

An audit of performance in the processing of macro-invertebrate samples in 1993. Clyde River Purification Board

R.J.M Gunn, BA J.M. Winder, PhD N.J. Grieve, BSc J.H. Blackburn, BSc J.F. Wright, PhD K.L. Symes, HNC





INSTITUTE OF FRESHWATER ECOLOGY River Laboratory, East Stoke, Wareham, Dorset BH20 6BB

Tel: 0929 462314 Fax: 0929 462180

An audit of performance in the processing of macro-invertebrate samples in 1993. Clyde River Purification Board

R.J.M. Gunn, J.M. Winder, N.J. Grieve, J.H. Blackburn, J.F. Wright & K.L. Symes

Project leader:

R J M Gunn

Report date:

March 1994

Report to:

Clyde River Purification Board

IFE Report Ref:

RL/T04071e1/12

TFS Project No:

T04071e1

In accordance with our normal practice, this report is for the use only of the party to whom it is addressed, and no responsibility is accepted to any third party for the whole or any part of its contents. Neither the whole nor any part of this report or any reference thereto may be included in any published document, circular or statement, nor published or referred to in any way without our written approval of the form and context in which it may appear.

The Institute of Freshwater Ecology is part of the Terrestrial and Freshwater Sciences Directorate of the Natural Environment Research Council.

1. INTRODUCTION

In 1993 the sampling of aquatic macro-invertebrates for the biological assessment of river quality continued throughout the United Kingdom. This task was undertaken by the National Rivers Authority (NRA) in England and Wales, the River Purification Boards (RPBs) in Scotland and the Industrial Research & Technology Unit (IRTU) in Northern Ireland.

In view of the number of staff involved and the variability of sample processing techniques, it was recognised that an independent quality control exercise was necessary to promote a consistently high level of reliability. The IFE was contracted to undertake an audit of the sample sorting and identification performance of each NRA region, several RPBs and the IRTU. This report presents the results of 29 samples audited for Clyde River Purification Board. The IFE was not required to perform any statistical analyses nor interpretation of the results of the audit.

Each organisation employed standard collection procedures, as used in the 1990 River Quality Survey, and the sampling strategy was therefore compatible with RIVPACS (River InVertebrate Prediction And Classification System), which has been developed by the Institute of Freshwater Ecology (IFE).

Samples were sorted by NRA, RPB and IRTU personnel for the families of macro-invertebrates included in the Biological Monitoring Working Party (BMWP) system. Taxa present were recorded on site data sheets. Sample processing and recording techniques varied from region to region.

2. SAMPLE SELECTION

Samples for audit were selected internally by each of the agencies being monitored. The biologists processing these samples had no prior knowledge of the samples to be audited.

The manner of sample selection, which biologists would be monitored and the number of audit samples from each season, were left to the discretion of the agency, within the limits of the total number of samples that IFE was contracted to audit.

3. SAMPLE PROCESSING

The normal protocol for NRA, RPB and IRTU biologists was to sort their samples within the laboratory and to select examples of each scoring taxon within the BMWP system. In most cases, the invertebrates were placed in a vial of preservative (4% formaldehyde solution or 70% industrial alcohol) and the BMWP taxa were listed on a data sheet. The vial of animals and the sorted material were then returned to the sample container and preservative added. Thus, each sample available to IFE for audit should have included:

- i) a list of the BMWP families found in the sample
- ii) a vial containing representatives from each family
- iii) the preserved sample

When these three elements were present, the sequence of operations at IFE was as follows:

- a) The remainder of the sample was sorted and the BMWP families listed
- b) The families contained within the vial were identified and listed
- c) A comparison was made between the RPB listing of families and those identified from the vial by IFE
- d) A comparison was made between the RPB listing of families and those found in the sample by IFE
- e) "Losses" or "gains" from the RPB listing of families were noted. In the case of "gains", each additional family was identified, where possible, to species level, in order to clarify any specific repetitive errors.

For a number of different reasons, some samples did not include a vial containing representative examples of the families listed on the data sheet. Others arrived with the vial damaged in transit such that the representative examples were no longer separated. For these samples, only operations a), d) and e) above were appropriate.

Several directives were issued to IFE relating to the treatment of BMWP taxa. Terrestrial representatives of BMWP scoring families, animals deemed to have been dead at the time of sampling, cast insect skins, pupal exuviae, empty mollusc shells and posterior ends of "living" specimens were to be excluded from the listing of families present. Chrysomelidae and Curculionidae, which appear in the BMWP list, were also to be excluded for the purposes of the audit. Trichopteran pupae, although not routinely identified by many biologists, were to be included in the listing of families.

