

# **Thomas Hardy and Irish Poetry**

Brearton, F. (2014). Thomas Hardy and Irish Poetry. The Yellow Nib, 9(Spring 2014), 40-58.

### Published in:

The Yellow Nib

### **Document Version:**

Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

## Queen's University Belfast - Research Portal:

Link to publication record in Queen's University Belfast Research Portal

### **General rights**

Copyright for the publications made accessible via the Queen's University Belfast Research Portal is retained by the author(s) and / or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing these publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

Take down policy
The Research Portal is Queen's institutional repository that provides access to Queen's research output. Every effort has been made to ensure that content in the Research Portal does not infringe any person's rights, or applicable UK laws. If you discover content in the Research Portal that you believe breaches copyright or violates any law, please contact openaccess@qub.ac.uk.

# | Fran Breakton on Thomas Hardy and Irish Poetry |

FRAN BREARTON

THOMAS HARDY AND IRISH POETRY

Where I mean to be
For all that, this New Year's Eve
Is Hardy country,
Lychgate and hoarfrost country,
In search of a darkling thrush.
- Seamus Heaney, 'Linked Verses'

:

In his 1929 war memoir *Goodbye to All That*, Robert Graves offers a pen-portrait of Thomas Hardy, based on a visit he made, with his wife Nancy Nicholson, to Hardy's Dorchester home, Max Gate, in the summer of 1920. The sketch is affectionately drawn, but Graves is not above serving his own ends too:

said that he lived such a quiet life in Dorchester that he feared welcomed us as representatives of the post-war generation. He poem was in its sixth draft and would probably be finished in two Terror. [...] He asked whether I wrote easily, and I said that this and whether he could trust the Morning Post's account of the Red know whether we had any sympathy with the Bolshevik regime, (His taste in literature was certainly most unexpected. Once when that he had none at all, for he did not come of literary stock. [... its freshness.' [...] He talked of early literary influences, and said three, or perhaps four, drafts for a poem. I am afraid of it losing more. 'Why!', he said, 'I have never in my life taken more than he was altogether behind the times. He wanted, for instance, to I wrote out a record of the conversation we had with him. He Why, it's in the Marmion class!...) [...] In his opinion vers libra Lawrence had ventured to say something disparaging against Homer's Iliad, he protested: 'Oh, but I admire the Iliad greatly

old themes in the new styles, but try to do a little better than those who went before us.'2

The sting is in the parenthetical tail of this passage, which incidentally

could come to nothing in England. 'All we can do is to write on the

uncomprehending older generation, who still read the newspapers survivor, attuned to the modern political zeitgeist, set against the at its worst. Also implicit here is the knowingness of the Great War and classical public school/Oxbridge snobbery towards what is 'other marks the end of their friendship) when he writes 'I wish you'd broken arrogance: 'I admired Hardy as a good, consistent, truthful man; I do I was there when it happened'. Graves responded with characteristic in your book is misleading, because it shows his simplicity without about you and too little about [Hardy's] greatness. The picture of him fought so bitterly in the aftermath of the publication of Goodbye to Ali representation of Hardy here is one of the reasons Sassoon and Graves more benign humour at work, but it is still mockery for all that. The to ironic scrutiny: Hardy's question about the Morning Post shows a All That with some hostility, and newspaper reportage is subjected with some degree of trust. That generation is treated in Goodbye to is patronising towards Walter Scott as well as Hardy: this is classic Sassoon's last letter on the subject to Graves (a letter which effectively themselves inferior'. One might have much sympathy therefore with not believe in great men. I treat everyone as an equal unless they prove his impressiveness. Also you have got the Marmion anecdote wrong that you were his equal.'3 your rule, for once, and regarded T.H. as your superior until you found That. Sassoon complained to Graves in 1930 that 'There was too much

It's easy to dismiss this as mere squabbling, a kind of squabbling that Hardy's writing and reputation transcend. But there is a thread here pulled by other writers and critics in ways which have affected – and continue to affect – understanding of Hardy's profile and influence, both in the English tradition, and in the critically more neglected archipelagic context, notably in Ireland. As Donald Davie

<sup>1</sup> Published in the *Irish Times*, 30 December 2000. The poem was later shortened and rewritten to become 'Midnight Anvil' in *District and Circle* (2006).

<sup>2</sup> Robert Graves, Good-bye to All That (London: Jonathan Cape, 1929), 374-5, 376, 378-9, 3 SS to RG, 7 Feb 1920; RG to SS, 20 Feb. 1920; SS to RG, 2 Mar. 1920. In Broken Images: Selected Letters of Robert Graves 1914-1946, ed. Paul O'Prey (London: Hutchinson, 1982), 198, 201, 204.

once observed, 'affection' for Hardy the poet is often 'ruinously shot through with protectiveness, even condescension. Hardy is not thought of as an intellectual force.' Graves's pen-portrait of Hardy, the very fact of his recording the conversation, might be interpreted as literary adulation, but it reads rather more as anthropological curiosity – Hardy as the strange unworldly creature sprung illiterate and Antaeus-like from the soil. 'Good', 'consistent', 'truthful' are admirable qualities: but one might as well add 'mediocre', 'uncritical' (in the pejorative sense of not knowing 'good' literature from 'bad'), naïve, and have done.

