

ORIGINAL RESEARCH published: 19 November 2018 doi: 10.3389/fneur.2018.00947



## Rare Copy Number Variations and Predictors in Children With Intellectual Disability and Epilepsy

Miriam Kessi<sup>1,2†</sup>, Juan Xiong<sup>1,2†</sup>, Liwen Wu<sup>1,2</sup>, Lifen Yang<sup>1,2</sup>, Fang He<sup>1,2</sup>, Chen Chen<sup>1,2</sup>, Nan Pang<sup>1,2</sup>, Haolin Duan<sup>1,2</sup>, Wen Zhang<sup>1,2</sup>, Ahmed Arafat<sup>1,2</sup>, Fei Yin<sup>1,2\*</sup> and Jing Peng<sup>1,2\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Department of Pediatrics, Xiangya Hospital, Central South University, Changsha, China, <sup>2</sup> Hunan Intellectual and Developmental Disabilities Research Center, Changsha, China

#### **OPEN ACCESS**

#### Edited by:

Rosa Rademakers, Mayo Clinic, United States

#### Reviewed by:

Nicholas James Bass, University College London, United Kingdom Manabu Funayama, Juntendo University, Japan

#### \*Correspondence:

Fei Yin yf2323@hotmail.com Jing Peng pengjing4346@163.com

<sup>†</sup>These authors have contributed equally to this work

#### Specialty section:

This article was submitted to Neurogenetics, a section of the journal Frontiers in Neurology

Received: 20 August 2018 Accepted: 23 October 2018 Published: 19 November 2018

#### Citation:

Kessi M, Xiong J, Wu L, Yang L, He F, Chen C, Pang N, Duan H, Zhang W, Arafat A, Yin F and Peng J (2018) Rare Copy Number Variations and Predictors in Children With Intellectual Disability and Epilepsy. Front. Neurol. 9:947. doi: 10.3389/fneur.2018.00947 **Introduction:** The concurrence of intellectual disability/global developmental delay and epilepsy (ID/GDD-EP) is very common in the pediatric population. The etiologies for both conditions are complex and largely unknown. The predictors of significant copy number variations (CNVs) are known for the cases with ID/GDD, but unknown for those with exclusive ID/GDD-EP. Importantly, the known predictors are largely from the same ethnic group; hence, they lack replication.

**Purpose:** We aimed to determine and investigate the diagnostic yield of CNV tests, new causative CNVs, and the independent predictors of significant CNVs in Chinese children with unexplained ID/GDD-EP.

**Materials and methods:** A total of 100 pediatric patients with unexplained ID/GDD-EP and 1,000 healthy controls were recruited. The American College of Medical Genetics guideline was used to classify the CNVs. Additionally, clinical information was collected and compared between those with significant and non-significant CNVs.

**Results:** Twenty-eight percent of the patients had significant CNVs, 16% had variants of unknown significance, and 56% had non-significant CNVs. In total, 31 CNVs were identified in 28% (28/100) of cases: 25 pathogenic and 6 likely pathogenic. Eighteen known syndromes were diagnosed in 17 cases. Thirteen rare CNVs (8 novel and 5 reported in literature) were identified, of which three spanned dosage-sensitive genes: 19q13.2 deletion (*ATP1A3*), Xp11.4-p11.3 deletion (*CASK*), and 6q25.3-q25.3 deletion (*ARID1B*). By comparing clinical features in patients with significant CNVs against those with non-significant CNVs, a statistically significant association was found between the presence of significant CNVs and speech and language delay for those aged above 2 years and for those with facial malformations, microcephaly, congenital heart disease, fair skin, eye malformations, and mega cisterna magna. Multivariate logistic regression analysis allowed the identification of two independent significant CNV predictors, which are eye malformations and facial malformations.

**Conclusion:** Our study supports the performance of CNV tests in pediatric patients with unexplained ID/GDD-EP, as there is high diagnostic yield, which informs genetic

1

counseling. It adds 13 rare CNVs (8 novel), which can be accountable for both conditions. Moreover, congenital eye and facial malformations are clinical markers that can aid clinicians to understand which patients can benefit from the CNV testing and which will not, thus helping patients to avoid unnecessary and expensive tests.

Keywords: intellectual disability, global developmental delay and epilepsy, copy number variations, independent predictors, clinical markers

#### INTRODUCTION

Intellectual disability (ID) is a complex neurodevelopmental ailment characterized by low intelligence quotient (IQ <70) and restrictions in adaptive functioning, normally diagnosed before the age of 18 years (1). Global developmental delay (GDD) is defined as significant delay in two or more developmental domains. Significant delay is determined by performance that is two or more standard deviations lower than the mean on objective, norm-referenced, and age-appropriate testing in two or more domains (2). The term GDD is used for young children who are <5 years of age, as there is some disagreement on how to objectively measure IQ and cognition in a consistent, reliable, and valid fashion in these patients (3). Epilepsy (EP) is a brain disease characterized by two unprovoked seizures >24 h apart (International League Against Epilepsy [ILAE]) (4). Epilepsy is a very common disease in children with intellectual disability/global developmental delay (ID/GDD) with an estimated prevalence of about 22.2% (5, 6). Patients with both intellectual disability/global developmental delay and epilepsy (ID/GDD-EP) have a mortality rate 3.3 times higher than those with only intellectual disability/global developmental delay (7).

Genetic etiologies, prenatal illnesses, postnatal illnesses, environmental factors, and metabolic diseases can account for ID/GDD-EP. For a substantial proportion of people with both conditions, the underlying etiology has yet to be elucidated. Camfield et al. found in their study that  $\sim$ 37% of their patients had unknown etiology (8).These patients without obvious cause can be classified as patients with unexplained ID/GDD-EP. The obvious causes include conditions such as metabolic diseases, infectious diseases, immunological conditions, autoimmune diseases, brain injuries, Down syndrome, and tuberous sclerosis complex.

Genetic factors play a major role in etiology, especially in pediatric patients, who are highly heterogeneous (8). Copy number variations (CNVs) are important mechanisms of genomic diversity and evolutionary changes in humans. Over the past decade, genomewide identification of CNVs has become efficient, allowing the detection of causative submicroscopic chromosomal aberrations, especially in neuropsychiatric disorders, including autism spectrum disorder, ID/GDD, EP, and schizophrenia (9). Borlot et al. found a high prevalence of pathogenic CNVs in their cohort of adults with pediatric-onset epilepsy and intellectual disability (10). However, only a few studies have focused on the identification of the role of CNV tests in pediatric patients with ID/GDD-EP, especially from China.

Copy number variations can be detected by multiple methods, including array-based comparative genomic hybridization

(aCGH), single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) arrays, and next-generation sequencing (CNV seq) (11). The CNV tests are expensive despite the fact that they are useful in identifying the etiologies of neuropsychiatric disorders. The diagnostic yield ranges from 15 to 20%; hence, not all cases have pathogenic CNVs (11). Therefore, it is important to have clinical markers/predictors that could guide clinicians in ordering this particular test. There are few studies that focused on identifying the predictors of significant (pathogenic or likely pathogenic) CNVs in patients with ID/GDD, and most of them are from the same ethnic group and, hence, lack replication (12–15). Moreover, no study has focused on identifying the predictors of significant CNVs among patients with exclusive unexplained ID/GDD-EP.

In this study, we sought to determine and investigate the diagnostic yield of CNV tests, new causative CNVs, and the independent predictors of significant CNVs in Chinese children with unexplained ID/GDD-EP. This study identifies new CNVs, which can be accountable for both ID/GDD and EP, and provides some clinical markers to help clinicians understand which patients can benefit from the CNV testing and which will not, thus helping patients avoid unnecessary and expensive tests, especially for resource-limited countries.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

#### **Ethical Clearance**

This study was reviewed and approved by the Institutional Ethics Committee of Xiangya Hospital Central South University, thus complying with the treaty agreed to in 1964 in Helsinki by the World Medical Association on ethical principles of human research for medical purposes and subsequent revisions of the same (2013). Both informed and written consent were obtained from the subjects.

#### **Human Subjects**

A total of 100 pediatric patients, diagnosed by senior neurologists to have unexplained ID/GDD-EP at the Children's Intellectual Disability Medical Institution, Department of Pediatric Neurology, Xiangya Hospital, were recruited from the hospital database retrospectively. In total, 1,000 in-house healthy controls from the Chinese population were recruited. Inclusion criteria for this cross-sectional study include: (1) aged 14 years and below, (2) diagnosed with unexplained ID with IQ < 70 or with unexplained GDD with DQ < 85, (3) diagnosed with unexplained EP, which happened after ID/GDD, and (4) underwent a CNV test. The exclusion criteria include: (1) diagnosed with only unexplained ID/GDD without EP, (2) diagnosed with only unexplained EP without ID/GDD,(3) diagnosed with ID/GDD that happened after EP, and (4) diagnosed with unexplained ID/GDD-EP, but did not undergo a CNV test.

#### **Diagnostic Protocol**

The assessment of the ID was done according to diagnostic criteria of the DSM-5 for intellectual disabilities (Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders, Fifth Edition, American Psychiatric Association, 2013). Observations, clinical interview, and standardized age-related rating scales were used for the assessment of the adaptive functioning. However, the diagnosis was often initially formulated based on clinical judgment, rather than on formal standardized assessments, especially for young patients (16). Standardized age-related rating scales that were used include: Gesell Developmental Schedules for patients younger than 2-4 years, Wechsler Preschool and Primary Scale of Intelligence-Fourth Edition (WPPSI-IV) for patients between 4 and 6 years, and Wechsler Intelligence Scale for Children-Fourth Edition (WISC-IV) for patients who were 6 years old or above. Clinical judgment was utilized to grade the severity of GDD for the patients aged below 2 years. Patients with deficits in their adaptive and intellectual functioning with an onset during their developmental period were classified into four categories, such as mild ID when their IQ values ranged from 55 to 70, moderate ID when IQ values ranged from 40 to 55, severe ID when IQ values ranged from 25 to 40, and profound ID when IQ values were less than 25. Patients with DQ ranging from 65 to 84 were considered to have mild GDD, those with DQ ranging from 45 to 64 were considered to have moderate GDD, and those with DQ of 44 or less were considered to have severe GDD. Epilepsy was diagnosed according to International League Against Epilepsy (ILAE) guidelines. Clinical, neurophysiological, and imaging data were considered in defining phenotypes. Phenotypes were classified into known electro-clinical syndromes according to the ILAE classification, where possible, or into "unclassified epilepsy" when they did not fit the criteria for syndromes.

## **Copy Number Variation Tests**

Whole-genome CNVs were detected using the following: CNV\_01 Affymetrix aGGH+SNP Microarray for 8 patients, Illumina HumanCytoSNP-12 BeadChip for 6 patients, and low-depth whole-genome sequencing for the remaining patients. One-thousand healthy controls were genotyped using the Illumina Human610-Quad BeadChip (17). BeadChip the CNV\_01 Affymetrix aGGH+SNP Microarray incorporates 200,000 of the best SNPs for CNV testing (https://www. thermofisher.com). The Illumina HumanCyto-SNP12 BeadChip is a powerful, whole-genome scanning panel that incorporates 300,000 of the best SNPs for CNV testing and has dense coverage of around 250 genomic regions commonly screened in cytogenetics laboratories (https://www.illumina.com). Lowdepth whole-genome sequencing is a cost-effective approach, which detects low frequency and rare variation in complex trait-association studies (http://www.biorxiv.org). Chromosome coordinates refer to chromosome build (hg19).

# Copy Number Variation Analysis and Classification

The pathogenicity of CNVs was predicted based on their size and contained gene according to the American College of Medical Genetics (ACMG) guidelines for interpretation of postnatal CNVs (18). All detected CNVs in patients were, firstly, compared with the DGV database (Database of Genomic Variants, http://dgv.tcag.ca/gb2/gbrowse/dgv) and 1,000 healthy controls (17). The identified candidate CNVs, which did not overlap with those in the DGV and healthy controls, were then compared with DECIPHER (Database of Chromosomal Imbalance and Phenotype in Humans using Ensemble Resources, https://decipher.sanger.ac.uk) and ClinVar (https://www.ncbi. nlm.nih.gov/clinvar). The genes involved in the chromosomal region of interest and their functions were studied for their potential role in ID/GDD or EP/both by using all available evidence in databases such as the Online Mendelian Inheritance in Man (OMIM), ClinGen, Gene Reviews, and PubMed. For each relevant OMIM gene that could play a role in the patient's phenotype, the residual variation intolerance score (RVIS) and the percentile of most intolerant genes (MIG) to guide the interpretation of potential clinical significance were applied (19). The RVIS is based on allele frequency and represented in wholeexome sequence data from the National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute Exome Sequencing Project 6500 datasets. A gene with a positive score has more common functional variation and a gene with a negative score has less variation and is referred to as intolerant. The more intolerant a gene is, the more likely it is associated with the disease, if mutated or at abnormal levels. Additionally, the Human Protein Atlas was used to study their tissue expression (https://www.proteinatlas.org/). Parents were analyzed, where available, to determine if the CNV had arisen de novo or was inherited. Furthermore, parental phenotypes were taken into account to help determine if the CNV was significant.

## Validation of Suspected Pathogenic Copy Number Variations

Parents of patients with suspected pathogenic CNVs were tested for validation whenever available and gave consent. The fluorescence *in situ* hybridization or quantitative polymerase chain reaction or CNV tests were used for validation and identification of the mode of CNV inheritance.

## **Statistical Analysis**

For the purpose of analysis, we divided patients into two major groups. The first group consisted of patients with significant CNVs (pathogenic and likely pathogenic). The second group consisted of patients with non-significant CNVs (likely benign, benign, or no CNV detected). Carriers of variants of unknown significance (VOUS) were excluded from the analysis, since the pathogenic role was unknown. The phenotypes of the two groups were compared and analyzed using IBM SPSS Statistics 22.0 software (IBM, Armonk, NY, USA). We excluded the missing values in data analysis. We compared the clinical data of patients with significant CNVs and those with non-significant CNVs. The association between each clinical feature and CNV test results was first established using a Chi-squared test (or Fisher's exact test when appropriate). Fisher's exact test (2-sided), rather than a Chi-squared test (2-sided), was used when one or more of the cells had an expected value of <5. Furthermore, to identify the independent predictors of significant CNVs, all significant variables in the univariate analysis were subjected into a multivariate logistic regression model and backward stepwise selection of variables was performed, using the presence of significant CNVs as a dependent dichotomous variable. Odds ratios, standard error, 95% confidence intervals (CI), and positive and negative predictive values were calculated. Results with a value of  $P \leq 0.05$  were considered to be statistically significant.

