



Sampling and Processing Methods Impact Microbial Community Structure and Potential Activity in a Seasonally Anoxic Fjord: Saanich Inlet, British Columbia

Mónica Torres-Beltrán¹, Andreas Mueller¹, Melanie Scofield¹, Maria G. Pachiadaki^{2,3}, Craig Taylor³, Kateryna Tyshchenko⁴, Céline Michiels¹, Phyllis Lam^{5,6}, Osvaldo Ulloa^{6,7}, Klaus Jürgens^{8,9}, Jung-Ho Hyun^{6,9}, Virginia P. Edgcomb^{2,6}, Sean A. Crowe^{1,6,10,11} and Steven J. Hallam^{1,6,11,12,13*}

OPEN ACCESS

Edited by:

Raquel Vaquer-Sunyer,
Instituto Mediterráneo de Estudios
Avanzados (IMEDEA), Spain

Reviewed by:

Dennis A. Bazylinski,
University of Nevada, Las Vegas,
United States
Jun Sun,
Tianjin University of Science
and Technology, China
Carolin Regina Löscher,
University of Southern Denmark,
Denmark

*Correspondence:

Steven J. Hallam
shallam@mail.ubc.ca

Specialty section:

This article was submitted to
Marine Biogeochemistry,
a section of the journal
Frontiers in Marine Science

Received: 10 October 2018

Accepted: 04 March 2019

Published: 22 March 2019

Citation:

Torres-Beltrán M, Mueller A,
Scofield M, Pachiadaki MG, Taylor C,
Tyshchenko K, Michiels C, Lam P,
Ulloa O, Jürgens K, Hyun J-H,
Edgcomb VP, Crowe SA and
Hallam SJ (2019) Sampling
and Processing Methods Impact
Microbial Community Structure
and Potential Activity in a Seasonally
Anoxic Fjord: Saanich Inlet, British
Columbia. *Front. Mar. Sci.* 6:132.
doi: 10.3389/fmars.2019.00132

¹ Department of Microbiology and Immunology, The University of British Columbia, Vancouver, BC, Canada, ² Bigelow Laboratory for Ocean Sciences, Boothbay, ME, United States, ³ Woods Hole Oceanographic Institute, Woods Hole, MA, United States, ⁴ Terry Fox Laboratory, BC Cancer Agency, Vancouver, BC, Canada, ⁵ Department of Ocean and Earth Science, National Oceanography Centre, University of Southampton, Southampton, United Kingdom, ⁶ The Scientific Committee on Oceanographic Research, Working Group 144, Vancouver, BC, Canada, ⁷ Departamento de Oceanografía and Instituto Milenio de Oceanografía, Universidad Concepción, Concepción, Chile, ⁸ Department of Biological Oceanography, Leibniz Institute for Baltic Sea Research, Warnemünde, Germany, ⁹ Department of Marine Sciences and Convergent Technology, Hanyang University, Seoul, South Korea, ¹⁰ Department of Earth, Ocean and Atmospheric Sciences, The University of British Columbia, Vancouver, BC, Canada, ¹¹ ECOSCOPE Training Program, The University of British Columbia, Vancouver, BC, Canada, ¹² Graduate Program in Bioinformatics, The University of British Columbia, Vancouver, BC, Canada, ¹³ Peter Wall Institute for Advanced Studies, The University of British Columbia, Vancouver, BC, Canada

The Scientific Committee on Oceanographic Research (SCOR) Working Group 144 *Microbial Community Responses to Ocean Deoxygenation* workshop held in Vancouver, B.C on July 2014 had the primary objective of initiating a process to standardize operating procedures for compatible process rate and multi-omic (DNA, RNA, protein, and metabolite) data collection in marine oxygen minimum zones and other oxygen depleted waters. Workshop attendees participated in practical sampling and experimental activities in Saanich Inlet, British Columbia, a seasonally anoxic fjord. Experiments were designed to compare and cross-calibrate *in situ* versus bottle sampling methods to determine effects on microbial community structure and potential activity when using different filter combinations, filtration methods, and sample volumes. Resulting biomass was preserved for small subunit ribosomal RNA (SSU or 16S rRNA) and SSU rRNA gene (rDNA) amplicon sequencing followed by downstream statistical and visual analyses. Results from these analyses showed that significant community shifts occurred between *in situ* versus on ship processed samples. For example, Bacteroidetes, Alphaproteobacteria, and Opisthokonta associated with on-ship filtration onto 0.4 μm filters increased fivefold compared to on-ship in-line 0.22 μm filters or 0.4 μm filters processed and preserved *in situ*. In contrast, Planctomycetes associated with 0.4 μm *in situ* filters increased fivefold compared to on-ship filtration onto 0.4 μm filters and on-ship in-line 0.22 μm filters. In addition, candidate divisions and Chloroflexi

were primarily recovered when filtered onto 0.4 μm filters *in situ*. Results based on rRNA:rDNA ratios for microbial indicator groups revealed previously unrecognized roles of candidate divisions, Desulfarculales, and Desulfuromandales in sulfur cycling, carbon fixation and fermentation within anoxic basin waters. Taken together, filter size and *in situ* versus on-ship filtration had the largest impact on recovery of microbial groups with the potential to influence downstream metabolic reconstruction and process rate measurements. These observations highlight the need for establishing standardized and reproducible techniques that facilitate cross-scale comparisons and more accurately assess *in situ* activities of microbial communities.

Keywords: microbial ecology, oxygen minimum zone, standards of practice, filtration methods, amplicon sequencing

INTRODUCTION

Among the many environmental perturbations associated with global climate change is a decrease in dissolved oxygen (O_2) concentrations in coastal and interior regions of the ocean. As dissolved O_2 concentrations decline, oxygen minimum zones (OMZs) expand (Arrigo, 2005; Whitney et al., 2007; Diaz and Rosenberg, 2008; Stramma et al., 2008; Paulmier and Ruiz-Pino, 2009; Keeling et al., 2010; Schmidtke et al., 2017). The expansion of OMZs shifts energy away from higher trophic levels, impacting ecosystem functions and services through changes in food web structure and biodiversity (Diaz and Rosenberg, 2008; Stramma et al., 2010; Gruber, 2011; Bijma et al., 2013; Levin and Breitburg, 2015; Gallo and Levin, 2016). These changes are reflected in an increasing role for microbial metabolism in nutrient and energy cycling through the use of alternative terminal electron acceptors (TEAs) including nitrate (NO_3^-), sulfate (SO_4^{2-}), and carbon dioxide (CO_2) (Diaz and Rosenberg, 2008). Among other changes, the use of these TEAs in respiration results in fixed nitrogen loss and the production of climate active trace gasses including nitrous oxide (N_2O) and methane (CH_4) with potential feedback on the climate system (Lam et al., 2009; Ward et al., 2009; Canfield et al., 2010; Naqvi et al., 2010; Lam and Kuypers, 2011). Current research efforts are defining the interaction networks underlying microbial metabolism in OMZs and generating new insights into coupled biogeochemical processes driving nutrient and energy flow among and between trophic levels on local scales (Hawley et al., 2014; Cram et al., 2015; Louca et al., 2016; Torres-Beltrán et al., 2016). However, marine microbial responses at the individual, population and community levels to OMZ expansion, and the concomitant impact of these responses on global-scale nutrient and energy cycling remain poorly constrained due in part to inconsistent, and perhaps inadequate sampling methods that limit cross-scale comparisons between locations and may cloud our view of *in situ* microbial processes.

