



Prevalence of Respiratory Polyomaviruses Among Pediatric Patients With Respiratory Symptoms in Singapore

Christophe Hansen-Estruch^{1,2*}, Kristen K. Coleman¹, Koh C. Thoon³, Jenny G. Low^{1,4}, Benjamin D. Anderson⁵ and Gregory C. Gray^{1,5}

¹ Program in Emerging Infectious Diseases, Duke-NUS Medical School, Singapore, Singapore, ² Duke University School of Medicine, Durham, NC, United States, ³ Department of Paediatrics, Infectious Disease Service, KK Women's and Children's Hospital, Singapore, Singapore, ⁴ Department of Infectious Diseases, Singapore General Hospital, Singapore, Singapore, ⁵ Division of Infectious Diseases, Global Health Institute and Nicholas School of the Environment, Duke University, Durham, NC, United States

OPEN ACCESS

Edited by:

Johannes Günter Liese,
Universitätsklinikum Würzburg,
Germany

Reviewed by:

Ulrich von Both,
Klinikum der Universität München,
Germany
Rinawati Rohsiswatmo,
RSUPN Dr. Cipto Mangunkusumo,
Indonesia

*Correspondence:

Christophe Hansen-Estruch
ch294@duke.edu

Specialty section:

This article was submitted to
Pediatric Infectious Diseases,
a section of the journal
Frontiers in Pediatrics

Received: 09 January 2018

Accepted: 26 July 2018

Published: 17 August 2018

Citation:

Hansen-Estruch C, Coleman KK,
Thoon KC, Low JG, Anderson BD and
Gray GC (2018) Prevalence of
Respiratory Polyomaviruses Among
Pediatric Patients With Respiratory
Symptoms in Singapore.
Front. Pediatr. 6:228.
doi: 10.3389/fped.2018.00228

Background: Although WU polyomavirus (WU) and KI polyomavirus (KI) have been demonstrated to infect the human respiratory tract, it remains unclear if WU or KI cause human disease. We sought to further investigate the relationship between WU and KI infection and respiratory disease in a pediatric population with respiratory symptoms in Singapore.

Methods: We conducted a cross-sectional study of pediatric patients with respiratory symptoms in a Singaporean pediatrics hospital. Upon consent, residual respiratory samples from pediatric inpatients, previously screened for common respiratory viruses, were collected and further screened for WU and KI using qPCR. The amplicons of positive samples were sequenced for confirmation. The severity of a patient's illness was assessed by chart review post-discharge looking for clinical markers of respiratory status such as presenting symptoms, diagnoses, and interventions.

Results: From December 2016 to April 2017, 201 patients with residual respiratory samples were enrolled in the study. The average age of all participants recruited was 45 months. WU and KI were detected in 13% (26/201) and 3% (6/201) of patients, respectively. Conducting bivariate and multivariate modeling, patients with WU or KI positivity were not at increased risk of SARI, need for additional oxygen, intravenous fluids, and did not receive additional oral antibiotics or bronchodilators during admission. In contrast, patients with RSV detections were at increased risk of requiring supplemental oxygen during hospital admission.

Conclusion: While limited in sample size, our pilot study data do not support the hypothesis that molecular evidence of WU or KI was associated with increased morbidity among a sample of general, pediatric patients with respiratory illness in Singapore.

Keywords: polyomavirus, WU, KI, respiratory illness, respiratory virus

INTRODUCTION

Human polyomaviruses are non-enveloped DNA viruses known to cause disease in immunosuppressed human populations by infecting the urinary tract and central nervous system. However, recent clinical studies using molecular assays to monitor for polyomavirus infections in pediatric patients have revealed an association between respiratory disease and two newly discovered polyomaviruses, WU polyomavirus (WU), and KI polyomavirus (KI) (1–6). Recently, the International Committee on Taxonomy of Viruses has classified WU and KI as polyomavirus species 4 and 3, respectively. Potential for these respiratory polyomaviruses to cause or

worsen disease has been suggested by an observed positive relationship between real-time PCR Ct values from KI-positive patient samples and the severity of respiratory symptoms (7).

Even though WU and KI were only recently discovered in 2007, researchers have established a worldwide presence spanning North America, Europe, Asia (2, 8–13). The majority of respiratory polyomavirus studies have focused upon archived clinical samples and have not provided detailed clinical data regarding the symptoms experienced by polyomavirus infected patients (2, 8–13). As a result, the clinical implications and burden on health systems of WU and KI infection are not well known. Although WU and KI have been demonstrated to infect

TABLE 1 | Characteristics of 201 pediatric study subjects and an examination of their risk factors for severe acute respiratory infection (SARI), Singapore December 2016 to April 2017.

