}] \subset \{x_{k,n} \mid |x - x_{k,n}| \leq C\varphi_n(x)\}$. We define

$$x_{k_1,n} := \min\{x_{k,n} \mid |x - x_{k,n}| \leq C\varphi_n(x)\}, \quad x_{k_2,n} := \max\{x_{k,n} \mid |x - x_{k,n}| \leq C\varphi_n(x)\}.$$

Here we note that k_1, k_2 are decided depending only on the constant C . Then by former result, we have

$$w(x_{k_1,n}) \sim w(x_{k_2,n}) \sim w(x) \quad (x_{k_1,n} \leq x \leq x_{k_2,n}). \quad \square$$

Lemma 3.5 *Let $w = \exp(-Q) \in \mathcal{F}(C^2+)$. Then we have the following.*

(a) [1, Theorem 1.17] *Uniformly for $n \geq 1$,*

$$\sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} |p_n(x)| w(x) |x^2 - a_n^2|^{\frac{1}{4}} \sim 1.$$

(b) [1, Theorem 1.19(a)] *Uniformly for $n \geq 1$ and $1 \leq j \leq n$,*

$$|(p'_n w)(x_{j,n})| \sim \varphi_n^{-1}(x_{j,n}) a_n^{-\frac{1}{2}} \left(1 - \frac{|x_{j,n}|}{a_n} \right)^{-\frac{1}{4}}.$$

(c) [1, Theorem 1.19(d)] For $x \in [x_{k+1,n}, x_{k,n}]$, if $k \leq n-1$,

$$|p_n(x)w(x)| \sim \min\{|x - x_{k,n}|, |x - x_{k+1,n}|\} a_n^{1/2} \varphi_n(x)^{-1} \left(1 - \frac{|x_{k,n}|}{a_n}\right)^{-1/4}.$$

Lemma 3.6 (cf. [5, Theorem 2.7]) Let $w \in \mathcal{F}(C^2+)$ and $0 < p \leq \infty$. Then uniformly $n \geq 2$,

$$\|\Phi^{(\frac{1}{4} - \frac{1}{p})^+} p_n w\|_{L_p(\mathbb{R})} \leq C a_n^{\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{2}} \begin{cases} 1, & 0 < p < 4 \text{ or } p = \infty; \\ \log(1+n), & 4 \leq p, \end{cases}$$

where $x^+ = 0$ if $x \leq 0$, $x^+ = x$ if $x > 0$.

Proof From Lemma 3.3, we know $\Phi(x) \leq \Phi_n(x)$, then in [5, Theorem 2.7] we only exchange Φ_n with Φ . □

Let $f \in L_{p,w}(\mathbb{R})$. The Fourier-type series of f is defined by

$$\tilde{f}(x) := \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k(w^2, f) p_k(w^2, x), \quad a_k(w^2, f) := \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(t) p_k(w^2, t) w^2(t) dt.$$

We denote the partial sum of $\tilde{f}(x)$ by

$$s_n(f, x) := s_n(w^2, f, x) := \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} a_k(w^2, f) p_k(w^2, x).$$

The partial sum $s_n(f)$ admits the representation

$$s_n(f, x) = \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} a_j p_j(x) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(t) K_n(x, t) w^2(t) dt,$$

where

$$K_n(x, t) := \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} p_j(x) p_j(t).$$

The Christoffel-Darboux formula

$$K_n(x, t) = \frac{\gamma_{n-1} p_n(x) p_{n-1}(t) - p_{n-1}(x) p_n(t)}{\gamma_n (x - t)} \tag{3.3}$$

is well known (see [6, Theorem 1.1.4]).

Lemma 3.7 ([6, Lemma 9.2.6]) Let $1 < p < \infty$ and $g \in L_p(\mathbb{R})$. Then for the Hilbert transform

$$H(g, x) := \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \int_{|x-t| \geq \varepsilon} \frac{g(t)}{x-t} dt, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}, \tag{3.4}$$

we have

$$\|H(g)\|_{L_p(\mathbb{R})} \leq C\|g\|_{L_p(\mathbb{R})},$$

where $C > 0$ is a constant depending upon p only.

Lemma 3.8 (see [7, Theorem 1.4, Theorem 1.6]) *Let $w = \exp(-Q) \in \mathcal{F}(C^2)$, $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ and $\gamma \geq 0$. Then for any $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists a polynomial P such that*

$$\|(f(x) - P(x))(1 + x^2)^\gamma w(x)\|_{L_p(\mathbb{R})} < \varepsilon.$$

Lemma 3.9 *Let $w \in \mathcal{F}(C^2+)$ be an Erdős-type weight, that is, $T(x)$ is unbounded. Then for any $M > 1$, there exist $x_M > 0$ and $C_M > 0$ such that*

$$Q(x) \geq C_M x^M, \quad x \geq x_M.$$

Proof For every $M > 1$, there exists $x_M > 0$ such that $T(x) \geq M$ for $x \geq x_M$, so that $Q'(x)/Q(x) = T(x)/x \geq M/x$ for $x \geq x_M$. Hence, we see

$$\log \frac{Q(x)}{Q(x_M)} \geq \log \left(\frac{x}{x_M} \right)^M, \quad x \geq x_M,$$

that is,

$$Q(x) \geq \frac{Q(x_M)}{(x_M)^M} x^M, \quad x \geq x_M.$$

Let us put $C_M := Q(x_M)/(x_M)^M$. □

4 Proof of Theorem 2.2 by Damelin and Lubinsky methods

In this section, we assume $w \in \mathcal{F}(C^2+)$. To prove the theorem we need some lemmas, and we will use the Damelin and Lubinsky methods of [3].

Lemma 4.1 (cf. [3, Lemma 3.1]) *Let $w \in \mathcal{F}(C^2+)$. Let $0 < \alpha < \frac{1}{4}$ and*

$$\sum_n(x) := \sum_{|x_{k,n}| \geq a_{\alpha n}} |l_{k,n}(x)| w^{-1}(x_{k,n}).$$

Then we have for $|x| \leq a_{\alpha n/2}$ and $|x| \geq a_{2n}$,

$$\sum_n(x) w(x) \leq C.$$

Moreover, for $a_{\alpha n/2} \leq |x| \leq a_{2n}$,

$$\sum_n(x) w(x) \leq C(\log n + a_n^{\frac{1}{2}} |p_n(x) w(x)| T^{-\frac{1}{4}}(a_n)).$$

Proof The proof of [3, Lemma 3.1] holds without the condition (1.2) and the second condition in (1.1) and under the assumption of the quasi-increasingness of $T(x)$. The conditions in Definition 1.1 contain all the conditions in Definition 1.3 except for (1.2) and the second condition in (1.1). We see that in [3, Lemma 3.1] we can replace $T^*(x)$ with $T(x)$. \square

Lemma 4.2 ([3, Lemma 3.2]) *Let $0 < \eta < 1$. Let $\psi : \mathbb{R} \mapsto (0, \infty)$ be a continuous function with the following property: For $n \geq 1$, there exist polynomials R_n of degree $\leq n$ such that*

$$C_1 \leq \frac{\psi(t)}{R_n(t)} \leq C_2, \quad |t| \leq a_{4n}.$$

Then for $n \geq n_0$ and $P \in \mathcal{P}_n$,

$$\sum_{|x_{k,n}| \leq a_{\eta n}} \lambda_{k,n} |P(x_{k,n})| w^{-1}(x_{k,n}) \psi(x_{k,n}) \leq C \int_{-a_{4n}}^{a_{4n}} |P(t)w(t)| \psi(t) dt.$$

Remark 4.3 To prove Lemma 4.7 below, we apply this lemma with $\psi(t) = \phi(t) = (1 + t^2)^{-\beta/2}$, $\beta > 0$. In fact, when $\phi^*(x) = \phi(t)$, $t = a_{4n}x$, we can approximate ϕ^* by polynomials $R_n^* \in \mathcal{P}_n$ on $[-1, 1]$, that is, for any $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $R_n^* \in \mathcal{P}_n$ such that

$$|\phi^*(x) - R_n^*(x)| < \varepsilon, \quad x \in [-1, 1].$$

Therefore,

$$\left| \frac{R_n^*(x)}{\phi^*(x)} - 1 \right| < \frac{\varepsilon}{\phi^*(x)}, \quad x \in [-1, 1],$$

and so there exist $C_1, C_2 > 0$ such that

$$C_1 \leq 1 - \frac{\varepsilon}{\phi^*(x)} \leq \left| \frac{R_n^*(x)}{\phi^*(x)} \right| < 1 + \frac{\varepsilon}{\phi^*(x)} \leq C_2, \quad x \in [-1, 1].$$

Now, if we set $R_n(t) = R_n^*(x)$, then we have the result.