Over the past 20 years, oceanographic researchers have increasingly used multi-omic (DNA, RNA, protein, and metabolites) methods to determine microbial community structure, function and activity in relation to physical, chemical, and biological oceanographic processes (**Supplementary Figure 1**). While large-scale microbial community composition

patterns appear to be consistent between studies with respect to major taxonomic groups and water column compartments, our ecological perspective is blurred by inconsistencies in marker gene selection and coverage.

Recent reports have begun to evaluate potential biases in OMZ microbial community structure, function, and activity with emphasis on sample collection and filtration methods. Water column sampling typically involves the use of collection bottles (Niskin or GO-FLO) and on-ship filtration to concentrate microbial biomass into two primary size fractions, a larger particle associated ($>1\text{--}30\ \mu\text{m}$) and smaller free-living ($<1\text{--}0.2\ \mu\text{m}$) fraction (Padilla et al., 2015). Size fractionation surveys conducted in the Eastern Tropical South Pacific (ETSP) and Eastern Tropical North Pacific (ETNP) showed differential microbial community structure and nitrogen cycling functional gene distribution and expression across size fractions (Ganesh et al., 2014, 2015). In addition to filter fractionation, Padilla et al. (2015) working in the Manzanillo Mexico OMZ observed variation in microbial community structure based on filtered water volume. Most recently, a study in the Cariaco Basin observed that particles sinking on timescales relevant to sample collection and filtration can influence microbial community structure in Niskin or GO-FLO bottles (Suter et al., 2016). A comparison of metatranscriptome data obtained from bathypelagic Mediterranean Sea samples collected using Niskin bottles followed by shipboard filtration vs. filtration and fixation *in situ* found significant shifts in gene expression for particular groups of microorganisms (Edgcomb et al., 2016). These findings reinforce the need for continued evaluation of the methods used for sample collection and processing. This is particularly relevant when conducting process rate measurements in which community structure variation due to bottle effects can result in potential rates that do not reflect *in situ* microbial activity (Stewart et al., 2012a).

The Scientific Committee on Oceanographic Research (SCOR) initiated Working Group 144 *Microbial Community Responses to Ocean Deoxygenation* to investigate and recommend community standards of practice for compatible multi-omic and process rate measurements in OMZs and other oxygen deficient waters in order to facilitate and promote future cross-scale comparisons that more accurately reflect *in situ* microbial

community structure, function, and activity¹. The inaugural workshop of SCOR Working Group 144 was held in British Columbia Canada during the week of July 14, 2014. During the workshop, attendees participated in practical sampling and experimental activities in Saanich Inlet (SI), a seasonally anoxic fjord on the coast of Vancouver Island, British Columbia. During spring and summer months, restricted circulation and high levels of primary production lead to progressive deoxygenation and the accumulation of methane (CH₄), ammonium (NH₄⁺), and hydrogen sulfide (H₂S) in deep basin waters. In late summer and fall, oxygenated nutrient rich waters flow into the inlet from the Haro Strait “renewing” deep basin waters (Carter, 1932, 1934; Herlinveaux, 1962; Anderson and Devol, 1973; Zaikova et al., 2010; Walsh and Hallam, 2011; Torres-Beltrán et al., 2017). The seasonal pattern of water column anoxia and renewal makes the inlet a model ecosystem for evaluating changes in microbial community structure, function and activity in response to changing levels of water column deoxygenation. Saanich Inlet is thus a tractable environment to test different water sample collection and processing methods relevant to OMZs.

Experiments carried out during the workshop were designed to compare and cross-calibrate *in situ* sampling with conventional bottle sampling methods including the use of different filter combinations and sample volumes. Here, we describe the effect of these parameters on microbial community structure and potential activity and discuss community standards development to facilitate and promote future cross-scale comparisons that more accurately reflect *in situ* microbial community structure, function and activity in OMZs and other oxygen deficient waters.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Environmental Sampling

Sampling methods used during the workshop were similar to those previously described (Zaikova et al., 2010; Walsh and Hallam, 2011; Torres-Beltrán et al., 2017). In brief, waters for analysis of dissolved gasses and nutrients were collected aboard the *MSV John Strickland* at station SI03 (48° 35.500 N, 123° 30.300 W) on July 16, 2014 using 12 L GO-FLO bottles attached in series to a steel winch cable and closed at depth via messenger. A CTD was attached to the cable below the bottles and was used to measure temperature, salinity, PAR/Irradiance, fluorescence, conductivity, density, and dissolved O₂ at 165 and 185 m depth intervals spanning anoxic (<1 μmol O₂ kg⁻¹) and sulfidic water column compartments (Figures 1A,B and Supplementary Table 2).

Workshop Microbial Biomass Collection

For comparison to Niskin bottle sampling, water samples were collected and preserved *in situ* in duplicate using a McLane Phytoplankton Sampler (PPS) system deployed at 165 and 185 m depth intervals spanning anoxic (<1 μmol O₂ kg⁻¹) and sulfidic water column compartments (Figure 1B). Sample volumes of




FIGURE 1 | (A) Saanich Inlet time-series CTD oxygen (O₂) concentration contour (2008–2015) showing seasonal water column stratification and deep-water renewal events. **(B)** Sample inventory for SCOR workshop held in July 2014 includes CTD, nutrients (NO₃, NO₂, NH₄, PO₄, and SiO₂), hydrogen sulfide (H₂S), and multi-omic on-ship and *in situ* data. Data shown in this survey is depicted as solid symbols (*in situ* and on ship DNA and RNA (rectangle) collected at 165 (square) and 185 (triangle) meters. **(C)** Schematic model for filter combinations and filtration methods showing on-ship [MasterFlex peristaltic pump (MPP)] filtration onto 0.4 μm pre-filters (green), filtration onto 0.22 μm filters with in-line pre-filtration using the 0.4 μm pre-filters (yellow), and *in situ* filtration (PPS) onto 0.4 μm filters without pre-filtration (red).

2 L were filtered onto 0.4 μm GFF membrane filters (47 mm diameter). Filter biomass was directly frozen and stored at –80°C for downstream DNA and RNA extraction. On-ship samples were collected using Niskin bottles from 165 to 185 m as described above (Figure 1B) and concentrated for DNA and RNA extractions with a MasterFlex peristaltic pump (MPP) (~60 mL min⁻¹). Biomass was concentrated using different filter combinations (0.4 μm polycarbonate or 2.7 μm GF/D pre-filters in-line with a 0.22 μm Sterivex polycarbonate filter cartridge) (Figure 1C) and water volumes (250 ml, 500 ml, 1 and 2 L) (Table 1) to test significance of sample volume and filtration method, on community structure (see section “Statistical Analysis and Data Visualization”). Filtered biomass on Sterivex filters was preserved in 1.8 ml of sucrose lysis buffer (DNA analyses) or RNA later (RNA analyses) prior to storage at –80°C. Pre-filters (0.4 μm only) were also preserved in 1.8 ml of lysis buffer (DNA) or RNA later (RNA) prior to storage at –80°C.

