



## Variability in the Composition of Pacific Oyster Microbiomes Across Oyster Families Exhibiting Different Levels of Susceptibility to OsHV-1 µvar Disease

William L. King<sup>1,2</sup>, Nachshon Siboni<sup>2</sup>, Nathan L. R. Williams<sup>1</sup>, Tim Kahlke<sup>2</sup>, Khue Viet Nguyen<sup>1,2</sup>, Cheryl Jenkins<sup>3</sup>, Michael Dove<sup>4</sup>, Wayne O'Connor<sup>4</sup>, Justin R. Seymour<sup>2</sup> and Maurizio Labbate<sup>1\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup> The School of Life Sciences, University of Technology Sydney, Ultimo, NSW, Australia, <sup>2</sup> Climate Change Cluster, University of Technology Sydney, Ultimo, NSW, Australia, <sup>3</sup> NSW Department of Primary Industries, Elizabeth Macarthur Agricultural Institute, Menangle, NSW, Australia, <sup>4</sup> NSW Department of Primary Industries, Port Stephens Fisheries Institute, Port Stephens, NSW, Australia

#### **OPEN ACCESS**

#### Edited by:

Sebastian Fraune, University of Kiel, Germany

#### Reviewed by:

Mathias Wegner, Alfred Wegener Institute Helmholtz Centre for Polar and Marine Research (AWI), Germany Eve Toulza, Université de Perpignan Via Domitia, France

> \*Correspondence: Maurizio Labbate maurizio.labbate@uts.edu.au

#### Specialty section:

This article was submitted to Microbial Symbioses, a section of the journal Frontiers in Microbiology

Received: 01 November 2018 Accepted: 22 February 2019 Published: 11 March 2019

#### Citation:

King WL, Siboni N, Williams NLR, Kahlke T, Nguyen KV, Jenkins C, Dove M, O'Connor W, Seymour JR and Labbate M (2019) Variability in the Composition of Pacific Oyster Microbiomes Across Oyster Families Exhibiting Different Levels of Susceptibility to OsHV-1 μvar Disease. Front. Microbiol. 10:473. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2019.00473 Oyster diseases are a major impediment to the profitability and growth of the oyster aquaculture industry. In recent years, geographically widespread outbreaks of disease caused by ostreid herpesvirus-1 microvariant (OsHV-1 µvar) have led to mass mortalities among Crassostrea gigas, the Pacific Oyster. Attempts to minimize the impact of this disease have been largely focused on breeding programs, and although these have shown some success in producing oyster families with reduced mortality, the mechanism(s) behind this protection is poorly understood. One possible factor is modification of the C. gigas microbiome. To explore how breeding for resistance to OsHV-1 µvar affects the oyster microbiome, we used 16S rRNA amplicon sequencing to characterize the bacterial communities associated with 35 C. gigas families, incorporating oysters with different levels of susceptibility to OsHV-1 µvar disease. The microbiomes of disease-susceptible families were significantly different to the microbiomes of disease-resistant families. OTUs assigned to the Photobacterium, Vibrio, Aliivibrio, Streptococcus, and Roseovarius genera were associated with low disease resistance. In partial support of this finding, qPCR identified a statistically significant increase of Vibrio-specific 16S rRNA gene copies in the low disease resistance families, possibly indicative of a reduced host immune response to these pathogens. In addition to these results, examination of the core microbiome revealed that each family possessed a small core community, with OTUs assigned to the Winogradskyella genus and the Bradyrhizobiaceae family consistent members across most disease-resistant families. This study examines patterns in the microbiome of oyster families exhibiting differing levels of OsHV-1 µvar disease resistance and reveals some key bacterial taxa that may provide a protective or detrimental role in OsHV-1  $\mu$ var disease outbreaks.

Keywords: Crassostrea gigas, microbiome, ostreid herpesvirus, disease resistance, Vibrio, POMS

## INTRODUCTION

The Pacific oyster, *Crassostrea gigas* is a globally cultivated oyster species, but the cultivation of this species has been increasingly impacted by disease events (Azéma et al., 2015). These disease events are largely caused by viral and bacterial etiological agents (Friedman et al., 2005; Garnier et al., 2007; Segarra et al., 2010; King et al., 2019), but in some instances no clear etiological agent is identifiable (Go et al., 2017; King et al., 2018). A major pathogen of *C. gigas* is the ostreid herpesvirus 1 (OsHV-1), and its micro variant form (OsHV-1  $\mu$ var) (Davison et al., 2005; Segarra et al., 2010). This virus has caused severe mortality outbreaks over the last two decades (Friedman et al., 2005; Burge et al., 2006; Segarra et al., 2010; Jenkins et al., 2013; Mortensen et al., 2016), with some outbreaks resulting in over 90% mortality and leading to the death of many millions of oysters (ASI, 2015).

To combat the impact of OsHV-1  $\mu$ var, a variety of approaches including modifying husbandry practices (e.g., increased oyster cultivation height) and breeding disease resistant oysters have been applied, with varying degrees of success (Dégremont, 2011; Paul-Pont et al., 2013; Whittington et al., 2015). Breeding programs generally involve breeding oyster genetic lines that have greater survival rates following exposure to OsHV-1 (Dégremont, 2011, 2013; Dégremont et al., 2015b; Camara et al., 2017). While these breeding programs have shown some success, resistant families still experience varying degrees of mortality (juvenile oysters 5–19%; larvae up to 86%) (Dégremont, 2011; Dégremont et al., 2013, 2016b), and the mechanism(s) underpinning resistance are often not easily distinguishable.

A number of studies have characterized the physiological and immunological factors driving OsHV-1 and OsHV-1  $\mu$ var resistance in selectively bred oysters (Sauvage et al., 2009; Dégremont, 2011, 2013; Azéma et al., 2015; Dégremont et al., 2015a, 2016a,b). Factors such as increased oyster size and weight are associated with increased resistance to infection, but why this occurs is currently unclear (Dégremont, 2013; Dégremont et al., 2015b). Other studies have determined that resistant oysters have a greater capacity to clear OsHV-1 from their tissues and supress virus replication (Dégremont, 2011; Segarra et al., 2014). When examined from an immunologic perspective, resistant oysters appear to have greater capacity to induce autophagy genes when infected by OsHV-1 compared to susceptible oysters (Moreau et al., 2015).

Another contributing factor that has received less attention is the oyster microbiome. A previous study has shown that despite being positive for OsHV-1  $\mu$ var, antibiotic-treated oysters displayed significantly reduced mortalities in comparison to untreated oysters (Petton et al., 2015). Furthermore, the total bacterial load, including the *Vibrio* community, is significantly elevated following OsHV-1  $\mu$ var infection and this elevation is necessary to cause mortality (de Lorgeril et al., 2018). *Vibrio* bacteria are commonly isolated from OsHV-1 infected oysters (Segarra et al., 2010; Garcia et al., 2011; Jenkins et al., 2013; Keeling et al., 2014), with a recent study concluding that OsHV-1  $\mu$ var infection causes immune-suppression of the oyster host, allowing opportunistic bacteria (including *Vibrio* species) to infect the oyster (de Lorgeril et al., 2018). In other organisms, studies have implicated the host microbiome as modulating the immune system, suggesting it is critical in host defense and overall health (reviewed by Shreiner et al., 2015) and in influencing host behavior (Shin et al., 2011).

To better understand how breeding for OsHV-1  $\mu$ var disease resistance affects the *C. gigas* microbiome and to elucidate whether specific taxa are associated with susceptibility and resistance, we examined the microbiome of 35 *C. gigas* families with varying degrees of disease-resistance. To remove the confounding effects of time and location, these oysters were deployed at a single location and sampled at the same time. In addition, the comparison of distinct *C. gigas* families provided the opportunity to determine whether they harbored distinct microbial community assemblages and whether persistent bacterial taxa (core microbiome) common across the families could be identified.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

#### Sources and Sampling of C. gigas

Australian Seafood Industries (ASI) is an oyster aquaculture industry-owned company that since the first OsHV-1 µvar outbreak in 2010 has been breeding C. gigas families for OsHV-1 µvar disease resistance through field exposure. In 2016, ASI deployed 35 (n = 35) 5<sup>th</sup> generation families (5 consecutive years of biparental breeding) of juvenile C. gigas into three areas known to harbor the OsHV-1 virus, the Georges River (NSW, Australia; 34.035S, 151.145E), Pipe Clay Lagoon (TAS, Australia; 42.970S, 147.525E) and Pittwater (TAS, Australia; 42.802S, 147.509E) (Kube et al., 2018). Based on these field disease-exposure studies, expected breeding values (EBVs) were calculated by ASI. These EBVs are an estimation of how well the oysters will perform for a particular trait and the likelihood of passing those traits to their progeny. For the purposes of this study, families were classified into 'resistance groups' (RG) based on their OsHV-1 µvar disease resistance EBV. Families with an EBV greater than 0.6 were placed into RG1 (high disease-resistance), those with an EBV greater than 0.3 and less than 0.6 were placed into RG2 (medium disease-resistance), and families with an EBV less than 0.3 were placed into RG3 (low disease-resistance) (Table 1). The estimated heritability is the likelihood of the offspring demonstrating a particular trait, in this case OsHV-1 µvar disease resistance. Resistance is determined by the combination of many genes, since the stock used are derived from a number of genetically distinct families, each family differs in its resistance, and crosses between families differ.