Three years later, in his influential study *New Bearings in English Poetry* (1932), F.R. Leavis draws on Graves's memoir to reinforce his own judgement on Hardy:

a gaucheric compounded of the literary, the colloquial, the baldly superb autobiography, Goodbye to All That, 'vers libre could come conservatism. 'In his opinion', reports Mr Robert Graves in his up by younger poets, and developed in the solution of their own song, will do', as he says. And, often to the lilt of popular airs, with is too commonly the mere impulse to write verse: 'Any little old to nothing in England...' [...] The main impulse behind his verse problems. His originality was not of the kind that goes with a he knew what he felt and, in his best poems, communicated it Hardy is a naïve poet of simple attitudes and outlook. [...] He was and the cruel accident of sentience. [...] That the setting, explicit or high degree of critical awareness: it went, indeed, with a naïve perfectly. But there was little in his technique that could be taken betrayed into no heroic postures. He felt deeply and consistently was a countryman, and his brooding mind stayed itself habitually prosaic, the conventionally poetical, the pedantic, and the rustic ritual of rustic life. upon the simple pieties, the quiet rhythms, and the immemorial implied, is generally rural is a point of critical significance. Hardy little ironies', and his meditations upon a deterministic universe he industriously turns out his despondent anecdotes, his 'life's

It is very largely in terms of the absence of these, or of any

4 Donald Davie, Thumus Hardy and British Poetry (London: Routledge & Kegan Paul 1973), 5.

equivalent, that the environment of the modern poet must be

described.<sup>5</sup>

New Bearings famously advocates Eliot's aesthetic in opposition to what Leavis sees as the defunct modes of Hardy, or of Georgian verse: Hopkins is rescued from the nineteenth century, and 'felt to be a contemporary', but the real drive of the book is to argue that Eliot's is the 'strong originality' that 'triumph[s] over traditional habits', that is work by 1920 English poetry had made a new start'. Leavis 'in his work by 1920 English poetry had made a new start' Leavis also comes to this bold conclusion: 'It does not seem likely that it will ever again be possible for a distinguished mind to be formed...on the rhythms, sanctioned by nature and time, of rural culture.'

also 'glimpse the hegemonic advance of T.S. Eliot's critical dicta'.9 poetry, Eliot has out-manoeuvred Yeats', and in New Bearings we can too. In After Strange Gods, Eliot berates Hardy for his lack of either As Edna Longley observes, 'in Leavis's version of emergent modern seems to me', Eliot goes on, 'to have written as nearly for the sake of Eliot's consistent negativity towards Hardy is of relevance here carelessly'. \*\* Hardy's novels have 'a note of falsity', stemming from his writing: he sometimes wrote overpoweringly well, but always very communication. He was indifferent even to the prescripts of good does not strike me as a particularly wholcsome or edifying matter of "self-expression" as a man well can; and the self which he had to express 'institutional attachment' (the Church) or 'objective beliefs'. 'He editorial coinciding with Yeats's 70th birthday, Eliot observes that reader'." As poet, he fares little better at Eliot's hands. In a *Criterion* 'deliberately relieving some emotion of his own at the expense of the upon Irish poetry it seems to me to have been disastrous. And...this is Yeats's 'influence upon English poetry has been great and beneficial: just what you should expect. For a great English poet to have a great

5 F.R. Leavis, New Bearings in English Pactry (1932; Landon: Penguin, 1963), 47-8, 49-

50.

6 Ibid. 142.

7 Ibid. 62, 70

8 Ibid. 71-2.

9 Edna Longley, Yeats and Modern Poetry (New York: Cambridge UP, 2013), 149.

10 T.S. Eliot, After Strange Gods: A Primer of Modern Heresy (London: Faber, 1934), 54.

11 Ibid. 56.

influence in England, he must be considerably removed in time: for a literature can be fertilised by its own earlier periods as well as by contemporaries from outside'. If this already negates any possible Hardy-influence on English poetry, the point is then made explicit in the following comparison: 'Of the absolute greatness of any writer, men living in the same period can make only a crude guess. But it should be apparent at least that Mr. Yeats has been and is the greatest poet of his time. Thomas Hardy, who for a few years had all the cry, appears now, what he always was, a minor poet."