Time-Series Microbial Biomass Collection

Time-series samples were collected as previously described (Walsh et al., 2009; Zaikova et al., 2010;

¹<http://omz.microbiology.ubc.ca/page4/index.html>

TABLE 1 | Biomass collection scheme for DNA and RNA *in situ* and on-ship samples.

Filtration type	Pre-filter size (μm)	Collection filter size (μm)	Volume (L)	Molecular sample
<i>In situ</i>		0.4	2	DNA, RNA
On ship	0.4	0.22	2	DNA, RNA*
			1.5	
			0.5	
			0.25	
	2.7	0.22	2	DNA
			1.5	
			0.5	
			0.25	

*RNA samples used in the present study were only from 0.25, 0.5, and 2 L on-ship 0.22 μm filters with in-line 0.4 μm prefilters.

Torres-Beltrán et al., 2016; Hawley et al., 2017). Briefly, large volume (10 L) samples were collected from February 2006 to February 2011 at six depths (10, 100, 120, 135, 150, and 200 m) and filtered with an in-line 2.7 μm GDF glass fiber pre-filter onto a 0.22 μm Sterivex polycarbonate cartridge filter. High-resolution (2 L) samples were collected from May 2008 to July 2010 from 16 depths (10 to 200 m) and filtered directly onto a 0.22 μm Sterivex polycarbonate cartridge filter. All time-series samples were preserved in 1.8 ml of sucrose lysis buffer (DNA) prior to storage at -80°C .

Nucleic Acid Extraction

Genomic DNA was extracted from Sterivex filters (Table 1) as previously described (Zaikova et al., 2010; Hawley et al., 2017). Briefly, after defrosting Sterivex on ice, 100 μl lysozyme (0.125 mg ml^{-1} ; Sigma) and 20 μl of RNase (1 $\mu\text{l ml}^{-1}$; Thermo Fisher) were added and incubated at 37°C for 1 h with rotation followed by addition of 50 μl Proteinase K (Sigma) and 100 μl 20% SDS and incubation at 55°C for 2 h with rotation. Lysate was removed by pushing through with a syringe into 15 mL falcon tube (Corning) and with an additional rinse of 1 mL of lysis buffer. Filtrate was subject to chloroform extraction (Sigma) and the aqueous layer was collected and loaded onto a 10 K 15 ml Amicon filter cartridge (Millipore), washed three times with TE buffer (pH 8.0) and concentrated to a final volume of between 150 and 400 μl . Total DNA concentration was determined by PicoGreen assay (Life Technologies) and genomic DNA quality determined by visualization on 0.8% agarose gel (overnight at 16V). Genomic DNA was extracted from 0.4 to 2.7 μm pre-filters (Table 1) as follows. The filter was cut in half using sterile scissors. One half was minced into smaller pieces and used for DNA extraction while the remaining half was stored at -80°C . Filter pieces were transferred to a 15 mL falcon tube followed by addition of 1.8 mL lysis buffer and 150 μL 20% SDS. In order to ensure biomass removal from the filter, 3 and 2 mm zirconium beads were added for bead beating using a vortex mixer at maximum speed. Filters were shaken for a total of 4 min, in two 2-min laps then subjected to chloroform extraction and processed as described above for Sterivex filters.

Total RNA was extracted from Sterivex filters (Table 1) using the mirVana Isolation kit (Ambion) (Shi et al., 2009; Stewart et al., 2010) protocol modified for Sterivex filters (Hawley et al., 2017). Briefly, after thawing the filter cartridge on ice, RNA later was removed by pushing through with a 3 ml syringe followed by rinsing with an additional 1.8 mL of Ringer's solution and incubation at room temperature for 20 min with rotation. Ringer's solution was evacuated with a 3 ml syringe followed by addition of 100 μl of 0.125 mg ml^{-1} lysozyme and incubation at 37°C for 30 min with rotation. Lysate was removed from the filter cartridge and subjected to organic extraction following the mirVana kit protocol. DNA removal and clean up and purification of total RNA were conducted following the TURBO DNA-free kit (Thermo Fisher) and the RNeasy MinElute Cleanup kit (Qiagen) protocols respectively. Total RNA concentration was determined by RiboGreen analysis (Life Technologies) prior to synthesis of first strand cDNA using the SuperScript III First-Strand Synthesis System for RT-qPCR (Invitrogen) according to manufacturer instructions. Total RNA was extracted from 0.4 to 2.7 μm filters (Table 1) as follows. The filter was cut in half using sterile scissors. One half was minced into smaller pieces and used for RNA extraction while the remaining half was stored at -80°C . Filter pieces were transferred to a 15 mL falcon tube followed by addition 1.8 ml MirVana Lysis Buffer and 100 μl of 0.125 mg ml^{-1} lysozyme. In order to ensure biomass removal from the filter, 3 and 2 mm zirconium beads were added for bead beating using a vortex mixer at maximum speed. Filters were shaken for 4 min in two, 2 min laps followed by incubation at 37°C for 30 min with rotation, then processed in the same way as described above for Sterivex filters.

Small Subunit Ribosomal RNA Sequencing

Extracted DNA and cDNA corresponding to 2.7, 0.4, and 0.22 μm filters from 165 to 185 m depth intervals (Table 1) was used to generate SSU rDNA and rRNA amplicon sequences with three domain resolution on the 454 pyrosequencing platform. PCR amplification procedures were carried out as previously described (Hawley et al., 2017). In brief, SSU rDNA and rRNA amplicon libraries (pyrotags) were generated by PCR amplification using multi-domain primers targeting the V6–V8 region of the SSU rRNA gene (Allers et al., 2013): 926F (5'-cct atc ccc tgt gtg cct tgg cag tct cag AAA CTY AAA KGA ATT GRC GG-3') and 1392R (5'-cca tct cat ccc tgc gtc tct cgc act cag- <XXXXX> -ACG GGC GGT GTG TRC-3'). Primer sequences were modified by the addition of 454 A or B adapter sequences (lower case). In addition, the reverse primer included a 5 bp barcode designated <XXXXX> for multiplexing of samples during sequencing. Twenty-five microliter PCR reactions were performed in triplicate and pooled to minimize PCR bias. Each reaction contained between 1 and 10 ng of target DNA, 0.5 μl Taq DNA polymerase (Bioshop, Inc.), 2.5 μL Bioshop 10x buffer, 1.5 μl 25 mM Bioshop MgCl_2 , 2.5 μL 10 mM dNTPs (Agilent Technologies) and 0.5 μL 10 mM of each primer. The thermal cycler protocol started with an initial denaturation at 95°C for 3 min and then 25 cycles of 30 s at 95°C , 45 s at 55°C , 90 s at

72°C and 45 s at 55°C. Final extension at 72°C for 10 min. PCR products were purified using the QiaQuick PCR purification kit (Qiagen), eluted in 25 µL, and quantified using the PicoGreen assay (Life Technologies). SSU rDNA and rRNA amplicons were pooled at 100 ng for each sample. Emulsion PCR and sequencing of the PCR amplicons was conducted on a Roche 454 GS FLX Titanium sequencer at the Department of Energy Joint Genome Institute (DOE-JGI), or the McGill University and Génome Québec Innovation Center.