In addition to disease-resistance, EBVs of other oyster traits were also provided by ASI. These traits include: meat condition, the ratio of wet meat to the total weight; depth index, the ratio of shell depth to shell length; shell length; oyster weight, including the oyster shell; and width index, the ratio of shell width to shell length. As EBV's are proprietary information, rather than providing absolute values for each index, we generated a 'rank' system to categorize families according to each index, with ranks of 1 being the highest (**Table 1**). **TABLE 1** | Expected breeding value ranks for the studied oyster families including OsHV-1  $\mu$ var disease-resistance.

	OsHV-1						
Family	μ <b>var</b>	Resistance	Meat	Depth	Shell	Oyster	Width
line	resistance	group (RG)	condition	index	length	weight	index
F_01	8	RG2	22	6	28	7	7
F_02	25	RG3	20	1	29	10	10
F_03	6	RG2	17	18	21	13	13
F_07	16	RG2	6	4	34	1	1
F_10	26	RG3	16	10	32	5	5
F_11	24	RG3	11	7	31	6	6
F_15	29	RG3	6	4	34	1	1
F_16	31	RG3	3	14	11	23	23
F_19	17	RG2	17	18	21	13	13
F_20	28	RG3	4	20	9	13	13
F_23	15	RG2	13	28	19	19	19
F_25	20	RG2	6	21	19	20	20
F_26	32	RG3	12	8	25	22	22
F_27	22	RG3	25	2	27	17	17
F_29	7	RG2	27	31	2	35	35
F_30	18	RG2	23	30	3	33	33
F_35	34	RG3	1	27	10	8	8
F_36	10	RG2	9	24	17	24	24
F_37	12	RG2	4	9	30	11	11
F_39	27	RG3	2	15	17	9	9
F_40	11	RG2	17	12	26	21	21
F_43	19	RG2	20	10	21	28	28
F_51	23	RG3	14	28	13	12	12
F_61	30	RG3	14	35	1	34	34
F_62	33	RG3	24	24	7	17	17
F_65	13	RG2	30	31	3	30	30
F_66	1	RG1	30	31	3	30	30
F_67	9	RG2	30	31	3	30	30
F_68	3	RG1	30	16	15	25	25
F_69	5	RG1	30	16	15	25	25
F_72	2	RG1	35	21	13	16	16
F_77	4	RG1	26	24	8	25	25
F_80	21	RG3	29	23	12	29	29
F_84	14	RG2	28	2	33	3	3
F_86	35	RG3	10	13	24	4	4

Survival data was found after deployment in three different OsHV-1  $\mu$ var positive estuaries across Australia. Expected breeding values (EBVs), including OsHV-1  $\mu$ var disease-resistance, are shown as a rank number out of 35.

For this microbiome study, the families were deployed into the Georges River (34.035S, 151.145E) on the  $16^{th}$  of August 2016 and sampled 2 months after deployment date. The 2-month deployment time was the first opportunity to sample the deployed juvenile oysters and was sufficient time to ensure no evidence of disease or morbidity. Oysters were deployed in a resolvable incomplete block design to account for micro-geographic variation, blocks were subsections of a replicate and there were three replicates for each family, with each family stocked into a subsection of the tray (Kube et al., 2018). Five oysters from each of the 35 families (total = 175 samples) were sampled and immediately placed on ice and transported to the laboratory where they were stored at  $-80^{\circ}$ C until further processing.

# DNA Extraction, Sequencing, and Bioinformatics

The outer shell of the five sampled oysters was rinsed under running tap water to remove any remaining mud and debris. Defrosted oysters were then shucked with sterilized shucking knifes and approximately 25 mg of adductor muscle tissue was aseptically removed using sterile scalpel blades.

The Qiagen DNeasy blood and tissue kit (catalogue: 69506) was used to extract DNA samples, as per the manufacturer's instructions. Microbial community composition within samples was subsequently assessed using 16S rRNA amplicon sequencing, whereby the ribosomal 16S rRNA V1-V3 region was targeted using the 27F (5'-AGAGTTTGATCMTGGCTCAG-3') and 519R (5'-GWATTACCGCGGCKGCTG-3') primer pair. The PCR cycling conditions were as follows: 95°C for 3 min, 25 cycles of 95°C for 30 s, 55°C for 30 s and 72°C for 30 s, and a final extension at 72°C for 5 min. Amplicons were sequenced using the Illumina MiSeq platform  $(2 \times 300 \text{ bp})$  using standard approaches (Ramaciotti Centre for Genomics at the University of New South Wales, Sydney, NSW, Australia). Raw data files in FASTQ format were deposited in the NCBI Sequence Read Archive (SRA) under the Bioproject number PRJNA497763.

Briefly, 16S rRNA paired-end DNA sequences were joined using Flash (Magoc and Salzberg, 2011) and subsequently trimmed using Mothur (Schloss et al., 2009) (Parameters: maxhomop = 5, maxambig = 0, minlength = 432, maxlength = 506). The resulting fragments were clustered into operational taxonomic units (OTUs) at 97% sequence identity, and chimeric sequences were identified using vsearch (Rognes et al., 2016). Taxonomy was assigned in QIIME (Caporaso et al., 2010) using the uclust algorithm (Edgar, 2010) against the Silva v128 database. Mitochondrial and chloroplast data were filtered out of the dataset and the remaining data were rarefied to allow for even coverage across all samples (**Supplementary Data Sheet 2**). OTUs representing less than 0.1% relative abundance in an individual sample were also filtered from the dataset (**Supplementary Tables 1, 2**).

#### **Core Microbiome Analysis**

To determine whether a core oyster microbiome could be characterized, we examined the microbiome of oysters at three different thresholds. First, for individual families, then for RGs, then for all samples together. A core OTU was defined as an OTU that was present in at least all but one replicate (to account for outliers) within a family. To achieve this, the panbiom.py script was used as detailed in Kahlke (2017). Briefly, the final biom file generated during the QIIME analysis was used in conjunction with a treatment file that identifies which samples are replicates within a family. The panbiom.py arguments were as follows: a replicate threshold of 1 (-r parameter) and an outlier threshold of 'x' (-x parameter). The -x parameter treats the replicate threshold value as an outlier threshold value, simply put, it can be absent in one replicate sample (indicated by -r = 1 and -x = x).

#### **Quantitative PCR (qPCR)**

Due to the potential role of Vibrio in OsHV-1 µvar disease dynamics (Segarra et al., 2010; Jenkins et al., 2013; Lemire et al., 2015; Petton et al., 2015; de Lorgeril et al., 2018), quantitative PCR (qPCR) was used to examine patterns in Vibrio abundance across the RGs. qPCR was performed using an epMotion 5075l Automated Liquid Handling System on a Bio-Rad CFX384 Touch Real-Time PCR Detection System with a six-point calibration curve and negative controls on every plate. The calibration curve was built from a known amount of amplicon DNA measured by Qubit, followed by a 10-fold dilution to fill out the calibration curve. All sample analyses were performed with three technical replicates, using the following reaction mixture: 2.5  $\mu$ L iTaq Universal SYBR Green supermix, 0.4  $\mu$ M of each forward and reverse primer, 1  $\mu$ L of diluted (1:15) template DNA, and the remainder made up with water. To quantify abundance of the Vibrio community, the Vibrio-specific 16S rRNA primers Vib1-f (5'-GGCGTAAAGCGCATGCAGGT-3') and Vib2-r (5'-GAAATTCTACCCCCCTCTACAG-3') were used (Thompson et al., 2004; Vezzulli et al., 2011; Siboni et al., 2016). The qPCR cycling conditions were as follows: 95°C for 3 min followed by 45 cycles of 95°C for 15 s and 60°C for 1 min. The resulting data were normalized to both elution volume (200  $\mu$ L) and tissue weight. A coefficient of variation (CV) was then calculated for the technical triplicates, and samples with CV > 10% were removed from the analysis. A melting curve was added to the end of every run to confirm the presence of a single PCR product.

