

Research Article

Milloux Inequality of E -Valued Meromorphic Function

Zhaojun Wu¹ and Zuxing Xuan²

¹ School of Mathematics and Statistics, Hubei University of Science and Technology, Xianning 437100, China

² Beijing Key Laboratory of Information Service Engineering, Department of General Education, Beijing Union University, No. 97 Bei Si Huan Dong Road, Chaoyang District, Beijing 100101, China

Correspondence should be addressed to Zhaojun Wu; wuzj52@hotmail.com

Received 29 August 2013; Accepted 18 November 2013; Published 30 January 2014

Academic Editors: S. Deng, S. Ostrowska, and G. Tsiatas

Copyright © 2014 Z. Wu and Z. Xuan. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

The main purpose of this paper is to establish the Milloux inequality of E -valued meromorphic function from the complex plane \mathbb{C} to an infinite dimensional complex Banach space E with a Schauder basis. As an application, we study the Borel exceptional values of an E -valued meromorphic function and those of its derivatives; results are obtained to extend some related results for meromorphic scalar-valued function of Singh, Gopalakrishna, and Bhoosnurmath.

1. Introduction

In the 1970s, the Nevanlinna theory of meromorphic function is extended to the vector-valued meromorphic function from the complex plane \mathbb{C} to a finite dimensional space \mathbb{C}^n (see Ziegler [1]). After that, some works related to vector-valued meromorphic function in finite dimensional spaces were done by [2–5]. In 2006, C. G. Hu and Q. J. Hu [6] established Nevanlinna's first and second fundamental theorems for an E -valued meromorphic function from the disk $\mathbb{C}_r = \{|z| < r\}$, $0 < r \leq +\infty$, to infinite-dimensional Banach spaces E with a Schauder basis. Xuan and Wu [7] established Nevanlinna's first and second fundamental theorems for an E -valued meromorphic function from a generic domain $D \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ to E and generalized Chuang's inequality. Motivated by [6, 7], Bhoosnurmath and Pujari [8] studied the E -valued Borel exceptional values of meromorphic functions, Wu and Xuan [9, 10] studied the characteristic functions, exceptional values, and deficiency of E -valued meromorphic function, and Hu [11] surveyed the advancements of the Nevanlinna theory of E -valued meromorphic functions and studied its related Paley problems. In this paper, we will generalize Milloux's inequality (see [12] or [13]) to E -valued meromorphic function.

2. The Nevanlinna Theory in Banach Spaces

In this section, we introduce some fundamental definitions and notations of E -valued meromorphic function which was introduced by C. G. Hu and Q. J. Hu [6]. See also [7–10].

Let $(E, \|\cdot\|)$ be an infinite dimension complex Banach space with Schauder basis $\{e_j\}$ and the norm $\|\cdot\|$. Thus an E -valued meromorphic function $f(z)$ defined in \mathbb{C}_r , $0 < r \leq +\infty$, can be written as

$$f(z) = (f_1(z), f_2(z), \dots, f_k(z), \dots) \in E, \quad (1)$$

where $f_1(z), f_2(z), \dots, f_k(z), \dots$ are the component functions of $f(z)$. Let E_n be an n -dimensional projective space of E with a basis $\{e_j\}_1^n$. The projective operator $P_n : E \rightarrow E_n$ is a realization of E_n associated with the basis.

The elements of E are called vectors and are usually denoted by letters from the alphabet: a, b, c, \dots . The symbol 0 denotes the zero vector of E . We denote vector infinity, complex number infinity, and the norm infinity by ∞ , ∞ , and $+\infty$, respectively. A vector-valued mapping is called holomorphic (meromorphic) if all component functions of $f(z)$ are holomorphic (some of component functions of $f(z)$ are meromorphic). The j th derivative of $f(z)$ is defined by

$$f^{(j)}(z) = (f_1^{(j)}(z), f_2^{(j)}(z), \dots, f_k^{(j)}(z), \dots), \quad (2)$$

where $j = 1, 2, \dots$. A point $z_0 \in \mathbb{C}_r$ is called a pole (or ∞ point) of $f(z)$ if z_0 is a pole (or ∞ point) of at least one of the component functions of $f(z)$. A point $z_0 \in \mathbb{C}_r$ is called a zero of $f(z)$ if z_0 is a common zero of all the component functions of $f(z)$. A point $z_0 \in \mathbb{C}_r$ is called a pole or an ∞ -point of $f(z)$ of multiplicity $q \in \mathbb{N}^+$ which means that in such a point z_0 at least one of the meromorphic component functions of $f(z)$ has a pole of this multiplicity in the ordinary sense of function theory. A point $z_0 \in \mathbb{C}_r$ is called a zero of $f(z)$ of multiplicity $q \in \mathbb{N}^+$ which means that in such a point z_0 all component functions of $f(z)$ vanish, each with at least this multiplicity.