A total of 1,027,601 small subunit ribosomal rDNA and rRNA pyrotags were processed together using the Quantitative Insights Into Microbial Ecology (QIIME) software package (Caporaso et al., 2010). Reads with length shorter than 200 bases, ambiguous bases, and homopolymer sequences were removed prior to chimera detection. Chimeras were detected and removed using chimera slayer provided in the QIIME software package. Sequences were then clustered into operational taxonomic units (OTUs) at 97% identity using UCLUST with average linkage algorithm. Prior to taxonomic assignment, singleton OTUs (OTUs represented by one read) were omitted, leaving 29,589 OTUs. Representative sequences from each non-singleton OTU were queried against the SILVA database release 111 using the BLAST algorithm (Altschul et al., 1990).

Statistical Analysis and Data Visualization

Statistical analyses were conducted using the R software package (R Core Team, 2013). Pyrotag data sets were normalized to the total number of reads per sample. Hierarchical cluster analysis (HCA) and non-metric multidimensional scaling (NMDS) were conducted to identify community compositional profiles associated with water column compartments using the pvclust (Suzuki and Shimodaira, 2015) and MASS (Venables and Ripley, 2002) packages with Manhattan Distance measures, and statistical significance to the resulting clusters as bootstrap score distributions with 1,000 iterations and NMDS stress value ≤ 0.05 . Diversity indices (Shannon and alpha diversity) were calculated to identify changes in community structure based on filtration parameters using the vegan (Oksanen et al., 2015) package (Supplementary Figure 3 and Supplementary Table 1). Microbial community richness on HC selected samples was determined using the vegan (Oksanen et al., 2015) package. The OTU table was rarefied starting with 10 sequences to the total maximum number of sequences found in the dataset and 10 iterations per sample were calculated with 100 sequences between each step (Supplementary Figure 4). Non-parametric Friedman block tests were conducted to determine the significance of volume variation and filtration method on community structure using the base stats package (R Core Team, 2013). In addition, one-way ANOVA was conducted to test the significance of filter combinations on taxa relative abundance using the ggpubr package (Kassambara, 2017).

Multi-level indicator species analysis (ISA) was conducted to identify OTUs specifically associated with different experimental parameters based on groups resolved in HCA using the indicspecies package (De Cáceres and Legendre, 2009). The

ISA/multi-level pattern analysis calculates p -values with Monte Carlo simulations and returns indicator values (IVs) and p -values with $\alpha \leq 0.05$. The IVs range between 0 and 1, where indicator OTUs considered in the present study for further community analysis shown an $IV \geq 0.7$ and p -value ≤ 0.001 . ISA groups abundance was visualized as dot plots using the *bubble.pl* pearl script². Taxonomic distribution of identified OTUs was visualized using the ggplot2 (Wickham, 2009) package. The total rRNA:rDNA ratios were calculated for the subset of matching samples (165 m 250 mL and 2 L on-ship 0.22 µm filters with in-line 0.4 µm pre-filtration, and 185 m 500 mL and 2 L on-ship 0.22 µm filters with in-line 0.4 µm pre-filtration) to account for variation in taxon abundance in the DNA pool (Frias-Lopez et al., 2008; Stewart et al., 2012b) and compared for a subset of microbial groups to explore how filtration parameters influence recovery of potentially active OTUs. We then selected OTUs based on ISA results and their shifts in abundance among filtering conditions.

Data Deposition

SSU rDNA and rRNA pyrotag sequences have been submitted to the National Center for Biotechnology Information (NCBI) under BioSample Nos. SAMN05392373–SAMN05392466.

RESULTS


Water Column Conditions

Samples were collected during a stratification period characteristic of summer months (June–August) in SI (Carter, 1932, 1934; Herlinveaux, 1962; Zaikova et al., 2010). Below 150 m, water column CTD O₂ concentrations were below < 3 µM, consistent with previous observations of water column anoxia during peak stratification (Figure 1A and Supplementary Table 2). In addition, increasing concentrations of H₂S (13.95 µM) and NH₄⁺ (6.1 µM) at 185 m were also observed, indicating anoxic and sulfidic conditions in deep basin waters. NO₃⁻ and NO₂⁻ concentrations peaked at 150 m reaching 12 and 0.6 µM, respectively. Phosphate concentrations ranged between 4.5 and 5.8 µM from 150 to 185 m, and SiO₂ concentration peaked at 185 m reaching 110 µM (Supplementary Table 2).

Benchmarking Workshop and Saanich Inlet Time-Series Results

We evaluated microbial community structure using 521 time-series samples traversing the SI water column (Supplementary Figure 2) and 29 samples collected during the workshop using rDNA pyrotag sequences to compare and cross-calibrate *in situ* sampling with the McLane PPS system and bottle sampling methods. Different water volumes from the same depth interval and filtration method showed no significant difference ($p = 0.1405$ and $p = 0.2545$, respectively) in richness based on Friedman block test results and were therefore treated as pseudo-replicates. NMDS indicated workshop samples clustered together primarily with high-resolution suboxic and anoxic samples from

²<http://hallam.microbiology.ubc.ca/LabResources/Software.html>




165 to 200 m depth intervals (0.22 μm Sterivex filters without pre-filtration) collected during summer months (**Figure 2A**). Similarities between time-series and workshop samples provided an internal check on experimental design and a rationale for examining more granular differences between community structure and potential activity resulting from different filtration parameters. Workshop samples collected at 165 and 185 m depth intervals formed three groups in NMDS analyses associated with on-ship 0.4 μm filters (group I), on-ship 0.22 μm filters with either 0.4 or 2.7 μm in-line pre-filtration (group II), and *in situ* 0.4 μm filters (group III) (**Figure 2B**). For the most part, samples within groups partitioned by depth. Consistent with NMDS, HCA resolved three groups ($\text{AU} \geq 70$, 1000 iterations) associated with on-ship 0.4 μm filters (group I), on-ship 0.22 μm filters

with in-line 0.4 μm pre-filters (group II), and *in situ* filtration 0.4 μm filters (group III) (**Figure 3A**). Within each filtration group, samples partitioned primarily by depth.

Size-Fractionation Effects on Community Structure


Based on NMDS and HCA results, we focused on changes in OTU relative abundance and taxon identity between groups. Microbial community structure was primarily comprised of OTUs ($>0.1\%$ relative abundance) affiliated with ubiquitous OMZ taxa including Marine Group A, SAR11, SAR324, SUP05 (Field et al., 1997; Fuhrman and Davis, 1997; Brown and Donachie, 2007; Tripp et al., 2008; Lam et al., 2009; Walsh et al., 2009; Zaikova et al., 2010; Walsh and Hallam, 2011; Wright et al., 2012) as well as Bacteroidetes, Desulfobacterales, and Euryarchaeota (**Figure 3B**). Interestingly, several of these groups were not detected in on-ship 0.4 μm pre-filter samples but were recovered from in-line 0.22 μm filters (group II). These included Marine Benthic Group E and Halobacteriales, SAR406 within the Marine Group A, Methylophilales within the Betaproteobacteria, Desulfuromonadales, and Desulfurculales within the Deltaproteobacteria and SUP05 within the Gammaproteobacteria. Conversely, Acidimicrobiales within the Actinobacteria, Cyanobacteria, Rhodobacterales within the Alphaproteobacteria, OM190 within the Planctomycetes, and eukaryotic phyla including Cnidaria and Arthropoda within the Opisthokonta and Diatoms within the Stramenopiles were detected in on-ship 0.4 μm filter samples but not recovered on in-line 0.22 μm filters or *in situ* 0.4 μm filters. Eukaryotic phyla affiliated with Alveolata were recovered on 0.22 μm filter samples with in-line 0.4 μm pre-filters (group I) and Phycisphaerales within the Planctomycetes were detected in *in situ* 0.4 μm filter samples (group III), respectively (**Figure 3B**).