	Patients without SARI <i>n</i> = 60	Patients with SARI <i>n</i> = 141	Unadjusted comparisons	Adjusted comparisons
Mean age (months)	47.4	44.2	<i>p</i> -value = 0.69	
Gender				
Male	35 (58%)	79 (56%)	–	–
Female	25 (42%)	62 (44%)		
Age-group				
Less than 32 months	24 (40.00%)	74 (52.48%)	–	–
32 months or older	36 (60.00%)	67 (47.52%)		
Presence of Runny Nose on Admission				
Yes	23 (38.33%)	85 (60.28%)	PR = 1.31 95% CI (1.08–1.59)	PR = 1.27 95% CI (1.06–1.51)
No	37 (61.67%)	56 (39.72%)		
Asthma diagnosis				
Yes	9 (15%)	6 (4%)	PR = 0.55 95% CI (0.29–1.03)	-Not Significant-
No	51 (85%)	135 (96%)		
Pneumonia diagnosis				
Yes	1 (1.67%)	25 (17.73%)	PR = 1.45 95% CI (1.27–1.65)	-Not Significant-
No	59 (98.33%)	116 (82.27%)		
Received oral antibiotics during admission				
Yes	14 (23%)	74 (52%)	PR = 1.42 95% CI (1.19–1.69)	PR = 1.39 95% CI (1.17–1.65)
No	46 (77%)	67 (48%)		
Molecular detection of WU				
Yes	4 (6.7%)	22 (15.6%)	PR = 1.24 95% CI (1.03–1.51)	-Not significant-
No	56 (93.3%)	119 (84.4%)		
Molecular detection of KI				
Yes	0 (0%)	6 (3.16%)	–	–
No	60 (100%)	135 (95.74%)		
Immunofluorescent detection of adenovirus				
Yes	0 (0%)	2 (1.42%)	–	–
No	60 (100%)	139 (98.58%)		
Immunofluorescent detection of parainfluenza virus				
Yes	0 (0%)	4 (2.84%)	–	–
No	60 (100%)	137 (97.16%)		
Immunofluorescent detection of enterovirus				
Yes	2 (3.33%)	1 (0.71%)	PR = 0.47 95% CI (0.09–2.34)	–
No	58 (96.67%)	140 (99.29%)		
Immunofluorescent detection of RSV				
Yes	0 (0%)	8 (5.67%)	–	–
No	60 (100%)	133 (94.33%)		
Immunofluorescent detection of metapneumovirus				
Yes	1 (1.67%)	3 (2.13%)	PR = 1.07 95% CI (0.60–1.90)	–
No	59 (98.33%)	138 (97.87%)		

Comparison of means was performed using student's *t*-test. Bivariate analyses were performed using the chi-square or Fisher exact test. Adjusted prevalence ratios were calculated using a stepwise, backwards elimination unconditional logistic regression model.

TABLE 2 | Characteristics of 201 pediatric study subjects and an examination of their risk factors for the use of additional oxygen (O₂), Singapore December 2016 to April 2017.

	Patients not requiring O ₂ (n = 157)	Patients requiring O ₂ (n = 44)	Unadjusted comparisons	Adjusted comparisons
Age-group				
Less than 32 months	77 (49.04%)	21 (47.73%)	–	
32 months or older	80 (50.96%)	23 (52.27%)		
URTI diagnosis				
Yes	45 (28.66%)	2 (4.55%)	PR = 0.16 95% CI (0.04–0.62)	-Not significant-
No	112 (71.34%)	42 (95.45%)		
Asthma diagnosis				
Yes	5 (3.18%)	10 (22.73%)	PR = 3.65 95% CI (2.28–5.83)	-Not significant-
No	152 (96.82%)	34 (77.27%)		
Bronchitis diagnosis				
Yes	24 (15.3%)	15 (34.1%)	PR = 2.14 95% CI (1.28–3.60)	-Not significant-
No	133 (84.7%)	29 (65.9%)		
Use of bronchodilators				
Yes	34 (21.66%)	39 (88.64%)	PR = 13.67 95% CI (5.64–33.16)	PR = 12.60 95% CI (5.17–30.68)
No	123 (78.34%)	5 (11.36%)		
Diagnosis of Pneumonia				
Yes	19 (21.66%)	7 (88.64%)	PR = 1.27 95% CI (0.64–2.55)	–
No	138 (78.34%)	37 (11.36%)		
Molecular detection of WU				
Yes	21 (13.38%)	5 (11.36%)	PR = 0.86 95% CI (0.37–1.99)	–
No	56 (93.3%)	119 (84.4%)		
Molecular detection of KI				
Yes	6 (3.82%)	0 (0%)	–	–
No	151 (96.18%)	44 (100%)		
Immunofluorescent detection of adenovirus				
Yes	2 (1.27%)	0 (0%)	–	–
No	155 (98.73%)	44 (100%)		
Immunofluorescent detection of parainfluenza				
Yes	4 (2.55%)	0 (0%)	–	–
No	153 (97.45%)	44 (100%)		
Immunofluorescent detection of enterovirus				
Yes	3 (1.91%)	0 (0%)	–	–
No	154 (98.09%)	44 (100%)		
Immunofluorescent detection of RSV				
Yes	1 (0.64%)	7 (15.91%)	PR = 4.56 95%CI (3.09–6.74)	PR = 1.77 95% CI (1.23–2.58)
No	156 (99.36%)	37 (84.09%)		
Immunofluorescent detection of metapneumovirus				
Yes	3 (1.91%)	1 (2.27%)	–	–
No	154 (98.09%)	43 (97.73%)		

Comparison of means was performed using student's *t*-test. Bivariate analyses were performed using the chi-square or Fisher exact test. Adjusted prevalence ratios were calculated using a stepwise, backwards elimination unconditional logistic regression model.

the human respiratory tract, it remains unclear how often WU and KI infections cause clinical signs or symptoms (14, 15). In this study, we sought to further investigate the relationship between respiratory disease and WU and KI infection by conducting surveillance for these viruses among Singaporean pediatric patients experiencing respiratory illness to evaluate the severity of their disease using clinical markers of respiratory status or disease severity.

