

CIRCULANT MATRICES: NORM, POWERS, AND POSITIVITY

Marko Lindner

Communicated by P.A. Cojuhari

Abstract. In their recent paper “The spectral norm of a Horadam circulant matrix”, Merikoski, Haukkanen, Mattila and Tossavainen study under which conditions the spectral norm of a general real circulant matrix \mathbf{C} equals the modulus of its row/column sum. We improve on their sufficient condition until we have a necessary one. Our results connect the above problem to positivity of sufficiently high powers of the matrix $\mathbf{C}^\top \mathbf{C}$. We then generalize the result to complex circulant matrices.

Keywords: spectral norm, circulant matrix, eventually positive semigroups.

Mathematics Subject Classification: 15A60, 15B05, 15B48.

1. INTRODUCTION AND PRELIMINARIES

For $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\mathbf{x} = (x_0, \dots, x_{n-1}) \in \mathbb{R}^n$, look at the circulant matrix

$$\mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{x}} := \begin{pmatrix} x_0 & x_1 & \cdots & x_{n-1} \\ x_{n-1} & x_0 & \ddots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & x_1 \\ x_1 & \cdots & x_{n-1} & x_0 \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}.$$

Motivated by studies of so-called Horadam or Fibonacci circulant matrices, the authors of [2, 3] ask in [2] under which conditions the spectral norm of $\mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{x}}$ equals $|x_0 + x_1 + \dots + x_{n-1}|$. We give a sufficient and a necessary condition. Both have to do with the positivity of powers of $\mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{x}}^\top \mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{x}}$.

If $\mathbf{R} := \mathbf{C}_{(0,1,0,\dots,0)}$ denotes the cyclic backward shift $\mathbf{R} : (u_1, \dots, u_n) \mapsto (u_2, \dots, u_n, u_1)$, then

$$\mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{x}} = x_0 \mathbf{R}^0 + x_1 \mathbf{R}^1 + \dots + x_{n-1} \mathbf{R}^{n-1} = c(\mathbf{R}) \quad \text{with} \quad c(t) := x_0 t^0 + x_1 t^1 + \dots + x_{n-1} t^{n-1}.$$

The polynomial c is called the *symbol* of $\mathbf{C}_\mathbf{x}$. Most of the time, we understand c as a function on

$$\mathbb{T}_n := \{t \in \mathbb{C} : t^n = 1\} = \{\omega^0, \omega^1, \dots, \omega^{n-1}\} \quad \text{with} \quad \omega := \exp\left(\frac{2\pi}{n}i\right).$$

It is easy to see that \mathbf{R} diagonalizes as $\mathbf{R} = \mathbf{F}\mathbf{D}\mathbf{F}^*$, where $\mathbf{D} = \text{diag}(\omega^0, \dots, \omega^{n-1})$ and \mathbf{F} is the so-called *Fourier matrix* $\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}(\omega^{jk})_{j,k=0}^{n-1}$. Note that \mathbf{F} is unitary, so that $\mathbf{F}^{-1} = \mathbf{F}^*$. Consequently,

$$\mathbf{C}_\mathbf{x} = c(\mathbf{R}) = c(\mathbf{F}\mathbf{D}\mathbf{F}^*) = \mathbf{F} c(\mathbf{D}) \mathbf{F}^* = \mathbf{F} \text{diag}(c(\omega^0), \dots, c(\omega^{n-1})) \mathbf{F}^* = \mathbf{F}\mathbf{D}_\mathbf{x}\mathbf{F}^*$$

with $\mathbf{D}_\mathbf{x} := \text{diag}(c(\omega^0), \dots, c(\omega^{n-1}))$. Since \mathbf{F} is an isometry of \mathbb{C}^n with the Euclidean norm,

$$\|\mathbf{C}_\mathbf{x}\| = \|\mathbf{F}\mathbf{D}_\mathbf{x}\mathbf{F}^*\| = \|\mathbf{D}_\mathbf{x}\| = \max(|c(\omega^0)|, |c(\omega^1)|, \dots, |c(\omega^{n-1})|) =: \|c\|_\infty, \quad (1.1)$$

where $\|\cdot\|$ denotes the spectral norm of a matrix; it is the matrix norm that is induced by the Euclidean norm. Of course, all of this is standard [1]. The Fourier transform \mathbf{F} turns the convolution $\mathbf{C}_\mathbf{x}$ into a multiplication $\mathbf{D}_\mathbf{x}$. We are just fixing notations here.

