

REVIEW

Recent advances in the first-line treatment of follicular non-Hodgkin lymphoma [version 1; peer review: 2 approved]

Pierre Feugier ^{1,2}, Lauriane Filliatre-Clement¹

¹Department of Hematology, CHRU Nancy Brabois, Vandoeuvre les Nancy, France ²Unité INSERM 1256, Faculté de Médecine, Université de Lorraine, Lorraine, France

 First published: 15 Mar 2019, 8(F1000 Faculty Rev):294 (https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.16686.1)
Latest published: 15 Mar 2019, 8(F1000 Faculty Rev):294 (https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.16686.1)

Abstract

Follicular lymphoma (FL) is the most common type of indolent B-cell lymphoma. Twenty years ago, FL was considered an indolent lymphoma with a long survival time but without a high rate of complete remission with chemotherapies. The use of rituximab has improved the response and survival of patients with this lymphoma. More recently, development of biological knowledge and use of targeted drugs have offered new perspectives, including improvement of response rates and survival with chemo-free treatment strategies. In 2019, patients have a 10-year overall survival probability of over 70%. Histological transformation to more aggressive lymphoma and treatment relapses remain a medical challenge, especially for patients relapsing within two years. This article will review the recent advances in the treatment of FL. As the use of new drugs is directly related to the development of biological aspects, we will first summarize recent advances in biological aspects of FL.

Keywords

follicular lymphoma, treatment, first line

Open Peer Review

Reviewer Status 🗹 🗸



F1000 Faculty Reviews are written by members of the prestigious F1000 Faculty. They are commissioned and are peer reviewed before publication to ensure that the final, published version is comprehensive and accessible. The reviewers who approved the final version are listed with their names and affiliations.

- 1 **Emanuele Zucca**, Oncology Institute of Southern Switzerland (IOSI), Ospedale San Giovanni, Bellinzona, Switzerland
- 2 Stefano Luminari, Azienda Unità Sanitaria Locale IRCCS di Reggio Emilia, Modena, Italy

Any comments on the article can be found at the end of the article.

Corresponding author: Pierre Feugier (p.feugier@chru-nancy.fr)

Author roles: Feugier P: Writing - Review & Editing; Filliatre-Clement L: Writing - Review & Editing

Competing interests: PF received reimbursements and fees in the past 5 years for Roche, Gilead, Janssen, Abbvie and Celgene companies. LFC has no competing interests.

Grant information: The author(s) declared that no grants were involved in supporting this work.

Copyright: © 2019 Feugier P and Filliatre-Clement L. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Licence, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

How to cite this article: Feugier P and Filliatre-Clement L. Recent advances in the first-line treatment of follicular non-Hodgkin lymphoma [version 1; peer review: 2 approved] F1000Research 2019, 8(F1000 Faculty Rev):294 (https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.16686.1)

First published: 15 Mar 2019, 8(F1000 Faculty Rev):294 (https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.16686.1)

Biology

Follicular lymphoma (FL) typically causes clonal proliferation of neoplastic lymphoid cells with molecular genetic traits, morphology, and immunophenotype similar to those of germinal center B cells¹. According to the World Health Organization, FL ought to be categorized into histological grades (1 to 3) according to the quantity of centroblasts per high-power field and whether centrocytes are present (3A or 3B). Grade 3B FLs are usually excluded from FL clinical trials and are considered a more aggressive lymphoma. FL is characterized by the reciprocal translocation t(14;18)(q32;q21), which is present in 85 to 90% of cases. It is the first step of lymphomagenesis, occurring within the bone marrow during B-cell lymphopoiesis. The t(14;18) translocation means that the B-cell lymphoma 2 (BCL2) gene is influenced by transcriptional enhancers associated with immunoglobulin heavy locus (IGH); it results in overexpression of anti-apoptotic BCL2 and brings about improved cell survival and uncontrolled cell proliferation in germinal centers via overexpression of the anti-apoptotic BCL2 protein. This rearrangement is probably insufficient for malignant transformation since it is observed at low frequency in the peripheral blood of more than 50% of healthy individuals²; secondary genetic alterations are required for cellular transformation to FL. BCL2 is also frequently mutated in FL, and BCL2 mutations were recently shown to be associated with an increased risk of transformation and risk of death due to lymphoma³. FL pathobiology is complicated by sweeping somatic changes occurring in both the genome and the epigenome, as indicated by frequent mutations in chromatinmodifying genes, such as KMT2D and CREBBP. A number of cellular pathways, including BCL6, mTOR, TNFRSF14, and JAK-STAT, are also altered. Gene expression profiling studies in FL demonstrate that the tumor microenvironment is an important determinant of outcome. Genes expressed by non-tumoral cells, especially T cells and macrophages, appear to be important predictors of outcome. Generally, an increased T-cell number is correlated with a positive prognosis whereas an increased number of macrophages is associated with progression and an unfavorable prognosis in patients with FL⁴. However, this poor prognosis can be circumvented by the use of rituximab⁵. The discovery of the role of the microenvironment in FL led to the use of new drugs targeting the immune system, including immunomodulatory drugs (that is, lenalidomide) and immune checkpoint inhibitors (that is, ipilimumab and pidilizumab).