METHODS

Patient Sample and Clinical Data Collection

We conducted a cross-sectional study of general pediatric inpatients who were screened for respiratory viruses at a public

Singaporean children's hospital, from December 2016 to April 2017. Inclusion criteria for the study were simply hospitalized patients who received a screening viral respiratory panel. This panel was ordered by the attending medical team in charge of the patients' care and was independent of the study team. The screening panel was ordered within 24 h of respiratory symptom onset and may have been ordered in conjunction with other microbiological testing depending on the patient case. Upon receiving informed consent from these patients and the parents or guardians of these patients, residual samples from clinical respiratory specimens were obtained from the in-house clinical microbiology laboratory (CML) for processing. Patient clinical data, including presenting symptoms and clinical interventions, were collected by chart review after discharge. We selected the pediatric population as this demographic is

TABLE 3 | Characteristics of 201 pediatric study subjects and an examination of their risk factors for the use of intravenous fluids (IVF), Singapore December 2016 to April 2017.

	Patients not requiring IVF (n = 139)	Patients requiring IVF (n = 62)	Unadjusted comparisons	Adjusted comparisons
Age-group				
Less than 32 months	64 (46.04%)	34 (54.84%)	—	
32 months or older	75 (53.96%)	28 (45.16%)		
Bronchitis diagnosis				
Yes	33 (23.74%)	6 (9.68%)	PR=0.45; 95% CI (0.21-0.96)	PR=0.45; 95% CI (0.21-0.96)
No	106 (76.26%)	56 (90.32%)		
Pneumonia diagnosis				
Yes	14 (10.07%)	12 (19.35%)	PR= 1.62 95% CI (1.00-2.60)	-Not significant-
No	125 (89.93%)	50 (80.65%)		
Molecular detection of WU				
Yes	17 (12.23%)	9 (14.52%)	PR=1.14 95% CI (0.64-2.03)	—
No	122 (87.77%)	53 (85.48%)		
Molecular detection of KI				
Yes	4 (2.88%)	2 (3.23%)	PR=1.08 95% CI (0.34-3.42)	—
No	135 (97.12%)	60 (96.77%)		
Immunofluorescent detection of adenovirus				
Yes	1 (0.72%)	1 (1.61%)	—	—
No	138 (99.28%)	61 (98.39%)		
Immunofluorescent detection of parainfluenza virus				
Yes	3 (2.16%)	1 (1.61%)	—	—
No	136 (97.84%)	61 (98.39%)		
Immunofluorescent detection of enterovirus				
Yes	2 (1.44%)	1 (1.61%)	—	—
No	137 (98.56%)	61 (98.39%)		
Immunofluorescent detection of RSV				
Yes	5 (3.60%)	3 (4.84%)	—	—
No	134 (96.40%)	59 (95.16%)		
Immunofluorescent detection of metapneumovirus				
Yes	3 (2.16%)	1 (1.61%)	—	—
No	136 (97.84%)	61 (98.39%)		

Comparison of means was performed using student's t-test. Bivariate analyses were performed using the chi-square or Fisher exact test. Adjusted prevalence ratios were calculated using a stepwise, backwards elimination unconditional logistic regression model.

commonly afflicted with respiratory infections. This study was approved by the SingHealth IRB (CIRB Ref No.: 2016/2952) and the Duke IRB (Ref No.: Pro00079130).

Sample Processing

The CML screens clinical respiratory samples with the D3 Double Duet DFA (Direct Fluorescent Antibody) Respiratory Virus Screening and ID Kit (REF: I-01-320000, Quidel, San Diego, CA, USA) for influenza virus types A and B, respiratory syncytial virus (RSV), metapneumovirus (MPV), adenovirus, and parainfluenza virus types 1, 2, and 3. Samples positive for influenza A, B or adenovirus were sent to the Ministry of Health, Singapore laboratory for further processing and were not included in our study.

DNA was extracted from the residual respiratory samples using Qiagen DNA Blood Mini Kit (Cat No 51106; Qiagen, Hilden, Germany), following the instructions provided by the manufacturer. Previously identified primers, covering the small T antigen of KI and the VP2 regulatory region of WU, were used for TaqMan™ real-time PCR (qPCR) (16). Specific primers and probes included:

KI forward (KI-B-4603-F): GAATGCATTGGCATTTCGTGA

KI reverse (KI-B-4668-R): GCTGCAATAAGTTTAGATTAG TTGGTGC

KI probe (KI-B-4632-TM): fam-TGTAGCCATGA ATGCATACATCCCCTACTGC-tamra

WU forward (WU-C-4824-F): GGCACGGCGCCAACCT

WU reverse (WU-C-4898-R): CCTGTTGTAGGCCTTACTT ACCTGTA

WU probe (WU-C-4861-TM): fam-TGCCATACCA ACACAGCTGCTGAGC-tamra

All respiratory samples were initially screened using qPCR conducted on a BIO-RAD CFX 96 (Hercules, CA, USA) with the QIAGEN QuantiNova Probe PCR kit (Cat No: 208254, Qiagen, Hilden, Germany). Briefly, the mix contained 10 μL of probe PCR Master mix, 0.8 μL each of 10 μM forward and reverse primer, 0.4 μL of 10 μM probe, 3 μL of nuclease-free water, and 5 μL of sample nucleic acid extract in a final reaction volume of 20 μL. The samples were cycled under the following conditions: initial denaturation of 15 min at 95°C, 55 cycles of denaturation at 95°C for 15 s, and extension at 60°C for 1 min. Included in each experiment was a negative (no template) control and a positive control of an oligonucleotide taken from the VP2 region of the WU strain S3 (Genbank

TABLE 4 | Characteristics of 201 pediatric study subjects and an examination of their risk factors in association with length of stay (LOS), Singapore December 2016 to April 2017.

