



DOI: 10.4274/haseki.36844  
Med Bull Haseki 2018;56:307-12

# Alterations in Biomechanical Properties of the Cornea After Selective Laser Trabeculoplasty

## *Selektif Laser Trabeküloplasti Tedavisi Sonrasında Korneanın Biyomekanik Özelliklerindeki Değişiklikler*

✉ Kürşat Atalay, ✉ Ahmet Kırgız

University of Health Sciences, Bağcılar Training and Research Hospital, Clinic of Ophthalmology, İstanbul, Turkey

### Abstract

**Aim:** To investigate changes in corneal biomechanical properties after selective laser trabeculoplasty (SLT).

**Methods:** Patients who have received SLT and underwent evaluation of corneal biomechanical properties by ocular response analyzer (ORA) in the preoperative period, one week, and one month after SLT were analyzed. Statistical analyzes were performed by examining pre- and post-SLT examination findings and ORA measurement values from the patients' files.

**Results:** The mean corneal compensated intraocular pressure (IOP) values were found to be significantly reduced one week and one month after the SLT than the values before the treatment (17.14±4.06 mmHg, and 16.91±3.55 mmHg, 19.96±5.00 mmHg; respectively; p=0.004). The mean corneal hysteresis (CH) measurements one week and one month after the SLT were not different from the preoperative measurements (9.89±2.17, 10.12±1.90, and 9.81±2.46, respectively) (p=0.662). The mean corneal resistance factor (CRF) measured prior to the SLT was higher than that in the first week and first month after SLT (11.09±2.08, 10.16±2.04 and 10.39±2.13, respectively) (p=0.002).

**Conclusion:** CH was found to be unchanged, while CRF was found to be decreased. The development of IOP reduction without statistically unaffected changes in CH and decreased CRF suggests that SLT does not have a significant side effect on the structural integrity of the cornea.

**Keywords:** Laser trabeculoplasty, cornea, corneal biomechanical properties, corneal hysteresis, corneal resistance factor

### Öz

**Amaç:** Selektif laser trabeküloplasti (SLT) tedavisi sonrasında korneanın biyomekanik özelliklerinde meydana gelen değişiklikleri araştırmak.

**Yöntemler:** SLT tedavisi uygulanmış ve işlem öncesi, operasyon sonrası birinci hafta ve birinci ayda oküler cevap analizörü (OCA) ölçümleri yapılmış hastaların dosyaları tespit edildi. Hastaların dosyalarından SLT öncesi ve sonrasındaki muayene bulguları ve OCA ölçüm değerleri tespit edilerek istatistik analizleri yapıldı.

**Bulgular:** Korneal etkilerin düzeltildiği göz içi basınç (GİB) değerleri işlem sonrası birinci hafta ve birinci ayda işlem öncesi değerlere göre istatistiksel olarak anlamlı düzeyde azalmış bulundu (sırasıyla 17,14±4,06 mmHg, 16,91±3,55 mmHg, 19,96±5,00 mmHg; p=0,004). Ortalama korneal histerezis (KH) değerleri işlem öncesinde 9,81±2,46 bulundu. SLT sonrası ortalama KH değerleri birinci hafta ve birinci ayda istatistiksel olarak SLT öncesi ortalama değerlerden farklı değillerdi (sırasıyla 9,89±2,17; 10,12±1,90 ve 9,81±2,46; p=0,662). SLT öncesi ölçülen ortalama korneal rezistans faktör (KRF) değerleri ise işlem sonrasında birinci hafta ve birinci ayda ölçülen değerlerden istatistiksel olarak yüksek bulundu (sırasıyla 11,09±2,08; 10,16±2,04 ve 10,39±2,13; p=0,002).

**Sonuç:** Göz içi basınç (GİB) değerleri işlem öncesi değerlere göre birinci hafta ve birinci ayda KH değişmemişken KRF azalmıştı. GİB'deki düşüş ile KH'de istatistiksel olarak anlamlı etkilenme olmaması ve KRF'de azalma gelişmesi SLT'nin korneanın yapısal bütünlüğünde ciddi bir yan etki göstermediğini düşündürmektedir.