Filtration methods, including the use of different pre-filters, resulted in a significant source of variation ($p < 0.001$) for the relative abundance of several bacterial phyla including Bacteroidetes, Deferribacteres, Alpha-, Delta- and Gamma-proteobacteria, Planctomycetes, archaeal phyla including Thaumarchaeota, and eukaryotic phyla including Opisthokonta and Rhizaria (**Figure 4** and **Table 2**). For example, the relative abundance of Bacteroidetes (Flavobacteriales), Alphaproteobacteria (SAR11, Rhodobacterales and Rhodospirillales) and Opisthokonta (Maxillopoda) associated with on-ship 0.4 μm filters increased fivefold compared to 0.22 μm filters with in-line 0.4 μm pre-filters and *in situ* 0.4 μm filters, while the relative abundance of Deferribacteres, Deltaproteobacteria (SAR324, Desulfobacterales and Desulfurculales) and Gammaproteobacteria (Oceanospirillales mainly affiliated with SUP05, Pseudomonadales and Alteromonadales) associated with on-ship 0.4 μm filters decreased fivefold compared to 0.22 μm filters with in-line 0.4 μm pre-filters and *in situ* 0.4 μm filters (**Figure 4**). Conversely, the relative abundance of Planctomycetes (Phycisphaerales and OM190) associated with *in situ*



0.4 μm filters increased fivefold compared to on-ship 0.22 μm filters with in-line 0.4 μm pre-filters and 0.4 μm filters (Figure 4).

Together these results indicate that filter selection and in-line positioning can introduce bias into microbial community structure data and reinforce the idea that filtration methods



should be taken into consideration more carefully when interpreting microbial count data.

Size Fractionation Effects on Indicator OTUs (DNA Analyses)

To identify OTUs associated with specific filtration methods we conducted multi-level ISA on HCA groups I–III. As expected, resulting indicator OTUs varied with respect to filtration methods used (Figure 5). The largest differences with respect to indicators were detected between *in situ* and on-ship 0.4 μm filter samples. Indicator OTUs detected in on-ship 0.4 μm filter samples were mostly affiliated with bacterial

phyla including Actinobacteria, Bacteroidetes, Cyanobacteria, Deferribacteres, Proteobacteria, and Verrucomicrobia, archaeal phyla including Euryarchaeota, and eukaryotic phyla including Alveolata, Opisthokonta, Rhizaria, and Stramenopiles (Figure 5). Indicator OTUs detected in *in situ* 0.4 μm filter samples were mostly affiliated with bacterial phyla including Candidate divisions (WS3, OD1, and BRC1), Chloroflexi, Deferribacteres, Firmicutes, Lentisphaerae, Nitrospirae, Alpha-, Beta-, Delta- and Gamma-proteobacteria, and Planctomycetes, archaeal phyla including Euryarchaeota, and eukaryotic phyla including Alveolata, Excavata, and Opisthokonta (Figure 5). Indicator OTUs detected in 0.22 μm filter samples with in-line 0.4 μm pre-filter were mostly affiliated with bacterial phyla including

TABLE 2 | *p*-values for taxa showing significant relative abundance shifts between filter conditions and filtration methods.

Taxa	<i>p</i> -value
Thaumarchaeota	3e ⁻⁶
Bacteroidetes	5.1e ⁻⁵
Deferribacteres	0.001
Alphaproteobacteria	0.01
Deltaproteobacteria	2.1e ⁻⁸
Gammaproteobacteria	0.0004
Planctomycetes	4.1e ⁻⁸
Opisthokonta	0.01
Rhizaria	0.01

Deferribacteres and Bacteroidetes, and archaeal phyla including Euryarchaeota (Figure 5). The differences observed between *in situ* and on-ship indicator OTUs reinforce the effect of size fractionation on microbial community structure and raise important questions about metabolic reconstruction efforts based solely on on-ship filtration methods.

Size-Fractionation Effects on Expressed OTUs Within Specific Populations (rRNA Analyses)

To further evaluate the impact of size fractionation on detection of active microbial groups we compared SSU rRNA:rDNA ratios of OTUs between 0.4 μm filters collected and preserved *in situ* vs. on-ship 0.22 μm filters with in-line 0.4 μm pre-filters. We focused on OTUs exhibiting ratios ≥ 1 as a proxy for cellular activity (Blazewicz et al., 2013). Ratios for Candidate divisions, Desulfobacterales, SUP05, Phycisphaerae, and Halobacteria were highest in 0.4 μm *in situ* filter samples while SAR11, Rhodospirillales, Methylophilales and Burkholderiales within Betaproteobacteria, and Verrucomicrobia and eukaryotic phyla affiliated with Alveolata, Opisthokonta, Rhizaria, and Stramenopiles were highest in on-ship 0.22 μm filter samples with in-line 0.4 μm pre-filtration (Supplementary Figure 5).

We detected OTUs affiliated with SUP05, Marine Group A, SAR11 and SAR324 that showed ratios ≥ 1, with differential expression between *in situ* and on-ship filters. For instance, a total of 4 SUP05 OTUs with ratios ranging from 1 to 2, and 3 SAR324 OTUs with ratios equal to 3 were exclusively detected in 0.4 μm *in situ* filter samples (Figure 6). We also observed six Marine Group A OTUs with ratios equal to 2 in on-ship 0.22 μm filter samples with in-line 0.4 μm pre-filtration and 1 exclusively active *in situ* OTU (Figure 6). Interestingly, we observed eight SAR11 OTUs with ratios ranging from 1 to 3 exclusively in on-ship 0.22 μm filter samples with in-line 0.4 μm pre-filtration (Figure 6). Candidate divisions BCR1 and WS3, Delta- and Gammaproteobacteria, and Planctomycetes OTUs also manifested higher ratios in 0.4 μm *in situ* filter samples than in on-ship samples (Figure 7). These differences showed some depth specificity. For example, we observed BCR1 and WS3 OTUs with high ratio values at 165 m while Desulfovibrionales and Desulfarculales within the Deltaproteobacteria had the highest ratio values at 185 m (Figure 7). Similarly, most

OTUs affiliated with Planctomycetes (Phycisphaerae, OM190, Brocadiales, and Planctomycetales) had the highest ratio values at 185 m (Figure 7). In contrast, Flavobacteriales within Bacteroidetes and Alphaproteobacteria had higher ratio values in on-ship 0.22 μm filter samples with in-line 0.4 μm pre-filtration than 0.4 μm *in situ* sampled at both 165 and 185 m (Figure 7).