	Patients with LOS < 3days (n = 98)	Patients with LOS ≥ 3days (n = 103)	Unadjusted comparisons	Adjusted comparisons
Age-group				
Less than 32 months	44 (44.90%)	56 (54.37%)		
32 months or older	54 (55.10%)	47 (45.63%)		
Bronchitis diagnosis				
Yes	26 (26.53%)	13 (12.62%)	PR = 0.6 95%CI (0.38–0.95)	-Not significant-
No	72 (73.47%)	90 (87.38%)		
Asthma diagnosis				
Yes	12 (12.24%)	3 (2.91%)	PR=0.37 95%CI (0.13–1.03)	-Not significant-
No	86 (87.76%)	100 (97.09%)		
Received IV fluids during admission				
Yes	18 (18.37%)	44 (42.72%)	PR = 1.67 95%CI (1.30–2.14)	PR = 1.51 95%CI (1.18–1.93)
No	80 (81.63%)	59 (57.28%)		
Received bronchodilators during admission				
Yes	43 (43.88%)	30 (29.13%)	PR = 0.72 95%CI (0.53–0.96)	-Not significant-
No	55 (56.12%)	73 (70.87%)		
Received oral antibiotics during admission				
Yes	31 (31.63%)	57 (55.34%)	PR = 1.59 95%CI (1.21–2.08)	PR = 1.43 95%CI (1.10–1.85)
No	67 (68.37%)	46 (44.66%)		
Molecular detection of WU				
Yes	15 (17.05%)	11 (9.73%)		
No	73 (82.95%)	102 (90.27%)		
Molecular detection of KI				
Yes	4 (4.08%)	2 (1.94%)		
No	94 (95.92%)	101 (98.06%)		
Immunofluorescent detection of adenovirus				
Yes	0 (0%)	2 (1.94%)		
No	100 (100%)	101 (98.06%)		
Immunofluorescent detection of parainfluenza virus				
Yes	3 (3.06%)	1 (0.97%)		
No	95 (96.94%)	100 (99.03%)		
Immunofluorescent detection of enterovirus				
Yes	1 (1.02%)	2 (1.94%)		
No	97 (98.98%)	101 (98.06%)		
Immunofluorescent detection of RSV				
Yes	5 (5.10%)	3 (2.91%)		
No	93 (94.90%)	98 (97.09%)		
Immunofluorescent detection of metapneumovirus				
Yes	2 (2.04%)	2 (1.94%)		
No	96 (97.96%)	101 (98.06%)		

Comparison of means was performed using student's *t*-test. Bivariate analyses were performed using the chi-square or Fisher exact test. Adjusted prevalence ratios were calculated using a stepwise, backwards elimination unconditional logistic regression model.

number EF444552) and the small T antigen region of the KI Stockholm 380 strain (Genbank number EF127908) (17). Positive samples were tested again in duplicate under the same conditions and the Ct values were averaged (**Supplementary Table 1**) and were subsequently sequenced for confirmation using previously published sequencing PCR methods (1).

Statistical Methods

Statistical analyses were performed using Stata 14 (Stata Corp, College Station, TX, USA). Initial bivariate screening was conducted between selected covariates of interest and various outcome variables representing respiratory illness severity. A student's *t*-test for continuous variables, and chi-square or Fisher exact tests for dichotomous or categorical variables, were used. Predictors with a bivariate *p* < 0.1 were then

included in a stepwise, backward elimination unconditional generalized linear regression model. Predictors with a *p* < 0.05 were retained in the final model and adjusted prevalence ratios calculated. Outcome variables that were assessed included severe acute respiratory illness (SARI) as defined by the World Health Organization (fever >38°C, cough, onset within last 10 days, and requires hospitalization), oxygen delivery, intravenous fluids, length of stay greater than or equal to 3 days, receiving oral antibiotics during admission, and prescription of a bronchodilator (18).

RESULTS

A total of 214 patients and parents or guardians of patients granted informed consent and were enrolled into the study. Data

TABLE 5 | Characteristics of 201 pediatric study subjects and an examination of their risk factors for the use of oral antibiotics (abx), Singapore December 2016 to April 2017.

