Radboud University Nijmegen

## PDF hosted at the Radboud Repository of the Radboud University Nijmegen

The following full text is a publisher's version.

For additional information about this publication click this link. http://repository.ubn.ru.nl/handle/2066/127684

Please be advised that this information was generated on 2017-03-09 and may be subject to change.

# **Bacillus pumilus** Reveals a Remarkably High Resistance to Hydrogen Peroxide Provoked Oxidative Stress

Stefan Handtke<sup>1</sup><sup>®</sup>, Rebecca Schroeter<sup>2</sup><sup>®</sup>, Britta Jürgen<sup>2</sup>, Karen Methling<sup>3</sup>, Rabea Schlüter<sup>1</sup>, Dirk Albrecht<sup>1</sup>, Sacha A. F. T. van Hijum<sup>4</sup>, Johannes Bongaerts<sup>5</sup>, Karl-Heinz Maurer<sup>6</sup>, Michael Lalk<sup>3</sup>, Thomas Schweder<sup>2,7</sup>, Michael Hecker<sup>1,7</sup>, Birgit Voigt<sup>1,7</sup>\*

1 Institute for Microbiology, University of Greifswald, Greifswald, Germany, 2 Pharmaceutical Biotechnology, Institute of Pharmacy, University of Greifswald, Greifswald, Germany, 3 Institute of Biochemistry, University of Greifswald, Greifswald, Germany, 4 Centre for Molecular and Biomolecular Informatics (CMBI), Nijmegen Centre for Molecular Life Sciences, Radboud University Medical Center, Nijmegen, The Netherlands; and Division Processing and Safety, NIZO Food Research B.V., Ede, The Netherlands, 5 Department of Chemistry and Biotechnology, Aachen University of Applied Sciences, Jülich, Germany, 6 AB Enzymes GmbH, Darmstadt, Germany, 7 Institute of Marine Biotechnology, Greifswald, Germany

## Abstract

*Bacillus pumilus* is characterized by a higher oxidative stress resistance than other comparable industrially relevant Bacilli such as *B. subtilis* or *B. licheniformis*. In this study the response of *B. pumilus* to oxidative stress was investigated during a treatment with high concentrations of hydrogen peroxide at the proteome, transcriptome and metabolome level. Genes/ proteins belonging to regulons, which are known to have important functions in the oxidative stress response of other organisms, were found to be upregulated, such as the Fur, Spx, SOS or CtsR regulon. Strikingly, parts of the fundamental PerR regulon responding to peroxide stress in *B. subtilis* are not encoded in the *B. pumilus* genome. Thus, *B. pumilus* misses the catalase KatA, the DNA-protection protein MrgA or the alkyl hydroperoxide reductase AhpCF. Data of this study suggests that the catalase KatX2 takes over the function of the missing KatA in the oxidative stress response of *B. pumilus*. The genome-wide expression analysis revealed an induction of bacillithiol (Cys-GlcN-malate, BSH) relevant genes. An analysis of the intracellular metabolites detected high intracellular levels of this protective metabolite, which indicates the importance of bacillithiol in the peroxide stress resistance of *B. pumilus*.

Citation: Handtke S, Schroeter R, Jürgen B, Methling K, Schlüter R, et al. (2014) *Bacillus pumilus* Reveals a Remarkably High Resistance to Hydrogen Peroxide Provoked Oxidative Stress. PLoS ONE 9(1): e85625. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0085625

Editor: Adam Driks, Loyola University Medical Center, United States of America

Received September 6, 2013; Accepted December 5, 2013; Published January 20, 2014

**Copyright:** © 2014 Handtke et al. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

**Funding:** This work was financially supported by funds of the Competence Network "Mipro - Microbes for production: A genomics-based approach to engineer novel industrial production strains" (0315594B) by the German Federal Ministry of Education and Research (BMBF, www.bmbf.de) and the project "Ausbau und Profilierung von COAST Fun-Gene" (UG11043, ESF/IV-BM-B35-0003/12) of the Bildungsministerium of Mecklenburg-Vorpommern (www.regierung-mv.de). The transcriptome analysis was financially supported by the Henkel KGaA (www.henkel.de). The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

**Competing Interests:** The transcriptome analysis for this study was financially supported by Henkel KGaA. Dr. Sacha A.F.T. van Hijum is affiliated to NIZO Food Research B.V., and Dr. Karl-Heinz Maurer to AB Enzymes GmbH. Dr. Karl-Heinz Maurer was formerly employed at the company Henkel AG & Co. KGaA (Düsseldorf, Germany) which was involved as an associated partner in one of the projects. There are no patents, products in development or marketed products to declare. This does not alter the authors' adherence to all the PLOS ONE policies on sharing data and materials, as detailed online in the guide for authors.

\* E-mail: birgit.voigt@uni-greifswald.de

• These authors contributed equally to this work.

## Introduction

*Bacillus pumilus* is a Gram-positive, rod-shaped and endosporeforming bacterium closely related to the industrially relevant bacteria *Bacillus subtilis* and *Bacillus licheniformis*. *B. pumilus* represents a potential alternative host for the industrial production of enzymes. For the evaluation and optimization of fermentation processes with this organism a comprehensive knowledge on its physiology and stress adaptation is required.

During fermentation processes a variety of stresses (e.g. salt, heat and oxidative stress) can impair the fitness of the production host and the quality of the fermentation product [1–3]. *B. pumilus* strains are highly resistant against UV radiation and hydrogen peroxide, which may explain the finding of viable spores of *B. pumilus* in hostile environments such as the interior of the Sonoran desert basalt and spacecrafts [4,5]. This natural potential and resistances of *B. pumilus* could be a major benefit for the improvement of industrial production strains, since oxidative stress can occur in all phases of fermentation processes [1–3].

Reactive oxygen species (ROS) such as superoxide  $(O_2^{-})$ , hydrogen peroxide  $(H_2O_2)$  and hydroxyl radical  $(OH \cdot)$  are successive one-electron-reduction products of molecular oxygen and therefore occur in all aerobically living organisms [3,6,7]. Increased ROS production that exceeds the cell defense capacity leads to oxidative stress in the cell and to the oxidation of nucleic acids, proteins and lipids [2,3,8–10].

In *B. subtilis*, the cellular defense against oxidative stress is ensured by the detoxification of harmful agents, protection of macromolecules and the repair or removal of damaged molecules. The oxidative stress response of this organism is regulated by specific transcriptional regulators, such as PerR, SigB, LexA/ RecA, Spx and OhrR, as previously described in detail [11–13]. The oxidative stress response of *B. pumilus* differs significantly from the response in *B. subtilis*, as major oxidative stress genes of *B*. subtilis are missing in the genome of *B. pumilus*, such as the catalase KatA or alkyl hydroperoxide reductase AhpCF. For some of these genes no homologs could be found in the *B. pumilus* genome. This leads to the questions, which genes compensate the missing genes and are thus responsible for the oxidative stress resistance of *B. pumilus*. In this study we used a combination of proteomics, transcriptomics and metabolomics to investigate the individual peroxide stress response of *B. pumilus*.

## **Materials and Methods**

## 2.1 Strain, Media, Growth and Cell Sampling

Bacillus pumilus Jo2 (DSM 14395) was used for all experiments described in this study. Cells were grown aerobically at 37°C and 180 rpm in minimal medium containing 15 mM  $(NH_4)_2SO_4$ , 8 mM MgSO<sub>4</sub>×7 H<sub>2</sub>O, 27 mM KCl, 7 mM Na-citrate×2 H<sub>2</sub>O, 50 mM Tris-HCl (pH 7.5) supplemented with 1.8 mM KH<sub>2</sub>PO<sub>4</sub>, 2 mM CaCl<sub>2</sub>, 1 µM FeSO<sub>4</sub>×7 H<sub>2</sub>O, 10 µM MnSO<sub>4</sub>×4 H<sub>2</sub>O, 4.5 mM glutamate, 0.2% w/v glucose and 0.04 µM biotin. Exponentially growing cells at an  $OD_{500 \text{ nm}}$  of 0.6 were exposed to a final concentration of 2 mM hydrogen peroxide. Proteome samples were taken from unstressed cultures before and 10 as well as 30 minutes after exposure to hydrogen peroxide. Samples were pulse-labeled with L-[<sup>35</sup>S]-methionine for 5 min, as described by Hoi et al. [14]. Samples for preparative gels were prepared from unlabeled cells 30 and 60 min after exposure to  $H_2O_2$  [14]. Preparative gels were used only for spot identification via mass spectrometry.

Samples for RNA extraction were taken before (control) and 3 and 8 min after addition of  $H_2O_2$ . Cell samples for RNA extraction were mixed with 0.5 volumes of ice-cold killing buffer (20 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.5, 5 mM MgCl, 20 mM NaN<sub>3</sub>), and immediately harvested at 10000×g for 5 min at 4°C.

## 2.2. Scanning Electron Microscopy

For the scanning electron microscopy, the cells were separated from the culture medium by filtration through a 0.2  $\mu$ m pore size polycarbonate filter. The filter were placed in fixation solution (1% glutaraldehyde, 4% paraformaldehyde, 50 mM NaN<sub>3</sub> in 5 mM HEPES [pH 7.4]) for 1 h at room temperature and 4°C overnight. After fixation, the samples were treated with 2% tannic acid for 1 h, 1% osmium tetroxide for 2 h, 1% thiocarbohydrazide for 30 min, 1% osmium tetroxide overnight, and 2% uranyl acetate for 30 min with washing steps in between. The samples were dehydrated in a graded series of aqueous ethanol solutions (10– 100%) and then critical point-dried. Finally, filter were mounted on aluminum stubs, sputtered with gold/palladium and examined in a scanning electron microscope EVO LS10 (Carl Zeiss microscopy GmbH, Oberkochen, Germany).

## 2.3 Transmission Electron Microscopy

Cells were fixed in 1% glutaraldehyde, 4% paraformaldehyde, 50 mM NaN<sub>3</sub> in 5 mM HEPES for 1 h at room temperature and then at 4°C overnight. Subsequent to embedding the cells in low gelling agarose, cells were postfixed in 2% osmium tetroxide for 2 h at 4°C. After dehydration in graded series of ethanol (20–100%) for 10 min each step with 0.5% uranyl acetate in 70% ethanol for 30 min (at 4°C) in between, the material was embedded in Epon. Sections were cut on an ultramicrotome (Reichert Ultracut, Leica UK Ltd, Milton Keynes, UK), stained with uranyl acetate and lead citrate and analyzed with a transmission electron microscope LEO 906 (Carl Zeiss microscopy GmbH, Oberkochen, Germany).

## 2.4 2D-Gel Electrophoresis

Cytosolic protein extracts were loaded onto IPG-strips in the pH-range 4–7 (GE Healthcare Bio-Sciences AB, Finland) using 100 µg protein for labeled samples and 500 µg for preparative gels. 2D-PAGE was performed as described by Büttner *et al.* [15]. Autoradiography of radioactively labeled gels was performed as previously described [14]. Preparative gels were stained with Coomassie Brilliant Blue as described by Voigt *et al.* [16]. Proteins were excised from preparative gels, digested and the peptide solution spotted onto MALDI targets using the Ettan Spot Handling Workstation (GE Healthcare, UK). Identification was performed using MALDI-TOF-MS/MS (Proteome Analyzer 5800 MDS Sciex, USA) and an in-house *B. punilus* Jo2 (DSM 14395) database as described by Wolf *et al.* [17]. Protein quantification was done with the Delta2D proteome software (Decodon, Germany).