An E -valued meromorphic function $f(z)$ in \mathbb{C} is said to be of compact projection, if for any given $\varepsilon > 0$, $\|P_n(f(z)) - f(z)\| < \varepsilon$ as sufficiently large n in any fixed compact subset $D \subset \mathbb{C}$.

Let $n(r, f)$ or $n(r, \infty)$ denote the number of poles of $f(z)$ in $|z| \leq r$ and $n(r, a, f)$ denote the number of a -points of $f(z)$ in $|z| \leq r$, counting with multiplicities. Define the volume function associated with E -valued meromorphic function $f(z)$ by

$$V(r, \infty, f) = V(r, f) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{C_r} \log \left| \frac{r}{\xi} \right| \Delta \log \|f(\xi)\| dx \wedge dy, \quad \xi = x + iy; \quad (3)$$

$$V(r, a, f) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{C_r} \log \left| \frac{r}{\xi} \right| \Delta \log \|f(\xi) - a\| dx \wedge dy, \quad \xi = x + iy,$$

and the counting function of finite or infinite a -points by

$$\begin{aligned} N(r, f) &= n(0, f) \log r + \int_0^r \frac{n(t, f) - n(0, f)}{t} dt, \\ N(r, \infty) &= n(0, \infty) \log r + \int_0^r \frac{n(t, \infty) - n(0, \infty)}{t} dt, \\ N(r, a, f) &= n(0, a, f) \log r + \int_0^r \frac{n(t, a, f) - n(0, a, f)}{t} dt, \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

respectively. Next, we define

$$\begin{aligned} m(r, f) &= m(r, \infty, f) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \log^+ \|f(re^{i\theta})\| d\theta; \\ m(r, a, f) &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \log^+ \frac{1}{\|f(re^{i\theta}) - a\|} d\theta; \\ T(r, f) &= m(r, f) + N(r, f). \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

Let $\bar{n}(r, f)$ or $\bar{n}(r, \infty)$ denote the number of poles of $f(z)$ in $|z| \leq r$ and $\bar{n}(r, a, f)$ denote the number of a -points of $f(z)$ in $|z| \leq r$, ignoring multiplicities. Similarly, we can define the counting functions $\bar{N}(r, f)$, $\bar{N}(r, \infty)$, and $\bar{N}(r, a, f)$ of $\bar{n}(r, f)$, $\bar{n}(r, \infty)$, and $\bar{n}(r, a, f)$.

Let $f(z)$ ($z \in \mathbb{C}_r$) be an E -valued meromorphic function and $a \in E$; if k is a positive integer, let $\bar{n}_k(r, f)$ or $\bar{n}_k(r, \infty)$ denote the number of distinct poles of $f(z)$ of order $\leq k$ in $|z| \leq r$ and $\bar{n}_k(r, a, f)$ denote the number of distinct a -points of $f(z)$ of order $\leq k$ in $|z| \leq r$. Similarly, we can define the counting functions $\bar{N}_k(r, f)$, $\bar{N}_k(r, \infty)$, and $\bar{N}_k(r, a, f)$ of $\bar{n}_k(r, f)$, $\bar{n}_k(r, \infty)$, and $\bar{n}_k(r, a, f)$.

If $f(z)$ is an E -valued meromorphic function in the whole complex plane, then the order and the lower order of $f(z)$ are defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda(f) &= \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^+ T(r, f)}{\log r}; \\ \mu(f) &= \liminf_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^+ T(r, f)}{\log r}. \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

We call the E -valued meromorphic function f admissible if

$$\limsup_{r \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{T(r, f)}{\log r} = +\infty. \quad (7)$$

Definition 1. Let $f(z)$ be an admissible E -valued meromorphic function in \mathbb{C} . One denotes by $S(r, f)$ any quantity such that

$$S(r, f) = O(\log T(r, f) + \log r), \quad r \rightarrow +\infty, \quad (8)$$

without restriction if $f(z)$ is of finite order and otherwise except possibly for a set of values of r of finite linear measure.

In 2006, C. G. Hu and Q. J. Hu [6] proved the following theorems.