4. REPORTING

The results of each sample audit were recorded on a standard report form (Table 1). For audit samples where a vial of animals was included, the comparison between the RPB listing and the taxa found in the vial by IFE was shown in box A of the report form. Discrepancies could be due to carelessness, misidentifications or errors in completing the RPB data sheet. Families not on the RPB listing but found by IFE in the remainder of the sample were entered in box B of the report form under "additional families". When the families listed as "losses" in section A of the report form were compared with the full list of families recorded in the sample by IFE, some apparent losses from the vial were offset by the presence of those families in the remainder of the sample. These taxa were therefore listed in the "losses" box of section A and the "gains" box of section B and were neither a net loss nor a net gain. In these cases, the families were marked with an asterisk in both boxes. Such errors are noted as "omissions" in the Tables which summarise the results for each season (Tables 2, 3 and 4).

Species identifications, state of development (eg adult or larval coleopterans) and the presence of a single representative of a family within the remainder of the sample were recorded in the notes section of the report form. Where the RPB data sheet indicated that a family was noted and released at the site, this was recorded in the notes section but not included as a "loss", even though the family was not found in the vial.

For those samples in which the vial of animals was damaged or missing, box A of the report form was not applicable (N/a). Families not on the list but present in the sample were entered in box B under "additional families" as before. Families recorded on the list but not found by IFE were indicated on the left hand side of box B. If the vial of animals was retained by the sorter, entries in this box could include the sole representative of a family which was removed, a family seen at the site which escaped or was released (without mention being made on the data sheet), inaccurate identification, the wrong family box being ticked on the data sheet or the family being present in the sample but missed by IFE.

Results of the audits of individual samples are presented in the Appendix.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Thanks to Diana Morton for typing the report.

TABLE 1. The IFE Report form

EXTERNAL AUD	IT OF BIOLOGICAL SAMPLES	- 1993
REGION	RIVER	
DATE	SITE	
SORTER	SAMPLE CODE	
AQC OF BMWP FAMILIES A. IN	VIAL B. IN SAI	MPLE .
A VIAL	DMWP FAMILIES NOT FOUND BY IFE	ADDITIONAL FAMILIES FOUND BY IFE
Differences between: i) BMWP families listed on sample data sheet and ii) BMWP families found in VIAL by IFE		
В	BMWP FAMILIES NOT FOUND BY IFE	ADDITIONAL FAMILIES
SAMPLE Differences between: i) BMWP families listed on sample data sheet and ii) BMWP families found in SAMPLE by IFE	(This box only completed when no vial is supplied with sample)	
NOTES:	NET LOSSES	NET GAINS

TABLE 2. The 10 spring samples audited for Clyde RPB.

River	Site	Sorter	Losses	Gains	Omissions
Calder	d/s Lockwinnoch	MT	1	0	0
Lussa	Strathcoil	MK	0	0	0
Kelvin	Balmuildy Bridge	SD	0	1	0
Garnock	u/s Kilbirnie	SD	0	2	0
Glazert Water	Glazert Foot	SC	0	1	0
Luggie Water	Glenhove	DG	0	0	0
Endrick	Gartcarron Bridge	SC	0	0	1
Capelrig/ Auldhouse	Carnwadric	DG	0	0	0
Allander Water	d/s Milngavie STW	MT	0	0	0
Leven	Renton	MK	1	2	0

TABLE 3. The 7 summer samples audited for Clyde RPB

River	Site	Sorter	Losses	Gains	Omissions
Rye Water	B780 Bridge	DG	0	0	0
Lugton Water	A737 Bridge	MT	1	0	0
Mouse Water	Newmill Bridge	MK	1	1	0
Cessnock Water	Aird	SD	0	0	0
Add	Forest Road Bridge	DG	0	1	1
Levern Water	Linthaugh Road	MK	0	0	. 0
Shellish	Glanbranter	SD	0	0	0