## 2.5 Microarray Experiment

Total RNA of B. pumilus was prepared by the acid phenol method [18] with the modifications described elsewhere [19]. The isolated RNA was treated with DNase (RNase-free DNase Set, Quiagen, Germany) and subsequently concentrated and cleaned (RNA cleanup and concentration Kit, Norgen Biotek, Canada). Quantity of RNA was determined on a microscale spectrophotometer (Nanodrop ND-1000, Peqlab Biotechnologie GmbH, Germany) and RNA integrity was analyzed using a capillary electrophoresis system (Bioanalyzer 2100, Agilent Technologies, USA). Synthesis and purification of fluorescently labeled cDNA was carried out according to Schroeter et al. [20] with minor modifications described below. After the labeling and clean-up step [20], 600 ng of respective Cy3- and Cy5 -labeled cDNA were admixed (ad. 44 µl), denaturated and mixed with 11 µl prewarmed blocking agent and 60 µl hybridization buffer (both Gene expression hybridization kit, Agilent Technologies, USA). 100 µl of the emerging cDNA mixture, respectively, were used for any hybridization. Custom-made B. pumilus Jo2 4×44 K gene expression microarrays were obtained from Agilent Technologies (https://earray.chem.agilent.com/earray/), containing 60-mer Oligonucleotide probes (SurePrint technology, Agilent Technologies). Probe design was performed on the chromosome sequence of B. pumilus Jo2 (Sequence Intellectual Property of Henkel KGaA). In addition to the annotated open reading frames (ORFs), ORFs were predicted using (i) Glimmer 3.0 [21], (ii) ZCURVE [22], (iii) Genemark HMM [23], and (iv) Prodigal [24]. Predicted ORFs were added to the design provided that: (i) they were nonoverlapping with existing ORFs; or (ii) they were in the reverse complementary strand of existing ORFs. On the annotated and predicted ORFs, up to 5 probes were designed. Altogether, a total of 41377 probes were designed by means of OligoWiz 2.1.3 [25] using default parameters for prokaryotic long-mers. The arrays were hybridized and washed according to the manufacturer's instructions (Two-Color Microarray-Based Gene Expression Analysis Protocol, Agilent Technologies, USA), followed by a last wash step with acetonitrile (Carl Roth GmbH+Co. KG, Germany) for 30 sec. Microarrays were scanned using the Agilent scanner Type G2565CA with high resolution upgrade G2539A and the software Scan Control 8.4.1 (Agilent Technologies, USA). Data were extracted from scanned images using Agilent's Feature Extraction Software (version 10.5.1.1; Agilent Technologies, USA) using default settings. A common reference type of design was employed, and data from three biological replicate hybridizations for each point in time were used for data analysis. Spot signals were normalized using Lowess as described earlier [26]. Next, for each ORF a signal was determined by taking the median signal of the up to 5 probes per ORF. Differential regulation was determined from the biological triplicate measurements by falsediscovery rate (FDR) from the Cyber-T p-values [27] by means of multiple testing correction [26]. Differential regulation was defined as a 2-fold or higher differential expression with a FDR cut-off value of 0.05 or lower.

## 2.6 Metabolomic Analysis of Thiols as their Monobromobimane-derivatives

Cells were grown in minimal medium as described above and exponentially grown cells from 10 ml culture medium were harvested before oxidative stress, 10, 30 and 60 min after addition of hydrogen peroxide. The isolation of LMW-thiols for HPLC analysis was performed as described previously [28]. In brief, after centrifugation the cells were washed with 50 mM Tris-HCl (pH 8.0) and resuspended in 50% acetonitrile containing 20 mM Tris-HCl (pH 8.0), 1 mM penicillamine as internal standard and 2 mM monobromobimane (mBBr). Control samples were resuspended without penicillamine and 5 mM N-ethylmaleimide (NEM) was used prior to addition of mBBr. Thiols were extracted at 60°C and directly labeled with mBBr. Labeling reaction was stopped with aqueous methane sulfonic acid in a final concentration of 5 mM. BSmB (monobromobimane-derivative of BSH) standards were synthesized as described previously [7,29]. For detection and quantification of LMW-thiols, ion pairing HPLC was performed as described before [30]. For absolute quantification the ratio peak area thiol/peak area internal standard was used and an eight-point calibration between 10 nM and 2000 nM was generated.

## 2.7 Prediction of the PerR Consensus Sequence

Prediction of the PerR consensus sequence was done with the PRODORIC<sup>®</sup> database (http://prodoric.tu-bs.de/vfp/index2. php) release 8.9 [31] using the consensus sequence as described by Fuangthong *et al.* [32].

## **Results and Discussion**

## 3.1 Effects of H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> on Growth and Cell Morphology

Exponentially growing *B. pumilus* cells were treated with 2 mM hydrogen peroxide. Thus, the concentration of  $H_2O_2$  that was used to trigger the stress in this study was about 40-fold higher than those used for comparable analyses with B. subtilis or B. licheniformis [13,20]. The highest peroxide concentrations allowing growth for B. subtilis and B. licheniformis were 4 and 1 mM, respectively (Table S1). B. pumilus is still able to grow with 20 mM hydrogen peroxide. This indicates a striking resistance of B. pumilus against peroxide stress. Compared to unstressed cells, growth was significantly impaired for a short time (approximately 15 min) after the  $H_2O_2$  treatment (Figure 1). However, after that time, cells continued to grow for about one hour. An electron microscopy analysis indicated that after exposure to H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> most of the cells are morphologically intact, but some of the cells exhibited major damage of their envelope (Figure 2D). Furthermore, scanning electron microscopy revealed some atypically long cells (up to approximately 10-20% two hours after H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> treatment, Figure 2B, 2E) indicating an impact of hydrogen peroxide on processes involved in cell division.

## 3.2 Global Expression Profile

All values presented for up- and downregulation of genes or proteins are fold change values. The analysis of the soluble intracellular proteome of *B. pumilus* revealed 54 significantly upregulated and 111 downregulated proteins 10 min after  $H_2O_2$ 

treatment (with a threshold of 2-fold, Table 1, Table S2, Figure 3). For the visualization of the fast and early response on proteome level, a labeling with <sup>35</sup>S-methionine was necessary. 30 minutes after initiating the stress, 73 proteins were up- and 59 proteins downregulated (Table 1, Table S2 and S3, Figure 4). Transcriptome analysis revealed an at least 2-fold increased transcription of 181 genes three minutes after treatment with  $H_2O_2$ ; 76 of them were more than 3-fold upregulated. Eight minutes after treatment, the transcription of 558 genes appeared at least 2-fold increased (307 genes with an at least 3-fold increased transcription). Three minutes after the stress, 266 genes were transcribed with an at least 3-fold lower rate than under control conditions, for 296 genes this decreased transcription rate was shown eight minutes after treatment. To indicate quality of the transcriptome results, raw data for individual probes for selected genes (which were not found to be induced in the proteome analysis) are presented in Table S4. These data show similar basal values and changes following addition of hydrogen peroxide for all five probes corresponding to a gene.

To compare the physiological changes in  $H_2O_2$  treated *B. pumilus* cells with the oxidative stress responses of other organisms, the upregulated genes and proteins were assigned to putative regulons known from related organisms like *B. subtilis* and *B. licheniformis* [13,20]. 139 of the upregulated genes and proteins could be assigned to these putative regulons (Table S2). The thus classified genes and proteins identified in this study are summarized and discussed below.

## 3.3 PerR Regulon

The PerR regulon is known to be highly induced by oxidative stress caused by hydrogen peroxide and paraquat [13]. As shown previously for *B. licheniformis*, the *B. pumilus* genome encodes a PerR regulator protein with a high level of identity (93%) to the PerR-protein known from *B. subtilis* [20]. Transcription of the *perR* gene was significantly increased immediately after stress (Table 1). This indicates a regulation mechanism of PerR in H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> treated *B. pumilus* cells that is similar to the de-repression model reported for *B. subtilis* [33].

In our study genes assigned to a putative PerR regulon, including those encoding the regulator proteins Fur and SpxA as well as the zinc-uptake protein ZosA, the heme biosynthesis complex HemABCD2LX and the general stress protein YjbC were significantly induced at transcriptional level (Table 1).

Strikingly, some of the PerR-regulated genes exhibiting the highest induction in *B. subtilis* cells subjected to hydrogen peroxide, are absent from the genome of the *B. pumilus* strain used in our study, as well as from a previously published *B. pumilus* genome [34]. This applies e.g. for the genes encoding the catalase KatA and the DNA-protection protein MrgA. Furthermore, *B. pumilus* lacks not only the genes *ahpC* and *ahpF*, encoding subunits of the alkyl hydroperoxide reductase, but there are no genes annotated with this function in the genome.

Instead of KatA, a gene annotated as catalase KatX2 (53% sequence similarity to *B. subtilis* KatX) was significantly induced in *B. pumilus* cells at transcriptional and translational level (up to 10 and 20-fold, respectively, Table 1). Thereby, KatX2 was one of the proteins with the highest induction rates detected. *B. subtilis* and *B. licheniformis* subjected to hydrogen peroxide exhibit a more than 100-fold induction of KatA [13,20]. KatX2 comprises about 0.38% of the cytoplasmic protein present in the gel before addition of hydrogen peroxide. The values for *B. subtilis* and *B. licheniformis* are 0.13% in both strains (personal communication C. Scharf, B. Voigt). After addition of hydrogen peroxide KatX2 comprises about 3.8% of the cytoplasmic protein. This is comparable to the





Figure 1. Growth of *B. pumilus*. Growth of *B. pumilus* under control conditions (filled squares) and stressed with 2 mM H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> at OD<sub>500 nm</sub> 0.6 (empty squares). doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0085625.g001



**Figure 2. Electron microscopy micrographs.** Scanning (A,B,E) and transmission (C,D) electron microscopy micrographs of *B. pumilus* cells under control conditions (A,C), 30 min (B,D) and 120 min after treatment with 2 mM H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> (E). doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0085625.g002

ORF ID	gene		transcriptome	tome	proteome		Regulon in other <i>Bacilli</i>
			3 min	8 min	10 min	30 min	
BPJ13600	zinc-transporting ATPase ZosA	zosA	12.74	28.72			perR
BPJ25410	glutamyl-tRNA reductase HemA	hemA	3.44	3.99			perR
BPJ25390	porphobilinogen deaminase HemC	hemC	2.68	3.90			perR
BPJ25370	delta-aminolevulinic acid dehydratase HemB	hemB	2.52	3.72			perR
BPJ25400	putative cytochrome C biogenesis protein HemX	hemX	2.86	4.25			perR
BPJ25380	uroporphyrinogen III synthase HemD	hemD2	2.68	4.23			perR
BPJ25360	glutamate-1-semialdehyde 2,1-aminomutase HemL	hemL	2.75	3.56			perR
BPJ21690	Fur family ferric uptake regulation protein Fur	fur	1.92	3.62			perR
BPJ11620	transcriptional regulator Spx	spxA	4.14	3.31			perR/spx/sigB
BPJ11610	putative N-acetyltransferase YjbC	yjbC	2.41	4.41			perR/spx/sigB/sigM/sigW/sigX
BPJ09760	catalase KatX2	katX2	6.96	10.69	15.18	21.09	sigB/sigF
BPJ34450	putative ABC transporter permease YwjA	ywjA	1.57	4.47			fur
BPJ30810	hydroxamate siderophore ABC transporter ATP-binding protein FhuC	fhuC1	1.51	2.46			fur
BPJ30830	hydroxamate siderophore ABC transporter permease FhuB	fhuB1	1.52	4.01			fur
BPJ30820	hydroxamate siderophore ABC transporter permease FhuG	fhuG1	1.53	3.20			fur
BPJ08440	ABC transport system permease	bpj08440	4.11	7.49			fur
BPJ08430	putative iron complex transport system substrate binding protein	bpj08430	4.54	7.43			fur
BPJ08420	putative HTH-type transcriptional regulator	bpj08420	3.58	5.59			fur
BPJ08580	putative nitroreductase YfhC	yfhC		2.67	5.00	1.10	fur
BPJ08410	ferredoxin–NADP reductase 2	bpj08410	3.90	3.83			fur
BPJ37570	AraC family transcriptional regulator/putative FeuA-like substrate-binding domain ybbB	ybbB	4.93	12.84			fur
BPJ37580	iron complex ABC transporter substrate-binding protein FeuA	feuA	3.21	10.04			fur, btr, citB
BPJ37590	putative bacillibactin esterase YbbA	ybbA	5.24	18.42			fur/btr/citB
BPJ07970	C56 family peptidase YfkM	yfkM	2.94	7.61	7.39	3.09	fur/sigB
RBPU30260	FeS cluster assembly protein SufB	sufB	1.87	2.10	1.73		Fe/S cluster biogenesis
RBPU30280	cysteine desulfurase SufS	sufS			-1.77	2.69	Fe/S cluster biogenesis
RBPU30290	FeS cluster assembly permease SufD	sufD			1.73		Fe/S cluster biogenesis
RBPU30300	FeS cluster assembly ATPase SufC	sufC			2.52	2.13	Fe/S cluster biogenesis
BPJ11040	diaminobutyrate-2-oxoglutarate aminotransferase RhbA	rhbA			-1.11	11.10	siderophore synthesis
00077100							