Prognosis

The Follicular Lymphoma International Prognosis Index (FLIPI) classified patients with FL into three groups according to overall survival (OS). Five adverse prognostic factors were selected—age, Ann Arbor stage, hemoglobin level, number of nodal areas, and serum lactate dehydrogenase level—leading to the definition of three risk groups related to OS⁶. Given that a long period of time is needed for OS to be assessed, the FLIPI-2 index was developed with progression-free survival (PFS) as the primary end point and was based on a series of patients who received anti-CD20 monoclonal antibody⁷. This index relies on five different prognostic parameters: longest diameter of the largest tumor mass greater than 6 versus less than 6 cm, serum beta-2 microglobulin level (higher versus lower limit of normal), bone marrow involved or not, hemoglobin level greater than 120 versus less

than 120 g/L, and age greater than 60 versus less than 60 years. Despite the utility of FLIPI for prognosis, treatment initiation in patients with FL is decided by assessment of staging and tumor burden with the Groupe d'Etude des Lymphomes Folliculaires (GELF)⁸ criteria while taking into account the presence of B symptoms, cytopenias, or size of the tumor. Finally, Bachy *et al.* recently reported that bone marrow involvement and beta-2 microglobulin could predict PFS and also isolated patients progressing in the first two years post-immunochemotherapy (PRIMA-PI)⁹.

FLIPI-1 and -2 indexes are used to predict survival but are not accurate enough to identify a group of patients with a bad prognosis. New biological models predicting poor outcomes prior to treatment failure have recently been reported. Huet *et al.*¹⁰ developed a score based on biological characteristics looking at 23 genes reflecting both B-cell biology and tumor microenvironment, and also predicted PFS. In multivariate analysis, the score predicted PFS independently of anti-CD20 maintenance and of the FLIPI score, which could help in providing personalized therapies tailored to at-risk patients. However, it could not be used routinely in all labs now but is available from a formalin-fixed biopsy¹⁰.

Finally, the M7-FLIPI combines the mutation status of several genes with FLIPI score to improve the identification of FL patients at high risk of progression in a new clinical and genetic model. It combines FLIPI score and ECOG (Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group) performance status with the mutation status of seven genes (*EZH2, ARID1A, MEF2B, EP300, FOXO1, CREBBP, and CARD11*). It defines a high-risk group as having a 5-year failure-free treatment of 25% and a low-risk group as having a 5-year failure-free treatment of 68%¹¹.

Other prognostic scores have been developed based on the fact that patients who have a progression of disease within 24 months (POD24) have a worse prognosis. Indeed, a study by Casulo *et al.*¹² of 558 patients found a lower 5-year OS of 50% for patients with POD24 who received an R-CHOP (rituximab plus cyclo-phosphamide, doxorubicin, vincristine, and prednisone) regimen versus 90% for patients without POD24. PRIMA-PI and M7-FLIPI have also been reported to be predictive of POD24.

