

Research Article

Higher-Order Stationary Dispersive Equations on Bounded Intervals

N. A. Larkin ¹ and J. Luchesi²

¹Departamento de Matemática, Universidade Estadual de Maringá, Av. Colombo 5790: Agência UEM, 87020-900 Maringá, PR, Brazil

²Departamento de Matemática, Universidade Tecnológica Federal do Paraná, Câmpus Pato Branco, Via do Conhecimento Km 1, 85503-390 Pato Branco, PR, Brazil

Correspondence should be addressed to N. A. Larkin; nlarkine@uem.br

Received 28 September 2017; Revised 29 November 2017; Accepted 12 December 2017; Published 23 January 2018

Academic Editor: Antonio Scarfone

Copyright © 2018 N. A. Larkin and J. Luchesi. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

A boundary value problem for a stationary nonlinear dispersive equation of $2l + 1$ order on an interval $(0, L)$ was considered. The existence, uniqueness, and continuous dependence of a regular solution have been established.

1. Introduction

This work concerns the existence, uniqueness, and continuous dependence of regular solutions to a boundary value problem for one class of nonlinear stationary dispersive equations posed on bounded intervals,

$$au + \sum_{j=1}^l (-1)^{j+1} D_x^{2j+1} u + uu_x = f(x), \quad l \in \mathbb{N}, \quad (1)$$

where a is a positive constant. This class of stationary equations appears naturally while one wants to solve a corresponding evolution equation

$$u_t + \sum_{j=1}^l (-1)^{j+1} D_x^{2j+1} u + uu_x = 0, \quad l \in \mathbb{N}, \quad (2)$$

making use of an implicit semidiscretization scheme:

$$\frac{u^n - u^{n-1}}{h} + \sum_{j=1}^l (-1)^{j+1} D_x^{2j+1} u^n + u^n u_x^n = 0, \quad l \in \mathbb{N}, \quad (3)$$

where $h > 0$, [1]. Comparing (3) with (1), it is clear that $a = 1/h > 0$ and $f(x) = u^{n-1}/h$.

For $l = 1$, we have the well-known generalized KdV equation and for $l = 2$ the Kawahara equation. Initial

value problems for the Kawahara equation, which had been derived in [2] as a perturbation of the Korteweg-de Vries (KdV) equation, have been considered in [3–12] and attracted attention due to various applications of those results in mechanics and physics such as dynamics of long small-amplitude waves in various media [13–15]. On the other hand, last years appeared publications on solvability of initial-boundary value problems for dispersive equations (which included the KdV and Kawahara equations) in bounded and unbounded domains [16–23]. In spite of the fact that there is not some clear physical interpretation for the problems on bounded intervals, their study is motivated by numerics [24]. The KdV and Kawahara equations have been developed for unbounded regions of wave propagations; however, if one is interested in implementing numerical schemes to calculate solutions in these regions, there arises the issue of cutting off a spatial domain approximating unbounded domains by bounded ones. In this case, some boundary conditions are needed to specify a solution. Therefore, precise mathematical analysis of mixed problems in bounded domains for dispersive equations is welcome and attracts attention of specialists in this area [16–19, 21, 25].

As a rule, simple boundary conditions at $x = 0$ and $x = 1$ such as $u = u_x = 0|_{x=0}$, $u = u_x = u_{xx} = 0|_{x=1}$ for the Kawahara equation were imposed. Different kind of boundary conditions was considered in [19, 26]. Obviously, boundary conditions for (1) are the same as for (2). Because

of that, study of boundary value problems for (1) helps to understand solvability of initial-boundary value problems for (2).

Last years, publications on dispersive equations of higher orders appeared [7, 9, 10, 21, 27]. Here, we propose (1) as a stationary analog of (2) because the last equation includes classical models such as the KdV and Kawahara equations.

The goal of our work is to formulate a correct boundary value problem for (1) and to prove the existence, uniqueness, and continuous dependence on perturbations of $f(x)$ for regular solutions.

The paper has the following structure. Section 1 is Introduction. Section 2 contains formulation of the problem and main results of the article. In Section 3 we give some useful facts. Section 4 is devoted to the boundary value problem for a complete linear equation, necessary to prove in Section 5 the existence of regular solutions for the original problem. Finally, in Section 6 uniqueness is proved which provided certain restriction on f as well as continuous dependence of solutions.

2. Formulation of the Problem and Main Results

For real $a > 0$, consider the following one-dimensional stationary higher-order equation:

$$au + \sum_{j=1}^l (-1)^{j+1} D^{2j+1}u + uDu = f \quad \text{in } (0, L) \quad (4)$$

subject to boundary conditions

$$D^k u(0) = D^k u(L) = D^l u(L) = 0, \quad k = 0, \dots, l-1, \quad (5)$$

where $0 < L < \infty$, $l \in \mathbb{N}$, $D^i = d^i/dx^i$, $D^1 \equiv D$ are the derivatives of order $i \in \mathbb{N}$, and $f \in L^2(0, L)$ is the given function.

Throughout this paper we adopt the usual notation (\cdot, \cdot) , $\|\cdot\|$, and $\|\cdot\|_{H^i}$, $i \in \mathbb{N}$, for the inner product and the norm in $L^2(0, L)$ and the norm in $H^i(0, L)$, respectively [28]. Symbols C_0 , C_i , $i \in \mathbb{N}$, mean positive constants appearing during the text.

The main results of the article are the following theorem.

Theorem 1. *Let $f \in L^2(0, L)$. Then for fixed $a > 0$, problem (4)-(5) admits at least one regular solution $u = u(x) \in H^{2l+1}(0, L)$ such that*

$$\|u\|_{H^{2l+1}} \leq C_6 \left((1+x), f^2 \right)^{1/2} \quad (6)$$

with C_6 depending only on L, l, a , and $((1+x), f^2)$. Moreover, if $l \geq 2$ and $((1+x), f^2)^{1/2} < (2a/3)\sqrt{a\beta}/2$ with $\beta = \min\{a/2, 1\}$, then the solution is uniquely defined and depends continuously on f . For $l = 1$ the uniqueness and continuous dependence are satisfied if $((1+x), f^2)^{1/2}$ is sufficiently small.