PLOS ONE | www.plosone.org

5

Amount     Amount<	Ē			transcriptome	ome	proteome		and the second second
metobactin sideoptice biolymetic forcin find:     mbf     -1/2     312       ino complex AIC transporte permeate find     mo2     333     735     -1       ino complex AIC transporte permeate find     mo2     332     534     -1       putative ino complex AIC transporte permeate find     mo2     232     557     557       putative ino complex AIC transporte permeate find     mo2     232     554     -       putative ino complex AIC transporte subtrane binding potein fund     bj3533     365     544     -       putative ino transport succered potein     bj3533     365     544     -     253       putative inont suport succered potein     bj3533     365     234     235     236       putative inont suport succered potein     mo4     146     234     -     156       putative inont suport succered potein     mo4     146     234     -     156       putative inont suport succered potein     mo4     146     234     -     156       putative inont suport succered potein     mo4     146     234     -     15	2	Weile		3 min	8	10 min	30 min	
ion complex AC transporter philoding protein Fluidind/238785in or complex AC transporter permanes Fluidind/23.327.13protein error complex AC transporter permanes Fluidind/23.325.43protein error complex AC transporter abstrate-binding protein Fluidind/23.255.44protein error complex AC transporter abstrate-binding protein Fluidind/23.935.43protein error protein statter abording protein Fluidind/23.933.933.93protein error complex AC transporter abstrate-binding protein Fluidind/23.933.933.93protein error complex AC transporter abstrate-binding protein Fluidind/23.933.933.93protein error containing protein traditind/23.933.933.933.93protein error containing protein traditind/23.933.933.933.93protein fluidind/2ind/2ind/23.933.933.93protein traditind/2ind/2ind/23.933.933.93protein traditind/2ind/2ind/23.933.933.93protein traditind/2ind/2ind/2ind/23.933.93protein traditind/2ind/2ind/2ind/23.933.93protein traditind/2ind/2ind/2ind/23.933.93protein traditind/2ind/2ind/2ind/23.933.93protein traditind	0601	rhizobactin siderophore biosynthesis protein RhbF	rhbF			-1.72	3.02	siderophore synthesis
icon complex ARC transporter permease Fluidmd23.21.51.5putative iron complex ARC transporter permease Fluidmd22.14.39-putative iron complex ARC transporter permease Fluidmd23.55.8-putative iron transporter permease Fluidmd23.55.8-putative iron transporter portermd33.55.8-putative iron transport seconded protein bud3md23.95.95.9putative iron transport-seconded protein bud3md23.93.93.9putative iron transport-seconded protein bud3md61.42.33.9putative iron transport-seconded protein bud3md61.42.33.9putative iron transport-seconded protein bud3md61.42.33.9putative electore M6Amd61.42.33.93.9putative electore M6Amd61.42.33.73.9putative electore M6Amd61.42.33.73.9putative electore M6Amd61.42.33.93.9putative electore M6Amd61.42.44.03.6putative electore M6Amd61.42.44.03.6putative electore M6Amd61.42.44.03.6putative electore M6Amd61.42.44.03.6putative electore M6Amd61.42.44.04.0putative electore M6Amd6	5800	iron complex ABC transporter ATP-binding protein FhuC	fhuC2	3.88	7.85			iron uptake
putative ion complex MG transporter substrate-binding protein Fhudhud2.33.94.3putative ion complex MG transport substrate-binding protein Fhudby33804.95.35.4putative ion complex MG transport substrate-binding protein Fhudby33803.96.472.23putative ion complex MG transport substrate-binding protein SLGby33803.96.472.39putative ion transport-substrate protein SLGby33801.92.395.392.39putative ion transport-substrate flagby33801.92.392.392.39putative ion transport-substrate MGby33801.462.322.392.39putative ion transport-substrate MGby33801.462.322.392.39putative substrate MGby33801.462.342.392.392.39putative substrate MGby33801.482.342.342.34putative substrate MGby33801.482.342.342.34putative substrate MGby33801.482.342.342.34putative substrate MGby33801.482.342.342.34putative substrate MGby33801.482.342.342.34putative substrate MGby33801.492.342.342.34putative substrate MGby33801.492.342.342.34putative substrate MGby3380by33802.342.342.34putative substrate MGby3380 </td <td>810</td> <td>iron complex ABC transporter permease FhuB</td> <td>fhuB2</td> <td>3.32</td> <td>7.15</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>iron uptake</td>	810	iron complex ABC transporter permease FhuB	fhuB2	3.32	7.15			iron uptake
parative iron complex ABC transporter substrate-binding protein Hulo     pi3330     353     554     544       parative iron transport sacciated protein IBCC     pi3330     349     547     225     287       parative iron transport sacciated protein/putotive ciderophone     bj3330     339     547     235     287       parative iron transport sacciated protein/putotive ciderophone     bj3330     339     547     235     339     349       proteine NDH-dependent transmort sacciated protein/putotive ciderophone     parative iron transport sacciated protein/putotive ciderophone     parative iron transport sacciated protein/putotive ciderophone     339     347     237     237       there NDH-dependent transmort sacciated protein Yush     mori     148     224     249     247       there NDH-dependent transmort sacciated protein Yush     mori     148     224     247     243       there wort wort sacciated protein Yush     mori     148     243     243     243       there wort wort sacciated protein Yush     mori     148     243     243     243       there wort wort sacciated protein Yush     mori     144     24	770	putative iron complex ABC transporter permease FhuG	fhuG2	2.31	4.39			iron uptake
putative interraport-stroctised potein/putative sidecoplote     bjj3580     36     58       putative interruport-stroctised potein/putative sidecoplote     bjj3580     39     52       putative interruport-stroctised potein/putative     bjj3580     39     53       Dieblike potein/putative     potein/putative     39     53       Dieblike potein/putative     potein/putative     23     39     53       parative MADH-dependent butmol delydrogenese VugU     prof     23     23     24       popelike aductuae TraB     mark     146     23     35     53       popelike aductuae Vaca     mark     146     23     53     53       ADPH-dependent throflavin reductaes MuX     mark     146     23     53     53       ADPH-dependent throflavin reductaes MuX     mark     140     23     53     53       ADPH-dependent throflavin reductaes MuX     mark     24     53     53       ADPH-dependent throflavin reductaes MuX     mark     243     53     53       ADPH-dependent throflavin reductaes MuX     mark     243     <	780	putative iron complex ABC transporter substrate-binding protein FhuD	fhuD	2.72	5.57			iron uptake
putative here updek protein log(     byj3380     391     547	830	putative iron transport-associated protein/putative siderophore	bpj35830	3.65	5.84			iron uptake
putative iron transported potein     by 33550     389     647       Direl kie charateras Traß     yuet     223     237       Inter evolutater Traß     yuet     233     235       Inter evolutater Traß     yuet     233     235       Inter evolutater Mark     mark     146     234     460       rethorine sufforde reductate Mark     mark     146     234     450       rethorine sufforde reductate Mark     mark     146     234     450       traine contransprese Vark     mark     146     234     450       traine contransprese Vark     mark     146     234     450       traine contransprese Mark     mark     146     234     450       traine contransprese Mark     mark     146     234     521       3' 5' contronuctare Ymak     mark     146     234     531       13' 5' contronuctare Ymak     mark     147     153     532       14' 6' contransprese     146     147     154     531       13' 5' contransprese     147	340	putative heme uptake protein IsdC	bpj35840	4.91	7.62			iron uptake
Dinellie domain-containing potein Vacajoté	350	putative iron transport-associated protein	bpj35850	3.89	6.47			iron uptake
thioredoxin disulfide reductare TraB     50     33     35       purative NODH-dependent burnon (ehydrogenase Yugu)     yugi     22     108     460       methonine suffoxide reductase MixiA     mrsA     146     224     460       peptide methone suffoxide reductase MixiA     mrsA     146     224     521       peptide methone suffoxide reductase MixiA     mrsA     247     526     524       NODH-dependent mino/flavin reductase MixiA     mrsA     226     247     521       Sr 5 suffoxine gamma-lyse McB     mrsA     224     521     526     523       Ord Dependent mino/flavin reductase NhA     mrsA     224     521     521     521       Ord Dependent mino/flavin reductase NhA     mrsA     224     521     521     521       Ord Dependent burnolesse ThaM     mrsA     224     223     521     521     521       Ord Dependent burnoles     mrsA     224     223     521     523     521       Ord Dependent Durocles NHA     mrsA     1061     1071     523     523     523 </td <td>430</td> <td>DinB-like domain-containing protein YuaE</td> <td>yuaE</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>2.25</td> <td>2.87</td> <td>spx</td>	430	DinB-like domain-containing protein YuaE	yuaE			2.25	2.87	spx
parative NOH-dependent butanol dehydrogenase Yugi     yagi     22     108     460       methionine suffoxide reductase Mixid     mixid     146     224     5       peptide-methionine suffoxide reductase Mixid     mixid     149     223     5     5       interedoxin Trad     mixid     mixid     140     224     5 </td <td>980</td> <td>thioredoxin-disulfide reductase TrxB</td> <td>trxB</td> <td></td> <td>3.97</td> <td>3.93</td> <td>3.59</td> <td>spx</td>	980	thioredoxin-disulfide reductase TrxB	trxB		3.97	3.93	3.59	spx
methonine sufloxide reductase Misch     misch     1.46     2.24       peptide-methonine sufloxide reductase Misch     misch     1.48     2.25       hinderdent nitro/favin reductase Misch     misch     1.40     2.26       NAPH dependent nitro/favin reductase Misch     misch     2.47     5.21       NAPH dependent nitro/favin reductase Misch     micch     2.47     5.21       Visathionine sama-yase MicB     micch     2.47     5.24     5.24       patative dations without/class PhaM     micch     2.47     5.24     5.24       Woldwease AEC submit B     micch     2.47     2.42     7.58       Without/class File     micch     1.49     5.61     7.58       Visate AEC submit B     micch     1.49     5.65     7.58       Visate AEC submit Uvic     micch     1.49     5.66     7	110		ſɓnʎ		2.32	1.08	4.60	spx
peptide-methonine sulfoxide reductase MrSImrSI182.27thioredoxin Truktruk1.402.58thioredoxin TrukMDPH-dependent nitro/fixin reductase NFA $n/A$ 2.50visuationine gamma-hyse McB $n/A$ 2.245.21visuationine gamma-hyse McB $n/A$ 2.245.21visuationine gamma-hyse McB $n/A$ 2.245.21visuationine gamma-hyse McB $n/A$ 2.242.473's' evoriboruclease Yhad $n/A$ 2.242.43S's evoriboruclease ASC suburit B $n/A$ 0.712.81exinuclease ASC suburit B $n/A$ 1.495.73exinuclease ASC suburit C $n/A$ 1.495.73DUF896 family protein Yuck $n/A$ 1.731.73ereson lease Yind $n/A$ 1.731.73erendenting retracted lufar serine protease Yind	330	methionine sulfoxide reductase MsrA	msrA	1.46	2.24			spx
thioredoxin Taytrade140258NDPH-dependent nitrorflavin eductase NFA $rfA$ 2247521cystathionine gamma-yase McGs $rcB$ $rcB$ 2247521cystathionine gamma-yase McGs $rcB$ $rcB$ 2247521tutetive cell division supressor potein YheA $rcB$ 222475213's' evoluton tase 4 $rcB$ $rcB$ 222223's' evoluton tese PGS submit B $rrB$ $rrB$ 022222Schuclease AGC submit C $rrB$ $rrB$ 1222222excinclease AGC submit UvC $rrB$ $rrB$ 12222222Pressor LeA $rrB$ $rrB$ $rrB$ 12222222DUF96 family protein YmC $rrB$ $rrB$ 1222222222DUF96 family protein YmB $rrB$ $rrB$ 122 <t< td=""><td>320</td><td>peptide-methionine sulfoxide reductase MsrB</td><td>msrB</td><td>1.48</td><td>2.27</td><td></td><td></td><td>spx</td></t<>	320	peptide-methionine sulfoxide reductase MsrB	msrB	1.48	2.27			spx
