## **OPIAL TYPE** *L*<sup>*p*</sup>**-INEQUALITIES FOR FRACTIONAL DERIVATIVES**

## G. A. ANASTASSIOU, J. J. KOLIHA, and J. PEČARIĆ

Received 7 May 2001 and in revised form 18 December 2001

This paper presents a class of  $L^p$ -type Opial inequalities for generalized fractional derivatives for integrable functions based on the results obtained earlier by the first author for continuous functions (1998). The novelty of our approach is the use of the index law for fractional derivatives in lieu of Taylor's formula, which enables us to relax restrictions on the orders of fractional derivatives.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 26A33, 26D10, 26D15.

**1. Introduction and preliminaries.** The Opial inequality, which appeared in [8], is of great interest in differential equations and other areas of mathematics, and has attracted a great deal of attention in the recent literature. For classical derivatives it has been generalized in several directions (see, e.g., [1, 3, 9]), and was a subject of a monograph by Agarwal and Pang [2]. Love [7] gave a generalization for fractional integrals. The present paper takes its inspiration from an earlier paper [4] by Anastassiou. In the present work, we consider Lebesgue integrable functions, whereas [4] dealt with continuous functions using a different definition of fractional derivative.

Our brief survey of basic facts about fractional derivatives is based on the monograph [10] by Samko et al. Most of the results needed in the sequel are contained in [10, Chapter 1]. The crucial result is Theorem 1.4, which replaces Taylor's formula in the derivation of various estimates.

Throughout the paper, x denotes a fixed positive number. By  $C^m[0,x]$  we denote the space of all functions on [0,x] which have continuous derivatives up to order m, and AC[0,x] is the space of all absolutely continuous functions on [0,x]. By  $AC^m[0,x]$ , we denote the space of all functions  $g \in C^m[0,x]$  with  $g^{(m-1)} \in AC[0,x]$ . For any  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ , we denote by  $[\alpha]$  the integral part of  $\alpha$  (the integer k satisfying  $k \le \alpha < k+1$ ). If  $p \in \mathbb{R}$ , p > 0, and by  $L^p(0,x)$ , we denote the space of all Lebesgue measurable functions f for which  $|f|^p$  is Lebesgue integrable on the interval (0,x), and by  $L^{\infty}(0,x)$  the set of all functions measurable and essentially bounded on (0,x). For any  $f \in L^{\infty}(0,x)$  we write  $||f||_{\infty} = \text{ess}\sup_{t \in [0,x]} |f(t)|$ . We also write  $L(0,x) = L^1(0,x)$ . We observe that  $L^{\infty}(0,x) \subset L^p(0,x)$  for all p > 0. For any  $a \in \mathbb{R}$  we write  $a_+ = \max(a,0)$ and  $a_- = (-a)_+$ .

For the sake of completeness, we give a proof of the following known result which provides a basis for the existence of fractional integrals and is needed in another context in the paper. **LEMMA 1.1.** Let  $f \in L(0, x)$  and let  $\alpha > -1$  be a real number. Then

$$F(s) = \int_0^s (s-t)^{\alpha} f(t) \, dt \tag{1.1}$$

exists for almost all  $s \in [0, x]$  and  $F \in L(0, x)$ .

**PROOF.** Define  $k : \Omega := [0, x] \times [0, x] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  by  $k(s, t) = (s - t)^{\alpha}_+$ , that is,

$$k(s,t) = \begin{cases} (s-t)^{\alpha} & \text{if } 0 \le t < s \le x, \\ 0 & \text{if } 0 \le s \le t \le x. \end{cases}$$
(1.2)

Then *k* is measurable on  $\Omega$ , and

$$\int_{0}^{x} k(s,t) \, ds = \int_{0}^{t} k(s,t) \, ds + \int_{t}^{x} k(s,t) \, ds$$
  
=  $\int_{t}^{x} (s-t)^{\alpha} \, ds = (\alpha+1)^{-1} (x-t)^{\alpha+1}.$  (1.3)

Since the repeated integral

$$\int_{0}^{x} dt \int_{0}^{x} k(s,t) \left| f(t) \right| ds = (\alpha+1)^{-1} \int_{0}^{x} (x-t)^{\alpha+1} \left| f(t) \right| dt$$
(1.4)

exists and is finite, the function  $(s,t) \mapsto k(s,t)f(t)$  is integrable over  $\Omega$  by Tonelli's theorem, and the conclusion follows from Fubini's theorem.

Let  $\alpha > 0$ . For any  $f \in L(0, x)$  the *Riemann-Liouville fractional integral of* f of order  $\alpha$  is defined by

$$I^{\alpha}f(s) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^s (s-t)^{\alpha-1} f(t) \, dt, \quad s \in [0,x].$$
(1.5)

By Lemma 1.1, the integral on the right-hand side of (1.5) exists for almost all  $s \in [0, x]$ and  $I^{\alpha} f \in L(0, x)$ . The *Riemann-Liouville fractional derivative of*  $f \in L(0, x)$  of order  $\alpha$  is defined by

$$D^{\alpha}f(s) = \left(\frac{d}{ds}\right)^{m}I^{m-\alpha}f(s) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(m-\alpha)}\left(\frac{d}{ds}\right)^{m}\int_{0}^{s}(s-t)^{m-\alpha-1}f(t)\,dt,\tag{1.6}$$

where  $m = [\alpha] + 1$ , provided that the derivative exists. In addition, we stipulate

$$D^{0}f := f =: I^{0}f,$$

$$I^{-\alpha}f := D^{\alpha}f \quad \text{if } \alpha > 0,$$

$$D^{-\alpha}f := I^{\alpha}f \quad \text{if } 0 < \alpha \le 1.$$
(1.7)

If  $\alpha$  is a positive integer, then  $D^{\alpha}f = (d/ds)^{\alpha}f$ .