TABLE 4. The 12 autumn samples audited for Clyde RPB

River	Site	Sorter	Losses	Gains	Omissions
Black Cart	Linwood Bridge	DG	0	2	0
Pow Burn	A79 Bridge	SC	0	0	0
Noddesdale Water	A78 Bridge	DG	0	0	0
Craufurdland Water	Assloss Ford	SC	0	0	0
Water of Girvan	Knockdon Bridge	MK	0	0	0
Rotten Calder Water	Generals Bridge	SD	0	0	0
Midton Burn	d/s Enco	SC	0	1 .	0
Rotten Burn	u/s Rotten Calder confluence	МТ	0	1	0
Carmel Water	d/s Cross House	MT	0	0	0
Cloak Burn	Cloak Foot	SD	0	1	0
Kilmarnock Water	d/s Kilmarnock	MT	0	0	0
Rumbling Burn	B749 Bridge	MK	0	0	0

APPENDIX

Results of individual sample audits

REGION Cly	de RPB	RIVER	Calder		
DATE 30.	4.93	SITE	d/s Lo	ckwinnoch	
SORTER MT		SAMPLE CODE	220		
AQC OF BMWP FAMILIES A. IN VIAL + B. IN SAMPLE +					
A	IAL	BMWP FAMILIES NO FOUND BY IFE)T	ADDITIONAL FAMILIES FOUND BY IFE	
i) BMWP on sa ii) BMWP	ces between: families listed mple data sheet and families found AL by IFE	1 Hydroptilidae		None	
В		BMWP FAMILIES NO FOUND BY IFE	TC	ADDITIONAL FAMILIES	
Differen i) BMWP on sa	MPLE ces between: families listed nple data sheet and families found MPLE by IFE	(This box only co		None	
NOTES:		NET LOSSES	1	NET GAINS 0	
1 Empty o	case in vial				

REGION	.Clyde RPB	RIVER	Lussa			
DATE	9.3.93	SITE	Strath	coil		
SORTER	MK	SAMPLE CODE	32A			
AQC OF E	AQC OF BMWP FAMILIES A. IN VIAL + B. IN SAMPLE +					
А	VIAL .	BMWP FAMILIES NO FOUND BY IFE	ΣT	ADDITIONAL FAMILIES FOUND BY IFE		
i) F	Gerences between: BMWP families listed on sample data sheet and BMWP families found in VIAL by IFE	None		None		
В		BMWP FAMILIES NO FOUND BY IFE	T	ADDITIONAL FAMILIES		
i) E	SAMPLE Serences between: SMWP families listed on sample data sheet and SMWP families found on SAMPLE by IFE	(This box only co	:	None		
NOTES:		NET LOSSES	0	NET GAINS 0		

REGION	Clyde RPB	RIVER	Kelvin			
DATE	11.5.93	SITE	Balmui	ldy Bridge		
SORTER	SD	SAMPLE CODE	267			
AQC OF I	AQC OF BMWP FAMILIES A. IN VIAL + B. IN SAMPLE +					
A	VIAL	BMWP FAMILIES NO FOUND BY IFE	T	ADDITIONAL FAMILIES FOUND BY IFE		
i) F	Terences between: BMWP families listed on sample data sheet and BMWP families found in VIAL by IFE	None		None		
В		BMWP FAMILIES NO FOUND BY IFE	Т	ADDITIONAL FAMILIES		
i) E	SAMPLE Serences between: SMWP families listed on sample data sheet and SMWP families found on SAMPLE by IFE	(This box only co		1 Perlodidae		
NOTES:		NET LOSSES	0	NET GAINS 1		
1 Is	operla grammatica 1	only				

REGION	Clyde RPB	RIVER	Garnoc	k		
DATE	23.2.93	SITE	u/s Ki	lbirnie		
SORTER	SD .	SAMPLE CODE	99			
AQC OF E	AQC OF BMWP FAMILIES A. IN VIAL + B. IN SAMPLE +					
А	VIAL	BMWP FAMILIES NO FOUND BY IFE	T	ADDITIONAL FAMILIES FOUND BY IFE		
i) E	erences between: SMWP families listed on sample data sheet and SMWP families found on VIAL by IFE	None		None		
В		BMWP FAMILIËS NO FOUND BY IFE	T	ADDITIONAL FAMILIES		
i) E	SAMPLE Ferences between: SMWP families listed on sample data sheet and SMWP families found on SAMPLE by IFE	(This box only co when no vial is supplied with sa		1 Ancylidae 2 Sphaeriidae		
NOTES:		NET LOSSES [0	NET GAINS 2		
	cylus fluviatilis 1 sidium sp. 1 only	only				