NOPH-dependent nitro/flavin reductase MfA $nfA$ $2.50$ $2.47$ $5.21$ cystathionine gamma-lyase MCB $mcB$ $mcB$ $-1.58$ $5.51$ cystathionine gamma-lyase MCB $mcB$ $2.47$ $2.47$ $7.51$ utative cell division suppressor protein YneA $mcB$ $2.47$ $2.47$ $7.51$ $3'5'$ exoribonuclease YhaM $meB$ $0.71$ $2.81$ $7.58$ $7.58$ $3'5'$ exoribonuclease Ybd division suppressor protein YneA $mrB$ $0.71$ $2.81$ $7.58$ $2'5'$ exoribonuclease ABC suburit B $mrB$ $0.71$ $2.81$ $7.22$ $4.25$ $2'5'$ exotibonuclease ABC suburit B $mrB$ $1.49$ $6.75$ $7.22$ $4.25$ $2'5'$ exotiboration NuC $mrB$ $1.76$ $7.22$ $7.25$ $4.25$ $2'5'$ exotiboration NuC $mrB$ $1.76$ $7.22$ $7.25$ $7.25$ $2'5'$ exotiboration NuC $mrB$ $1.76$ $7.25$ $7.25$ $7.25$ $2'5'$ exotiboration NuC $mrB$ $1.76$ $7.25$ $7.25$ $7.25$ $2'5'$ exotiboration Protein YuC $mrB$ $7.26$ $7.26$ $7.26$ $2'5'$ exotiborate Protein YuE $mrB$ $7.26$ $7.26$ $7.26$ $2'5'$ exotiborate Protein YuE $1.76$ $7.26$ </td <td>370</td> <td>thioredoxin TrxA</td> <td>trxA</td> <td>1.40</td> <td>2.58</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>spx/ctsR/sigB</td>	370	thioredoxin TrxA	trxA	1.40	2.58			spx/ctsR/sigB
cytatrionic gamma/yas MCGmcB $-1.53$ $7.80$ purative cell division suppressor protein Ynachynd $2.4$ $4.25$ $-1.58$ $7.81$ $3^{-5}'$ scoribonuclease YnaMynd $0.71$ $2.81$ $-2.82$ $4.29$ $3^{-5}'$ scoribonuclease YnaMwrd $0.71$ $2.81$ $-2.92$ $4.29$ NA polymerase Aschunti A $wrd$ $1.49$ $6.75$ $2.52$ $4.29$ excinuclease ABC subunt VnC $wrd$ $1.49$ $6.75$ $2.52$ $4.29$ excinuclease ABC subunt VnC $wrd$ $1.64$ $1.67$ $2.52$ $4.29$ errore A $wrd$ $1.76$ $2.66$ $4.29$ $4.29$ errore A $0.01^{-6}$ $1.67$ $2.66$ $4.29$ $4.29$ errore A $0.07$ $1.67$ $1.67$ $2.66$ $4.29$ $10 F996 famity protein YnCwrd1.671.764.2910 F996 famity protein YnC1.671.761.761.7610 F996 famity protein YnE1.671.761.761.7610 F996 famity protein YnE1.671.761.761.7610 F996 famity protein YnE1.761.761.761.7610 F996 famity protein YnE1.761.761.761.7610 F906 famity protein YnE1.761.761.761.7610 F906 famity protein YnE1.761.761.761.7610 F906 famity protein YnE1.761.7$	200	NADPH-dependent nitro/flavin reductase NfrA	nfrA		2.50	2.47	5.21	spx/sigD/spo0A
putative cell division suppressor protein Ynadiyred $2.4$ $4.25$ $3^{-5}$ 's voribonuclease Yhadiyhddi $0.71$ $2.81$ $3^{-5}$ 's voribonuclease Yhadi $pohY$ $2.4$ $2.4$ $NA$ polymerase 4 $wrdi1.496.72.4NA polymerase 4SC submit Bwrdi1.496.72.224.29excinuclease ABC submit UvCwrdi1.496.72.524.29excinuclease ABC submit UvCwrdi1.496.72.524.29excinuclease ABC submit UvCwrdi1.496.72.524.29excinuclease ABC submit UvCwrdi1.496.72.524.29107806 family protein YmCwrdi1.722.524.29107806 family protein YmCwrdi1.572.524.29107806 family protein YmCwrdi1.572.254.29107806 family protein YmCwrdi1.592.254.29107806 family protein YmCwrdi1.592.254.2910800 family protein YmCwrdi1.592.294.2910800 famil$	150	cystathionine gamma-lyase MccB	mccB			-1.58	7.58	spx/cymR
$3^{-5}$ continuuclease Yhad $071$ $281$ $DNA$ polymerase 4 $0N1$ $0071$ $281$ $DNA$ polymerase 4 $0N2$ $0673$ $252$ $Extinuclease RBC subunit Buvb149572252extinuclease RBC subunit Uvrccuvb1495564.29extinuclease RBC subunit Uvrccuvc1685664.29extinuclease RBC subunit Uvrccuvc1565661786DUF896 family protein VracCwcd31017841703DUF896 family protein VracCwcd31017841703DUF896 family protein VracCwcd31017841703vacouclease Vhadwcd13017031703vacouclease Vhadwcd13017031703vacouclease Vhadwcd13017031703vacouclease Vhadwcd13017031703vacouclease Vhadwcd13017031703vacouclease Vhadwcd13017031703vacouclease Vhadwcd1601631031703vacouclease Vhadwcd16310317031703vacouclease Vhadwcd160163102103vacouclease Vhadwcd163102103102vacouclease Vhadwcd16310310210$	10	putative cell division suppressor protein YneA	yneA	2.24	44.25			lexA/SOS
DNA polymerase 4 $polY$ $10.68$ excinuclease ABC subunit A $uvB$ $7.22$ $2.52$ $4.29$ excinuclease ABC subunit A $uvA$ $1.49$ $6.75$ $4.29$ excinuclease ABC subunit UvrC $uvA$ $1.49$ $6.75$ $4.29$ excinuclease ABC subunit UvrC $uvC$ $8.65$ $5.65$ $4.29$ repressor LexA $wvC$ $8.76$ $5.65$ $7.22$ $4.29$ DUFB96 family protein VraC $wvC$ $8.76$ $8.65$ $7.22$ $4.29$ phage-like PDSX protein VraC $weA$ $5.66$ $7.26$ $7.26$ $7.26$ phage-like PDSX protein VraC $weA$ $7.13$ $7.26$ $7.26$ $7.26$ phage-like PDSX protein VraB $weA$ $7.13$ $7.20$ $9.56$ $7.26$ phothetica protein VraB $weA$ $7.13$ $7.22$ $4.94$ $9.56$ protein Protein VraB $weA$ $7.12$ $7.22$ $4.94$ $9.56$ protein VraB $weA$ $7.12$ $7.22$ $7.22$ $7.22$ phothetical protein VpuD $weA$ $7.23$ $7.22$ $7.22$ $7.22$ phothetical protein VpuD $weA$ $7.2$	80	3'-5' exoribonuclease YhaM	yhaM	0.71	2.81			lexA/SOS
excinctease AEC suburit Game AEC suburit A $unds$ $7.22$ $2.52$ $4.29$ excinctease AEC suburit VurC $undt$ $1.49$ $6.7$ $2.52$ $4.29$ excinctease AEC suburit UvrC $undt$ $1.67$ $3.65$ $5.66$ $1.26$ represor Levi $undt$ $1.64$ $1.55$ $5.66$ $1.67$ DuFB96 family protein Ynact $undt$ $1.64$ $1.56$ $8.56$ $1.73$ DuFB96 family protein Ynact $undt$ $1.64$ $1.73$ $1.73$ DuFB96 family protein Ynact $undt$ $1.64$ $1.63$ $1.73$ DufB96 family protein Ynact $undt$ $1.64$ $1.73$ $1.72$ DufB96 family protein Ynact $undt$ $1.64$ $1.67$ $1.73$ DufB96 family protein Ynact $undt$ $1.64$ $1.73$ $1.72$ DufB96 family protein Ynact $1.64$ $1.73$ $1.72$ $1.74$ DufB96 family protein Ynact $1.74$ $1.74$ $1.74$ $1.74$ DufB96 family protein Ynact $1.74$ $1.74$ $1.74$	360	DNA polymerase 4	polY1		10.68			lexA/SOS
excinctease ABC suburit A $uvd$ $149$ $6.75$ excinctease ABC suburit UvrC $uvrC$ $3.65$ excinctease ABC suburit UvrC $uvrC$ $3.65$ represor LevA $uvrC$ $bea$ $3.65$ DUF896 family protein YrzC $bea$ $1.55$ $5.66$ DUF896 family protein YrzC $vrZ$ $0.65$ $8.85$ DUF896 family protein YrzC $vrZ$ $1.75$ $3.10$ DUF896 family protein YrzC $vrZ$ $1.75$ $3.10$ Duf996 family protein YrzC $vrZ$ $1.78$ $1.73$ resolvase-like protein YraD $vrB$ $1.38$ $1.703$ resolvase-like protein YraD $vrB$ $1.38$ $1.73$ resolvase-like protein YraD $vrB$ $1.67$ $2.33$ resolvase-like protein YraD $vrB$ $1.93$ $7.12$ resolvase ATP-binding suburit C PK $vrB$ $2.74$ $9.58$ resolvase ATP-binding suburit C PK $vrB$ $7.23$ $7.23$ resolvase ATP-binding suburit C PK $0.72$ $0.72$ $0.723$ resolv	300	excinuclease ABC subunit B	uvrB		7.22	2.52	4.29	lexA/SOS
excinctease ABC suburit Uvct $uvct$ $3.65$ repressor Lexdlexd $1.55$ $5.66$ repressor Lexdlexd $1.55$ $5.66$ DUF896 family protein YnzC $ynzC$ $0.65$ $8.85$ DUF896 family protein YnzC $ynzC$ $0.65$ $8.85$ Duf896 family protein YnzC $ynzC$ $0.65$ $8.85$ phage-like PBSX protein Xkda $ynzC$ $0.65$ $8.85$ resolvase-like protein YnB $ynach$ $1.734$ $1.734$ trative exonuclease YhaO $ynaO$ $recd$ $1.67$ $9.58$ putative exonuclease VhaO $recd$ $1.67$ $2.23$ $4.94$ trative attracellular serine protease Vpr $ynaO$ $1.67$ $2.31$ $9.58$ hypothetical protein YuD $ynD$ $1.93$ $7.12$ $7.12$ hypothetical protein YuD $ynA$ $1.67$ $2.78$ $4.94$ AT-dependent Clo protease ATP-binding suburit ClpK $c/pC$ $2.78$ $4.94$ AT-dependent protease ATP-binding suburit ClpX $c/pX$ $2.78$ $4.94$	590	excinuclease ABC subunit A	uvrA	1.49	6.75			lexA/SOS
repressor Lexd     lexd     155     566       DUF996 family protein YnzC     yrzC     0.65     8.85       DUF996 family protein YnzC     yrzC     0.65     8.65       phage-like PBSX protein XkdA     xkdA     3.10     17.84       resolvase-like protein XhdB     yreB     1.38     17.03       putative exonuclease YhaO     yreB     1.38     17.03       recombinase Reck     reck     1.63     2.23       mior extracellular serine protease Vpr     yrp     1.63     7.12       hypothetical protein YpuD     yrp     1.63     7.12	860	excinuclease ABC subunit UvrC	uvrC		3.65			lexA/SOS
DUF996 family protein YnzCynzC0.658.85Duf990 family protein XkdA $kdA$ 3.10 $1.744$ Page-like PDSX protein XkdA $kdA$ 3.10 $1.743$ resolvase-like protein YneB $yneB$ $1.38$ $17.03$ putative exonuclease YhaO $yneB$ $1.63$ $2.72$ putative exonuclease VhaO $recA$ $1.63$ $2.72$ recombinase Rech $recA$ $1.63$ $7.22$ mior extracellular serine protease Vpr $ypuD$ $1.93$ $7.12$ hypothetical protein YpuD $ypuD$ $1.93$ $7.12$ hypothetical protein YpuD $yhaN$ $1.93$ $7.12$ hypo	00	repressor LexA	lexA	1.55	5.66			lexA/SOS
phage-like PBSX protein XkdA $xkdA$ $3.10$ $17.84$ resolvase-like protein YneB $yreB$ $1.38$ $17.03$ tesolvase-like protein YneD $yreB$ $1.38$ $17.03$ putative exonuclease YhaO $yreA$ $8.76$ $8.76$ recombinase RecA $recA$ $1.63$ $7.22$ $4.94$ minor extracellular serine protease Vpr $yreA$ $1.58$ $2.23$ hypothetical protein YpuD $yreD$ $1.93$ $7.12$ outative ATPase YhaN $yreN$ $1.93$ $7.12$ ATP-dependent Clo protease ATP-binding subunit ClpX $cpE$ $2.78$ $4.541$ ATP-dependent protease ATP-binding subunit ClpX $cpX$ $2.71$ $2.71$	730	DUF896 family protein YnzC	ynzC	0.65	8.85			lexA/SOS
resolvase-like protein YneB     resolvase-like protein YneB     1.38     1.7.03       putative exonuclease YhaO     yhaO     8.76     8.76       recombinase RecA     recA     1.63     7.22     4.94     9.58       recombinase RecA     recA     1.63     7.22     4.94     9.58       mior extracellular serine protease Vpr     vpr     1.58     2.23     9.58       hypothetical protein YbuD     ypuD     1.93     7.12     9.58       putative ATPase YhaN     ypuD     1.93     7.12     8.73       ATP-dependent Clo protease ATP-binding subunit ClpE     c/P     2.78     8.73       ATP-dependent protease ATP-binding subunit ClpX     c/P     2.78     4.541	091	phage-like PBSX protein XkdA	xkdA	3.10	17.84			lexA/SOS
putative exonuclease YhaO yhaO 8.76   recombinase RecA recA 16.3 7.22 4.94 9.58   minor extracellular serine protease Vpr vpr 1.58 2.23 9.58   hypothetical protein YpuD ypuD 1.93 7.12 7.12   putative ATPase YhaN yhaN 1.93 7.12 7.12   ATP-dependent Clp protease ATP-binding subunit ClpE cpE 2.78 8.73   ATP-dependent protease ATP-binding subunit ClpX cpX 2.78 2.67	720	resolvase-like protein YneB	yneB	1.38	17.03			lexA/SOS
recombinase Reckreck1.637.224.949.58minor extracellular serine protease Vpr $vpr$ 1.582.239.58hypothetical protein YpuD $ypuD$ 1.937.12three ATPase YhaN $yhaN$ 9.737.12ATP-dependent Clp protease ATP-binding subunit ClpX $cpE$ 2.7845.41ATP-dependent protease ATP-binding subunit ClpX $cpX$ 2.772.67	60	putative exonuclease YhaO	yhaO		8.76			lexA/SOS
minor extracellular serine protease Vpr vpr 1.58 2.23   hypothetical protein YpuD ypuD 1.93 7.12   putative ATPase YhaN yhaN 8.73 8.73   ATP-dependent Clp protease ATP-binding subunit ClpK c/pE 2.78 45.41   ATP-dependent protease ATP-binding subunit ClpX c/pX 2.67	380	recombinase RecA	recA	1.63	7.22	4.94	9.58	lexA/SOS/comK
hypothetical protein YpuD ypuD 1.93 7.12   putative ATPase YhaN yhaN 8.73   ATP-dependent Clp protease ATP-binding subunit ClpE c/pE 2.78 45.41   ATP-dependent protease ATP-binding subunit ClpX c/pX 267	170	minor extracellular serine protease Vpr	vpr	1.58	2.23			lexA/SOS/phoP
putative ATPase YhaN yhaN 8.73   ATP-dependent Clp protease ATP-binding subunit ClpE clpE 2.78 45.41   ATP-dependent protease ATP-binding subunit ClpX clpX 2.67	0/1	hypothetical protein YpuD	<i>ypuD</i>	1.93	7.12			lexA/SOS/sigB/sigM
ATP-dependent Clp protease ATP-binding subunit ClpE clpE 2.78 45.41 ATP-dependent protease ATP-binding subunit ClpX clpX 2.67 2.67	170	putative ATPase YhaN	yhaN		8.73			lexA/SOS
ATP-dependent protease ATP-binding subunit ClpX clpX 2.67	150	ATP-dependent Clp protease ATP-binding subunit ClpE	clpE	2.78	45.41			ctsR
	160	ATP-dependent protease ATP-binding subunit ClpX	clpX		2.67			ctsR