Lemma 4.4 (cf. [3, Lemma 4.1]) *Let $\{f_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ be a sequence of measurable functions from $\mathbb{R} \mapsto \mathbb{R}$ such that for $n \geq 1$,*

$$f_n(x) = 0, \quad |x| < a_{\frac{n}{9}}; \quad |f_n(x)|w(x) \leq \phi(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Then for $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ and $\Delta > 0$, we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|L_n(f_n)w\Phi^{\Delta+(\frac{1}{4}-\frac{1}{p})^+}\|_{L_p(\mathbb{R})} = 0. \tag{4.1}$$

Proof Let $|x| \leq a_{\frac{n}{18}}$ or $|x| \geq a_{2n}$. We use the first inequality of Lemma 4.1 with $\alpha = \frac{1}{9}$, then from the assumption with respect to f_n , we see that

$$|L_n(f_n; x)w(x)| \leq \phi(a_{\frac{n}{9}}) \sum_{|x_{k,n}| \geq a_{\frac{n}{9}}} |l_{k,n}(x)|w^{-1}(x_{k,n})w(x) \leq C_1\phi(a_{\frac{n}{9}}).$$

So,

$$\begin{aligned} \|L_n(f_n)w\Phi^{\Delta+(\frac{1}{4}-\frac{1}{p})^+}\|_{L_p(|x|\leq a_{\frac{n}{18}} \text{ or } |x|\geq a_{2n})} &\leq \phi(a_{\frac{n}{9}})\|\Phi^{\Delta+(\frac{1}{4}-\frac{1}{p})^+}\|_{L_p(\mathbb{R})} \\ &\leq C_2\phi(a_{\frac{n}{9}}) = o(1) \end{aligned} \tag{4.2}$$

by Lemma 3.9 (note the definition of $\Phi(x)$) and the definition of ϕ in (2.4). Next, we let $a_{\frac{n}{18}} \leq |x| \leq a_{2n}$. From the second inequality in Lemma 4.1, we see that

$$|L_n(f_n; x)w(x)| \leq \phi(a_{\frac{n}{9}})(\log n + a_n^{\frac{1}{2}}|p_n(x)|w(x)T^{-\frac{1}{4}}(a_n)).$$

Also, for this range of x , we see that

$$\Phi(x) = \frac{1}{(1 + Q(x))^{\frac{2}{3}} T(x)} \sim \frac{1}{(1 + Q(a_n))^{\frac{2}{3}} T(a_n)} \sim \frac{T^{\frac{1}{3}}(a_n)}{n^{\frac{2}{3}} T(a_n)} = \delta_n$$

by Lemma 3.2(b). So, for n large enough,

$$\begin{aligned} &\|L_n(f_n)w\Phi^{\Delta+(\frac{1}{4}-\frac{1}{p})^+}\|_{L_p(a_{\frac{n}{18}} \leq |x| \leq a_{2n})} \\ &\leq \phi(a_{\frac{n}{9}})\log n\|\Phi^{\Delta+(\frac{1}{4}-\frac{1}{p})^+}\|_{L_p(a_{\frac{n}{18}} \leq |x| \leq a_{2n})} \\ &\quad + \phi(a_{\frac{n}{9}})a_n^{\frac{1}{2}}T^{-\frac{1}{4}}(a_n)\|p_n(x)w(x)\Phi^{\Delta+(\frac{1}{4}-\frac{1}{p})^+}\|_{L_p(a_{\frac{n}{18}} \leq |x| \leq a_{2n})}. \end{aligned}$$

Then since $\Delta > 0$, using Lemma 3.1(a), Lemma 2.1(a), and Lemma 3.6, we have

$$\log n\|\Phi^{\Delta+(\frac{1}{4}-\frac{1}{p})^+}\|_{L_p(a_{\frac{n}{18}} \leq |x| \leq a_{2n})} \leq C\delta_n^\Delta(a_{2n} - a_{\frac{n}{18}})^{\frac{1}{p}}\log n \leq C$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} &a_n^{\frac{1}{2}}T^{-\frac{1}{4}}(a_n)\|p_nw\Phi^{\Delta+(\frac{1}{4}-\frac{1}{p})^+}\|_{L_p(a_{\frac{n}{18}} \leq |x| \leq a_{2n})} \\ &\leq T^{-\frac{1}{4}}(a_n)\delta_n^\Delta a_n^{\frac{1}{p}} \begin{cases} 1, & 1 \leq p < 4 \text{ or } p = \infty; \\ \log(1 + n), & 4 \leq p, \end{cases} \leq C. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we have by (2.4)

$$\|L_n(f_n)w\Phi^{\Delta+(\frac{1}{4}-\frac{1}{p})^+}\|_{L_p(a_{\frac{n}{18}} \leq |x| \leq a_{2n})} \leq C_4\phi(a_{\frac{n}{9}}) = o(1).$$

Consequently, with (4.2) we have (4.1). □

Lemma 4.5 (cf. [3, Lemma 4.2]) *Let $1 \leq p \leq \infty$. Let $\{g_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ be a sequence of measurable functions from $\mathbb{R} \mapsto \mathbb{R}$ such that for $n \geq 1$,*

$$g_n(x) = 0, \quad |x| \geq a_{\frac{n}{9}}; \quad |g_n(x)|w(x) \leq \phi(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}. \tag{4.3}$$

Let us suppose

$$\Delta > \frac{9}{4} \frac{\lambda - 1}{3\lambda - 1}, \tag{4.4}$$

where $\lambda \geq 1$ is defined in Lemma 2.1. Then for $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|L_n(g_n)w\Phi^{\Delta+(\frac{1}{4}-\frac{1}{p})^+}\|_{L_p(|x| \geq a_n)} = 0. \tag{4.5}$$

Proof Using Lemma 3.5(b) and Lemma 3.4(b), we have for $x \geq a_n$,

$$\begin{aligned} |L_n(g_n; x)| &\leq \sum_{|x_{k,n}| \leq a_n} |l_{k,n}(x)| w^{-1}(x_{k,n}) \phi(x_{k,n}) \\ &\leq C_1 a_n^{\frac{1}{2}} |p_n(x)| \sum_{|x_{k,n}| \leq a_n} (x_{k,n} - x_{k+1,n}) \frac{(1 - \frac{|x_{k,n}|}{a_n} + \delta_n)^{\frac{1}{4}}}{|x - x_{k,n}|} \phi(x_{k,n}) \\ &\leq C_2 a_n^{\frac{1}{2}} |p_n(x)| \int_{-a_n}^{a_n} \frac{(1 - \frac{|t|}{a_n} + \delta_n)^{\frac{1}{4}}}{|x - t|} \phi(t) dt. \end{aligned} \tag{4.6}$$