REGION	Clyde RPB	RIVER	Glazer	t Water		
DATE	5.5.93	SITE	Glazer	t Foot		
SORTER	sc	SAMPLE CODE	230			
AQC OF E	AQC OF BMWP FAMILIES A. IN VIAL + B. IN SAMPLE +					
A	VIAL	BMWP FAMILIES NO FOUND BY IFE)T	ADDITIONAL FAMILIES FOUND BY IFE		
i) E	Ferences between: BMWP families listed on sample data sheet and BMWP families found in VIAL by IFE	None	:	None		
		BMWP FAMILIES NO FOUND BY IFE (This box only co		ADDITIONAL FAMILIES 1 Nemouridae		
ii) E	BMWP families listed on sample data sheet and BMWP families found on SAMPLE by IFE		umple)			
NOTES:	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	NET LOSSES	0	NET GAINS 1		
1 Am	phinemura sulcicolli	s 1 only				

REGION	Clyde RPB	RIVER Luggi	e Water			
DATE	26.3.93	SITE Glenh	ove			
SORTER	DG	SAMPLE CODE 170				
AQC OF I	AQC OF BMWP FAMILIES A. IN VIAL + B. IN SAMPLE +					
A	VIAL	BMWP FAMILIES NOT FOUND BY IFE	ADDITIONAL FAMILIES FOUND BY IFE			
i) I	ferences between: BMWP families listed on sample data sheet and BMWP families found in VIAL by IFE	None	None			
В		BMWP FAMILIES NOT	ADDITIONAL FAMILIES			
i) E	SAMPLE ferences between: BMWP families listed on sample data sheet and BMWP families found in SAMPLE by IFE	(This box only complete when no vial is supplied with sample)	None			
NOTES:		NET LOSSES 0	NET GAINS 0			

REGION	Clyde RPB	RIVER	Endric	k		
DATE	29.4.93	SITE	Gartca	rron Bridge		
SORTER	sc	SAMPLE CODE	209			
AQC OF I	AQC OF BMWP FAMILIES A. IN VIAL + B. IN SAMPLE +					
A	VIAL	BMWP FAMILIES NO FOUND BY IFE	Ϋ́	ADDITIONAL FAMILIES FOUND BY IFE -		
i) F	ferences between: BMWP families listed on sample data sheet and BMWP families found in VIAL by IFE	1 Gammaridae*		None		
В		BMWP FAMILIES NO	т	ADDITIONAL FAMILIES		
Diff i) E c	SAMPLE Ferences between: BMWP families listed on sample data sheet and BMWP families found on SAMPLE by IFE	FOUND BY IFE (This box only co when no vial is	mpleted	2 Gammaridae*		
NOTES:		NET LOSSES	0	NET GAINS 0		
2 Ga	mmarus pulex					

REGION	Clyde RPB	RIVER	Capelr	ig/Auldhouse	
DATE	10.5.93	SITE	Carnwa	dric	
SORTER	DG	SAMPLE CODE	251		
AQC OF E	AQC OF BMWP FAMILIES A. IN VIAL + B. IN SAMPLE +				
А	VIAL	BMWP FAMILIES NO FOUND BY IFE	T	ADDITIONAL FAMILIES FOUND BY IFE	
i) E	Ferences between: BMWP families listed on sample data sheet and BMWP families found in VIAL by IFE	None		None	
В		BMWP FAMILIES NO FOUND BY IFE	T	ADDITIONAL FAMILIES	
i) E	SAMPLE Ferences between: SMWP families listed on sample data sheet and SMWP families found in SAMPLE by IFE	(This box only co when no vial is supplied with sa		None	
NOTES:		NET LOSSES	0	NET GAINS 0	
				·	

REGION	Clyde RPB	RIVER Alland	er Water		
DATE	5.5.93	SITE d/s Mi	Ingavie STW		
SORTER	MT	SAMPLE CODE 233			
AQC OF I	AQC OF BMWP FAMILIES A. IN VIAL + B. IN SAMPLE +				
A	VIAL	BMWP FAMILIES NOT FOUND BY IFE	ADDITIONAL FAMILIES FOUND BY IFE		
i) E	ferences between: BMWP families listed on sample data sheet and BMWP families found in VIAL by IFE	None	None		
В	•	BMWP FAMILIES NOT FOUND BY IFE	ADDITIONAL FAMILIES		
i) E	SAMPLE Serences between: SMWP families listed on sample data sheet and SMWP families found on SAMPLE by IFE		None		
NOTES:		NET LOSSES 0	NET GAINS 0		
	·				