Theorem A (the E -valued Nevanlinna's first fundamental theorem). *Let $f(z)$ be a nonconstant E -valued meromorphic function in $\mathbb{C}_R = \{|z| < R\}$, $0 < R \leq +\infty$. Then, for $0 < r < R$, $a \in E$, and $f(z) \neq a$,*

$$\begin{aligned} T(r, f) &= V(r, a) + N(r, a) \\ &\quad + m(r, a) + \log^+ \|c_q(a)\| + \varepsilon(r, a). \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

Here $\varepsilon(r, a)$ is a function such that

$$|\varepsilon(r, a)| \leq \log^+ \|a\| + \log 2, \quad \varepsilon(r, 0) \equiv 0, \quad (10)$$

and $c_q(a) \in E$ is the coefficient of the first term in the Laurent series at the point a .

Theorem B (the E -valued Nevanlinna's second fundamental theorem). *Let $f(z)$ be an admissible E -valued meromorphic function of compact projection in $\mathbb{C}_R = \{|z| < R\}$, $0 < R \leq +\infty$, and $a^{[k]} \in E$ ($k = 1, 2, \dots, q$) be $q \geq 3$ distinct points. Then, for $0 < r < R$,*

$$\sum_{k=1}^q m(r, a^{[k]}, f) \leq 2T(r, f) - N_1(r) + S(r, f), \quad (11)$$

where $N_1(r) = 2N(r, f) - N(r, f') + N(r, 0, f')$.

3. Milloux Inequality of E-Valued Meromorphic Function

In this section, we will establish the Milloux inequality of E-valued meromorphic function and prove the following theorems.

Theorem 2 (Milloux inequality). *Suppose that $f(z)$ is an admissible E-valued meromorphic function of compact projection in $\mathbb{C}_R = \{|z| < R\}$, $0 < R \leq +\infty$. Let $a, b \in E$ be distinct points and $b \neq 0$. Then, for $0 < r < R$,*

$$T(r, f) \leq \bar{N}(r, f) + (k + 1) \{ \bar{N}(r, a, f) + V(r, a, f) \} + \{ \bar{N}(r, b, f^{(k)}) + V(r, b, f^{(k)}) \} + S(r, f). \tag{12}$$

In order to prove Theorem 2, we will prove the following general form of Milloux inequality of E-valued meromorphic function when the multiple values are considered.

Theorem 3 (general form of Milloux inequality). *Suppose that $f(z)$ is an admissible E-valued meromorphic function of compact projection in $\mathbb{C}_R = \{|z| < R\}$, $0 < R \leq +\infty$. Let $a^{[i]}, b^{[j]} \in E$ ($i = 1, 2, \dots, p; j = 1, 2, \dots, q$) be distinct points such that $b^{[j]} \neq 0$ ($j = 1, 2, \dots, q$) and let m_i, n_j ($i = 1, 2, \dots, p; j = 1, 2, \dots, q$), and l be any positive integers. Then*

$$\left\{ pq - \left(\sum_{i=1}^p \frac{kq + 1}{m_i + 1} + \sum_{j=1}^q \frac{1}{n_j + 1} + \frac{1}{l + 1} \left(1 + k \sum_{j=1}^q \frac{1}{n_j + 1} \right) \right) \right\} T(r, f) \leq \frac{l}{l + 1} \left(1 + k \sum_{j=1}^q \frac{1}{n_j + 1} \right) \bar{N}_l(r, f) + (kq + 1) \sum_{i=1}^p \{ \bar{N}_{m_i}(r, a^{[i]}, f) + V(r, a^{[i]}, f) \} + \sum_{j=1}^q \{ \bar{N}_{n_j}(r, b^{[j]}, f^{(k)}) + V(r, b^{[j]}, f^{(k)}) \} + S(r, f). \tag{13}$$

By letting $p = q = 1$ and l, m_i, n_j tend to infinity in (13), we can get Theorem 2. In order to prove Theorem 3, we need the following lemma.

Lemma 4 (see [10]). *Let $f(z)$ be of compact projection in \mathbb{C} ; then, for a positive integer k , one has*

$$\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \log^+ \frac{\|f^{(k)}(re^{i\theta})\|}{\|f(re^{i\theta})\|} d\theta = S(r, f). \tag{14}$$

We are now in the position to prove Theorem 3.

