



Does the Use of Intratesticular Blocks in Cats Undergoing Orchiectomies Serve as an Effective Adjunctive Analgesic?

A Knowledge Summary by

Erik Fausak MSLIS, MA, LVT, RLAT¹

Elizabeth Rodriguez LVT, CVT¹

Anna Simle Student^{1*}

Netwarat Merman Student MBA¹

Dakota Cook Student¹

¹ Bel-Rea Institute of Animal Technology, 1681 S. Dayton St., Denver, CO 80247

* Corresponding Author (asimle@gmail.com)

ISSN: 2396-9776

Published: 19 Oct 2018

in: Vol 3, Issue 4

DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.18849/ve.v3i4.160>

Reviewed by: Bruce Smith (BVSc MS FANZCVS DACVS) and
Gillian Monsell (MA, VetMB, PhD, MRCVS)

Next Review Date: 19 Oct 2020



PICO question

In cats undergoing orchiectomy, does the use of intratesticular lidocaine reduce the pain in patients compared to not using intratesticular lidocaine?

Clinical bottom line

With a low grade of evidence, when compared to a pre-medication with pure- μ agonist opioids, intratesticular lidocaine does not appear to provide significant benefit. However, based on our clinical scenario where pre-medication is with a partial- μ opioid like buprenorphine, there may be benefit in utilising intratesticular lidocaine.

Clinical Scenario

While animals don't appear to show a great deal of pain on our pain scale in post orchiectomies, does the use of intratesticular lidocaine work as a good adjunctive analgesic compared to not using it, and are there many severe complications?

The evidence

Two randomised control trials with varying degrees of blindness were examined. All studies included involved cats. All studies had a high degree of variation in premedication and analysis of effect (Moldal et al., 2013; Fernandez-Parra et al., 2017).

Summary of the evidence

Fernandez-Parra et al. (2017)	
Population:	Client-owned cats with an average age of 8 mo and 3.8 kg presenting for castration.
Sample size:	49 (out of 54 where 7 were excluded due to fractious behavior – math does not add up) (2 didn't meet inclusion criteria and 5 lacked follow up due to unavailability).
Intervention details:	<p>All received as a premedication and induction agent for neuter – dexmedetomidine (15 μg/kg) and alfaxalone (3 mg/kg)</p> <p>Patients were divided into three groups</p> <p>(ITL) Intratesticular lidocaine (N=15) 0.05 ml of lidocaine 2% in both testicles.</p> <p>(SCL) sacrococcygeal epidural lidocaine (N=15) 0.1 ml/kg of lidocaine 2% epidural placed.</p> <p>(IVM) intravenous methadone (n=19), 0.3 mg/kg IV.</p> <p>All patients received atipamezole (dexmedetomidine reversal) at the</p>

	<p>end of surgery.</p> <p>Fentanyl was administered perioperatively (2 µg/kg) - during the procedure if two values were 30% above the baseline for that animal, and patients were also administered propofol when light.</p> <p>Animals failing VAS pain scale and UNESP-Botucatu multidimensional composite pain score post operatively were given rescue analgesics of buprenorphine (20 µg/kg).</p> <p>All cats received 0.02 mg/kg buprenorphine SQ and 0.2 mg/kg meloxicam PO.</p> <p>Study was blinded where all animals had their backs shaved (but did not inject saline in all testicles – or didn't mention it).</p> <p>Patients were evaluated by pulse oximetry, mean blood pressure, respiratory rate, and heart rate during the procedure and the amount of time from injection to sternal recumbency and from recumbency to activity. Patients were also assessed on pain at regular intervals (1 hr, 2 hr, and 3 hr).</p>
<p>Study design:</p>	<p>Randomised Blinded Control Trial</p>
<p>Outcome studied:</p>	<p>Perioperatively:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Mean arterial pressure • Breaths/minute • Pulse Oximetry • HR during surgery <p>Post-operatively:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • UNESP-Botucatu Composite pain scores • VAS pain score • Recovery times • Surgical time <p>Post-operative scores measured 4 times, at return of active interaction, and at 1,2, and 3 hours later.</p>
<p>Main findings: (relevant to PICO question):</p>	<p>No significant or readily visible differences between all three groups using the MCPS (UNESP-Botucatu multidimensional composite pain score). Significant differences were found with VAS scores, Methadone group had lower VAS scores. ITL had lowest overall recovery times to active interaction.</p> <p>Rescue analgesia (as fentanyl) was delivered perioperatively to 1/15 cats in the epidural group.</p>