A more general definition of fractional integrals and derivatives uses an anchor point other than 0: let  $f \in L(a, b)$ , where  $-\infty < a < b < \infty$ . For any  $s \in [a, b]$ , set

$$I_{a+}^{\alpha} f(s) := \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_{a}^{s} (s-t)^{\alpha-1} f(t) dt,$$
  

$$I_{b-}^{\alpha} f(s) := \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_{s}^{b} (s-t)^{\alpha-1} f(t) dt.$$
(1.8)

The two fractional derivatives are then defined by an obvious modification of (1.6). All our results stated for the specialized fractional derivative (1.6) have an interpretation for the fractional derivatives with a general anchor point.

Let  $\alpha > 0$  and  $m = [\alpha] + 1$ . A function  $f \in L(0, x)$  is said to have an *integrable fractional derivative*  $D^{\alpha}f$  (see [10, Definition 2.4, page 44]) if

$$I^{m-\alpha}f \in AC^m[0,x]. \tag{1.9}$$

We define the space  $I^{\alpha}(L(0,x))$  as the set of all functions f on [0,x] of the form  $f = I^{\alpha}\varphi$  for some  $\varphi \in L(0,x)$  (see [10, Definition 2.3, page 43]). We express these conditions in terms of fractional derivatives.

**LEMMA 1.2.** Let  $\alpha > 0$  and  $m = [\alpha] + 1$ . A function  $f \in L(0, x)$  has an integrable fractional derivative  $D^{\alpha}f$  if and only if

$$D^{\alpha-k}f \in C[0,x], \quad k = 1,...,m, \qquad D^{\alpha-1}f \in AC[0,x].$$
 (1.10)

*Further,*  $f \in I^{\alpha}(L(0,x))$  *if and only if* f *has an integrable fractional derivative*  $D^{\alpha}f$  *and satisfies the condition* 

$$D^{\alpha-k}f(0) = 0$$
 for  $k = 1,...,m$ . (1.11)

**PROOF.** Note that

$$\left(\frac{d}{ds}\right)^{k} I^{m-\alpha} f = \left(\frac{d}{ds}\right)^{k} I^{k-(\alpha-m+k)} f = D^{\alpha-m+k} f$$
(1.12)

in view of the definition of fractional derivative and the equation  $[\alpha - m + k] + 1 = k$ . Then (1.10) is equivalent to (1.9) and (1.11) is equivalent to [10, condition (2.56), page 43]. (For k = m we use the stipulation  $D^{\alpha - m} f = I^{m-\alpha} f$  in (1.10).)

We will need the following result on the law of indices for fractional integration and differentiation using the unified notation (1.7).

LEMMA 1.3 (see [10, Theorem 2.5, page 46]). The law of indices

$$I^{\mu}I^{\nu}f = I^{\mu+\nu}f \tag{1.13}$$

is valid in the following cases:

- (i) v > 0,  $\mu + v > 0$ , and  $f \in L(0, x)$ ;
- (ii)  $\nu < 0, \mu > 0, and f \in I^{-\nu}(L(0, x));$
- (iii)  $\mu < 0, \mu + \nu < 0, and f \in I^{-\mu-\nu}(L(0, x)).$

G. A. ANASTASSIOU ET AL.

The following theorem is a powerful analogue of Taylor's formula with vanishing fractional derivatives of lower orders. In this paper, it is used as the main tool for deriving inequalities. Observe that we do not require  $\alpha \ge \beta + 1$  but merely  $\alpha > \beta$ .

**THEOREM 1.4.** Let  $\alpha > \beta \ge 0$ , let  $f \in L(0, x)$  have an integrable fractional derivative  $D^{\alpha}f$ , and let  $D^{\alpha-k}f(0) = 0$  for  $k = 1, ..., [\alpha] + 1$ . Then

$$D^{\beta}f(s) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha - \beta)} \int_{0}^{s} (s - t)^{\alpha - \beta - 1} D^{\alpha}f(t) dt, \quad s \in [0, x].$$
(1.14)

**PROOF.** Set  $\mu = \alpha - \beta > 0$  and  $\nu = -\alpha < 0$ . According to Lemma 1.2,  $f \in I^{-\nu}(L(0, x))$ . Then, Lemma 1.3(ii) guarantees that the law of indices holds for this choice of  $\mu, \nu$ , namely

$$I^{\alpha-\beta}D^{\alpha}f = I^{\mu}I^{\nu}f = I^{\mu+\nu}f = I^{-\beta}f = D^{\beta}f;$$
(1.15)

this proves the result. Note that, the existence of the integral on the right-hand side of (1.14) is guaranteed by Lemma 1.1.

**2. Main results.** We assume throughout that x, v are positive real numbers, and that  $f \in L(0, x)$ . The standard assumption on f is that  $f \in I^{\nu}(L(0, x))$ ; this is equivalent to f having an integrable fractional derivative  $D^{\nu}f$  satisfying (1.10). In addition, we require that  $D^{\nu}f$  is essentially bounded to guarantee that  $D^{\nu}f \in L^{p}(0, x)$  for p > 0. The following notations are used in this section. (The inequalities between  $\nu$  and  $\mu_{i}$  are assumed throughout.)