## RESULTS

## **Cohort Characteristics**

Our study consisted of 100 patients with unexplained ID/GDD-EP, where 63% (63/100) were males and 37% (37/100) were females. The mean age of diagnosis of EP and ID/GDD was 30.45 months  $\pm$  33.2038 SD and 9.8 months  $\pm$  13.8082 SD, respectively. About 7% (7/100) had mild ID/GDD, 36% (36/100) had moderate ID/GDD, and 57% (57/100) had severe ID/GDD. All patients underwent brain imaging (MRI or CT scan) and EEG examination. Moreover, 47 patients had brain abnormalities such as ventriculomegaly, hippocampal sclerosis, mega cisterna magna, arachnoid cysts, hydrocephalus, widened subarachnoid space, white matter dysplasia, brain atrophy, and smaller pituitary gland, while 53 patients had normal findings. About 94% (94/100) of the patients had abnormal EEG findings, while 6% (6/100) had normal findings (**Table 1**).

## **Copy Number Variation Test Results**

Twenty-four percent (24/100 cases) had at least one pathogenic CNV each, 4% (4/100 cases) had at least one likely pathogenic CNV, 16% (16/100 cases) had VOUS, 49% (49/100 cases) had benign CNVs, and 7% (7/100 cases) had no CNV detected. Thirty-one CNVs (25 pathogenic and 6 likely pathogenic) were identified in 28% (28/100 cases) as possible causes for unexplained ID/GDD-EP. One case had 3 CNVs (all were likely pathogenic), one case had 2 pathogenic CNVs, 23 cases had one pathogenic CNV each, and 3 cases had one likely pathogenic CNV each. The size of their CNVs ranged from 0.11 Mb to 21 Mb. Twenty of all detected pathogenic or likely pathogenic CNVs were deletions and 13 were duplications. Eight patients had *de novo* CNVs, while others had an unknown mode of inheritance since their parents were not tested (**Supplementary Table 1**).

## **Identified Syndromes**

Eighteen known syndromes were diagnosed in 17 cases as follows: Angelman syndrome for two cases (case number 3 and 23), Prader-Willi syndrome for two cases (case number 8 and 15), Lubs X-linked mental retardation syndrome for three cases (case number 13, 16, and 21), 16p11.2-p12.2 microdeletion syndrome for three cases (case number 9, 18, and 25), 1p36 microdeletion syndrome for two cases (case number 17 and 26),

**TABLE 1** | Cohort characteristics of the 100 patients with both unexplained intellectual disability/global developmental delay and epilepsy.

Clinical characteristics	Sample size (N) and percentage		
SEX			
Male	63.0% (63/100)		
Female	37.0% (37/100)		
DIAGNOSIS AGE			
Mean age of diagnosis of epilepsy	30.450 months $\pm$ 33.2038SD. Range = 0–155 months		
Mean age of diagnosis of intellectual disability/global developmental delay.	9.8 months $\pm$ 13.8082SD. Range = 1–85 months.		
INTELLECTUAL DISABILITY CATE	GORIES		
Mild	7.0% (7/100)		
Moderate	36.0% (36/100)		
Severe	57.0% (57/100)		
MRI/CT SCAN RESULTS			
Normal	53.0% (52/100)		
Abnormal	47.0% (47/100)		
EEG RESULTS			
Normal	6.0% (6/100)		
Abnormal	94.0% (94/100)		
MRI magnetic resonance imagin	a: CT computed tomography: FEG		

MRI, magnetic resonance imaging; CT, computed tomography; EEG, electroencephalogram.

2q33.1 deletion syndrome for one case (case number 27), 17p13.1 microdeletion syndrome for one case (case number 2), 1q21.1 recurrent microdeletion syndrome for one case (case number 5), 3q29 microduplication syndrome and 1q43q44 microdeletion syndrome for one case (case number 20), and Wolf-Hirschhorn syndrome for one case (case number 28). One case had two CNVs that present two syndromes: 3q29 microduplication syndrome and 1q43q44 microdeletion syndrome and 1q43q44 microdeletion syndrome. This information is summarized in **Table 2**.

## Rare Pathogenic CNVs and Their Candidate Genes

Seven rare pathogenic CNVs were identified, and three of them span dosage-sensitive genes: deletion at 19q13.2 (*ATP1A3*) for case number 1, Xp11.4-p11.3 (*CASK*) for case number 4, and 6q25.3-q25.3 (*ARID1B*) for case number 6. Three CNVs were large deletions, meaning >3 Mb and one is *de novo* duplication of 14.82 Mb. This information is summarized in **Table 3**.

## **Rare Likely Pathogenic CNVs**

Six rare likely pathogenic CNVs were identified. They span genes whose function is unclear, but correlate with patients' phenotypes. These include duplication at 4q24-q24 (*CENPE*) for two cases, 10q21.2-q21.2 (*ANK3*), 14q32.31-q32.31 (*DYNC1H1*), 1p34.2-p34 (*SZT2*), and Xp21.3-p21.3 (*IL1RAPL1*). This information is summarized in **Table 4**.

#### TABLE 2 | Eighteen identified syndromes.

Case No	Seizure type	ID/GDD category	CNV	Genomic coordinates (NCBI 37/hg19)	Associated genetic syndrome
2	Tonic	Severe	Unknown inheritance 17p13.1-p13.1 del (380 Kb)	Chr17: 6861627–7241627	17p13.1 microdeletion syndrome (OMIM 613776)
5	Focal	Severe	<i>De novo</i> 1q21.1-q21.2 del (3.99 Mb)	Chr1: 143896003– 147888300	1q21.1 recurrent microdeletion syndrome (OMIM 612474)
7	Myoclonus	Severe	Unknown inheritance 15q11.2-q13.1 del (4.92 Mb)	Chr15: 23609854-28526207	Angelman syndrome (OMIM 105830)
8	Focal	Moderate	Unknown inheritance 15q11.2-q13.1 del (4.93 Mb)	Chr15: 23609854–28536207	Prader-Will syndrome (OMIM 176270)
9	Focal	Severe	Unknown inheritance 16p11.2-p11.2 del (550 Kb)	Chr16: 29661922–30211922	16p11.2-p12.2 microdeletion syndrome (OMIM 613604)
13	Tonic- clonic	Severe	Unknown inheritance Xq28-q28 dup (340 Kb)	ChrX: 153232100– 153572100	Lubs X-linked mental retardation syndrome (OMIM 300260)
15	Tonic	Severe	Unknown inheritance 15q11.2-q13.1 del (5.15 Mb)	Chr15: 23609854–28756207	Prader-Willi syndrome (OMIM 176270)
16	Tonic	Moderate	Unknown inheritance Xq28-q28 dup (210 Kb)	ChrX: 153362100– 153572100	Lubs X-linked mental retardation syndrome (OMIM 300260)
17	Focal, spasm	Severe	Unknown inheritance 1p36.33-p36.33 del (1.10 Mb)	Chr1: 746369–1846369	1p36 microdeletion syndrome (OMIM 607872)
18	Focal	Moderate	Unknown inheritance 16p11.2-p11.2 del (640 Kb)	Chr16: 29571922–30211922	16p11.2-p12.2 microdeletion syndrome (OMIM 613604)
20	Focal	Severe	<i>De novo</i> 1q44q44 del (4.6Mb)	Chr1: 244307212- 248903211	1q43q44 microdeletion syndrome (OMIM 612337)
			<i>De novo</i> 3q29-q29 dup (880Kb)	Chr3: 195732252– 196612252	3q29 microduplication syndrome (OMIM 611936)
21	Tonic	Moderate	Unknown inheritance Xq28-q28 dup (210 Kb)	ChrX: 153362100- 153572100	Lubs X-linked mental retardation syndrome (OMIM 300260)
23	Tonic	Severe	Unknown inheritance 15q11.2-q13.1 del (6.01 Mb)	Chr15: 22751194–28756207	Angelman syndrome (OMIM 105830)
25	Tonic	Moderate	<i>De novo</i> 16p11.2-p11.2 del (640 Kb)	Chr16: 29571922–30211922	16p11.2-p12.2 microdeletion syndrome (OMIM 613604)
26	Tonic	Moderate	Unknown inheritance 1p36.32-p36.23 del (5.3 Mb)	Chr1: 3417960-8774451	1p36 microdeletion syndrome (OMIM 607872)
27	Focal	Severe	<i>De novo</i> 2q33.1-q34 del (8.9 Mb)	Chr2: 202781244- 211695915	2q33.1 deletion syndrome/Glass syndrome (OMIM 612313)
28	Focal	Severe	Unknown inheritance 4p16.3-p16.1 del (7.3 Mb)	Chr4: 68345–7109830	Wolf-Hirschhorn syndrome (OMIM 194190)

M, male; F, female; Del, deletion; Dup, duplication; ID, intellectual disability; GDD, global developmental delay; EP, epilepsy; OMIM, Online Mendelian Inheritance in Man.

#### TABLE 3 | Seven rare pathogenic CNVs and their candidate genes information.

Case number/Sex/ CNV	Genes in the region	Known or candidate gene (s) for ID/GDD or EP/both	Associated diseases and mode of inheritance	RVIS (% of MIG)	Gene expression studies	CNV Reported in literature	Classification
1/M De novo 19q13.2 deletion (333 Kb)	11 RefSeq genes including <i>ATP1A3, ERF</i>	ATP1A3	Alternating hemiplegia of childhood 2 (OMIM 614820) (AD)	-1.53 (3.37%)	High expression in neural tissues	Yes	Ρ
3/F Unknown inheritance 10q11.23-q22.1 deletion (21 Mb)	154 RefSeq genes including <i>ANK3.</i>	ANK3	Mental retardation, autosomal recessive, 37(OMIM 615493) (AR)	—3.5 (0.33%)	Medium expression in neural tissues.	No	Р
4/F Unknown inheritance Xp11.4-p11.3 deletion (2.14 Mb)	15 RefSeq genes including CASK	CASK	Mental retardation and microcephaly with pontine and cerebellar hypoplasia(MICPCH) (OMIM 300749) (XLD): Mental retardation, with or without nystagmus (OMIM 300422) (XLD): FG syndrome 4 (OMIM 300422) (XLD).	-0.715 (14.4%)	Medium expression in neural tissues	No	Ρ
6/F Unknown inheritance 6q25.3-q25.3 del (240 Kb)	2 RefSeq genes including <i>ARID1B</i>	ARID1B	Coffin-Siris syndrome 1(OMIM 135900) (AD)	-2.62 (0.8%)	High expression in neural Tissues	Yes	Р
10/M <i>De novo</i> 10q23.31-q24.33 duplication (14.82Mb)	245 RefSeq genes, including <i>C10orf2,</i> <i>CNNM2, and</i> <i>LGI1.</i>	C10orf2, CNNM2, LGI1	Mitochondrial DNA depletion syndrome 7 (hepatocerebral type) (OMIM 271245) (AR): Hypomagnesemia, seizures, and mental retardation. (OMIM 616418) (AR,AD): Epilepsy, familial temporal lobe, 1 (OMIM 600512) (AD).	-0.78 (12.97%) -0.98 (8.75%) -0.71 (14.4%)	High expression in neural tissues	No	Ρ
22/M Unknown inheritance 8p21.2-p21 del (10.8Mb)	106 RefSeq genes including <i>CHRNA2</i>	CHRNA2	Epilepsy, nocturnal frontal lobe, type 4 (OMIM 610353) (AD).	-0.753 (13.67%)	Low expression in neural tissues	Yes	Ρ
24/M <i>De novo</i> 8p23.3-p23.2 deletion (5.57 Mb)	20 RefSeq genes including <i>CLN8</i>	CLN8	Ceroid lipofuscinosis, neuronal, 8, Northern epilepsy variant (OMIM 610003) (AR).	-0.14 (43.77%)	Medium expression in neural tissues	Yes	Ρ

M, male; F, female; AD, autosomal dominant; AR, autosomal recessive; CNV, copy number variation; ID, intellectual disability; GDD, global developmental delay; EP, epilepsy; MIG, most intolerant genes; RefSeq, reference sequence; RVIS, Residual Variation Intolerance Score; OMIM, Online Mendelian Inheritance in Man; MRI, magnetic resonance imaging; NIL, nothing.

## Comparison of CNVs and Clinical Findings (N = 84)

By comparing seizure characteristics, clinical information, EEG findings, brain imaging findings, and clinical features in patients with significant CNVs against those with non-significant CNVs, a statistically significant association was found between the presence of significant CNVs and speech and language delay for those aged above 2 years (P = 0.025) and for those with facial malformations (P = 0.000), microcephaly (P = 0.014), congenital heart disease (P = 0.005), fair skin (P = 0.034), eye malformations (P = 0.037), and mega cisterna magna (P = 0.034) (**Table 5).** Eye malformations (P = 0.032) and facial malformations (P = 0.027) were identified as independent

predictors of significant CNVs according to multivariate logistic regression analysis (**Table 6**). The positive predictive value for the adjusted model was 65%, whereas negative predictive value was 80% (**Table 7**).

## DISCUSSION

This study focuses on pediatric patients with unexplained ID/GDD-EP, with its major aims being to identify the following: the diagnostic yield of CNV tests, new causative CNVs, and the independent predictors of significant CNVs. Thirty-one CNVs (25 pathogenic and 6 likely pathogenic) in 28% (28/100) of cases were identified and 17 cases had 18 known

TABLE 4	Six rare likely	pathogenic CNVs	and their candidate	genes information.
		patriogonio or wo		gonoo miomiation.