ORF ID	gene		transcriptome	ome	proteome		Regulon in other <i>Bacilli</i>
			3 min	8 min	10 min	30 min	
BPJ00760	transcriptional regulator CtsR	ctsR		9.40			ctsR/sigB
BPJ00770	transcriptional regulator McsA	mcsA		10.26			ctsR/sigB
BPJ31850	ATP-dependent Clp protease proteolytic subunit ClpP	clpP	1.79	4.26	8.74	1.73	ctsR/sigB
BPJ00780	putative ATP:guanido phosphotransferase McsB	mcsB	1.43	8.87			ctsR/sigB/sigF
BPJ00790	ATP-dependent Clp protease ClpC	clpC		6.44			ctsR/sigB/sigF
BPJ00810	DNA integrity scanning protein DisA	disA		5.15			ctsR/sigB/sigM
BPJ15470	adenylyl-sulfate kinase CysC	cysC			23.93	1.60	cymR
BPJ15480	uroporphyrin-3 C-methyltransferase CysG	cysG			1.49	10.53	cymR
BPJ15460	sulfate adenylyltransferase Sat	sat			1.37	13.07	cymR
BPJ20800	tryptophan synthase alpha subunit TrpA	trpA			2.16	13.51	TRAP
BPJ20810	tryptophan synthase beta subunit TrpB	trpB			1.13	13.99	TRAP
BPJ20820	N-(5'-phosphoribosyl)anthranilate isomerase TrpF	trpF	1.59	2.09			TRAP
BPJ20830	indole-3-glycerol-phosphate synthase TrpC	trpC		2.36	1.49	10.53	TRAP
BPJ20840	anthranilate phosphoribosyltransferase TrpD	trpD	1.44	2.72	1.37	13.07	TRAP
BPJ20850	anthranilate synthase component 1	trpE		2.61			TRAP
BPJ12980	transcriptional regulator OhrR	ohrR		2.54			ohrR
BPJ12970	peroxiredoxin OhrA o	ohrA	10.66	9.88	6.29	1.30	ohrR
BPJ12990	peroxiredoxin OhrB	ohrB		2.10			sigB/ohrR
BPJ19510	putative bacillithiol biosynthesis deacetylase YojG	yojG		3.31			bacillithiol-related
BPJ20020	DUF1094 family protein YphP	yphP			1.78	2.22	bacillithiol-related
BPJ21140	putative thioredoxin reductase YpdA	ypdA	0.67	2.58			bacillithiol-related
BPJ22220	DUF1094 family protein YqiW	yqiW		2.58			bacillithiol-related
BPJ31300		opuCD		3.03			glycine betaine transport
BPJ31310	glycine betaine/carnitine/choline ABC transporter substrate-binding protein o, OpuCC	opuCC	0.88	2.62			glycine betaine transport
BPJ31320	glycine betaine/carnitine/choline ABC transporter permease OpuCB	opuCB	0.92	2.74			glycine betaine transport
BPJ31330	glycine betaine/carnitine/choline ABC transporter ATP-binding protein OpuCA o	opuCA	0.94	2.46			glycine betaine transport
BPJ02950	glycine betaine ABC transporter ATP-binding protein OpuAA	opuAA	2.76	10.75			glycine betaine transport
BPJ02960	glycine betaine ABC transporter membrane protein	opuAB	2.41	10.19			glycine betaine transport
BPJ02970	glycine betaine ABC transporter substrate-binding protein	opuAC	2.37	7.48			glycine betaine transport
BPJ29360	Na+/H+ antiporter subunit MrpA	mrpA		4.57			sodium transport
BPJ29370	Na+/H+ antiporter subunit MrpB	mrpB		4.77			sodium transport
BPJ29380	Na+/H+ antiporter subunit MrpC	mrpC		3.60			sodium transport