Equation (4.6) is shown as follows: First, we see

$$|x - t| \sim |x - x_{k,n}|, \quad t \in [x_{k+1,n}, x_{k,n}]. \tag{4.7}$$

Let $|x| \geq a_n$ and $t \in [x_{k+1,n}, x_{k,n}]$. Then

$$\left| \frac{x - t}{x - x_{k,n}} - 1 \right| = \left| \frac{t - x_{k,n}}{x - x_{k,n}} \right| \leq \frac{x_{k,n} - x_{k+1,n}}{|x_{k \pm 2,n} - x_{k,n}|} \leq c < 1.$$

Now, we use the fact that $x + C\varphi(x)$, $x > 0$ is increasing for $0 < x \leq a_n/2$, and then

$$x_{k,n} + C\varphi_n(x_{k,n}) \leq a_n + C\varphi_n(a_n) \leq a_n \leq x.$$

Here, the second inequality follows from the definition of $\varphi_n(x)$ and Lemma 3.1(a), (b). Hence, we have (4.7). Now, we use the monotonicity of $(1 - \frac{|x|}{a_n} + \delta_n)^{\frac{1}{4}} \phi(x)$. From (4.7) there exists $C > 0$ such that for $t \in [x_{k+1,n}, x_{k,n}]$,

$$\begin{aligned} (x_{k,n} - x_{k+1,n}) \frac{(1 - \frac{|x_{k,n}|}{a_n} + \delta_n)^{\frac{1}{4}}}{|x - x_{k,n}|} \phi(x_{k,n}) &\leq \int_{x_{k+1,n}}^{x_{k,n}} \frac{(1 - \frac{|t|}{a_n} + \delta_n)^{\frac{1}{4}}}{|x - x_{k,n}|} \phi(t) dt \\ &\leq \frac{1}{C} \int_{x_{k+1,n}}^{x_{k,n}} \frac{(1 - \frac{|t|}{a_n} + \delta_n)^{\frac{1}{4}}}{|x - t|} \phi(t) dt. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, (4.6) holds. Next, for $t \in [0, a_n]$ and $x \geq a_n$, we know by Lemma 3.1(a),

$$1 \leq \frac{a_n - t}{x - t} \leq 1 + \frac{a_n - a_n}{a_n - t} \leq 1 + \frac{a_n - a_n}{a_n - a_n} \leq 1 + C \frac{a_n}{a_n} \frac{T(a_n)}{T(a_n)} \leq C_3$$

and

$$1 - \frac{|t|}{a_n} \geq C_4 \frac{1}{T(a_n)} \geq \delta_n.$$

So, we have

$$|L_n(g_n; x)| \leq C_6 a_n^{\frac{1}{4}} |p_n(x)| \int_0^{\frac{a_n}{9}} (x-t)^{-\frac{3}{4}} \phi(t) dt.$$

Let $t = a_s, \frac{n}{9} \geq s \geq 1$. Then, since we know for $x \geq a_{\frac{n}{8}}$,

$$x - t = x \left(1 - \frac{t}{x}\right) \geq a_{\frac{n}{8}} \left(1 - \frac{a_s}{a_{\frac{9}{8}s}}\right) \geq C_7 \frac{a_n}{T(a_s)},$$

we obtain

$$|L_n(g_n; x)| \leq C_8 a_n^{-\frac{1}{2}} |p_n(x)| \int_0^{\frac{a_n}{9}} T^{\frac{3}{4}}(t) \phi(t) dt \leq C_8 a_n^{\frac{1}{2}} T^{\frac{3}{4}}(a_n) |p_n(x)|.$$

Hence, if $1 \leq \lambda$, then using Lemma 3.6, (3.1) and (2.2), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \|L_n(g_n) w \Phi^{\Delta+(\frac{1}{4}-\frac{1}{p})^+}\|_{L_p(|x| \geq a_{\frac{n}{8}})} \\ & \leq C_9 a_n^{\frac{1}{2}} T^{\frac{3}{4}}(a_n) \Phi^{\Delta}(a_{\frac{n}{8}}) \|\Phi^{(\frac{1}{4}-\frac{1}{p})^+} w p_n\|_{L_p(\mathbb{R})} \\ & \leq C_{10} a_n^{\frac{1}{p}} T^{\frac{3}{4}}(a_n) \left(\frac{1}{nT(a_n)}\right)^{\frac{2}{3}\Delta} \begin{cases} 1, & 0 < p < 4 \text{ or } p = \infty; \\ \log(1+n), & 4 \leq p \end{cases} \\ & \leq C_{11} C(\lambda, \eta) a_n^{\frac{1}{p}} \left(\frac{1}{n}\right)^{\frac{2}{3}\frac{3\lambda+2\eta-1}{\lambda+1}(\Delta-\frac{9}{4}\frac{\lambda+\eta-1}{3\lambda+2\eta-1})} \begin{cases} 1, & 1 \leq p < 4 \text{ or } p = \infty; \\ \log(1+n), & 4 \leq p. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Here, we may consider that above estimations hold under the condition (4.4), because that $\eta > 0$ can be taken small enough. Then we have (4.5), that is, for $\Delta > \frac{9}{4} \frac{\lambda-1}{3\lambda-1}$,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|L_n(g_n) w \Phi^{\Delta+(\frac{1}{4}-\frac{1}{p})^+}\|_{L_p(|x| \geq a_{\frac{n}{8}})} = 0. \quad \square$$

Lemma 4.6 (cf. [3, Lemma 4.3]) *Let $1 < p < \infty$. Let $\sigma : \mathbb{R} \mapsto \mathbb{R}$ be a bounded measurable function. Let $\lambda = \lambda(b) \geq 1$ be defined in Lemma 2.1, and then we suppose*

$$\Delta > \begin{cases} 0, & 1 < p \leq 2; \\ \frac{3}{2} \frac{(\lambda-1)}{3\lambda-1} \frac{p-2}{p}, & 2 < p \leq 4; \\ \max\{\frac{\lambda-1}{3\lambda-1} \frac{p-1}{p} - \frac{1}{4} \frac{\lambda+1}{3\lambda-1} \frac{p-4}{p}, 0\}, & 4 < p. \end{cases} \quad (4.8)$$

Then for $1 < p < \infty$ and the partial sum s_n of the Fourier series, we have

$$\|s_n[\sigma \phi w^{-1}] w \Phi^{\Delta+(\frac{1}{4}-\frac{1}{p})^+}\|_{L_p(|x| \leq a_{\frac{n}{8}})} \leq C \|\sigma\|_{L_\infty(\mathbb{R})} \quad (4.9)$$

for $n \geq 1$. Here C is independent of σ and n .

Proof We may suppose that $\|\sigma\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R})} = 1$. By (3.3), (3.4) and Lemma 3.5(a),

$$|s_n[\sigma\phi w^{-1}](x)|w(x) \leq a_n^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(1 - \frac{|x|}{a_n}\right)^{-\frac{1}{4}} \sum_{j=n-1}^n |H[\sigma\phi p_j w](x)|. \quad (4.10)$$

Let us choose $l := l(n)$ such that $2^l \leq \frac{n}{8} \leq 2^{l+1}$. Then we know

$$2^{l+3} \leq n \leq 2^{l+4}. \quad (4.11)$$

Define

$$\mathcal{I}_k = [a_{2^k}, a_{2^{k+1}}], \quad 1 \leq k \leq l+2.$$

For $j = n-1, n$ and $x \in \mathcal{I}_k$, we split

$$\begin{aligned} H[\sigma\phi p_j w](x)w(x) &= \left(\int_{-\infty}^0 + \int_0^{a_{2^{k-1}}} + P.V. \int_{a_{2^{k-1}}}^{a_{2^{k+2}}} + \int_{a_{2^{k+2}}}^{\infty} \right) \frac{(\sigma\phi p_j w)(t)}{x-t} dt \\ &:= I_1(x) + I_2(x) + I_3(x) + I_4(x). \end{aligned} \quad (4.12)$$