Proof. We set

$$F(z) = \sum_{i=1}^p \frac{1}{\|f(z) - a^{[i]}\|}; \tag{15}$$

then

$$\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \log^+ F(re^{i\theta}) d\theta \leq m(r, 0, f^{(k)}) + \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \log^+ \{ F(re^{i\theta}) \|f^{(k)}(re^{i\theta})\| \} d\theta. \tag{16}$$

By [6], we have

$$\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \log^+ F(re^{i\theta}) d\theta \geq \sum_{i=1}^p m(r, a^{[i]}) - \log^+ \frac{2q}{\delta}. \tag{17}$$

From (16) and (17), we can get

$$\sum_{i=1}^p m(r, a^{[i]}, f) \leq m(r, 0, f^{(k)}) + \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \log^+ \{ F(re^{i\theta}) \|f^{(k)}(re^{i\theta})\| \} d\theta + \log^+ \frac{2q}{\delta}. \tag{18}$$

Hence, we can get from the above inequality and Lemma 4 that

$$\sum_{i=1}^p m(r, a^{[i]}, f) \leq m(r, 0, f^{(k)}) + S(r, f). \tag{19}$$

It follows from Theorem A that

$$T(r, f^{(k)}) = m(r, 0, f^{(k)}) + N(r, 0, f^{(k)}) + V(r, 0, f^{(k)}) + O(1). \tag{20}$$

Thus from (19) and (20) we deduce

$$\sum_{i=1}^p m(r, a^{[i]}, f) \leq T(r, f^{(k)}) - N(r, 0, f^{(k)}) - V(r, 0, f^{(k)}) + S(r, f). \tag{21}$$

By Theorem A, we have

$$pT(r, f) \leq T(r, f^{(k)}) + \sum_{i=1}^p [N(r, a^{[i]}, f) + V(r, a^{[i]}, f)] - N(r, 0, f^{(k)}) - V(r, 0, f^{(k)}) + S(r, f). \tag{22}$$

Now it follows from Theorems A and B and Lemma 4 that

$$\begin{aligned}
 & qT(r, f^{(k)}) \\
 & \leq \sum_{j=1}^q \{N(r, b^{[j]}, f^{(k)}) + V(r, b^{[j]}, f^{(k)})\} \\
 & \quad + N(r, 0, f^{(k)}) + V(r, 0, f^{(k)}) + N(r, f^{(k)}) \\
 & \quad - (N(r, 0, f^{(k+1)}) + 2N(r, f^{(k)}) - N(r, f^{(k+1)})) \\
 & \quad + S(r, f^{(k)}) \\
 & = \sum_{j=1}^q \{N(r, b^{[j]}, f^{(k)}) + V(r, b^{[j]}, f^{(k)})\} \\
 & \quad + N(r, 0, f^{(k)}) + V(r, 0, f^{(k)}) + N(r, f^{(k+1)}) \\
 & \quad - N(r, f^{(k)}) + N(r, 0, f^{(k+1)}) + S(r, f) \\
 & \leq \sum_{j=1}^q \{N(r, b^{[j]}, f^{(k)}) + V(r, b^{[j]}, f^{(k)})\} \\
 & \quad + N(r, 0, f^{(k)}) + V(r, 0, f^{(k)}) + \bar{N}(r, f) \\
 & \quad - N(r, 0, f^{(k+1)}) + S(r, f).
 \end{aligned} \tag{23}$$

It follows from (22) and (23) that

$$\begin{aligned}
 & pqT(r, f) \\
 & \leq \bar{N}(r, f) + (q-1) \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^p N(r, a^{[i]}, f) - N(r, 0, f^{(k)}) \right\} \\
 & \quad + \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^p N(r, a^{[i]}, f) \right. \\
 & \quad \quad \left. + \sum_{j=1}^q N(r, b^{[j]}, f^{(k)}) - N(r, 0, f^{(k+1)}) \right\} \\
 & \quad + q \sum_{i=1}^p V(r, a^{[i]}, f) + \sum_{j=1}^q V(r, b^{[j]}, f^{(k)}) + S(r, f).
 \end{aligned} \tag{24}$$

A zero of $f - a$ of order $j > k$ is a zero of $f^{(k+1)}$ of order $j - (k + 1)$ and a zero of $f^{(k)} - b$ of order m is a zero of $f^{(k+1)}$

of order $m - 1$. Moreover, zeros of $f - a$ of order $> k$ are zeros of $f^{(k)}$ and so are not zeros of $f^{(k)} - b$ since $b \neq 0$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \sum_{i=1}^p N(r, a^{[i]}, f) + \sum_{j=1}^q N(r, b^{[j]}, f^{(k)}) - N(r, 0, f^{(k+1)}) \\
 & \leq \sum_{i=1}^p N_{k+1}(r, a^{[i]}, f) + \sum_{j=1}^q \bar{N}(r, b^{[j]}, f^{(k)}), \tag{25} \\
 & \sum_{i=1}^p N(r, a^{[i]}, f) - N(r, 0, f^{(k)}) \leq \sum_{i=1}^p N_k(r, a^{[i]}, f).
 \end{aligned}$$