DISCUSSION

In this study we used SSU rDNA and rRNA count data generated to determine the effects of collection and filtration methods on microbial community structure and potential activity in the anoxic water column of Saanich Inlet (SI). Observed differences in microbial community structure and potential activity associated with *in situ* versus on-ship size fractionation suggest potential sources of error when linking field processes to microbial agents based on genomic sequence information in isolation. In particular, *in situ* results detected several microbial groups implicated in the sulfur-cycle that are underrepresented in public amplicon and shotgun sequencing data sets. Overall, results from this study provide useful information on how different sampling methods can contribute to bias in experimental outcomes and reinforce the need for more integrated studies based on standardized sampling protocols that increasingly incorporate *in situ* measurements.

Microbial Community Shifts Associated With Filter Type and Volume

For *in situ* sampling we used GFF filters certified with the instrument and the recommended filtration volume in duplicate. For on-ship sampling we adopted standard sampling protocols using filters available to us at the time including 0.4 μm polycarbonate or 2.7 μm GF/D pre-filters in-line with a 0.22 μm Sterivex polycarbonate filter cartridge. While we cannot strictly rule out potential membrane effects on the resulting microbial community composition profiles associated with GFF versus polycarbonate filters at the same size cut-off, a previous study by Djurhuus et al. (2017) suggests a minimal effect based on the nucleic acid extraction methods used. The use of different volumes filtered for a given depth and filter combination was intended to test the significance of volume variation on microbial community composition. We set the maximum volume to be 2 L in order to keep filtering time on ship to a minimum and for samples to be comparable to previous time-series environmental observations. Water volumes between 250 ml and 2 L from the same depth interval and filtration method showed no significant difference in richness based on Friedman block test results.

Microbial Community Shifts Associated With Size Fractionation

Understanding how microorganisms interact within the ocean at different scales is integral to linking microbial food webs to nutrient and energy flow processes (Azam and Malfatti, 2007). Particles play a salient role in structuring microbial community interactions and the interplay between “particle-associated” and “free-living” microbiota creates a dynamic metabolic network





FIGURE 5 | Indicator OTUs for filter groups PPS *in situ* 0.4 μm (red), and MPP on-ship 0.4 μm (green), and 0.22 μm with in-line 0.4 μm prefilters (yellow). The size of dots depicts the total number of indicator OTUs affiliated to specific taxa.



driving biogeochemical transformations (Smith et al., 1992; DeLong et al., 1993; Crump et al., 1999; Simon et al., 2002; Grossart, 2010; Ganesh et al., 2014). Previous observations from OMZ waters implicate particle maxima as hotspots for metabolic coupling (Garfield et al., 1983; Naqvi et al., 1993; Whitmire et al., 2009; Ganesh et al., 2014). However, the definition of “particle-associated” versus “free-living” can sometimes seem arbitrary and the degree to which microorganisms alternate between these two fractions in different water compartments is not firmly established. Typically, anything $> 0.4 \mu\text{m}$ has been considered particle associated (Azam and Malfatti, 2007) although most studies use different combinations of 0.2–1.6 and 2.7 μm cut-offs to concentrate microbial biomass. Here, we compared 0.4 μm *in situ* filtration without a pre-filtration step to on-ship 0.22 μm filtration with in-line 0.4 μm pre-filtration to better evaluate “particle-associated” versus “free-living” fractions.


The core microbial community detected *in situ* versus on-ship was similar to time-series observations in suboxic-anoxic water column compartments (165–185 m) during summer months. However, at a more granular OTU level important differences

in community structure and potential activity for a number of microbial groups were resolved that reinforce and expand on previous size fractionation studies in open ocean OMZs. For example, Padilla et al. (2015) have shown that mode and magnitude of sampling bias depends on filter type and pore size, particle load, and community complexity. Our results expand on these observations indicating that wire time and filtration duration likely impacted richness and particle size, as did potential bottle effects due to settling when processing on-ship samples (Supplementary Figures 3, 4). Several studies have shown that particles can settle in sampling bottles on timescales relevant to on-ship processing (Gardner, 1977; Suter et al., 2016).

With respect to size fractionation, community structure differences were driven by shifts in abundance and activity of many known microorganisms. For example, as observed in the ETNP OMZ, OTUs affiliated with Deferribacteres were enriched in the smaller size fraction ($<0.4 \mu\text{m}$) consistent with an autotrophic lifestyle (Ganesh et al., 2014). Similarly, indicator OTUs affiliated with Bacteroidetes (Bacteroidales and Flavobacteriales), Lentisphaerae, Deltaproteobacteria (Myxococcales and Desulfobacterales), Planctomycetes, and Verrucomicrobia were enriched in the larger size fraction ($>0.4 \mu\text{m}$) as observed in both ETNP and ETSP OMZs, consistent with attachment to sinking aggregates or zooplankton (Crump et al., 1999; Simon et al., 2002; Eloie et al., 2011; Allen et al., 2012; Fuchsman et al., 2012; Ganesh et al., 2014; Padilla et al., 2015). These similarities transcended domain boundaries with eukaryotic phyla including Dinoflagellata (Alveolata), Radiolaria (Rhizaria), and Syndiniales (Stramenopiles) enriched in the 0.4 μm filter samples (Guillou et al., 2008; Duret et al., 2015).

With respect to *in situ* versus bottle collection methods, previous studies have identified changes in community gene expression profiles (Feike et al., 2012; Stewart et al., 2012a) and process rate measurements (Taylor and Doherty, 1990; Stewart et al., 2012a; Taylor et al., 2015; Edgcomb et al., 2016). Here we identified changes in microbial community richness and structure (Figure 4 and Supplementary Figure 4) that potentially explain variance in gene expression or process rates. For example, indicator OTUs detected in *in situ* samples suggests a potential unrecognized role for sulfate-reducers and candidate divisions WS3, OD1, and BRC1 in the SI water column. Previous studies have implicated WS3 and OD1 metabolism in sulfur cycling and methanogen provisioning (Kirkpatrick et al., 2006; Wrighton et al., 2012). Similarly, Desulfobacterales and Desulfovibrionales, are commonly underestimated in abundance in OMZs waters (Suter et al., 2016) as they are more prevalent on particles that likely settle during on-ship processing.

Working in the Cariaco Basin OMZ, Suter et al. (2016) provide a compelling description of bottle settling rates (approximately 12 min for a 1 mm particle to sink into below-spout space of an 8 L Niskin bottle or 18 min for a 12 L bottle) that can result in sampling bias. On-ship processing during the workshop took between 20 and 30 min. Shipboard processing times can often be even longer than this. In addition, wire-time and turbulence associated with sampling moment and vibration (Suter et al., 2016), and filtration across the membrane



(Duret et al., 2015) can impact particle size and stability in bottles, e.g., production of smaller particles derived from larger aggregates. Based on sinking rates estimated in the Cariaco Basin it is possible that observed community structure differences between *in situ* and on-ship samples in SI could be explained by a combination of particle settling and turbulence associated with sample collection and filtration. This could affect how we perceive the microbial metabolic network with respect to transient spatial interactions that are altered or disrupted using on-ship methods.