Here *P.V.* stands for the principal value. First, we give the estimations of I_1 and I_2 for $x \in \mathcal{I}_k$. Let $x \in \mathcal{I}_k$. Then we have by Lemma 3.5(a) and Lemma 3.6 with $p = 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} |I_1(x)| &\leq \int_0^{\infty} \frac{|(p_j w \phi)(-t)|}{t+x} \leq C_1 a_n^{-\frac{1}{2}} \int_0^{\frac{a_n}{2}} \frac{\phi(t)}{t+a_2} dt + C_2 a_n^{-1} \int_{\frac{a_n}{2}}^{\infty} |p_j(t)|w(t) dt \\ &\leq C_2 (a_n^{-\frac{1}{2}} + a_n^{-1} a_n^{1-\frac{1}{2}}) \leq C_3 a_n^{-\frac{1}{2}}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.13)$$

Here we have used

$$\int_0^{\infty} \frac{\phi(t)}{1+t} dt < \infty. \quad (4.14)$$

By Lemma 3.5(a), and noting $1 - x/a_n \leq 1 - t/a_n$ for $x \in \mathcal{I}_k$,

$$\begin{aligned} |I_2(x)| &\leq \int_0^{a_{2^{k-1}}} \frac{|(p_j w \phi)(t)|}{x-t} dt \leq C_4 a_n^{-\frac{1}{2}} \int_0^{a_{2^{k-1}}} \frac{(1 - \frac{t}{a_n})^{-\frac{1}{4}}}{x-t} dt \\ &\leq C_4 a_n^{-\frac{1}{2}} \left(1 - \frac{x}{a_n}\right)^{-\frac{1}{4}} \int_0^{a_{2^{k-1}}} \frac{dt}{x-t} \\ &= C_4 a_n^{-\frac{1}{2}} \left(1 - \frac{x}{a_n}\right)^{-\frac{1}{4}} \log\left(1 - \frac{a_{2^{k-1}}}{x}\right)^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Using

$$1 - \frac{a_{2^{k-1}}}{x} \geq 1 - \frac{a_{2^{k-1}}}{a_{2^k}} \geq C \frac{1}{T(a_{2^k})} \geq C \frac{1}{T(x)},$$

we can see

$$|I_2(x)| \leq C_6 a_n^{-\frac{1}{2}} \left(1 - \frac{x}{a_n}\right)^{-\frac{1}{4}} \log\left(\frac{T(x)}{C}\right). \quad (4.15)$$

Next, we give an estimation of I_4 for $x \in \mathcal{I}_k$. Let $x \in \mathcal{I}_k$. From Lemma 3.5(a) again,

$$\begin{aligned}
 |I_4(x)| &\leq \int_{a_{2^{k+2}}}^{2a_{2^{k+2}}} \frac{|(p_j w \phi)(t)|}{t-x} dt + C \int_{2a_{2^{k+2}}}^{\infty} \frac{|(p_j w \phi)(t)|}{t} dt \quad (\text{by } t \leq 2(t-x)) \\
 &\leq C_7 \left(a_n^{-\frac{1}{2}} \int_{a_{2^{k+2}}}^{2a_{2^{k+2}}} \left| 1 - \frac{t}{a_n} \right|^{-\frac{1}{4}} \frac{dt}{t-x} \right. \\
 &\quad \left. + a_n^{-\frac{1}{2}} \int_{2a_{2^{k+2}}}^{\max\{2a_{2^{k+2}}, \frac{1}{2}a_n\}} \frac{\phi(t)}{t} dt + \int_{\frac{1}{2}a_n}^{\infty} \frac{|(p_j w)(t)|}{t} dt \right) \\
 &\leq C_7 \left(a_n^{-\frac{1}{2}} \int_{a_{2^{k+2}}}^{2a_{2^{k+2}}} \left| 1 - \frac{t}{a_n} \right|^{-\frac{1}{4}} \frac{dt}{t-x} + C a_n^{-\frac{1}{2}} + a_n^{-1} a_n^{1-\frac{1}{2}} \right) \\
 &\quad (\text{by (4.14) and Lemma 3.6 with } p = 1) \\
 &\leq C_8 a_n^{-\frac{1}{2}} [J + 1], \tag{4.16}
 \end{aligned}$$

where

$$J := \int_{a_{2^{k+2}}}^{2a_{2^{k+2}}} \left| 1 - \frac{t}{a_n} \right|^{-\frac{1}{4}} \frac{dt}{t-x}.$$

Since, if

$$\left| 1 - \frac{t}{a_n} \right| \leq \frac{1}{2} \left(1 - \frac{x}{a_n} \right),$$

then we see

$$|t-x| = a_n \left| \left(1 - \frac{x}{a_n} \right) - \left(1 - \frac{t}{a_n} \right) \right| \geq \frac{a_n}{2} \left(1 - \frac{x}{a_n} \right).$$

Now, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 J &\leq C_9 \left(\left(1 - \frac{x}{a_n} \right)^{-\frac{1}{4}} \int_{\substack{|1-\frac{t}{a_n}| \geq \frac{1}{2}(1-\frac{x}{a_n}), \\ t \in [a_{2^{k+2}}, 2a_{2^{k+2}}]}} \frac{1}{t-x} dt \right. \\
 &\quad \left. + a_n^{-1} \left(1 - \frac{x}{a_n} \right)^{-1} \int_{\substack{|1-\frac{t}{a_n}| \leq \frac{1}{2}(1-\frac{x}{a_n}), \\ t \in [a_{2^{k+2}}, 2a_{2^{k+2}}]}} \left| 1 - \frac{t}{a_n} \right|^{-\frac{1}{4}} dt \right) \\
 &\leq C_{10} \left(\left(1 - \frac{x}{a_n} \right)^{-\frac{1}{4}} \log \left(1 + \frac{a_{2^{k+2}}}{a_{2^{k+2}} - a_{2^{k+1}}} \right) \right. \\
 &\quad \left. + \left(1 - \frac{x}{a_n} \right)^{-1} \int_{|1-s| \leq \frac{1}{2}(1-\frac{x}{a_n})} |1-s|^{-\frac{1}{4}} ds \right) \\
 &\leq C_{10} \left(\left(1 - \frac{x}{a_n} \right)^{-\frac{1}{4}} \log(1 + CT(a_{2^{k+2}})) + \frac{4}{3} \left(\frac{1}{2} \left(1 - \frac{x}{a_n} \right) \right)^{\frac{3}{4}} \left(1 - \frac{x}{a_n} \right)^{-1} \right) \\
 &\leq C_{11} \left(1 - \frac{x}{a_n} \right)^{-\frac{1}{4}} \log(CT(x)).
 \end{aligned}$$

So, from (4.16) we have

$$|I_4(x)| \leq C_{12} a_n^{-\frac{1}{2}} \left(1 - \frac{x}{a_n}\right)^{-\frac{1}{4}} \log(CT(x)). \quad (4.17)$$

Therefore, from (4.13), (4.15) and (4.17), we have

$$|I_1 + I_2 + I_4| \leq C_{13} a_n^{-\frac{1}{2}} \left(1 - \frac{x}{a_n}\right)^{-\frac{1}{4}} \log(CT(x)).$$

Hence, with (4.10), (4.12) we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \|s_n[\sigma\phi w^{-1}]w\Phi^{\Delta+(\frac{1}{4}-\frac{1}{p})^+}\|_{L_p(\mathcal{I}_k)} \\ & \leq C_{14} \Phi^{\Delta+(\frac{1}{4}-\frac{1}{p})^+}(a_{2^k}) \left(\left(1 - \frac{a_{2^{k+1}}}{a_n}\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \log(CT(a_{2^{k+1}}))(a_{2^{k+1}} - a_{2^k})^{\frac{1}{p}} \right. \\ & \quad \left. + a_n^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(1 - \frac{a_{2^{k+1}}}{a_n}\right)^{-\frac{1}{4}} \sum_{j=n-1}^n \left\| P.V. \int_{a_{2^{k-1}}}^{a_{2^{k+2}}} \frac{(\sigma\phi p_j w)(t)}{x-t} dt \right\|_{L_p(\mathcal{I}_k)} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (4.18)$$