II.

similarly, Eliot's views on Yeats and English poetry leave open, if are more questionable in the Irish tradition - of which more anon: to say that Larkin, between his first and second collections, The North perhaps entirely in the way he intended. It is a critical commonplace has also conditioned critical perceptions of his precursor - and not elegy for Yeats, '[becomes] his admirers', then Hardy's admirer Larkin reputation. If after death, the poet, as Auden famously said in his the 1950s - a backlash which itself has a knock-on effect on Hardy's extreme to help prompt the anti-modernist backlash in England of affect, adversely, Hardy's critical standing. They were also sufficiently habitual hostility towards Hardy's work were sufficiently influential to publication of New Bearings, both Leavis's arguments, and Eliot's on Irish poetry.) Yet at the time, and in the decades following the inadvertently, the reverse possibility - Hardy's beneficial influence (His conclusions relating to poetry and rural culture, for instance, Bottrall over W.H. Auden - though like all canon-makers he tried Leavis couldn't predict the future - witness his investment in Ronald of the 1933 plum-coloured Macmillan edition.... When reaction came better talent. [...] Every night after supper before opening my large music...[I]t is a particularly potent music...and has ruined many a personality or understood his ideas but out of infatuation with his 1965, 'three years trying to write like Yeats, not because I liked his by exchanging Yeats's influence for Hardy's. 'I spent', he writes in Ship in 1945 and The Less Deceived in 1955, 'found' his own voice dark green manuscript book I used to limber up by turning the page:

12 T.S. Eliot, 'Editorial', The Criterion vol. XIV no. LVII (July 1935), 612.

[through reading Hardy's poems], it was undramatic, complete and permanent." Hardy's distance from a metropolitan 'centre' appeals to a poet who writes of his own 'need to be on the periphery of things'. What he also learns from Hardy is, he says in 1982, 'not to be afraid of the obvious'."

makes of them a case for a rather different 'bearing' in English poetry. poems to other poems or poets..." Leavis on the other hand, even belief in "tradition" or a common myth-kitty or casual allusions in must be its own sole freshly created universe, and therefore have no notorious) statement: 'As a guiding principle I believe that every poem Asked for his views on poetry in 1955, he produced the following (now Larkin takes some of the terms by which Leavis critiques Hardy, and the great advocate of the great tradition; and allusiveness is at the did not believe the poem was its own self-contained universe; he is misleadingly, to spurn what is 'foreign' - on national grounds too, Yeats and Joyce) is also a dismissal of a Yeatsian 'anima mundi'. that myth-kitty' (contra Eliot's endorsement of the 'mythical method' in heart of Eliot's 1920s enterprise. Dismissal here of the 'common if sometimes and misleadingly associated with the New Criticism, centre in Dorchester or Hull really doesn't matter, as long as it's not gazing at a microcosmic England: whether that 'England' finds its against the Irish and American ('international') voices of Yeats, Joyce, read as conscripted by Larkin – the Larkin who professed, however 'storehouse' of symbols, or of Yeats's later 'Vision'. Hardy may be Berlin, Dublin, Paris - or even London. Eliot and Pound. What is 'other' is rejected in the interests of navel-

So Larkin 'rescues' Hardy from Eliot and Leavis for a new generation. But he does so in oppositional terms that don't accurately reflect Hardy's relation to poets such as Yeats, or indeed reflect the complex play of influences in Larkin's own aesthetic. It is as much a critical commonplace now to point out that Yeats's influence persists in Larkin's work. Hardy and Yeats, rather than one displacing the other,

<sup>13</sup> Philip Larkin, Required Writing: Miscellaneous Pieces 1955-1982 (London: Faber,

<sup>14</sup> Ibid. 55, 67.

<sup>15</sup> Ibid. 79.

consequence that: contingency, a world of specific places at specific times', 16 with the of locking any poet whom he influences into the world of historical notably in the case of Irish, Scottish and Welsh poets 'who do not care not Yeats, still less Eliot or Pound, not Lawrence, but Hardy'. It is an on the whole, also how Donald Davie reads the situation in the early found so habitually in his critical writings from the 1950s to the early not how Larkin chose to view the matter in the Hardy affirmations onto whom he projects different aspects of a divided self. But this is represent twin poles of Larkin's aesthetic, complementary figures Austin Clarke and others, he also argues that Hardy 'has the effect while Davie, by contrast, rightly points towards Hardy's influence on to be indebted to such an intransigently English poet as Hardy'. Yet influence, he concedes, that not all poets are prepared to acknowledge, American) the most far-reaching influence, for good and ill, has been powerful thesis that 'in British poetry of the last fifty years (as not in 1970s. In Thomas Hardy and British Poetry (1973) Davie sets out the 1980s, and the existence of this kind of Yeats-Hardy opposition is,

the achievement of it. But for any poet who finds himself in the in both Hardy and Yeats, if only because so much of the finest eternal. It ought to be possible for any reader to admire and delight visionary, mythological, and (in some sense or to some degree) by seeing it as cyclical, so as to leap above it into a realm that is unrolling of recorded time. This is at once Hardy's strength and his poets to mistrust, the claims of poetry to transcend the linear Hardy appears to have mistrusted, and certainly leads other be fudged; there is no room for compromise. position of choosing between these two masters, the choice cannot Yeats is concerned with the effort of transcendence rather than Yeats, who exerts himself repeatedly to transcend historical time limitation; and it sets him irreconcilably at odds with for instance

not encouraging, even if he did, along with 42 other poets, contribute dining with Henry Newbolt at Max Gate and presenting Hardy with a As for Yeats himself on the subject of Hardy - whom he met in 1912. Royal Society of Literature gold medal – his occasional comments are