Assessment of positron emission tomography scan

Histological transformation occurs in 5 to 10% of patients and carries a poor prognosis. Positron emission tomography (PET) scans guide diagnostic biopsy in the more metabolic site. PET scans could also be used for prognostication at baseline and evaluation at the end of treatment of the FL. At the end of first-line therapy, PET scans could predict risk of relapse. In a multi-center retrospective review, the hazard ratio (HR) for PFS for patients with a positive PET scan versus those with a negative PET scan was 3.9 (95% confidence interval [CI] 2.5–5.9; P < 0.0001) and the HR for OS was 6.7 (95% CI 2.4–18.5; P = 0.0002)¹³. Recently, a model incorporating these two factors was built. Their combination stratified the population into three risk groups with 5-year PFS rates of 67%, 33%, and only 23%, respectively¹⁴. More recently, the GALLIUM trial, which showed that patients with FL had a longer PFS after first-line immunochemotherapy with

obinutuzumab than with rituximab, investigated the role of PET scans at the end of treatment¹⁵. According to Lugano 2014 criteria, 2.5-year PFS rates were 87.4% (95% CI 83.7–90.2%) in complete metabolic responders and 54.9% (40.5–67.3%; HR 0.2, 95% CI 0.1–0.3, *P* <0.0001) in non-complete metabolic responders¹⁶.

Treatment of low-burden follicular lymphoma

With localized and low-burden FL, clinical studies are old and rare, include heterogeneous populations (staging, FLIPI, and type of treatment), and have contradictory results. Moreover, the recent use of PET scans could improve the quality and precision of staging, limiting the number of real localized low-burden FL. If radiotherapy is an option, then watching and waiting (WW) is the rule.

But the majority of low-burden FLs are not localized. Before the rituximab era, observation was the gold standard. Evidence from different groups showed that early chemotherapy held no benefit for patients. The British lymphoma pathology group compared oral chlorambucil versus observation in patients with low-burden FL, and there was no difference in terms of OS with a median follow-up of 16 months. The proportion of patients not needing chemotherapy at 10 years was 19%17. The same group evaluated the role of rituximab in delaying the need of treatment (chemotherapy or radiotherapy) and its role in quality of life (QoL); in a total of 379 patients, low-tumor burden FL was treated by WW, rituximab 375 mg/m² every week for 4 weeks (rituximab induction), or rituximab induction with a subsequent maintenance schedule (12 infusions given every 2 months over 2 years) in a phase 3 trial¹⁸. QoL at month 7 and time to start of new treatment were the primary end points. In addition to PFS, a notable difference in the time to start of new treatment was found: at the 3-year point, 46% of patients in the WW group did not need treatment in comparison with 88% of those in the maintenance group. Between the maintenance and induction groups, there was no reported difference. Finally, the RESORT (Rituximab Extended Schedule or Re-treatment) study evaluated the role of rituximab maintenance (MR) (that is, rituximab every 3 months) in a re-treatment rituximab (RR) strategy. RR patients were re-treated at each progression until treatment failure. With a median follow-up of 4.5 years, the estimated median time to treatment failure was not significantly different between the RR group (3.9 years) and MR patients (4.3 years) (P = 0.54)¹⁹.

To conclude, in low-burden FL treatment, the WW strategy continues to be the gold standard but four rituximab infusions could be used as an alternative; MR was not an effective strategy in this situation. In regard to the RR strategy, RR was shown to be possible at each disease progression until failure of the treatment.

Advanced-stage symptomatic disease is treated with rituximab and chemotherapy followed by rituximab maintenance