3. Preliminary Results

Lemma 2. *For all $u \in H^1(0, L)$, such that $u(x_0) = 0$ for some $x_0 \in [0, L]$, one has*

$$\sup_{x \in (0, L)} |u(x)| \leq \sqrt{2} \|u\|^{1/2} \|Du\|^{1/2}. \quad (7)$$

Proof. Let $x_0 \in [0, L]$, such that $u(x_0) = 0$. Then for any $x \in (0, L)$

$$u^2(x) = \int_{x_0}^x D[u^2(\xi)] d\xi \leq 2 \int_{x_0}^x |u(\xi)| |D(\xi)| d\xi \quad (8)$$

$$\leq 2 \int_0^L |u(x)| |Du(x)| dx \leq 2 \|u\| \|Du\|.$$

From this, the result follows immediately. \square

We will use the following version of the Gagliardo-Nirenberg's inequality [29–31].

Theorem 3. *For $1 \leq q, r \leq \infty$ suppose u belongs to $L^q(0, L)$ and its derivatives of order m belong to $L^r(0, L)$. Then for the derivatives $D^i u$, $0 \leq i < m$ the following inequalities hold:*

$$\|D^i u\|_{L^p} \leq K_1 \|D^m u\|_{L^r}^\theta \|u\|_{L^q}^{1-\theta} + K_2 \|u\|_{L^q}, \quad (9)$$

where

$$\frac{1}{p} = i + \theta \left(\frac{1}{r} - m \right) + (1 - \theta) \frac{1}{q}, \quad (10)$$

for all $\theta \in [i/m, 1]$ (the constants K_1, K_2 depending only on L, m, i, q , and r).

We will use the following fixed point theorem [32].

Theorem 4 (Schaefer's fixed point theorem). *Let X be a real Banach Space. Suppose $B : X \rightarrow X$ is a compact and continuous mapping. Assume further that the set*

$$\{u \in X \mid u = \lambda Bu \text{ for some } 0 \leq \lambda \leq 1\} \quad (11)$$

is bounded. Then B has a fixed point.

We start with the linearized version of (4), (5).

4. Linear Problem

Consider the linear equation

$$Au \equiv au + \sum_{j=1}^l (-1)^{j+1} D^{2j+1}u = f \quad \text{in } (0, L) \quad (12)$$

subject to boundary conditions (5).

Theorem 5. *Let $f \in L^2(0, L)$. Then problem (12)-(5) admits a unique regular solution $u = u(x) \in H^{2l+1}(0, L)$ such that*

$$\|u\|_{H^{2l+1}} \leq C_3 \|f\| \quad (13)$$

with C_3 depending only on L and a .

Proof. Denote

$$U(u) \equiv \text{Id}_{2l+1} \begin{pmatrix} u(0) \\ \vdots \\ D^{l-1}u(0) \\ u(L) \\ \vdots \\ D^l u(L) \end{pmatrix}, \quad (14)$$

where Id_{2l+1} is the identity matrix of order $2l + 1$. Suppose $f \in C([0, L])$ and consider the following problem:

$$\begin{aligned} Au &= f, \\ U(u) &= 0 \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

as well as the associated homogeneous problem

$$Au = 0, \quad (16)$$

$$U(u) = 0. \quad (17)$$

It is known, [33, 34], that (15) has a unique classical solution if and only if (16)-(17) has only the trivial solution.

Let $u \in C^{2l+1}([0, L])$ be a nontrivial solution of (16)-(17). Multiplying (16) by u and integrating over $(0, L)$, we have

$$a \|u\|^2 + \sum_{j=1}^l (-1)^{j+1} (D^{2j+1}u, u) = 0. \quad (18)$$

By integration by parts and the principle of finite induction, we calculate

$$\begin{aligned} &(D^{2j+1}u, u) \\ &= \left[\sum_{k=1}^j (-1)^{k+1} D^{k-1}u(x) D^{(2j+1)-k}u(x) \right]_{x=0}^{x=L} \\ &\quad + \left[(-1)^j \frac{1}{2} (D^j u(x))^2 \right]_{x=0}^{x=L} \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

for all $j \in \mathbb{N}$. Fixing $l \in \mathbb{N}$ and making use of (5), we find that

$$\begin{aligned} (D^{2j+1}u, u) &= 0 \quad \text{for } j \in \{1, \dots, l-1\}, \\ (D^{2l+1}u, u) &= (-1)^{l+1} \frac{1}{2} (D^l u(0))^2. \end{aligned} \quad (20)$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{j=1}^l (-1)^{j+1} (D^{2j+1}u, u) &= (-1)^{l+1} (-1)^{l+1} \frac{1}{2} (D^l u(0))^2 \\ &= \frac{1}{2} (D^l u(0))^2; \end{aligned} \quad (21)$$

therefore

$$a \|u\|^2 + \frac{1}{2} (D^l u(0))^2 = 0 \quad (22)$$

which implies $a \|u\|^2 \leq 0$. Since $a > 0$, it follows that $u \equiv 0$.