We must estimate the L_p -norm with respect to I_3 , that is, $\|P.V. \int_{a_{2^{k-1}}}^{a_{2^{k+2}}} \frac{(\sigma\phi p_j w)(t)}{x-t} dt\|_{L_p(\mathcal{I}_k)}$. We use M. Riesz's theorem on the boundedness of the Hilbert transform from $L_p(\mathbb{R})$ to $L_p(\mathbb{R})$ (Lemma 3.7) to deduce that by Lemma 3.5(a) and the boundedness of $|\sigma\phi|$,

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| P.V. \int_{a_{2^{k-1}}}^{a_{2^{k+2}}} \frac{(\sigma\phi p_j w)(t)}{x-t} dt \right\|_{L_p(\mathcal{I}_k)} & \leq C_{15} \left(\int_{a_{2^{k-1}}}^{a_{2^{k+2}}} |(\sigma\phi p_j w)(t)|^p dt \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ & \leq C_{16} a_n^{-\frac{1}{2}} \left(1 - \frac{a_{2^{k+2}}}{a_n}\right)^{-\frac{1}{4}} (a_{2^{k+2}} - a_{2^{k-1}})^{\frac{1}{p}}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.19)$$

So, by (4.18) and (4.19) we conclude

$$\begin{aligned} & \|s_n[\sigma\phi w^{-1}]w\Phi^{\Delta+(\frac{1}{4}-\frac{1}{p})^+}\|_{L_p(\mathcal{I}_k)} \\ & \leq C_{18} \Phi^{\Delta+(\frac{1}{4}-\frac{1}{p})^+}(a_{2^k}) \left(1 - \frac{a_{2^{k+1}}}{a_n}\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \log(CT(a_{2^{k+1}}))(a_{2^{k+1}} - a_{2^k})^{\frac{1}{p}}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.20)$$

Noting (4.11), we see $n \geq 2^{l+3}$ for $k \leq l$, so

$$1 - \frac{a_{2^{k+1}}}{a_n} \geq 1 - \frac{a_{2^{k+1}}}{a_{2^{k+3}}} \geq C_{19} \frac{1}{T(a_{2^k})} \quad \text{and} \quad a_{2^{k+1}} - a_{2^k} \leq C_{20} \frac{a_{2^k}}{T(a_{2^k})}.$$

On the other hand, using Lemma 3.2(b), we see $\Phi(a_t) \sim \delta_t$. Hence, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi^{\Delta+(\frac{1}{4}-\frac{1}{p})^+}(a_{2^k}) & \sim \delta_{2^k}^{\Delta+(\frac{1}{4}-\frac{1}{p})^+} = \left(\frac{1}{2^k T(a_{2^k})} \right)^{\frac{2}{3}(\Delta+(\frac{1}{4}-\frac{1}{p})^+)} \\ & = \begin{cases} \left(\frac{1}{2^k T(a_{2^k})} \right)^{\frac{2}{3}\Delta}, & 0 < p \leq 4; \\ \left(\frac{1}{2^k T(a_{2^k})} \right)^{\frac{2}{3}(\Delta+(\frac{1}{4}-\frac{1}{p})^+)}, & 4 < p. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Hence, from (4.20) we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \|s_n[\sigma\phi w^{-1}]w\Phi^{\Delta+(\frac{1}{4}-\frac{1}{p})^+}\|_{L_p(\mathcal{I}_k)} \\ & \leq C_{19}\Phi^{\Delta+(\frac{1}{4}-\frac{1}{p})^+}(a_{2^k})T^{\frac{1}{2}}(a_{2^k})\log(CT(a_{2^{k+1}}))\left(\frac{a_{2^k}}{T(a_{2^k})}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ & \leq C_{19}\log(CT(a_{2^{k+1}}))a_{2^k}^{\frac{1}{p}}\begin{cases} (\frac{1}{2^k})^{\frac{2}{3}\Delta}T^{-\frac{2}{3}\Delta+\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{p}}(a_{2^k}), & 1 < p \leq 4; \\ (\frac{1}{2^k})^{\frac{2}{3}(\Delta+(\frac{1}{4}-\frac{1}{p}))}T^{-\frac{2}{3}\Delta+\frac{1}{3}(1-\frac{1}{p})}(a_{2^k}), & 4 < p. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

From Lemma 2.1 (2.2), we know

$$T^{-\frac{2}{3}\Delta+\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{p}}(a_{2^k}) \leq C_1C(\lambda, \eta)(2^k)^{\frac{2(\eta+\lambda-1)}{\lambda+1}\max\{-\frac{2}{3}\Delta+\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{p}, 0\}},$$

and

$$T^{-\frac{2}{3}\Delta+\frac{1}{3}(1-\frac{1}{p})}(a_{2^k}) \leq C_2C(\lambda, \eta)(2^k)^{\frac{2(\eta+\lambda-1)}{\lambda+1}\max\{-\frac{2}{3}\Delta+\frac{1}{3}(1-\frac{1}{p}), 0\}}.$$

Therefore, we continue with Lemma 2.1(a) as

$$\begin{aligned} & \leq C_{20}C(\lambda, \eta)\log(CT(a_{2^{k+1}})) \\ & \times \begin{cases} (\frac{1}{2^k})^{\frac{2}{3}\Delta-\frac{\eta}{p}-\frac{2(\eta+\lambda-1)}{\lambda+1}\max\{-\frac{2}{3}\Delta+\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{p}, 0\}}, & 1 < p \leq 4; \\ (\frac{1}{2^k})^{\frac{2}{3}(\Delta+(\frac{1}{4}-\frac{1}{p}))-\frac{\eta}{p}-\frac{2(\eta+\lambda-1)}{\lambda+1}\max\{-\frac{2}{3}\Delta+\frac{1}{3}(1-\frac{1}{p}), 0\}}, & 4 < p. \end{cases} \end{aligned} \tag{4.21}$$

First, let $1 < p \leq 4$. Then (4.8), that is,

$$\Delta > \begin{cases} 0, & 1 < p \leq 2; \\ \frac{3}{2}\frac{\lambda-1}{3\lambda-1}\frac{p-2}{p}, & 2 < p \leq 4 \end{cases}$$

implies

$$\Delta > \frac{3}{2}\frac{\lambda-1}{3\lambda-1}\frac{p-2}{p} \quad \text{and} \quad \Delta > 0$$

iff

$$\frac{2}{3}\Delta - \frac{2(\lambda-1)}{\lambda+1}\left(-\frac{2}{3}\Delta + \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p}\right) > 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \Delta > 0$$

iff

$$\frac{2}{3}\Delta - \frac{2(\lambda-1)}{\lambda+1}\max\left\{-\frac{2}{3}\Delta + \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p}, 0\right\} > 0.$$

This means that there exists a positive constant $\eta_1 > 0$ small enough such that

$$A(\eta_1) := \frac{2}{3}\Delta - \frac{\eta_1}{p} - \frac{2(\eta_1 + \lambda - 1)}{\lambda + 1}\max\left\{-\frac{2}{3}\Delta + \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p}, 0\right\} > 0.$$