REGION [Clyde RPB	RIVER	Leven		
DATE	16.3.93	SITE	Renton		
SORTER [MK	SAMPLE CODE	141		
AQC OF B	AQC OF BMWP FAMILIES A. IN VIAL + B. IN SAMPLE +				
A	VIAL	BMWP FAMILIES NO FOUND BY IFE	T	ADDITIONAL FAMILIES FOUND BY IFE	
i) Bl	erences between: MWP families listed n sample data sheet and MWP families found n VIAL by IFE	1 Chloroperlidae		2 Leuctridae	
В		BMWP FAMILIES NO FOUND BY IFE	Т	ADDITIONAL FAMILIES	
i) Bi on ii) Bi	SAMPLE erences between: MWP families listed n sample data sheet and MWP families found n SAMPLE by IFE	(This box only co when no vial is	_	3 Simuliidae	
NET LOSSES 1 NET GAINS 2					
	uctra inermis nulium ornatum group	1 only		,	

REGION Clyde RPB	RIVER Rye Wa	ter		
DATE 10.8.93	SITE B780 B	ridge		
SORTER DG	SAMPLE CODE 512			
AQC OF BMWP FAMILIES A. IN VIAL + B. IN SAMPLE +				
A	BMWP FAMILIES NOT FOUND BY IFE	ADDITIONAL FAMILIES FOUND BY IFE		
Differences between: i) BMWP families listed on sample data sheet and ii) BMWP families found in VIAL by IFE	None	None		
B SAMPLE	BMWP FAMILIES NOT FOUND BY IFE	ADDITIONAL FAMILIES		
Differences between: i) BMWP families listed on sample data sheet and ii) BMWP families found in SAMPLE by IFE		None		
NET LOSSES 0 NET GAINS 0				

REGION Clyde RI	РВ	RIVER Lugton	Water		
DATE 10.8.93		SITE A737 B	ridge		
SORTER MT	SAMP	LE CODE 515			
AQC OF BMWP FAMIL	AQC OF BMWP FAMILIES A. IN VIAL + B. IN SAMPLE +				
A VIAL	11	MILIES NOT BY IFE	ADDITIONAL FAMILIES FOUND BY IFE		
Differences b i) BMWP famil on sample and ii) BMWP famil in VIAL by	ies listed data sheet ies found	rbidae	None		
В	11	AILIES NOT	ADDITIONAL FAMILIES		
	etween: (This book ies listed when no supplied ies found	BY IFE c only completed vial is l with sample)	None		
NET LOSSES 1 NET GAINS 0					
1 Terrestrial	1 Terrestrial snail in vial				

REGION Clyde RPB	RIVER Mouse	Water			
DATE 24.6.93	SITE Newmil	l Bridge			
SORTER MK	SAMPLE CODE 420				
AQC OF BMWP FAMILIES A. IN	AQC OF BMWP FAMILIES A. IN VIAL + B. IN SAMPLE +				
A VIAL	BMWP FAMILIES NOT FOUND BY IFE	ADDITIONAL FAMILIES FOUND BY IFE			
Differences between: i) BMWP families listed on sample data sheet and ii) BMWP families found in VIAL by IFE	1 Lepidostomatidae	2 Brachycentridae			
В	BMWP FAMILIES NOT FOUND BY IFE	ADDITIONAL FAMILIES			
SAMPLE Differences between: i) BMWP families listed on sample data sheet and ii) BMWP families found in SAMPLE by IFE		None			
NET LOSSES 1 NET GAINS 1					
2 Brachycentrus subnubilus (juveniles) Note on data sheet says "2 pots". Only 1 pot sent to IFE for audit.					

REGION	Clyde RPB	RIVER Cessno	ck Water	
DATE	17.8.93	SITE Aird		
SORTER	SD	SAMPLE CODE 531		
AQC OF E	AQC OF BMWP FAMILIES A. IN VIAL + B. IN SAMPLE +			
A	VIAL	BMWP FAMILIES NOT FOUND BY IFE	ADDITIONAL FAMILIES FOUND BY IFE	
i) E	Ferences between: SMWP families listed on sample data sheet and SMWP families found on VIAL by IFE	None	None	
В	` .	BMWP FAMILIES NOT FOUND BY IFE	ADDITIONAL FAMILIES	
i) B	SAMPLE Serences between: SMWP families listed on sample data sheet and SMWP families found on SAMPLE by IFE	(This box only completed when no vial is	None	
NET LOSSES 0 NET GAINS 0				