Case number/Sex/ CNV	Genes in the region	Known or candidate gene (s) for ID/GDD or EP/both	Associated diseases and mode of inheritance	RVIS (% of MIG)	Gene expression studies	CNV reported in literature	Classification
11/M De novo 4q24-q24 duplication (150 Kb)	2 RefSeq genes including <i>CENPE</i>	CENPE	Microcephaly 13, primary, autosomal recessive(OMIM 616051) (AR)	-0.55 (20%)	Low expression in neural tissues	No	LP
12/M Unknown inheritance 1p34.2-p34 duplication (160Kb)	7 RefSeq genes including <i>SZT2</i>	SZT2	Epileptic encephalopathy, early infantile, 18(OMIM 616418)(AR)		High expression in neural tissues	No	LP
12/M Unknown inheritance 4q24-q24 duplication (170 Kb)	3 RefSeq genes including <i>CENPE</i>	CENPE	Microcephaly 13, primary, autosomal recessive(OMIM 616051) (AR)	-0.55 (20%)	Low expression in neural tissues	No	LP
12/M Unknown inheritance 10q21.2-q21.2 duplication (180 Kb)	ANK3	ANK3(part)	Mental retardation, autosomal recessive, 37(OMIM 615493) (AR)	-3.5 (0.334%)	Medium expression in neural tissues	No	LP
14/M Unknown inheritance Xp21.3-p21.3 duplication (110 Kb)	2RefSeq genes including IL1RAPL1	IL1RAPL1	Mental retardation, X-linked 21/34 (OMIM 300143) (XLR)	-0.60 17.74%	Highly expression in neural tissues	Yes	LP
19/F Unknown inheritance 14q32.31-q32.31 duplication (140 Kb)	2 RefSq genes including DYNC1H1	DYNC1H1	Mental retardation, autosomal dominant 13(OMIM 614563) (AD)	-6.01 (0.04%)	Highly expression in neural tissues	No	LP

M, male; F, female; AD, autosomal dominant; AR, autosomal recessive; CNV, copy number variation; ID, intellectual disability; GDD, global developmental delay; EP, epilepsy; MIG, most intolerant genes; RefSeq, reference sequence; RVIS, Residual Variation Intolerance Score; OMIM, Online Mendelian Inheritance in Man; MRI, magnetic resonance imaging; NIL, nothing.

syndromes. One case had two CNVs that presented two different syndromes: 3q29 microduplication syndrome and 1q43q44 microdeletion syndrome. Three rare non-recurrent CNVs encompassing dosage-sensitive genes were identified: deletion at 19q13.2 (ATP1A3), Xp11.4-p11.3 (CASK), and 6q25.3-q25.3 (ARID1B). The relative burden conveyed by each clinical feature accompanying unexplained ID/GDD-EP was evaluated by both univariate and multivariate analysis in 84 patients (28 patients with significant CNVs and 56 patients with non-significant CNVs). Upon seeking the association between significant CNVs and clinical findings of patients with unexplained ID/GDD-EP, we found that speech and language delay for those aged above 2 years (P = 0.025), facial malformations (P = 0.000), microcephaly (P = 0.014), congenital heart disease (P = 0.005), fair skin (P = 0.034), eye malformations (P = 0.037), and mega cisterna magna (P = 0.034) were associated with positive CNV tests. However, only eye malformations (P = 0.032) and facial malformations (P = 0.027) were independent predictors according to multivariate logistic regression. Their positive and negative predictive values were 65 and 80%, respectively.

The role of CNVs has been well-studied in children with EP (20–22) and ID/GDD (23, 24); however, only a few studies have focused on patients with ID/GDD-EP. The diagnostic yield of CNV tests in patients with unexplained EP ranges from 5 to 15% (21, 25, 26), while for individuals with unexplained ID/GDD, ASD, or multiple congenital anomalies, it is estimated to range from 15 to 20% (11). This study of pediatric patients with

unexplained ID/GDD-EP revealed that a high proportion of our patients had clinically relevant CNVs. Twenty-eight probands (28%) had 25 pathogenic and 6 likely pathogenic CNVs. Fry et al. and Mullen et al. studied pediatric patients with genetic generalized epilepsy with ID, whereby they found the prevalence of pathogenic and likely pathogenic CNVs ranging from 8.8 to 28% (27, 28), respectively. Our finding is similar to that of Mullen et al. (28). Borlot et al. investigated adult patients with pediatric-onset ID and EP, whereby the prevalence of pathogenic and likely pathogenic CNVs was found to be 16.1% (10). Their study was based in Canada where there are multiple ethnicities. It involved 143 adults in which most of them had mild ID associated with focal or febrile seizures that started 1 year after birth. Our study involved 100 Chinese children in which most of them had severe ID associated with focal seizures that started within the first year of delivery. Our study revealed a higher diagnostic yield compared to that of Borlot et al. This can be explained by the fact that in most cases, patients with severe ID/GDD and EP end up dying early, when compared to those with mild ID/GDD and EP. We speculate that the study performed by Borlot et al. might have missed some cases with severe ID/GDD due to early deaths. However, the higher diagnostic yield in our study could also be due to ascertainment bias. Consequently, our findings support the usage of CNV testing in pediatric patients with unexplained ID/GDD-EP, as there is a high diagnostic yield which informs genetic counseling.

TABLE 5 | Comparison of CNVs and clinical features of patients with both unexplained intellectual disability/global developmental delay and epilepsy.