	Patients not prescribed Oral Abx (n = 113)	Patients prescribed Oral Abx (n = 88)	Unadjusted comparisons	Adjusted comparisons
Age-group			–	
Less than 32 months	50 (44.25%)	48 (54.55%)		
32 months or older	63 (55.75%)	40 (45.45%)		
URTI diagnosis				–
Yes	32 (28.32%)	15 (17.05%)	PR = 0.67 95%CI (0.43–1.06)	
No	81 (71.68%)	73 (82.95%)		
Asthma diagnosis				–No convergence- [*] -NoN
Yes	14 (12.39%)	1 (1.14%)	PR = 0.14 95%CI (0.02–0.95)	
No	99 (87.61%)	87 (98.86%)		
Pneumonia diagnosis				–No convergence- [*] -N
Yes	0 (0%)	26 (29.55%)	PR = 4.57 [*] 95%CI (1.75–7.38)	
No	113 (100%)	62 (70.45%)		
Molecular detection of WU			–	–
Yes	11 (9.73%)	15 (17.05%)		
No	102 (90.27%)	73 (82.95%)		
Molecular detection of KI			–	–
Yes	4 (3.54%)	2 (2.27%)		
No	109 (96.46%)	86 (97.73%)		
Immunofluorescent detection of adenovirus				–
Yes	0 (0%)	2 (2.27%)	–No Convergence- [*]	
No	113 (100%)	86 (97.73%)		
Immunofluorescent detection of parainfluenza			–	–
Yes	3 (2.65%)	1 (1.14%)		
No	110 (97.35%)	87 (98.84%)		
Immunofluorescent detection of enterovirus			–	–
Yes	2 (1.77%)	1 (1.14%)		
No	111 (98.23%)	87 (98.84%)		
Immunofluorescent detection of RSV			–	–
Yes	4 (3.54%)	4 (4.55%)		
No	109 (96.46%)	84 (95.45%)		
Immunofluorescent detection of metapneumovirus				–
Yes	0 (0%)	4 (4.55%)	No Convergence- [*] -	
No	113 (100%)	84 (95.45%)		

Comparison of means was performed using student's *t*-test. Bivariate analyses were performed using the chi-square or Fisher exact test. (^{*}) Values for pneumonia diagnosis, detection of adenovirus, and detection of metapneumovirus were not suitable for relative risk calculation and required logistic modeling. No adjusted modeling was performed due to lack of convergence.

from nine subjects were removed due to insufficient quantity of residual nasopharyngeal samples. Four more patients were also removed as their paper charts were not traceable. In total, 201 residual samples from unique patients were collected (200 nasopharyngeal swabs and one endotracheal lavage from an intubated patient). Subjects were mostly male (56%) with a mean age of 45 months (Table 1). Seventy percent (141/201) of patients enrolled met criteria for SARI.

Overall, WU and KI viruses were detected in 13% (26/201) and 3% (6/201) of patients, respectively. Other respiratory viruses were detected, including adenovirus, parainfluenza, enterovirus, RSV, and metapneumovirus at much lower prevalence. One percent (2/201) of patients had evidence of both WU and KI infection. There were four cases where evidence of WU virus was found with one other virus in the screening panel (parainfluenza virus, RSV, metapneumovirus, rotavirus) yet this number was too low to perform statistical analysis. There were no detected cases of polyomavirus and bacterial coinfection. WU was the most prevalent out of all the viruses detected. Fifty-eight percent of

patients infected with WU met clinical criteria for SARI. The prevalence of KI in this study was too low to perform multivariate modeling. Bivariate screening of risk factors for association with SARI revealed six variables to be potentially significant predictors ($p \leq 0.1$): presence of runny nose, diagnosis of asthma, diagnosis of pneumonia, molecular detection of WU, and receiving oral antibiotics during admission. A stepwise, backward elimination logistic regression model generated from these variables revealed that SARI patients were at higher risk of presenting with a runny nose (adjusted PR = 1.27, 95% C.I. 1.06–1.51) and receiving oral antibiotics during admission (adjusted PR = 1.39, C.I. 1.17–1.65). Neither molecular evidence of WU nor KI were significantly associated with other factors of severe respiratory disease gathered in this study (Table 1).

In an examination of risk factors for “requiring supplemental O₂,” the multivariate model revealed the use of bronchodilators and evidence of RSV infection to be significant predictors (Table 2). Multivariate modeling of the outcome “use of intravenous (IV) fluids” revealed a negative association with

TABLE 6 | Characteristics of 201 pediatric study subjects and an examination of their risk factors for the use of bronchodilators during admission, Singapore December 2016 to April 2017.