Therefore, (15) has a unique classical solution $u \in C^{2l+1}([0, L])$ given by

$$u(x) = \int_0^L G(x, \xi) f(\xi) d\xi, \quad (23)$$

where $G : [0, L] \times [0, L] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is Green's function associated with problem (16)-(17), [33, 34]. That is,

$$G(x, \xi) = \begin{cases} v(x - \xi) + \sum_{k=0}^{2l} u_k(x) d_k(\xi), & 0 \leq \xi \leq x \leq L \\ \sum_{k=0}^{2l} u_k(x) d_k(\xi), & 0 \leq x < \xi \leq L, \end{cases} \quad (24)$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} u_k(x) &= v^{2l-k}(x) + \sum_{s=k+1}^{2l} b_{2l+1-s} v^{s-k-1}(x), \\ &k = 0, \dots, 2l, \end{aligned} \quad (25)$$

where b_{2l+1-s} are the coefficients of (12). The function v is a unique solution to the following initial value problem:

$$\begin{aligned} au + \sum_{j=1}^l (-1)^{j+1} D^{2j+1}u &= 0 \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R} \\ D^{2l}u(0) &= 1, \\ D^i u(0) &= 0, \\ &i = 0, \dots, 2l-1, \end{aligned} \quad (26)$$

and the continuous real functions d_k are determined by u_k, v , and (5).

We prove the following estimates.

Estimate I. Multiplying (12) by u , we obtain

$$a \|u\|^2 + \frac{1}{2} (D^l u(0))^2 = (f, u). \quad (27)$$

By Cauchy-Schwarz's inequality, we get

$$\|u\| \leq \frac{1}{a} \|f\|. \quad (28)$$

Estimate II. Multiplying (12) by $(1+x)u$ and integrating over $(0, L)$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} a(u, (1+x)u) + \sum_{j=1}^l (-1)^{j+1} (D^{2j+1}u, (1+x)u) \\ = (f, (1+x)u). \end{aligned} \quad (29)$$

Integration by parts and the principle of finite induction give

$$\begin{aligned}
& (D^{2j+1}u, (1+x)u) \\
&= \left[\sum_{k=1}^j (-1)^{k+1} (1+x) D^{k-1}u(x) D^{(2j+1)-k}u(x) \right]_{x=0}^{x=L} \\
&+ \left[\sum_{k=1}^j (-1)^k k D^{k-1}u(x) D^{2j-k}u(x) \right]_{x=0}^{x=L} \quad (30) \\
&+ \left[(-1)^j \frac{(1+x)}{2} (D^j u(x))^2 \right]_{x=0}^{x=L} \\
&+ (-1)^{j+1} \frac{(2j+1)}{2} \|D^j u\|^2
\end{aligned}$$

for all $j \in \mathbb{N}$. Fixing $l \in \mathbb{N}$ and making use of (5), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
(D^{2j+1}u, (1+x)u) &= (-1)^{j+1} \frac{(2j+1)}{2} \|D^j u\|^2 \\
&\quad \text{for } j \in \{1, \dots, l-1\}, \\
(D^{2l+1}u, (1+x)u) &= (-1)^{l+1} \frac{1}{2} (D^l u(0))^2 \\
&\quad + (-1)^{l+1} \frac{(2l+1)}{2} \|D^l u\|^2. \quad (31)
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{j=1}^l (-1)^{j+1} (D^{2j+1}u, (1+x)u) \\
&= \sum_{j=1}^l \left(\frac{2j+1}{2} \right) \|D^j u\|^2 + \frac{1}{2} (D^l u(0))^2. \quad (32)
\end{aligned}$$

Applying Schwarz's inequality on the right-hand side of (29), we conclude

$$\|u\|_{H_0^l} \leq C_0 \|f\| \quad (33)$$

with C_0 depending only on L and a .

Estimate III. Rewriting (12) in the form

$$(-1)^{l+1} D^{2l+1}u = f - au - \sum_{j=1}^{l-1} (-1)^{j+1} D^{2j+1}u, \quad (34)$$

we estimate

$$\|D^{2l+1}u\| \leq \|f\| + a \|u\| + \sum_{j=1}^{l-1} \|D^{2j+1}u\|. \quad (35)$$

For $l = 1$ we have $\sum_{j=1}^{l-1} (-1)^{j+1} D^{2j+1}u = 0$ and for $l \geq 2$ denote $J = \{1, \dots, l-1\}$ and

$$\begin{aligned}
I_1 &= \{j \in J \mid 2j+1 \leq l\}, \\
I_2 &= \{j \in J \mid l < 2j+1 < 2l+1\}. \quad (36)
\end{aligned}$$

Hence we can write

$$\sum_{j=1}^{l-1} \|D^{2j+1}u\| = \sum_{j \in I_1} \|D^{2j+1}u\| + \sum_{j \in I_2} \|D^{2j+1}u\|. \quad (37)$$

Then (35) becomes

$$\begin{aligned}
\|D^{2l+1}u\| &\leq \|f\| + a \|u\| + \sum_{j \in I_1} \|D^{2j+1}u\| \\
&\quad + \sum_{j \in I_2} \|D^{2j+1}u\|. \quad (38)
\end{aligned}$$

Making use of (33), we get

$$a \|u\| + \sum_{j \in I_1} \|D^{2j+1}u\| \leq (a+l) C_0 \|f\|. \quad (39)$$

On the other hand, $l < 2j+1 < 2l+1$ for all $j \in I_2$. Hence, by Theorem 3, there are K_1^j, K_2^j , depending only on L and l , such that

$$\begin{aligned}
\|D^{2j+1}u\| &\leq K_1^j \|D^{2l+1}u\|^{\theta^j} \|u\|^{1-\theta^j} + K_2^j \|u\|, \\
&\quad \text{with } \theta^j = \frac{2j+1}{2l+1}. \quad (40)
\end{aligned}$$

Making use of Young's inequality with $p^j = 1/\theta^j, q^j = 1/(1-\theta^j)$, and arbitrary $\epsilon > 0$, we get

$$\|D^{2j+1}u\| \leq \epsilon \|D^{2l+1}u\| + C_1^j(\epsilon) \|u\| + K_2^j \|u\|, \quad (41)$$

where $C_1^j(\epsilon) = [q^j(p^j\epsilon/(K_1^j)^{p^j})^{q^j/p^j}]^{-1}$. Summing over $j \in I_2$ and making use of (28), we find

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{j \in I_2} \|D^{2j+1}u\| &\leq l\epsilon \|D^{2l+1}u\| \\
&\quad + \left(\frac{1}{a} \sum_{j \in I_2} (C_1^j(\epsilon) + K_2^j) \right) \|f\|. \quad (42)
\end{aligned}$$

Substituting (39), (42) into (38), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& \|D^{2l+1}u\| \\
&\leq l\epsilon \|D^{2l+1}u\| \\
&\quad + \left(1 + (a+l)C_0 + \frac{1}{a} \sum_{j \in I_2} (C_1^j(\epsilon) + K_2^j) \right) \|f\|. \quad (43)
\end{aligned}$$

Taking $\epsilon = 1/2l$, we conclude

$$\|D^{2l+1}u\| \leq C_2 \|f\|, \quad (44)$$

where C_2 depends only on L, l , and a .