## January 2014 | Volume 9 | Issue 1 | e85625

ORF ID	gene		transcriptome	ne	proteome		Regulon in other <i>Bacilli</i>
			3 min	8 min	10 min	30 min	
BPJ29400	Na+/H+ antiporter subunit MrpE	mrpE	1.51	3.20			sodium transport
BPJ29410	Na+/H+ antiporter subunit MrpF	mrpF	1.72	3.38			sodium transport
BPJ29420	Na+/H+ antiporter subunit MrpG	mrpG	1.96	2.60			sodium transport
Selected genes and F Selected genes and proteins a For transcriptome, sel from the biological tr	Selected genes and proteins that are induced in H <sub>2</sub> O <sub>2</sub> treated <i>B. pumilus</i> cells. Genes and proteins that are induced in H <sub>2</sub> O <sub>2</sub> treated <i>B. pumilus</i> cells. Genes and proteins are listed, which could be assigned to putative regulons known from other <i>Bacilli</i> . Complete lists of upregulated as well as downregulated genes/proteins is given in supporting information Tables S2 and S3. For transcriptome, selected genes are shown for 3 and 8 minutes after stress compared to the control conditions (0 min). For a complete list of induced and repressed genes see Table S3. Differential regulation was determined from the biological triplicate measurements by false-discovery rate (FDR) from the Cyber-T p-values (27] by means of multiple testing correction [26]. Differential regulation was defined as a two-fold or higher differential	mplete lists o nditions (0 m by means of	f upregulated a in). For a comp	s well as downre lete list of induce ig correction [26]	gulated genes/ d and represse . Differential re	proteins is giver proteins is giver ed genes see Tab egulation was de	ls. known from other <i>Bacilli</i> . Complete lists of upregulated as well as downregulated genes/proteins is given in supporting information Tables S2 and S3. compared to the control conditions (0 min). For a complete list of induced and repressed genes see Table S3. Differential regulation was determined m the Cyber-T p-values [27] by means of multiple testing correction [26]. Differential regulation was determined

expression with a FDR cut-off value of 0.05 or lower. Protein quantification was performed by the Delta 2D software (Decodon) from 3 biological replicates with a FDR cut-off value of 0.05 or lower. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0085625.t001 value of 3.6% for *B. licheniformis* (personal communication B. Voigt) but higher than the value for *B. subtilis* (1.2%, personal communication C. Scharf). These values indicate that in *B. pumilus* there is a higher synthesis of KatX2 already in unstressed cells compared to *B. subtilis* and *B. licheniformis* KatA explaining the lower induction rate. In *B. subtilis*, KatX is the major spore catalase and under control of SigB and SigF [35,36]. We detected a *B. subtilis* PerR consensus sequence [32] containing 2 mismatches about 90 bases in front of the start codon of KatX2 indicating a possible involvement of PerR in its regulation.

## 3.4 Fur Regulon and Fe-metabolism

The PerR-regulated *fur* gene of *B. pumilus*, shows 95% similarity to the *fur* gene known from *B. subtilis* and was induced 3.6-fold after stress [32]. The regulator protein Fur of *B. subtilis* controls the expression of genes responsible for iron uptake [37]. Immediately after exposure to  $H_2O_2$ , cytosolic iron concentration is considerably reduced to prevent the formation of OH<sup>•</sup> by the Fenton reaction [13]. Upregulation of the Fur-controlled genes may be a reaction of the cells to optimize iron uptake in order to face the resulting iron limitation. Alternatively it might be that Fur is  $H_2O_2$ sensitive as it is in *E. coli* [38].


Nine genes of a putative Fur regulon showed a significantly increased expression in *B. pumilus* cells after  $H_2O_2$  treatment, including the ABC transporter system *fhuB1C1G1* (Table 1). The *fhuC* gene was induced by  $H_2O_2$  in *B. subtilis* and *B. licheniformis*, too [13,20]. Further Fur regulon member genes known to be induced by  $H_2O_2$  in *B. subtilis* showing an induction in our study were *ykuN*, *ykuP* (flavodoxins) and the hypothetical protein *ykuO*. With an about 30-fold higher mRNA level 8 minutes after treatment, these were among the highest upregulated genes in this putative regulon. The putative nitroreductase YfhC, also induced in  $H_2O_2$  stressed *B. subtilis* cells, was the only member of the putative Fur regulon we observed to be upregulated at translational level.

The gene *ywjA*, encoding another ABC transporter of yet unknown function, the peptidase encoding gene *yfkM* and the bacillibactin esterase encoding gene *ybbA* were upregulated, too. These genes are Fur-regulated in *B. subtilis*, but they were not upregulated by  $H_2O_2$  in this organism [13,39]. In *B. subtilis* and *B. licheniformis*, the siderophore biosynthesis complex encoded by *dhbACEBF* was strongly upregulated by  $H_2O_2$ . In our study, these genes showed no significant changes in their expression level.

Other genes that exhibited higher transcription rates after  $H_2O_2$  treatment were the iron ABC transporter protein encoding gene *feuA* and its upstream-located regulator *ybbB* (renamed *btr* in *B. subtilis*) [40]. Unlike *B. subtilis*, the *B. pumilus* genome encodes a second Fhu-related iron uptake system. Our study showed an induction of the genes encoding FhuC2-FhuB2-BPJ35820 as well as *fhuG2* and *fhuD* immediately after subjecting the cells to the stress. Two further putative iron transporter systems, *bpj35830-bpj35840-bpj35850* and *bpj08420-bpj08430-bpj08440*, were induced, too. The proteins encoded by the latter genes showed no significant homology to any protein known from related *Bacillus* species.

Furthermore, the proteomic approach revealed a strong induction of the siderophore synthesis proteins RhbA, RhbE and RhbF, encoded by the *rhbABCDEF*-operon (Table 1). A rather slight induction at the translational level was shown for the iron/ sulfur cluster biogenesis proteins SufB, SufS, SufD and SufC as previously shown for *B. licheniformis* [20]. The *sufU* gene was found to be only slightly upregulated at the mRNA level.


Table 1. Cont



**Figure 3. Cytosolic proteome 10 min after H\_2O\_2 treatment.** The cytosolic proteome of *B. pumilus* cells 10 min after  $H_2O_2$  treatment. Cell samples were labeled with L–[<sup>35</sup>S]-methionine during the exponential growth phase (OD<sub>500 nm</sub> 0.6), and 10 min after  $H_2O_2$  addition. Proteins were separated in a pH gradient 4 (right) –7 (left). doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0085625.g003

## 3.5 Spx Regulon and Bacillithiol

Another regulator protein assigned to the putative PerR regulon is SpxA, controlling the expression of the Spx regulon in *B. subtilis*  [41,42]. This gene exhibited an about 4-fold increased transcription rate in  $H_2O_2$  stressed *B. pumilus* cells. Some of the genes and proteins attributed to a putative Spx regulon in *B. pumilus* 




**Figure 4. Cytosolic proteome 30 min after H\_2O\_2 treatment.** The cytosolic proteome of *B. pumilus* cells 30 min after  $H_2O_2$  treatment. Cell samples were labeled with L–[<sup>35</sup>S]-methionine during the exponential growth phase (OD<sub>500 nm</sub> 0.6), and 30 min after  $H_2O_2$  addition. Proteins were separated in a pH gradient 4 (right) –7 (left). doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0085625.g004

appeared to have rather moderately increased expression rates or were not induced after  $H_2O_2$  treatment.

In our study we detected six genes of a putative Spx regulon to be induced following  $H_2O_2$  treatment (Table 1). The proteins

encoded by three of them, nitro/flavinreductase NfrA, putative NADPH-dependent butanol dehydrogenase YugJ and thiore-doxin-disulfide reductase TrxB, were induced in  $H_2O_2$  treated cells, too. Upregulation of *msrAB* (methionine sulfoxide reductase



**Figure 5. Concentration of thiol compounds in** *B. pumilus* **cells.** Cytosolic concentration of bacillithiol (BSH), CoA and cysteine (Cys) per mg cell dry weight (CDW) during the exponential growth phase ( $OD_{500 nm}$  0.6 at 0 min) and 10, 30 and 60 min after  $H_2O_2$  treatment. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0085625.q005

operon) and *trxA* (thioredoxin) was detected at transcriptional level only. The proteins TrxA and TrxB are described to act in direct detoxification of hydrogen peroxide [43–45]. Cystathionine gamma-lyase MccB and DinB-like domain-containing protein YuaE showed an induction only at proteome level.

The Spx-regulated *srf* operon, mediating competence and metabolic functions in *B. subtilis*, is absent in the *B. pumilus* genome as shown before for *B. licheniformis* [42,46,47].

We noticed an increased transcription of *ypdA* and *yqiW* as well as an induction of the *yphP* gene product (Table 1). These genes co-occur with bacillithiol (Cys-GlcN-malate, BSH) synthesis genes [48]. However, only one gene encoding a protein involved in bacillithiol synthesis, yojG was transcribed at a slightly elevated level (Table S2). Bacillithiol is one of the major thiols in B. subtilis and known to be involved in resistance against organic peroxide stress and disulfide stress [7,49,50]. For further investigation, we analyzed the cytosolic metabolome of  $H_2O_2$  treated *B. pumilus* cells concerning the concentration of thiol compounds. Our analysis revealed a bacillithiol level of 2.6 nmol per mg cell dry weight already under control conditions. Similar BSH concentrations have been detected in B. subtilis (0.6-2.2 nmol per mg) [7,48,51]. Ten minutes after H2O2 treatment, the cytosolic concentration of bacillithiol increased to 5 nmol per mg cell dry weight (Figure 5). The increase continued up to a concentration of about 6.2 nmol per mg cell dry weight 60 minutes after stress. Since only one bacillithiol synthesis gene (yojG, renamed bshB2 in B. subtilis) was slightly upregulated, increase of bacillithiol concentration in the cells might be regulated allosterically, for example, by an oxidation of the BSH pool leading to a relief of feedback inhibition. [52,53].

## 3.6 SOS Regulon

 $H_2O_2$  treatment leads to the formation of OH' by Fenton reaction, which exhibits a high DNA-damaging potential. Lowering the concentration of iron in the cells reduces this threat. As a result, *B. subtilis* and *B. licheniformis* cells subjected to oxidative stress caused by  $H_2O_2$ , induced the SOS regulon, regulated by the proteins RecA and LexA, responsible for repair of DNA [13,20,54,55].

The proteomic analysis displayed the induction of two proteins, excinuclease subunit UvrB and the recombinase RecA, assigned to a putative SOS regulon in *B. pumilus* following  $H_2O_2$  treatment (Table 1). The transcriptomic approach added further 13 upregulated genes belonging to this putative regulon; among them the excinuclease subunits encoding genes *uvrA* and *uvrC*. The operon *yneABynzC*, induced by  $H_2O_2$  and involved in suppression of cell division in *B. subtilis*, was also strongly induced in our study [13,56]. This might be an explanation for the formation of atypically long cells as described above. Showing an about 44-fold increased transcription rate, *yneA* belongs to the strongest induced genes observed in our study. Furthermore, the putative DNA double-strand break repair cluster *yhaONM* exhibited a significantly higher transcription rate following  $H_2O_2$  addition [57].

## 3.7 CtsR Regulon

The CtsR regulon, mediating repair and/or degradation of misfolded and damaged proteins, was induced by several oxidative stressors in *B. subtilis* and *B. licheniformis* [13,20,58]. In our study, we detected an upregulation of nine genes assigned to a putative CtsR regulon in *B. pumilus* indicating a significant impact of  $H_2O_2$  on protein quality (Table 1). The operon *ctsR-mcsAB-clpC* was transcribed with significantly higher intensity after the addition of  $H_2O_2$  as well as the genes *clpE*, *clpX* and *clpP*, encoding members of the proteolytic complex. Only ClpP was observed to be induced at the protein level. Furthermore, the DNA repair protein encoding gene *radA* and the DNA integrity scanning protein encoding gene *disA* showed higher transcription rates compared to control conditions.

## 3.8 SigB Regulon

Besides the induction of the above described putative regulons more or less directly associated to oxidative stress,  $H_2O_2$  treated cells exhibited an upregulation of 47 genes known to be under control of the general stress sigma factor SigB in *B. subtilis* (Table 1) [59,60]. A part of a putative SigB-regulon in *B. pumilus* detected to be upregulated in our study was the *sigB* gene itself with its signal cascade genes *rsbRSTUVW* and *rsbX* indicating an activation of the putative regulon via the general stress response cascade known from *B. subtilis* [61].