and observed: 'I feel...that there is something wrong about praising read Lionel Johnson's The Art of Thomas Hardy in 1894 (a study of a handwritten poem in 1919 to mark Hardy's 79th birthday." Yeats and modernism. In Yeats's introduction to the 1936 Oxford Book of argument that Irish poetry 'moves in a different direction and belongs and associate those trends with England rather than Ireland. Yeats's when he wished to identify the trends and failings of modern poetry written instead of Dante or Milton'. 19 As Louis MacNeice notes, when Hardy in a style so much better than his own. I wish [Lionel] had the fiction; Hardy's first volume of poems did not appear until 1898) achievement compares unfavourably to Synge's: brief mention of Hardy is a less than ringing endorsement, and his and women that get out of bed or into it from mere habit'), the to Yeats, 'produced his great effect...because he has described men Modern Verse, if Hardy does come off better than Eliot (who, according to a different story'21 is a necessary distancing of himself from Eliot Housman when it suited him<sup>20</sup> - more particularly, one might add, it comes to the poetry, Yeats 'conveniently' forgot about Hardy and

is worthy of its fame, but a mile further and all had been marsh. simplicity. In England came like temptations. The Shropshire Lad and again, as upon my own early work, a facile charm, a too soft all that was prepense and artificial, has forced upon...writers now In Ireland, [there] still lives almost undisturbed the last folk made the necessary correction through his mastery of the tradition of western Europe...but the reaction from rhetoric, from to Irish verse with his harsh disillusionment...22 impersonal objective scene. John Synge brought back masculinity Thomas Hardy, though his work lacked technical accomplishment,

<sup>16</sup> Davie, Thomas Hardy and British Poetry, 3-4. 17 lbid. 4.

<sup>18</sup> See Ralph Pite, Thomas Hardy: The Guarded Life (London: Picador, 2006), 441.

Wade (London: Rupert Hart-Davis, 1954), 235 19 W.B. Yeats to Olivia Shakespear, 6 August 1894, The Letters of W.B. Yeats, ed. Allan

<sup>20</sup> Louis MacNeice, The Poetry of W.B. Yeats (1941; London: Faher, 1962), 87.

<sup>21</sup> W.B. Yeats, 'Modern Poetry: A Broadcast', Essays and Introductions (Dublin: Gill and Macmillan, 1961), 506-7.

<sup>22</sup> W.B. Yeats, 'Introduction', The Oxford Book of Modern Verse (Oxford: Clarendon Press, 1936), p.xiii., xxi

# [ Fhan Brearton on Thomas Hardy and Irish Poetry ]

III.

If all this might seem to reinforce Davie's argument for irreconcilable differences between Yeats and Hardy, Davie's contemporary, Denis Donoghue, has painted a different picture (Davie and Donoghue were based, respectively, at TCD and UCD in the 1950s). Contributing to 'A Yeats Symposium' for the *Guardian* in 1989, marking the fiftieth anniversary of Yeats's death, Donoghue observes that:

Increasingly, it seems unsatisfactory to think of Yeats in relation to Modernism; or, to be precise, in close association with Pound and Eliot. [...] Heleased from these affiliations, Yeats now seems a major poet within the large context of post-romantic poetry; he is closer to Hardy and Stevens than to Eliot, Pound, Joyce, or Wyndham Lewis. [...] He seems to be a poet comparable to Hardy for accomplishment and scale; like Hardy a great poet of love and death and the other perennial themes.<sup>23</sup>

Donoghue's phrasing is ('seems') tentative, but to associate Yeats most closely, not with international modernism, but with a poet once seen as the quintessence of a provincial Englishness, marks a scachange. And that sea-change probably owes something to the work of Irish poets who, from the 1970s-1980s onwards, have asserted Hardy's relevance to modern Irish poetry.

In that context, we might recall the review by A.N. Wilson in the *Spectator* in 1982 of Motion and Morrison's *The Penguin Book of Contemporary British Poetry*:

Yeats, Hugh MacDiarmid and Dylan Thomas all wrote English poetry. British poetry sounds about as appetising as Traveller's Fare on British Rail. This *British* business was started by the BBC when they began to flood the air with programmes and voices from Northern Ireland. [...] Seamus Heaney is...described solemnly as 'the most important new poet of the last 15 years, and the one we very deliberately put first in our anthology'. 'Important' is the giveraway word here. No one can seriously pretend that Heaney is a particularly good or interesting poet. He certainly is not in the same class as Yeats, with whom he has been compared. He is not half as good as Geoffrey Hill or Ted Hughes. Yet for some reason he was

taken up by the Sunday-newspaper dons...since when his quietly minor accomplishments have been smothered in self-importance, his own and that of his admirers. If Heaney is 'major', what word do you use to describe Wordsworth? At his best, Heaney writes sub-Paterian prose-poems, with the rural life of Ulster as his theme. But...Heaney has nothing whatever to say.