Substituting (25) to (24), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 & pqT(r, f) \leq \bar{N}(r, f) + (q-1) \sum_{i=1}^p N_k(r, a^{[i]}, f) \\
 & \quad + \sum_{i=1}^p N_{k+1}(r, a^{[i]}, f) + \sum_{j=1}^q \bar{N}(r, b^{[j]}, f^{(k)}) \\
 & \quad + q \sum_{i=1}^p V(r, a^{[i]}, f) \\
 & \quad + \sum_{j=1}^q V(r, b^{[j]}, f^{(k)}) + S(r, f),
 \end{aligned} \tag{26}$$

since

$$\begin{aligned}
 & N_k(r, a^{[i]}, f) \\
 & \leq k \bar{N}(r, a^{[i]}, f) \\
 & \leq \frac{k}{m_i + 1} \{m_i \bar{N}_{m_i}(r, a^{[i]}, f) + N(r, a^{[i]}, f)\} \tag{27} \\
 & \leq \frac{k}{m_i + 1} \{m_i \bar{N}_{m_i}(r, a^{[i]}, f) + T(r, f)\} + O(1), \\
 & N_{k+1}(r, a^{[i]}, f) \\
 & \leq (k+1) \bar{N}(r, a^{[i]}, f) \\
 & \leq \frac{k+1}{m_i + 1} \{m_i \bar{N}_{m_i}(r, a^{[i]}, f) + N(r, a^{[i]}, f)\} \tag{28} \\
 & \leq \frac{k+1}{m_i + 1} \{m_i \bar{N}_{m_i}(r, a^{[i]}, f) + T(r, f)\} + O(1).
 \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we can get

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \bar{N}(r, b^{[j]}, f^{(k)}) \\
 & \leq \frac{1}{n_j + 1} \{n_j \bar{N}_{n_j}(r, b^{[j]}, f^{(k)}) + T(r, f^{(k)})\} + O(1), \\
 & \bar{N}(r, f) \leq \frac{1}{l+1} \{l \bar{N}_l(r, f) + T(r, f)\}.
 \end{aligned} \tag{29}$$

By Lemma 4, we can get

$$\begin{aligned}
 T(r, f^{(k)}) &= m(r, f^{(k)}) + N(r, f^{(k)}) \\
 &\leq m(r, f) + N(r, f^{(k)}) \\
 &\quad + \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \log^+ \frac{\|f^{(k)}(re^{i\theta})\|}{\|f(re^{i\theta})\|} d\theta \tag{30} \\
 &\leq m(r, f) + N(r, f) + k\bar{N}(r, f) + S(r, f) \\
 &\leq T(r, f) + k\bar{N}(r, f) + S(r, f).
 \end{aligned}$$