	Patients not prescribed dilators (n = 128)	Patients prescribed dilators (n = 73)	Unadjusted comparisons	Adjusted comparisons
Age-group				
Less than 32 months	61 (47.66%)	37 (50.68%)	PR = 0.92 95%CI (0.64–1.34)	
32 months or older	67 (52.34%)	36 (49.32%)		
Cough				
Yes	106 (82.81%)	71 (97.26%)	PR = 4.81 95%CI (1.26–18.37)	-No significance-
No	22 (17.19%)	2 (2.74%)		
URTI diagnosis				
Yes	42 (32.81%)	5 (6.85%)	PR = 0.24 95%CI (0.10–0.56)	-No significance-
No	86 (67.19%)	68 (93.15%)		
Asthma diagnosis				
Yes	0 (0%)	15 (20.55%)	PR = 4.22* 95%CI (1.39–7.05)	-No convergence-
No	128 (100%)	58 (79.45%)		
Bronchitis diagnosis				
Yes	9 (7.03%)	30 (41.10%)	PR = 2.89 95%CI (2.13–3.95)	PR = 1.82 95%CI (1.49–2.24)
No	119 (92.97%)	43 (58.90%)		
Use of O2 during admission				
Yes	5 (3.91%)	39 (53.42%)	PR = 4.09 95%CI (2.98–5.61)	PR = 3.67 95%CI (2.69–5.05)
No	123 (96.09%)	34 (46.58%)		
Molecular detection of WU				
Yes	15 (11.72%)	11 (15.07%)	PR = 1.19; 95% CI (0.73–1.95)	-
No	113 (88.28%)	62 (84.93%)		
Molecular detection of KI				
Yes	4 (3.13%)	2 (2.74%)	PR = 0.92 95%CI (0.29–2.88)	-
No	124 (96.87%)	71 (97.26%)		
Immunofluorescent detection of Adenovirus				
Yes	0 (0%)	2 (2.74%)	-	-
No	128 (100%)	71 (97.26%)		
Immunofluorescent detection of Parainfluenza				
Yes	3 (2.34%)	1 (1.37%)	-	-
No	125 (97.66%)	72 (98.63%)		
Immunofluorescent detection of enterovirus				
Yes	3 (2.34%)	0 (0%)	-	-
No	125 (97.66%)	73 (100%)		
Immunofluorescent detection of RSV				
Yes	1 (0.78%)	7 (9.59%)	PR = 2.56 95%CI (1.84–3.55)	PR = 1.002 95%CI (1.0019–1.002)
No	127 (99.22%)	66 (90.41%)		
Immunofluorescent detection of Metapneumovirus				
Yes	2 (1.56%)	2 (2.74%)	-	-
No	126 (98.46%)	71 (97.26%)		

Comparison of means was performed using student's t-test. Bivariate analyses were performed using the chi-square or Fisher exact test. Adjusted prevalence ratios were calculated using a stepwise, backwards elimination unconditional logistic regression model. (*) Values for asthma diagnosis were not suitable for relative risk calculation and required logistic modeling. This variable was not included in adjusted comparisons as convergence could not be achieved.

a diagnosis of bronchitis (**Table 3**). The use of IV fluids and receiving oral antibiotics during admission showed a positive association with the outcome “increased length of stay (LOS),” while both diagnosis of bronchitis and asthma showed an inverse association (**Table 4**). Multivariate modeling to predict risk factors for oral antibiotics during hospitalization could not be completed due to lack of convergence, although a diagnosis of asthma or a diagnosis of pneumonia were trending toward significance (**Table 5**). When assessing prescription of bronchodilators during hospitalization as the outcome, multivariate modeling revealed a diagnosis of bronchitis and use of supplemental oxygen to have a positive association and

presence of RSV to have a small positive association, while diagnosis of asthma could not be included due to failure to converge in the final model. (**Table 6**).

DISCUSSION

The prevalence of WU and KI detected in our study was 13% (26/201) and 3% (6/201) respectively with 1% (2/201) coinfection. These data represent the first reporting, to our knowledge, of respiratory polyomaviruses in a Singaporean population. In comparison with other Asian countries, WU

prevalence has been reported as 7.0% in Korea, 4.2% in China, 6.29% in Thailand, 5.3% in the Philippines, and 16.4% in Japan (3, 4, 7, 17, 19). The prevalence of KI has been reported as 1.0% in Korea, 2.7% in China, 1.99% in Thailand, 4.2% in the Philippines, and 3.0% in Japan (3, 4, 7, 17, 19). The prevalence of WU in our study was higher than many other Southeast Asian countries and may be attributable to our use of a more sensitive qPCR detection methods as used in Teramoto et al. in Japan (19). This study, like many previous prevalence studies, was not able to incorporate asymptomatic controls, however WU has been detected at proportions of 4.2 and 6.3% in children without respiratory symptoms (3, 20). Additional patients with influenza and adenovirus infections were not available for study which could alter our study population to detect a higher prevalence of WU or KI.

Although previous studies suggest respiratory polyomaviruses as a cause of respiratory disease, pathogenicity has not been proven (2, 8–13, 21). If polyomaviruses were a clinically significant contributor to severe respiratory disease in the pediatric population one might expect infected individuals to have more severe presenting symptoms, more severe diagnoses, and require more interventions. Although presence of WU virus trended toward a significant association with SARI, it was not associated with any of the interventions or outcomes we studied. We interpret the lack of significant differences in clinical diagnoses or severe disease interventions between polyomavirus-positive and polyomavirus-negative patients to suggest that the overall clinical burden of WU, at least in this small study, was small. In contrast, RSV was associated with the use of supplemental oxygen (Table 2) which demonstrated RSV's recognized increase in respiratory morbidity.

This study continues the trend toward detailed clinical reporting surrounding polyomavirus infections to produce more insightful knowledge regarding the association between these viruses and human disease as started by several groups (7, 17, 22, 23). In their study, Rao et al. studied a much larger pediatric cohort and suggested a pathogenic role for KI virus based on the association between viral load and reported disease severity in Filipino patients with lower respiratory tract disease, but reported no such association with WU (7). While the low number of KI positive patients in our study precludes the ability to comment on pathogenicity, the fact that all six KI patients met clinical criteria for SARI and were negative for non-polyomaviruses suggests that more clinical data should be gathered on KI positive patients. Both Rao et al. and our study are only cross-sectional snapshots of acute hospitalizations. A longitudinal study would be more valuable as it could permit a closer examination of morbidity, such as a reactivation or exacerbation of the symptoms of asthma (24–26).