**Anahtar Sözcükler:** Laser trabeküloplasti, kornea, korneal biyomekanik özellikler, korneal histerezis, korneal rezistans faktör

### Introduction

Glaucoma is a chronic disease in which the rate of progression can be slowed down by reducing intraocular

pressure (IOP) with appropriate treatments, and the visual function and quality of life must be maintained at a reasonable cost (1). It is a difficult art to measure IOP

**Address for Correspondence/Yazışma Adresi:** Kürşat Atalay  
University of Health Sciences, Bağcılar Training and Research Hospital, Clinic of Ophthalmology, İstanbul, Turkey  
Phone: +90 212 440 40 00 E-mail: drkursatalay@gmail.com ORCID ID: orcid.org/0000-0002-1706-3679

**Received/Geliş Tarihi:** 07 December 2016 **Accepted/Kabul Tarihi:** 03 February 2017

©Copyright 2018 by The Medical Bulletin of  
University of Health Sciences Haseki Training and  
Research Hospital  
The Medical Bulletin of Haseki published by  
Galenos Yayınevi.

©Telif Hakkı 2018 Sağlık Bilimleri Üniversitesi Haseki Eğitim ve Araştırma Hastanesi  
Haseki Tıp Bülteni, Galenos Yayınevi tarafından basılmıştır.

and decrease IOP with different treatment methods (2,3). Goldmann applanation tonometry (GAT) remains the gold standard for IOP measurement, but new methods such as those using ocular response analyzer (ORA) are being developed for more accurate IOP measurements (4-6). In addition to anti-glaucoma eye drops used to reduce IOP, treatment methods such as selective laser trabeculoplasty have been used in recent years (3,7).

ORA evaluates the biomechanical properties of the cornea and attempts to reduce erroneous measurements due to cornea-related factors during IOP measurement. ORA evaluates corneal biomechanics by calculating corneal hysteresis (CH) and corneal resistance factor (CRF) accordingly. Basically, every substance having elasticity holds hysteresis, and Young's modulus is used for calculating its hysteresis (8,9). Hysteresis is found by calculating the amount of alterations in elasticity and viscosity of the cornea which is the cornea's ability to dampen and dissipate applied energy (10). When the air is applied to the cornea 400 times a second by the ORA device, a depression occurs and then the cornea returns to its original state. Two sets of IOP values are measured in the form of the depression and the transition to the old state. At this time, the energy that is emitted and absorbed in the cornea is analyzed as CH. CRF is mathematically calculated with the help of a constant coefficient.

Since the definition of selective laser trabeculoplasty (SLT) by Latina and Park (7), it has taken its place as an important method for the treatment of glaucoma disease all over the world. SLT is a preferred method because of minor side effects, easy feasibility, and temporary effectiveness in reducing IOP (11,12). There have been recent studies showing that SLT usually makes temporary and clinically negligible changes on corneal topography and corneal specular microscopy findings (13-16). In addition, anterior segment interventions are known to affect the cornea (17). However, as far as we can reach, there is not enough literature on the effects of SLT treatment on corneal biomechanics. In this retrospective study, we investigated the effects of SLT therapy on ORA findings in patients undergoing SLT therapy for primary open angle glaucoma (POAG) and ocular hypertension (OHT).

## Methods

In this retrospective study, the files of patients who were followed in a tertiary training and research hospital glaucoma unit were scanned. The files of POAG and OHT patients, who were previously treated with SLT, were collected. These files were further inspected for revealing ORA measurements before and after the SLT treatment. This study protocol was approved by the Bağcılar Training and Research Hospital Ethics Committee (date:

24.11.2017, approval no: 2017.11.1.06.004.r1.014). All the authors worked according to the Helsinki declaration. Informed consent forms were provided.

### Patient Selection

The files of 2458 patients followed in the glaucoma unit were reviewed. Since the ORA device was provided to our clinic in 2015, the records of patients who underwent SLT treatment between January 2015 and March 2016 were provided. Among these dates, 133 patients who received SLT treatment were identified.

Clinical characteristics and demographic data of patients and anamnesis of systemic disease, were recorded. Preoperative and postoperative examinations notes including best corrected visual acuity results, biomicroscopy and retinoscopy examination findings, and IOP measurement with GAT were checked (IOP<sub>gat</sub>). Patients with a spherical equivalent above +5.00 diopter and below -5.00 diopter were not included in the study.