*l*: a positive integer

*x*, *v*, *r*<sub>*i*</sub>: positive real numbers, *i* = 1,..., *l*  $r = \sum_{i=1}^{l} r_i$ 

 $\mu_i$ : real numbers satisfying  $0 \le \mu_i < \nu, i = 1, ..., l$ 

$$\alpha_i = \nu - \mu_i - 1, \ i = 1, \dots, l$$

 $\alpha = \max\{(\alpha_i)_- : i = 1, \dots, l\}$ 

 $\beta = \max\{(\alpha_i)_+ : i = 1, ..., l\}$ 

 $\omega_1, \omega_2$ : continuous positive weight functions on [0, x]

 $\omega$ : continuous nonnegative weight function on [0, x]

 $s_k, s'_k: s_k > 0$  and  $1/s_k + 1/s'_k = 1, k = 1, 2$ .

For brevity, we write  $\boldsymbol{\mu} = (\mu_1, ..., \mu_l)$  for a selection of the orders  $\mu_i$  of fractional derivatives, and  $\boldsymbol{r} = (r_1, ..., r_l)$  for a selection of the constants  $r_i$ .

We derive a very general Opial type inequality involving fractional derivatives of an integrable function f, which is analogous to [9, Theorem 1.3] for ordinary derivatives and to [4, Theorem 2] for fractional derivatives.

**THEOREM 2.1.** Let  $f \in L(0,x)$  have an integrable fractional derivative  $D^{\nu}f \in L^{\infty}(0,x)$  such that  $D^{\nu-j}f(0) = 0$  for  $j = 1,..., [\nu] + 1$ . For k = 1,2, let  $s_k > 1$  and  $p \in \mathbb{R}$  satisfy

$$\alpha s_2 < 1, \qquad p > \frac{s_2}{1 - \alpha s_2},\tag{2.1}$$

and let  $\sigma = 1/s_2 - 1/p$ . Finally, let

$$Q_1 = \left(\int_0^x \omega_1(\tau)^{s_1'} d\tau\right)^{1/s_1'}, \qquad Q_2 = \left(\int_0^x \omega_2(\tau)^{-s_2'/p} d\tau\right)^{r/s_2'}.$$
 (2.2)

Then,

$$\int_{0}^{x} \omega_{1}(\tau) \prod_{i=1}^{l} \left| D^{\mu_{i}} f(\tau) \right|^{r_{i}} d\tau \leq Q_{1} Q_{2} C_{1} x^{\rho+1/s_{1}} \left( \int_{0}^{x} \omega_{2}(\tau) \left| D^{\nu} f(\tau) \right|^{p} d\tau \right)^{r/p}, \quad (2.3)$$

where  $\rho := \sum_{i=1}^{l} \alpha_i r_i + \sigma r$  and

$$C_{1} = C_{1}(\nu, \mu, r, p, s_{1}, s_{2}) := \frac{\sigma^{r\sigma}}{\prod_{i=1}^{l} \Gamma(\nu - \mu_{i})^{r_{i}} (\alpha_{i} + \sigma)^{r_{i}\sigma} (\rho s_{1} + 1)^{1/s_{1}}}.$$
 (2.4)

**PROOF.** First, we show that the conditions on  $s_2$  and p guarantee that, for i = 1, ..., l,

$$p > s_2 > 1,$$
 (2.5a)

$$\alpha_i s_2 > -1, \tag{2.5b}$$

$$\alpha_i + \sigma > 0. \tag{2.5c}$$

This is clear if  $\alpha = 0$ . If  $\alpha > 0$ , then  $0 < 1 - \alpha s_2 < 1$  and  $p > s_2/(1 - \alpha s_2) > s_2 > 1$ . For each  $i \in \{1, ..., l\}$ ,  $\alpha_i \ge -\alpha$ , and  $\alpha_i s_2 \ge -\alpha s_2 > -1$ ; further,

$$\alpha_i + \sigma = \alpha_i + \frac{1}{s_2} - \frac{1}{p} = \frac{1 + \alpha_i s_2}{s_2} - \frac{1}{p} \ge \frac{1 - \alpha s_2}{s_2} - \frac{1}{p} > 0.$$
(2.6)

For brevity, we write

$$k_{i}(\tau,t) = (\tau-t)_{+}^{\alpha_{i}}, \quad i = 1,...,l,$$
  

$$\Phi(t) = \left| D^{\nu} f(t) \right|, \quad 0 \le \tau, \ t \le x.$$
(2.7)

From (2.5), it follows that

$$k_i(\tau, \cdot) \in L^{s_2}(0, x), \qquad k_i(\tau, \cdot) \in L^{1/\sigma}(0, x).$$
 (2.8)

Let  $i \in \{1,...,l\}$  and  $\tau \in [0,x]$ . We then apply Hölder's inequality twice (with the conjugate indices  $s'_2$ ,  $s_2$ , and  $p/s_2$ ,  $p/(p-s_2)$ ) taking into account (2.8) and the fact that  $\omega_2^{-1}$ ,  $\omega_2$ , and  $\Phi$  are (essentially) bounded,

$$\begin{split} \int_{0}^{x} k_{i}(\tau,t) \Phi(t) \, dt &= \int_{0}^{x} \omega_{2}(t)^{-1/p} \omega_{2}(t)^{1/p} \Phi(t) k_{i}(\tau,t) \, dt \\ &\leq \left( \int_{0}^{x} \omega_{2}(t)^{-s_{2}'/p} \, dt \right)^{1/s_{2}'} \left( \int_{0}^{x} \omega_{2}(t)^{s_{2}/p} \Phi(t)^{s_{2}} k_{i}(\tau,t)^{s_{2}} \, dt \right)^{1/s_{2}} \\ &\leq Q_{2}^{1/r} \left( \int_{0}^{x} \omega_{2}(t) \Phi(t)^{p} \, dt \right)^{1/p} \left( \int_{0}^{x} k_{i}(\tau,t)^{1/\sigma} \, dt \right)^{\sigma} \\ &= Q_{2}^{1/r} \left( \int_{0}^{x} \omega_{2}(t) \Phi(t)^{p} \, dt \right)^{1/p} \frac{\sigma^{\sigma} \tau^{\alpha_{i}+\sigma}}{(\alpha_{i}+\sigma)^{\sigma}}. \end{split}$$
(2.9)