Implications of Size Fractionation for Inferring Microbial Activity in OMZs

In contrast to only examining rDNA sequences, combining those with analysis of rRNA sequences can provide a robust proxy for past, and present or emerging cellular activities (Blazewicz et al., 2013) that can inform hypotheses related to life strategies and metabolic interactions within microbial communities (Lepp and Schmidt, 1998; Barnard et al., 2013). Here, we considered rRNA:rDNA ratio values ≥ 1 as an indicator for potentially active microbial community members. While, using ratios to infer activity at higher taxonomic levels, e.g., Phylum, Class, Order, can promote inconsistent results (Blazewicz et al., 2013; Ganesh et al., 2015), focusing on the OTU level can identify ecologically relevant populations with the potential to play integral roles in nutrient and energy cycling within the ecosystem under study. For example, SUP05 has been determined to be an abundant member of the SI microbial community comprising between 20 and 30% of total bacteria at 165 and 185 m, respectively (Walsh et al., 2009; Zaikova et al., 2010; Walsh and Hallam, 2011). We detected 85 OTUs affiliated with SUP05 based on rDNA sequences. However, only 4 had rRNA:rDNA ratio values > 1 indicating population level variation in potential activity.

Consistent with previous observations in the ETSP using metatranscriptomic data (Padilla et al., 2015), examination of the taxonomic affiliation of indicator OTUs produced using *in situ* versus on-ship methods identified differences between the active microbial community in samples. Some candidate divisions recovered in *in situ* samples have not been previously well-described in the SI water column based on rDNA sequences due to their low abundance ($< 0.1\%$). Interestingly, the rRNA:rDNA ratios observed for indicator WS3 and BCR1 OTUs (ratios equal to 7 and 2, respectively) were greater than those observed for OTUs affiliated with ubiquitous and abundant taxa, including SUP05. Similar observations were made for OTUs affiliated with Deltaproteobacteria, Chloroflexi, Firmicutes, Lentisphaera, Nitrospina and Marine Group A, reinforcing the idea that multi-omic sequences and process rate measurements sourced from on-ship samples have the potential to underestimate the contribution of some active microbial groups present in the water column. Such groups may be sensitive to settling, turbulence or other factors including oxygen exposure, necessitating *in situ* sampling to reveal their contributions to the metabolic network.

Hawley et al. (2014) used metaproteomics to develop a conceptual model of coupled carbon, nitrogen and sulfur cycling. Louca et al. (2016) incorporated these ideas into a numerical model integrating multi-omic sequence and geochemical information to predict metabolic fluxes and growth

yields under near steady-state conditions. Both conceptual and numerical models were based on interactions between Thaumarchaeota, SAR11, SUP05 and Planctomycetes (Walsh et al., 2009; Zaikova et al., 2010; Walsh and Hallam, 2011; Wright et al., 2012). Although, the metabolic potential of WS3, OD1 and sulfate-reducing Deltaproteobacteria in the SI water column remains to be determined, the potential role of these groups at the nexus of sulfur cycling and methanogenesis (Kirkpatrick et al., 2006) presents an opportunity for new hypothesis development and testing to integrate these groups into prevailing conceptual and numerical models for coupled biogeochemical cycling.

CONCLUSION

As the research community transitions away from descriptive studies of marine microorganisms to more quantitative comparisons at ecosystem scales integrating multi-omic information with process rates and modeling, the need for standards of practice that reduce sampling bias becomes increasingly important.

Currently most microbial community studies use a combination of bottle sampling and filtration to collect biomass for nucleic acid extraction and sequencing. However, the specific details of how samples are collected including wire and bottle time, filter type, and method can have a discernable impact on resulting microbial community composition profiles. This is an important consideration when comparing data sets between studies and when trying to link microbial agents to defined field processes, e.g., denitrification, sulfur oxidation, carbon fixation, etc. Based on our analysis of both *in situ* and on-ship sample collection and processing methods the following practical considerations can be identified. For amplicon-based studies, use a consistent filtration volume between 1 and 2 L and record the precise volume filtered to back calculate nucleic acid yield per unit volume of water collected. Depending on the size fraction you are interested in profiling consult the literature to determine a consensus filter type and method. For cross-scale studies use the same filtration method for all locations. Take advantage of current library production methods that allow for low-input samples, and use the coverage provided by next generation sequencing platforms to sample with depth and replication. When possible, consider using single-cell amplified genome (SAG) approaches when more functional information is required (Stepanaukas, 2015). Samples for SAG sequencing are easy to replicate and do not require more than a 1–2 ml per sample that can be stored for extended periods of time at -80°C (Rinke et al., 2014). Most important, minimize wire time including on-ship bottle sampling duration. Particles are settling as the waters in the bottle rise. Consider inverting the bottle before collecting waters for more even biomass sampling or filtering samples directly in the water column.

In situ sampling approaches have the potential to limit many biases by providing a more authentic representation of microbial activity than on-ship sampling methods. Several promising devices such as the PPS (Edgcomb et al., 2016), Environmental Sample Processor (ESP) (Jones et al., 2008; Preston et al., 2009;

Ottesen et al., 2011; Robidart et al., 2014), Automatic Flow Injection Sampler (AFIS) (Feike et al., 2012), and the autonomous vertical sampling vehicle Clio (Jakuba et al., 2014) have been developed with the potential to support *in situ* sampling and direct fixation of samples under a variety of operational scenarios. For example, recent studies with the ESP have enabled dynamic intermittent sampling during light dark cycles in surface waters revealing conserved patterns of gene expression on ocean basin scales (Ottesen et al., 2014; Aylward et al., 2015). Although community adoption of these new technologies remains in early stages due in part to accessibility, price point, and operating constraints, these devices and their “descendants” likely reflect the future of microbial sampling in the ocean given their autonomous and programmable designs extensible to time series or event response monitoring. Looking forward, we recommend replicated studies of different *in situ* sampling technologies that incorporate multi-omic sequencing and process rate measurements focused on coupled carbon, nitrogen, and sulfur cycling in coastal and open ocean OMZs.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

MT-B collected and processed samples, analyzed data, and wrote the manuscript under SH supervision. AM and MS collected and processed samples and assisted data analysis. MP, CT, and VE collected and processed PPS samples. KT processed samples for sequencing. CM collected and processed samples. PL, OU, J-HH, KJ, VE, SC, and SH organized the SCOR 144 working group workshop, collected and processed samples, and provided input for data analysis and manuscript development.