Moderate improvements in FL OS had been shown before rituximab was introduced and these depended on a number of factors, including the use of interferon, autologous stem cell transplantation, and supportive care^{20,21}. The efficacy, in terms of improvements in PFS and OS, of rituximab in combination with a different chemotherapy has been shown in four randomized

trials since 2005. Marcus et al. investigated the use of rituximab plus cyclophosphamide, vincristine, and prednisone (R-CVP) compared with CVP in 321 patients and showed a significantly improved 4-year OS (83% versus 77%, P = 0.029)²². Hiddemann et al. found similar results by comparing R-CHOP and CHOP in a total of 428 patients²³. Herold *et al.* randomly assigned patients to a regimen of mitoxantrone, chlorambucil, and prednisolone (MCP) chemotherapy plus rituximab or MCP alone; an improvement in median PFS and OS was observed (PFS, not reached versus 28.8 months, respectively; P = 0.0001, 4-year OS rate, 87% versus 74%, respectively; $P = 0.0096)^{24}$. Finally, the French GELA (Groupe d'Etude des Lymphomes de l'Adulte) trial compared CHVP (cyclophosphamide, adriamycin, etoposide, and prednisolone) regimen plus interferon with the same chemotherapy regimen combined with six infusions of rituximab and interferon for the same time period (R-CHVP-I arm), leading to a significant improvement in event-free survival in the R-CHVP-I arm (P = 0.001). The estimates for 5-year OS were not statistically different; however, in those patients with the highest FLIPI score (n = 162), outcome was shown to be significantly different for both 5-year event-free survival (P = 0.001) and OS (P = 0.025)²⁵. Since these four published randomized trials, other prospective trials compared different chemotherapy regimens associated with rituximab in first-line FL treatment. Rummel et al. reported a randomized trial comparing R-CHOP with a rituximabbendamustine regimen²⁶. Median PFS rates were 69.5 and 31.2 months in the rituximab-bendamustine and the R-CHOP groups (P < 0.001), respectively, and the latter showed no improvement of OS²⁶. It is important to note that this trial population included not only FL but also other indolent lymphoma. Moreover, the response rate with R-CHOP was lower than in the other published prospective trials. A randomized trial (FOLL05) was conducted by the Italian lymphoma intergroup (ILI) to compare the efficacy of eight doses of rituximab accompanied by six cycles of CHOP, eight cycles of CVP, or six cycles of fludarabine and mitoxantrone (FM). Follow-up was undertaken after a median period of 34 months, and PFS rates at 3 years were significantly different (52%, 68%, and 63%, respectively), but there was no difference in terms of OS²⁷. In total, 23 second malignancies were observed during follow-up, mainly in the rituximab plus FM (R-FM) arm. In conclusion, R-CHOP and R-FM were superior to R-CVP in time to treatment failure and PFS, but R-FM treatment was more toxic.

With a median follow-up of 7 years and an 83% 8-year OS rate, long-term follow-up of the FOLL05 trial confirms the favorable outcome of patients with advanced-stage FL treated with immuno-chemotherapy. Compared with those receiving R-CHOP, patients who initially received R-CVP had higher risks of progressing to lymphoma and requiring additional therapy²⁸.

More recently, the GALLIUM trial assessed the role of GA101 versus rituximab combined with CHOP, bendamustine, or CVP. The 3-year PFS (the main objective of the study) and the time to next treatment (TTNT) were better with GA101 compared with the rituximab arms¹⁵. This was observed with the three chemo-therapy regimens, even if GA101 and bendamustine seem to be associated with higher toxicity²⁹, probably because of T-cell decrease. Finally, in the phase 3 RELEVANCE trial³⁰, rituximab

plus chemotherapy was compared with rituximab plus lenalidomide followed by maintenance monotherapy with rituximab in those patients who had not received any previous treatment for FL. Patients were randomly assigned to one of the two treatment schedules, and maintenance monotherapy with rituximab followed. PFS and complete response (confirmed or unconfirmed) at 120 weeks were the primary end points. In total, 1030 patients were included; the rates of confirmed or unconfirmed complete response at 3 months were comparable: 48% (95% CI 44-53) in the group receiving rituximab-lenalidomide and 53% (95% CI 49–57) in the group receiving rituximab-chemotherapy (P = 0.13). The interim rates of PFS at 3 years were 77% (95% CI 72-80) and 78% (95% CI 74-82), respectively. Toxicity was different; there were higher rates of grade 3 to 4 neutropenia (32% versus 50%) and febrile neutropenia of any grade (2% versus 7%) in the R-CHOP group; conversely, patients in the rituximablenalidomide group had a higher percentage of grade 3 or 4 cutaneous reactions (7% versus 1%).