Pathogenic (n = 2g)         Benigh (n = 6g)           28/2         36.9% (01/34)         0.201           Intelectual islability constit at 35 months and above         35.7% (10/23)         55.4% (10/56)         48.9% (10/34)         0.000           Age of months and above         11.1% (02/27)         9.3% (05/41)         49.9% (10/37)         0.202           Bifth weight > 2.500 g         17.9% (5/28)         7.4% (4/44)         11.0% (02/2)         0.208           Bifth weight > 2.500 g         7.1% (5/28)         2.0% (10/55)         0.0% (0/28)         0.0% (0/28)           Pinnatury - 37 weeks GA         0.0% (0/29)         7.3% (4/65)         0.4% (4/83)         0.208           Hindbarding conductions         17.9% (6/28)         2.00% (11/55)         2.05% (17/38)         0.77           Consequineous firmity         0.0% (0/29)         1.2% (1/55)         0.98% (0/28)         0.27% (10/28)           Pinnatury of FPH Dichom         2.5% (10/28)         3.64% (20/55)         3.98% (20/38)         0.700           Pinnatury of FPH Dichom         2.6% (6/28)         2.2% (10/55)         3.98% (20/38)         0.701           Pinnatury of FPH Dichom         2.6% (7/28)         0.2% (10/28)         0.2% (10/28)         0.2% (10/28)         0.2% (10/28)         0.2% (10/28)         0.2% (10/28)	Clinical feature	Copy number v	variation results	Overall (N = 84)	P-value
Create of selazore at 30 months and above         46.4% (13/28)         22.1% (18/26)         36.9% (81/84)         0.201           Intellectual disability orset at 3.5 months and above         51.7% (10/28)         66.4% (13/26)         48.8% (14/84)         0.000           Age of monthes '50 years and above         11.1% (9/27)         14.0% (750)         12.0% (10/77)         1.000           Birth weight ~ 2.500 g         7.1% (9/28)         7.4% (465)         1.10% (9/27)         0.202           Birth weight ~ 2.500 g         7.1% (9/28)         7.3% (465)         1.0% (9/27)         0.202           Birth weight ~ 2.500 g         7.1% (9/28)         7.3% (465)         0.8% (6/82)         0.256           Dimataning growth variability ~ 37 weeks GA         0.0% (0/28)         7.3% (465)         0.8% (6/82)         0.267           Consenguineous timmly         0.0% (0/28)         7.3% (10/28)         0.286 (13/83)         0.376           Family history of DirP/Dotth         6.3% (12/84)         0.005 (11/85)         3.28% (13/83)         0.266           Printigravida mother         2.6% (12/28)         3.6% (12/8)         0.3% (6/64)         0.070           Speech and Imagang delay >2 years         7.08% (12/8)         0.3% (10/65)         3.28% (12/8)         0.236           Microcophyly         2.6% (12/8) </th <th></th> <th>Pathogenic (<i>n</i> = 28)</th> <th>Benign (<i>n</i> = 56)</th> <th></th> <th></th>		Pathogenic ( <i>n</i> = 28)	Benign ( <i>n</i> = 56)		
indefectual classifity one and above         55 /% (10/28)         65 4/% (17%)         4.48% (14%)         0.08%           Age of morts? 35 years and above         11.1% (2/27)         14.0% (7/56)         13.0% (16/77)         1.00%           Birth wargirt > 4.5000         71.8% (6/28)         7.4% (4/44)         11.0% (6/27)         0.08% (6/61)           Birth wargirt > 4.5000         7.1% (6/28)         7.3% (4/54)         0.4% (6/67)         0.05% (6/64)           Prematury > 37 weeks GA         0.0% (0/28)         7.3% (4/55)         0.45% (6/78)         0.65% (6/78)           Hinauterre growth restriction         0.6% (0/28)         0.3% (6/26)         0.3% (6/26)         0.3% (6/26)         0.65% (6/28)         0.25% (1/78)         0.82% (6/26)         0.78% (6/78)         0.85% (6/28)         0.25% (1/78)         0.38% (3/28)         0.670           Prenatid complexition to diagnose of both EP and ID         6.5% (1/28)         0.05% (0/26)         0.35% (6/28)         0.070         0.05%         0.05% (0/28)         0.076         0.05% (0/28)         0.076         0.05% (0/28)         0.076         0.05% (0/28)         0.076         0.05% (0/28)         0.01% (0/08)         0.02% (0/27)         0.01% (0/28)         0.01% (0/28)         0.01% (0/28)         0.01% (0/28)         0.01% (0/28)         0.01% (0/28)         0.01% (0/28)	Onset of seizures at 30 months and above	46.4% (13/28)	32.1% (18/56)	36.9% (31/84)	0.201
Age of number 35 years and above         11.1% (A27)         9.3% (5/54)         9.9% (8/87)         0.722           Age of future 35 years and above         11.1% (A27)         14.0% (7/50)         13.0% (10.07)         1.000           Birn weight - 5,600g         7.1% (2/28)         5.5% (3/55)         4.8% (8/80)         0.969           Birn weight - 5,600g         7.1% (2/28)         5.6% (3/54)         9.8% (8/80)         0.105           Demonstrue 5.0% Veske CA         1.7% (6/28)         5.6% (3/54)         9.8% (8/80)         0.816           Oronanguinocous funty         0.0% (0/28)         1.7% (1/28)         8.0% (2/25)         3.7.3% (3/56)         0.878           Primitation of Defibrition         46.4% (17.28)         8.0.4% (2/25)         3.7.3% (3/56)         0.7.0%           Primitation of Defibrition         66.3% (1/28)         50.0% (2/26)         54.3% (0/26)         0.7.0%           Desch and inquage deby >2 years         2.8.6% (2/28)         2.7.5% (1/28)         8.3.9% (0/26)         0.070           Special and inquage deby >2 years         7.5% (1/28)         3.2.9% (1/156)         64.3% (0/26)         0.2.9% (0/26)           Special and inquage deby >2 years         7.5% (1/28)         0.0% (0/26)         1.2.% (1/14)         0.3.3%           Barbia danomations         7.5% (1	Intellectual disability onset at 3.5 months and above	35.7% (10/28)	55.4% (31/56)	48.8% (41/84)	0.090
Age of there 48 years and above       11.1% (A27)       14.0% (750)       11.0% (6/82)       0.000         Birth weight < 2.500g	Age of mother 35 years and above	11.1% (3/27)	9.3% (5/54)	9.9% (8/81)	0.792
Birth weight ~ 2,500g         7,1% (2/28)         7,4% (4/54)         11,0% (9/28)         0.282           Birth weight ~ 2,600g         7,1% (2/28)         5.5% (3/68)         6.0% (6/84)         1,000           Permituth v: 370 veels 0.A         17.9% (6/28)         5.5% (3/68)         9.8% (8/82)         0.115           Intrautem growth netriction         17.9% (6/28)         2.6% (1/68)         0.2% (1/68)         0.376           Consamplications         46.4% (13/28)         36.4% (2/055)         0.36% (0/28)         0.376           Pant placing of Ph/Dubch         57% (1/28)         5.5% (1/28)         0.378 (1/28)         0.276           Pant placing of Ph/Dubch         2.5% (1/28)         5.5% (2/28)         2.7% (1/68)         0.34% (6/28)         0.025           Speech and language delay > 2 years         7.0% (1/24)         43.1% (2/25)         5.2% (0/28)         0.025           Mecrocaphaly         42.9% (1/22)         0.7% (1/10%)         0.284         0.025           Mecroaphaly         3.6% (1/28)         0.0% (0/56)         1.2% (1/64)         0.033           Failal micromations         7.1% (2/28)         3.5% (1/28)         0.045         0.035           Speellar mathemations         7.1% (2/28)         3.5% (1/28)         0.046         0.0376	Age of father 35 years and above	11.1% (3/27)	14.0% (7/50)	13.0% (10/77)	1.000
Birth weigen > 4,000g         7,1% (229)         5.5% (365)         4.0% (6/44)         1.000           Prematurity < 37 weiks 0A	Birth weight < 2,500 g	17.9% (5/28)	7.4% (4/54)	11.0% (9/82)	0.262
Parataticly < 37 weeks GA	Birth weight > 4,000 g	7.1% (2/28)	5.5% (3/55)	6.0% (5/84)	1.000
instances growth restriction         17.9% (p28)         5.6% (p24)         9.8% (p82)         0.115           Hypota         0.0% (0/28)         1.8% (r/63)         1.2% (r/63)         0.397           Consanguineous termity         0.0% (0/28)         1.8% (r/63)         1.2% (r/63)         0.368           Prenet lacorptiontions         6.4.4% (r/378)         36.4% (0/05)         37.3% (s/383)         0.376           Prenet history of the rifensees prior to diagnosis of both EP and ID         64.3% (r/378)         36.2% (2/25)         52.0% (6/37)         0.001           Speech and language diaty > 2 years         0.20% (r/28)         17.9% (r/05)         26.2% (22.6%)         0.014           Macrocophaly         3.6% (r/28)         0.0% (0/56)         1.2% (r/94)         0.333           Facial mattermations         17.9% (r/28)         0.0% (0/56)         1.2% (r/94)         0.333           Depigmented skin spots         7.1% (2/28)         0.0% (0/56)         1.2% (r/94)         0.333           Depigmented skin spots         1.07% (s/28)         0.0% (0/56)         3.3% (r/64)         0.064           Gef a u lift spot         1.0% (s/28)         1.0% (s/66)         1.3% (r/14)         0.064           Gef a u lift spot         1.2% (r/64)         1.00% (s/67)         1.3% (r/64)	Prematurity < 37 weeks GA	0.0% (0/28)	7.3% (4/55)	4.8% (4/83)	0.295
hypoxia         21.4% (6/28)         20.0% (11/55)         20.5% (17/63)         0.879           Consanguineous ternity         0.0% (0/28)         1.8% (1/25)         39.4% (13/28)         0.267           Femaly theory of EP/ID.both         25.7% (10/28)         38.2% (21/55)         39.4% (3/38)         0.281           Pinatis complications         46.4% (13/28)         30.2% (21/55)         51.3% (5/68)         0.215           Pinatis complications         28.6% (8/28)         27.7% (18/55)         51.3% (6/68)         0.021           Speech and language delay >2 years         70.3% (17/28)         43.1% (22/51)         52.0% (39/78)         0.021           Microcophaly         26.5% (17/28)         0.0% (0/56)         1.2% (17/84)         0.333           Facial matomations         71.5% (21/28)         8.3% (5/58)         11.9% (10/84)         0.234           Steleta nationmations         71.1% (2/28)         7.1% (4/26)         7.1% (4/26)         0.3% (17/28)         0.0% (0/56)         3.6% (3/4)         0.033           Delay inpairment, strabismus and nystagmus)         7.5% (1/28)         0.0% (0/56)         3.6% (3/4)         0.034           Delay inpairment, strabismus and nystagmus)         2.5% (7/28)         7.1% (4/26)         7.1% (4/26)         0.10% (6/64)         0.005 <tr< td=""><td>Intrauterine growth restriction</td><td>17.9% (5/28)</td><td>5.6% (3/54)</td><td>9.8% (8/82)</td><td>0.115</td></tr<>	Intrauterine growth restriction	17.9% (5/28)	5.6% (3/54)	9.8% (8/82)	0.115
Consanguineous family         0.0% (0/28)         1.8% (1/55)         1.2% (1/83)         0.000           Prenatal complications         46.4% (15/28)         36.4% (20/55)         37.3% (17.83)         0.826           Pash history of ther illnesses prior to diagnosis of both EP and ID         64.3% (18/28)         50.0% (28/56)         54.8% (46/64)         0.215           Printy adds on ther         28.6% (8/28)         32.7% (18/55)         37.3% (20/33)         0.700           Speech and language delay >2 years         70.8% (17/24)         41.1% (22/51)         52.0% (39/75)         0.025           Macrocaphaly         3.6% (12/8)         0.0% (0/56)         1.2% (1/84)         0.333           Facial mafformations         17.9% (6/28)         8.9% (5/68)         11.9% (10/84)         0.333           Designmented skin spots         1.7% (6/28)         0.0% (0/65)         1.2% (1/84)         0.333           Designmented skin spots         1.0% (1/28)         0.0% (0/65)         1.2% (1/84)         0.033           Designmented skin spots         1.0% (1/28)         0.0% (0/65)         3.6% (7/84)         0.000           Café au lai spots         0.0% (0/26)         1.0% (1/84)         0.002         0.3% (7/84)         0.001           Designmented skin spots         1.0% (1/28)         0.1% (	Нурохіа	21.4% (6/28)	20.0% (11/55)	20.5% (17/83)	0.879
Prenatal complications         46.4% (13/28)         36.4% (20/55)         38.8% (3/83)         0.378           Family history of EP/ID/both         35.7% (10/28)         50.0% (22/56)         54.8% (02/84)         0.215           Primigravida mother         28.6% (02/8)         32.7% (18/55)         51.8% (02/84)         0.215           Primigravida mother         28.6% (02/8)         32.7% (18/55)         52.0% (02/64)         0.026           Microcophaly         24.9% (12/28)         17.9% (10/56)         26.2% (02/64)         0.030           Space hand language delay >2 years         75.6% (12/8)         0.0% (0766)         1.2% (17/84)         0.033           Facial matformations         75.6% (12/8)         0.9% (0766)         1.2% (17/84)         0.033           Beaking additionations         71.1% (22/8)         0.0% (0766)         1.2% (17/84)         0.033           Degigmented win spots         7.1% (22/8)         0.0% (0766)         3.6% (78/4)         0.034           Ear mathormations         10.7% (9/28)         0.0% (0766)         3.6% (78/4)         0.034           Ear mathormations         10.7% (9/28)         7.1% (4/66)         8.3% (78/4)         0.034           Congenital heards         0.0% (0769)         1.0.7% (9/84)         1.000           Ear	Consanguineous family	0.0% (0/28)	1.8% (1/55)	1.2% (1/83)	1.000
Family history of EPADboth         36.7% (10.28)         38.2% (21/55)         37.3% (31/83)         0.826           Past history of ther linesses prior to diagnosis of both EP and ID         64.3% (18/28)         50.0% (28/56)         54.48% (49/84)         0.215           Pringravida mother         28.6% (8/28)         27.7% (18/55)         31.3% (28/28)         0.005           Speech and language datay >2 years         70.8% (17/24)         43.1% (22/51)         52.0% (39/75)         0.025           Microcephaly         42.9% (12/28)         17.9% (10/56)         42.5% (10/84)         0.033           Facial mathemations         75.0% (21/28)         33.9% (19/56)         47.6% (40/84)         0.000           Skeletal mathemations         17.9% (52/8)         0.9% (56/6)         1.9% (10/84)         0.234           Depigmented skin spots         7.1% (22/8)         7.1% (4/56)         3.3% (7/84)         0.034           Café au lat spots         3.8% (17/82)         0.0% (0/56)         3.3% (7/84)         0.068           Fair sidin         10.7% (22/8)         7.1% (4/56)         3.3% (7/84)         0.068           Fair sidin         0.0% (0/28)         1.3% (11/84)         0.005         0.068           Fair sidin         0.0% (0/28)         1.3% (16/8)         1.007         0.7% (4	Prenatal complications	46.4% (13/28)	36.4% (20/55)	39.8% (33/83)	0.376
Past history of other illnesses prior to diagnosis of both EP and ID         64.3% (18/28)         50.0% (28/56)         54.8% (46/84)         0.215           Primigradda mother         28.6% (8/28)         32.7% (18/55)         51.3% (28/83)         0.700           Speech and language delay > 2 years         70.8% (17/28)         17.9% (10/56)         26.2% (22/84)         0.014           Microcephaly         3.6% (17/28)         0.0% (07/60)         1.2% (17/84)         0.333           Scieled matiomations         75.0% (21/28)         8.5% (6756)         11.9% (10/84)         0.2214           Brachyddachyd         3.6% (17/28)         0.0% (07/60)         1.2% (17/84)         0.333           Deigmented skin spots         7.1% (22/8)         7.1% (4/56)         1.9% (10/84)         0.032           Ear malormations         10.7% (22/8)         0.0% (07/60)         3.6% (7/84)         0.041           Hearing inpairment         10.7% (22/8)         0.7% (6/56)         1.3.1% (11/84)         0.033           Ear malormations (inpairment, strabismus and nystagmus)         25.0% (7/28)         7.1% (4/56)         1.3.1% (11/84)         0.061           Ear malormations (inpairment, strabismus and nystagmus)         25.0% (7/28)         7.1% (4/56)         1.3.1% (11/84)         0.005           Contal matificametions (inpairme	Family history of EP/ID/both	35.7% (10/28)	38.2% (21/55)	37.3% (31/83)	0.826
Primgravida mother         28.6% (8/28)         32.7% (18/55)         31.3% (26/83)         0.700           Speech and language delay >2 years         70.9% (17/24)         43.1% (22/5)         52.0% (69/75)         0.025           Microcephaly         3.6% (1/28)         0.0% (0/56)         1.2% (1/84)         0.333           Facal mathermations         75.0% (21/28)         3.3% (19/56)         47.6% (40/84)         0.000           Steletal mathermations         17.9% (6/28)         8.9% (6/56)         1.2% (1/84)         0.333           Delgimented skin spots         7.1% (2/28)         0.0% (0/56)         1.2% (1/84)         0.034           Calé au lait spots         3.6% (1/28)         0.0% (0/56)         8.3% (7/84)         0.034           Calé au lait spots         3.6% (1/28)         10.7% (6/56)         8.3% (7/84)         0.034           Calé au lait spots         3.6% (1/28)         10.7% (6/56)         8.3% (7/84)         0.036           Ear mathermations (mpairmant, strabismus and nystagmus)         25.0% (7/28)         7.1% (4/56)         13.1% (11/84)         0.035           Genital mattermations         (mpairmant, strabismus and nystagmus)         25.0% (7/28)         5.4% (3/56)         13.1% (11/84)         0.036           Ley mathermations         (mpair % (7/28)         5.	Past history of other illnesses prior to diagnosis of both EP and ID	64.3% (18/28)	50.0% (28/56)	54.8% (46/84)	0.215