The question of [2] is essentially, under which conditions

$$\|\mathbf{C}_\mathbf{x}\| = \|c\|_\infty \quad \text{equals} \quad |x_0 + x_1 + \dots + x_{n-1}| = |c(1)| = |c(\omega^0)|. \quad (1.2)$$

So let

$$\mathcal{C}_n := \{\mathbf{x} = (x_0, \dots, x_{n-1}) \in \mathbb{R}^n : \|\mathbf{C}_\mathbf{x}\| = |x_0 + x_1 + \dots + x_{n-1}|\}.$$

Looking at (1.2), we see that

$$\mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{C}_n \iff \|c\|_\infty = |c(1)|, \quad \text{i.e. } |c(\cdot)| \text{ assumes its maximum on } \mathbb{T}_n \text{ at } t = 1 = \omega^0.$$

We will work with the latter condition in what follows. We will also study the following subset of \mathcal{C}_n if $n \geq 2$. Let

$$\mathcal{C}'_n := \{\mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{C}_n : \max_{t \in \mathbb{T}_n \setminus \{1\}} |c(t)| < |c(1)| = \|c\|_\infty\} \subset \mathcal{C}_n.$$

While, for $\mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{C}_n$, the maximum of $|c(\cdot)|$ in \mathbb{T}_n is attained at $t = 1$, for $\mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{C}'_n$ it is **only** attained at $t = 1$, so that $\mathbf{C}_\mathbf{x}$ has a spectral gap between the two largest (in modulus) eigenvalues. We start with a simple sufficient condition for membership in \mathcal{C}_n and \mathcal{C}'_n , respectively. Here we write $\mathbf{x} \geq \mathbf{0}$ ($\mathbf{x} > \mathbf{0}$) or $\mathbf{M} \geq \mathbf{0}$ ($\mathbf{M} > \mathbf{0}$) if each entry of, respectively, the vector \mathbf{x} or the matrix \mathbf{M} is nonnegative (positive).

Lemma 1.1. *Let $n \geq 2$ and $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$.*

- a) *If $\mathbf{x} \geq \mathbf{0}$ or $-\mathbf{x} \geq \mathbf{0}$ (i.e. $\pm\mathbf{C}_\mathbf{x} \geq \mathbf{0}$) then $\mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{C}_n$. (This is [2, Corollary 2].)*
- b) *If $\mathbf{x} > \mathbf{0}$ or $-\mathbf{x} > \mathbf{0}$ (i.e. $\pm\mathbf{C}_\mathbf{x} > \mathbf{0}$) then $\mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{C}'_n$.*

Proof. a) By triangle inequality, every $|c(t)|$ with $t \in \mathbb{T}_n$ is bounded as follows

$$|c(t)| = |x_0 + x_1 t^1 + \dots + x_{n-1} t^{n-1}| \leq |x_0| + |x_1| + \dots + |x_{n-1}| \quad \text{since } |t| = 1.$$

But this upper bound, and hence the maximum $\|c\|_\infty$, is attained by $|c(1)| = |x_0 + \dots + x_{n-1}|$ as soon as all x_k have the same sign, $\mathbf{x} \geq \mathbf{0}$ or $-\mathbf{x} \geq \mathbf{0}$.

b) The statement can be derived by the Perron-Frobenius theorem but here is a more elementary proof. Let $\mathbf{x} > \mathbf{0}$. (The argument is similar for $-\mathbf{x} > \mathbf{0}$.) By a), we have $|c(1)| = \|c\|_\infty$. For every $t \in \mathbb{T}_n \setminus \{1\}$, it holds $|x_0 + x_1 t| < |x_0| + |x_1 t|$ since $x_0, x_1 > 0$ and 1 and t have different directions in \mathbb{C} . Consequently, noting that $|t| = 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} |c(t)| &= |x_0 + x_1 t^1 + \dots + x_{n-1} t^{n-1}| \leq \underbrace{|x_0 + x_1 t|}_{< |x_0| + |x_1 t|} + |x_2 t^2| + \dots + |x_{n-1} t^{n-1}| \\ &< |x_0| + |x_1| + |x_2| + \dots + |x_{n-1}| = x_0 + \dots + x_{n-1} = c(1) = |c(1)| = \|c\|_\infty. \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