In conclusion, chemotherapy in FL is now systematically combined with anti-CD20 antibodies and has evolved to be the new standard of care for disseminated FL worldwide. CHOP and bendamustine were the most widely used chemotherapy regimens in 2018. Recent data have shown the possible advantage of GA101 combined with CHOP treatment as well as the possibility of obtaining similar results with chemo-free regimens. Longer follow-up is needed to obtain mature data regarding toxicity.

Maintenance treatment

In treatment-naïve patients as well as in those with relapsed/ refractory disease, rituximab has also been shown to extend the duration of response. The aim of the PRIMA trial was to assess the benefit of 2 years of MR following first-line treatment in FL patients receiving a rituximab-chemotherapy regimen; 1217 patients received one of three non-randomized, commonly used immunochemotherapy induction regimens; following this, 1019 patients attaining a complete or partial response were given MR therapy for 2 years or observation. PFS was the primary end point; after a median period of 36 months of follow-up, the substantial PFS benefit seen with MR (74.9% in the group receiving MR and 57.6% in the observation group) did not translate to a statistically significant benefit for OS. A longer period of follow-up may thus be needed. Toxicity was manageable. TTNT was also improved in the maintenance arm³¹. These results were confirmed with a 10-year follow-up; despite the lack of OS benefit, more than half the patients in the rituximab arm remain free of disease progression and have not required new anti-lymphoma treatment beyond 10 years³².

Transformation

At the time of transformation, histology is most frequently diffuse large B-cell lymphoma (80%) and less common are composite lymphomas (14%) or lymphomas similar to morphologically high-grade B-cell lymphomas (6%). Since the majority of FLs have the t(13–17) and MYC translocation is a common event driving transformation to aggressive lymphoma, a majority of transformed FLs are double-hit lymphomas and are classified as high-grade B-cell lymphoma with MYC and BCL2 or BCL6 translocations or both. In the PRIMA trial, 37% of the

patients who progressed in the 2 years had transformed non-Hodgkin lymphoma. Altered performance status, anemia, high lactate dehydrogenase level, "B" symptoms, histological grade 3a, and high FLIPI scores at diagnosis were identified as risk factors. Estimated median OS for the patients with histological transformation was low (3.8 years). When these patients received autologous stem cell transplantation, outcomes were improved³³.

New drugs in follicular lymphoma

During the last decade, development of biological knowledge and use of targeted drugs have offered new perspectives in the treatment of FL. Most of the data come from phase 2 trials in a relapse setting and few of them have been introduced in first line. These new drugs include immunoconjugated antibodies, proteasome inhibitors, inhibitors of B-cell receptor pathway, and checkpoint inhibitors³⁴. Immunoconjugates are made of monoclonal antibodies associated with a cytotoxic drug entering the cell when the antibody binds its target. Inotuzumab ozogamicin (CMC-544) is a humanized anti-CD22 antibody conjugated with calicheamicin, a toxic agent that binds DNA leading to apoptosis. Polatuzumab vedotin is an anti-CD79b antibody conjugated with an anti-microtubule agent (monomethyl auristatin E). Both have shown promising results in association with rituximab in relapsed patients; the overall response rate was above 70% but there were hematological (inotuzumab ozogamicin) and neurological (polatuzumab vedotin) toxicities. In untreated patients, bortezomib, a proteasome inhibitor, has been used in association with rituximab and bendamustine with an estimated PFS at 3 years of 75%³⁵. Idelalisib, a phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase (PI3K) inhibitor, has been approved in FL in relapsed and refractory patients but its development in first line was stopped because of an increased risk of death, including Pneumocystis carinii and cytomegalovirus infections in patients who received idealisib combinations. Other PI3Ks such as copanlisib, duvelisib, or TGR-1202 are in development. Ibrutinib, a BTK inhibitor, has been evaluated in a front-line setting in association with rituximab with complete remission in 27% of the 60 patients³⁶. Venetoclax, a selective second-generation BCL-2 inhibitor, has been tested in a relapse setting with promising results. Front-line treatment is currently in evaluation. PD-1 expression is an escape mechanism for different hematologic malignancies. PD-1 inhibitors, such as pidilizumab and nivolumab, activity have recently shown activity in Hodgkin disease and more recently in other lymphomas, including FL, but are not use in front line.