Other study limitations include a lack of clinically established cutoffs for determining respiratory polyomavirus infections based on molecular detection mechanisms. This is a consistent problem across studies such as ours, as the clinical impact of respiratory polyomaviruses has not been fully established. Given that the association between molecular evidence of WU and SARI was trending toward significance, our study might have benefitted from increasing the sample size to further

elucidate any possible association. Inherent in a study addressing clinical significance, there might be other causative pathogens in symptomatic patients which were not detected or were failed to be detected given the screening DFA clinical assay. Our study had a low viral co-infection prevalence and thus we cannot comment on how respiratory polyomavirus infection affects children already infected with another virus. Although most of our samples came from nasopharyngeal swabs, there is inherent variability in sampling techniques. All patients were screened with a respiratory virus diagnostic panel that did not include rhinovirus or bacterial pathogens. Bacterial pathogen diagnostics ordering was dependent on the clinical team and not often requested. In addition, the use of antibiotics varied based on the medical team and the case, with only some teams discontinuing antibiotic therapy after a positive viral screen. Finally, our study would have been strengthened through the additional study of asymptomatic controls to provide a reference point and we would want to include this population in future studies. However, the premise of this pilot study was to establish the prevalence of respiratory polyomaviruses in pediatric patients with respiratory symptoms in Singapore, which was found to be higher than other Southeast Asian countries, and to explore the clinical burden of these viruses for which further studies are indicated.

CONCLUSIONS

We determined the prevalence of WU to be 13% and KI to be 3% which is high compared to other pediatric populations in SE Asia. Our results do not suggest that the molecular presence of WU in this Singaporean pediatric population with respiratory symptoms was associated with SARI or clinical interventions suggestive of severe respiratory illness.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

CH-E, GG, KT, and JL contributed toward conception and design of the study. CH-E and KT recruited patients and collected samples. CH-E performed the chart review, organized the database, and performed the molecular assays. CH-E, BA, and KC performed the statistical analysis; CH-E, KC, and GG wrote the first draft of the manuscript. All authors contributed to manuscript revision, read and approved the submitted version.

FUNDING

This study was funded via startup funds provided by the Duke NUS Graduate Medical School.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This study was approved by the SingHealth IRB (CIRB Ref No.: 2016/2952) and Duke IRB (Ref No.: Pro00079130). We thank Dr. Mee Poh Kian and Tham Nguyen at Duke NUS for helping set up the assay; Dr. Nancy W. Tee, Dr. Loo Liat Hui, Soong Han

Yang, and Jiang Zheng Hao at the KK Clinical Microbiology lab for storing residual samples; Emmerie Wong and Fatima Bautista at the KK Research Center for patient recruitment training; and Yelen and Iris Lim Bee Theng at KK hospital for locating patient charts.

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: <https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fped.2018.00228/full#supplementary-material>