A.N. Wilson on Heaney in 1982, in one of the worst instances of getting it wrong, is rather reminiscent, in its essentials, of F.R. Leavis on Hardy in 1932 (although Wilson's deliberately provocative mudslinging here is a far cry from Leavis's considered scholarship). Both Hardy and Heaney are minor poets of minor accomplishments, with rural life as a theme ('provincial' isn't said, but it's there), meaning in effect, they have 'nothing' to say to today's world. It strikes some chords too with Eliot's observation that Hardy had 'all the cry', that his reputation had been over-inflated.

When Leavis observed that there was 'little in [Hardy's] technique that could be taken up by younger poets and developed in the solution of their own problems' he may have had a partial point, in as much as it is Hardy's subject-matter and aesthetic positioning more than his technique that influence the Irish poetic tradition. Yet what Leavis could not foresee was the emergence of a cultural context in Northern Ireland that posed particular problems for poets – the violent collision of tradition and modernity; the elegist's need to speak out and yet the guilt in doing so; the redefinition of the supposed periphery as an aesthetic (and in Northern Ireland political) centre; the need to reinvent and yet retain traditional forms – in the addressing of which Hardy could serve as exemplar. Nor could Leavis foresee that it would once more be possible once again for a reputation and a mind to be formed 'on the rhythms...of rural culture'.

The terms by which Leavis dismisses Hardy as a negligible influence – a 'countryman' writing about 'rustic life' with a supposedly 'naïve' formal conservatism and an 'outsider' status – are the ones which now seem to confirm his importance. (Not least, the ecocritical debates of recent years serve to reorient thematic priorities.) The rural, the local, the manipulation of traditional rhythms – these are all the things

18

24 A.N.Wilson, 'A Bloodless Miss', Spectator, 27 November 1982, 28-9

<sup>23 &#</sup>x27;A Yeats Symposium', Guardian, 27 Jan. 1989, 25-6.

a too-easy association of experimental form with anti-hierarchical

for their adherence to traditional forms and yet have always rejected eaten before'. 29 Like Hardy, Northern Irish poets have come under fire

role in the aesthetic development of Heaney, Longley, or Paulin. politics. And, not least, Hardy as the poet of place plays an important

of melancholic mourning'.25 Where Yeats links his mourning work is as elegist. As Jahan Ramazani argues, in his study of modern elegy area where Hardy helps to redefine a genre for his inheritors, which that now look rather dated, not Hardy. And Leavis also overlooks the and behind it is Hardy's Poems of 1912-13. (One of the poems A.N. Great War protest-elegy offers one model for Northern Irish poets; tradition and secularity, have brought elegy into particular focus. The contested memory and space, with its tensions between religious of the Northern Irish experience over the last four decades, a site of poetry between the elegiac and the anti-elegiac'.27 The intensities transitional figure' who 'presages the tension in much 20th century elegies anticipate those of Yeats, Eliot and Pound, that he is a 'key if not by his forms. Ramazani argues convincingly that Hardy's for a contemporary generation, repelled by Yeats's autocratic politics threatened rural outlook'25; in that sense he is an important influence to 'a disappearing aristocratic vision', Hardy 'associates his...with a normative grief to the more intense self-criticisms and vexations to shift its psychic bases from the rationalizing consolations of from Hardy to Heaney, Hardy 'reinvigorates the elegy by helping metropolitan' stance and his association of vers libre with originality have been, ironically, one of the measures of greatness. It's Leavis's that give Heaney the 'international' purchase which for Leavis would dialogue with Yeats, more particularly with Yeats's 'The Fisherman'; Wilson derides - Heaney's 'Casualty' - is in an obvious rhythmical but its speaker's guilt in the mourning process also owes something to Hardy, as do its rhythms of rural life.)

in matters of form': he 'adheres to the metered line but roughs up Synge's expressed need for verse to be 'brutal', or later of Heaney's but fashions many jarring locutions'.28 There are echoes here of J.M. prosodic and syntactic polish; he appropriates Romantic diction

Radical in terms of genre, Hardy is also 'both conservative and radical

desire to 'take the English lyric and make it eat stuff that it has never

 $contemporary\ Irish\ poetry\ scene\ (a\ notable\ exception\ is\ Tara\ Christie's$ true of the poets themselves. Tom Paulin's first critical book is Thomas article, 'Seamus Heaney's Hardy' from 2004), this is not necessarily If critics have perhaps been slow to pick up on Hardy's presence in the is, in part, to differentiate his work from, and quarrel with, Davie's as librarian there. In the introduction to the book, Paulin's concern It bears the marks of his friendship with (and mentoring by) Douglas Hardy: The Poetry of Perception (1975), based on his graduate thesis on some fundamental principles, in spite of their obvious differences: English suburban sprawl, and certain British poets'). 30 He also rescues that aren't Hardy's problem but Davie's (what Paulin detects as his Dunn, both of whom studied at Hull, overlapping with Larkin's time in doing so (as elsewhere in the book) opts for comparison with Yeats Hardy and Larkin from Davie's critique of their limited horizons, and 'dissatisfaction with a confused entity composed of Hardy's poetry insufficient appreciation of Douglas Dunn's work, and for anxieties 1973 Thomas Hardy and British Poetry. Davie comes under fire for When Davie criticizes Hardy and Larkin for infrequently breaking

how thin the air up there can be. Yeats, who is Hardy's opposite that Tomlinson continually inhabits, we ought to be aware of just into, 'without meaning to and without noticing', imaginative levels

collection, A State of Justice, published in 1977, poems whose tone, Paulin's study also comes at a time when he was working on his first poetry, or Larkin's work. 'Inishkeel Parish Church' evidences the debt idiom, and forms are familiar enough to those who know Dunn's carly