Conclusions

FL is an indolent lymphoma with different therapeutic approaches according to tumor burden. Better rates of remission and survival have been obtained since the introduction of rituximab in combination with chemotherapy and in maintenance therapy. More recently, the development of biological knowledge and the use of targeted drugs offer new therapeutic perspectives with chemo-free treatment strategies.

Grant information

The author(s) declared that no grants were involved in supporting this work.

References

1.

- Lackraj T, Goswami R, Kridel R: **Pathogenesis of follicular lymphoma.** Best Pract Res Clin Haematol. 2018; **31**(1): 2–14. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | F1000 Recommendation
- F Roulland S, Kelly RS, Morgado E, et al.: t(14;18) Translocation: A predictive blood biomarker for follicular lymphoma. J Clin Oncol. 2014; 32(13): 1347–55. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | F1000 Recommendation
- F Correia C, Schneider PA, Dai H, et al.: BCL2 mutations are associated with increased risk of transformation and shortened survival in follicular lymphoma. Blood. 2015; 125(4): 658–67. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text | F1000 Recommendation
- Farinha P, Masoudi H, Skinnider BF, et al.,: Analysis of multiple biomarkers shows that lymphoma-associated macrophage (LAM) content is an independent predictor of survival in follicular lymphoma (FL). Blood. 2005; 106(6): 2169–74.
 PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | F1000 Recommendation
- Canioni D, Salles G, Mounier N, et al.: High Numbers of Tumor-Associated Macrophages Have an Adverse Prognostic Value That Can Be Circumvented by Rituximab in Patients With Follicular Lymphoma Enrolled Onto the GELA-GOELAMS FL-2000 Trial. JCO. 2008; 26(3): 440–6. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text
- Solal-Céligny P, Roy P, Colombat P, et al.: Follicular Lymphoma International Prognostic Index. Blood. 2004; 104(5): 1258–65.
 PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text
- Federico M, Bellei M, Marcheselli L, et al.: Follicular Lymphoma International Prognostic Index 2: A New Prognostic Index for Follicular Lymphoma Developed by the International Follicular Lymphoma Prognostic Factor Project. J Clin Oncol. 2009; 27(27): 4555–62. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text
- Brice P, Bastion Y, Lepage E, et al.: Comparison in low-tumor-burden follicular lymphomas between an initial no-treatment policy, prednimustine, or interferon alfa: A randomized study from the Groupe d'Etude des Lymphomes Folliculaires. Groupe d'Etude des Lymphomes de l'Adulte. J Clin Oncol. 1997; 15(3): 1110–7. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text
- F Bachy E, Maurer MJ, Habermann TM, et al.: A simplified scoring system in de novo follicular lymphoma treated initially with immunochemotherapy. Blood. 2018; 132(1): 49–58.
- PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text | F1000 Recommendation
- Huet S, Tesson B, Jais JP, et al.: A gene-expression profiling score for prediction of outcome in patients with follicular lymphoma: A retrospective training and validation analysis in three international cohorts. *Lancet Oncol.* 2018; 19(4): 549–561.
 PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text
- 11. Pastore A, Jurinovic V, Kridel R, et al.: Integration of gene mutations in risk prognostication for patients receiving first-line immunochemotherapy for follicular lymphoma: A retrospective analysis of a prospective clinical trial and validation in a population-based registry. Lancet Oncol. 2015; 16(9): 1111–1122. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | F1000 Recommendation
- Casulo C, Byrtek M, Dawson KL, et al.: Early Relapse of Follicular Lymphoma After Rituximab Plus Cyclophosphamide, Doxorubicin, Vincristine, and Prednisone Defines Patients at High Risk for Death: An Analysis From the National LymphoCare Study. J Clin Oncol. 2015; 33(23): 2516–22.
 PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text | F1000 Recommendation
- F Trotman J, Luminari S, Boussetta S, et al.: Prognostic value of PET-CT after first-line therapy in patients with follicular lymphoma: A pooled analysis of central scan review in three multicentre studies. Lancet Haematol. 2014; 1(1):e17–27. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | F1000 Recommendation
- F Cottereau AS, Versari A, Luminari S, *et al.*: Prognostic model for high-tumorburden follicular lymphoma integrating baseline and end-induction PET: A LYSA/FIL study. *Blod*. 2018; 131(22): 2449–2453.
 PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | F1000 Recommendation
- Marcus R, Davies A, Ando K, *et al.*: Obinutuzumab for the First-Line Treatment of Follicular Lymphoma. N Engl J Med. 2017; 377(14): 1331–1344. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | F1000 Recommendation
- F Trotman J, Barrington SF, Belada D, et al.: Prognostic value of end-ofinduction PET response after first-line immunochemotherapy for follicular lymphoma (GALLIUM): secondary analysis of a randomised, phase 3 trial. Lancet Oncol. 2018; 19(11): 1530–42.
 PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | F1000 Recommendation
- Ardeshna KM, Smith P, Norton A, et al.: Long-term effect of a watch and wait policy versus immediate systemic treatment for asymptomatic advancedstage non-Hodgkin lymphoma: a randomised controlled trial. *Lancet*. 2003; 362(9383): 516–22.
 PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text
- Ardeshna KM, Qian W, Smith P, et al.: Rituximab versus a watch-and-wait approach in patients with advanced-stage, asymptomatic, non-bulky follicular lymphoma: an open-label randomised phase 3 trial. Lancet Oncol. 2014; 15(4): 424–35.

PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | F1000 Recommendation

F1000 recommended

- F Kahl BS, Hong F, Williams ME, et al.: Rituximab extended schedule or retreatment trial for low-tumor burden follicular lymphoma: eastern cooperative oncology group protocol e4402. J Clin Oncol. 2014; 32(28): 3096–102.
 PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text | F1000 Recommendation
- 20. Coiffier B: Interferon alpha-recombinant in the treatment of follicular lymphoma patients. Ann Oncol. 1993; 4(3): 182–3. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text
- Brice P, Simon D, Bouabdallah R, et al.: High-dose therapy with autologous stem-cell transplantation (ASCT) after first progression prolonged survival of follicular lymphoma patients included in the prospective GELF 86 protocol. Ann Oncol. 2000; 11(12): 1585–90.
 PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text
- Marcus R, Imrie K, Belch A, et al.: CVP chemotherapy plus rituximab compared with CVP as first-line treatment for advanced follicular lymphoma. Blood. 2005; 105(4): 1417–23.
 PubMed Abstract I Publisher Full Text
- 23. Hiddemann W, Kneba M, Dreyling M, et al.: Frontline therapy with rituximab added to the combination of cyclophosphamide, doxorubicin, vincristine, and prednisone (CHOP) significantly improves the outcome for patients with advanced-stage follicular lymphoma compared with therapy with CHOP alone: results of a prospective randomized study of the German Low-Grade Lymphoma Study Group. Blood. 2005; 106(12): 3725–32. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text
- F Herold M, Haas A, Srock S, et al.: Rituximab added to first-line mitoxantrone, chlorambucil, and prednisolone chemotherapy followed by interferon maintenance prolongs survival in patients with advanced follicular lymphoma: an East German Study Group Hematology and Oncology Study. J Clin Oncol. 2007; 25(15): 1986–92.
 PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | F1000 Recommendation
- 25. F Salles G, Mounier N, de Guibert S, *et al.*: Rituximab combined with chemotherapy and interferon in follicular lymphoma patients: results of the GELA-GOELAMS FL2000 study. *Blood.* 2008; 112(13): 4824–31. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | F1000 Recommendation
- F Rummel MJ, Niederle N, Maschmeyer G, et al.: Bendamustine plus rituximab versus CHOP plus rituximab as first-line treatment for patients with indolent and mantle-cell lymphomas: an open-label, multicentre, randomised, phase 3 non-inferiority trial. Lancet. 2013; 381(9873): 1203–10.
 PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | F1000 Recommendation
- Federico M, Luminari S, Dondi A, et al.: R-CVP versus R-CHOP versus R-FM for the initial treatment of patients with advanced-stage follicular lymphoma: results of the FOLL05 trial conducted by the Fondazione Italiana Linfomi. *J Clin Oncol.* 2013; 31(12): 1506–13.
 PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text
- Luminari S, Ferrari A, Manni M, et al.: Long-Term Results of the FOLL05 Trial Comparing R-CVP Versus R-CHOP Versus R-FM for the Initial Treatment of Patients With Advanced-Stage Symptomatic Follicular Lymphoma. J Clin Oncol. 2018; 36(7): 689–96.
 PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | F1000 Recommendation