REGION	Clyde RPB	RIVER Add			
DATE	16.6.93	SITE Forest	Road Bridge		
SORTER	DG	SAMPLE CODE 381			
AQC OF E	AQC OF BMWP FAMILIES A. IN VIAL + B. IN SAMPLE +				
A	VIAL	BMWP FAMILIES NOT FOUND BY IFE	ADDITIONAL FAMILIES FOUND BY IFE		
i) E	Ferences between: BMWP families listed on sample data sheet and BMWP families found on VIAL by IFE	1 Limnephilidae*	None		
В		BMWP FAMILIES NOT FOUND BY IFE	ADDITIONAL FAMILIES		
i) E	SAMPLE Ferences between: SMWP families listed on sample data sheet and SMWP families found on SAMPLE by IFE	(This box only completed when no vial is	2 Ancylidae 3 Limnephilidae*		
NOTES:		NET LOSSES 0	NET GAINS 1		
	cylus fluviatilis 1 aetopteryx villosa 1				
			į		

REGION C1	yde RPB	RIVER	Levern	Water	
DATE 22	2.6.93	SITE	Lintha	ugh Road	
SORTER MK		SAMPLE CODE	405		
AQC OF BMWF	AQC OF BMWP FAMILIES A. IN VIAL + B. IN SAMPLE +				
A	VIAL	BMWP FAMILIES NO FOUND BY IFE	T	ADDITIONAL FAMILIES FOUND BY IFE	
i) BMWP on s ii) BMWP	nces between: families listed ample data sheet and families found TAL by IFE	None		None	
В		BMWP FAMILIES NO FOUND BY IFE	Ϋ́T	ADDITIONAL FAMILIES	
Differe i) BMWP on s ii) BMWP	AMPLE nces between: families listed ample data sheet and families found AMPLE by IFE	(This box only co		None	
NOTES:		NET LOSSES [0	NET GAINS 0	

REGION Clyde RPB	RIVER Shelli	sh		
DATE 29.6.93	SITE Glanbr	anter		
SORTER SD	SAMPLE CODE 438			
AQC OF BMWP FAMILIES A	AQC OF BMWP FAMILIES A. IN VIAL + B. IN SAMPLE +			
A VIAL	BMWP FAMILIES NOT FOUND BY IFE	ADDITIONAL FAMILIES FOUND BY IFE		
Differences between i) BMWP families li on sample data s and ii) BMWP families for in VIAL by IFE	sted heet	None		
B SAMPLE	BMWP FAMILIES NOT FOUND BY IFE	ADDITIONAL FAMILIES None		
Differences between i) BMWP families lis on sample data sl and ii) BMWP families for in SAMPLE by IFE	sted when no vial is neet supplied with sample)			
		·		
NOTES:	NET LOSSES 0	NET GAINS 0		

EXTERNAL AUDIT OF BIOLOGICAL SAMPLES - 1993

REGION Clyde RPB	RIVER Black	Cart
DATE 19.10.93	SITE Linwood	d Bridge
SORTER DG	SAMPLE CODE 714	
AQC OF BMWP FAMILIES A. IN	VIAL + B. IN SAI	MPLE +
A VIAL	BMWP FAMILIES NOT FOUND BY IFE	ADDITIONAL FAMILIES FOUND BY IFE
Differences between: i) BMWP families listed on sample data sheet and ii) BMWP families found in VIAL by IFE	None	None
B	BMWP FAMILIES NOT FOUND BY IFE	ADDITIONAL FAMILIES
Differences between: i) BMWP families listed	(This box only completed when no vial is supplied with sample)	1 Dendrocoelidae 2 Sericostomatidae
NOTES:	NET LOSSES 0	NET GAINS 2
1 Dendrocoelum lacteum 1 2 Indet Sericostomatid (

REGION	Clyde RPB	RIVER Pow Bu	rn	
DATE	21.9.93	SITE A79 Br	idge	
SORTER	SC	SAMPLE CODE 689		
AQC OF E	AQC OF BMWP FAMILIES A. IN VIAL + B. IN SAMPLE +			
A	VIAL	BMWP FAMILIES NOT FOUND BY IFE	ADDITIONAL FAMILIES FOUND BY IFE	
i) E	Cerences between: BMWP families listed on sample data sheet and	None	None	
	MWP families found n VIAL by IFE			
В		BMWP FAMILIES NOT FOUND BY IFE	ADDITIONAL FAMILIES	
i) E	MWP families listed	(This box only completed when no vial is supplied with sample)	None	
NOTES:				