This sufficient condition for membership in \mathcal{C}_n or \mathcal{C}'_n seems quite generous. [2] suggests the following improvement. Put

$$\mathbf{B}_\mathbf{x} := \mathbf{C}_\mathbf{x}^\top \mathbf{C}_\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{C}_\mathbf{x}^* \mathbf{C}_\mathbf{x} = (\mathbf{F} \mathbf{D}_\mathbf{x} \mathbf{F}^*)^* (\mathbf{F} \mathbf{D}_\mathbf{x} \mathbf{F}^*) = \mathbf{F} \mathbf{D}_\mathbf{x}^* \mathbf{D}_\mathbf{x} \mathbf{F}^* = \mathbf{F} \mathbf{A}_\mathbf{x} \mathbf{F}^* \quad (1.3)$$

with

$$\mathbf{A}_\mathbf{x} := \mathbf{D}_\mathbf{x}^* \mathbf{D}_\mathbf{x} = \text{diag}(b(\omega^0), \dots, b(\omega^{n-1})),$$

where

$$b(t) := \overline{c(t)} c(t) = |c(t)|^2 \quad \text{for all } t \in \mathbb{T}_n,$$

so that

$$\|b\|_\infty := \max_{t \in \mathbb{T}_n} |b(t)| = \max_{t \in \mathbb{T}_n} |c(t)|^2 = \|c\|_\infty^2.$$

Then $\mathbf{B}_\mathbf{x}$ is again a real circulant matrix. Applying Lemma 1.1 to $\mathbf{B}_\mathbf{x}$ (in place of $\mathbf{C}_\mathbf{x}$), we get the following result.

Lemma 1.2. *Let $n \geq 2$, $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and put $\mathbf{B}_\mathbf{x} := \mathbf{C}_\mathbf{x}^\top \mathbf{C}_\mathbf{x}$.*

- a) *If $\mathbf{B}_\mathbf{x} \geq \mathbf{0}$ then $\mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{C}_n$. (This is [2, Theorem 4].)*
- b) *If $\mathbf{B}_\mathbf{x} > \mathbf{0}$ then $\mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{C}'_n$.*

Proof. Recall that the symbol b of $\mathbf{B}_\mathbf{x}$ is related to the symbol c of $\mathbf{C}_\mathbf{x}$ by $b(t) = |c(t)|^2$ for all $t \in \mathbb{T}_n$. So b assumes its maximum at the same point(s) as $|c(\cdot)|$ does.

For a), by Lemma 1.1 a),

$$\mathbf{B}_\mathbf{x} \geq \mathbf{0} \quad \Rightarrow \quad \|b\|_\infty = |b(1)| \quad \Rightarrow \quad \|c\|_\infty^2 = |c(1)|^2 \quad \Rightarrow \quad \|c\|_\infty = |c(1)| \quad \Rightarrow \quad \mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{C}_n.$$

b) By Lemma 1.1 b), positivity $\mathbf{B}_\mathbf{x} > \mathbf{0}$ implies that $|b(t)| < \|b\|_\infty$ for all $t \in \mathbb{T}_n \setminus \{1\}$. But then also $|c(t)| = |b(t)|^{1/2} < \|b\|_\infty^{1/2} = \|c\|_\infty$ for all $t \in \mathbb{T}_n \setminus \{1\}$. So $\mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{C}'_n$. \square

Note that the case $-\mathbf{B}_\mathbf{x} \geq \mathbf{0}$ is impossible (unless $\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0}$, in which case $\mathbf{B}_\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0}$) since the main diagonal of $\mathbf{B}_\mathbf{x}$ carries the entry $\|\mathbf{x}\|_2^2$.