Standing at the gate before the service started

<sup>25</sup> Jahan Ramazani, Poetry of Mourning: The Modern Elegy from Hardy to Heuney (Chicago and London: University of Chicago Press, 1994), 5.

<sup>26</sup> Ibid. 13.

<sup>27</sup> Ibid. 34.

<sup>28</sup> Ibid. 36.

Pgess, 1999), 177. 29 Quoted in Neil Corcoran, Poets of Modern Ireland (Cardiff: University of Wales

<sup>31</sup> Ibid. 10. 30 Tom Paulin, Thomas Hardy: The Poetry of Perception (London: Macmillan, 1975), 6.

In their Sunday suits, the Barrets talked together, Smiled shyly at the visitors who packed the church In summer...

[...] Then, before the recognitions and the talk There was an enormous sight of the sea, A silent water beyond society.

aesthetic of "cunning irregularity" and aim for a poetry of syncopated and the positioning of the work on Hardy has completely changed with Grigson, but by ecumenically associating Hardy with Hopkins, second edition, with a new introduction. This time Paulin begins, not Paulin himself?) is, in this reading, anti-(British) establishment: an Antaeus-like contact with the earth'.33 Furthermore, Hardy (like writers, he argues, 'literary English has been periodically refreshed by [with a] populist delight in rough, scratchy sounds...'. Through such Gothic poet writes poems that have a fricative, spiky, spoken texture... consonantal and its roots are in the people rather than in the court. The associated with a Gothic tradition. That tradition 'is northern and poetic language is rapid, extempore, jazz-like and "funky".'<sup>32</sup> Both are texture rather than melodious veneer. For them, the highest form of for a 'new' Tom Paulin. Both Hopkins and Hardy, he argues, 'hold to an British poetry; this is a new 'funky' Hardy for Ireland in the 1980s, and Paulin is no longer tinkering around the edges of Donald Davie and In 1986, Thomas Hardy: The Poetry of Perception was published in a

Imperialist, racist, reactionary, sexist...Tennyson is in brilliant command of a dead language. [...] Hardy belongs outside this institutional, official reality. He grew up in a rural society where most people spoke dialect and where illiteracy was normal. [...] As a writer, Hardy was caught between a provincial oral culture of song, talk, legend, and a metropolitan culture of print, political power and what linguists used to term R.P....And when Hardy asserted that a "certain provincialism of feeling" was invaluable in a writer and set that idea against Arnold's idea of culture – an idea hostile to provincialism – he was referring to a mode of feeling that is bound

gothic tradition from Edgeworth to Stoker. He also asserts the margin the Anglocentricity of the Davie/Larkin axis. And Hardy becomes a poem such as 'Off the Back of a Lorry' from Liberty Tree (1983), with becomes the bedfellow of Yeats and Joyce, as of Heaney and Paulin against the 'centre', a post-colonial reinvigoration of a dying English the 'northern Gothic' obliquely evokes an Anglo-Irish Protestant these all connect to Paulin's own language preoccupations in Ulster; the terms of the debate about Hardy, Paulin separates himself from has travelled some way from 'Inishkeel Parish Church'. In changing its 'gritty / sort of prod baroque / I must return to / like my own hoke', the politics of Ulster-Scots and Irish language use. The Paulin of a republican concerned with the 'Language Question' in Ireland, about Paulin's changed political thinking in the 1980s, as a (protestant) Partly the revision of the introduction here brings it into line with conscript Hardy for the backlash against  $\Lambda r nold$  in Irish Studies in the Man, the language that was not 'theirs', and yet made it their own. - those who took, as Joyce has it in A Portrait of the Artist as a Young tradition: Hardy, 'outside' this imperial and institutional centre, thus fellow-traveller on this journey. 'Funky' language Hardy, dialect, song of W.B. Yeats. He draws out the links further: Ireland and its traditions in the 1902 essay 'The Celtic Element in rule by kindness'. A Since Arnold's book prompted Yeats's defence of of Celtic Literature (1867) at, as Seamus Deane has it, 'killing home 1980s, where Arnold comes under fire for his attempt, in On the Study Kavanagh's celebration of the 'parish' as the 'universe'. It is also to To set Hardy's 'provincialism' against Arnold's is to echo Patrick Literature', Paulin's new Hardy is also therefore a rather unlikely ally the Chekhovian sense of stifled ambition and anxious mediocrity. what he terms "crude enthusiasm". He does not mean provincial in in with song, dialect, physical touch, natural human kindness and

Hardy's lines draw profoundly on the folk imagination, and...that imagination overrides the great division between life and death—it locates the resurrection in the self-delighting wildness of sheer rhythm. And this resembles Yeats's remark that passionate rhythm preserves and transforms personal emotion by lifting it out of history into the realm of 'impersonal meditation'. [...] Ultimately.