Now, let $p > 4$. Then (4.8), that is,

$$\Delta > \frac{\lambda - 1}{3\lambda - 1} \frac{p - 1}{p} - \frac{1}{4} \frac{\lambda + 1}{3\lambda - 1} \frac{p - 4}{p}$$

implies

$$\Delta > \frac{\lambda - 1}{3\lambda - 1} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right) - \frac{\lambda + 1}{3\lambda - 1} \left(\frac{1}{4} - \frac{1}{p}\right) \quad \text{and} \quad \Delta + \frac{1}{4} - \frac{1}{p} > 0$$

iff

$$\frac{2}{3} \left(\Delta + \left(\frac{1}{4} - \frac{1}{p}\right)\right) - \frac{2(\lambda - 1)}{\lambda + 1} \left(-\frac{2}{3}\Delta + \frac{1}{3}\left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right)\right) > 0$$

and

$$\frac{2}{3} \left(\Delta + \left(\frac{1}{4} - \frac{1}{p}\right)\right) > 0$$

iff

$$\frac{2}{3} \left(\Delta + \left(\frac{1}{4} - \frac{1}{p}\right)\right) - \frac{2(\lambda - 1)}{\lambda + 1} \max \left\{ -\frac{2}{3}\Delta + \frac{1}{3}\left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right), 0 \right\} > 0.$$

Similarly to the previous case, this means that there exists a positive constant $\eta_2 > 0$ small enough such that

$$B(\eta_2) := \frac{2}{3} \left(\Delta + \left(\frac{1}{4} - \frac{1}{p}\right)\right) - \frac{\eta_2}{p} - \frac{2(\eta_2 + \lambda - 1)}{\lambda + 1} \max \left\{ -\frac{2}{3}\Delta + \frac{1}{3}\left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right), 0 \right\} > 0.$$

Now, we estimate $I_{p,k}$. From (4.21), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \|s_n[\sigma \phi w^{-1}] w \Phi^{\Delta + (\frac{1}{4} - \frac{1}{p})^+}\|_{L_p(\mathcal{I}_k)} \\ & \leq C_{20} C(\lambda, \eta) \log(CT(a_{2^{k+1}})) \begin{cases} (\frac{1}{2^k})^{A(\eta)}, & 1 < p \leq 4; \\ (\frac{1}{2^k})^{B(\eta)}, & 4 < p. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

For $\eta > 0$ small enough, we can see $A(\eta) > A(\eta_1) > 0$ and $B(\eta) > B(\eta_2) > 0$. Let $\tau := \min\{A(\eta_1), B(\eta_2)\}/2$. Then for small enough $\eta > 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|s_n[\sigma \phi w^{-1}] w \Phi^{\Delta + (\frac{1}{4} - \frac{1}{p})^+}\|_{L_p(\mathcal{I}_k)} & \leq C_{20} C(\lambda, \eta) \log(CT(a_{2^{k+1}})) \left(\frac{1}{2^k}\right)^{2\tau} \\ & \leq C_{21} C(\lambda, \eta) \left(\frac{1}{2^k}\right)^\tau, \end{aligned}$$

because we see that for all $k > 0$,

$$\log(CT(a_{2^{k+1}})) \left(\frac{1}{2^k}\right)^\tau < C_{22}.$$

Therefore, under the conditions (4.8) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|s_n[\sigma\phi w^{-1}]w\Phi^{\Delta+(\frac{1}{4}-\frac{1}{p})^+}\|_{L_p(a_2\leq|x|\leq a_n)}^p &\leq \sum_{k=1}^l \|s_n[\sigma\phi w^{-1}]w\Phi^{\Delta+(\frac{1}{4}-\frac{1}{p})^+}\|_{L_p(I_k)}^p \\ &\leq C_{21}C(\lambda, \eta) \sum_{k=1}^l \left(\frac{1}{2^k}\right)^\tau \leq C_{23}C(\lambda, \eta). \end{aligned} \quad (4.22)$$

The estimation of

$$\|s_n[\sigma\phi w^{-1}]w\Phi^{\Delta+(\frac{1}{4}-\frac{1}{p})^+}\|_{L_p(|x|\leq a_2)}^p$$

is similar. In fact, for $x \in [-a_2, a_2]$, we split

$$H[\sigma\phi p_j w](x) = \left(\int_{-\infty}^{-2a_2} + P.V. \int_{-2a_2}^{2a_2} + \int_{2a_2}^{\infty} \right) \frac{(\sigma\phi p_j w)(t)}{x-t} dt.$$

Here we see that

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_{-\infty}^{-2a_2} \frac{(\sigma\phi p_j w)(t)}{x-t} dt \right| &= \left| \int_{2a_2}^{\infty} \frac{(\sigma\phi p_j w)(-t)}{x+t} dt \right| \leq \left| \int_{2a_2}^{\infty} \frac{(\sigma\phi p_j w)(-t)}{t-a_2} dt \right| \\ &= \left| \int_0^{\infty} \frac{(\sigma\phi p_j w)(-s-2a_2)}{s+a_2} ds \right| \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_{2a_2}^{\infty} \frac{(\sigma\phi p_j w)(t)}{x-t} dt \right| &= \left| \int_{2a_2}^{\infty} \frac{(\sigma\phi p_j w)(t)}{t-x} dt \right| \leq \left| \int_{2a_2}^{\infty} \frac{(\sigma\phi p_j w)(t)}{t-a_2} dt \right| \\ &= \left| \int_0^{\infty} \frac{(\sigma\phi p_j w)(s+2a_2)}{s+a_2} ds \right|. \end{aligned}$$

So, we can estimate $\int_{-\infty}^{-2a_2}$ and $\int_{2a_2}^{\infty}$ as we did I_1 before (see (4.12)). We can estimate the second integral as follows: By M. Riesz's theorem,

$$\left\| P.V. \int_{-2a_2}^{2a_2} \frac{(\sigma\phi p_j w)(t)}{x-t} dt \right\|_{L_p(|t|\leq 2a_2)}^p \leq C \int_{-2a_2}^{2a_2} |(\sigma\phi p_j w)(t)|^p dt \leq Ca_n^{-\frac{p}{2}} \leq C.$$

Now, under the assumption (4.8), we can select $\eta_0 > 0$ small enough such that

$$\Delta > \begin{cases} 0, & 1 < p \leq 2; \\ \frac{3}{2} \frac{\lambda+\eta_0-1}{3\lambda+2\eta_0-1} \frac{p-2}{p}, & 2 < p \leq 4; \\ \max\left\{ \frac{\lambda+\eta_0-1}{3\lambda+2\eta_0-1} \frac{p-1}{p} - \frac{1}{4} \frac{\lambda+1}{3\lambda+2\eta_0-1} \frac{p-4}{p}, 0 \right\}, & 4 < p. \end{cases}$$

Consequently, from (4.22) with η_0 we have the result (4.9). □

Let $0 < \alpha < 1$, then for g_n in Lemma 4.5 we estimate $L_n(g_n)$ over $[-a_{\alpha n}, a_{\alpha n}]$.

Lemma 4.7 (cf. [3, Lemma 4.4]) *Let $1 < p < \infty$ and $0 < \varepsilon < 1$. Let $\{g_n\}$ be as in Lemma 4.4, but we exchange (4.3) with*

$$|g_n(x)w(x)| \leq \varepsilon\phi(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}, n \geq 1.$$

Then for $1 < p < \infty$,

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|L_n(g_n)w\Phi^{\Delta+(\frac{1}{4}-\frac{1}{p})^+}\|_{L_p(|x| \leq \frac{a_n}{8})} \leq C\varepsilon.$$

Proof Let

$$\chi_n := \chi_{[-\frac{a_n}{8}, \frac{a_n}{8}]}; \quad h_n := \text{sign}(L_n(g_n))|L_n(g_n)|^{p-1}\chi_n w^{p-2}\Phi^{(\Delta+(\frac{1}{4}-\frac{1}{p})^+)p}$$

and

$$\sigma_n := \text{sign } s_n[h_n].$$

We shall show that

$$\|L_n(g_n)w\Phi^{\Delta+(\frac{1}{4}-\frac{1}{p})^+}\|_{L_p(|x| \leq \frac{a_n}{8})} \leq \varepsilon \|s_n[\sigma_n\phi w^{-1}]\|_{L_p(|x| \leq \frac{a_n}{8})} w\Phi^{\Delta+(\frac{1}{4}-\frac{1}{p})^+}. \quad (4.23)$$