### Selective Laser Trabeculoplasty Technique

An Ellex™ solo (Adelaide, Australia) device was used for SLT application. The treatment method that we routinely apply in our patients is as follows: one drop of sterile topical anesthetic eye drop (Alcaine™, proparacaine hydrochloride, 0.5%) is instilled into both eyes of the patients. Before the procedure, iridocorneal angles are examined again with gonioscopy and SLT therapy is applied between 90 and 100 shots until 360 degree of the trabecular meshwork is treated. The initial energy level is selected to be 0.8 mJ as described by Latina and Park (7) and is gradually increased to 1.3 mJ until the bubble formation at the angle is observed after laser application. After treatment, a non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drop is prescribed (Nevenac™, Nepafenac 1%, four drops per day). All patients continue to use antiglaucomatous drops initiated before SLT.

### Ocular Response Analyzer Technique

Biomechanical properties of the cornea were evaluated with an ORA (Reichert Ophthalmic Instruments, Buffalo, New York, USA). Analysis of corneal biomechanical properties with ORA device has been described in detail in the literature (10,18). However, briefly, ORA sends a short stream of air to create a depression in the cornea. In this applanation process, as the shape of the cornea changes, an electro-optic sensor processes and examines two independent IOP values called P1 and P2. The value of CH is obtained by subtracting P2 from P1. CRF is detected with the help of another software registered in the main memory of the device. This software uses the following formula for CRF detection:

$$CRF=P1-kP2$$

In the formula, k is a constant coefficient and is obtained after analysis of the relationship of P1 and P2 with central corneal thickness. As another important data, the ORA device outputs two different IOP measurement results. The first of these is IOP<sub>g</sub> which is correlated with the IOP measured by GAT. The second result is called IOP<sub>cc</sub>. It is the corrected IOP value of the corneal effects which is obtained as a result of the calculation of the linear combination of P1 and P2 (19). In addition, the device has software that analyzes the measurement quality and extracts it as data called wave score. Measurements with ORA device are performed at least three times in our clinic. For statistical reasons, the study included only eyes with the best wave scores at pre-SLT measurements. In addition, only patients with a wave score over six were included, taking into account the guideline of the ORA device. In our clinic, all ORA measurements are made before instilling any drops. The measurements were made between 10:00 and 16:00 with diurnal variation in mind.

**Statistical Analysis**

Analysis of the data was done with Statistical Package for the Social Sciences 21.0. The Kolmogorov-Smirnov test was used to analyze whether the data fit the normal distribution. The Bonferroni correction for the analysis of pre-SLT, first-week post-SLT, and first-month measurements was performed using the ANOVA test for repeated measures. Statistical significance level was taken as p=0.05.

**Results**

Only 22 of 133 patients had suitable pre-SLT, first-week and first-month post-SLT ORA measurements, and met all of the inclusion criteria, therefore, the information of these 22 patients was analyzed. Sixteen of the patients were female (72.7%) and six were male (27.3%). Nineteen of the patients were treated for POAG and three for OHT (86.4% and 13.6%, respectively). Normal distribution

of IOP<sub>gat'</sub>, CH, CRF, IOP<sub>cc'</sub> and IOP<sub>g</sub> was analyzed by the Kolmogorov-Smirnov test and yielded p values over 0.05. The basic descriptive data for the studied patients are shown in Table 1.

Mean CH values did not show a statistically significant difference at pre-SLT, first week, and first month (p=0.662). The mean CRF values were significantly decreased in the first week and the first month after SLT treatment (p=0.002). IOP<sub>gat'</sub>, CH, CRF, IOP<sub>cc'</sub>, IOP<sub>g</sub> and values of the patients before and after SLT are shown in Table 2.

Correlation analysis was performed between IOP<sub>gat'</sub>, CH and CRF (Table 3). There was a statistically significant positive correlation between mean IOP<sub>gat</sub> and CRF. However, there was a statistically insignificant correlation between IOP<sub>gat</sub> and CH.