<sup>32.</sup> Tom Paulin, Thomas Hardy: The Paetry of Perception (2nd ed. London: Macmillan 1986) 3

<sup>33</sup> Ibid. 3-4.

<sup>34</sup> See for example the arguments in Seamus Deane, 'Arnold, Burke and the Celts', Celtic Revivals (Landon: Faher, 1985), 17-27.

POETRY

creative idleness rather than a forcing ambition.35 speech, the Dorset accent and the formally very sophisticated vocal rhythm and mystery... [...] It's as if the muse visits him only dialect verse of William Barnes. This can only be discovered for a more intuitive, 'rougher' type of verse which is rooted in rural when he learns to reject the instrumental will (rhythms of 'choice') through a surrender to natural magic and superstition, through a Hardy is close to Yeats in the connection which he makes between

and quotation - 'the great division', 'resurrection, 'self-delighting' of Hardy and Yeats, Paulin's requires their artificial yoking together. Celtic Element in literature', Ireland's 'ancient religion'). 'Antaeus-like', 'mystery', 'natural magic' (which is, for Yeats, in 'The that they litter a description of Hardy with Yeatsian terminology Whether or not these sentences are wholly convincing, it's notable Where Larkin's own creative process required the artificial separation

argues 'perhaps because Hardy entered Heaney's imagination so early complex, and every-changing role in Heaney's poetic vision'. It is, she engagement with the works of Thomas Hardy has played a central of elegy affects Longley's own practice, even if at one remove. For protest-elegy looms large in his own development, Hardy's refiguring the outstanding elegists of his generation, for whom the Great War widow gave Blunden / As memento of many visits to Max Gate / His pervades Longley's own work too: 'When Thomas Hardy died his significance for the Northern Irish writer at a particular moment in Heaney, as Tara Christie persuasively demonstrates, his 'fifty-year Monologues' cross Kavanagh's influence with Hardy; and as one of love poet subtly inflects Longley's own marital love poems; his 'Mayo treasured copy of Edward Thomas's Poems.' For Longley, Hardy as in Japan (2000), traces the link between Hardy and the poets of the this criticism stands as testament to Hardy's cultural (and political) Western Front - among them Edward Thomas - whose influence Longley and for Seamus Heaney. Longley's 'Poetry', from The Weather history. That significance is also true, in a different way, for Michael Whether putting the Ulster into Wessex or the Wessex into Ulster

35 Paulin, Thomas Hardy, 2nd ed., 9, 10-11.

been a part of Heaney.'36 into Heaney's poetic vision from its outset, that Hardy's presence in on, because his influence was so intimately and seamlessly blended Heaney's poetry has gone largely unnoticed. For Hardy has never not

and another...'. 39) Whilst a lecturer at Queen's University Belfast in suspected I was making too nifty a link between one "country" poet what he himself thought of Hardy, but he was on to me like a shot on meeting Kavanagh, 'I either commended Thomas Hardy or asked something familiar about Hardy's landscape, and indeed about the own aesthetic, and in his sensing of place. 'I always' Heaney says, 'felt importance: the two poets connect for him in the formation of his figures in his landscape. 37 (In Stepping Stones, Heaney relates how For Heaney, Hardy's parish, like Kavanagh's, makes its own Thomas Hardy. The set text list was as follows: the late 1960s, Heaney taught a series of undergraduate seminars on

The Mayor of Casterbridge The Return of the Native

The Woodlanders

Tess of the D'Urbervilles

Selected Poems

Hardy's Love Poems, ed. Carl Weber

The seminars on Hardy were 'to be concerned with the following

- Character and plot in Hardy's Novels: determined or selfdetermining?
- 2. Suffering in the novels: scourge or salvation?
- 3. The poetry: culmination of Hardy's vision?\*\*\*

Return of the Native topping the list is serendipitous here. In 'The The texts are given in chronological order of publication, but The

36 Tara Christic, 'Seamus Heaney's Hardy', The Recorder vol. 17 no. I (Summer 2004)

37 Quoted in Christie, 119.

38 Dennis O'Driscoll, Stepping Stones: Interviews with Seamus Heaney (London: Faber,

for drawing my attention to it, and for sight of the handout 39 This information is from a discarded typewritten sheet left in a box in an office in Queen's, and found by Dr Eamonn Hughes in the early 1990s. I am grateful to Dr Hughes

Birthplace', from Station Island (1984), one of three 'tribute' poems to Hardy, the poet remembers how, thirty years previously, he 'read until first light // for the first time, to finish / The Return of the Native'. If there is a political resonance to this – given Heaney's comments on Kavanagh's confidence in his parish as a means of bringing 'the subculture to cultural power'40 – there is also, in the final lines of the poem, an astonishing sense of homecoming for Heaney in Hardy's fiction: 'I heard / roosters and dogs, the very same / as if he had written them'. Elsewhere, he describes how Hardy's "The Oxen' was learnt 'by heart early on....the words "barton" and "coomb" seemed to take me far away and at the same time to bring me close to something lurking inside me. Then there was the phrase, "their strawy pen", which had a different familiarity, it brought the byre and the poetry book into alignment.'41