Substituting (27)–(30) into (26), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 pqT(r, f) &\leq \bar{N}(r, f) + (q - 1) \\
 &\quad \times \sum_{i=1}^p \frac{k}{m_i + 1} \{m_i \bar{N}_{m_i}(r, a^{[i]}, f) + T(r, f)\} \\
 &\quad + \sum_{i=1}^p \frac{k+1}{m_i + 1} \{m_i \bar{N}_{m_i}(r, a^{[i]}, f) + T(r, f)\} \\
 &\quad + \sum_{j=1}^q \frac{1}{n_j + 1} \{n_j \bar{N}_{n_j}(r, b^{[j]}, f^{(k)}) + T(r, f^{(k)})\} \\
 &\quad + q \sum_{i=1}^p V(r, a^{[i]}, f) + \sum_{j=1}^q V(r, b^{[j]}, f^{(k)}) + S(r, f) \\
 &\leq \left(1 + \sum_{j=1}^q \frac{k}{n_j + 1}\right) \bar{N}(r, f) + (q - 1) \\
 &\quad \times \sum_{i=1}^p \frac{km_i}{m_i + 1} \bar{N}_{m_i}(r, a^{[i]}, f) \\
 &\quad + \sum_{i=1}^p \frac{k+1}{m_i + 1} m_i \bar{N}_{m_i}(r, a^{[i]}, f) \\
 &\quad + \sum_{j=1}^q \frac{n_j}{n_j + 1} \bar{N}_{n_j}(r, b^{[j]}, f^{(k)}) \\
 &\quad + (q - 1) \sum_{i=1}^p \frac{k}{m_i + 1} T(r, f) \\
 &\quad + \sum_{i=1}^p \frac{k+1}{m_i + 1} T(r, f) + \sum_{j=1}^q \frac{1}{n_j + 1} n_j T(r, f) \\
 &\quad + q \sum_{i=1}^p V(r, a^{[i]}, f) + \sum_{j=1}^q V(r, b^{[j]}, f^{(k)}) + S(r, f) \\
 &\leq \left(1 + \sum_{j=1}^q \frac{k}{n_j + 1}\right) \frac{l}{l+1} \bar{N}_l(r, f) + (kq + 1)
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\times \sum_{i=1}^p \frac{m_i}{m_i + 1} \bar{N}_{m_i}(r, a^{[i]}, f) \\
 &\quad + \sum_{j=1}^q \frac{n_j}{n_j + 1} \bar{N}_{n_j}(r, b^{[j]}, f^{(k)}) \\
 &\quad + q \sum_{i=1}^p V(r, a^{[i]}, f) + \sum_{j=1}^q V(r, b^{[j]}, f^{(k)}) \\
 &\quad + \left(\sum_{i=1}^p \frac{kq+1}{m_i + 1} + \sum_{j=1}^q \frac{1}{n_j + 1} + \frac{1}{l+1} \left(1 + k \sum_{j=1}^q \frac{1}{n_j + 1}\right)\right) \\
 &\quad \times T(r, f) + S(r, f). \tag{31}
 \end{aligned}$$

Since $m_i, n_j, k,$ and q are positive integers, it follows from (31) that

$$\begin{aligned}
 pqT(r, f) &\leq \left(\sum_{i=1}^p \frac{kq+1}{m_i + 1} + \sum_{j=1}^q \frac{1}{n_j + 1} + \frac{1}{l+1} \left(1 + k \sum_{j=1}^q \frac{1}{n_j + 1}\right)\right) \\
 &\quad \times T(r, f) \\
 &\quad + (kq + 1) \sum_{i=1}^p [\bar{N}_{m_i}(r, a^{[i]}, f) + V(r, a^{[i]}, f)] \\
 &\quad + \sum_{j=1}^q [\bar{N}_{n_j}(r, b^{[j]}, f^{(k)}) + V(r, b^{[j]}, f^{(k)})] \\
 &\quad + \left(1 + \sum_{j=1}^q \frac{k}{n_j + 1}\right) \frac{l}{l+1} \bar{N}_l(r, f) + S(r, f). \tag{32}
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence, (13) follows from (32). □

4. E-Valued Borel Exceptional Values of Meromorphic Function and Its Derivatives

Most recently, Bhoosnurmath and Pujari [8] studied the E-valued Borel exceptional values of meromorphic functions and gave the following definition.

Definition 5. Let $f(z)$ ($z \in \mathbb{C}$) be an E-valued meromorphic function and $a \in E \cup \{\infty\}$ k is a positive integer. One defines

$$\begin{aligned}
 \bar{\rho}_k(a, f) &= \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^+ [V(a, f) + \bar{N}_k(r, a)]}{\log r}; \\
 \bar{\rho}(a, f) &= \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^+ [V(a, f) + \bar{N}(r, a)]}{\log r}; \tag{33} \\
 \rho(a, f) &= \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^+ [V(a, f) + N(r, a)]}{\log r}.
 \end{aligned}$$

We say that a is an

- (i) E -valued evB (exceptional value in the sense of Borel) for f for distinct zeros of order $\leq k$ if $\bar{\rho}_k(a, f) < \lambda(f)$;
- (ii) E -valued evB for f for distinct zeros if $\bar{\rho}(a, f) < \lambda(f)$;
- (iii) E -valued evB for f (for the whole aggregate of zeros) if $\rho(a, f) < \lambda(f)$.

Suppose that $f(z)$ is an E -valued meromorphic function with finite order $\rho > 0$ in \mathbb{C} . Xuan and Wu [7] proved that the order of f' is ρ . Hence for any positive integer l the order of $f^{(l)}$ is ρ . Therefore, we call a a vector-valued evB for $f^{(l)}$ for distinct zeros of order $\leq k$, if

$$\bar{\rho}_k(a, f^{(l)}) = \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log [V(r, a, f^{(l)}) + \bar{N}_k(r, a, f^{(l)})]}{\log r} < \rho. \tag{34}$$

In this section, we will prove the following theorem.