2. ITERATING THE ARGUMENT UNTIL SUFFICIENT BECOMES NECESSARY

Looking at Lemmas 1.1 and 1.2, the following questions seem natural:

- (Q1) Is the new condition $\mathbf{C}_x^\top \mathbf{C}_x \geq \mathbf{0}$ substantially weaker than the old condition $\pm \mathbf{C}_x \geq \mathbf{0}$?
 (Q2) Do we get a chain of increasingly weaker sufficient conditions if we repeat the argument?
 (Q3) Does that chain end in a necessary condition?

Let us address those questions, starting with (Q1): It is easy to see that for $n \in \{1, 2\}$, the two conditions are equivalent but for $n \geq 3$ they differ. Table 1 below indicates that the quotient of their probabilities grows as n grows. As an example for $n = 3$, look at $\mathbf{x} = (1, -2, -3)$, where

$$\mathbf{C}_x = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -2 & -3 \\ -3 & 1 & -2 \\ -2 & -3 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \not\geq \mathbf{0}, \quad -\mathbf{C}_x \not\geq \mathbf{0} \quad \text{but} \quad \mathbf{B}_x := \mathbf{C}_x^\top \mathbf{C}_x = \begin{pmatrix} 14 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 14 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 14 \end{pmatrix} \geq \mathbf{0}.$$

So Lemma 1.1 is not strong enough to show $\mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{C}_3$, i.e. $\|\mathbf{C}_x\| = |1 - 2 - 3| = 4$, but Lemma 1.2 is.

About (Q2): With $\mathbf{B}_x = \mathbf{C}_x^\top \mathbf{C}_x$, let us now look at $\mathbf{B}_x^\top \mathbf{B}_x$. But since $\mathbf{B}_x^\top = \mathbf{B}_x$, one has $\mathbf{B}_x^\top \mathbf{B}_x = \mathbf{B}_x^2$. This is still a circulant, to which we can apply Lemma 1.1. Then one can again multiply \mathbf{B}_x^2 with its transpose (itself) or just with \mathbf{B}_x and continue like that.

Theorem 2.1. *Let $n \geq 2$, $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $\mathbf{B}_x = \mathbf{C}_x^\top \mathbf{C}_x$.*

- a) *If $\mathbf{B}_x^m \geq \mathbf{0}$ for some $m \in \mathbb{N}$ then $\mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{C}_n$.*
 b) *If $\mathbf{B}_x^m > \mathbf{0}$ for some $m \in \mathbb{N}$ then $\mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{C}'_n$.*

Proof. For every $m \in \mathbb{N}$, we have, by (1.3),

$$\mathbf{B}_x^m = \mathbf{F} \mathbf{A}_x^m \mathbf{F}^* = \mathbf{F} \operatorname{diag} b(\omega^k)^m \mathbf{F}^*, \quad (2.1)$$

$$\text{so that} \quad \|\mathbf{B}_x^m\| = \max_{k=0}^{n-1} |b(\omega^k)|^m = \|b\|_\infty^m = \|c\|_\infty^{2m}.$$

So \mathbf{B}_x^m is a circulant matrix with symbol $t \mapsto b(t)^m = |c(t)|^{2m}$. It assumes its maximum at the same point(s) of \mathbb{T}_n as $|c(\cdot)|$ does. Now argue as in the proof of Lemma 1.2. \square

Looking at $m = 2^0, 2^1, 2^2, \dots$ and noting that $\mathbf{M}, \mathbf{N} \geq \mathbf{0}$ implies $\mathbf{M} \cdot \mathbf{N} \geq \mathbf{0}$, we get that

$$\begin{aligned} \pm \mathbf{C}_x \geq \mathbf{0} &\Rightarrow \mathbf{B}_x \geq \mathbf{0} \Rightarrow \mathbf{B}_x^2 \geq \mathbf{0} \Rightarrow \mathbf{B}_x^4 \geq \mathbf{0} \Rightarrow \mathbf{B}_x^8 \geq \mathbf{0} \Rightarrow \dots \Rightarrow \mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{C}_n, \\ \pm \mathbf{C}_x > \mathbf{0} &\Rightarrow \mathbf{B}_x > \mathbf{0} \Rightarrow \mathbf{B}_x^2 > \mathbf{0} \Rightarrow \mathbf{B}_x^4 > \mathbf{0} \Rightarrow \mathbf{B}_x^8 > \mathbf{0} \Rightarrow \dots \Rightarrow \mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{C}'_n. \end{aligned}$$

To illustrate that these are indeed chains of increasingly weaker conditions, let us approximately compute¹⁾ the portion of the unit ball in \mathbb{R}^n that satisfies the corresponding condition (see Table 1).