By Theorem 1.4,

$$\Gamma(\nu-\mu_i)\left|D^{\mu_i}f(\tau)\right| \le \int_0^\tau (\tau-t)^{\alpha_i} \Phi(t) \, dt = \int_0^x k_i(\tau,t) \Phi(t) \, dt.$$
(2.10)

Therefore,

$$\begin{split} \int_{0}^{x} \omega_{1}(\tau) \prod_{i=1}^{l} |D^{\mu_{i}}f(\tau)|^{r_{i}} d\tau \\ &\leq \int_{0}^{x} \omega_{1}(\tau) \prod_{i=1}^{l} \frac{1}{\Gamma(\nu-\mu_{i})^{r_{i}}} \left( \int_{0}^{x} k_{i}(\tau,t)\Phi(t) dt \right)^{r_{i}} d\tau \\ &\leq \int_{0}^{x} \omega_{1}(\tau) \prod_{i=1}^{l} \frac{1}{\Gamma(\nu-\mu_{i})^{r_{i}}} Q_{2}^{r_{i}/r} \left( \int_{0}^{x} \omega_{2}(t)\Phi(t)^{p} dt \right)^{r_{i}/p} \\ &\cdot \frac{\sigma^{r_{i}\sigma}}{(\alpha_{i}+\sigma)^{r_{i}\sigma}} \tau^{(\alpha_{i}+\sigma)r_{i}} d\tau \\ &= \frac{\sigma^{r\sigma}}{\prod_{i=1}^{l} \Gamma(\nu-\mu_{i})^{r_{i}} (\alpha_{i}+\sigma)^{r_{i}\sigma}} Q_{2} \left( \int_{0}^{x} \omega_{2}(t)\Phi(t)^{p} dt \right)^{r/p} \qquad (2.11) \\ &\cdot \int_{0}^{x} \omega_{1}(\tau) \left( \prod_{i=1}^{l} \tau^{(\alpha_{i}+\sigma)r_{i}} \right) d\tau \\ &= \Delta Q_{2} \left( \int_{0}^{x} \omega_{2}(t)\Phi(t)^{p} dt \right)^{r/p} \int_{0}^{x} \omega_{1}(\tau)\tau^{\rho} d\tau \\ &\leq \Delta Q_{2} \left( \int_{0}^{x} \omega_{2}(t)\Phi(t)^{p} dt \right)^{r/p} \left( \int_{0}^{x} \omega_{1}(\tau)^{s_{1}'} d\tau \right)^{1/s_{1}'} \left( \int_{0}^{x} \tau^{\rho s_{1}} d\tau \right)^{1/s_{1}} \\ &= \frac{\Delta}{(\rho s_{1}+1)^{1/s_{1}}} Q_{2} \left( \int_{0}^{x} \omega_{2}(t)\Phi(t)^{p} dt \right)^{r/p} Q_{1} x^{\rho+1/s_{1}}, \end{split}$$

where  $\Delta := \sigma^{r\sigma} / (\prod_{i=1}^{l} \Gamma(\nu - \mu_i)^{r_i} (\alpha_i + \sigma)^{r_i \sigma})$ . This completes the proof.

Next, we consider the extreme case  $p = \infty$  in analogy with [4, Proposition 1].

**THEOREM 2.2.** Let  $f \in L(0,x)$  have an integrable fractional derivative  $D^{\nu}f \in L^{\infty}(0,x)$ , such that  $D^{\nu-j}f(0) = 0$  for  $j = 1, ..., [\nu] + 1$ . Then,

$$\int_{0}^{x} \omega(\tau) \prod_{i=1}^{l} |D^{\mu_{i}} f(\tau)|^{r_{i}} d\tau \leq \frac{||\omega||_{\infty} x^{\rho}}{\rho \prod_{i=1}^{l} \Gamma(\nu - \mu_{i} + 1)^{r_{i}}} ||D^{\nu} f||_{\infty}^{r},$$
(2.12)

where  $\rho = \sum_{i=1}^{l} (v - \mu_i) r_i + 1$ .

**PROOF.** By Theorem 1.4,

$$\left|D^{\mu_{i}}f(\tau)\right| \leq \frac{1}{\Gamma(\nu-\mu_{i})} \int_{0}^{\tau} (\tau-t)^{\alpha_{i}} \left|D^{\nu}f(t)\right| dt, \qquad (2.13)$$

which implies

$$|D^{\mu_{i}}f(\tau)| \leq \frac{||D^{\nu}f||_{\infty}}{\Gamma(\nu-\mu_{i})} \frac{\tau^{\nu-\mu_{i}}}{\nu-\mu_{i}} = \frac{||D^{\nu}f||_{\infty}\tau^{\nu-\mu_{i}}}{\Gamma(\nu-\mu_{i}+1)}.$$
(2.14)

The result then follows when we raise (2.14) to the power  $r_i$ , take the product from i = 1 to l, multiply by  $\omega(\tau)$ , and integrate with respect to  $\tau$  from 0 to x.

We have the following counterpart of Theorem 2.1 with  $s_1, s_2 \in (0, 1)$  and p negative.