Then from Lemma 4.5 we will conclude (4.22). Using orthogonality of $f - s_n[f]$ to \mathcal{P}_{n-1} , and the Gauss quadrature formula, we see that

$$\begin{aligned} & \|L_n(g_n)w\Phi^{\Delta+(\frac{1}{4}-\frac{1}{p})^+}\|_{L_p(|x| \leq \frac{a_n}{8})}^p \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} L_n(g_n)(x)h_n(x)w^2(x) dx \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} L_n(g_n)(x)s_n[h_n](x)w^2(x) dx = \sum_{j=1}^n \lambda_{j,n}g_n(x_{j,n})s_n[h_n](x_{j,n}) \\ &= \sum_{|x_{j,n}| \leq \frac{a_n}{8}} \lambda_{j,n}g_n(x_{j,n})s_n[h_n](x_{j,n}) \quad (\text{see (4.4), that is, the definition of } g_n) \\ &\leq \varepsilon \sum_{|x_{j,n}| \leq \frac{a_n}{8}} \lambda_{j,n}w^{-1}(x_{j,n})\phi(x_{j,n})|s_n[h_n](x_{j,n})|. \end{aligned}$$

Here, if we use Lemma 4.2 with $\psi = \phi$, we continue as

$$\begin{aligned} & \leq C\varepsilon \int_{\mathbb{R}} |s_n[h_n](x)|\phi(x)w(x) dx \\ &= C\varepsilon \int_{\mathbb{R}} s_n[h_n](x)\sigma_n\phi(x)w^{-1}(x)w^2(x) dx = C\varepsilon \int_{\mathbb{R}} h_n(x)s_n[\sigma_n\phi w^{-1}](x)w^2(x) dx \\ &= C\varepsilon \int_{-\frac{a_n}{8}}^{\frac{a_n}{8}} h_n(x)s_n[\sigma_n\phi w^{-1}](x)w^2(x) dx. \end{aligned}$$

Using Hölder's inequality with $q = p/(p - 1)$, we continue this as

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq C\varepsilon \left(\int_{-a_n}^{a_n} |h_n(x)w(x)\Phi^{-(\Delta+(\frac{1}{4}-\frac{1}{p})^+)}(x)|^q dx \right)^{1/q} \left(\int_{-a_n}^{a_n} |s_n[\sigma_n\phi w^{-1}]w\Phi^{\Delta+(\frac{1}{4}-\frac{1}{p})^+}|^p dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ &= C\varepsilon \|L_n(g_n)w\Phi^{\Delta+(\frac{1}{4}-\frac{1}{p})^+}\|_{L_p(|x|\leq a_n)}^{p-1} \|s_n[\sigma_n\phi w^{-1}]w\Phi^{\Delta+(\frac{1}{4}-\frac{1}{p})^+}\|_{L_p(|x|\leq a_n)}. \end{aligned}$$

Cancellation of $\|L_n(g_n)w\Phi^{\Delta+(\frac{1}{4}-\frac{1}{p})^+}\|_{L_p(|x|\leq a_n)}^{p-1}$ gives (4.23). □

Proof of Theorem 2.2 In proving the theorem, we split our functions into pieces that vanish inside or outside $[-a_n, a_n]$. Throughout, we let χ_S denote the characteristic function of a set S . Also, we set for some fixed $\beta > 0$,

$$\phi(x) = (1 + x^2)^{-\beta/2},$$

and suppose (2.5). We note that (2.5) means (4.8). Let $0 < \varepsilon < 1$. We can choose a polynomial P such that

$$\|(f - P)w\phi^{-1}\|_{L_\infty(\mathbb{R})} \leq \varepsilon$$

(see Lemma 3.8). Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\|(f - L_n(f))w\Phi^{\Delta+(\frac{1}{4}-\frac{1}{p})^+}\|_{L_p(\mathbb{R})} \\ &\leq \|(f - P)w\Phi^{\Delta+(\frac{1}{4}-\frac{1}{p})^+}\|_{L_p(\mathbb{R})} + \|L_n(P - f)w\Phi^{\Delta+(\frac{1}{4}-\frac{1}{p})^+}\|_{L_p(\mathbb{R})} \\ &\leq \varepsilon \|\phi\Phi^{\Delta+(\frac{1}{4}-\frac{1}{p})^+}\|_{L_p(\mathbb{R})} + \|L_n(P - f)w\Phi^{\Delta+(\frac{1}{4}-\frac{1}{p})^+}\|_{L_p(\mathbb{R})} \\ &\leq C\varepsilon + \|L_n(P - f)w\Phi^{\Delta+(\frac{1}{4}-\frac{1}{p})^+}\|_{L_p(\mathbb{R})}. \end{aligned} \tag{4.24}$$

Here we used that

$$\|\phi\Phi^{\Delta+(\frac{1}{4}-\frac{1}{p})^+}\|_{L_p(\mathbb{R})} < \infty,$$

because $\Delta > 0$ and Φ^{-1} grows faster than any power of x (see Lemma 3.9). Next, let

$$\chi_n := \chi[-a_n, a_n],$$

and write

$$P - f = (P - f)\chi_n + (P - f)(1 - \chi_n) =: g_n + f_n.$$

By Lemma 4.4 we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|L_n(f_n)w\Phi^{\Delta+(\frac{1}{4}-\frac{1}{p})^+}\|_{L_p(\mathbb{R})} = 0.$$

By Lemma 4.5 we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|L_n(g_n)w\Phi^{\Delta+(\frac{1}{4}-\frac{1}{p})^+}\|_{L_p(|x|\geq a_n)} = 0,$$

and by Lemma 4.7,

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|L_n(g_n)w\Phi^{\Delta+(\frac{1}{4}-\frac{1}{p})^+}\|_{L_p(|x| \leq \frac{a_n}{8})} \leq C\varepsilon.$$

Here we take $\varepsilon > 0$ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$, then with (4.24) we have the result. □

5 Proof of Theorem 2.4

Lemma 5.1 (cf. [3, Lemma 3.1]) *Let $w \in \mathcal{F}(C^2+)$. Let $0 < \alpha < \frac{1}{4}$ and*

$$\sum_n(x) := \sum_{|x_{k,n}| \geq a_{\alpha n}} |l_{k,n}(x)|w^{-1}(x_{k,n}).$$

Then we have for $x \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$\sum_n(x)w(x)\Phi^{1/4}(x) \leq C \log n.$$

Proof From Lemma 4.1 and Lemma 3.6 with $p = \infty$, we have the result easily. □

Lemma 5.2 *Let $w \in \mathcal{F}(C^2+)$. Let $0 < \alpha < \frac{1}{4}$ and*

$$\sum_n^{\prime}(x) := \sum_{|x_{k,n}| \leq a_{\alpha n}} |l_{k,n}(x)|w^{-1}(x_{k,n}).$$