REFERENCES

- Gaynor AM, Nissen MD, Whiley DM, Mackay IM, Lambert SB, Wu G. Identification of a novel polyomavirus from patients with acute respiratory tract infections. *PLoS Pathog.* (2007) 3:0595–604. doi: 10.1371/journal.ppat.0030064
- Allander T, Andreasson K, Gupta S, Bjerkner A, Bogdanovic G, Persson MA. Identification of a third human polyomavirus. *J Virol.* (2007) 81:4130–6. doi: 10.1128/JVI.00028-07
- Han TH, Chung J-Y, Koo JW, Kim SW, Hwang E-S. WU polyomavirus in children with acute lower respiratory tract infections, South Korea. *Emerg Infect Dis.* (2007) 13:1766–8. doi: 10.3201/eid1311.070872
- Yuan XH, Jin Y, Xie ZP, Gao HC, Xu ZQ, Zheng LS. Prevalence of human KI and WU polyomaviruses in children with acute respiratory tract infection in China. *J Clin Microbiol.* (2008) 46:3522–5. doi: 10.1128/JCM.01301-08
- Furuse Y, Suzuki A, Kishi M, Galang HO, Lupisan SP, Olveda RM. Detection of novel respiratory viruses from influenza-like illness in the Philippines. *J Med Virol.* (2010) 82:1071–4. doi: 10.1002/jmv.21763
- Siebrasse EA, Bauer I, Holtz LR, Le BM, Lassa-Claxton S, Canter C. Human polyomaviruses in children undergoing transplantation, United States, 2008–2010. *Emerg Infect Dis.* (2012) 18:1676–9. doi: 10.3201/eid1810.120359
- Rao S, Lucero MG, Nohynek H, Tallo V, Lupisan SP, Garcea RL. WU and KI polyomavirus infections in Filipino children with lower respiratory tract disease. *J Clin Virol.* (2016) 82:112–8. doi: 10.1016/j.jcv.2016.07.013
- Bialasiewicz S, Whiley DM, Lambert SB, Jacob K, Bletchly C, Wang D. Presence of the newly discovered human polyomaviruses KI and WU in Australian patients with acute respiratory tract infection. *J Clin Virol.* (2008) 41:63–8. doi: 10.1016/j.jcv.2007.11.001
- Abedi Kiasari B, Valley PJ, Corless CE, Al-Hammadi M, Klapper PE. Age-related pattern of KI and WU polyomavirus infection. *J Clin Virol.* (2008) 43:123–5. doi: 10.1016/j.jcv.2008.05.003
- Hormozdi DJ, Arens MQ, Le BM, Buller RS, Agapov E, Storch GA. KI Polyomavirus Detected in Respiratory Tract Specimens From Patients in St. Louis, Missouri. *Pediatr Infect Dis J.* (2009) 29:1. doi: 10.1097/INF.0b013e3181c1795c
- Foulongne V, Brieu N, Jeziorski E, Chatain A, Rodière M, Segondy M. KI and WU polyomaviruses in children, France. *Emerg Infect Dis.* (2008) 14:523–5. doi: 10.3201/eid1403.071206
- Debiaggi M, Canducci F, Brerra R, Sampaolo M, Marinozzi MC, Parea M. Molecular epidemiology of KI and WU polyomaviruses in infants with acute respiratory disease and in adult hematopoietic stem cell transplant recipients. *J Med Virol.* (2010) 82:153–6. doi: 10.1002/jmv.21659
- Neske E, Blessing K, Pröttel A, Ullrich E, Kreth HW, Weissbrich B. Detection of WU polyomavirus DNA by real-time PCR in nasopharyngeal aspirates, serum, and stool samples. *J Clin Virol.* (2009) 44:115–8. doi: 10.1016/j.jcv.2008.12.004
- Bialasiewicz S, Whiley DM, Lambert SB, Nissen MD, Sloots TP. Detection of BK, JC, WU, or KI polyomaviruses in faecal, urine, blood, cerebrospinal fluid and respiratory samples. *J Clin Virol.* (2009) 45:249–54. doi: 10.1016/j.jcv.2009.05.002
- Kean JM, Rao S, Wang M, Garcea RL. Seroepidemiology of human polyomaviruses. (2009) 5:e1000363. doi: 10.1371/journal.ppat.1000363
- Bialasiewicz S, Whiley DM, Lambert SB, Gould A, Nissen MD, Sloots TP. Development and evaluation of real-time PCR assays for the detection of the newly identified KI and WU polyomaviruses. *J Clin Virol.* (2007) 40:9–14. doi: 10.1016/j.jcv.2007.07.015
- Payungporn S, Chieochansin T, Thongmee C, Samransamruajkit R, Theamboonlers A, Poovorawan Y. Prevalence and molecular characterization of WU/KI polyomaviruses isolated from pediatric patients with respiratory disease in Thailand. *Virus Res.* (2008) 135:230–6. doi: 10.1016/j.virusres.2008.03.018
- WHO Surveillance Case Definitions for ILI and SARI. Available online at: http://www.who.int/influenza/surveillance_monitoring/ili_sari_surveillance_case_definition/en/ (Accessed June 20, 2016).
- Teramoto S, Kaiho M, Takano Y, Endo R, Kikuta H, Sawa H. Detection of KI polyomavirus and WU polyomavirus DNA by real-time polymerase chain reaction in nasopharyngeal swabs and in normal lung and lung adenocarcinoma tissues. *Microbiol Immunol.* (2011) 55:525–0. doi: 10.1111/j.1348-0421.2011.00346.x
- Wattier RL, Vázquez M, Weibel C, Shapiro ED, Ferguson D, Landry ML. Role of human polyomaviruses in respiratory tract disease in young children. *Emerg Infect Dis.* (2008) 14:1766–8. doi: 10.3201/eid1411.080394
- Rao S, Garcea RL, Robinson CC, Simões EAF. WU and KI polyomavirus infections in pediatric hematology/oncology patients with acute respiratory tract illness. *J Clin Virol.* (2011) 52:28–32. doi: 10.1016/j.jcv.2011.05.024
- Essa S, Owayed A, Altawalah H, Khadadah M, Behbehani N, Al-Nakib W. The prevalence of human bocavirus, human coronavirus-NL63, human metapneumovirus, human polyomavirus KI and WU in respiratory tract infections in Kuwait. *Med Princ Pract.* (2015) 24:382–7. doi: 10.1159/000381422
- Nunes MC, Kuschner Z, Rabede Z, Cutland CL, Madimabe R, Kuwanda L. Polyomaviruses-associated respiratory infections in HIV-infected and HIV-uninfected children. *J Clin Virol.* (2014) 61:571–8. doi: 10.1016/j.jcv.2014.10.013
- Dalianis T, Hirsch HH. Human polyomaviruses in disease and cancer. *Virology* (2013) 437:63–72. doi: 10.1016/j.virol.2012.12.015
- Mourez T, Bergeron A, Ribaud P, Scieux C, de Latour RP, Tazi A. Polyomaviruses KI and WU in immunocompromised patients with respiratory disease. *Emerg Infect Dis.* (2009) 15:107–9. doi: 10.3201/1501.080758
- Wu P, Hartert T. Evidence for a causal relationship between respiratory syncytial virus infection and asthma. *Natl Inst Heal.* (2011) 9:731–45. doi: 10.1586/eri.11.92.Evidence

Conflict of Interest Statement: The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Copyright © 2018 Hansen-Estruch, Coleman, Thoon, Low, Anderson and Gray. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.