#### **Statistical Analysis**

Comparisons of alpha diversity were performed with a oneway ANOVA followed by a Tukey's pairwise test. Normalized [square root (x)] data were used to compare community compositions using a non-metric multidimensional scaling analysis (nMDS) with a Bray-Curtis similarity index. To determine significantly different microbial assemblage between families and RGs, and to compare qPCR data, a one-way PERMANOVA was used. To examine which OTUs contributed to differences between RGs, a SIMPER analysis with a Bray-Curtis similarity index was used. To define associations between breeding values and OTUs, breeding values were normalized (x-mean/standard deviation) and used within a canonical correspondence analysis (CCA). All analyses were performed using the PAST statistical software (Hammer et al., 2001). To determine whether an OTU was significantly elevated in a particular RG, the group\_significance.py script using the default analysis (Kruskal-Wallis ANOVA) was used in QIIME. To examine correlations between EBVs, we performed a maximal information-based non-parametric exploration (MINE) analysis (Reshef et al., 2011).

## RESULTS

#### The C. gigas Microbiome

Following data filtering and rarefication, a total of 3294 OTUs were observed across the entire dataset, and of these, 68.5% occurred at below 1% of the total relative abundance. Conversely, across all samples and spanning all RGs, a member of the *Pseudomonas* genus (OTU 2034) was found to be the most relatively abundant OTU comprising 5.6% of the bacterial community. This was followed by OTUs matching an uncultured bacterium in the *Psychrobacter* genus (OTU 1488) and an uncultured bacterium in the *Mycoplasma* genus (OTU 3150), which represented 4.8 and 4% of the *C. gigas* microbiome across the whole dataset respectively (**Figure 1**).

#### Variability in the *C. gigas* Microbiome Across Different Resistance Lines

To determine whether breeding for disease resistance influences the *C. gigas* microbiome, the microbiome of oysters assigned to RGs were characterized and compared. Alpha diversity, quantified using Shannon's diversity index was significantly higher in RG2 when compared to the RG3 RG ( $F_{(1,141)} = 6.8$ , p = 0.025), but did not vary significantly when compared to RG1 ( $F_{(1,93)} = 0.4$ , p = 0.51). Species richness (Chao1) did not differ significantly between any of the RGs (RG1 vs. RG2 –  $F_{(1,93)} = 0.03$ , p = 0.85; RG1 vs. RG3 –  $F_{(1,94)} = 1.3$ , p = 0.26; RG2 vs. RG3 –  $F_{(1,141)} = 1.08$ , p = 0.30).

Comparisons of microbiome composition (beta diversity) across different RGs revealed that the microbiomes of RG1 and RG2 were both significantly different to the least disease resistant group, RG3 (p = 0.019 and p = 0.0001;  $F_{(1.94)} = 1.47$ and  $F_{(1,141)} = 2.93$  respectively). No significant difference was found between the microbiomes of RG1 and RG2  $(F_{(1,93)} = 1.29, p = 0.055)$ . Statistical comparisons between RG2 and RG3 appeared to be stronger than those between RG1 and RG3, possibly due to more families being assigned to RG2, therefore potentially adding more microbiome variability to this group. No clear dissimilarity in the microbiome of the RGs was apparent in a 3D nMDS (Stress = 0.34), or a PCoA (Supplementary Figure 1). SIMPER comparisons showed that the composition of the microbiomes associated with RG1 and RG2 were 81.83 and 82.12% dissimilar to RG3 respectively (Supplementary Tables 3, 4).

As the RG with the lowest level of disease-resistance (RG3) was found to have a significantly different microbial assemblage to both RG2 and RG1, we examined which OTUs were responsible for driving the differences in microbiome structure between these groups (**Figure 2**). An OTU assigned to the *Pseudomonas* genus (OTU 2034; the most abundant OTU in the entire dataset) was over-represented in the RG3 microbiome relative to both RG1 ( $H_{(1,94)} = 7.6, p = 0.0058$ ) and RG2 ( $H_{(1,141)} = 15, p = 0.00011$ ). Conversely, an OTU assigned to the *Tenacibaculum* genus (OTU 2636) and two separate OTUs assigned to the *Dokdonia* genus (OTUs 2162 and 1526) were all significantly under-represented in RG3 (*Tenacibaculum* RG1  $H_{(1,94)} = 4.5, p = 0.033$  and RG2



 $H_{(1,141)} = 15.2, p = 0.0056$ ; *Dokdonia* RG1  $H_{(1,94)} = 7.7, p = 0.0001$ and RG2  $H_{(1,141)} = 30.3, p < 0.0001$ ).

Notably, a member of the Vibrio genus (OTU 412) was found to be significantly over-represented in the least diseaseresistant group (RG3) relative to the most disease-resistant group (RG1)  $(H_{(1,94)} = 4.4, p = 0.036)$ . Due to the previously demonstrated importance of Vibrio species in OsHV-1 µvar infection (Segarra et al., 2010; Jenkins et al., 2013; de Lorgeril et al., 2018), we subsequently employed a Vibrio-specific 16S rRNA qPCR assay to compare total abundances of Vibrio across RGs. A significant elevation of Vibrio 16S rRNA gene copies was observed in RG3 compared to RG1 ( $F_{(1,94)} = 2.86, p = 0.027$ ) and RG2 ( $F_{(1,141)} = 3.25$ , p = 0.014) (average of 179, 107, and 75 gene copies mg of tissue<sup>-1</sup> respectively; **Supplementary** Figure 2). Furthermore, OTUs assigned to the Vibrio genus were significantly elevated in RG3 when compared to RG1  $(F_{(1.94)} = 4.27, p = 0.011)$ , but not RG2  $(F_{(1.141)} = 2.48, p = 0.07)$ . To determine the extent of whether Vibrio OTUs were driving the differences between RG1 and RG3 microbiomes, OTUs assigned

to the *Vibrio* genus were removed and the RG beta diversity comparison was reperformed. When doing this, we observed a slight weakening of the statistical comparison between RG1 and RG3, from ( $F_{(1,94)} = 1.47$ , p = 0.019) to ( $F_{(1,94)} = 1.46$ , p = 0.024).

A CCA was used to highlight associations between specific OTUs, OsHV-1 µvar disease-resistance and EBVs of other traits (**Figure 3**). OTUs matching the *Cupriavidus* (OTU 2182) and *Psychrilyobacter* (OTU 5046) genera were closely coupled with disease-resistance, followed by a member of the *Tenacibaculum* (OTU 2153) genus and an uncultured bacterium in the *Frankiales* order (OTU 5180). While OTUs assigned to members of the *Photobacterium* (OTU 1063; OTU 654; OTU 1053), *Vibrio* (OTU 651; OTU 653) and *Aliivibrio* (OTU 1248) genera were negatively associated with disease-resistance, but strongly associated with meat condition. Furthermore, members of the *Streptococcus* (OTU 814) and *Roseovarius* (OTU 7180) genera were closely associated with disease-resistance. The community composition was largely influenced by the