- F Hiddemann W, Barbui AM, Canales MA, et al.: Immunochemotherapy With Obinutuzumab or Rituximab for Previously Untreated Follicular Lymphoma in the GALLIUM Study: Influence of Chemotherapy on Efficacy and Safety. J Clin Oncol. 2018; 36(23): 2395–404.
 PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | F1000 Recommendation
- Morschhauser F, Fowler NH, Feugier P, et al.: Rituximab plus Lenalidomide in Advanced Untreated Follicular Lymphoma. N Engl J Med. 2018; 379(10): 934–47. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text
- 31. F Salles G, Seymour JF, Offner F, et al.: Rituximab maintenance for 2 years in patients with high tumour burden follicular lymphoma responding to rituximab plus chemotherapy (PRIMA): a phase 3, randomised controlled trial. Lancet. 2011; 377(9759): 42–51. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | F1000 Recommendation
- Salles GA, Seymour JF, Feugier P, et al.: Long Term Follow-up of the PRIMA Study: Half of Patients Receiving Rituximab Maintenance Remain Progression Free at 10 Years. Blood. 2017; 130(Suppl 1): 486. Reference Source
- Sarkozy C, Trneny M, Xerri L, et al.: Risk Factors and Outcomes for Patients With Follicular Lymphoma Who Had Histologic Transformation After Response to First-Line Immunochemotherapy in the PRIMA Trial. J Clin Oncol. 2016; 34(22): 2575–82.
 PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text
- F Sorigue M, Ribera JM, Motlló C, *et al.*: New drugs for follicular lymphoma. Leuk Res. 2016; 49: 38–46.
 PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | F1000 Recommendation
- Finn IW, Thompson DS, Boccia RV, et al.: Bendamustine, bortezomib and rituximab produces durable complete remissions in patients with previously untreated, low grade lymphoma. Br J Haematol. 2018; 180(3): 365–73.
 PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | F1000 Recommendation
- Fowler N, Nastoupil L, de Vos S, et al.: Ibrutinib Plus Rituximab in Treatment-Naive Patients with Follicular Lymphoma: Results from a Multicenter, Phase 2 Study. Blood. 2015; 126(23): 470. Reference Source

Open Peer Review

Current Peer Review Status:

Editorial Note on the Review Process

F1000 Faculty Reviews are written by members of the prestigious F1000 Faculty. They are commissioned and are peer reviewed before publication to ensure that the final, published version is comprehensive and accessible. The reviewers who approved the final version are listed with their names and affiliations.

The reviewers who approved this article are:

Version 1

1 Stefano Luminari

Department of Hematology, Azienda Unità Sanitaria Locale IRCCS di Reggio Emilia, Modena, Italy *Competing Interests:* No competing interests were disclosed.

2 Emanuele Zucca

Oncology Institute of Southern Switzerland (IOSI), Ospedale San Giovanni, Bellinzona, Switzerland *Competing Interests:* No competing interests were disclosed.

The benefits of publishing with F1000Research:

- Your article is published within days, with no editorial bias
- You can publish traditional articles, null/negative results, case reports, data notes and more
- The peer review process is transparent and collaborative
- Your article is indexed in PubMed after passing peer review
- Dedicated customer support at every stage

For pre-submission enquiries, contact research@f1000.com