¹⁾ using a Monte Carlo simulation with one million equally distributed points in the unit ball

Table 1. An approximate computation of the portion of points $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ of the unit ball (note that all conditions are invariant under scaling of \mathbf{x}) that satisfy the corresponding condition in the header. Reading from left to right, every row seems to grow – in the limit – up to the portion of the ball that belongs to \mathcal{C}'_n . This is a positive sign with respect to our question (Q3)

n	$\pm \mathbf{x} > \mathbf{0}$	$\mathbf{B}_{\mathbf{x}} > \mathbf{0}$	$\mathbf{B}_{\mathbf{x}}^2 > \mathbf{0}$	$\mathbf{B}_{\mathbf{x}}^4 > \mathbf{0}$	$\mathbf{B}_{\mathbf{x}}^8 > \mathbf{0}$	$\mathbf{B}_{\mathbf{x}}^{16} > \mathbf{0}$	$\mathbf{B}_{\mathbf{x}}^{32} > \mathbf{0}$...	$\mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{C}'_n$
$n = 2$	50.0%	50.0%	50.0%	50.0%	50.0%	50.0%	50.0%	...	50.0%
$n = 3$	25.0%	42.3%	42.3%	42.3%	42.3%	42.3%	42.3%	...	42.3%
$n = 4$	12.5%	25.0%	27.3%	27.3%	28.9%	29.8%	30.3%	...	30.8%
$n = 5$	6.3%	23.2%	25.4%	27.1%	28.1%	28.6%	28.9%	...	29.2%
$n = 6$	3.1%	16.7%	20.0%	21.9%	22.8%	23.1%	23.3%	...	23.5%
$n = 7$	1.6%	14.7%	18.1%	20.4%	21.7%	22.4%	22.8%	...	23.2%
$n = 8$	0.8%	10.4%	14.3%	16.8%	18.1%	18.8%	19.2%	...	19.5%
$n = 9$	0.4%	10.3%	14.4%	17.0%	18.3%	18.9%	19.2%	...	19.5%
$n = 10$	0.2%	7.5%	11.6%	14.3%	15.7%	16.3%	16.6%	...	16.9%
\vdots	\vdots	\vdots	\vdots	\vdots	\vdots	\vdots	\vdots		\vdots
$n = 20$	2^{-19}	1.9%	5.2%	7.9%	9.4%	10.1%	10.4%	...	10.7%

Finally, we turn to our question (Q3) about necessary conditions for membership in \mathcal{C}_n or \mathcal{C}'_n . Nonnegativity / positivity of powers of $\mathbf{B}_{\mathbf{x}}$ is not necessary for membership in \mathcal{C}_n (see Example 2.3 below). But, assuming a spectral gap, i.e. membership in \mathcal{C}'_n , we get convergence of the power method and hence positivity of large powers of $\mathbf{B}_{\mathbf{x}}$ (due to the special structure of the corresponding eigenvector).

Theorem 2.2. *If $\mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{C}'_n$ then there exists an $m_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\mathbf{B}_{\mathbf{x}}^m > \mathbf{0}$ for all $m \geq m_0$.*

Proof. Let $\mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{C}'_n$ and abbreviate $|c(\omega^k)| =: c_k$ for $k = 0, \dots, n - 1$. Then $\|c\|_{\infty} = c_0 > c_1, \dots, c_{n-1} \geq 0$. From (2.1) we conclude