**Discussion**

SLT is an important and effective treatment in patients with PAAG and OHT to reduce IOP (20). Previous investigations examining the efficacy of SLT therapy reported positive results with regard to this treatment method, although temporary and mild side effects, such as eye pain, conjunctivitis, corneal edema and blurred vision were observed (11,12,21). This study examined the early effects of SLT therapy on corneal biomechanics and found that CRF decreased statistically significantly in the early period (p=0.002).

**Table 1. Basic descriptive data of patients**

	n	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	Standard deviation
Age	22	27	74	53.32	12.144
C/D <sup>a</sup>	22	0.1	0.9	0.4545	0.21762
RNFL <sup>b</sup>	22	58	112	93.55	14.272
MD <sup>c</sup>	22	-18.1	0.7	-6.588	5.6017

C/D<sup>a</sup>: Cup/disk ratio, RNFL<sup>b</sup>: Retinal nerve fiber layer, <sup>c</sup>: Computerized visual field mean deviation value, MD: Mean deviation

**Table 2. Corneal biomechanical parameters and intraocular pressure<sub>gat</sub> values before and after selective laser trabeculoplasty treatment**

	n	Pre-SLT		Post-SLT 1 <sup>st</sup> week		Post-SLT 1 <sup>st</sup> month		p*
		Range	Mean ± SD	Range	Mean ± SD	Range	Mean ± SD	
IOP <sub>gat</sub> (mmHg)	22	12-28	19.64±4.293	10-22	16.18±3.157	10-29	16.91±3.951	0.000
CH	22	4.5-14.4	9.81±2.46	4.8-14.3	9.89±2.17	4.8-13.0	10.12±1.90	0.662
CRF	22	7.2-15.8	11.09±2.08	4.9-15.0	10.16±2.04	4.9-14.7	10.39±2.13	0.002
IOP <sub>cc</sub> (mmHg)	22	13.9-33.4	19.96±5.00	12.6-30.6	17.14±4.06	11.8-25.4	16.91±3.55	0.004
IOP <sub>g</sub> (mmHg)	22	13.8-28.4	19.39±4.11	11-26	16.20±3.54	11.6-25.4	16.24±3.78	0.000

SLT: Selective laser trabeculoplasty, IOP: Intraocular pressure, CH: Corneal hysteresis, CRF: Corneal resistance factor, GAT: Goldmann applanation tonometry SD: Standard deviation, \*p: Significance level for repeated measures ANOVA test after Bonferroni correction

**Table 3. Analysis of correlation between intraocular pressure<sub>gat</sub>, corneal hysteresis and corneal resistance factor**

		Pre SLT IOP <sub>gat</sub>	IOP <sub>gat</sub> 1 <sup>st</sup> week	IOP <sub>gat</sub> 1 <sup>st</sup> month	Pre SLT CH	CH 1 <sup>st</sup> week	CH 1 <sup>st</sup> month	Pre SLT CRF	CRF 1 <sup>st</sup> week	CRF 1 <sup>st</sup> month
Pre SLT IOP <sub>gat</sub>	r*	1	0.638	0.778	0.052	0.257	0.134	0.491	0.476	0.441
	p*		0.001	0.000	0.817	0.248	0.552	0.020	0.025	0.040
IOP <sub>gat</sub> 1 <sup>st</sup> week	r*	0.638	1	0.700	0.240	0.154	0.163	0.578	0.498	0.322
	p*	0.001		0.000	0.283	0.494	0.469	0.005	0.018	0.144
IOP <sub>gat</sub> 1 <sup>st</sup> month	r*	0.778	0.700	1	0.311	0.447	0.415	0.636	0.717	0.660
	p*	0.000	0.000		0.158	0.037	0.055	0.001	0.000	0.001
Pre SLT CH	r*	0.052	0.240	0.311	1	0.732	0.697	0.825	0.637	0.711
	p*	0.817	0.283	0.158		0.000	0.000	0.000	0.001	0.000
CH 1 <sup>st</sup> week	r*	0.257	0.154	0.447	0.732	1	0.787	0.679	0.858	0.839
	p*	0.248	0.494	0.037	0.000		0.000	0.001	0.000	0.000
CH 1 <sup>st</sup> month	r*	0.134	0.163	0.415	0.697	0.787	1	0.644	0.753	0.852
	p*	0.552	0.469	0.055	0.000	0.000		0.001	0.000	0.000
Pre SLT CRF	r*	0.491	0.578	0.636	0.825	0.679	0.644	1	0.805	0.831
	p*	0.020	0.005	0.001	0.000	0.001	0.001		0.000	0.000
CRF 1 <sup>st</sup> week	r*	0.476	0.498	0.717	0.637	0.858	0.753	0.805	1	0.899
	p*	0.025	0.018	0.000	0.001	0.000	0.000	0.000		0.000
CRF 1 <sup>st</sup> month	r*	0.441	0.322	0.660	0.711	0.839	0.852	0.831	0.899	1
	p*	0.040	0.144	0.001	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	