**THEOREM 2.3.** Let  $f \in L(0,x)$  have an integrable fractional derivative  $D^{\nu}f \in L^{\infty}(0,x)$  which is of the same sign a.e. in (0,x) and satisfies  $D^{\nu-j}f(0) = 0, j = 1,..., [\nu] + 1$ . For k = 1,2, let  $0 < s_k < 1$ , let p < 0, and let  $\sigma = 1/s_2 - 1/p$ . Then,

$$\int_{0}^{x} \omega_{1}(\tau) \prod_{i=1}^{l} |D^{\mu_{i}} f(\tau)|^{r_{i}} d\tau$$

$$\geq Q_{1} Q_{2} C_{1} x^{\rho+1/s_{1}} \left( \int_{0}^{x} \omega_{2}(\tau) |D^{\nu} f(\tau)|^{p} d\tau \right)^{r/p},$$
(2.15)

where  $\rho = \sum_{i=1}^{l} \alpha_i r_i + \sigma r$ ,  $Q_1$ , and  $Q_2$  are defined by (2.2), and  $C_1$  is defined by (2.4).

**PROOF.** Combining Theorem 1.4 with the hypotheses on  $D^{\nu}f$ , we have

$$\Gamma(\nu - \mu_i) \left| D^{\mu_i} f(\tau) \right| = \int_0^\tau (\tau - t)^{\alpha_i} \Phi(t) \, dt = \int_0^x k_i(\tau, t) \Phi(t) \, dt, \tag{2.16}$$

where  $\Phi(t) = D^{\nu} f$  or  $\Phi(t) = -D^{\nu} f$  (depending on the sign of  $D^{\nu} f$  in (0, x)).

Since  $\alpha_i > -1$  and  $0 < s_2 < 1$ , we have  $\alpha_i s_2 > -1$ . Further,  $\sigma = 1/s_2 - 1/p > 0$ . Writing  $k_i(\tau, t) = (\tau - t)_+^{\alpha_i}$  (*i* = 1,...,*l*), we have

$$k_i(\tau, \cdot) \in L^{s_2}(0, x), \qquad k_i(\tau, \cdot) \in L^{1/\sigma}(0, x).$$
 (2.17)

We can now retrace the proof of Theorem 2.1, relying on (2.17) and using the reverse Hölder's inequality in place of Hölder's inequality proper (as  $0 < s_k < 1$  for k = 1, 2 and p < 0).

A possible choice of p in this theorem is  $p = (s_1s_2^2)/(s_1s_2-1)$ . This results in an inequality similar to the one obtained earlier by Anastassiou [4, Theorem 3].

We obtain yet another counterpart of Theorem 2.1 if we assume that  $s_1$ ,  $s_2$ , and p lie in the interval (0,1). In this case, the hypotheses on  $s_1$ ,  $s_2$ , and p are of necessity more restrictive.

**THEOREM 2.4.** Let  $f \in L(0,x)$  have an integrable fractional derivative  $D^{\nu}f \in L^{\infty}(0,x)$  which is of the same sign a.e. in (0,x) and satisfies  $D^{\nu-j}f(0) = 0, j = 1,..., [\nu] + 1$ . For k = 1, 2, let  $0 < s_k < 1$ , let  $rs_1 \leq 1, p \in \mathbb{R}$ ,

$$\frac{s_2}{1 - \alpha s_2 + s_2}$$

and let  $\sigma = 1/s_2 - 1/p$ . Then, (2.15) holds where  $\rho = \sum_{i=1}^{l} \alpha_i r_i + \sigma r$ ,  $Q_1$  and  $Q_2$  are defined by (2.2), and  $C_1$  is defined by (2.4).

**PROOF.** We show that condition (2.18) guarantees that, for i = 1, ..., l,

$$0 (2.19a)$$

$$-1 < \alpha_i + \sigma < 0. \tag{2.19b}$$

Since  $1 - \alpha s_2 + s_2 > 0$  and  $1 + \beta s_2 \ge 1$ , inequality (2.19a) follows directly from (2.18).

Further, we have  $\alpha_i + \sigma = (1 + \alpha_i s_2)/s_2 - 1/p$ , and

$$-1 < \frac{1 - \alpha s_2}{s_2} - \frac{1}{p} \le \frac{1 + \alpha_i s_2}{s_2} - \frac{1}{p} < \frac{1 + \beta s_2}{s_2} - \frac{1}{p} < 0.$$
(2.20)

This proves (2.19b).

Since  $\alpha_i > -1$  and  $0 < s_2 < 1$ , we have  $\alpha_i s_2 > -1$ . Further,  $\sigma < 0$ , and  $\alpha_i / \sigma > -1$ . Writing  $k_i(\tau, t) = (\tau - t)_+^{\alpha_i}$  (i = 1, ..., l), we have

$$k_i(\tau, \cdot) \in L^{s_2}(0, x), \qquad k_i(\tau, \cdot) \in L^{1/\sigma}(0, x).$$
 (2.21)

As in the proof of Theorem 2.3, we have

$$\Gamma(\nu - \mu_i) \left| D^{\mu_i} f(\tau) \right| = \int_0^\tau (\tau - t)^{\alpha_i} \Phi(t) \, dt = \int_0^x k_i(\tau, t) \Phi(t) \, dt, \tag{2.22}$$

where  $\Phi(t) = D^{\nu} f$  or  $\Phi(t) = -D^{\nu} f$  (depending on the sign of  $D^{\nu} f$  in (0, x)).