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\mathbf{B}_{\mathbf{x}}^m}{\|\mathbf{B}_{\mathbf{x}}^m\|} &= \frac{1}{c_0^{2m}} \mathbf{F} \operatorname{diag}(c_0^{2m}, c_1^{2m}, \dots, c_{n-1}^{2m}) \mathbf{F}^* = \mathbf{F} \operatorname{diag}\left(1, \left(\frac{c_1}{c_0}\right)^{2m}, \dots, \left(\frac{c_{n-1}}{c_0}\right)^{2m}\right) \mathbf{F}^* \\ &\rightarrow \mathbf{F} \operatorname{diag}(1, 0, \dots, 0) \mathbf{F}^* = \frac{1}{n} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \dots & 1 \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ 1 & \dots & 1 \end{pmatrix} > \mathbf{0} \quad \text{as } m \rightarrow \infty, \end{aligned} \tag{2.2}$$

so that $\mathbf{B}_{\mathbf{x}}^m > \mathbf{0}$ for all sufficiently large $m \in \mathbb{N}$. □

The argument in the proof of Theorem 2.2 does not work if $|c(\cdot)|$ attains its maximum in another or in more than one point on \mathbb{T}_n . The following example shows that, indeed, \mathcal{C}'_n cannot be replaced by \mathcal{C}_n in Theorem 2.2.

Example 2.3. *Take $n = 5$ and $\mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{x}} := \mathbf{F} \operatorname{diag}(1, 0, 1, 1, 0) \mathbf{F}^*$. The diagonal has its maximum in the first but also in the 3rd and 4th position, so that $\mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{C}_5 \setminus \mathcal{C}'_5$. The first row of $\mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{x}}$ is $\mathbf{x} = (\frac{3}{5}, \alpha, \beta, \beta, \alpha)$ with $\alpha = \frac{1}{5}(1 + 2 \cos(\frac{4\pi}{5})) < 0$ and $\beta = \frac{1}{5}(1 + 2 \cos(\frac{2\pi}{5})) > 0$, so that $\mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{x}} \not\geq \mathbf{0}$ and $-\mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{x}} \not\geq \mathbf{0}$. But also $\mathbf{B}_{\mathbf{x}}^m \not\geq \mathbf{0}$ since $\mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{x}} = \mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{x}}^{\top} = \mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{x}}^m = \mathbf{B}_{\mathbf{x}}^m$ for all $m \in \mathbb{N}$.*

So for membership in \mathcal{C}'_n , we have the following equivalence.

Corollary 2.4. *Let $n \geq 2$ and $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Then the following are equivalent.*

- (i) $\mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{C}'_n$,
- (ii) $\exists m \in \mathbb{N} : \mathbf{B}_\mathbf{x}^m > \mathbf{0}$,
- (iii) $\exists m_0 \in \mathbb{N} \forall m \geq m_0 : \mathbf{B}_\mathbf{x}^m > \mathbf{0}$.

Proof. (ii) \Rightarrow (i) is Theorem 2.1 b), (i) \Rightarrow (iii) is Theorem 2.2 b), and (iii) \Rightarrow (ii) is obvious. □

3. COMPLEX ENTRIES

The case $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{C}^n$ is only slightly different. When we refer to \mathcal{C}_n or \mathcal{C}'_n now, we mean the corresponding subsets of \mathbb{C}^n . In a complex version of Lemma 1.1 a) it would be enough to have all entries of \mathbf{x} of the same phase, i.e. on the same ray $\{rz : r \geq 0\}$ with some $z \in \mathbb{C}$. But for Lemma 1.2 a), that ray would again have to be the nonnegative real axis, because the main diagonal entries of $\mathbf{B}_\mathbf{x} := \mathbf{C}_\mathbf{x}^* \mathbf{C}_\mathbf{x}$ are always there. The other entries of $\mathbf{B}_\mathbf{x}$ or $\mathbf{B}_\mathbf{x}^m$ need not even be real, let alone nonnegative or positive.

However, the proof of Theorem 2.2 shows that the entries of $\mathbf{B}_\mathbf{x}^m$ are in a certain neighborhood of the positive half axis if $\mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{C}'_n$ (also for the complex version) and m is sufficiently large. On the other hand, by the continuity of each function value $c(t)$ with respect to \mathbf{x} , one can generalize Lemma 1.1 to an appropriate neighborhood of the positive half axis:

Lemma 3.1. *If $n \geq 2$ and $\mathbf{x} = (x_0, \dots, x_{n-1}) \in \mathbb{C}^n$ is such that at least two adjacent entries of \mathbf{x} are nonzero and all phases are close to zero, precisely, each*

$$\varphi_k := \arg x_k \in (-\pi, \pi] \quad \text{is subject to} \quad |\varphi_k| < \frac{\pi}{2n}, \tag{3.1}$$

then $\mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{C}'_n$.