Speech and language delay >2 years         70.8% (17/24)         43.1% (2251)         52.0% (39/75)         0.025           Microcephaly         42.9% (12/28)         17.9% (0765)         25.2% (22/84)         0.014           Macrocephaly         3.6% (1/28)         0.0% (0765)         1.2% (1/84)         0.333           Facial mathemations         17.9% (6/28)         8.9% (6/56)         11.9% (0/84)         0.234           Delaymented skin spots         7.1% (2/28)         7.1% (4/56)         1.2% (1/84)         0.333           Delaymented skin spots         3.6% (1/28)         0.0% (0/56)         3.6% (7/44)         0.046           Café au lait spots         3.6% (1/28)         10.7% (6/56)         8.3% (7/74)         0.681           Ear mathemations         10.7% (3/28)         7.1% (4/56)         8.3% (7/74)         0.605           Congenital heard impairment, strabismus and nystagmus)         25.0% (7/28)         7.1% (4/56)         13.1% (1/184)         0.037           Congenital mathemations         10.7% (3/28)         7.1% (4/56)         13.1% (1/184)         0.037           Congenital mathemations         10.7% (3/28)         7.1% (4/56)         13.1% (1/184)         0.037           Congenital mathemations         10.7% (3/28)         7.1% (4/56)         13.1% (1/184)         <	Primigravida mother	28.6% (8/28)	32.7% (18/55)	31.3% (26/83)	0.700
Microcephaly         42.9% (12/28)         17.9% (10/56)         26.2% (2/24)         0.014           Macrocephaly         3.6% (1/28)         0.0% (0/56)         1.2% (1/64)         0.333           Faclal mathomations         75.0% (21/28)         33.9% (19/56)         47.6% (40/84)         0.024           Brachydachyly         3.6% (1/28)         0.0% (0/56)         1.2% (1/64)         0.333           Depigmented skin spots         7.1% (2/28)         0.0% (0/56)         1.2% (1/64)         0.034           Café au lat spots         7.1% (2/28)         0.0% (0/56)         8.3% (7/64)         0.044           Café au lat spots         3.6% (1/28)         10.7% (6/56)         8.3% (7/64)         0.681           Ear mations         10.7% (6/28)         7.1% (4/56)         8.3% (7/64)         0.681           Ear mations (mpairment, strabismus and nystagmus)         25.0% (7/28)         7.1% (4/56)         13.1% (11/84)         0.005           Genital mations (mpairment, strabismus and nystagmus)         25.0% (7/28)         7.1% (4/56)         13.1% (11/84)         0.006           Lynotnia         7.1% (2/28)         5.4% (3/56)         6.0% (5/64)         1.000           Hypotronia         7.1% (2/28)         5.4% (3/56)         6.0% (5/64)         1.000           <	Speech and language delay >2 years	70.8% (17/24)	43.1% (22/51)	52.0% (39/75)	0.025
Macrocephaly         3.6% (1/28)         0.0% (0/56)         1.2% (1/84)         0.333           Facial malformations         75.0% (21/28)         33.9% (0/56)         11.9% (0/84)         0.000           Skeletal malformations         17.9% (6/28)         8.9% (5/56)         11.9% (0/84)         0.234           Brachydact/yly         3.6% (1/28)         0.0% (0/56)         1.2% (1/84)         0.333           Depigmented skin spots         7.1% (2/28)         7.1% (4/66)         7.1% (8/84)         1.000           Fair skin         10.7% (3/28)         0.0% (0/56)         8.5% (7/84)         0.481           Café au lat spots         10.7% (3/28)         7.1% (4/56)         13.1% (11/84)         0.037           Congenital heart disease         28.6% (0/28)         10.7% (6/56)         10.7% (8/84)         1.000           Eye malformations (impairment, strabismus and nystagmus)         25.6% (7/28)         7.1% (4/56)         13.1% (11/84)         0.005           Congenital heart disease         28.6% (8/28)         10.7% (8/56)         10.7% (8/84)         1.000           Umbilical heart disease         7.1% (2/28)         5.4% (3/56)         5.5% (8/84)         1.000           Hypotroli         7.1% (2/28)         5.4% (3/56)         5.0% (5/64)         1.000	Microcephaly	42.9% (12/28)	17.9% (10/56)	26.2% (22/84)	0.014
Packal malformations         75.0% (21/28)         33.9% (19/56)         47.6% (40/4)         0.000           Skeleti malformations         17.9% (5/28)         8.9% (5/56)         11.9% (10/44)         0.234           Brachydactyly         3.6% (1/28)         0.0% (0/56)         1.2% (1/84)         0.333           Degigmented skin spots         7.1% (2/28)         0.0% (0/56)         3.6% (3/84)         0.034           Café au lat spots         3.6% (1/28)         0.0% (0/56)         3.5% (3/84)         0.034           Café au lat spots         3.6% (1/28)         0.0% (0/56)         3.5% (3/84)         0.034           Ear malformations         10.7% (3/28)         7.1% (4/56)         8.3% (7/84)         0.068           Ear malformations (mpairment, strabisnus and nystagmus)         25.0% (7/28)         7.1% (4/56)         13.1% (11/84)         0.037           Congenital heart disease         28.6% (8/28)         5.4% (3/56)         9.5% (6/84)         1.000           Umbilical hernia         0.0% (0/28)         1.8% (1/56)         1.2% (1/84)         1.000           Hyperoflexia         7.1% (2/28)         7.1% (4/56)         7.1% (6/64)         1.000           Hyperoflexia         3.6% (1/28)         8.9% (5/56)         7.1% (6/64)         0.587	Macrocephaly	3.6% (1/28)	0.0% (0/56)	1.2% (1/84)	0.333
Skeletal malformations         17.9% (5/28)         8.9% (5/56)         11.9% (10/84)         0.234           Brachydactyly         3.6% (1/28)         0.0% (0/56)         1.2% (1/84)         0.333           Depigmented skin spots         7.1% (2/28)         7.1% (4/56)         3.6% (3/64)         0.034           Café au lait spots         3.6% (1/28)         0.0% (0/56)         3.6% (3/64)         0.044           Café au lait spots         3.6% (1/28)         10.7% (6/56)         8.3% (7/64)         0.681           Ear malformations         10.7% (3/28)         7.1% (4/56)         8.3% (7/64)         0.681           Congenital meant dimement, strabismus and nystagmus)         25.0% (7/28)         7.1% (4/56)         13.1% (11/84)         0.005           Genital malformations         10.7% (3/28)         8.9% (5/56)         1.2% (1/84)         1.000           Unbilacit henria         0.0% (0/28)         1.8% (1/56)         1.2% (1/84)         1.000           Hyportonia         7.1% (2/28)         5.4% (3/56)         6.0% (5/64)         1.000           Hyportelexia         7.1% (2/28)         5.4% (3/56)         6.0% (5/64)         1.000           Hyportelexia         7.1% (2/28)         3.6% (2/26)         6.0% (5/64)         0.606           Donomal power/str	Facial malformations	75.0% (21/28)	33.9% (19/56)	47.6% (40/84)	0.000
Brachydactlyl         3.6% (1/28)         0.0% (0/56)         1.2% (1/84)         0.333           Depigmented skin spots         7.1% (2/28)         7.1% (4/56)         7.1% (6/64)         1.000           Fair skin         10.7% (3/28)         0.0% (0/56)         8.3% (7/84)         0.041           Café au lat spots         3.6% (1/28)         10.7% (6/56)         8.3% (7/84)         0.681           Ear nationmations         10.7% (3/28)         7.1% (4/56)         8.3% (7/84)         0.681           Congenital heart disease         2.80% (1/28)         10.7% (6/56)         10.7% (9/84)         1.000           Cynegnital heart disease         2.80% (6/28)         5.4% (3/56)         13.1% (11/84)         0.005           Genital matformations         10.7% (9/28)         7.1% (4/56)         1.2% (1/84)         1.000           Unbilical henria         0.0% (0/28)         1.8% (1/56)         1.2% (1/84)         1.000           Hypertofiexia         7.1% (2/28)         5.4% (3/56)         6.0% (5/84)         1.000           Hypertofiexia         7.1% (2/28)         5.4% (3/56)         6.0% (5/84)         1.000           Hypertofiexia         7.1% (2/28)         5.4% (3/56)         6.0% (5/84)         0.598           Positive Eabinski sigin         3.6% (	Skeletal malformations	17.9% (5/28)	8.9% (5/56)	11.9% (10/84)	0.234
Depigmented skin spots         7.1% (2/28)         7.1% (4/56)         7.1% (6/84)         1.000           Fair skin         10.7% (3/28)         0.0% (0/56)         3.6% (3/84)         0.034           Café au lait spots         3.6% (1/28)         10.7% (6/56)         8.3% (7/84)         0.681           Ear malformations         10.7% (3/28)         7.1% (4/56)         8.3% (7/84)         0.681           Ear malformations         10.7% (6/28)         7.1% (4/56)         13.1% (11/84)         0.037           Congenital heart disease         28.6% (8/28)         5.4% (3/56)         13.1% (11/84)         0.005           Genital malformations         0.0% (0/28)         1.8% (1/56)         1.2% (1/64)         1.000           Hypotonia         7.1% (2/28)         7.1% (4/56)         7.1% (6/84)         1.000           Hypotonia         7.1% (2/28)         5.4% (3/56)         6.0% (5/84)         1.000           Hypotonia         7.1% (2/28)         3.6% (1/28)	Brachvdactvlv	3.6% (1/28)	0.0% (0/56)	1.2% (1/84)	0.333
Fair skin         10.7% (3/28)         0.0% (0/56)         3.6% (3/84)         0.034           Café au lait spots         3.6% (1/28)         10.7% (6/56)         8.3% (7/84)         0.416           Hearing impairment         10.7% (3/28)         7.1% (4/56)         8.3% (7/84)         0.681           Ear malformations         10.7% (3/28)         7.1% (4/56)         8.3% (7/84)         0.037           Congenital heart disease         26.0% (7/28)         7.1% (4/56)         13.1% (11/84)         0.0037           Congenital heart disease         10.7% (3/28)         8.9% (5/56)         9.5% (8/84)         1.000           Umbilical hernia         0.0% (0/28)         1.8% (1/56)         1.2% (1/84)         1.000           Hypertonia         7.1% (2/28)         7.1% (4/56)         6.0% (5/84)         1.000           Hypertefixia         3.6% (1/28)         5.4% (3/56)         6.0% (5/84)         1.000           Hypertefixia         3.6% (1/28)         5.4% (3/56)         6.0% (5/84)         1.000           Hypertefixia         3.6% (1/28)         3.6% (2/56)         4.8% (4/84)         0.598           Positive Babinski sign         3.6% (1/28)         3.6% (2/56)         4.8% (4/84)         0.598           Positive conus test         0.0% (0/28)	Depigmented skin spots	7.1% (2/28)	7.1% (4/56)	7.1% (6/84)	1.000
Café au lait spots         3.6% (1/2)         10.7% (6/56)         8.3% (7/24)         0.416           Hearing impairment         10.7% (3/28)         7.1% (4/56)         8.3% (7/84)         0.681           Ear matformations         10.7% (3/28)         10.7% (6/56)         10.7% (9/84)         1.000           Eye matformations (impairment, strabismus and nystagmus)         25.0% (7/28)         5.4% (3/56)         13.1% (11/84)         0.037           Congenital heart disease         28.8% (8/28)         5.4% (3/56)         9.5% (8/84)         1.000           Umbilical hernia         0.0% (0/28)         1.8% (1/56)         1.2% (1/84)         1.000           Hyportonia         7.1% (2/28)         5.4% (3/56)         6.0% (5/84)         1.000           Hyportonia         7.1% (2/28)         5.4% (3/56)         6.0% (5/84)         1.000           Hyporteffexia         3.6% (1/28)         8.9% (5/56)         7.1% (6/84)         0.658           Ahormal power/strength         7.1% (2/28)         3.6% (2/56)         6.0% (5/84)         0.661           Positive Babinski sign         3.6% (1/28)         7.1% (4/56)         6.0% (5/84)         0.661           Positive Babinski sign         3.6% (1/28)         3.6% (2/56)         6.24 % (4/44)         0.598           S	Fair skin	10.7% (3/28)	0.0% (0/56)	3.6% (3/84)	0.034
Instrument         Instrument         Instrument         Instrument         Instrument           Earn auformations         10.7% (3/28)         7.1% (4/56)         8.3% (7/84)         0.681           Ear malformations         10.7% (3/28)         10.7% (6/56)         10.7% (9/84)         1.000           Eye malformations (impairment, strabismus and nystagmus)         25.0% (7/28)         7.1% (4/56)         13.1% (11/84)         0.037           Congenital heart disease         28.6% (8/28)         5.4% (3/56)         13.1% (11/84)         0.000           Genital malformations         10.7% (3/28)         8.9% (5/56)         9.5% (8/84)         1.000           Hypotonia         7.1% (2/28)         7.1% (4/56)         1.2% (1/84)         1.000           Hypotreflexia         7.1% (2/28)         5.4% (3/56)         6.0% (5/84)         1.000           Hyperreflexia         7.1% (2/28)         5.4% (3/56)         6.0% (5/84)         1.000           Hyperreflexia         7.1% (2/28)         3.6% (5/56)         7.1% (6/84)         0.658           Abnormal power/strength         7.1% (2/28)         3.6% (2/56)         4.8% (4/84)         0.598           Positive Eabinsk sign         3.6% (1/28)         7.1% (4/56)         1.2% (1/84)         0.000           Abnormal	Café au lait spots	3.6% (1/28)	10.7% (6/56)	8.3% (7/84)	0.416
Date         Display         Display <thdisplay< th=""> <thdisplay< th=""> <thdisp< td=""><td>Hearing impairment</td><td>10.7% (3/28)</td><td>7.1% (4/56)</td><td>8.3% (7/84)</td><td>0.681</td></thdisp<></thdisplay<></thdisplay<>	Hearing impairment	10.7% (3/28)	7.1% (4/56)	8.3% (7/84)	0.681
Eye malformations (impairment, strabismus and nystagmus)         25.0% (7/28)         7.1% (4/56)         13.1% (11/84)         0.037           Congenital heart disease         28.6% (8/28)         5.4% (3/56)         13.1% (11/84)         0.005           Genital malformations         10.7% (3/28)         8.9% (5/56)         9.5% (8/84)         1.000           Umbilical hernia         0.0% (0/28)         1.8% (1/56)         1.2% (1/84)         1.000           Hypotonia         7.1% (2/28)         7.1% (4/56)         7.1% (6/84)         1.000           Hypotonia         7.1% (2/28)         5.4% (3/56)         6.0% (5/84)         1.000           Hypotensia         7.1% (2/28)         5.4% (3/56)         6.0% (5/84)         1.000           Hypotensia         7.1% (2/28)         3.6% (1/28)         8.9% (5/56)         7.1% (6/84)         0.658           Abnormal power/strength         7.1% (2/28)         3.6% (1/26)         6.0% (5/84)         0.661           Positive clonus test         0.0% (0/28)         1.8% (1/56)         1.2% (1/84)         1.000           Abnormal behaviors         46.4% (1/28)         3.6% (2/56)         6.0% (5/84)         0.651           Positive clonus test         0.0% (0/28)         1.8% (1/56)         1.2% (1/84)         0.492 <tr< td=""><td>Ear malformations</td><td>10.7% (3/28)</td><td>10.7% (6/56)</td><td>10.7% (9/84)</td><td>1.000</td></tr<>	Ear malformations	10.7% (3/28)	10.7% (6/56)	10.7% (9/84)	1.000
Congenital heart disease         28.6% (8/28)         5.4% (3/56)         13.1% (11/84)         0.005           Genital malformations         10.7% (3/28)         8.9% (5/56)         9.5% (8/84)         1.000           Umbilical hernia         0.0% (0/28)         1.8% (1/56)         1.2% (1/84)         1.000           Hypotonia         7.1% (2/28)         7.1% (4/56)         7.1% (6/84)         1.000           Hyperfexia         7.1% (2/28)         5.4% (3/56)         6.0% (5/84)         1.000           Hyperfexia         3.6% (1/28)         8.9% (5/56)         7.1% (6/84)         0.658           Abnormal power/strength         7.1% (2/28)         3.6% (2/56)         4.8% (4/84)         0.598           Positive Babinski sign         3.6% (1/28)         7.1% (4/56)         1.2% (1/84)         0.000           Abnormal power/strength         7.1% (2/28)         3.6% (2/56)         4.8% (4/84)         0.598           Positive Babinski sign         0.0% (0/28)         1.8% (1/56)         1.2% (1/84)         0.000           Abnormal behaviors         0.0% (0/28)         3.6% (2/56)         5.2.4% (44/84)         0.598           Spasm seizure         7.1% (16/28)         50.0% (28/56)         52.4% (4/84)         0.537           Myoclonic seizure         57	Eve malformations (impairment, strabismus and nystagmus)	25.0% (7/28)	7.1% (4/56)	13.1% (11/84)	0.037