We can now retrace the proof of Theorem 2.1, relying on (2.21) and using the reverse Hölder's inequality in place of Hölder's inequality proper (as  $0 < s_k < 1$  for k = 1, 2and  $0 ). For the last application of Hölder's inequality, we need <math>\tau^{\rho} \in L^{s_1}(0, x)$ . This follows from

$$\rho s_{1} = \sum_{i=1}^{l} (\alpha_{i} + \sigma) r_{i} s_{1} > -r s_{1} \ge -1, \qquad (2.23)$$

taking into account the assumption  $rs_1 \leq 1$ .

We present a version of Opial's inequality with l = 2 motivated by Pang and Agarwal's extension [9, Theorem 1.1] of an inequality due to Fink [5] for classical derivatives. This was further extended in [4, Theorem 4] to fractional derivatives. Our proof is similar to the one given in [9]. In view of the auxiliary inequalities used, in particular of (2.26), the theorem does not extend easily to l > 2.

**THEOREM 2.5.** Let  $f \in L(0,x)$  have an integrable fractional derivative  $D^{\nu}f \in L^{\infty}(0,x)$  such that,  $D^{\nu-j}f(0) = 0$  for  $j = 1,..., [\nu] + 1$ . Let  $\nu > \mu_2 \ge \mu_1 + 1 \ge 1$ . If p,q > 1 are such that 1/p + 1/q = 1, then

$$\int_{0}^{x} \left| D^{\mu_{1}} f(\tau) \right| \left| D^{\mu_{2}} f(\tau) \right| d\tau \leq C_{2} x^{2\nu - \mu_{1} - \mu_{2} - 1 + 2/q} \left( \int_{0}^{x} \left| D^{\nu} f(\tau) \right|^{p} d\tau \right)^{2/p}, \quad (2.24)$$

where  $C_2 = C_2(\nu, \mu_1, \mu_2, p)$  is given by

$$C_{2} := \frac{(1/2)^{1/p}}{\Gamma(\nu - \mu_{1})\Gamma(\nu - \mu_{2} + 1)((\nu - \mu_{1})q + 1)^{1/q}((2\nu - \mu_{1} - \mu_{2} - 1)q + 2)^{1/q}}.$$
 (2.25)

**PROOF.** First an auxiliary inequality. Write  $\alpha_i = \nu - \mu_i - 1$  for i = 1, 2; in view of the hypothesis  $\mu_2 \ge \mu_1 + 1$  we have  $\alpha_1 - \alpha_2 - 1 \ge 0$ . Let  $0 \le t \le s \le x$ . Then,

$$\int_{0}^{x} \left[ (\tau - t)_{+}^{\alpha_{1}} (\tau - s)_{+}^{\alpha_{2}} + (\tau - s)_{+}^{\alpha_{1}} (\tau - t)_{+}^{\alpha_{2}} \right] d\tau$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{(\nu - \mu_{2})} (x - t)^{\alpha_{1}} (x - s)^{\alpha_{2} + 1}.$$
(2.26)

This is verified by estimating the integrand in (2.26) (with  $\tau \ge s \ge t$ ):

$$\begin{aligned} (\tau-t)^{\alpha_1}(\tau-s)^{\alpha_2} + (\tau-s)^{\alpha_1}(\tau-t)^{\alpha_2} \\ &= (\tau-t)^{\alpha_1-\alpha_2-1}(\tau-t)^{\alpha_2+1}(\tau-s)^{\alpha_2} + (\tau-s)^{\alpha_1-\alpha_2-1}(\tau-s)^{\alpha_2+1}(\tau-t)^{\alpha_2} \\ &\le (x-t)^{\alpha_1-\alpha_2-1} \big[ (\tau-t)^{\alpha_2+1}(\tau-s)^{\alpha_2} + (\tau-s)^{\alpha_2+1}(\tau-t)^{\alpha_2} \big], \end{aligned}$$
(2.27)

(where the last inequality requires  $\alpha_1 - \alpha_2 - 1 \ge 0$ ); (2.26) follows from

$$\int_{0}^{x} \left[ (\tau - t)_{+}^{\alpha_{2}+1} (\tau - s)_{+}^{\alpha_{2}} + (\tau - s)_{+}^{\alpha_{2}+1} (\tau - t)_{+}^{\alpha_{2}} \right] d\tau$$

$$= \frac{1}{\alpha_{2}+1} \left[ (x - t) (x - s) \right]^{\alpha_{2}+1}.$$
(2.28)

In the following calculation, we abbreviate

$$c_{1} := (\Gamma(\nu - \mu_{2})\Gamma(\nu - \mu_{1}))^{-1}, \qquad c_{2} := (\Gamma(\nu - \mu_{2} + 1)\Gamma(\nu - \mu_{1}))^{-1}, c_{3} := (\nu - \mu_{2})q + 1, \qquad \varepsilon := 2\nu - \mu_{1} - \mu_{2} - 1 + 1/q.$$
(2.29)

By Theorem 1.4,

$$D^{\mu_i} f(\tau) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\nu - \mu_i)} \int_0^\infty (\tau - t)_+^{\alpha_i} D^\nu f(t) \, dt, \quad i = 1, 2.$$
(2.30)