А				В			
Xanthomonadales OTU_1583			•	•	•	Unculuted bacterium JTB255 marine benthic group OTU_1558	
Uncultured bacterium Surface 1 SAR11 OTU_6458		•		•		Uncultured bacterium Sandaracinaceae OTU_936	
Uncultured bacterium Rhodobacteraceae OTU_6494		•	•	•	•	Uncultured bacterium Filomicrobium OTU_7177	
	Uncultured bacterium KI89A clade OTU_752	•		•	•	Uncultured bacterium Desulfobulbaceae OTU_1	
	Tenacibaculum OTU_2636	•	•	•		Tenacibaculum OTU_2636	
p–valı	Roseobacter OTU_6715	۰	0	•	•	SAR86 clade OTU_2159 p-value	
0.04	Pseudomonas OTU_2034			•		Pseudomonas OTU_2034 0.04	
0.0	2 Rhodobacteraceae OTU_7259	•	•	•	•	NS5 marine group OTU_2165 0.02	
0.0	Pseudoalteromonadaceae OTU_3257	۰		•	•	Glaciecola OTU_3725	
	MD3-55 OTU_6099	•		•	•	FS117-23B-02 OTU_5806	
Mean	JTB255 marine benthic group OTU_1558	•	•	•	٠	Flavobacteriaceae OTU_1556 Mean	
abundan 0.	ce 0 Hyphomonadaceae OTU_6479	٠	•	•		Erythrobacteraceae OTU_6484 abundance	
2.	5 Chromatiaceae OTU_3152	•			•	Emcibacter OTU_6568 2.5	
5.	0 Bradyrhizobiaceae OTU_6417			•		Delftia OTU_3254 5.0	
7.	5 Ambiguous taxa Vibrio OTU_412			•	•	Candidatus Thiobios OTU_6098 7.5	
	Ambiguous taxa Terasakiella OTU_6285		•	•		Ambiguous_taxa Dokdonia OTU_1526	
	Ambiguous taxa OM1 clade OTU_4026	•	•	•	٠	Ambiguous taxa Sphingobacterium OTU_1241	
	Ambiguous taxa Halomonas OTU_1586	•	•	•	۰	Ambiguous taxa Gammaproteobacteria Incertae Sedis OTU_137	
	Ambiguous taxa Dokdonia OTU_2162	•	•	•		Ambiguous taxa Enterobacteriaceae OTU_1041	
	Ambiguous taxa Cellulophaga OTU_3456		•	•	٠	Actibacter OTU_1561	
		RG1	RG3	RG2	RG3	-	
FIGURE 2   E	ubble plot of group_significance.py analysis i	results i	using the c	default Krus	kal–Walli	s parameters. (A) Represents the comparison between RG1 and	

**FIGURE 2** Bubble plot of group\_significance.py analysis results using the default Kruskal–Wallis parameters. (A) Represents the comparison between RG1 and RG3. (B) Represents the comparison between RG2 and RG3. Color represents the strength of the *p*-value. Size represents the mean relative abundance of that OTU across the whole resistance group (RG). OTUs assigned to the genus and species level were chosen, and those 20 most abundant from each RG are displayed.

first axis, driven by growth related EBVs. A MINE analysis identified a negative correlation between disease resistance and width index (p = 0.047; linear regression = -0.34), and a positive correlation between disease resistance and oyster weight (p = 0.038; linear regression = 0.15). Shell length and depth index had the strongest negative correlation (p = < 0.001; linear regression = -0.92), while oyster weight and shell length had the strongest positive correlation (p = 0.002; linear regression = 0.74; **Supplementary Table 5**).

#### Defining the Core *C. gigas* Microbiome Across Different Resistance Lines

Due to the dynamic nature of oyster microbiomes, identifying a core microbiome can provide insights into which members may be driving the within-microbiome interactions and possibly shaping the community composition. While we were unable to identify a universal core microbiome across all samples, analyses of individual families revealed that each family had a small core microbiome (9–109 OTUs), with many of these OTUs shared across families. Families 30 and 84, within RG2, shared the most core OTUs (4) (**Supplementary Figure 3**). In contrast, family 19 of RG2 had the most unique core OTUs (27), that is those core OTUs not shared with any other family. To determine how many unique core OTUs were present in each oyster family (and therefore each RG), we compiled all of the core OTUs from the core analysis and removed duplicate bacteria. When doing this, a total of 9, 54, and 16 unique OTUs were assigned to RG1, RG2, and RG3 respectively (**Table 2**). When performing a separate core analysis on each RG as a whole, RG1 was comprised of two core members, a member of the *Winogradskyella* genus (OTU 1511) and a member of the *Bradyrhizobiaceae* family (OTU 6417). While, no core bacterial members were found for RG2 or RG3 microbiomes.

## DISCUSSION

The principal goal of this study was to identify patterns in the *C. gigas* microbiome across 35 oyster families with differing levels of resistance to OsHV-1  $\mu$ var disease, with the objective of elucidating microbial taxa associated with disease resistance. Immunosuppression from OsHV-1  $\mu$ var infection allows opportunistic bacteria within the oyster's microbiome to induce bacteremia, killing the host (Petton et al., 2015; de Lorgeril et al., 2018). Characterizing these interactions and gaining insights into the oyster microbiome is essential to further understand the dynamic interplay between the microbiome,



OsHV-1 µvar and disease. A significant difference in the structure of the microbiome of oysters exhibiting different levels of resistance to OsHV-1 µvar disease was observed. Specifically, the microbiomes associated with the oysters showing the most resistance to OsHV-1 µvar disease (RG1) and moderately resistant oysters (RG2) were significantly different to the most disease susceptible (or least resistant) group (RG3). When considering disease resistance, we observed a strong negative association between the OsHV-1 µvar disease resistance of oyster hosts and the occurrence of OTUs assigned to the Vibrio (OTUs 651 and 653), Photobacterium (OTUs 1063, 654, and 1053), Aliivibrio (OTU 1248), Streptococcus (OTU 814) and Roseovarius (OTU 7180) genera, while on the other hand, the microbiomes of the most resistant families had an over-representation of OTUs assigned to the Cupriavidus (OTU 2182), Psychrilyobacter (OTU 5046), and Tenacibaculum (OTU 2153) genera.

The association between the occurrence of *Vibrio* and disease susceptibility was further supported by a significant elevation of an uncharacterized member of the *Vibrio* in RG3, and the results of a Vibrio-specific qPCR assay. These results are consistent with growing evidence implicating a role of the Vibrio community in oyster disease (Sugumar et al., 1998; Waechter et al., 2002; Garnier et al., 2007; Saulnier et al., 2010; Lemire et al., 2015; Petton et al., 2015; Green et al., 2018; King et al., 2018). Specifically, there is previous evidence that prior to oyster disease onset, the native Vibrio community is replaced by pathogenic Vibrio species (Lemire et al., 2015). Further, in corals, small shifts in the Vibrio community are sufficient to shift the microbiome metabolism (Thurber et al., 2009). Our data provides a new perspective on this interaction, whereby the total load of Vibrio differed between disease susceptible and resistant oyster families. This is supported by a recent study, which demonstrated that the Vibrio load following OsHV-1 µvar infection was significantly higher in disease-susceptible oysters (de Lorgeril et al., 2018). An increased Vibrio community size may provide further potential for pathogenic species to replace benign colonizers. On the other hand, a higher background load of Vibrio may become important under periods of stress, such

Resistance group	Combined unique core memb family lines	ers from individual
RG1	Acinetobacter OTU_2667 Ambiguous taxa Cellulophaga OTU_3456 Brevundimonas OTU_6676 Ambiguous taxa Marinomonas OTU_1295 Ambiguous taxa Ilumatobacter OTU_4817	Rhodobacteraceae OTU_6650 Ambiguous taxa Marinomonas OTU_1295 Roseobacter OTU_6715 Rhodobacteraceae OTU_7212
RG2	Uncultured Salinimonas OTU_6618 Planctomycetaceae OTU_4123 Ambiguous taxa Gammaproteobacteria Incertae Sedis OTU_465 Uncultured bacterium Anaerolineaceae OTU_4681 Uncultured bacteria Gammaproteobacteria OTU_5902 Croceitalea OTU_2175 Uncultured bacterium Halanaerobiales ODP1230B8.23 OTU_4816 Oceanospirillaceae OTU_1577 Pseudoalteromonadaceae OTU_3257 Uncultured bacterium Ilumatobacter OTU_4687 Uncultured bacterium Acidobacteria Subgroup 21 OTU_5711 Rhodobacteraceae OTU_6481 Ambiguous taxa Acidobacteria Subgroup 9 OTU_7 Persicirhabdus OTU_866 Uncultured bacterium Ralstonia OTU_1255 Flavobacteriaceae OTU_1551 Ambiguous taxa Profundimonas OTU_1559 Ambiguous taxa JTB255 marine benthic group OTU_1588 JTB255 marine benthic group OTU_1642 Gilvibacter OTU_2167 Myxococcales OTU_238 Ambiguous taxa Flavobacteriaceae OTU_3100 Uncultured bacterium Pir4 lineage OTU_3294 Halieaceae OTU_3519 Uncultured bacterium OM1 clade OTU_4096 Planctomycetaceae OTU_4100 Ambiguous taxa OM1 clade	Sva0725 OTU_433 Uncultured bacterium OM1 clade OTU_4332 Uncultured bacterium Sva0996 marine group OTU_4477 Ambiguous taxa llumatobacter OTU_4840 Ambiguous taxa Sva0996 marine group OTU_4982 Uncultured bacterium Ardenticatenia OTU_5150 Rhodobiaceae OTU_6148 Rhodobacteraceae OTU_6485 Sphingomonadales OTU_6620 Marivita OTU_6626 Beijerinckiaceae OTU_6687 PAUC43f marine benthic group OTU_699 Anderseniella OTU_7187 Ambiguous taxa Sandaracinaceae OTU_1154 Ambiguous taxa Thiogranum OTU_1467 Ambiguous taxa Holophagae Subgroup 23 OTU_251 Candidatus Thiobios OTU_6098 JTB255 marine benthic group OTU_1566 OM190 OTU_2018 Uncultured bacterium Holophagae Subgroup 23 OTU_240 Uncultured bacterium Belgica2005-10-ZG-3 OTU_25 Ambiguous taxa Roseibacillus OTU_2529 OM60 (NOR5) clade OTU_3504 Uncultured bacterium Desulfobulbus OTU_404 Ambiguous taxa Acidobacteria Subgroup 17 OTU_785

**TABLE 2** | Unique core bacterial members from individual oyster families collated into their respective resistance groups (RG).