Proof. We start with n general complex numbers $z_0, \dots, z_{n-1} \in \mathbb{C}$ and put $\psi_k := \arg z_k$, which we put to zero if $z_k = 0$. Then the following “generalized law of cosines” is easily verified.

$$\begin{aligned} |z_0 + \dots + z_{n-1}|^2 &= (z_0 + \dots + z_{n-1}) \overline{(z_0 + \dots + z_{n-1})} = \sum_{j,k=0}^{n-1} z_j \overline{z_k} \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} |z_j|^2 + 2 \sum_{\substack{j,k=0 \\ j < k}}^{n-1} \operatorname{Re}(z_j \overline{z_k}) \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} |z_j|^2 + 2 \sum_{\substack{j,k=0 \\ j < k}}^{n-1} |z_j| |z_k| \cos(\psi_j - \psi_k). \end{aligned} \tag{3.2}$$

Putting $z_k := x_k$ from above, we have $\psi_k = \varphi_k$ and hence

$$|c(1)|^2 = |x_0 + \dots + x_{n-1}|^2 \stackrel{(3.2)}{=} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} |x_j|^2 + 2 \sum_{\substack{j,k=0 \\ j < k}}^{n-1} |x_j||x_k| \cos(\varphi_j - \varphi_k). \tag{3.3}$$

Now take $t = \omega^\ell \in \mathbb{T}_n \setminus \{1\}$ with some $\ell \in \{1, \dots, n-1\}$ and put $z_k := x_k t^k$ in (3.2). Then $\psi_k = \arg(x_k t^k) = \arg x_k + k \arg t = \varphi_k + k\ell\vartheta$ with $\vartheta := \arg \omega = \frac{2\pi}{n}$. Plugging this into (3.2), we get

$$|c(t)|^2 = |x_0 t^0 + \dots + x_{n-1} t^{n-1}|^2 \stackrel{(3.2)}{=} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} |x_j|^2 + 2 \sum_{\substack{j,k=0 \\ j < k}}^{n-1} |x_j||x_k| \cos(\varphi_j - \varphi_k + (j-k)\ell\vartheta). \tag{3.4}$$

By our assumption (3.1), all differences $\varphi_j - \varphi_k$ are in the interval $(-\frac{\pi}{n}, \frac{\pi}{n}) =: I_n$. Since the length of I_n is $\vartheta = \frac{2\pi}{n}$,

$$\varphi_j - \varphi_k + (j-k)\ell\vartheta \begin{cases} = \varphi_j - \varphi_k, & \text{if } (j-k)\ell \in n\mathbb{Z}, \\ \notin I_n, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \quad \text{both modulo } 2\pi.$$

Moreover, $\cos x < \cos y$ whenever $x \notin I_n$ and $y \in I_n$ (modulo 2π). Consequently, all cosines in (3.3) are larger than or equal to the corresponding cosines in (3.4). So $|c(1)| \geq |c(t)|$.

For our two adjacent j, k with x_j and x_k nonzero, we have $j - k = -1$ and hence $(j - k)\ell \notin n\mathbb{Z}$, so that the corresponding term in (3.3) is strictly larger than in (3.4). Hence, $|c(1)| > |c(t)|$. \square

So it is already enough for $\mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{C}'_n$ that each entry of \mathbf{x} is in a certain cone around the positive real half axis. By the same arguments as in the real case, one can look at a power of $\mathbf{B}_\mathbf{x} := \mathbf{C}_\mathbf{x}^* \mathbf{C}_\mathbf{x}$, which is again a circulant matrix, and check whether the entries of its first (or any) row satisfy (3.1).