Chrogenia Indermations         10.07% (3/28)         8.9% (5/56)         9.5% (8/44)         1.000           Umbilical hernia         0.0% (0/28)         1.8% (1/56)         1.2% (1/84)         1.000           Hypotonia         7.1% (2/28)         7.1% (4/56)         7.1% (6/84)         1.000           Hypotonia         7.1% (2/28)         5.4% (3/56)         6.0% (5/84)         1.000           Hyporeflexia         7.1% (2/28)         5.4% (3/56)         6.0% (5/84)         1.000           Hyporeflexia         3.6% (1/28)         8.9% (5/56)         7.1% (6/84)         0.658           Abnormal power/strength         7.1% (2/28)         3.6% (2/56)         4.8% (4/84)         0.598           Positive Babinski sign         3.6% (1/28)         7.1% (4/56)         6.0% (5/84)         0.661           Positive clonus test         0.0% (0/28)         1.8% (1/56)         1.2% (1/84)         1.000           Abnormal behaviors         46.4% (13/28)         3.0.4% (17/56)         35.7% (30/84)         0.617           Positive clonus test         0.0% (0/28)         1.8% (1/56)         1.2% (1/84)         0.598           Positive clonus test         0.0% (0/28)         3.6% (2/56)         6.0% (5/84)         0.327           Tonic seizure         7.1% (2/28)	Congenital heart disease	28.6% (8/28)	5.4% (3/56)	13.1% (11/84)	0.005
Umbilicat hemia         0.0% (0/28)         1.8% (1/56)         1.2% (1/84)         1.000           Hypotonia         7.1% (2/28)         7.1% (4/56)         7.1% (6/84)         1.000           Hypertonia         7.1% (2/28)         5.4% (3/56)         6.0% (5/84)         1.000           Hyperteflexia         7.1% (2/28)         5.4% (3/56)         6.0% (5/84)         1.000           Hyporeflexia         3.6% (1/28)         8.9% (5/56)         7.1% (6/84)         0.658           Abnormal power/strength         7.1% (2/28)         3.6% (2/56)         4.8% (4/84)         0.598           Positive Babinski sign         3.6% (1/28)         7.1% (4/56)         6.0% (5/84)         0.661           Positive clonus test         0.0% (0/28)         1.8% (1/56)         1.2% (1/84)         1.000           Abnormal behaviors         46.4% (13/28)         30.4% (17/56)         35.7% (30/84)         0.661           Positive clonus test         0.0% (0/28)         1.8% (1/56)         1.2% (1/84)         1.000           Abnormal behaviors         57.1% (16/28)         30.4% (17/56)         35.7% (30/84)         0.641           Positive clonus test         0.0% (0/28)         3.6% (2/56)         6.0% (5/84)         0.537           Myoclonic seizure         7.1% (16/28)	Genital malformations	10.7% (3/28)	8.9% (5/56)	9.5% (8/84)	1.000
Hypotonia7.1% (2/28)7.1% (4/56)7.1% (6/84)1.000Hypertonia7.1% (2/28)5.4% (3/56)6.0% (5/84)1.000Hypertonia7.1% (2/28)5.4% (3/56)6.0% (5/84)1.000Hyporeflexia3.6% (1/28)8.9% (5/56)7.1% (6/84)0.658Abnormal power/strength7.1% (2/28)3.6% (2/56)4.8% (4/84)0.598Positive Babinski sign3.6% (1/28)7.1% (4/56)6.0% (5/84)0.661Positive clonus test0.0% (0/28)1.8% (1/56)1.2% (1/84)1.000Abnormal behaviors46.4% (13/28)30.4% (17/56)35.7% (30/84)0.147CHARACTER OF SEIZURESTonic seizure57.1% (16/28)50.0% (28/56)52.4% (44/84)0.598Spasm seizure10.7% (3/28)3.6% (2/56)4.8% (4/84)0.598Choic seizure57.1% (16/28)50.0% (28/56)52.4% (44/84)0.598Spasm seizure10.7% (3/28)3.6% (2/56)6.0% (5/84)0.327Partial seizure39.3% (11/28)3.6% (2/56)6.0% (5/84)0.327Seizure controlled with one AED35.7% (10/28)1.1% (23/56)39.3% (33/84)0.636Seizure controlled with one AED14.3% (4/28)5.4% (3/56)8.3% (7/84)0.215Fever-related28.6% (8/28)18.2% (10/55)21.7% (18/83)0.278Seizure controlled with one AED28.6% (8/28)18.2% (10/55)21.7% (18/83)0.278Seizure controlled with one AED14.3% (4/28)5.4% (3/56) <td< td=""><td>Umbilical hernia</td><td>0.0% (0/28)</td><td>1.8% (1/56)</td><td>1.2% (1/84)</td><td>1.000</td></td<>	Umbilical hernia	0.0% (0/28)	1.8% (1/56)	1.2% (1/84)	1.000
Hypertonia         F1.1% (2/28)         5.4% (3/56)         6.0% (5/84)         1.000           Hypertonia         7.1% (2/28)         5.4% (3/56)         6.0% (5/84)         1.000           Hyperteflexia         3.6% (1/28)         8.9% (5/56)         7.1% (6/84)         0.658           Abnormal power/strength         7.1% (2/28)         3.6% (2/56)         4.8% (4/84)         0.598           Positive Babinski sign         3.6% (1/28)         7.1% (4/56)         6.0% (5/84)         0.661           Positive clonus test         0.0% (0/28)         1.8% (1/56)         1.2% (1/84)         1.000           Abnormal behaviors         46.4% (13/28)         3.0% (2/56)         52.4% (4/484)         0.537           Tonic seizure         57.1% (16/28)         50.0% (28/56)         52.4% (4/484)         0.598           Spasm seizure         7.1% (2/28)         3.6% (2/56)         4.8% (4/84)         0.598           Spasm seizure         10.7% (3/28)         3.6% (2/56)         6.0% (5/84)         0.327           Partial seizure         39.3% (11/28)         37.5% (21/56)         38.1% (32/84)         0.834           Clonic seizure         3.6% (1/28)         0.0% (0/56)         1.2% (1/84)         0.333           Seizure controlled with one AED         35.7% (1	Hypotonia	7.1% (2/28)	7.1% (4/56)	7.1% (6/84)	1.000
Hyperreflexia         7.1% (2/28)         5.4% (3/56)         6.0% (5/84)         1.000           Hyperreflexia         3.6% (1/28)         8.9% (5/56)         7.1% (6/84)         0.658           Abnormal power/strength         7.1% (2/28)         3.6% (2/56)         4.8% (4/84)         0.598           Positive Babinski sign         3.6% (1/28)         7.1% (4/56)         6.0% (5/84)         0.661           Positive clonus test         0.0% (0/28)         1.8% (1/56)         1.2% (1/84)         1.000           Abnormal behaviors         46.4% (13/28)         30.4% (17/56)         35.7% (30/84)         0.147           CHARACTER OF SEIZURES         Tonic seizure         57.1% (16/28)         50.0% (28/56)         52.4% (44/84)         0.537           Myoclonic seizure         7.1% (2/28)         3.6% (2/56)         6.0% (5/84)         0.327           Partial seizure         10.7% (3/28)         3.6% (2/56)         6.0% (5/84)         0.327           Partial seizure         39.3% (11/28)         37.5% (21/56)         38.1% (32/84)         0.834           Clonic seizure         3.6% (1/28)         0.0% (0/56)         1.2% (1/84)         0.333           Seizure controlled with one AED         35.7% (10/28)         41.1% (23/56)         39.3% (3/84)         0.636	Hypertonia	7.1% (2/28)	5.4% (3/56)	6.0% (5/84)	1.000
HyporeflexiaHoff (1/28)B.106 (2/56)F.106 (2/57)F.106 (2/57) <td>Hyperreflexia</td> <td>7.1% (2/28)</td> <td>5.4% (3/56)</td> <td>6.0% (5/84)</td> <td>1.000</td>	Hyperreflexia	7.1% (2/28)	5.4% (3/56)	6.0% (5/84)	1.000
Abnormal power/strength         7.1% (2/28)         3.6% (2/56)         4.8% (4/84)         0.598           Positive Babinski sign         3.6% (1/28)         7.1% (4/56)         6.0% (5/84)         0.661           Positive Conus test         0.0% (0/28)         1.8% (1/56)         1.2% (1/84)         1.000           Abnormal behaviors         46.4% (13/28)         30.4% (17/56)         35.7% (30/84)         0.147           CHARACTER OF SEIZURES         Tonic seizure         57.1% (16/28)         50.0% (28/56)         52.4% (44/84)         0.537           Myoclonic seizure         7.1% (2/28)         3.6% (2/56)         6.0% (5/84)         0.598           Spasm seizure         10.7% (3/28)         3.6% (2/56)         6.0% (5/84)         0.598           Spasm seizure         39.3% (11/28)         37.5% (21/56)         38.1% (32/84)         0.834           Clonic seizure         3.6% (1/28)         0.0% (0/56)         1.2% (1/84)         0.333           Seizure controlled with one AED         35.7% (10/28)         41.1% (23/56)         39.3% (33/84)         0.636           Seizure controlled by two AED         14.3% (4/28)         5.4% (3/56)         8.3% (7/84)         0.215           Fever-related         28.6% (8/28)         18.2% (10/55)         21.7% (18/83)         0.278<	Hyporeflexia	3.6% (1/28)	8.9% (5/56)	7.1% (6/84)	0.658
Positive Babinski sign3.6% (1/28)7.1% (4/56)6.0% (5/84)0.661Positive clonus test0.0% (0/28)1.8% (1/56)1.2% (1/84)1.000Abnormal behaviors46.4% (13/28)30.4% (17/56)35.7% (30/84)0.147CHARACTER OF SEIZURESTonic seizure57.1% (16/28)50.0% (28/56)52.4% (44/84)0.537Myoclonic seizure7.1% (2/28)3.6% (2/56)4.8% (4/84)0.598Spasm seizure10.7% (3/28)3.6% (2/56)6.0% (5/84)0.327Partial seizure39.3% (11/28)37.5% (21/56)38.1% (32/84)0.834Clonic seizure3.6% (1/28)0.0% (0/56)1.2% (1/84)0.333Seizure controlled with one AED35.7% (10/28)41.1% (23/56)39.3% (33/84)0.636Seizure controlled by two AED14.3% (4/28)5.4% (3/56)8.3% (7/84)0.215Fever-related28.6% (8/28)18.2% (10/55)21.7% (18/83)0.278Seizure control after 3 months50.0% (14/28)50.0% (28/56)50.0% (42/84)1.000	Abnormal power/strength	7.1% (2/28)	3.6% (2/56)	4.8% (4/84)	0.598
Positive clonus test0.0% (0/28)1.8% (1/56)1.2% (1/84)1.000Abnormal behaviors46.4% (13/28)30.4% (17/56)35.7% (30/84)0.147CHARACTER OF SEIZURESTonic seizure57.1% (16/28)50.0% (28/56)52.4% (44/84)0.537Myoclonic seizure7.1% (2/28)3.6% (2/56)4.8% (4/84)0.598Spasm seizure10.7% (3/28)3.6% (2/56)6.0% (5/84)0.327Partial seizure39.3% (11/28)37.5% (21/56)38.1% (32/84)0.834Clonic seizure3.6% (1/28)0.0% (0/56)1.2% (1/84)0.333Seizure controlled with one AED35.7% (10/28)41.1% (23/56)39.3% (33/84)0.636Seizure controlled by two AED14.3% (4/28)5.4% (3/56)8.3% (7/84)0.215Fever-related28.6% (8/28)18.2% (10/55)21.7% (18/83)0.278Seizure control after 3 months50.0% (14/28)50.0% (28/56)50.0% (42/84)1.000	Positive Babinski sign	3.6% (1/28)	7.1% (4/56)	6.0% (5/84)	0.661
Abnormal behaviors       46.4% (13/28)       30.4% (17/56)       35.7% (30/84)       0.147         CHARACTER OF SEIZURES         Tonic seizure       57.1% (16/28)       50.0% (28/56)       52.4% (44/84)       0.537         Myoclonic seizure       7.1% (2/28)       3.6% (2/56)       4.8% (4/84)       0.598         Spasm seizure       10.7% (3/28)       3.6% (2/56)       6.0% (5/84)       0.327         Partial seizure       39.3% (11/28)       37.5% (21/56)       38.1% (32/84)       0.834         Clonic seizure       3.6% (1/28)       0.0% (0/56)       1.2% (1/84)       0.333         Seizure controlled with one AED       35.7% (10/28)       41.1% (23/56)       39.3% (33/84)       0.636         Seizure controlled by two AED       14.3% (4/28)       5.4% (3/56)       8.3% (7/84)       0.215         Fever-related       28.6% (8/28)       18.2% (10/55)       21.7% (18/83)       0.278         Seizure control after 3 months       50.0% (14/28)       50.0% (28/56)       50.0% (42/84)       1.000	Positive clonus test	0.0% (0/28)	1.8% (1/56)	1.2% (1/84)	1.000
CHARACTER OF SEIZURES           Tonic seizure         57.1% (16/28)         50.0% (28/56)         52.4% (44/84)         0.537           Myoclonic seizure         7.1% (2/28)         3.6% (2/56)         4.8% (4/84)         0.598           Spasm seizure         10.7% (3/28)         3.6% (2/56)         6.0% (5/84)         0.327           Partial seizure         39.3% (11/28)         37.5% (21/56)         38.1% (32/84)         0.834           Clonic seizure         3.6% (1/28)         0.0% (0/56)         1.2% (1/84)         0.333           Seizure controlled with one AED         35.7% (10/28)         41.1% (23/56)         39.3% (33/84)         0.636           Seizure controlled by two AED         14.3% (4/28)         5.4% (3/56)         8.3% (7/84)         0.215           Fever-related         28.6% (8/28)         18.2% (10/55)         21.7% (18/83)         0.278           Seizure control after 3 months         50.0% (14/28)         50.0% (28/56)         50.0% (42/84)         1.000	Abnormal behaviors	46.4% (13/28)	30.4% (17/56)	35.7% (30/84)	0.147
Tonic seizure57.1% (16/28)50.0% (28/56)52.4% (44/84)0.537Myoclonic seizure7.1% (2/28)3.6% (2/56)4.8% (4/84)0.598Spasm seizure10.7% (3/28)3.6% (2/56)6.0% (5/84)0.327Partial seizure39.3% (11/28)37.5% (21/56)38.1% (32/84)0.834Clonic seizure3.6% (1/28)0.0% (0/56)1.2% (1/84)0.333Seizure controlled with one AED35.7% (10/28)41.1% (23/56)39.3% (33/84)0.636Seizure controlled by two AED14.3% (4/28)5.4% (3/56)8.3% (7/84)0.215Fever-related28.6% (8/28)18.2% (10/55)21.7% (18/83)0.278Seizure control after 3 months50.0% (14/28)50.0% (28/56)50.0% (42/84)1.000	CHARACTER OF SEIZURES	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		· · · · ·	
Myoclonic seizure7.1% (2/28)3.6% (2/56)4.8% (4/84)0.598Spasm seizure10.7% (3/28)3.6% (2/56)6.0% (5/84)0.327Partial seizure39.3% (11/28)37.5% (21/56)38.1% (32/84)0.834Clonic seizure3.6% (1/28)0.0% (0/56)1.2% (1/84)0.333Seizure controlled with one AED35.7% (10/28)41.1% (23/56)39.3% (33/84)0.636Seizure controlled by two AED14.3% (4/28)5.4% (3/56)8.3% (7/84)0.215Fever-related28.6% (8/28)18.2% (10/55)21.7% (18/83)0.278Seizure control after 3 months50.0% (14/28)50.0% (28/56)50.0% (42/84)1.000	Tonic seizure	57.1% (16/28)	50.0% (28/56)	52.4% (44/84)	0.537
Spasm seizure         10.7% (3/28)         3.6% (2/56)         6.0% (5/84)         0.327           Partial seizure         39.3% (11/28)         37.5% (21/56)         38.1% (32/84)         0.834           Clonic seizure         3.6% (1/28)         0.0% (0/56)         1.2% (1/84)         0.333           Seizure controlled with one AED         35.7% (10/28)         41.1% (23/56)         39.3% (33/84)         0.636           Seizure controlled by two AED         14.3% (4/28)         5.4% (3/56)         8.3% (7/84)         0.215           Fever-related         28.6% (8/28)         18.2% (10/55)         21.7% (18/83)         0.278           Seizure control after 3 months         50.0% (14/28)         50.0% (28/56)         50.0% (42/84)         1.000	Myoclonic seizure	7.1% (2/28)	3.6% (2/56)	4.8% (4/84)	0.598
Partial seizure         39.3% (11/28)         37.5% (21/56)         38.1% (32/84)         0.834           Clonic seizure         3.6% (1/28)         0.0% (0/56)         1.2% (1/84)         0.333           Seizure controlled with one AED         35.7% (10/28)         41.1% (23/56)         39.3% (33/84)         0.636           Seizure controlled by two AED         14.3% (4/28)         5.4% (3/56)         8.3% (7/84)         0.215           Fever-related         28.6% (8/28)         18.2% (10/55)         21.7% (18/83)         0.278           Seizure control after 3 months         50.0% (14/28)         50.0% (28/56)         50.0% (42/84)         1.000	Spasm seizure	10.7% (3/28)	3.6% (2/56)	6.0% (5/84)	0.327
Clonic seizure         3.6% (1/28)         0.0% (0/56)         1.2% (1/84)         0.333           Seizure controlled with one AED         35.7% (10/28)         41.1% (23/56)         39.3% (33/84)         0.636           Seizure controlled by two AED         14.3% (4/28)         5.4% (3/56)         8.3% (7/84)         0.215           Fever-related         28.6% (8/28)         18.2% (10/55)         21.7% (18/83)         0.278           Seizure control after 3 months         50.0% (14/28)         50.0% (28/56)         50.0% (42/84)         1.000	Partial seizure	39.3% (11/28)	37.5% (21/56)	38.1% (32/84)	0.834
Seizure controlled with one AED         35.7% (10/28)         41.1% (23/56)         39.3% (33/84)         0.636           Seizure controlled by two AED         14.3% (4/28)         5.4% (3/56)         8.3% (7/84)         0.215           Fever-related         28.6% (8/28)         18.2% (10/55)         21.7% (18/83)         0.278           Seizure control after 3 months         50.0% (14/28)         50.0% (28/56)         50.0% (42/84)         1.000	Clonic seizure	3.6% (1/28)	0.0% (0/56)	1.2% (1/84)	0.333
Seizure controlled by two AED         14.3% (4/28)         5.4% (3/56)         8.3% (7/84)         0.215           Fever-related         28.6% (8/28)         18.2% (10/55)         21.7% (18/83)         0.278           Seizure control after 3 months         50.0% (14/28)         50.0% (28/56)         50.0% (42/84)         1.000	Seizure controlled with one AED	35.7% (10/28)	41.1% (23/56)	39.3% (33/84)	0.636
Fever-related         28.6% (8/28)         18.2% (10/55)         21.7% (18/83)         0.278           Seizure control after 3 months         50.0% (14/28)         50.0% (28/56)         50.0% (42/84)         1.000	Seizure controlled by two AED	14.3% (4/28)	5.4% (3/56)	8.3% (7/84)	0.215
Seizure control after 3 months         50.0% (14/28)         50.0% (28/56)         50.0% (42/84)         1.000	Fever-related	28.6% (8/28)	18.2% (10/55)	21.7% (18/83)	0.278
	Seizure control after 3 months	50.0% (14/28)	50.0% (28/56)	50.0% (42/84)	1.000