Another of these putative SigB-dependent genes, encoding the putative universal stress protein NhaX, showed the highest induction rate detected in this study (more than 60-fold). Further strongly upregulated genes are the regulator protein encoding gene *mgsR* and *ydaG* (general stress protein), both also detected to be induced in  $H_2O_2$  stressed *B. licheniformis* cells [20]. The upregulated genes *mgsR* and *ydaG* encode proteins with still unknown functions. Six of the upregulated putative SigB-dependent genes could be also detected to be induced in the proteomic approach. The putative general stress protein YtxH is among the strongest induced proteins (about 14-fold). The putative iron storage/DNA protecting protein Dps, providing peroxide resistance in *B. anthracis*, was induced in  $H_2O_2$  treated *B. pumilus* cells, too [62].

## 3.9 CymR Regulon

The results of our study showed an upregulation of several proteins belonging to a putative CymR regulon. In B. subtilis, it is described to be involved in regulation of the sulfur metabolism [63]. An induction of genes belonging to this regulon has been shown in cells afflicted with oxidative stress caused by paraguat, but not stress caused by  $H_2O_2$  [13]. Our proteome study showed a strong induction of three putatively CymR-regulated proteins. The adenylyl-sulfate kinase (CysC) was with an induction of about 24fold the strongest induced protein. An upregulation of the sulfate adenylyltransferase (Sat) catalyzing sulfate assimilation to 3'phospho-adenylylsulfate was also detected (Table 1). Further proteins involved in cysteine biosynthesis were not significantly upregulated. The third upregulated protein is the uroporphyrin-3 C-methyltransferase (CysG). This enzyme catalyzes a reaction in a branch in the heme pathway producing precorrin2. An induction of the enzymes that continue the pathway from precorrin2 to siroheme could not be detected.

## 3.10 Other B. pumilus Upregulated Genes/proteins

The OhrR-regulated peroxiredoxin-encoding gene *ohrA* is reported to be involved in organic peroxide resistance in *B. subtilis* [64]. Following  $H_2O_2$  treatment, there was no induction of this gene observed in *B. subtilis* and *B. licheniformis* [13,20]. In our study, we observed a strongly induced expression of this gene at transcriptional and translational level indicating an involvement of this peroxiredoxin in the  $H_2O_2$  resistance of *B. pumilus* (Table 1). Transcription of the other organic peroxide resistance peroxiredoxin (*ohrB*) as well as their regulator gene *ohrR* was also slightly induced in hydrogen peroxide treated *B. pumilus* cells.

 $H_2O_2$  treatment induced some additional regulator genes. One of them is *fadR*, encoding a regulator protein mediating fatty acid degradation in *B. subtilis* [65]. Two genes putatively controlled by FadR, *etfAB* - encoding the electron transfer flavoprotein alpha and beta subunit, were also induced (Table S2). Another regulator, AbrB1, controlling the expression of genes induced by transition from exponential to stationary growth in *B. subtilis* [66], was induced at transcriptional and translational level. Similar results, but with significantly higher induction rates in the proteomic approach, were observed for the AbrB1-regulated peroxiredoxin YkuU and thiol-disulfide oxidoreductase YkuV. Furthermore, several putative regulator genes with still unknown targets were observed to be upregulated. *Bpj13620*, *bpj17020* and *ydcI* showed the highest changes in their expression rates. Genes encoding a sensor kinase and a response regulator forming the twocomponent system YhcYZ were significantly induced directly after  $\rm H_2O_2$  treatment. Its function is also unknown.

Several genes and proteins involved in transport processes were detected to be upregulated following  $H_2O_2$  stress (Table 1, S2).  $H_2O_2$  treatment caused an upregulation of the sodium uptake system *natAB* and the *mrpABCDEFG* cluster. This operon encodes a sodium excretion system that is considered to be the major sodium excretion system in bacteria and acts in pH homeostasis and multiple resistances in *B. subtilis* [67,68].

Strikingly, transcription of the glycine betaine uptake system consisting of *opuAA-AB-AC* and *opuCA-CB-CC-CD* was observed to be significantly induced after treatment, indicating that  $H_2O_2$ impacts osmotic homeostasis in *B. pumilus* cells [69]. Furthermore, it is worth to mention that  $H_2O_2$  induced expression of a putative TRAP regulon in *B. pumilus* cells. An upregulation of the tryptophan-synthesis operon *trpABFCDE* as well as histidinolphosphate aminotransferase HisC was observed in our analysis. However, neither addition of tryptophan nor addition of glycine betaine before peroxide treatment brought forth better growth or survival of stressed *B. pumilus* cells (data not shown).

## 3.11 Downregulated Genes/proteins

As shown for many other organisms, the adaptation mechanism of B. pumilus cells to oxidative stress includes also a downregulation of vegetative cellular functions. Most of the down-regulated genes encode proteins involved in main metabolic pathways. As shown for B. subtilis and B. licheniformis, expression of the purine and pyrimidine synthesis genes was downregulated as well as genes involved in synthesis of arginine (Table S3) [13,20]. Contrary to B. subtilis and B. licheniformis, a repression of histidine synthesis genes was not observed. Instead, isoleucine and leucine synthesis genes were expressed in lower amounts following H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> treatment. This repression might due to the iron sparing response described by Gaballa et al. [70]. Repression of enzymes involved in branched chain amino acid synthesis has been found during iron starvation in B. subtilis [37]. Furthermore, we observed a reduced expression of most of the aminoacyl-tRNA-synthetases, with the exception of tryptophanyl-tRNA-synthetase trpS, which matched the upregulation of the tryptophan operon.

Strikingly, a stringent response, i.e. a downregulation of ribosomal proteins or elongation factors like *fusA*, *tsf* or *tufA*, as described for other organisms (*B. subtilis*, *B. licheniformis*, *E. coli*) could not be detected in *B. pumilus* [13,20,71].

#### Conclusion

The combination of proteomics and transcriptomics revealed a specific adaptation of B. pumilus cells caused by the oxidative stress trigger  $H_2O_2$ . Although many of the induced genes and proteins could be assigned to well-known oxidative stress regulons like PerR, CtsR and Fur, there are particular mechanisms detectable which seem to be involved in the remarkable oxidative stress resistance of *B. pumilus*. The concentration of  $H_2O_2$  that was used to trigger the stress in our study was about 40-fold higher than those used for comparable analysis of B. subtilis or B. licheniformis. Our study could enlighten several points at which the peroxide stress response of B. pumilus cells is different from its Gram-positive relatives. It is suggested that the catalase KatA is replaced by the catalase KatX2. Furthermore, our study revealed an induction of genes that are highly correlated to bacillithiol synthesis indicating an involvement of bacillithiol in the peroxide stress response of B. pumilus. Metabolome analysis demonstrated a basal level of this protective metabolite but also an increase of the cytosolic

bacillithiol concentration during peroxide stress. Furthermore, a considerable set of  $H_2O_2$  induced unique proteins with so far unknown function could be identified in this study. These proteins are worth to address in follow up studies to elucidate their specific role in the oxidative stress adaptation of this organism. Finally, since *B. pumilus* is an organism of industrial interest, understanding its oxidative stress response and defining marker genes for the analysis of fermentation processes is important to prevent possible negative influences on the process and the product quality.

## Supporting Information

Table S1Determination of minimal inhibition concentration of hydrogen peroxide.(XLSX)

Table S2Genes and proteins that are upregulated afteraddition of hydrogen peroxide.(XLSX)

## References

- Schweder T, Hecker M (2004) Monitoring of stress responses. Adv Biochem Eng Biotechnol 89: 47–71.
- Stadtman ER, Levine RL (2003) Free radical-mediated oxidation of free amino acids and amino acid residues in proteins. Amino Acids 25: 207–218.
- Farr SB, Kogoma T (1991) Oxidative stress responses in *Escherichia coli* and Salmonella typhimurium. Microbiol Rev 55: 561–585.
- Benardini JN, Sawyer J, Venkateswaran K, Nicholson WL (2003) Spore UV and acceleration resistance of endolithic *Bacillus pumilus* and *Bacillus subtilis* isolates obtained from Sonoran desert basalt: implications for lithopanspermia. Astrobiology 3: 709–717.
- Kempf MJ, Chen F, Kern R, Venkateswaran K (2005) Recurrent isolation of hydrogen peroxide-resistant spores of *Bacillus pumilus* from a spacecraft assembly facility. Astrobiology 5: 391–405.
- Imlay JA, Fridovich I (1991) Assay of metabolic superoxide production in Escherichia coli. J Biol Chem 266: 6957–6965.
- Newton GL, Rawat M, La Clair JJ, Jothivasan VK, Budiarto T, et al. (2009) Bacillithiol is an antioxidant thiol produced in Bacilli. Nat Chem Biol 5: 625– 627.
- Blokhina O, Virolainen E, Fagerstedt KV (2003) Antioxidants, oxidative damage and oxygen deprivation stress: a review. Ann Bot 91 Spec No: 179–194.
- Imlay JA (2003) Pathways of oxidative damage. Annu Rev Microbiol 57: 395– 418.
- Aruoma OI, Halliwell B, Gajewski E, Dizdaroglu M (1991) Copper-iondependent damage to the bases in DNA in the presence of hydrogen peroxide. Biochem J 273 (Pt 3): 601–604.
- Zuber P (2009) Management of oxidative stress in *Bacillus*. Annu Rev Microbiol 63: 575–597.
- Imlay JA (2008) Cellular defenses against superoxide and hydrogen peroxide. Annu Rev Biochem 77: 755–776.
- Mostertz J, Scharf C, Hecker M, Homuth G (2004) Transcriptome and proteome analysis of *Bacillus subtilis* gene expression in response to superoxide and peroxide stress. Microbiology 150: 497–512.
- Hoi le T, Voigt B, Jürgen B, Ehrenreich A, Gottschalk G, et al. (2006) The phosphate-starvation response of *Bacillus licheniformis*. Proteomics 6: 3582–3601.
- Büttner K, Bernhardt J, Scharf C, Schmid R, Mäder U, et al. (2001) A comprehensive two-dimensional map of cytosolic proteins of *Bacillus subtilis*. Electrophoresis 22: 2908–2935.
- Voigt B, Schweder T, Becher D, Ehrenreich A, Gottschalk G, et al. (2004) A proteomic view of cell physiology of *Bacillus licheniformis*. Proteomics 4: 1465– 1490.
- Wolf C, Hochgräfe F, Kusch H, Albrecht D, Hecker M, et al. (2008) Proteomic analysis of antioxidant strategies of *Staphylococcus aureus*: diverse responses to different oxidants. Proteomics 8: 3139–3153.
- Völker U, Engelmann S, Maul B, Riethdorf S, Völker A, et al. (1994) Analysis of the induction of general stress proteins of *Bacillus subtilis*. Microbiology 140 (Pt 4): 741–752.
- Homuth G, Masuda S, Mogk A, Kobayashi Y, Schumann W (1997) The dnaK operon of *Bacillus subtilis* is heptacistronic. J Bacteriol 179: 1153–1164.
- Schroeter R, Voigt B, Jürgen B, Methling K, Pöther DC, et al. (2011) The peroxide stress response of *Bacillus lichenjformis*. Proteomics 11: 2851–2866.
- Delcher AL, Harmon D, Kasif S, White O, Salzberg SL (1999) Improved microbial gene identification with GLIMMER. Nucleic Acids Res 27: 4636– 4641.
- Guo FB, Zhang CT (2006) ZCURVE\_V: a new self-training system for recognizing protein-coding genes in viral and phage genomes. BMC Bioinformatics 7: 9.