Theorem 6. Let $f(z)$ be an admissible E -valued meromorphic function of compact projection in \mathbb{C} and the order of $f(z)$ is ρ ($0 < \rho < +\infty$). Suppose that ∞ is an E -valued evB for f for distinct zeros of order $\leq l$, $a^{[i]} \in E$ ($i = 1, 2, \dots, p$) are E -valued evB for f for distinct zeros of order $\leq m_i$, and $b^{[j]} (\neq 0) \in E$ ($j = 1, 2, \dots, q$) are E -valued evB for $f^{(k)}$ for distinct zeros of order $\leq n_j$, where k, p, q, l and all of m_i, n_j are positive integers. Then

$$\sum_{i=1}^p \frac{kq+1}{m_i+1} + \sum_{j=1}^q \frac{1}{n_j+1} + \frac{1}{l+1} \left(1 + k \sum_{j=1}^q \frac{1}{n_j+1} \right) \geq pq. \tag{35}$$

Proof. By Theorem 3, we obtain

$$\left\{ pq - \left(\sum_{i=1}^p \frac{kq+1}{m_i+1} + \sum_{j=1}^q \frac{1}{n_j+1} + \frac{1}{l+1} \left(1 + k \sum_{j=1}^q \frac{1}{n_j+1} \right) \right) \right\} T(r, f) \leq \frac{l}{l+1} \left(1 + k \sum_{j=1}^q \frac{1}{n_j+1} \right) \bar{N}_l(r, f) + (kq+1) \sum_{i=1}^p \{ \bar{N}_{m_i}(r, a^{[i]}, f) + V(r, a^{[i]}, f) \} + \sum_{j=1}^q \{ \bar{N}_{n_j}(r, b^{[j]}, f^{(k)}) + V(r, b^{[j]}, f^{(k)}) \} + S(r, f). \tag{36}$$

Since ∞ is an E -valued evB for f for distinct zeros of order $\leq l$, $a^{[i]} \in E$ ($i = 1, 2, \dots, p$) is an E -valued evB for f for distinct zeros of order $\leq m_i$ and $b^{[j]} (\neq 0) \in E$ ($j = 1, 2, \dots, q$) is an E -valued evB for $f^{(k)}$ for distinct zeros of order $\leq n_j$. Thus there is a $0 < \mu < \rho$ such that for any i, j ($i = 1, 2, \dots, p; j = 1, 2, \dots, q$) we have

$$\bar{N}_l(r, f) \leq R^\mu, \bar{N}_{m_i}(r, a^{[i]}, f) + V(r, a^{[i]}, f) \leq R^\mu, \bar{N}_{n_j}(r, b^{[j]}, f^{(k)}) + V(r, b^{[j]}, f^{(k)}) \leq R^\mu. \tag{37}$$

It follows from $0 < \mu < \rho$ and (36) and (37) that

$$\sum_{i=1}^p \frac{kq+1}{m_i+1} + \sum_{j=1}^q \frac{1}{n_j+1} + \frac{1}{l+1} \left(1 + k \sum_{j=1}^q \frac{1}{n_j+1} \right) \geq pq. \tag{38}$$

□

Letting $p = q = 1$ in Theorem 6, we can get the following corollary.

Corollary 7. Let $f(z)$ be an admissible E -valued meromorphic function of compact projection in \mathbb{C} and the order of $f(z)$ is ρ ($0 < \rho < +\infty$). Suppose that ∞ is an E -valued evB for f for distinct zeros of order $\leq l$, where l is an integer ≥ 1 . If there exist $a, b \in E$, $b \neq 0$, such that a is an E -valued evB for f for distinct zeros of order $\leq p$ and b is an E -valued evB for $f^{(k)}$ for distinct zeros of order $\leq q$, where p, q are positive integers, then

$$\frac{q+1+k}{(q+1)(l+1)} + \frac{k+1}{p+1} + \frac{1}{q+1} \geq 1. \tag{39}$$

If ∞, a are E -valued evB for f for distinct zeros, that is, letting l, p tend to infinity in (39), we can get $1/(q+1) \geq 1$. This means that, for each integer $k, q \geq 1$, $\bar{\rho}_q(b, f^{(k)}) \geq \rho$, for all $b \neq 0, \neq \infty$. Hence, we can get the following corollary.