REG	ION	Clyde RPB	RIVER Noddes	dale Water
Da	ATE	7.9.93	SITE A78 Br	idge
SOR	TER	DG .	SAMPLE CODE 649	
AQC	OF I	BMWP FAMILIES A. IN	VIAL + B. IN SAM	MPLE +
A		VIAL	BMWP FAMILIES NOT FOUND BY IFE	ADDITIONAL FAMILIES FOUND BY IFE
	i) I (ii) I	ferences between: BMWP families listed on sample data sheet and BMWP families found in VIAL by IFE	None	None
			DIGITO CANTE LEG MOVE	ADDITIONAL PARKETING
В		SAMPLE	BMWP FAMILIES NOT FOUND BY IFE	ADDITIONAL FAMILIES
j	i)	ferences between: BMWP families listed on sample data sheet and BMWP families found in SAMPLE by IFE		None
NC	TES:		NET LOSSES 0	NET GAINS 0

REGION	Clyde RPB	RIVER	Craufu	rdland Water		
DATE	18.10.93	SITE	Asslos	s Ford		
SORTER	sc	SAMPLE CODE	715			
AQC OF I	AQC OF BMWP FAMILIES A. IN VIAL + B. IN SAMPLE +					
A	VIAL	BMWP FAMILIES NO FOUND BY IFE	T	ADDITIONAL FAMILIES FOUND BY IFE		
i) E	ferences between: BMWP families listed on sample data sheet and	None		None		
	BMWP families found in VIAL by IFE					
В	SAMPLE	BMWP FAMILIES NO FOUND BY IFE	ΥT	ADDITIONAL FAMILIES		
i) E				None		
NOTES: NET LOSSES 0 NET GAINS 0						

REGION	Clyde RPB	RIVER Water	of Girvan			
DATE	23.9.93	SITE Knockd	on Bridge			
SORTER	MK ·	SAMPLE CODE 692				
AQC OF I	AQC OF BMWP FAMILIES A. IN VIAL + B. IN SAMPLE +					
A	VIAL	BMWP FAMILIES NOT FOUND BY IFE	ADDITIONAL FAMILIES FOUND BY IFE			
i) I	ferences between: BMWP families listed on sample data sheet and	None	None			
	BMWP families found in VIAL by IFE					
		,				
В		BMWP FAMILIES NOT FOUND BY IFE	ADDITIONAL FAMILIES			
i) E	SAMPLE Serences between: SMWP families listed on sample data sheet and SMWP families found in SAMPLE by IFE		None			
NOTES:		NET LOSSES 0	NET GAINS 0			

REGION	Clyde RPB	RIVER Rotten	Calder Water			
DATE	3.9.93	SITE Genera	ls Bridge			
SORTER	SD	SAMPLE CODE 640				
AQC OF I	AQC OF BMWP FAMILIES A. IN VIAL + B. IN SAMPLE +					
A	VIAL	BMWP FAMILIES NOT FOUND BY IFE	ADDITIONAL FAMILIES FOUND BY IFE			
i) I	ferences between: BMWP families listed on sample data sheet and BMWP families found in VIAL by IFE	None	None			
В		BMWP FAMILIES NOT FOUND BY IFE	ADDITIONAL FAMILIES			
i) F	SAMPLE Ferences between: BMWP families listed on sample data sheet and BMWP families found in SAMPLE by IFE	when no vial is	None			
NOTES:		NET LOSSES 0	NET GAINS 0			

EXTERNAL AUDIT OF BIOLOGICAL SAMPLES - 1993

REGION	Clyde RPB	RIVER Midton	Burn			
DATE	5.10.93	SITE d/s En	со			
SORTER	SC	SAMPLE CODE 710				
AQC OF 1	AQC OF BMWP FAMILIES A. IN VIAL + B. IN SAMPLE +					
A	VIAL	BMWP FAMILIES NOT FOUND BY IFE	ADDITIONAL FAMILIES FOUND BY IFE			
i) I	ferences between: BMWP families listed on sample data sheet and BMWP families found in VIAL by IFE	None	None			
В		BMWP FAMILIES NOT FOUND BY IFE	ADDITIONAL FAMILIES			
i) F	SAMPLE Ferences between: BMWP families listed on sample data sheet and BMWP families found in SAMPLE by IFE	(This box only completed when no vial is	1 Simuliidae			
NOTES: NET LOSSES 0 NET GAINS 1						
1 Si	mulium cryophilum gr	oup 1 only				