Using this representation, the auxiliary inequality (2.26), and Hölder's inequality, we obtain

$$\begin{split} \int_{0}^{x} |D^{\mu_{1}}f(\tau)| |D^{\mu_{2}}f(\tau)| d\tau \\ &\leq c_{1} \int_{0}^{x} \left( \int_{0}^{x} |D^{\nu}f(t)| (\tau-t)_{+}^{\alpha_{1}} dt \right) \left( \int_{0}^{x} |D^{\nu}f(s)| (\tau-s)_{+}^{\alpha_{2}} ds \right) d\tau \\ &= c_{1} \int_{0}^{x} |D^{\nu}f(t)| \left( \int_{t}^{x} |D^{\nu}f(s)| \left( \int_{0}^{x} (\tau-t)_{+}^{\alpha_{1}} (\tau-s)_{+}^{\alpha_{2}} d\tau \right) ds \right) dt \\ &= c_{1} \int_{0}^{x} |D^{\nu}f(t)| \left( \int_{t}^{x} |D^{\nu}f(s)| \right) \\ &\quad \cdot \left( \int_{0}^{x} [(\tau-t)_{+}^{\alpha_{1}} (\tau-s)_{+}^{\alpha_{2}} + (\tau-s)_{+}^{\alpha_{1}} (\tau-t)_{+}^{\alpha_{2}} ] d\tau \right) ds \right) dt \\ &\leq c_{2} \int_{0}^{x} |D^{\nu}f(t)| \left( \int_{t}^{x} |D^{\nu}f(s)| (x-t)^{\alpha_{1}} (x-s)^{\alpha_{2}+1} ds \right) dt \\ &= c_{2} \int_{0}^{x} |D^{\nu}f(t)| (x-t)^{\alpha_{1}} \left( \int_{t}^{x} |D^{\nu}f(s)|^{\mu} ds \right)^{1/\mu} \left( \int_{t}^{x} (x-s)^{q(\alpha_{2}+1)} ds \right)^{1/q} dt \\ &\leq c_{2} \int_{0}^{x} |D^{\nu}f(t)| (x-t)^{\alpha_{1}} \left( \int_{t}^{x} |D^{\nu}f(s)|^{\mu} ds \right)^{1/\mu} dt \\ &\leq c_{2} c_{3}^{-1/q} \int_{0}^{x} |D^{\nu}f(t)|^{\mu} \left( \int_{t}^{x} |D^{\nu}f(s)|^{\mu} ds \right)^{1/\mu} dt \\ &\leq c_{2} c_{3}^{-1/q} \left( \int_{0}^{x} |D^{\nu}f(t)|^{\mu} \left( \int_{t}^{x} |D^{\nu}f(s)|^{\mu} ds \right)^{1/\mu} dt \\ &\leq c_{2} c_{3}^{-1/q} \left( \int_{0}^{x} |D^{\nu}f(t)|^{\mu} \left( \int_{t}^{x} |D^{\nu}f(s)|^{\mu} ds \right)^{1/\mu} dt \\ &\leq c_{2} c_{3}^{-1/q} \left( \left( \int_{0}^{x} |D^{\nu}f(t)|^{\mu} \left( \int_{t}^{x} |D^{\nu}f(s)|^{\mu} ds \right)^{1/\mu} dt \\ &\leq c_{2} c_{3}^{-1/q} \left( (\varepsilon q + 1)^{-1/q} x^{(\varepsilon q + 1)/q} \left( \left( \frac{1}{2} \left( \int_{0}^{x} |D^{\nu}f(t)|^{\mu} dt \right)^{2} \right)^{1/\mu}. \end{split}$$

$$\tag{2.31}$$

This implies (2.24).

In the following theorem, we address the case when the function  $|D^{\nu}f|$  is monotonic.

**THEOREM 2.6.** Let  $f \in L(0,x)$  have an integrable fractional derivative  $D^{\nu}f \in L^{\infty}(0,x)$  such that  $D^{\nu-j}f(0) = 0$  for  $j = 1,..., [\nu] + 1$ , and that  $|D^{\nu}f|$  is decreasing on [0,x]. Let  $l \ge 2$ . If p,q > 1 are such that 1/p + 1/q = 1 and  $\sum_{i=1}^{l} \alpha_i p > -1$ , then

$$\int_{0}^{x} \prod_{i=1}^{l} \left| D^{\mu_{i}} f(\tau) \right| d\tau \leq C_{3} x^{(\gamma p + lp + 1)/p} \left( \int_{0}^{x} \left| D^{\nu} f(t) \right|^{lq} dt \right)^{1/q},$$
(2.32)

where  $\gamma := \sum_{i=1}^{l} \alpha_i$  and

$$C_3 = C_3(\nu, \mu, p) := \frac{p}{(\gamma p + 1)^{1/p} (\gamma p + p + 1) \prod_{i=1}^l \Gamma(\nu - \mu_i)}.$$
 (2.33)

**PROOF.** By Theorem 1.4,

$$\left| D^{\mu_{i}} f(\tau) \right| \leq \frac{1}{\Gamma(\nu - \mu_{i})} \int_{0}^{x} (\tau - t)_{+}^{\alpha_{i}} \left| D^{\nu} f(t) \right| dt.$$
(2.34)

The integrand  $t \mapsto (\tau - t)^{\alpha_i}_+ |D^{\nu} f(t)|$  is decreasing (and integrable) on [0, x] for all  $\tau \in [0, x]$ . By Chebyshev's inequality for the product of integrals [6, page 1099],