Table S3 Genes and proteins that are downregulated after addition of hydrogen peroxide.

Table S4Individual signal on the array for five probesfor selected genes.

(XLSX)

#### Acknowledgments

We thank Annette Meuche for excellent technical assistance and Chris Hamilton (University of East Anglia) for providing the bacillithiol standard.

## **Author Contributions**

Conceived and designed the experiments: SH R. Schroeter R. Schlüter JB KHM ML TS MH BV. Performed the experiments: SH R. Schroeter KM R. Schlüter DA. Analyzed the data: SH R. Schroeter BJ SvH KM. Wrote the paper: SH R. Schroeter KM R. Schlüter BV TS MH.

- Borodovsky M MR, Besemer J., Lomsadze A. (2003) Current Protocols in Bioinformatics.
- Hyatt D, Chen GL, Locascio PF, Land ML, Larimer FW, et al. (2010) Prodigal: prokaryotic gene recognition and translation initiation site identification. BMC Bioinformatics 11: 119.
- Wernersson R, Juncker AS, Nielsen HB (2007) Probe selection for DNA microarrays using OligoWiz. Nat Protoc 2: 2677–2691.
- van Hijum SA, de Jong A, Baerends RJ, Karsens HA, Kramer NE, et al. (2005) A generally applicable validation scheme for the assessment of factors involved in reproducibility and quality of DNA-microarray data. BMC Genomics 6: 77.
- Baldi P, Long AD (2001) A Bayesian framework for the analysis of microarray expression data: regularized t -test and statistical inferences of gene changes. Bioinformatics 17: 509–519.
- Pöther DC, Liebeke M, Hochgräfe F, Antelmann H, Becher D, et al. (2009) Diamide triggers mainly S Thiolations in the cytoplasmic proteomes of *Bacillus* subtilis and Staphylococcus aureus. J Bacteriol 191: 7520–7530.
- Sharma SV, Jothivasan VK, Newton GL, Upton H, Wakabayashi JI, et al. (2011) Chemical and Chemoenzymatic syntheses of bacillithiol: a unique lowmolecular-weight thiol amongst low G+C Gram-positive bacteria. Angew Chem Int Ed Engl 50: 7101–7104.
- Pöther DC, Gierok P, Harms M, Mostertz J, Hochgräfe F, et al. (2013) Distribution and infection-related functions of bacillithiol in *Staphylococcus aureus*. Int J Med Microbiol 303: 114–123.
- Münch R, Hiller K, Barg H, Heldt D, Linz S, et al. (2003) PRODORIC: prokaryotic database of gene regulation. Nucleic Acids Res 31: 266–269.
- Fuangthong M, Herbig AF, Bsat N, Helmann JD (2002) Regulation of the Bacillus subtilis fur and perR genes by PerR: not all members of the PerR regulon are peroxide inducible. J Bacteriol 184: 3276–3286.
- Lee JW, Helmann JD (2006) The PerR transcription factor senses H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> by metal-catalysed histidine oxidation. Nature 440: 363–367.
- Gioia J, Yerrapragada S, Qin X, Jiang H, Igboeli OC, et al. (2007) Paradoxical DNA repair and peroxide resistance gene conservation in *Bacillus pumilus* SAFR-032. PLoS One 2: e928.
- Bagyan I, Casillas-Martinez L, Setlow P (1998) The katX gene, which codes for the catalase in spores of *Bacillus subtilis*, is a forespore-specific gene controlled by sigmaF, and KatX is essential for hydrogen peroxide resistance of the germinating spore. J Bacteriol 180: 2057–2062.
- Petersohn A, Engelmann S, Setlow P, Hecker M (1999) The katX gene of Bacillus subtilis is under dual control of sigmaB and sigmaF. Mol Gen Genet 262: 173–179.
- Baichoo N, Wang T, Ye R, Helmann JD (2002) Global analysis of the *Bacillus subtilis* Fur regulon and the iron starvation stimulon. Mol Microbiol 45: 1613–1629.
- Varghese S, Wu A, Park S, Imlay KR, Imlay JA (2007) Submicromolar hydrogen peroxide disrupts the ability of Fur protein to control free-iron levels in *Escherichia coli*. Mol Microbiol 64: 822–830.
- Kunst F, Ogasawara N, Moszer I, Albertini AM, Alloni G, et al. (1997) The complete genome sequence of the gram-positive bacterium *Bacillus subtilis*. Nature 390: 249–256.
- Gaballa A, Helmann JD (2007) Substrate induction of siderophore transport in Bacillus subtilis mediated by a novel one-component regulator. Mol Microbiol 66: 164–173.
- Choi SY, Reyes D, Leelakriangsak M, Zuber P (2006) The global regulator Spx functions in the control of organosulfur metabolism in *Bacillus subtilis*. J Bacteriol 188: 5741–5751.

- Nakano S, Küster-Schöck E, Grossman AD, Zuber P (2003) Spx-dependent global transcriptional control is induced by thiol-specific oxidative stress in *Bacillus subtilis*. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 100: 13603–13608.
- Spector A, Yan GZ, Huang RR, McDermott MJ, Gascoyne PR, et al. (1988) The effect of H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> upon thioredoxin-enriched lens epithelial cells. J Biol Chem 263: 4984–4990.
- Chae HZ, Chung SJ, Rhee SG (1994) Thioredoxin-dependent peroxide reductase from yeast. J Biol Chem 269: 27670–27678.
- Fernando MR, Nanri H, Yoshitake S, Nagata-Kuno K, Minakami S (1992) Thioredoxin regenerates proteins inactivated by oxidative stress in endothelial cells. Eur J Biochem 209: 917–922.
- Nakano S, Erwin KN, Ralle M, Zuber P (2005) Redox-sensitive transcriptional control by a thiol/disulphide switch in the global regulator, Spx. Mol Microbiol 55: 498–510.
- Veith B, Herzberg C, Steckel S, Feesche J, Maurer KH, et al. (2004) The complete genome sequence of *Bacillus licheniformis* DSM13, an organism with great industrial potential. J Mol Microbiol Biotechnol 7: 204–211.
- Gaballa A, Newton GL, Antelmann H, Parsonage D, Upton H, et al. (2010) Biosynthesis and functions of bacillithiol, a major low-molecular-weight thiol in Bacilli. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 107: 6482–6486.
- Lee JW, Soonsanga S, Helmann JD (2007) A complex thiolate switch regulates the *Bacillus subtilis* organic peroxide sensor OhrR. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 104: 8743–8748.
- Chi BK, Gronau K, Mäder U, Hessling B, Becher D, et al. (2011) Sbacillithiolation protects against hypochlorite stress in *Bacillus subtilis* as revealed by transcriptomics and redox proteomics. Mol Cell Proteomics 10: M111 009506.
- Chi BK, Roberts AA, Huyen TT, Bäsell K, Becher D, et al. (2013) Sbacillithiolation protects conserved and essential proteins against hypochlorite stress in *firmicutes* bacteria. Antioxid Redox Signal 18: 1273–1295.
- Upton H, Newton GL, Gushiken M, Lo K, Holden D, et al. (2012) Characterization of BshA, bacillithiol glycosyltransferase from *Staphylococcus* aureus and Bacillus subtilis. FEBS Lett 586: 1004–1008.
- Gaballa A, Antelmann H, Hamilton CJ, Helmann JD (2013) Regulation of Bacillus subtilis bacillithiol biosynthesis operons by Spx. Microbiology 159: 2025– 2035.
- Miller MC, Resnick JB, Smith BT, Lovett CM, Jr. (1996) The Bacillus subtilis dinR gene codes for the analogue of Escherichia coli LexA. Purification and characterization of the DinR protein. J Biol Chem 271: 33502–33508.
- Love PE, Lyle MJ, Yasbin RE (1985) DNA-damage-inducible (din) loci are transcriptionally activated in competent *Bacillus subtilis*. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 82: 6201–6205.
- Kawai Y, Moriya S, Ogasawara N (2003) Identification of a protein, YncA, responsible for cell division suppression during the SOS response in *Bacillus* subtilis. Mol Microbiol 47: 1113–1122.

- Bacillus pumilus Resistance to Hydrogen Peroxide
- Krishnamurthy M, Tadesse S, Rothmaier K, Graumann PL (2010) A novel SMC-like protein, SbcE (YhaN), is involved in DNA double-strand break repair and competence in *Bacillus subtilis*. Nucleic Acids Res 38: 455–466.
- Leichert LI, Scharf C, Hecker M (2003) Global characterization of disulfide stress in *Bacillus subtilis*. J Bacteriol 185: 1967–1975.
- Petersohn A, Brigulla M, Haas S, Hoheisel JD, Völker U, et al. (2001) Global analysis of the general stress response of *Bacillus subtilis*. J Bacteriol 183: 5617– 5631.
- Hecker M, Reder A, Fuchs S, Pagels M, Engelmann S (2009) Physiological proteomics and stress/starvation responses in *Bacillus subtilis* and *Staphylococcus* aureus. Res Microbiol 160: 245–258.
- Hecker M, Völker U (2001) General stress response of *Bacillus subtilis* and other bacteria. Adv Microb Physiol 44: 35–91.
- Tu WY, Pohl S, Gizynski K, Harwood CR (2012) The iron-binding protein Dps2 confers peroxide stress resistance on *Bacillus anthracis*. J Bacteriol 194: 925– 931.
- Even S, Burguiere P, Auger S, Soutourina O, Danchin A, et al. (2006) Global control of cysteine metabolism by CymR in *Bacillus subtilis*. J Bacteriol 188: 2184–2197.
- Fuangthong M, Atichartpongkul S, Mongkolsuk S, Helmann JD (2001) OhrR is a repressor of *ohrA*, a key organic hydroperoxide resistance determinant in *Bacillus subtilis*. J Bacteriol 183: 4134–4141.
- Matsuoka H, Hirooka K, Fujita Y (2007) Organization and function of the YsiA regulon of *Bacillus subtilis* involved in fatty acid degradation. J Biol Chem 282: 5180–5194.
- 66. Perego M, Spiegelman GB, Hoch JA (1988) Structure of the gene for the transition state regulator, *abrB*: regulator synthesis is controlled by the *spo0A* sporulation gene in *Bacillus subtilis*. Mol Microbiol 2: 689–699.
- Ito M, Guffanti AA, Oudega B, Krulwich TA (1999) *mp*, a multigene, multifunctional locus in *Bacillus subtilis* with roles in resistance to cholate and to Na+ and in pH homeostasis. J Bacteriol 181: 2394–2402.
- Kajiyama Y, Otagiri M, Sekiguchi J, Kosono S, Kudo T (2007) Complex formation by the *mtpABCDEFG* gene products, which constitute a principal Na+/H+ antiporter in *Bacillus subtilis*. J Bacteriol 189: 7511–7514.
- Kempf B, Bremer E (1995) OpuA, an osmotically regulated binding proteindependent transport system for the osmoprotectant glycine betaine in *Bacillus* subtilis. J Biol Chem 270: 16701–16713.
- Gaballa A, Antelmann H, Aguilar C, Khakh SK, Song KB, et al. (2008) The Bacillus subtilis iron-sparing response is mediated by a Fur-regulated small RNA and three small, basic proteins. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 105: 11927–11932.
- VanBogelen RA, Kelley PM, Neidhardt FC (1987) Differential induction of heat shock, SOS, and oxidation stress regulons and accumulation of nucleotides in *Escherichia coli*. J Bacteriol 169: 26–32.