Theorem 3.2. *Let $n \geq 2$ and $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{C}^n$. Then the following are equivalent.*

- (i) $\mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{C}'_n$,
- (ii) $\exists m \in \mathbb{N} : \text{at least two adjacent entries of the first row of } \mathbf{B}_\mathbf{x}^m \text{ are nonzero and satisfy (3.1),}$
- (iii) $\exists m \in \mathbb{N} : \text{all entries of the first row of } \mathbf{B}_\mathbf{x}^m \text{ are nonzero and satisfy (3.1),}$
- (iv) $\exists m_0 \in \mathbb{N} \forall m \geq m_0 : \text{all entries of the first row of } \mathbf{B}_\mathbf{x}^m \text{ are nonzero and satisfy (3.1).}$

Proof. The implications (iv) \Rightarrow (iii) \Rightarrow (ii) are obvious. It remains to check (ii) \Rightarrow (i) \Rightarrow (iv).

(ii) \Rightarrow (i) Let $m \in \mathbb{N}$ be as in (ii) and denote the circulant matrix $\mathbf{B}_{\mathbf{x}}^m$ by $\mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{y}}$. By Lemma 3.1, $\mathbf{y} \in \mathcal{C}'_n$, i.e. the symbol b of $\mathbf{B}_{\mathbf{x}}^m$ has its maximum at 1 and only there. Arguing as in the proofs of Lemma 1.2 and Theorem 2.1, the same holds for the symbol c of $\mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{x}}$, so that $\mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{C}'_n$.

(i) \Rightarrow (iv) Let $\mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{C}'_n$. Following the proof of Theorem 2.2 up to (2.2), we see that, for all entries of $\mathbf{B}_{\mathbf{x}}^m$, let us denote them by $b_{jk}^{(m)}$, we have the following limits as $m \rightarrow \infty$,

$$\frac{b_{jk}^{(m)}}{\|\mathbf{B}_{\mathbf{x}}^m\|} \rightarrow \frac{1}{n}, \quad \text{so that} \quad \frac{|b_{jk}^{(m)}|}{\|\mathbf{B}_{\mathbf{x}}^m\|} \rightarrow \left| \frac{1}{n} \right| = \frac{1}{n}$$

and hence

$$\frac{b_{jk}^{(m)}}{|b_{jk}^{(m)}|} = \frac{b_{jk}^{(m)}}{\|\mathbf{B}_{\mathbf{x}}^m\|} \frac{\|\mathbf{B}_{\mathbf{x}}^m\|}{|b_{jk}^{(m)}|} \rightarrow \frac{1}{n} \cdot n = 1,$$

showing that $\arg b_{jk}^{(m)} \rightarrow 0$. It follows that, for all sufficiently large m , all entries of $\mathbf{B}_{\mathbf{x}}^m$ are nonzero and subject to (3.1). This clearly implies (iv). \square

4. CONCLUSION

Theorems 2.1 and 2.2 are clearly not meant to give efficient ways of computing the spectral norm of a generic real circulant matrix – one cannot beat formula (1.1) in terms of the computational cost. Rather than that, our theorems connect two apparently different questions to each other:

- (i) whether $\|\mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{x}}\|$ equals $|x_0 + \dots + x_{n-1}|$, and
- (ii) eventual positivity of the semigroup $(\mathbf{B}_{\mathbf{x}}^m)_{m=0}^{\infty}$.

In the complex case, one has the same results but instead of being real and positive, the matrix entries of $\mathbf{B}_{\mathbf{x}}^m$ only have to belong to a certain cone (3.1) around the positive half axis.

REFERENCES

- [1] P.J. Davis, *Circulant Matrices*, Wiley, 1979.
- [2] J.K. Merikoski, P. Haukkanen, M. Mattila, T. Tossavainen, *The spectral norm of a Horadam circulant matrix*, JP Journal of Algebra, Number Theory and Applications, to appear.
- [3] J.K. Merikoski, P. Haukkanen, M. Mattila, T. Tossavainen, *On the spectral and Frobenius norm of a generalized Fibonacci r -circulant matrix*, Special Matrices **6** (2018), 23–36.

Marko Lindner
lindner@tuhh.de

Techn. Univ. Hamburg (TUHH)
Institut Mathematik
D-21073 Hamburg, Germany

Received: March 28, 2018.

Revised: April 4, 2018.

Accepted: April 4, 2018.