(Continued)

Desulfobulbus OTU\_235

Uncultured bacterium

Emcibacter OTU\_6286

TABLE 2 | Continued

Resistance	Combined unique core members from individual					
group	family lines					
	Vibrionaceae OTU_655 Ambiguous taxa Sphingobacterium OTU_1241 Ambiguous taxa NS4 marine group OTU_2698 Pseudomonas OTU_3032 Gammaproteobacteria OTU_3505 Roseovarius OTU_7180 Uncultured bacterium Maribacter OTU_1486	Rhodobacteraceae OTU_7097 Mycoplasma OTU_3722 Uncultured bacterium Phyllobacteriaceae OTU_6619 Ruegeria OTU_6653 Uncultured bacterium Rhodobacteraceae OTU_7173				

Each family was found to have a core microbial community, the displayed core OTUs are those not shared with any other family line. RG1 is the most disease RG, RG2 is an intermediate RG, and RG3 is the most disease susceptible group.

as with OsHV-1  $\mu$ var infection, resulting in duel infection, as has recently been described (de Lorgeril et al., 2018). This is also indirectly supported by a previous study which observed reduced mortality in OsHV-1 infected oysters that were treated with antibiotics (Petton et al., 2015).

Increases in the abundance of OTUs assigned to the hotobacterium genus, as were observed here, often co-occur ith an increase in the Vibrio community in oyster microbiomes Negner et al., 2013; Lokmer and Wegner, 2015). While members signed to this genus have been identified as pathogens of other juatic organisms (Pedersen et al., 2009; Liu et al., 2016), to our nowledge, no species of Photobacterium has been identified as n oyster pathogen. Members of the Streptococcus and Aliivibrio enera are known pathogens of fish and crabs (Pappalardo and pemare, 1982; Egidius et al., 1986; Creeper and Buller, 2006; rbanczyk et al., 2007), while a member of the Roseovarius genus the causative agent of roseovarius oyster disease (formally venile oyster disease) in Crassostrea virginica (Boettcher et al., 005; Maloy et al., 2007), yet to our knowledge these genera ave not been implicated in disease of C. gigas previously, espite being over-represented in the most disease susceptible vster families.

On the other hand, a strong positive association was observed etween levels of disease resistance and the occurrence of OTUs signed to the Cupriavidus (OTU 2182), Psychrilyobacter (OTU 046) and Tenacibaculum (OTU 2153). Currently, little is known bout the role of these genera in oysters. Cupriavidus species e commonly isolated from plants and soil (Cuadrado et al., )10; Estrada-De Los Santos et al., 2014), but members of e Psychrilyobacter and Tenacibaculum have previously been oserved in C. gigas microbiomes (Lee et al., 2009; Fernandeziquer et al., 2012; Wegner et al., 2013). Psychrilyobacter was oserved in C. gigas microbiomes from Tasmania, Australia Fernandez-Piquer et al., 2012), which is perhaps notable given at the oysters used in this study were initially sourced from Tasmania. In addition, we have previously identified an over-representation of a Tenacibaculum OTU in oyster microbiomes that were unaffected by a summer mortality outbreak (King et al., 2018).

Rhizobiales OTU\_6486

Uncultured bacterium

Rickettsiaceae OTU 5903

RG3

As already stated, a significant elevation of OTUs belonging to the Vibrio and Photobacterium genera abundance in disease susceptible oysters has also been previously observed (de Lorgeril et al., 2018), supporting our findings. However, while we identified members of the Psychrilyobacter and Tenacibaculum genera to be associated with disease resistance, the same study (de Lorgeril et al., 2018) observed an increase in these same genera in an experimental infection experiment using disease susceptible oysters. Differences in bacterial taxa abundance and taxonomic assignment could be attributed to contrasting sequencing techniques and data analysis. For example, we used the V1-V3 hypervariable region, and clustered OTUs at the 97% identity level, compared to V3-V4 and having OTUs clustered at a three-nucleotide difference threshold (de Lorgeril et al., 2018). Furthermore, this study deployed oysters to the field, while the aforementioned study carried out their experiments in tanks. Tank based studies are known to significantly alter the oyster microbiome composition compared to oysters sourced from the environment (Lokmer et al., 2016a).

The oyster microbiome is dynamic in nature, changing in response to stressors such as disease, antibiotics, translocation, and heat (Wegner et al., 2013; Lokmer and Wegner, 2015; Lokmer et al., 2016b; de Lorgeril et al., 2018; Green et al., 2018; King et al., 2018). The microbiome assemblage can also be influenced by the oyster life stage, the genetics of the host oyster, and spatial location (Trabal et al., 2012; Wegner et al., 2013; Lokmer et al., 2016a; King et al., 2018). Because we only have one sampling point, our study would not capture the dynamic nature of the oyster microbiome, and thus the oyster microbiome could change before the onset of disease. To fully capture the importance of the taxa identified in this study, a temporal study in the field encompassing a disease outbreak would be needed. However, as disease outbreaks are often very sudden, capturing a disease outbreak in the environment can be difficult.

In addition to identifying OTUs that are over- or underrepresented within the microbiomes of oysters with different levels of disease-resistance, another way to identify putatively important bacteria within the microbiome of a host organism involves the identification of "core" microbiome members (Ainsworth et al., 2015). Identifying which bacterial members are consistent and stable across microbial communities is important in unraveling the functional contribution of these core bacteria (Ainsworth et al., 2015). Notably, we could not define a universal core microbiome across all of the studied oyster families at the OTU level, suggesting significant heterogeneity in oyster microbiome structure, or possible differences in microgeographic variation. However, we identified core microbiome members within each family microbiome, whereby a number of 'unique' core members often occurred exclusively in the core microbiome of a family. This is in accordance with previous observations that the composition of an oyster's microbiome is partially governed by oyster genetics, particularly for shaping the rare specialist bacterial community (<1% abundance) (Wegner et al., 2013), although we have no information pertaining to the genetic differentiation between the studied oyster families. However, when examining the core microbiome across all of the families comprising the most highly disease-resistant group (RG1), we identified two core members, which included OTUs classified as members of the Winogradskyella genus (OTU 1511) and Bradyrhizobiaceae family (OTU 6417). OTUs assigned to the Bradyrhizobiaceae family have previously been observed in oysters (Sakowski, 2015), however, due to the coarse taxonomic assignment of this OTU, it is unclear what potential role this member of the Bradyrhizobiaceae family might have. Winogradskyella species are commonly found in numerous marine organisms, including oysters (Valdenegro-Vega et al., 2013; Park et al., 2015; Lee et al., 2017; Schellenberg et al., 2017; Franco et al., 2018), and are known for their role in amoebicinduced fish gill diseases (Embar-Gopinath et al., 2005, 2006). However, it is uncertain what function(s) Winogradskyella species play in oysters. We currently know little about the potential role, if any, of these core microbiome members in resistance, but these observations provide candidate target organisms for focused examinations of potential beneficial microbes within OsHV-1 µvar disease-resistance.