Again by Theorem 3, for all $i = l+1, \dots, 2l$, there are K_1^i, K_2^i depending only on L and l such that

$$\begin{aligned}
\|D^i u\| &\leq K_1^i \|D^{2l+1}u\|^{\theta^i} \|u\|^{1-\theta^i} + K_2^i \|u\|, \\
&\quad \text{with } \theta^i = \frac{i}{2l+1}. \quad (45)
\end{aligned}$$

Making use of (28), (44), we obtain

$$\|D^i u\| \leq \left(\frac{K_1^i C_2^{\theta^i}}{a^{1-\theta^i}} + \frac{K_2^i}{a} \right) \|f\|, \quad \text{for } i = l+1, \dots, 2l. \quad (46)$$

Taking into account (33), (44), and (46), we conclude that $u \in H^{2l+1}(0, L)$ and

$$\|u\|_{H^{2l+1}} \leq C_3 \|f\| \quad (47)$$

with C_3 depending only on L, l , and a . Uniqueness of u follows from (28). In fact, such calculations must be performed for smooth solutions and the general case can be obtained via density arguments. Therefore, the proof of the Theorem 5 is complete. \square

5. Nonlinear Case

Given $u \in H_0^1(0, L)$, set $F := f - uDu$. Clearly, $F \in L^2(0, L)$ and by Lemma 2,

$$\begin{aligned} \|F\| &\leq \|f\| + \|uDu\| \\ &\leq \|f\| + \left(\sup_{x \in (0, L)} |u(x)|^2 \right)^{1/2} \|Du\| \\ &\leq \|f\| + \sqrt{2} \|u\|^{1/2} \|Du\|^{3/2}. \end{aligned} \quad (48)$$

By the Young inequality with $p = 4, q = 4/3$, and $\epsilon = 1$, we obtain

$$\|F\| \leq \|f\| + \|u\|_{H_0^1}^2. \quad (49)$$

Let $w \in H^{2l+1}(0, L)$ be a unique solution of the linear equation

$$aw + \sum_{j=1}^l (-1)^{j+1} D^{2j+1} w = F \quad \text{in } (0, L) \quad (50)$$

subject to boundary conditions (5). By (47), we know additionally that

$$\|w\|_{H^{2l+1}} \leq C_3 \|F\| \leq C_3 (\|f\| + \|u\|_{H_0^1}^2). \quad (51)$$

Let us henceforth write $Bu = w$ whenever w is derived from u via (50), (5). We assert that $B : H_0^1(0, L) \rightarrow H_0^1(0, L)$ is compact and continuous.

Indeed, if $\{u_k\}$ is a bounded sequence in $H_0^1(0, L)$, then, in view of estimate (51), we have that sequence $\{w_k\}$ is bounded in $H^{2l+1}(0, L)$. Since $H^{2l+1}(0, L)$ is compactly embedded in $H_0^1(0, L)$, then there exists a convergent in $H_0^1(0, L)$ subsequence $\{Bu_{k_s}\}_{s=1}^\infty$; therefore B is compact.

Similarly, let $u_k \rightarrow u$ in $H_0^1(0, L)$, then there are a subsequence $\{u_{k_s}\}_{s=1}^\infty$ and a function $w \in H_0^1(0, L)$ such that $w_{k_s} \rightarrow w$ in $H_0^1(0, L)$. Write (50) in the form

$$aw_{k_s} + \sum_{j=1}^l (-1)^{j+1} D^{2j+1} w_{k_s} = f - u_{k_s} Du_{k_s} \quad (52)$$

for all $s \in \mathbb{N}$. Consequently by (51), passing to the limit as $s \rightarrow \infty$, we find

$$aw + \sum_{j=1}^l (-1)^{j+1} D^{2j+1} w = f - uDu. \quad (53)$$

Thus $w = Bu$. Hence, $u_k \rightarrow u$ in $H_0^1(0, L)$ implies $Bu_k \rightarrow Bu$ in $H_0^1(0, L)$. This proves that B is continuous.

Finally, we must show that the set

$$\left\{ u \in H_0^1(0, L) \mid u = \lambda Bu \text{ for some } 0 \leq \lambda \leq 1 \right\} \quad (54)$$

is bounded in $H_0^1(0, L)$. Assume $u \in H_0^1(0, L)$ such that

$$u = \lambda Bu \quad \text{for some } 0 < \lambda \leq 1, \quad (55)$$

then

$$a \left(\frac{u}{\lambda} \right) + \sum_{j=1}^l (-1)^{j+1} D^{2j+1} \left(\frac{u}{\lambda} \right) = f - uDu \quad \text{in } (0, L); \quad (56)$$

$$D^k \left(\frac{u}{\lambda} \right) (0) = D^k \left(\frac{u}{\lambda} \right) (L) = D^l \left(\frac{u}{\lambda} \right) (L) = 0, \quad k = 0, \dots, l-1,$$

that is,

$$au + \sum_{j=1}^l (-1)^{j+1} D^{2j+1} u + \lambda uDu = \lambda f \quad \text{in } (0, L) \quad (57)$$

and u satisfies boundary conditions (5).