	<p>2/15 for intratesticular lidocaine, 3/15 for the epidural group received propofol, and 0/19 required propofol in the methadone group.</p> <p>Post-operatively rescue analgesia of buprenorphine was delivered to 4/15 in the intratesticular lidocaine group, 3/15 in the epidural group, and 1/19 in the methadone group.</p> <p>Methadone had better reduction in pain scores but longer recovery times compared to lidocaine groups.</p>
Limitations:	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Surgery time was longer than average. • A little confused on inclusion/exclusion. 54 cats were examined, 7 excluded due to behavior and 49 cats were included which doesn't make sense as 54-7 = 47. • Not sure if the VAS or UNESP-Botucatu pain scales have been previously validated.

Moldal et al. (2013)	
Population:	Client owned cats 34 Domestic Short Hair and Domestic Long Hair cats, 5 pedigree. Inclusion criteria included clinically healthy cats not on medications 6 months to 5 years old. No abnormalities of testicular anatomy.
Sample size:	39 cats
Intervention details:	<p>All animals were premedicated with:</p> <p>0.25 mg/kg midazolam IV</p> <p>2.5 mg/kg ketamine IV</p> <p>1-4 mg/kg propofol IV</p> <p>0.5 ml lidocaine for glottal application (for intubation)</p> <p>Groups were randomised by lot.</p> <p>Control group (GA) n=19</p> <p>No saline was given in the testicles.</p> <p>Lidocaine testicular group (LA) n=20</p> <p>0.1 ml/kg lidocaine -1/3 lidocaine in each testicle and 1/3 subcutaneous. Surgeon but not anesthetist was blinded to placement. Lidocaine was introduced craniodorsal in each testicle but approached caudoventral 25 g x 1" needle (This did not make a great deal of sense to the author).</p> <p>Post operatively all animals received 2µg/kg medetomidine IV, 15 µg/kg buprenorphine IM, 2 mg/kg ketoprofen SQ and sent home with 1mg/kg ketoprofen oral for three days.</p>

Study design:	Randomised Control Trial.
Outcome studied:	Heart rate, Mean Arterial Pressure, heart rate variability (HRV).
Main findings: (relevant to PICO question):	Lidocaine group (LA) on average had less significant heart rate increase in HRV. Pulse rate in general was less pronounced in the lidocaine group. Cats in the lidocaine group also had lower mean arterial pressure (statistically significant).
Limitations:	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Besides ketamine, there was no analgesic in the premed, probably not consistent with most practices. • Description of intratesticular injection was extremely confusing. • Blinding was done for the surgeon, who was not recording the values for the study, and there was no attempt to blind control from experimental in terms of a saline placebo in each testicle. Likewise, the testicles may have become swollen from injection and surgeons may have noticed. They also don't mention who actually recorded these values (most likely the anesthetist) who knew which patient was given lidocaine or not.

Appraisal, application and reflection

Examination of the whole body of studies made the effect of intratesticular blocks extremely inconsistent. However, when taking into account the type of premedication used in the studies, a clearer picture presented itself. The most significant contribution to post-operative analgesia in routine castration of the cat seems to be the analgesic used in premedication or perioperatively. In the study that used a pure μ opioid agonists, there was marked improvement of the pure μ group over intratesticular groups and epidural groups in pain scores (Fernandez-Parra *et al.*, 2017).

All studies only used lidocaine as an intratesticular block. None of the studies used had adequate blinding measures which could create a bias in interpretation of the results.

A further limitation of these results is in our PICO, we were focusing on pain and the use of intratesticular lidocaine. If this PICO were modified to isoflurane, propofol, and rescue analgesic sparing effect, our conclusion may be different.

Overall, lidocaine led to no significantly aversive events in any of the studies, it is relatively inexpensive, and fairly easy to administer. In light of the low cost and minimal risk with inconsistent results, an argument could be made that it is still worthwhile to administer in most clinical scenarios.