#### CONCLUSION

We have shown that the microbiome of C. gigas displays significantly different microbial assemblage structure according to oyster disease-resistance. This study provides insights into the C. gigas microbiome within the context of oysters bred for disease-resistance and highlights the potential involvement of the oyster microbiome in disease-resistance. Members of the Vibrio, Photobacterium, Aliivibrio, Streptococcus, and Roseovarius genera were over-represented features of the microbiome of oysters with high OsHV-1 µvar disease susceptibility, which is consistent with previous studies implicating Vibrio in oyster disease dynamics. Furthermore, a significant elevation of Vibrio 16S rRNA gene copies in disease-susceptible oyster families could indicate a lack of immune response against Vibrio pathogens. However, further research is required to elucidate the role of these bacteria in oyster disease dynamics. Examination of 'core' bacteria identified species assigned to the Winogradskyella genus and Bradyrhizobiaceae family as core members of microbiomes assigned to RG1 and may also play a role in OsHV-1 µvar disease resistance. These results deliver evidence that the C. gigas microbiome differs between oysters with different levels of susceptibility to OsHV-1 µvar disease and identifies putative microbial determinants in disease onset and resistance.

#### DATA AVAILABILITY

The datasets generated for this study can be found in NCBI SRA, PRJNA497763.

#### **AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS**

WK and KN carried out the fieldwork. WK and NW processed the samples. WK and NS analyzed the data. CJ, MD, WO'C, JS, and ML conceived and designed the study. TK produced the core microbiome analysis. WK, JS, and ML wrote the manuscript.

#### FUNDING

This research was supported by an Australian Research Council Linkage Project (LP160101795) to JS and ML; a Cooperative Research Centre Project (CRC-P 2016-805; Future Oysters), led by the Australian Seafood Industry Pty Ltd. in partnership with

## REFERENCES

- Ainsworth, T. D., Krause, L., Bridge, T., Torda, G., Raina, J.-B., Zakrzewski, M., et al. (2015). The coral core microbiome identifies rare bacterial taxa as ubiquitous endosymbionts. *ISME J.* 9, 2261–2275. doi: 10.1038/ismej.2015.39
- ASI (2015). Australian Seafood Industries Oyster Breeding Programs. Available at: http://www.asioysters.com.au/breeding-program.html
- Azéma, P., Travers, M. A., De Lorgeril, J., Tourbiez, D., and Dégremont, L. (2015). Can selection for resistance to OsHV-1 infection modify susceptibility to *Vibrio aestuarianus* infection in *Crassostrea gigas*? First insights from experimental challenges using primary and successive exposures. *Vet. Res.* 46:139. doi: 10. 1186/s13567-015-0282-0
- Boettcher, K. J., Geaghan, K. K., Maloy, A. P., and Barber, B. J. (2005). Roseovarius crassostreae sp. nov., a member of the Roseobacter clade and the apparent cause of juvenile oyster disease (JOD) in cultured Eastern oysters. Int. J. Syst. Evol. Microbiol. 55, 1531–1537. doi: 10.1099/ijs.0.63620-0
- Burge, C. A., Griffin, F. J., and Friedman, C. S. (2006). Mortality and herpesvirus infections of the Pacific oyster *Crassostrea gigas* in Tomales Bay, California, USA. *Dis. Aquat. Organ.* 72, 31–43. doi: 10.3354/dao072031
- Camara, M. D., Yen, S., Kaspar, H. F., Kesarcodi-Watson, A., King, N., Jeffs, A. G., et al. (2017). Assessment of heat shock and laboratory virus challenges to selectively breed for ostreid herpesvirus 1 (OsHV-1) resistance in the Pacific oyster, *Crassostrea gigas. Aquaculture* 469, 50–58. doi: 10.1016/j.aquaculture. 2016.11.031
- Caporaso, J. G., Kuczynski, J., Stombaugh, J., Bittinger, K., Bushman, F. D., Costello, E. K., et al. (2010). QIIME allows analysis of high-throughput community sequencing data. *Nat. Methods* 7, 335–336. doi: 10.1038/nmeth. f.303
- Creeper, J. H., and Buller, N. B. (2006). An outbreak of Streptococcus iniae in barramundi (Lates calcarifera) in freshwater cage culture. Aust. Vet. J. 84, 408–411. doi: 10.1111/j.1751-0813.2006.00058.x
- Cuadrado, V., Gomila, M., Merini, L., Giulietti, A. M., and Moore, E. R. B. (2010). *Cupriavidus pampae* sp. nov., a novel herbicide-degrading bacterium isolated from agricultural soil. *Int. J. Syst. Evol. Microbiol.* 60, 2606–2612. doi: 10.1099/ ijs.0.018341-0
- Davison, A. J., Trus, B. L., Cheng, N., Steven, A. C., Watson, M. S., Cunningham, C., et al. (2005). A novel class of herpesvirus with bivalve hosts. J. Gen. Virol. 86, 41–53. doi: 10.1099/vir.0.80382-0
- de Lorgeril, J., Lucasson, A., Petton, B., Toulza, E., Montagnani, C., Clerissi, C., et al. (2018). Immune-suppression by OsHV-1 viral infection causes fatal bacteraemia in Pacific oysters. *Nat. Commun.* 9:4215. doi: 10.1038/s41467-018-06659-3
- Dégremont, L. (2011). Evidence of herpesvirus (OsHV-1) resistance in juvenile Crassostrea gigas selected for high resistance to the summer mortality phenomenon. Aquaculture 317, 94–98. doi: 10.1016/j.aquaculture.2011.04.029
- Dégremont, L. (2013). Size and genotype affect resistance to mortality caused by OsHV-1 in *Crassostrea gigas. Aquaculture* 416, 129–134. doi: 10.1016/j. aquaculture.2013.09.011
- Dégremont, L., Lamy, J. B., Pépin, J. F., Travers, M. A., and Renault, T. (2015a). New insight for the genetic evaluation of resistance to ostreid herpesvirus infection, a worldwide disease, in *Crassostrea gigas. PLoS One* 10:e0127917. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0127917

a number of Australian Research Organisations; and Ausgem, a research partnership initiated between the University of Technology Sydney and the New South Wales Department of Primary Industries.

## SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fmicb. 2019.00473/full#supplementary-material

- Dégremont, L., Nourry, M., and Maurouard, E. (2015b). Mass selection for survival and resistance to OsHV-1 infection in *Crassostrea gigas* spat in field conditions: response to selection after four generations. *Aquaculture* 446, 111–121. doi: 10.1016/j.aquaculture.2015.04.029
- Dégremont, L., Ledu, C., Maurouard, E., Nourry, M., and Benabdelmouna, A. (2016a). Effect of ploidy on the mortality of *Crassostrea gigas* spat caused by OsHV-1 in France using unselected and selected OsHV-1 resistant oysters. *Aquac. Res.* 47, 777–786. doi: 10.1111/are.12536
- Dégremont, L., Morga, B., Trancart, S., and Pépin, J. F. (2016b). Resistance to OsHV-1 infection in *crassostrea gigas* larvae. *Front. Mar. Sci.* 3:15. doi: 10.3389/ fmars.2016.00015
- Dégremont, L., Tanguy, G., Delphine, T., and Jean-François, P. (2013). Is horizontal transmission of the Ostreid herpesvirus OsHV-1 in *Crassostrea gigas* affected by unselected or selected survival status in adults to juveniles? *Aquaculture* 408–409, 51–57. doi: 10.1016/j.aquaculture.2013.05.025
- Edgar, R. C. (2010). Search and clustering orders of magnitude faster than BLAST. *Bioinformatics* 26, 2460–2461. doi: 10.1093/bioinformatics/btq461
- Egidius, E., Wiik, R., Andersen, K., Hoff, K. A., and Hjeltnes, B. (1986). Vibrio salmonicida sp. nov., a new fish pathogen. Int. J. Syst. Evol. Microbiol. 36, 518–520. doi: 10.1099/00207713-36-4-518
- Embar-Gopinath, S., Butler, R., and Nowak, B. (2005). Influence of salmonid gill bacteria on development and severity of amoebic gill disease. *Dis. Aquat. Organ.* 67, 55–60. doi: 10.3354/da0067055
- Embar-Gopinath, S., Crosbie, P., and Nowak, B. F. (2006). Concentration effects of Winogradskyella sp. on the incidence and severity of amoebic gill disease. Dis. Aquat. Organ. 73, 43–47. doi: 10.3354/dao073043
- Estrada-De Los Santos, P., Solano-Rodríguez, R., Matsumura-Paz, L. T., Vásquez-Murrieta, M. S., and Martínez-Aguilar, L. (2014). *Cupriavidus plantarum* sp. nov., a plant-associated species. *Arch. Microbiol.* 196, 811–817. doi: 10.1007/ s00203-014-1018-7
- Fernandez-Piquer, J., Bowman, J. P., Ross, T., and Tamplin, M. L. (2012). Molecular analysis of the bacterial communities in the live Pacific oyster (*Crassostrea gigas*) and the influence of postharvest temperature on its structure. J. Appl. Microbiol. 112, 1134–1143. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2672.2012.05287.x
- Franco, A., Busse, H. J., Schubert, P., Wilke, T., Kämpfer, P., and Glaeser, S. P. (2018). Winogradskyella pocilloporae sp. nov, isolated from healthy tissue of the coral Pocillopora damicornis. Int. J. Syst. Evol. Microbiol. 68, 1689–1696. doi: 10.1099/ijsem.0.002731
- Friedman, C. S., Estes, R. M., Stokes, N. A., Burge, C. A., Hargove, J. S., Barber, B. J., et al. (2005). Herpes virus in juvenile Pacific oysters *Crassostrea gigas* from Tomales Bay, California, coincides with summer mortality episodes. *Dis. Aquat. Organ.* 63, 33–41. doi: 10.3354/dao063033
- Garcia, C., Thebault, A., Degremont, L., Arzul, I., Miossec, L., Robert, M., et al. (2011). Ostreid herpesvirus 1 detection and relationship with *Crassostrea gigas* spat mortality in France between 1998 and 2006. *Vet. Res.* 42:73. doi: 10.1186/ 1297-9716-42-73
- Garnier, M., Labreuche, Y., Garcia, C., Robert, M., and Nicolas, J. L. (2007). Evidence for the involvement of pathogenic bacteria in summer mortalities of the pacific oyster *Crassostrea gigas. Microb. Ecol.* 53, 187–196. doi: 10.1007/ s00248-006-9061-9
- Go, J., Deutscher, A., Spiers, Z., Dahle, K., Kirkland, P., and Jenkins, C. (2017). An investigation into mass mortalities of unknown aetiology in Pacific oysters,