(Continued)

#### TABLE 5 | Continued

Clinical feature	Copy number v	ariation results	Overall ( $N = 84$ )	P-value
	Pathogenic ( <i>n</i> = 28)	Benign ( <i>n</i> = 56)		
EEG FINDINGS				
Abnormal EEG	92.9% (26/28)	94.6% (53/56)	94.0% (79/84)	0.744
Hypsarrhythmia	3.6% (1/28)	5.4% (3/56)	4.8% (4/84)	1.000
Focal discharge	25.0% (7/28)	14.3% (8/56)	17.9% (15/84)	0.227
Sharp wave	32.1% (9/28)	28.6% (16/56)	29.8% (25/84)	0.736
Spike wave	46.4% (13/28)	46.4% (26/56)	46.4% (39/84)	1.000
Slow waves	14.3% (4/28)	19.6% (11/56)	17.9% (15/84)	0.764
Continuous epileptic activity	7.1% (2/28)	14.3% (8/56)	11.9% (10/84)	0.484
Right hemisphere origin	3.6% (1/28)	12.5% (7/56)	9.5% (8/84)	0.259
Left hemisphere origin	7.1% (2/28)	17.9% (10/56)	14.3% (12/84)	0.321
Both hemisphere origin	82.1% (23/28)	62.5% (35/56)	69.0% (58/84)	0.066
Sleep stage	35.7% (10/28)	39.3% (22/56)	38.1% (32/84)	0.751
Awake stage	3.6% (1/28)	14.3% (8/56)	10.7% (9/84)	0.260
Both sleeping stages	53.6% (15/28)	39.3% (22/56)	44.0% (37/84)	0.214
Occipital lobe origin	10.7% (3/28)	10.7% (6/56)	10.7% (9/84)	1.000
Frontal lobe origin	42.9% (12/28)	41.1% (23/56)	41.7% (35/84)	0.876
Temporal lobe origin	21.4% (6/28)	14.3% (8/56)	16.7% (14/84)	0.408
Frontal central region	17.9% (5/28)	12.5% (7/56)	14.3% (12/84)	0.508
Central temporal region	7.1% (2/28)	16.1% (9/56)	13.1% (11/84)	0.322
MRI/CT SCAN FINDINGS				
Abnormal MRI/CT scan	50.0% (14/28)	46.4% (26/56)	47.6% (40/84)	0.757
Brain atrophy	14.3% (4/28)	5.4% (3/56)	8.3% (7/84)	0.215
Mega cisterna magna	10.7% (3/28)	0.0% (0/56)	3.6% (3/84)	0.034
Corpus callosum dysplasia	3.6% (1/28)	1.8% (1/56)	2.4% (2/84)	1.000
Hydrocephalus	3.6% (1/28)	0.0% (0/56)	1.2% (1/84)	0.333
White matter dysplasia	3.6% (1/28)	7.1% (4/56)	6.0% (5/84)	0.661
Arachnoid cyst	3.6% (1/28)	1.8% (1/56)	2.4% (2/84)	1.000
Hippocampal sclerosis	3.6% (1/28)	1.8% (1/56)	2.4% (2/84)	1.000
SEVERITY OF ID/GDD				
Severe	53.6% (15/28)	57.1% (32/56)	56% (47/84)	0.756
Moderate	42.9% (12/28)	33.9%(19/56)	36.9%(31/84)	0.424
Mild	3.6% (1/28)	8.9% (5/56)	7.1% (6/84)	0.656

MRI, magnetic resonance imaging; CT, computed tomography; EEG, electroencephalogram; AED, antiepileptic drugs; ID, intellectual disability; GDD, global developmental delay.

Eighteen known syndromes were diagnosed in 17 cases with pathogenic CNVs: Angelman syndrome for two cases, Prader-Willi syndrome for two cases, Lubs X-linked mental retardation syndrome for three cases, 16p11.2-p12.2 microdeletion syndrome for three cases, 1p36 microdeletion syndrome for two cases, 2q33.1 deletion syndrome/Glass syndrome for one case, 17p13.1 microdeletion syndrome for one case, 1q21.1 recurrent microdeletion syndrome for one case, 3q29 microduplication syndrome and 1q43q44 microdeletion syndrome for one case, and Wolf-Hirschhorn syndrome for one case. Borlot et al. found that 16 out of 23 adult patients with pathogenic or likely pathogenic CNVs and yet diagnosed with ID/GDD-EP had known syndromes (10). Therefore, our result along with that of Borlot et al. reinforces the supposition that a large number of patients with ID/GDD-EP might have CNVs which fall under certain microduplication or microdeletion syndrome.

 TABLE 6 | Results of the multivariate logistic regression analysis for the clinical features strongly associated with significant CNVs, univariate analysis.

Independent predictors	P-value	OR	Standard error	OR 95% CI
Speech delay >2 years	0.261	2.005	0.619	0.596-6.746
Facial malformations	0.027	4.051	0.633	1.172-14.005
Congenital heart disease	0.172	3.261	0.866	0.597-17.808
Eye malformations	0.032	6.012	0.838	1.163–31.085
Microcephaly	0.410	1.720	0.659	0.473-6.259

CNVs, copy number variations; OR, Odds ratio; CI, confidence interval.

One case (case number 20) had two CNVs that present two different syndromes:3q29 microduplication syndrome and 1q43q44 microdeletion syndrome (29). The 3q29

TABLE 7 | Results of the assessment of predictive value of the multivariate model.

Observed	Predicted path	Percentage of	
	Pathogenic	Benign	correct predictions
Pathogenic	13	11	54.2
Benign	7	44	86.3
Overall predicted correct percentage			76

Sensitivity=13/(13+11)%=54.2%; Specificity=44/(7+44)%=86.3%; False positive=7/(7+13)%=35%; False negative=11/(11+44)%=20%; Positive predictive value=13/(13+7)%=65%; Negative predictive value=44/(44+11)%=80%.

Using this model, the probability of a patient having pathogenic CNVs when they have above clinical features is 65%.

Using this model, the probability of a patient having benign CNVs when they don't have above clinical features is 80%.

microduplication syndrome is characterized by mild-tomoderate ID/GDD, microcephaly, and minor dysmorphic features (30). The 1q43q44 microdeletion syndrome is characterized by ID/GDD, EP, microcephaly, anomalies of the corpus callosum, and facial dysmorphism (29). Our case presented with severe ID/GDD, EP, microcephaly, facial dysmorphism (hypertelorism, long and smooth philtrum, thin vermilion borders, and micrognathia), recurrent respiratory tract infections, and stereotypic movements. A de novo deletion at 1q44q44 spanning HNRNPU and ZBTB18 has been described in cases with ID, EP, microcephaly, and hypogenesis of the corpus callosum (29, 31). This is similar to our patient's phenotype with the exception that she had no corpus callosum dysplasia. Our patient's CNV spans HNRNPU solely. The absence of ZBTB18 in our patient's CNV could explain the lack of corpus callosum dysplasia. A de novo duplication at 3q29-q29 (880 Kb) spans CEP19, PCYT1A, RNF168, TCTEX1D2, and TFRC. However, none of the contained genes associate with ID/GDD or EP/both. Therefore, 1q43q44 microdeletion syndrome is likely to explain our patient's phenotype with few additional clinical features.

Three rare pathogenic CNVs of interest which span dosagesensitive genes were identified. The first one is de novo deletion at 19q13.2 (333 Kb); this interval contains the ATP1A3 gene. Mutations in the ATP1A3 gene have been reported to be associated with alternating hemiplegia, as well as both ID/GDD and EP in a cohort of 34 patients (32). This coincides with our first patient's phenotype with an exception that he had hypotonia without hemiplegia; however, he will be continued to be monitored. The second CNV is deletion at Xp11.4-p11.3 (2.14 Mb); this interval contains the CASK gene. Mutation in this gene commonly affects females whereby they present with severe ID, microcephaly, and variable degrees of pontocerebellar hypoplasia (33). Additionally, some may have epileptic spasms, sensorineural hearing loss, eye anomalies, overall poor growth, dysmorphic features, including broad nasal bridge and tip, large ears, long philtrum, micrognathia, and hypertelorism (34). Our case number 4 presented with microcephaly, facial dysmorphism, and visual and hearing impairment, severe ID, late-onset epileptic spasms, and abnormal signals near the periventricular region. However, our case had no pontocerebellar hypoplasia. The third CNV is a deletion of unknown mode of inheritance at 6q25.3-q25.3 (240 Kb) spanning the *ARID1B* gene. This gene is associated with Coffin-Siris syndrome (ARID1B). Our case number 6 presented with moderate GDD, EP, facial dysmorphism (low frontal hairline, lateral sparse eyebrows, alternating ptosis, bulbous nasal tip, long and smooth philtrum, prominent upper lip, high palate, and mild retrognathia), abnormal fifth (pinky) finger, atrial septal defect, hearing loss, and enlarged cisterna magna and hence fits for Coffin-Siris syndrome. Consequently, we conclude that these three CNVs are implicated in ID/GDD-EP.

Other CNVs contain genes with unclear function, but they correlate with the patients' individual phenotypes and are expressed in the brain and, overall, present a lower threshold to variation according to their RVISs: duplication at 4q24-q24 (*CENPE*) for two cases, 10q21.2-q21.2 (*ANK3*), 14q32.31-q32.31 (*DYNC1H1*), 1p34.2-p34 (*SZT2*), and Xp21.3-p21.3 (*IL1RAPL1*). More studies are needed to prove their roles in ID/GDD-EP.

In this study, it was also found that congenital eye and facial malformations can independently predict the presence of significant CNVs among pediatric patients with unexplained ID/GDD-EP ([P = 0.032] and [P = 0.027], respectively). Their positive and negative predictive values were 65% and 80%, respectively. This is to say that 65% of the patients with these clinical features might have significant CNVs, whereas 80% of the patients lacking these clinical features might have nonsignificant CNVs. This is the first study that investigated the predictive values of the clinical features; hence, it remains nonconclusive. Studies involving larger sample sizes are invited. Preiksaitiene et al. studied a large cohort of patients with ID/GDD and found that there is a significant association between eye malformations and significant CNVs; however, they could not stand as independent predictors in their study (35). Our study marks the first time that congenital eye malformations are reported to be an independent predictor of the presence of significant CNVs. Caramaschi et al. studied patients with ID/GDD and found that facial malformation can be an independent predictor of presence of significant CNVs (14). The combination of the aforementioned studies and ours suggests that the presence of facial malformations in patients with either ID/GDD or ID/GDD-EP indicates the presence of pathogenic or likely pathogenic CNVs in 65% of all the cases.

In addition, speech and language delay for those aged above 2 years (P = 0.025), microcephaly (P = 0.014), congenital heart disease (P = 0.005), fair skin (P = 0.034), and mega cisterna magna (P = 0.034) showed significant associations; however, they could not stand as independent predictors in our cohort. This could be attributed by the usage of a small sample size. Nevertheless, they are promising indicators that need more studies with larger sample size. Shoukier et al. also found a significant association between significant CNVs and microcephaly in patients with ID/GDD (15). Positive association between significant disease in patients with ID/GDD has been reported (13, 36, 37). A chromosomal aberration can contribute to the etiology of speech and language delay (38, 39). Fair skin is commonly seen in

patients with Prader-Willi syndrome and Angelman syndrome (40, 41), and it was also noticed in our patients with these diagnoses. Isolated mega cisterna magna can lead to impaired memory and speech (42). It has been reported to be associated with psychiatric conditions such as mania, autism, catatonic schizophrenia (43), and mild syndromic ID (44). This association points out its role in neurodevelopmental disorders. This is the first study that shows that there is a significant association between pathogenic or likely pathogenic CNVs with speech delay and mega cisterna magna. This could be due to our inclusion criteria of patients with exclusive unexplained ID/GDD-EP. Other studies involved patients with ID/GDD with or without EP. Lastly, we could not find any association between significant CNVs and seizure semiology or EEG findings.

Despite all aforementioned strengths, our study has some limitations. First, it involved a small sample size and was prone to information bias because it was a retrospective study. Second, we identified many CNVs whose pathogenic mechanisms could not be explained, since no gene function analysis was performed. Therefore, those CNVs require further functional evaluation and comparison with similar cases. Moreover, we identified two clinical markers for pediatric patients with ID/GDD-EP, but these findings need to be replicated in future studies involving large sample sizes. Lastly, different CNV detection methods were used whereby they have different sensitivities.