5.1. A Priori Estimates

Estimate IV. Multiplying (57) by u and integrating over $(0, L)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} a \|u\|^2 + \sum_{j=1}^l (-1)^{j+1} (D^{2j+1} u, u) + \lambda (uDu, u) \\ = (\lambda f, u). \end{aligned} \quad (58)$$

Integrating by parts and using boundary conditions (5), we get

$$\lambda (uDu, u) = 0. \quad (59)$$

Hence, similar to (28), we obtain

$$\|u\| \leq \frac{1}{a} \|f\|. \quad (60)$$

Estimate V. Multiplying (57) by $(1+x)u$ and integrating over $(0, L)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} a (u, (1+x)u) + \sum_{j=1}^l (-1)^{j+1} (D^{2j+1} u, (1+x)u) \\ + \lambda (uDu, (1+x)u) = (\lambda f, (1+x)u). \end{aligned} \quad (61)$$

It is easy to verify that

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{j=1}^l (-1)^{j+1} (D^{2j+1}u, (1+x)u) \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^l \left(\frac{2j+1}{2} \right) \|D^j u\|^2 + \frac{1}{2} (D^l u(0))^2. \end{aligned} \quad (62)$$

Integrating by parts, using boundary conditions (5) and Lemma 2, we get

$$\begin{aligned} -\lambda (uD_u, (1+x)u) &= \frac{\lambda}{3} \int_0^L u^3(x) dx \\ &\leq \frac{1}{3} \int_0^L |u(x)| |u(x)|^2 dx \\ &\leq \frac{1}{3} \sup_{x \in (0,L)} |u(x)| \int_0^L |u(x)|^2 dx \\ &\leq \frac{\sqrt{2}}{3} \|u\|^{5/2} \|D_u\|^{1/2}. \end{aligned} \quad (63)$$

By the Young inequality, with $p = 4$, $q = 4/3$, and $\epsilon = 2^{1/4}$, we obtain

$$\lambda (uD_u, (1+x)u) \geq -\frac{1}{2} \|D_u\|^2 - b \|u\|^{10/3}, \quad (64)$$

where $b = 2^{-5/3} 3^{-1/2}$.

Moreover, by the Young inequality with arbitrary $\epsilon > 0$, we get

$$(f, (1+x)u) \leq \frac{\epsilon}{2} ((1+x), u^2) + \frac{1}{2\epsilon} ((1+x), f^2); \quad (65)$$

therefore

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(a - \frac{\epsilon}{2} \right) ((1+x), u^2) + \|D_u\|^2 \\ &+ \sum_{j=2}^l \left(\frac{2j+1}{2} \right) \|D^j u\|^2 + \frac{1}{2} (D^l u(0))^2 \\ &\leq b \|u\|^{10/3} + \frac{1}{2\epsilon} ((1+x), f^2). \end{aligned} \quad (66)$$

Since

$$\int_0^L (1+x) f^2 dx = \|f\|^2 + \int_0^L x f^2 dx \geq \|f\|^2, \quad (67)$$

it follows from (28) that

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(a - \frac{\epsilon}{2} \right) ((1+x), u^2) + \|D_u\|^2 \\ &+ \sum_{j=2}^l \left(\frac{2j+1}{2} \right) \|D^j u\|^2 + \frac{1}{2} (D^l u(0))^2 \\ &\leq \left(\frac{1}{2\epsilon} + \frac{b}{a^{10/3}} ((1+x), f^2)^{2/3} \right) ((1+x), f^2). \end{aligned} \quad (68)$$

Taking $\epsilon = a > 0$, we conclude

$$\|u\|_{H_0^l} \leq C_4 ((1+x), f^2)^{1/2}, \quad (69)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} C_4 &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{\beta}} \left(\frac{1}{2a} + \frac{b}{a^{10/3}} ((1+x), f^2)^{2/3} \right)^{1/2}, \\ \beta &= \min \left\{ \frac{a}{2}, 1 \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (70)$$

Remark 6. Note that estimate (69) does not depend on $L \in (0, \infty)$. This estimate may be used to prove the existence of a weak solution, $u \in H_0^l(0, L)$.

Estimate VI. Rewriting (57) in the form

$$\begin{aligned} (-1)^{l+1} D^{2l+1}u &= \lambda f - au - \sum_{j=1}^{l-1} (-1)^{j+1} D^{2j+1}u \\ &\quad - \lambda u D_u, \end{aligned} \quad (71)$$

we estimate

$$\begin{aligned} \|D^{2l+1}u\| &\leq \|f\| + a \|u\| + \sum_{j \in I_1} \|D^{2j+1}u\| \\ &\quad + \sum_{j \in I_2} \|D^{2j+1}u\| + \|u D_u\|. \end{aligned} \quad (72)$$

By (69),

$$\begin{aligned} a \|u\| + \sum_{j \in I_1} \|D^{2j+1}u\| &\leq (a+l) C_4 ((1+x), f^2)^{1/2}, \\ \|u D_u\| &\leq \sqrt{2} \|u\|^{1/2} \|D_u\|^{3/2} \leq \|u\|_{H_0^l}^2 \\ &\leq C_4^2 ((1+x), f^2). \end{aligned} \quad (73)$$

Acting in the same way as we have proved (42),

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{j \in I_2} \|D^{2j+1}u\| \\ &\leq l\epsilon \|D^{2l+1}u\| \\ &\quad + \left(\frac{1}{a} \sum_{j \in I_2} (C_1^j(\epsilon) + K_2^j) \right) ((1+x), f^2)^{1/2}. \end{aligned} \quad (74)$$

Substituting (73), (74) into (72), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \|D^{2l+1}u\| \\ &\leq l\epsilon \|D^{2l+1}u\| \\ &\quad + \left(\frac{1}{a} \sum_{j \in I_2} (C_1^j(\epsilon) + K_2^j) \right) ((1+x), f^2)^{1/2} \\ &\quad + (1 + (a+l) C_4) ((1+x), f^2)^{1/2}. \end{aligned} \quad (75)$$

Setting $\epsilon = 1/2l$, we conclude

$$\|D^{2l+1}u\| \leq C_5 \left((1+x), f^2 \right)^{1/2}, \quad (76)$$

where C_5 depends only on L, l, a , and $((1+x), f^2)$.