Crassostrea gigas, in Port Stephens, New South Wales, Australia. Dis. Aquat. Organ. 125, 227-242. doi: 10.3354/dao03146

- Green, T. J., Siboni, N., King, W. L., Labbate, M., Seymour, J. R., and Raftos, D. (2018). Simulated marine heat wave alters abundance and structure of *Vibrio* populations associated with the Pacific Oyster resulting in a mass mortality event. *Microb. Ecol.* doi: 10.1007/s00248-018-1242-9 [Epub ahead of print].
- Hammer, Ø., Harper, D. A. T., and Ryan, P. D. (2001). Past: Paleontological statistics software package for education and data analysis. *Palaeontol. Electron.* 4, 1–9.
- Jenkins, C., Hick, P., Gabor, M., Spiers, Z., Fell, S. A., Gu, X., et al. (2013). Identification and characterisation of an ostreid herpesvirus-1 microvariant (OsHV-1 micro-var) in *Crassostrea gigas* (Pacific oysters) in Australia. *Dis. Aquat. Organ.* 105, 109–126. doi: 10.3354/dao02623

Kahlke, T. (2017). Panbiom. Available at: https://github.com/timkahlke/panbiom

- Keeling, S. E., Brosnahan, C. L., Williams, R., Gias, E., Hannah, M., Bueno, R., et al. (2014). New Zealand juvenile oyster mortality associated with ostreid herpesvirus 1-an opportunistic longitudinal study. *Dis. Aquat. Organ.* 109, 231–239. doi: 10.3354/dao02735
- King, W. L., Jenkins, C., Go, J., Siboni, N., Seymour, J. R., and Labbate, M. (2018). Characterisation of the Pacific Oyster microbiome during a summer mortality event. *Microb. Ecol.* doi: 10.1007/s00248-018-1226-9 [Epub ahead of print].
- King, W. L., Jenkins, C., Seymour, J. R., and Labbate, M. (2019). Oyster disease in a changing environment: decrypting the link between pathogen, microbiome and environment. *Mar. Environ. Res.* 143, 124–140. doi: 10.1016/j.marenvres.2018. 11.007
- Kube, P., Dove, M., Cunningham, M., Kirkland, P., Gu, X., Hick, P., et al. (2018). Genetic Selection for Resistance to Pacific Oyster Mortality Syndrome. Available: http://www.asioysters.com.au/uploads/2/5/9/7/25976125/kube\_et\_al\_2018\_ \_genetic\_selection\_for\_resistance\_to\_poms\_-\_sfcrc\_project\_final\_report.pdf [accessed December 15, 2018].
- Lee, J. H., Kang, J. W., Shin, S. B., and Seong, C. N. (2017). Winogradskyella flava sp. nov., isolated from the brown alga, Sargassum fulvellum. Int. J. Syst. Evol. Microbiol. 67, 3540–3546. doi: 10.1099/ijsem.0.002161
- Lee, Y. S., Baik, K. S., Park, S. Y., Kim, E. M., Lee, D. H., Kahng, H. Y., et al. (2009). *Tenacibaculum crassostreae* sp. nov., isolated from the Pacific oyster, *Crassostrea* gigas. Int. J. Syst. Evol. Microbiol. 59, 1609–1614. doi: 10.1099/ijs.0.006866-0
- Lemire, A., Goudenege, D., Versigny, T., Petton, B., Calteau, A., Labreuche, Y., et al. (2015). Populations, not clones, are the unit of *vibrio* pathogenesis in naturally infected oysters. *ISME J.* 9, 1523–1531. doi: 10.1038/ismej.2014.233
- Liu, F., Liu, G., and Li, F. (2016). Characterization of two pathogenic *Photobacterium* strains isolated from *Exopalaemon carinicauda* causing mortality of shrimp. *Aquaculture* 464, 129–135. doi: 10.1016/j.aquaculture. 2016.06.019
- Lokmer, A., Goedknegt, M. A., Thieltges, D. W., Fiorentino, D., Kuenzel, S., Baines, J. F., et al. (2016a). Spatial and temporal dynamics of pacific oyster hemolymph microbiota across multiple scales. *Front. Microbiol.* 7:1367. doi: 10.3389/fmicb. 2016.01367
- Lokmer, A., Kuenzel, S., Baines, J. F., and Wegner, K. M. (2016b). The role of tissuespecific microbiota in initial establishment success of Pacific oysters. *Environ. Microbiol.* 18, 970–987. doi: 10.1111/1462-2920.13163
- Lokmer, A., and Wegner, K. M. (2015). Hemolymph microbiome of Pacific oysters in response to temperature, temperature stress and infection. *ISME J.* 9, 670– 682. doi: 10.1038/ismej.2014.160
- Magoc, T., and Salzberg, S. L. (2011). FLASH: fast length adjustment of short reads to improve genome assemblies. *Bioinformatics* 27, 2957–2963. doi: 10.1093/ bioinformatics/btr507
- Maloy, A. P., Ford, S. E., Karney, R. C., and Boettcher, K. J. (2007). *Roseovarius crassostreae*, the etiological agent of Juvenile Oyster Disease (now to be known as Roseovarius Oyster Disease) in *Crassostrea virginica*. *Aquaculture* 269, 71–83. doi: 10.1016/j.aquaculture.2007.04.008
- Moreau, P., Moreau, K., Segarra, A., Tourbiez, D., Travers, M. A., Rubinsztein, D. C., et al. (2015). Autophagy plays an important role in protecting Pacific oysters from OsHV-1 and *Vibrio aestuarianus* infections. *Autophagy* 11, 516– 526. doi: 10.1080/15548627.2015.1017188
- Mortensen, S., Strand, A., Bodvin, T., Alfjorden, A., Skar, C. K., Jelmert, A., et al. (2016). Summer mortalities and detection of ostreid herpesvirus microvariant in Pacific oyster *Crassostrea gigas* in Sweden and Norway. *Dis. Aquat. Organ.* 117, 171–176. doi: 10.3354/dao02944