\*r: Represents the Pearson's correlation coefficient, p: Represents the statistical significance level, Statistically significant values are shown in bold, SLT: Selective laser trabeculoplasty, IOP: Intraocular pressure, CH: Corneal hysteresis, CRF: Corneal resistance factor, GAT: Goldmann applanation tonometry

The effects of SLT on the cornea have been investigated by various investigators using different methods because of the cornea forming the upper wall of the iridocorneal angle, and conflicting results have been obtained (13-16,22).

The effects of SLT on the corneal endothelium have been investigated by some researchers using specular microscopy (13,14). In a study conducted by Ong et al. (13) and colleagues in 147 eyes of 94 patients, it has been reported that the number of endothelium decreased in the first month after SLT, although not statistically significantly ( $p=0.1$ ), and the corneal dark spots were reversibly increased. These authors advised to be careful with SLT applications in patients with recurrent SLT and endothelium pigment accumulations. Another study by Lee et al. (14) and colleagues found that 111 eyes had a statistically significant decrease in endothelial counts at the first week after SLT but did not show a statistically significant decrease when compared to pretreatment values at the end of the first month. It has been noted by Lee et al. (14) that increased dark areas observed in the first week may be associated with decreased endothelial numbers. Both Ong et al. (13) and Lee et al. (14) have reported that inflammatory triggering effects of SLT may help explain the change in specular microscopy findings.

This inflammatory effect has been associated with corneal edema and refractive changes in the literature (23). Although the above studies have indicated that there was a change in endothelial measurements after SLT, the effect of these changes on the corneal biomechanics may be limited due to the fact that in our retrospective study the CH did not change.

Scheimpflug corneal topography is an important method used to examine the cornea and anterior segment (24). In a study conducted by Guven Yilmaz et al. (16) and his colleagues, the central corneal thickness increased in the first month after SLT and the anterior chamber depth decreased, but in the third month, normalization was detected in both parameters. In addition, a study by Atalay et al. (15) and colleagues found a statistically significant but clinically insignificant decrease in central corneal thickness in the third month post-SLT. Both authors have proposed extensive investigations to investigate the effects of SLT on corneal topography. Corneal thickness is a factor that can affect CH and CRF (10,25). In normal and naive eyes, the viscous damping capacity of the cornea increases as the corneal thickness increases. This increase is eventually recorded as a high CH and CRF. However, transient increases in corneal thickness and changes in corneal biomechanical properties with reduced

CH and CRF values were observed after surgery, such as transparent corneal cataract surgery, which had effects on the number of endothelium and anterior chamber inflammation (26,27).