$$\begin{split} \prod_{i=1}^{l} |D^{\mu_{i}}f(\tau)| &\leq \frac{x^{l-1}}{\prod_{i=1}^{l}\Gamma(\nu-\mu_{i})} \int_{0}^{x} \prod_{i=1}^{l} (\tau-t)_{+}^{\alpha_{i}} |D^{\nu}f(t)| dt \\ &\leq \frac{x^{l-1}}{\prod_{i=1}^{l}\Gamma(\nu-\mu_{i})} \int_{0}^{x} (\tau-t)_{+}^{\gamma} |D^{\nu}f(t)|^{l} dt \\ &\leq \frac{x^{l-1}}{\prod_{i=1}^{l}\Gamma(\nu-\mu_{i})} \left( \int_{0}^{\tau} (\tau-t)^{\gamma p} dt \right)^{1/p} \left( \int_{0}^{x} |D^{\nu}f(t)|^{lq} dt \right)^{1/q} \quad (2.35) \\ &\leq \frac{x^{l-1}}{\prod_{i=1}^{l}\Gamma(\nu-\mu_{i})} \left( \frac{\tau^{\gamma p+1}}{\gamma p+1} \right)^{1/p} \left( \int_{0}^{x} |D^{\nu}f(t)|^{lq} dt \right)^{1/q} \\ &= \frac{x^{l-1}\tau^{(\gamma p+1)/p}}{(\gamma p+1)^{1/p} \prod_{i=1}^{l}\Gamma(\nu-\mu_{i})} \left( \int_{0}^{x} |D^{\nu}f(t)|^{lq} dt \right)^{1/q}. \end{split}$$

Integrating with respect to  $\tau$  from 0 to x, we get the result. Condition  $\sum_{i=1}^{l} \alpha_i p > -1$  was needed in order to apply Hölder's inequality to  $\int_{0}^{x} (\tau - t)_{+}^{y} |D^{\nu} f(t)|^{l} dt$ .

The following extreme case of the theorem resembles [4, Proposition 4].

**THEOREM 2.7.** Let the hypotheses of Theorem 2.6 be satisfied, but let p = 1 and  $q = \infty$ . Then,

$$\int_{0}^{x} \prod_{i=1}^{l} |D^{\mu_{i}} f(\tau)| d\tau \leq C_{4} x^{\gamma+l+1} ||D^{\nu} f||_{\infty}^{l}, \qquad (2.36)$$

where  $\gamma := \sum_{i=1}^{l} \alpha_i$  and

$$C_4 = C_4(\nu, \mu) := \frac{1}{(\gamma + 1)(\gamma + l + 1)\prod_{i=1}^{l} \Gamma(\nu - \mu_i)}.$$
(2.37)

**PROOF.** As in the proof of Theorem 2.6, we have

$$\begin{split} \prod_{i=1}^{l} |D^{\mu_{i}}f(\tau)| &\leq \frac{1}{\prod_{i=1}^{l} \Gamma(\nu - \mu_{i})} \prod_{i=1}^{l} \int_{0}^{\tau} |D^{\nu}f(t)| (\tau - t)^{\alpha_{i}} dt \\ &\leq \frac{\tau^{l-1}}{\prod_{i=1}^{l} \Gamma(\nu - \mu_{i})} ||D^{\nu}f||_{\infty}^{l} \int_{0}^{\tau} (\tau - t)^{\gamma} dt \\ &\leq \frac{\tau^{\gamma + l} ||D^{\nu}f||_{\infty}^{l}}{(\gamma + 1) \prod_{i=1}^{l} \Gamma(\nu - \mu_{i})}. \end{split}$$
(2.38)

Integrating over [0, x] with respect to  $\tau$  we obtain (2.36).

**ACKNOWLEDGMENT.** We thank the Department of Mathematics and Statistics of the University of Melbourne for their support and hospitality.

## References

- [1] R. P. Agarwal, *Sharp Opial-type inequalities involving r-derivatives and their applications*, Tôhoku Math. J. (2) **47** (1995), no. 4, 567–593.
- [2] R. P. Agarwal and P. Y. H. Pang, *Opial Inequalities with Applications in Differential and Difference Equations*, Mathematics and Its Applications, vol. 320, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, 1995.
- [3] \_\_\_\_\_, Remarks on the generalizations of Opial's inequality, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 190 (1995), no. 2, 559-577.
- [4] G. A. Anastassiou, *General fractional Opial type inequalities*, Acta Appl. Math. 54 (1998), no. 3, 303-317.
- [5] A. M. Fink, On Opial's inequality for  $f^{(n)}$ , Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **115** (1992), no. 1, 177–181.
- [6] I. S. Gradstein and I. M. Ryzhik, *Tables of Integrals, Series and Products*, Academic Press, New York, 1980.
- [7] E. R. Love, Inequalities like Opial's inequality, Rocznik Nauk.-Dydakt. Prace Mat. 97 (1985), 109–118.
- [8] Z. Opial, Sur une inégalité, Ann. Polon. Math. 8 (1960), 29-32 (French).
- [9] P. Y. H. Pang and R. P. Agarwal, On an Opial type inequality due to Fink, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 196 (1995), no. 2, 748–753.
- [10] S. G. Samko, A. A. Kilbas, and O. I. Marichev, Fractional Integrals and Derivatives. Theory and Applications, Gordon and Breach Science Publishers, Yverdon, 1993.

G. A. ANASTASSIOU: DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, UNIVERSITY OF MEMPHIS, MEMPHIS, TN 38152, USA

J. J. KOLIHA: DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, UNIVERSITY OF MELBOURNE, MELBOURNE, VIC 3010, AUSTRALIA

J. Pečarić: Faculty of Textile Technology, University of Zagreb, 10 000 Zagreb, Croatia



Advances in **Operations Research** 



**The Scientific** World Journal







Hindawi

Submit your manuscripts at http://www.hindawi.com



Algebra



Journal of Probability and Statistics



International Journal of Differential Equations





Complex Analysis





Mathematical Problems in Engineering



Abstract and Applied Analysis



Discrete Dynamics in Nature and Society



International Journal of Mathematics and Mathematical Sciences





Journal of **Function Spaces** 



International Journal of Stochastic Analysis