Methodology Section

Search Strategy	
Databases searched and dates covered:	PubMed (1963 – 07/2017) VetMed Resource (CAB) (1973 – Week 1 2017) CAB Abstracts on OVID Platform (1973 – Week 1 2017)
Search terms:	Orchiectomy AND intratesticular AND veterinary (pubmed 22 results and 9 relevant) , (dog OR cat) AND intratesticular (Pubmed 46 results/5 relevant), lidocaine AND castration AND (dogs OR cats) (Pubmed 21/3 relevant), orchiectomy and intratesticular (vetmed resource and Cab Abstracts) 11 results/3 relevant
Dates searches performed:	07/17/2017

Exclusion / Inclusion Criteria	
Exclusion:	Anaesthetic injections versus local blocks
Inclusion:	English, French, Spanish, and Thai articles on intratesticular injection of local anaesthetic to testicles.

Search Outcome					
Database	Number of results	Excluded – not involving lidocaine or bupivacaine intratesticular injection on cats	Excluded – duplicate	Excluded – not local anesthetic – lidocaine or bupivacaine	Total relevant papers
Pubmed	89	80	0	7	2
VetMed Resource	11	3	3	0	0
CAB Abstracts	11	0	11	0	0
Total relevant papers when duplicates removed					2

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

Erik Fausak is a member of the editorial board of Veterinary Evidence.

This paper underwent a rigorous peer-review process as per our normal reviewing guidelines of inviting a minimum of two external reviewers. The identity of the Associate Editor handling the paper has not been disclosed to the author. The final decision to accept this paper rested with the Editor-in-chief.

All other authors declare no conflict of interest.

REFERENCES

1. Fernandez-Parra, R. *et al.* (2017) 'Comparison of intratesticular lidocaine, sacrococcygeal epidural lidocaine and intravenous methadone in cats undergoing castration: a prospective, randomized, investigator-blind clinical trial', *Veterinary Anaesthesia and Analgesia*. doi: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.vaa.2016.03.010>
2. Huuskonen, V. *et al.* (2013) 'Intratesticular lidocaine reduces the response to surgical castration in dogs', *Veterinary Anaesthesia and Analgesia*, 40(1), pp. 74–82. doi: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-2995.2012.00775.x>
3. McMillan, M. W., Seymour, C. J. and Brearley, J. C. (2012) 'Effect of intratesticular lidocaine on isoflurane requirements in dogs undergoing routine castration', *Journal of Small Animal Practice*, 53(7), pp. 393–397. doi: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1748-5827.2012.01233.x>
4. Moldal, E. R. *et al.* (2013) 'Intratesticular and subcutaneous lidocaine alters the intraoperative haemodynamic responses and heart rate variability in male cats undergoing castration', *Veterinary Anaesthesia and Analgesia*, 40(1), pp. 63–73. doi: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-2995.2012.00773.x>
5. Perez, T. E. *et al.* (2013) 'Effects of intratesticular injection of bupivacaine and epidural administration of morphine in dogs undergoing castration', *Journal of the American Veterinary Medical Association*, 242(5), pp. 631–642. doi: <http://dx.doi.org/10.2460/javma.242.5.631>

Intellectual Property Rights

Authors of Knowledge Summaries submitted to RCVS Knowledge for publication will retain copyright in their work, and will be required to grant RCVS Knowledge a non-exclusive license of the rights of copyright in the materials including but not limited to the right to publish, re-publish, transmit, sell, distribute and otherwise use the materials in all languages and all media throughout the world, and to license or permit others to do so.

Disclaimer

Knowledge Summaries are a peer-reviewed article type which aims to answer a clinical question based on the best available current evidence. It does not override the responsibility of the practitioner. Informed decisions should be made by considering such factors as individual clinical expertise and judgement along with patient's circumstances and owners' values. Knowledge Summaries are a resource to help inform and any opinions expressed within the Knowledge Summaries are the author's own and do not necessarily reflect the view of the RCVS Knowledge. Authors are responsible for the accuracy of the content. While the Editor and Publisher believe that all content herein are in accord with current recommendations and practice at the time of publication, they accept no legal responsibility for any errors or omissions, and make no warranty, express or implied, with respect to material contained within.

For further information please refer to our [Terms of Use](#).

RCVS Knowledge is the independent charity associated with the Royal College of Veterinary Surgeons (RCVS). Our ambition is to become a global intermediary for evidence based veterinary knowledge by providing access to information that is of immediate value to practicing veterinary professionals and directly contributes to evidence based clinical decision-making.

<https://www.veterinaryevidence.org/>

RCVS Knowledge is a registered Charity No. 230886.
Registered as a Company limited by guarantee in England and Wales No. 598443.

Registered Office: Belgravia House, 62-64 Horseferry Road, London SW1P 2AF



This work is licensed under a [Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License](#).