In a study of determining the effect of corneal biomechanics on the outcome of SLT therapy in medically uncontrolled glaucoma, CH and CRF together with pretreatment IOP values predicted a good modeling of the outcome of treatment (22). The data obtained by Hirneiß et al. (22) were obtained by using linear regression analysis of OCA measurements performed one year after SLT treatment in medically uncontrolled patients, as the authors have indicated. In a study conducted by Pillunat et al. (28) and colleagues on high pressure glaucoma and normal pressure glaucoma patients, the IOP<sub>g</sub> and IOP<sub>cc</sub> adjusted measurements of CH and CRF mean values were not statistically different before and after SLT. They also included patients with an uncomplicated cataract surgery, wave scores above 3.5, and reviewed measurements at least four weeks later (13.6±7.0 weeks). In our study, we planned to investigate the early effects of SLT therapy on ORA data and found that CH did not change in the early period, but CRF changed statistically significantly ( $p=0.662$  and  $p=0.002$ , respectively). Our study differs from the study of Pillunat et al. (28) and colleagues in that there was a significant difference in CRF value probably due to early inflammation and IOP spikes after SLT. An insignificant correlation between mean IOP<sub>gat</sub> and CH values after SLT may be due to the formula  $CH = P1-P2$  used in the calculation of CH, although CH values were affected by corneal thickness. In addition, the use of corneal thickness in the calculation of CRF may have led to a decrease in CRF, which correlates positively with IOP<sub>gat</sub> reduction, because of temporary changes in corneal thickness and structure early in the course of SLT therapy. The lack of significant correlation between decreased IOP<sub>gat</sub> and CH, and the significant positive correlation of CRF with IOP<sub>gat</sub> may have been obtained as a result of transient changes in the early post-SLT period, which was noted in previous studies examining the corneal endothelium and corneal structure.

Our study has important limitations to be emphasized. First, our study was not randomized and prospective. CH and CRF are known to have some changes with age (29). Although the average age of our patients was 53.3, the fact that PAAG has an increasing frequency with age restricts the results of our study to adaptation to different age groups.

### Conclusion

SLT therapy maintains its important place in the treatment of PAAG and OHT. We believe that comprehensive, prospective, randomized trials investigating early and

long-term effects of this treatment method on corneal biomechanics will be an important contribution to the treatment and follow-up plans in PAAG and OHT patients.

### Authorship Contributions

Surgical and Medical Practices: K.A. Concept: K.A. Design: K.A. Data Collection or Processing: K.A., A.K. Analysis or Interpretation: K.A., A.K. Literature Search: K.A., A.K. Writing: K.A.

**Conflict of Interest:** No conflict of interest was declared by the authors.

**Financial Disclosure:** The authors declared that this study received no financial support.

### References

1. İzgi B. Glokomda tıbbi tedavi ilkeleri. *Glo-Kat*. 2011;6(Suppl):86-9.
2. Bilgin S, Kayıkçıoğlu ÖR. Primer Açık Açılı Glokomda Goldmann Applanasyon Tonometresi, Dinamik Kontur Tonometre ve Oküler Cevap Analizörü Sonuçlarının Karşılaştırılması. *Glo-Kat* 2015:10.
3. McAlinden C. Selective laser trabeculoplasty (SLT) vs other treatment modalities for glaucoma: systematic review. *Eye (Lond)* 2014;28:249-58.
4. Paşaoğlu I, Eren MH, Demircan A, Güngel H, Altan Ç, Paşaoğlu E. Göz İçi Basıncı Ölçümünde Goldmann Applanasyon Tonometresi, Tonopen Avia, Oküler Cevap Analizörü Karşılaştırması ve Merkezi Kornea Kalınlığının Ölçümlere Etkisi. *Glo-Kat* 2012;7:101-4.
5. Ehrlich JR, Radcliffe NM, Shimmyo M. Goldmann applanation tonometry compared with corneal-compensated intraocular pressure in the evaluation of primary open-angle Glaucoma. *BMC Ophthalmol* 2012;12:52.
6. Kaushik S, Pandav SS, Banger A, Aggarwal K, Gupta A. Relationship between corneal biomechanical properties, central corneal thickness, and intraocular pressure across the spectrum of glaucoma. *Am J Ophthalmol* 2012;153:840-9.
7. Latina MA, Park C. Selective targeting of trabecular meshwork cells: in vitro studies of pulsed and CW laser interactions. *Exp Eye Res* 1995;60:359-71.
8. Jue B, Maurice DM. The mechanical properties of the rabbit and human cornea. *J Biomech* 1986;19:847-53.
9. Hoeltzel DA, Altman P, Buzard K, Choe K. Strip extensometry for comparison of the mechanical response of bovine, rabbit, and human corneas. *J Biomech Eng* 1992;114:202-15.
10. Kotecha A, Russell RA, Sinapis A, Pourjavan S, Sinapis D, Garway-Heath DF. Biomechanical parameters of the cornea measured with the Ocular Response Analyzer in normal eyes. *BMC ophthalmol* 2014;14:11.
11. Sayin N, Alkin Z, Ozkaya A, et al. Efficacy of selective laser trabeculoplasty in medically uncontrolled glaucoma. *ISRN ophthalmol* 2013;2013:975281.
12. Cvenkel B. One-year follow-up of selective laser trabeculoplasty in open-angle glaucoma. *Ophthalmologica* 2004;218:20-5.