Then we have

$$\sum_n^{\prime}(x)w(x)\Phi^{3/4} \leq C \log n.$$

Proof By Lemma 3.5(c), Lemma 3.4(d) and Lemma 3.5(b),

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_n^{\prime}(x) &= \sum_{|x_{k,n}| \leq a_{\alpha n}} |l_{k,n}(x)|w^{-1}(x_{k,n}) \\ &= \frac{|p_n(x)|}{|x - x_{j_x,n}| |P'_n(x_{j_x,n})| w(x_{j_x,n})} + \sum_{\substack{|x_{k,n}| \leq a_{\alpha n}, \\ k \neq j_x}} \frac{|p_n(x)|}{|x - x_{k,n}| |P'_n(x_{k,n})| w(x_{k,n})} \\ &\leq Cw(x)^{-1} + a_n^{1/2} |p_n(x)| \sum_{\substack{|x_{k,n}| \leq a_{\alpha n}, \\ k \neq j_x}} \frac{\varphi_n(x_{k,n})(1 - \frac{|x_{k,n}|}{a_n})}{|x - x_{k,n}|} \\ &\sim Cw(x)^{-1} + \frac{a_n^{3/2}}{n} |p_n(x)| \sum_{\substack{|x_{k,n}| \leq a_{\alpha n}, \\ k \neq j_x}} \frac{1 - \frac{|x_{k,n}|}{a_{2n}}}{\sqrt{1 - \frac{|x_{k,n}|}{a_n}}} \left(1 - \frac{|x_{k,n}|}{a_n}\right)^{1/4} \frac{1}{|x - x_{k,n}|} \\ &\sim Cw(x)^{-1} + \frac{a_n^{3/2}}{n} |p_n(x)| \sum_{\substack{|x_{k,n}| \leq a_{\alpha n}, \\ k \neq j_x}} \left(1 - \frac{|x_{k,n}|}{a_n}\right)^{3/4} \frac{1}{|x - x_{k,n}|}, \end{aligned}$$

where we used the fact

$$1 - \frac{|x_{k,n}|}{a_{2n}} \sim 1 - \frac{|x_{k,n}|}{a_n}, \quad |x_{k,n}| \leq a_{\alpha n}.$$

So,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_n' (x) &\leq Cw(x)^{-1} + \frac{a_n^{3/2}}{n} |p_n(x)| \sum_{\substack{|x_{k,n}| \leq a_{\alpha n}, \\ k \neq j_x}} \left(1 - \frac{|x_{k,n}|}{a_n}\right)^{3/4} \frac{1}{|x_{j_x,n} - x_{k,n}|} \\ &\leq Cw(x)^{-1} + \frac{a_n^{3/2}}{n} |p_n(x)| \sum_{\substack{|x_{k,n}| \leq a_{\alpha n}, \\ k \neq j_x}} \left(1 - \frac{|x_{k,n}|}{a_n}\right)^{3/4} \frac{1}{\sum_{j_x \leq i \leq k} \varphi_n(x_{i,n})} \\ &\leq Cw(x)^{-1} + a_n^{1/2} |p_n(x)| \sum_{\substack{|x_{k,n}| \leq a_{\alpha n}, \\ k \neq j_x}} \left(1 - \frac{|x_{k,n}|}{a_n}\right)^{3/4} \frac{1}{\sum_{j_x \leq i \leq k} \sqrt{1 - |x_{i,n}|/a_n}}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore we have by Lemma 3.6 with $p = \infty$,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_n' (x)w(x)\Phi(x)^{3/4} &\leq C + Ca_n^{1/2} |p_n(x)|w(x)\Phi(x)^{1/4} \\ &\quad \times \sum_{\substack{|x_{k,n}| \leq a_{\alpha n}, \\ k \neq j_x}} \left(1 - \frac{|x_{k,n}|}{a_n}\right)^{3/4} \left(1 - \frac{|x_{j_x,n}|}{a_n}\right)^{1/2} \frac{1}{\sum_{j_x \leq i \leq k} \sqrt{1 - |x_{i,n}|/a_n}} \\ &\leq C \sum_{\substack{|x_{k,n}| \leq a_{\alpha n}, \\ k \neq j_x}} \frac{1}{|j_x - k|} \sim \log n. \end{aligned} \quad \square$$

Lemma 5.3 ([8, Theorem 1]) *Let $w \in \mathcal{F}(C^2+)$. Then there exists a constant $C_0 > 0$ such that for every absolutely continuous function f with $wf' \in C_0(\mathbb{R})$ (this means $w(x)f'(x) \rightarrow 0$ as $|x| \rightarrow \infty$) and every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we have*

$$E_n(w;f) \leq C \frac{a_n}{n} E_{n-1}(w;f').$$

Proof of Theorem 2.4 There exists $P_{n-1} \in \mathcal{P}_n$ such that

$$|(f(x) - P_{n-1}(x))w(x)| \leq 2E_{n-1}(w;f).$$

Therefore, by Lemma 5.1 and Lemma 5.2,

$$\begin{aligned} &|(f(x) - L_n(f)(x))w(x)\Phi^{3/4}(x)| \\ &\leq |(f(x) - P_{n-1}(x))w(x)\Phi^{1/4}(x)| + |L_n(f - P_{n-1})(x)w(x)\Phi^{3/4}(x)| \\ &= |(f(x) - P_{n-1}(x))w(x)\Phi^{3/4}(x)| \\ &\quad + \left| w(x)\Phi^{3/4}(x) \sum_{k=1}^n (f(x_{k,n}) - P_{n-1}(x_{k,n}))w(x_{k,n})l_{k,n}(x)w^{-1}(x_{k,n}) \right| \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq 2E_{n-1}(w;f) \left\{ 1 + w(x)\Phi^{3/4}(x) \left| \sum_{k=1}^n l_{k,n}(x)w^{-1}(x_{k,n}) \right| \right\} \\ &\leq CE_{n-1}(w;f) \log n. \end{aligned}$$

Let $wf^{(r)} \in C_0(\mathbb{R})$. If we repeatedly use Lemma 5.3, then we have

$$\left| (f(x) - L_n(f)(x))w(x)\Phi^{3/4}(x) \right| \leq C_r \left(\frac{a_n}{n} \right)^r E_{n-r-1}(w;f^{(r)}) \log n. \quad \square$$

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Authors' contributions

All authors conceived of the study, participated in its design and coordination, drafted the manuscript and participated in the sequence alignment. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Author details

¹Department of Mathematics Education, Sungkyunkwan University, Seoul, 110-745, Republic of Korea. ²Department of Mathematics, Meijo University, Nagoya, 468-8502, Japan.

Acknowledgements

The authors thank the referees for many kind suggestions and comments.

Received: 10 April 2012 Accepted: 2 October 2012 Published: 17 October 2012

References

1. Levin, AL, Lubinsky, DS: Orthogonal Polynomials for Exponential Weights. Springer, New York (2001)
2. Jung, HS, Sakai, R: Specific examples of exponential weights. *Commun. Korean Math. Soc.* **24**(2), 303-319 (2009)
3. Damelin, SB, Lubinsky, DS: Necessary and sufficient conditions for mean convergence of Lagrange interpolation for Erdős weights. *Can. J. Math.* **48**(4), 710-736 (1996)
4. Jung, HS, Sakai, R: Derivatives of integrating functions for orthonormal polynomials with exponential-type weights. *J. Inequal. Appl.* **2009**, Article ID 528454 (2009)
5. Jung, HS, Sakai, R: Orthonormal polynomials with exponential-type weights. *J. Approx. Theory* **152**, 215-238 (2008)
6. Mhaskar, HN: Introduction to the Theory of Weighted Polynomial Approximation. World Scientific, Singapore (1996)
7. Lubinsky, DS: A survey of weighted polynomial approximation with exponential weights. *Surv. Approx. Theory* **3**, 1-105 (2007)
8. Sakai, R, Suzuki, N: Favard-type inequalities for exponential weights. *Pioneer J. Math. Math. Sci.* **3**(1), 1-16 (2011)

doi:10.1186/1029-242X-2012-237

Cite this article as: Jung and Sakai: Mean and uniform convergence of Lagrange interpolation with the Erdős-type weights. *Journal of Inequalities and Applications* 2012 **2012**:237.

Submit your manuscript to a SpringerOpen[®] journal and benefit from:

- Convenient online submission
- Rigorous peer review
- Immediate publication on acceptance
- Open access: articles freely available online
- High visibility within the field
- Retaining the copyright to your article

Submit your next manuscript at ► springeropen.com