REGION	Clyde RPB	RIVER Rotten	Burn	
DATE	3.9.93	SITE u/s Ro	tten Calder confluence	
SORTER	MT .	SAMPLE CODE 643		
AQC OF I	BMWP FAMILIES A. IN	VIAL + B. IN SAI	MPLE +	
A	VIAL	BMWP FAMILIES NOT FOUND BY IFE	ADDITIONAL FAMILIES FOUND BY IFE	
i) I	ferences between: BMWP families listed on sample data sheet and	None	None	
	BMWP families found in VIAL by IFE			
			·	
В		BMWP FAMILIES NOT FOUND BY IFE	ADDITIONAL FAMILIES	
i) F	SAMPLE ferences between: BMWP families listed on sample data sheet and BMWP families found in SAMPLE by IFE		1 Simuliidae	
NET LOSSES 0 NET GAINS 1				
1 Simulium ornatum group 1 only				

REGION	Clyde RPB	RIVER Carmel	Water			
DATE	18.10.93	SITE d/s Cr	osshouse			
SORTER	MT	SAMPLE CODE 720				
AQC OF 1	AQC OF BMWP FAMILIES A. IN VIAL + B. IN SAMPLE +					
A	VIAL	BMWP FAMILIES NOT FOUND BY IFE	ADDITIONAL FAMILIES FOUND BY IFE			
i) 1	ferences between: BMWP families listed on sample data sheet and	None	None			
	BMWP families found in VIAL by IFE					
В		BMWP FAMILIES NOT FOUND BY IFE	ADDITIONAL FAMILIES			
i) F	BMWP families listed	(This box only completed when no vial is supplied with sample)	None			
NOTES:		NET LOSSES 0	NET GAINS 0			

REGION Clyde RPB	RIVER Cloak I	Burn .				
DATE 7.9.93	SITE Cloak 1	Foot				
SORTER SD	SAMPLE CODE 645					
AQC OF BMWP FAMILIES A. IN	AQC OF BMWP FAMILIES A. IN VIAL + B. IN SAMPLE +					
A VIAL	BMWP FAMILIES NOT FOUND BY IFE	ADDITIONAL FAMILIES FOUND BY IFE				
Differences between: i) BMWP families listed on sample data sheet and ii) BMWP families found in VIAL by IFE	None	None °				
SAMPLE	BMWP FAMILIES NOT FOUND BY IFE	ADDITIONAL FAMILIES				
Differences between: i) BMWP families listed on sample data sheet and ii) BMWP families found in SAMPLE by IFE		1 Hydrobiidae				
NOTES:	NET LOSSES 0	NET GAINS 1				
1 Potamopyrgus jenkinsi	1 only					

REGION Clyde RPB	RIVER Kilmar	nock Water				
DATE 18.10.93	SITE d/s Ki	lmarnock				
SORTER MT	SAMPLE CODE 718					
AQC OF BMWP FAMILIES A. I	AQC OF BMWP FAMILIES A. IN VIAL + B. IN SAMPLE +					
A VIAL	BMWP FAMILIES NOT FOUND BY IFE	ADDITIONAL FAMILIES FOUND BY IFE				
Differences between: i) BMWP families liste on sample data shee and ii) BMWP families found in VIAL by IFE	t	None				
В	BMWP FAMILIES NOT	ADDITIONAL FAMILIES				
SAMPLE Differences between: i) BMWP families liste on sample data shee and ii) BMWP families found in SAMPLE by IFE	t supplied with sample)	None				
NOTES:	NET LOSSES 0	NET GAINS 0				

REGION	Clyde RPB	RIVER Rumbli	ng Burn			
DATE	21.9.93	SITE B749 B	ridge			
SORTER	MK	SAMPLE CODE 690				
AQC OF 1	AQC OF BMWP FAMILIES A. IN VIAL + B. IN SAMPLE +					
A	VIAL	BMWP FAMILIES NOT FOUND BY IFE	ADDITIONAL FAMILIES FOUND BY IFE			
i) l	ferences between: BMWP families listed on sample data sheet and BMWP families found	None	None			
	in VIAL by IFE		·			
В		BMWP FAMILIES NOT FOUND BY IFE	ADDITIONAL FAMILIES			
i) F	BMWP families listed	(This box only completed when no vial is supplied with sample)	None			
NET LOSSES 0 NET GAINS 0						