13. Ong K, Ong L, Ong LB. Corneal endothelial abnormalities after selective laser trabeculoplasty (SLT). *J Glaucoma* 2015;24:286-90.
14. Lee JW, Chan JC, Chang RT, et al. Corneal changes after a single session of selective laser trabeculoplasty for open-angle glaucoma. *Eye* 2014;28:47-52.
15. Atalay K, Kirgiz A, Cabuk Serefoglu K, Mert M, Kaldirim Erdogan H. Does selective laser trabeculoplasty treatment affect anterior chamber angle? *Int J Clin Exp Med* 2016;9:4660-4.
16. Guven Yilmaz S, Palamar M, Yusifov E, Ates H, Egrilmez S, Yagci A. Effects of primary selective laser trabeculoplasty on anterior segment parameters. *Int J Ophthalmol* 2015;8:954-9.
17. Sadık Şencan HB, Güldal Koca, Fırat Helvacıoğlu, Harun Bilen. S.B. Haseki Eğitim ve Araştırma Hastanesi Göz Bankasının Kurulması, İşleyişi, Kornea Temini ve Dağıtımında Bir Yıllık Tecrübe ve Verilerimiz. *Med Bull Haseki* 2006;44:31-3.
18. Luce DA. Determining in vivo biomechanical properties of the cornea with an ocular response analyzer. *J Cataract Refract Surg* 2005;31:156-62.
19. Touboul D, Roberts C, Kérautret J, et al. Correlations between corneal hysteresis, intraocular pressure, and corneal central pachymetry. *J Cataract Refract Surg* 2008;34:616-22.
20. Wong MO, Lee JW, Choy BN, Chan JC, Lai JS. Systematic review and meta-analysis on the efficacy of selective laser trabeculoplasty in open-angle glaucoma. *Surv Ophthalmol* 2015;60:36-50.
21. Kara N, Altan C, Yuksel K, Tetikoglu M. Comparison of the efficacy and safety of selective laser trabeculoplasty in cases with primary open-angle glaucoma and pseudoexfoliative glaucoma. *Kaohsiung J Med Sci* 2013;29:500-4.
22. Hirneiß C, Sekura K, Brandlhuber U, Kampik A, Kernt M. Corneal biomechanics predict the outcome of selective laser trabeculoplasty in medically uncontrolled glaucoma. *Graefes Arch Clin Exp Ophthalmol* 2013;251:2383-8.
23. Knickelbein JE, Singh A, Flowers BE, et al. Acute corneal edema with subsequent thinning and hyperopic shift following selective laser trabeculoplasty. *J Cataract Refract Surg* 2014;40:1731-5.
24. De la Parra-Colín P, Garza-León M, Barrientos-Gutierrez T. Repeatability and comparability of anterior segment biometry obtained by the Sirius and the Pentacam analyzers. *Int Ophthalmol* 2014;34:27-33.
25. Lau W, Pye D. A clinical description of Ocular Response Analyzer measurements. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci* 2011;52:2911-6.
26. Kandarakis A, Soumplis V, Karamelas M, et al. Response of corneal hysteresis and central corneal thickness following clear corneal cataract surgery. *Acta ophthalmol* 2012;90:526-9.
27. de Freitas Valbon B, Ventura MP, da Silva RS, Canedo AL, Velarde GC, Ambrósio R Jr. Central corneal thickness and biomechanical changes after clear corneal phacoemulsification. *J Refract Surg* 2012;28:215-9.
28. Pillunat KR, Spoerl E, Terai N, Pillunat LE. Effect of selective laser trabeculoplasty on corneal biomechanics. *Acta Ophthalmol* 2016;94:501-4.
29. Knox Cartwright NE, Tyrer JR, Marshall J. Age-related differences in the elasticity of the human cornea. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci* 2011;52:4324-9.