Making use of (60), (76), and Theorem 3, we obtain

$$\|D^i u\| \leq \left(\frac{K_1^i C_5^{\theta^i}}{a^{1-\theta^i}} + \frac{K_2^i}{a} \right) \left((1+x), f^2 \right)^{1/2}, \quad (77)$$

for $i = l+1, \dots, 2l$.

Taking into account (69), (76), and (77), we finally conclude

$$\|u\|_{H^{2l+1}} \leq C_6 \left((1+x), f^2 \right)^{1/2} \quad (78)$$

with C_6 depending only on L, l, a , and $((1+x), f^2)$.

Applying Theorem 4, we complete the proof of the existence part of Theorem 1.

6. Uniqueness and Continuous Dependence

We separated two cases.

(1) *Case $l \geq 2$.* Let u_1 and u_2 be two distinct solutions of (4)-(5). Then the difference $u = u_1 - u_2$ satisfies the equation

$$au + \sum_{j=1}^l (-1)^{j+1} D^{2j+1}u + u_1 Du + u Du_2 = 0 \quad (79)$$

and boundary conditions (5).

Multiplying (79) by u and integrating over $(0, L)$, we have

$$a \|u\|^2 + \sum_{j=1}^l (-1)^{j+1} (D^{2j+1}u, u) + (u_1 Du, u) + (u Du_2, u) = 0. \quad (80)$$

Integrating by parts and using boundary conditions (5), we get

$$(u_1 Du, u) \geq -\frac{1}{2} \sup_{x \in (0, L)} |Du_1(x)| \|u\|^2. \quad (81)$$

Similarly,

$$(u Du_2, u) \geq -\sup_{x \in (0, L)} |Du_2(x)| \|u\|^2. \quad (82)$$

We reduce (80) to the inequality

$$\left(a - \frac{1}{2} \sup_{x \in (0, L)} |Du_1(x)| - \sup_{x \in (0, L)} |Du_2(x)| \right) \|u\|^2 \leq 0. \quad (83)$$

For $i = 1, 2$, we have $u_i \in H^{2l+1}(0, L)$ and $Du_i(L) = Du_i(0) = 0$. By Lemma 2 and estimate (69), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{x \in (0, L)} |Du_i(x)| &\leq \sqrt{2} \|Du_i\|^{1/2} \|D^2u_i\|^{1/2} \\ &\leq \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} (\|Du_i\| + \|D^2u_i\|) \leq \sqrt{2} \|u_i\|_{H_0^2} \\ &\leq \sqrt{2} C_4 \left((1+x), f^2 \right)^{1/2} \end{aligned} \quad (84)$$

with

$$C_4 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\beta}} \left(\frac{1}{2a} + \frac{2^{-5/3} 3^{-1/3}}{a^{10/3}} \left((1+x), f^2 \right)^{2/3} \right)^{1/2}. \quad (85)$$

Therefore (83) can be rewritten as

$$\left(a - \frac{3\sqrt{2}}{2} C_4 \left((1+x), f^2 \right)^{1/2} \right) \|u\|^2 \leq 0. \quad (86)$$

Since

$$\frac{2a}{3} \sqrt{\frac{a\beta}{2}} < \sqrt{2} \sqrt[4]{3} a^{7/4} \quad \forall a > 0, \quad (87)$$

it follows that if $\left((1+x), f^2 \right)^{1/2} < (2a/3) \sqrt{a\beta/2}$, for fixed $a > 0$, then

$$C_4 < \left(\frac{1}{a\beta} \right)^{1/2} \quad (88)$$

and consequently

$$a - \frac{3\sqrt{2}}{2} C_4 \left((1+x), f^2 \right)^{1/2} > 0. \quad (89)$$

Hence, (86) implies $\|u\| = 0$ and uniqueness is proved for $l \geq 2$.

To show continuous dependence of solutions of perturbations of $f(x)$, let $f_i \in L^2(0, L)$ such that

$$\left((1+x), f_i^2 \right)^{1/2} < \frac{2a}{3} \sqrt{\frac{a\beta}{2}}, \quad i = 1, 2. \quad (90)$$

Consider u_1 and u_2 solutions of (4)-(5) with the right-hand sides f_1 and f_2 , respectively. Then, similar to (83), $u_1 - u_2$ satisfies the following inequality:

$$\begin{aligned} &\left(a - \frac{1}{2} \sup_{x \in (0, L)} |Du_1(x)| - \sup_{x \in (0, L)} |Du_2(x)| \right) \|u_1 - u_2\| \\ &\leq \|f_1 - f_2\| \end{aligned} \quad (91)$$

which can be rewritten as

$$\left(a - \frac{3\sqrt{2}}{2} C_4^M M \right) \|u_1 - u_2\| \leq \|f_1 - f_2\|, \quad (92)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} M &= \max \left\{ \left((1+x), f_1^2 \right)^{1/2}, \left((1+x), f_2^2 \right)^{1/2} \right\}, \\ C_4^M &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{\beta}} \left(\frac{1}{2a} + \frac{b}{a^{10/3}} M^{4/3} \right)^{1/2}. \end{aligned} \quad (93)$$

Making use of (90), we obtain

$$\|u_1 - u_2\| \leq C_7 \|f_1 - f_2\| \quad (94)$$

with $C_7 = (a - (3\sqrt{2}/2)C_4^M M)^{-1} > 0$. Hence $\|f_1 - f_2\| \rightarrow 0$ implies $\|u_1 - u_2\| \rightarrow 0$. This proves the continuous dependence for $l \geq 2$.