- Neuwirth, E. (2014). *RColorBrewer: ColorBrewer Palettes*. Available at: https://cran. r-project.org/web/packages/RColorBrewer/index.html
- Pappalardo, R., and Boemare, N. (1982). An intracellular Streptococcus, causative agent of a slowly developing disease in the Mediterranean crab, Carcinus mediterraneus. Aquaculture 28, 283–292. doi: 10.1016/0044-8486(82)90070-9
- Park, S., Park, J. M., Won, S. M., and Yoon, J. H. (2015). Winogradskyella crassostreae sp. nov., isolated from an oyster (*Crassostrea gigas*). Int. J. Syst. Evol. Microbiol. 65, 2890–2895. doi: 10.1099/ijs.0.000350
- Paul-Pont, I., Dhand, N. K., and Whittington, R. J. (2013). Influence of husbandry practices on OsHV-1 associated mortality of Pacific oysters *Crassostrea gigas*. *Aquaculture* 412-413, 202–214. doi: 10.1016/j.aquaculture.2013.07.038
- Pedersen, K., Skall, H. F., Lassen-Nielsen, A. M., Bjerrum, L., and Olesen, N. J. (2009). *Photobacterium damselae* subsp. *damselae*, an emerging pathogen in Danish rainbow trout, *Oncorhynchus mykiss* (Walbaum), mariculture. *J. Fish Dis.* 32, 465–472. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2761.2009.01041.x
- Petton, B., Bruto, M., James, A., Labreuche, Y., Alunno-Bruscia, M., and Le Roux, F. (2015). *Crassostrea gigas* mortality in France: the usual suspect, a herpes virus, may not be the killer in this polymicrobial opportunistic disease. *Front. Microbiol.* 6:686. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2015.00686
- R Core Team (2017). R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing. Vienna: R Foundation for Statistical Computing.
- Reshef, D. N., Reshef, Y. A., Finucane, H. K., Grossman, S. R., Mcvean, G., Turnbaugh, P. J., et al. (2011). Detecting novel associations in large data sets. *Science* 334, 1518–1524. doi: 10.1126/science.1205438
- Rognes, T., Flouri, T., Nichols, B., Quince, C., and Mahé, F. (2016). VSEARCH: a versatile open source tool for metagenomics. *PeerJ* 4:e2584. doi: 10.7717/peerj. 2584
- Sakowski, E. G. (2015). The Microbiome of the Eastern Oyster, Crassostrea virginica, in Health and Disease. Ph.D. thesis, University of Delaware, Newark, DE.
- Saulnier, D., De Decker, S., Haffner, P., Cobret, L., Robert, M., and Garcia, C. (2010). A large-scale epidemiological study to identify bacteria pathogenic to Pacific Oyster *Crassostrea gigas* and correlation between virulence and metalloprotease-like activity. *Microb. Ecol.* 59, 787–798. doi: 10.1007/s00248-009-9620-y
- Sauvage, C., Pépin, J. F., Lapègue, S., Boudry, P., and Renault, T. (2009). Ostreid herpes virus 1 infection in families of the Pacific oyster, *Crassostrea gigas*, during a summer mortality outbreak: Differences in viral DNA detection and quantification using real-time PCR. *Virus Res.* 142, 181–187. doi: 10.1016/j. virusres.2009.02.013
- Schellenberg, J., Busse, H. J., Hardt, M., Schubert, P., Wilke, T., Kämpfer, P., et al. (2017). Winogradskyella haliclonae sp. Nov., isolated from a marine sponge of the genus Haliclona. Int. J. Syst. Evol. Microbiol. 67, 4902–4910. doi: 10.1099/ ijsem.0.002192
- Schloss, P. D., Westcott, S. L., Ryabin, T., Hall, J. R., Hartmann, M., Hollister, E. B., et al. (2009). Introducing mothur: open-source, platform-independent, community-supported software for describing and comparing microbial communities. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 75, 7537–7541. doi: 10.1128/AEM. 01541-09
- Segarra, A., Mauduit, F., Faury, N., Trancart, S., Dégremont, L., Tourbiez, D., et al. (2014). Dual transcriptomics of virus-host interactions: comparing two Pacific oyster families presenting contrasted susceptibility to ostreid herpesvirus 1. *BMC Genomics* 15:580. doi: 10.1186/1471-2164-15-580
- Segarra, A., Pepin, J. F., Arzul, I., Morga, B., Faury, N., and Renault, T. (2010). Detection and description of a particular Ostreid herpesvirus 1 genotype associated with massive mortality outbreaks of Pacific oysters, *Crassostrea gigas*, in France in 2008. *Virus Res.* 153, 92–99. doi: 10.1016/j.virusres.2010.07.011
- Shin, S. C., Kim, S. H., You, H., Kim, B., Kim, A. C., Lee, K. A., et al. (2011). *Drosophila* microbiome modulates host developmental and metabolic homeostasis via insulin signaling. *Science* 334, 670–674. doi: 10.1126/science. 1212782
- Shreiner, A. B., Kao, J. Y., and Young, V. B. (2015). The gut microbiome in health and in disease. *Curr. Opin. Gastroenterol.* 31, 69–75. doi: 10.1097/MOG. 000000000000139
- Siboni, N., Balaraju, V., Carney, R., Labbate, M., and Seymour, J. R. (2016). Spatiotemporal dynamics of *Vibrio* spp. within the Sydney Harbour estuary. *Front. Microbiol.* 7:460. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2016.00460
- Sugumar, G., Nakai, T., Hirata, Y., Matsubara, D., and Muroga, K. (1998). Vibrio splendidus biovar II as the causative agent of bacillary necrosis of Japanese oyster

Crassostrea gigas larvae. Dis. Aquat. Organ.33, 111-118. doi: 10.3354/dao03 3111

- Thompson, J. R., Randa, M. A., Marcelino, L. A., Tomita-Mitchell, A., Lim, E., and Polz, M. F. (2004). Diversity and dynamics of a North Atlantic coastal *Vibrio* community. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 70, 4103–4110. doi: 10.1128/AEM.70.7. 4103-4110.2004
- Thurber, R. V., Willner-Hall, D., Rodriguez-Mueller, B., Desnues, C., Edwards, R. A., Angly, F., et al. (2009). Metagenomic analysis of stressed coral holobionts. *Environ. Microbiol.* 11, 2148–2163. doi: 10.1111/j.1462-2920.2009. 01935.x
- Trabal, N., Mazon-Suastegui, J. M., Vazquez-Juarez, R., Asencio-Valle, F., Morales-Bojorquez, E., and Romero, J. (2012). Molecular analysis of bacterial microbiota associated with oysters (*Crassostrea gigas* and *Crassostrea corteziensis*) in different growth phases at two cultivation sites. *Microb. Ecol.* 64, 555–569. doi: 10.1007/s00248-012-0039-5
- Urbanczyk, H., Ast, J. C., Higgins, M. J., Carson, J., and Dunlap, P. V. (2007). Reclassification of Vibrio fischeri, Vibrio logei, Vibrio salmonicida and Vibrio wodanis as Aliivibrio fischeri gen. nov., comb. nov., Aliivibrio logei comb. nov., Aliivibrio salmonicida comb. nov. and Aliivibrio wodanis comb. nov. Int. J. Syst. Evol. Microbiol. 57, 2823–2829. doi: 10.1099/ijs.0.65081-0
- Valdenegro-Vega, V., Naeem, S., Carson, J., Bowman, J. P., Tejedor Del Real, J. L., and Nowak, B. (2013). Culturable microbiota of ranched southern bluefin tuna (*Thunnus maccoyii* Castelnau). J. Appl. Microbiol. 115, 923–932. doi: 10.1111/ jam.12286
- Vezzulli, L., Brettar, I., Pezzati, E., Reid, P. C., Colwell, R. R., Höfle, M. G., et al. (2011). Long-term effects of ocean warming on the prokaryotic community: evidence from the vibrios. *ISME J.* 6, 21–30. doi: 10.1038/ismej.2011.89

- Waechter, M., Le Roux, F., Nicolas, J. L., Marissal, E., and Berthe, F. (2002). Characterisation of *Crassostrea gigas* spat pathogenic bacteria. C. R. Biol. 325, 231–238. doi: 10.1016/S1631-0691(02)01428-2
- Warnes, G. R., Bolker, B., Bonebakker, L., Gentleman, R., Liaw, W. H. A., Lumley, T., et al. (2016). gplots: Various R Programming Tools for Plotting Data. R Package Version 3.0.1. Available at: https://mran.microsoft.com/snapshot/ 2017-04-11/web/packages/gplots/index.html
- Wegner, K. M., Volkenborn, N., Peter, H., and Eiler, A. (2013). Disturbance induced decoupling between host genetics and composition of the associated microbiome. *BMC Microbiol.* 13:252. doi: 10.1186/1471-2180-13-252
- Whittington, R. J., Dhand, N. K., Evans, O., and Paul-Pont, I. (2015). Further observations on the influence of husbandry practices on OsHV-1 μVar mortality in Pacific oysters *Crassostrea gigas*: age, cultivation structures and growing height. *Aquaculture* 438, 82–97. doi: 10.1016/j.aquaculture.2014. 12.040

**Conflict of Interest Statement:** The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Copyright © 2019 King, Siboni, Williams, Kahlke, Nguyen, Jenkins, Dove, O'Connor, Seymour and Labbate. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.