#### CONCLUSION

Twenty-eight percent (28/100) of our cases had 25 pathogenic and 6 likely pathogenic CNVs, including 18 syndromes. However, the high rate (28%) of significant CNVs in our study could be due to ascertainment bias. We have identified 13 rare CNVs (8 novel and 5 reported in the literature) in which three of them span dosage-sensitive genes as follows: 19q13.2 deletion (*ATP1A3*), Xp11.4-p11.3 deletion (*CASK*), and 6q25.3-q25.3 deletion (*ARID1B*). Furthermore, we found two independent clinical markers with 65% positive predictive value: congenital eye and facial malformations ([P = 0.032] and [P = 0.027]),

#### REFERENCES

- van Bokhoven H. Genetic and epigenetic networks in intellectual disabilities. Annu Rev Genet. (2011) 45:81–104. doi: 10.1146/annurev-genet-110410-132512
- Shevell M, Ashwal S, Donley D. Practice parameter: evaluation of the child with global developmental delay: report of the Quality Standards Subcommittee of the American Academy of Neurology and The Practice Committee of the Child Neurology Society Practice parameter: Evaluation of t. *Am Acad Neurol.* (2003) 60:367–80. doi: 10.1212/01.WNL.0000031431.81555.16
- Srour M, Shevell M. Genetics and the investigation of developmental delay/intellectual disability. Arch Dis Child (2014) 99:386–9. doi: 10.1136/archdischild-2013-304063
- Fisher RS, Acevedo C, Arzimanoglou A, Bogacz A, Cross JH, Elger CE, et al. ILAE Official Report: a practical clinical definition of epilepsy. *Epilepsia* (2014) 55:475–82. doi: 10.1111/epi.12550
- 5. Oeseburg B, Dijkstra GJ, Groothoff JW, Reijneveld SA, Jansen DEMC. Prevalence of chronic health conditions in children with intellectual

respectively. These clinical markers help clinicians understand which patients can benefit from the CNV testing and which will not, thus helping patients to avoid unnecessary and expensive tests, especially for resource-limited countries.

## **AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS**

MK and JX share the primary authorship for this manuscript. MK and JX participated in the entire process of the project, analyzed all the data, and wrote the manuscript. LW and LY analyzed patients' data. FH and CC prepared tables. NP, HD, WZ, and AA analyzed cytogenetic results according to ACMG guidelines. FY and JP are the corresponding authors, who initiated the study and supervised each and every step of this study. All of the authors have reviewed the manuscript and agreed to be accountable for all aspects of work ensuring integrity and accuracy.

#### FUNDING

This work was supported by the National Key Research and Development Program of China [No. 2016YFC1306202]; and the National Natural Science Foundation of China [NO.81771408, 81771409].

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We are grateful to Mr Atipatsa Chiwanda Kaminga of Mzuzu University-Department of Mathematics and Statistics; and Central South University-Department of Epidemiology and Health Statistics for his helpful advice on data analysis and interpretation of results.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fneur. 2018.00947/full#supplementary-material

disability: a systematic literature review. *Intellect Dev Disabil* (2011) 49:59–85. doi: 10.1352/1934-9556-49.2.59

- Robertson J, Hatton C, Emerson E, Baines S. Prevalence of epilepsy among people with intellectual disabilities: a systematic review. *Seizure* (2015) 29:46– 62. doi: 10.1016/j.seizure.2015.03.016
- Robertson J, Hatton C, Emerson E, Baines S. Mortality in people with intellectual disabilities and epilepsy: a systematic review. *Seizure* (2015) 29:123–33. doi: 10.1016/j.seizure.2015. 04.004
- Camfield C, Camfield P. Preventable and unpreventable causes of childhoodonset epilepsy plus mental retardation. *Pediatrics* (2007) 120:e52–5. doi: 10.1542/peds.2006-3290
- Schaaf CP, Wiszniewska J, Beaudet AL. Copy number and SNP arrays in clinical diagnostics. *Annu Rev Genomics Hum Genet.* (2011) 12:25–51. doi: 10.1146/annurev-genom-092010-110715
- Borlot F, Regan BM, Bassett AS, Stavropoulos DJ, Andrade DM. Prevalence of pathogenic copy number variation in adults with pediatric-onset epilepsy and intellectual disability. *JAMA Neurol.* (2017) 74:1301–11. doi: 10.1001/jamaneurol.2017.1775

- Miller DT, Adam MP, Aradhya S, Biesecker LG, Brothman AR, Carter NP, et al. Consensus statement: chromosomal microarray is a first-tier clinical diagnostic test for individuals with developmental disabilities or congenital anomalies. *Am J Hum Genet.* (2010) 86:749–64. doi: 10.1016/j.ajhg.2010.04.006
- 12. Cappuccio G, Vitiello F, Casertano A, Fontana P, Genesio R, Bruzzese D, et al. New insights in the interpretation of array-CGH: autism spectrum disorder and positive family history for intellectual disability predict the detection of pathogenic variants. *Ital J Pediatr.* (2016) 42:1–11. doi: 10.1186/s13052-016-0246-7
- Shoukier M, Klein N, Auber B, Wickert J, Schröder J, Zoll B, et al. Array CGH in patients with developmental delay or intellectual disability: are there phenotypic clues to pathogenic copy number variants? *Clin Genet.* (2013) 83:53–65. doi: 10.1111/j.1399-0004.2012.01850.x
- 14. Maini I, Ivanovski I, Djuric O, Caraffi SG, Errichiello E, Marinelli M, et al. Prematurity, ventricular septal defect and dysmorphisms are independent predictors of pathogenic copy number variants: a retrospective study on array-CGH results and phenotypical features of 293 children with neurodevelopmental disorders and/or multiple c. *Ital J Pediatr.* (2018) 44:1– 13. doi: 10.1186/s13052-018-0467-z
- Caramaschi E, Stanghellini I, Magini P, Giuffrida M, Scullin S, Giuva T, et al. Predictive diagnostic value for the clinical features accompanying intellectual disability in children with pathogenic copy number variations: a multivariate analysis. *Ital J Pediatr.* (2014) 40:39. doi: 10.1186/1824-7288-40-39
- Shevell M. Global developmental delay and mental retardation or intellectual disability: conceptualization, evaluation, and etiology. *Pediatr Clin North Am.* (2008) 55:1071–84. doi: 10.1016/j.pcl.2008.07.010
- Guo H, Peng Y, Hu Z, Li Y, Xun G, Ou J, et al. Genome-wide copy number variation analysis in a Chinese autism spectrum disorder cohort. *Sci Rep.* (2017) 7:1–9. doi: 10.1038/srep44155
- Kearney HM, Thorland EC, Brown KK, Quintero-Rivera F, South ST. American College of Medical Genetics standards and guidelines for interpretation and reporting of postnatal constitutional copy number variants. *Genet Med.* (2011) 13:680–5. doi: 10.1097/GIM.0b013e3182217a3a
- Petrovski S, Wang Q, Heinzen EL, Allen AS, Goldstein DB. Genic intolerance to functional variation and the interpretation of personal genomes. *PLoS Genet.* (2013) 9: e1003709. doi: 10.1371/journal.pgen.1003709
- Ma Y, Chen C, Wang Y, Wu L, He F, Chen C, et al. Analysis copy number variation of Chinese children in early-onset epileptic encephalopathies with unknown cause. *Clin Genet.* (2016) 90:428–36. doi: 10.1111/cge.12768
- Olson H, Shen Y, Avallone J, Sheidley BR, Pinsky R, Bergin AM, et al. Copy number variation plays an important role in clinical epilepsy. *Ann Neurol.* (2014) 75:943–58. doi: 10.1002/ana.24178
- Mefford HC, Yendle SC, Hsu C, Cook J, Geraghty E, McMahon JM, et al. Rare copy number variants are an important cause of epileptic encephalopathies. *Ann Neurol.* (2011) 70:974–85. doi: 10.1002/ana.22645
- Roselló M, Martínez F, Monfort S, Mayo S, Oltra S, Orellana C. Phenotype profiling of patients with intellectual disability and copy number variations. *Eur J Paediatr Neurol.* (2014) 18:558–66. doi: 10.1016/j.ejpn.2014.04.010
- 24. Coutton C, Dieterich K, Satre V, Vieville G, Amblard F, David M, et al. Array-CGH in children with mild intellectual disability: a population-based study. *Eur J Pediatr.* (2014) 174:75–83. doi: 10.1007/s00431-014-2367-6
- Boutry-Kryza N, Labalme A, Ville D, de Bellescize J, Touraine R, Prieur F, et al. Molecular characterization of a cohort of 73 patients with infantile spasms syndrome. *Eur J Med Genet.* (2015) 58:51–8. doi: 10.1016/j.ejmg.2014.11.007
- Allen NM, Conroy J, Shahwan A, Ennis S, Lynch B, Lynch SA, et al. Chromosomal microarray in unexplained severe early onset epilepsy

   A single centre cohort. *Eur J Paediatr Neurol.* (2015) 19:390–4. doi: 10.1016/j.ejpn.2015.03.010
- 27. Fry AE, Rees E, Thompson R, Mantripragada K, Blake P, Jones G, et al. Pathogenic copy number variants and SCN1A mutations in patients with intellectual disability and childhood-onset epilepsy. *BMC Med Genet.* (2016) 17:34. doi: 10.1186/s12881-016-0294-2
- Mullen SA, Carvill GL, Bellows S, Bayly MA, Berkovic SF, Dibbens LM, et al. Copy number variants are frequent in genetic generalized epilepsy with intellectual disability. *Neurology* (2013) 81:1507–14. doi: 10.1212/WNL.0b013e3182a95829

- Depienne C, Nava C, Keren B, Heide S, Rastetter A, Passemard S, et al. Genetic and phenotypic dissection of 1q43q44 microdeletion syndrome and neurodevelopmental phenotypes associated with mutations in ZBTB18 and HNRNPU. *Hum Genet.* (2017) 136:463–79. doi: 10.1007/s00439-017-1772-0
- Lisi EC, Hamosh A, Doheny KF, Squibb E, Jackson B, Galczynski R, et al. 3q29 Interstitial microduplication: a new syndrome in a three-generation family. *Am J Med Genet Part A* (2008) 146:601–9. doi: 10.1002/ajmg.a.32190
- Westphal DS, Andres S, Beitzel KI, Makowski C, Meitinger T, Hoefele J. Identification of a *de novo* microdeletion 1q44 in a patient with hypogenesis of the corpus callosum, seizures and microcephaly – A case report. *Gene* (2017) 616:41–4. doi: 10.1016/j.gene.2017.03.025
- 32. Panagiotakaki E, De Grandis E, Stagnaro M, Heinzen EL, Fons C, Sisodiya S, et al. Clinical profile of patients with ATP1A3 mutations in Alternating Hemiplegia of Childhood A study of 155 patients. Orphanet J Rare Dis. (2015) 10:123. doi: 10.1186/s13023-015-0335-5
- Moog U, Bierhals T, Brand K, Bautsch J, Biskup S, Brune T, et al. Phenotypic and molecular insights into CASK-related disorders in males. *Orphanet J Rare Dis.* (2015) 10:44. doi: 10.1186/s13023-015-0256-3
- Nakajiri T, Kobayashi K, Okamoto N, Oka M, Miya F, Kosaki K, et al. Lateonset epileptic spasms in a female patient with a CASK mutation. *Brain Dev.* (2015) 37:919–23. doi: 10.1016/j.braindev.2015.02.007
- 35. Preiksaitiene E, Molyte A, Kasnauskiene J, Ciuladaite Z, Utkus A, Patsalis PC, et al. Considering specific clinical features as evidence of pathogenic copy number variants. *J Appl Genet.* (2014) 55:189–96. doi: 10.1007/s13353-014-0197-x
- 36. Lu X-Y, Phung MT, Shaw CA, Pham K, Neil SE, Patel A, et al. Genomic imbalances in neonates with birth defects: high detection rates by using chromosomal microarray analysis. *Pediatrics* (2008) 122:1310–8. doi: 10.1542/peds.2008-0297
- Cooper GM, Coe BP, Girirajan S, Rosenfeld JA, Vu TH, Baker C, et al. A copy number variation morbidity map of developmental delay. *Nat Genet.* (2011) 43:838–46. doi: 10.1038/ng.909
- Utami KH, Hillmer AM, Aksoy I, Chew EGY, Teo ASM, Zhang Z, et al. Detection of chromosomal breakpoints in patients with developmental delay and speech disorders. *PLoS ONE* (2014) 9:e90852. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0090852
- 39. Cao Q, Peng Y, Ge JUN, Zhang Y, Zhu J, Zhao L. A novel 5p15.33-14.1 deletion and 4q34.24-35.2 duplication in a patient with mental retardation, dysmorphic features and severe speech delay. J Genet. (2014) 93:159–62. doi: 10.1007/s12041-014-0318-7
- Angulo MA, Butler MG, Cataletto ME. Prader-Willi syndrome: a review of clinical, genetic, and endocrine findings. *J Endocrinol Invest.* (2015) 38:1249– 63. doi: 10.1007/s40618-015-0312-9
- 41. Wheeler AC, Sacco P, Cabo R. Unmet clinical needs and burden in Angelman syndrome: a review of the literature. *Orphanet J Rare Dis.* (2017) 12:1–17. doi: 10.1186/s13023-017-0716-z
- Zimmer EZ, Lowenstein L, Bronshtein M, Goldsher D, Aharon-Peretz J. Clinical significance of isolated mega cisterna magna. Arch Gynecol Obstet. (2007) 276:487–90. doi: 10.1007/s00404-007-0369-6
- Pandurangi S, Pandurangi A, Matkar A, Shetty N, Patil P. Psychiatric manifestations associated with mega cisterna magna. J Neuropsychiatry Clin Neurosci. (2014) 26:169–71. doi: 10.1176/appi.neuropsych.13040097
- Konca Ç, Caliskan B, Tas MA. A case with mega cisterna magna renal and ear anomalies: is this a new syndrome? *Case Rep Med.* (2013) 2013:3–7. doi: 10.1155/2013/149656

**Conflict of Interest Statement:** The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Copyright © 2018 Kessi, Xiong, Wu, Yang, He, Chen, Pang, Duan, Zhang, Arafat, Yin and Peng. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.