(2) Case $l = 1$. For $l = 1$, problem (4)-(5) becomes

$$au + D^3u + uDu = f \quad \text{in } (0, L) \tag{95}$$

$$u(0) = u(L) = Du(L) = 0. \tag{96}$$

Let u_1 and u_2 be two distinct solutions of (95)-(96). Then the difference $u = u_1 - u_2$ satisfies the equation

$$au + D^3u + \frac{1}{2}D(u_1^2 - u_2^2) = 0 \tag{97}$$

and boundary conditions (96).

Multiplying (97) by u and integrating over $(0, L)$, we have

$$a\|u\|^2 + \frac{1}{2}(Du(0))^2 + \frac{1}{2}(D(u_1^2 - u_2^2), u) = 0. \tag{98}$$

Integrating by parts and using the boundary conditions (96), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2}(D(u_1^2 - u_2^2), u) &= \frac{1}{2}(D[(u_1 + u_2)u], u) \\ &= -\frac{1}{2}((u_1 + u_2)u, Du) \\ &= -\frac{1}{4}((u_1 + u_2), D[u^2]) \\ &= \frac{1}{4}(D(u_1 + u_2), u^2) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{4} \sup_{x \in (0, L)} |D(u_1 + u_2)(x)| \|u\|^2 \end{aligned} \tag{99}$$

and (98) becomes

$$\left[a - \frac{1}{4} \left(\sup_{x \in (0, L)} |Du_1(x)| + \sup_{x \in (0, L)} |Du_2(x)| \right) \right] \|u\|^2 \leq 0. \tag{100}$$

By (60), (69), it follows that

$$\|D^3u_i\| \leq 2\|f\| + C_4^2((1+x), f^2), \quad i = 1, 2. \tag{101}$$

According to Theorem 3 and (60), (101), we estimate for $i = 1, 2$

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{x \in (0, L)} |Du_i(x)| &\leq K_1 \|D^3u_i\|^{1/2} \|u_i\|^{1/2} + K_2 \|u_i\| \\ &\leq \frac{K_1}{2} \|D^3u_i\| + \left(\frac{K_1}{2} + K_2 \right) \|u_i\| \\ &\leq \frac{K_1}{2} C_4^2((1+x), f^2) \\ &\quad + \left(K_1 + \frac{K_1}{2a} + \frac{K_2}{a} \right) \|f\|. \end{aligned} \tag{102}$$

Suppose $((1+x), f^2)^{1/2} < 1$, then $((1+x), f^2) < ((1+x), f^2)^{1/2}$; therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{x \in (0, L)} |Du_i(x)| &\leq \left[\frac{K_1}{2} C_4^2 + \left(K_1 + \frac{K_1}{2a} + \frac{K_2}{a} \right) \right] ((1+x), f^2)^{1/2}, \\ & \quad i = 1, 2. \end{aligned} \tag{103}$$

Hence we can rewrite (100) as follows:

$$\left[a - \left(\frac{K_1}{4} C_4^2 + \left(\frac{K_1}{2} + \frac{K_1}{4a} + \frac{K_2}{2a} \right) \right) ((1+x), f^2)^{1/2} \right] \cdot \|u\|^2 \leq 0. \tag{104}$$

For a fixed $a > 0$ assume that

$$((1+x), f^2)^{1/2} < \min \left\{ \sqrt{2} \sqrt[4]{3a^{7/4}}, \frac{4a^2\beta}{(1+2a\beta+\beta)K_1+2\beta K_2} \right\}. \tag{105}$$

Then $C_4^2 < 1/a\beta$ and

$$\left[a - \left(\frac{K_1}{4} C_4^2 + \left(\frac{K_1}{2} + \frac{K_1}{4a} + \frac{K_2}{2a} \right) \right) ((1+x), f^2)^{1/2} \right] > 0; \tag{106}$$

hence (104) implies $\|u\| = 0$. Assuming that, for $l = 1$,

$$((1+x), f^2)^{1/2} < \min \left\{ 1, \sqrt{2} \sqrt[4]{3a^{7/4}}, \frac{4a^2\beta}{(1+2a\beta+\beta)K_1+2\beta K_2} \right\}, \tag{107}$$

we complete the proof of uniqueness. The continuous dependence for this case follows in the same manner as it has been done for the case $l \geq 2$ provided $((1+x), f^2)^{1/2}$ is sufficiently small.

This completes the proof of the uniqueness and continuous dependence part of Theorem 1.

Conflicts of Interest

The authors declare that there are no conflicts of interest regarding the publication of this paper.

Acknowledgments

N. A. Larkin was supported by Fundação Araucária, Estado do Paraná, Brazil.

References

[1] R. Temam, *Navier-Stokes Equations. Theory and Numerical Analysis*, North-Holland Publishing, Amsterdam, The Netherlands, 1979.