A 'different familiarity' might encapsulate Hardy's appearance in two poems from *Seeing Things* (1991), 'Lightenings vi' and 'vii'. In them, we find a Hardy who makes sense to Heaney, who, like himself, is a poet whose roots cross with his reading, whose rural background in all its sensuous immediacy is the foundation on which he will later 'sing' the 'perfect pitch' of himself:

Once, as a child, out in a field of sheep, Thomas Hardy pretended to be dead And lay down flat among their dainty shins.

In that sniffed-at, bleated-into, grassy space Ile experimented with infinity.

This might seem to be a version of the natural, unsophisticated, grounded Hardy, derided by Leavis and Eliot, celebrated, conversely, by Heaney, and a long way from Paulin's gritty, funky, political Hardy. Nevertheless, Heaney here creates his own Hardy too, and for different ends. Heaney's Hardy is also a visionary poet, experimenting with 'infinity', and the poem, as 'Lightenings vii' then shows, finds the visionary ambition in Hardy in part because it misremembers the

of specificity but a recognition that Hardy, like Heaney after him. can prove / one place more than another?' - is not so much a denial of 'The Voice') to articulate Heaney, all the while speaking both to and novels: 'like one / of his troubled couples, speechless / until he spoke transform the speaker into a (suffering) character in one of Hardy's we were like one' momentarily implies the two poets' affinity, only to single bed a dream of discipline...'). The line break after 'That day, in Delft' ("The deal table where he wrote, so small and plain, / the as it echoes early Mahon too, the Mahon of 'The Studio' or 'Courtyards obliquely evocative of Yeats, with the 'stir' of Hardy's 'reluctant heart' his origins, also makes him resonate in a new context. Section I is me or not'.42 Similarly, 'The Birthplace', while returning Hardy to Heaney would visit the cattle-shed, to sit or stand 'quietly beside as he has it in the 'Clearances' sequence of The Haw Lantern (1987) become, at least for Heaney, an imagined realm - '[u]tterly empty' And the opening of section III - 'Everywhere being nowhere / who for them'. The poem allows 'Hardy' (Hardy the novelist, also the Hardy these big peaceful beasts, wondering if they were taking any heed of the misremembering, thus merges with Heaney's own, in which face to face'.) As Tara Christic points out, Hardy's childhood, through anecdote (in fact, 'He went down on all fours...sought the creatures those who would dismiss it as insignificant – to the extent that it can has 'proved' a particular place, be it 'Wessex' or Anahorish, against for Hardy, Heaney simultaneously creating a character of his own 'utterly a source'.

In Edna Longley's *Bloodaxe Book of 20th-Century Poetry* (2000). Hardy and Yeats stand at the beginning of the century. The very first poem in that anthology – Hardy's "The Darkling Thrush' – defines both a century's end and its beginning, and is evoked by Heaney in his own 'millennium' poem quoted as epigraph to this essay. Longley's opening remarks on Hardy encapsulate the shape of critical recognition owed on both sides of the Irish sea: "Thomas Hardy anticipates every crossroads of modern poetry in the British Isles. He stands between folk-traditions and literature; region and metropolis; Christianity and the post-Darwinian crisis of faith; Victorian and modern consciousness; prose-fiction and poetry; "things [that] go

<sup>40</sup> Seamus Heaney, in Reading the Future: Irish Writers in Conversation with Mike Murphy (Dublin: Lilliput Press, 2000), 84-5.

<sup>41</sup> Seamus Heaney, interview with John Brown, In the Chair: Interviews with Pacts from the North of Ireland (Ireland: Salmon Publishing, 2002), 77.

<sup>42</sup> See Christic, 'Seamus Heaney's Hardy', 131-2.

onward the same" and modern war. It is apparent, even looking briefly at his reception in England and Ireland, that Hardy is different things to different people: Eliot's Hardy is not Larkin's, or Paulin's, or Heaney's Hardy. In standing at a 'crossroads' he leads in multiple or Heaney's Hardy. In standing at a hear once everywhere he is directions, and the danger is that in being at once everywhere he is fully appreciated nowhere. Yet more positively, the closing lines of Heaney's 'Lightenings vi' might serve as metaphor for Hardy's of Heaney's 'Lightenings vi' might serve as well as being returned to reaching 'outward' in terms of influence, as well as being returned to his proper 'place' in the criticism of modern poetry:

...that stir he caused In the fleece-hustle was the original

Of a ripple that would travel eighty years Outward from there, to be the same ripple Inside him at its last circumference.

<sup>43</sup> Edna Longley, ed. The Hloodaxe Book of 20th Century Poetry from Britain and Ireland (Tarset: Bloodaxe, 2000), 25.