Corollary 8. Let $f(z)$ be an admissible E -valued meromorphic function of compact projection in \mathbb{C} and the order of $f(z)$ is ρ ($0 < \rho < +\infty$). Suppose that $\infty, a \in E$ are E -valued evB for f for distinct zeros. Then, for all positive integers k and q , $\bar{\rho}_q(b, f^{(k)}) = \rho$ for all $b \neq 0, \neq \infty$.

The corresponding results of Corollaries 7 and 8 for the meromorphic scalar value function were obtained by Gopalakrishna and Bhoosnurmath [14] and Singh and Gopalakrishna [15]. The corresponding results of Corollaries 7 and 8 for the meromorphic scalar value function on annuli were obtained by Chen and Wu [16].

Conflict of Interests

The authors declare that there is no conflict of interests regarding the publication of this paper.

Acknowledgment

This research was partly supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant no. 11201395). Zuxing Xuan was partly supported by Beijing Natural Science Foundation (Grant no. 1132013).

References

- [1] H. J. W. Ziegler, *Vector Valued Nevanlinna Theory*, vol. 73 of *Research Notes in Mathematics*, Pitman, Boston, Mass, USA, 1982.
- [2] I. Lahiri, "Milloux theorem and deficiency of vector-valued meromorphic functions," *Journal of the Indian Mathematical Society*, vol. 55, pp. 235–250, 1990.
- [3] I. Lahiri, "Generalization of an inequality of C. T. Chuang to vector meromorphic functions," *Bulletin of the Australian Mathematical Society*, vol. 46, pp. 317–333, 1992.
- [4] I. Lahiri, "Milloux theorem, deficiency and fix-points for vector-valued meromorphic functions," *Journal of the Indian Mathematical Society*, vol. 59, pp. 45–60, 1993.
- [5] Z. Wu and Y. Chen, "On the Nevanlinna's theory for vector-valued mappings," *Abstract and Applied Analysis*, vol. 2010, Article ID 864539, 15 pages, 2010.
- [6] C. G. Hu and Q. J. Hu, "The Nevanlinna's theorems for a class," *Complex Variables and Elliptic Equations*, vol. 51, pp. 777–791, 2006.
- [7] Z.-X. Xuan and N. Wu, "On the Nevanlinna's theory for vector-valued mappings," *Abstract and Applied Analysis*, vol. 2010, Article ID 864539, 15 pages, 2010.
- [8] S. S. Bhoosnurmath and V. L. Pujari, "E-valued borel exceptional values of meromorphic functions," *International Journal of Mathematical Analysis*, vol. 4, no. 41–44, pp. 2089–2099, 2010.
- [9] Z. Wu and Z. Xuan, "Characteristic functions and Borel exceptional values of E-valued meromorphic functions," *Abstract and Applied Analysis*, vol. 2012, Article ID 260506, 15 pages, 2012.
- [10] Z. Wu and Z. Xuan, "Deficiency of E-valued meromorphic functions," *Bulletin of the Belgian Mathematical Society*, vol. 19, pp. 703–715, 2012.
- [11] C. G. Hu, "The Nevanlinna theory and its related Paley problems with applications in infinite-dimensional spaces," *Complex Variables and Elliptic Equations*, vol. 56, no. 1–4, pp. 299–314, 2011.
- [12] H. Milloux, "Les fonctions mromorphes et leurs drives. Extensions d'un thorme de M. R. Nevanlinna. Applications," in *Actualités Scientifiques et Industrielles*, p. 53, Hermann et Cie, Paris, France, 1940.
- [13] L. Yang, *Value Distribution Theory*, Springer, Science Press, Berlin, Germany, Beijing, China, 1993.
- [14] H. S. Gopalakrishna and S. S. Bhoosnurmath, "Exceptional values of meromorphic functions and its derivatives," *Annales Polonici Mathematici*, vol. 35, pp. 99–105, 1978.
- [15] S. K. Singh and H. S. Gopalakrishna, "Exceptional values of entire and meromorphic functions," *Mathematische Annalen*, vol. 191, no. 2, pp. 121–142, 1971.
- [16] Y. Chen and Z. Wu, "Exceptional values of meromorphic functions and of their derivatives on annuli," *Annales Polonici Mathematici*, vol. 105, pp. 154–165, 2012.



Hindawi

Submit your manuscripts at
<http://www.hindawi.com>