- [2] T. Kawahara, "Oscillatory solitary waves in dispersive media," *Journal of the Physical Society of Japan*, vol. 33, no. 1, pp. 260–264, 1972.
- [3] H. A. Biagioni and F. Linares, "On the Benney-Lin and Kawahara equations," *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 211, no. 1, pp. 131–152, 1997.
- [4] S. B. Cui, D. G. Deng, and S. P. Tao, "Global existence of solutions for the Cauchy problem of the Kawahara equation with L^2 initial data," *Acta Mathematica Sinica*, vol. 22, no. 5, pp. 1457–1466, 2006.
- [5] L. G. Farah, F. Linares, and A. Pastor, "The supercritical generalized KdV equation: global well-posedness in the energy space and below," *Mathematical Research Letters*, vol. 18, no. 2, pp. 357–377, 2011.
- [6] Y. Jia and Z. Huo, "Well-posedness for the fifth-order shallow water equations," *Journal of Differential Equations*, vol. 246, no. 6, pp. 2448–2467, 2009.
- [7] P. Isaza, F. Linares, and G. Ponce, "Decay properties for solutions of fifth order nonlinear dispersive equations," *Journal of Differential Equations*, vol. 258, no. 3, pp. 764–795, 2015.
- [8] T. Kato, "On the Cauchy problem for the (generalized) Korteweg-de Vries equation," *Advances in Mathematics Supplementary Studies: Studies in Applied Mathematics*, vol. 8, pp. 93–128, 1983.
- [9] C. E. Kenig, G. Ponce, and L. Vega, "Higher-order nonlinear dispersive equations," *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society*, vol. 122, no. 1, pp. 157–166, 1994.
- [10] C. E. Kenig, G. Ponce, and L. Vega, "Well-posedness and scattering results for the generalized Korteweg-de Vries equation via the contraction principle," *Communications on Pure and Applied Mathematics*, vol. 46, no. 4, pp. 527–620, 1993.
- [11] D. Pilod, "On the Cauchy problem for higher-order nonlinear dispersive equations," *Journal of Differential Equations*, vol. 245, no. 8, pp. 2055–2077, 2008.
- [12] J.-C. Saut, "Sur quelques généralisations de l'équation de Korteweg-de Vries," *Journal de Mathématiques Pures et Appliquées*, vol. 58, no. 1, pp. 21–61, 1979.
- [13] H. Hasimoto, "Water waves," *Kagaku*, vol. 40, pp. 401–408, 1970 (Japanese).
- [14] A. Jeffrey and T. Kakutani, "Weak nonlinear dispersive waves: A discussion centered around the Korteweg-de Vries equation," *SIAM Review*, vol. 14, pp. 582–643, 1972.
- [15] T. Kakutani and H. Ono, "Weak non-linear hydromagnetic waves in a cold collision-free plasma," *Journal of the Physical Society of Japan*, vol. 26, no. 5, pp. 1305–1318, 1969.
- [16] F. D. Araruna, R. A. Capistrano-Filho, and G. G. Doronin, "Energy decay for the modified Kawahara equation posed in a bounded domain," *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 385, no. 2, pp. 743–756, 2012.
- [17] J. L. Bona, S. M. Sun, and B.-Y. Zhang, "Non-homogeneous boundary value problems for the Korteweg-de Vries and the Korteweg-de Vries-Burgers equations in a quarter plane," *Annales de l'Institut Henri Poincaré, Analyse Non Linéaire*, vol. 25, no. 6, pp. 1145–1185, 2008.
- [18] B. A. Bubnov, "Solvability in the large of nonlinear boundary-value problems for the Korteweg-de Vries equation in a bounded domain," *Differentsial'nye Uravneniya*, vol. 16, no. 1, pp. 34–41, 1980 (Russian), English translation: *Differential Equations*, vol. 16, pp. 24–30, 1980.
- [19] T. Colin and J.-M. Ghidaglia, "An initial-boundary value problem for the Korteweg-de Vries equation posed on a finite interval," *Advances in Differential Equations*, vol. 6, no. 12, pp. 1463–1492, 2001.
- [20] G. G. Doronin and N. A. Larkin, "Boundary value problems for the stationary Kawahara equation," *Nonlinear Analysis: Theory, Methods & Applications*, vol. 69, no. 5-6, pp. 1655–1665, 2008.
- [21] A. V. Faminskii and N. A. Larkin, "Initial-boundary value problems for quasilinear dispersive equations posed on a bounded interval," *Electronic Journal of Differential Equations*, vol. 2010, no. 1, pp. 1–20, 2010.
- [22] N. Khanal, J. Wu, and J.-M. Yuan, "The Kawahara equation in weighted Sobolev spaces," *Nonlinearity*, vol. 21, no. 7, pp. 1489–1505, 2008.
- [23] R. V. Kuvshinov and A. V. Faminskii, "Mixed problem for the Kawahara equation in a half-strip," *Differentsial'nye Uravneniya*, vol. 45, no. 3, pp. 391–402, 2009 (Russian), Translation in: *Differential Equations*, vol. 45, no. 3, pp. 404–415, 2009.
- [24] J. Ceballos, M. Sepulveda, and O. Villagran, "The Korteweg-de Vries-Kawahara equation in a bounded domain and some numerical results," *Applied Mathematics and Computation*, vol. 190, no. 1, pp. 912–936, 2007.
- [25] N. A. Larkin, "Correct initial boundary value problems for dispersive equations," *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 344, no. 2, pp. 1079–1092, 2008.
- [26] N. A. Larkin, "Korteweg-de Vries and Kuramoto-Sivashinsky equations in bounded domains," *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 297, no. 1, pp. 169–185, 2004.
- [27] S. P. Tao and S. B. Cui, "The local and global existence of the solution of the Cauchy problem for the seven-order nonlinear equation," *Acta Mathematica Sinica*, vol. 25, no. 4, pp. 451–460, 2005.
- [28] R. A. Adams, *Sobolev Spaces*, Pure and Applied Mathematics, Department of Mathematics, Academic Press, New York, NY, USA, 1970.
- [29] O. A. Ladyzenskaja, V. A. Solonnikov, and N. N. Uralceva, *Linear and Quasilinear Equations of Parabolic Type*, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, USA, 1968.
- [30] L. Nirenberg, "An extended interpolation inequality," *Annali Della Scuola Normale Superiore di Pisa-Classe di Scienze*, vol. 20, no. 4, pp. 733–737, 1966.
- [31] L. Nirenberg, *Remarks on Strongly Elliptic Partial Differential Equations*, New York University Institute of Mathematical Sciences, 1955.
- [32] L. C. Evans, *Partial Differential Equations*, American Mathematical Society, 1998.
- [33] A. Cabada, J. A. Cid, and B. Maquez-Villamarin, "Computation of Green's functions for boundary value problems with mathematics," *Applied Mathematics and Computation*, vol. 219, no. 4, pp. 1919–1936, 2012.
- [34] M. A. Naimark, *Linear Differential Operators*, Harrap, London, UK, 1968.