FUNDING

This work was performed under the auspices of the Scientific Committee on Oceanographic Research (SCOR), the United States Department of Energy (DOE) Joint Genome Institute, an Office of Science User Facility, supported by the Office of Science of the United States Department of Energy under Contract DE-AC02-05CH11231, the G. Unger Vetlesen

REFERENCES

- Allen, L. Z., Allen, E. E., Badger, J. H., Mccrow, J. P., Paulsen, I. T., Elbourne, L. D. H., et al. (2012). Influence of nutrients and currents on the genomic composition of microbes across an upwelling mosaic. *ISME J.* 6, 1403–1414. doi: 10.1038/ismej.2011.201
- Allers, E., Wright, J. J., Konwar, K. M., Howes, C. G., Beneze, E., Hallam, S. J., et al. (2013). Diversity and population structure of marine group A bacteria in the Northeast subarctic Pacific Ocean. *ISME J.* 7, 256–268. doi: 10.1038/ismej.2012.108
- Altschul, S. F., Gish, W., Miller, W., Myers, E. W., and Lipman, D. J. (1990). Basic local alignment search tool. *J. Mol. Biol.* 215, 403–410. doi: 10.1016/S0022-2836(05)80360-2
- Anderson, J. J., and Devol, A. H. (1973). Deep water renewal in Saanich Inlet, an intermittently anoxic basin. *Estuar. Coast. Mar. Sci.* 1, 1–10. doi: 10.1016/0302-3524(73)90052-2

and Ambrose Monell Foundations, the Tula Foundation-funded Centre for Microbial Diversity and Evolution, the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada, Genome British Columbia, the Canada Foundation for Innovation, and the Canadian Institute for Advanced Research through grants awarded to SH. McLane Research Laboratories and Connie Lovejoy contributed access to instrumentation for field work. Ship time support was provided by NSERC between 2007 and 2014 through grants awarded to SC, SH and Philippe Tortell MT-B was funded by Consejo Nacional de Ciencia y Tecnología (CONACyT) and the Tula Foundation.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank Captain Ken Brown and his crew for their engaged effort on every cruise aboard the RSV Strickland. We also thank past and present members of the Hallam, Crowe and Tortell labs and the many undergraduate trainees, aka minions, for contributions to cruise preparation and clean up, water filtration, DNA extraction and QC. We thank our sea-going technicians at UBC, Chris Payne and Larisa Pakhomova for their contributions to cruise preparation and sample analyses. Special thanks to Ed Urban at SCOR for administrative support and guidance and to the entire SCOR Working Group 144: Bess Ward (Chair), Sean Crowe, Virginia Edgcomb, Vernonique Garcon, Steven Hallam, KJ, Elsabe Julies, Phyllis Lam, Nagappa Ramaiah, Matthew Sullivan, OU and associate members: Mark Altabet, Annie Bourbonnais, Karen Casciotti, Francis Chan, David Conley, Robinson (Wally) Fulweiler, J-HH, David Karl, John Kaye, Konstantinos Kormas, SWA Naqvi, Nancy Rabalais, Mak Saito, Frank Stewart, RV-S, Jennifer Brum, and Jody Wright for their efforts to develop a community of practice for OMZ research.

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: <https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fmars.2019.00132/full#supplementary-material>

- Arrigo, K. R. (2005). Marine microorganisms and global nutrient cycles. *Nature* 437, 349–355. doi: 10.1038/nature04159
- Aylward, F. O., Eppley, J. M., Smith, J. M., Chavez, F. P., Scholin, C. A., and Delong, E. F. (2015). Microbial community transcriptional networks are conserved in three domains at ocean basin scales. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 112:5443. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1502883112
- Azam, F., and Malfatti, F. (2007). Microbial structuring of marine ecosystems. *Nat. Rev. Microbiol.* 5, 966–966. doi: 10.1038/nrmicro1798
- Barnard, R. L., Osborne, C. A., and Firestone, M. K. (2013). Responses of soil bacterial and fungal communities to extreme desiccation and rewetting. *ISME J.* 7, 2229–2241. doi: 10.1038/ismej.2013.104
- Bijma, J., Pörtner, H.-O., Yesson, C., and Rogers, A. D. (2013). Climate change and the oceans – what does the future hold? *Mar. Pollut. Bull.* 74, 495–505. doi: 10.1016/j.marpolbul.2013.07.022
- Blazewicz, S. J., Barnard, R. L., Daly, R. A., and Firestone, M. K. (2013). Evaluating rRNA as an indicator of microbial activity in environmental communities: limitations and uses. *ISME J.* 7, 2061–2068. doi: 10.1038/ismej.2013.102

- Brown, M. V., and Donachie, S. P. (2007). Evidence for tropical endemicity in the Deltaproteobacteria marine group B/SAR324 bacterioplankton clade. *Aquat. Microb. Ecol.* 46, 107–115. doi: 10.3354/ame046107
- Canfield, D. E., Stewart, F. J., Thamdrup, B., De Brabandere, L., Dalsgaard, T., Delong, E. F., et al. (2010). A cryptic sulfur cycle in oxygen-minimum-zone waters off the Chilean coast. *Science* 330, 1375–1378. doi: 10.1126/science.1196889
- Caporaso, J. G., Kuczynski, J., Stombaugh, J., Bittinger, K., Bushman, F. D., Costello, E. K., et al. (2010). QIIME allows analysis of high-throughput community sequencing data. *Nat. Methods* 7, 335–336. doi: 10.1038/nmeth.f.303
- Carter, N. M. (1932). The oceanography of the fjords of southern British Columbia. *Fish. Res. Bd. Canada Prog. Rept. Pacific Coast. Sta.* 12, 7–11.
- Carter, N. M. (1934). Physiography and oceanography of some british columbia fjords. *Proc. Fifth Pacific Sci. Cong.* 1, 721–733.
- Cram, J. A., Xia, L. C., Needham, D. M., Sachdeva, R., Sun, F., and Fuhrman, J. A. (2015). Cross-depth analysis of marine bacterial networks suggests downward propagation of temporal changes. *ISME J.* 9, 2573–2586. doi: 10.1038/ismej.2015.76
- Crump, B. C., Armbrust, E. V., and Baross, J. A. (1999). Phylogenetic analysis of particle-attached and free-living bacterial communities in the columbia river, its estuary, and the adjacent coastal Ocean. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 65, 3192–3204.
- De Caceres, M., and Legendre, P. (2009). Associations between species and groups of sites: indices and statistical inference. *Ecology* 90, 3566–3574. doi: 10.1890/08-1823.1
- DeLong, E. F., Franks, D. G., and Alldredge, A. L. (1993). Phylogenetic diversity of aggregate-attached vs. free-living marine bacterial assemblages. *Limnol. Oceanogr.* 38, 924–934. doi: 10.4319/lo.1993.38.5.0924
- Diaz, R. J., and Rosenberg, R. (2008). Spreading dead zones and consequences for marine ecosystems. *Science* 321, 926–929. doi: 10.1126/science.1156401
- Djurhuus, A., Port, J., Closek, C. J., Yamahara, K. M., Romero-Maraccini, O., Walz, K. R., et al. (2017). Evaluation of filtration and DNA extraction methods for environmental DNA biodiversity assessments across multiple trophic levels. *Front. Mar. Sci.* 4:314. doi: 10.3389/fmars.2017.00314
- Duret, M. T., Pachiadaki, M. G., Stewart, F. J., Sarode, N., Christaki, U., Monchy, S., et al. (2015). Size-fractionated diversity of eukaryotic microbial communities in the Eastern Tropical North Pacific oxygen minimum zone. *FEMS Microbiol. Ecol.* 91:fiv037. doi: 10.1093/femsec/fiv037
- Edgcomb, V. P., Taylor, C., Pachiadaki, M. G., Honjo, S., Engstrom, I., and Yakimov, M. (2016). Comparison of Niskin vs. in situ approaches for analysis of gene expression in deep Mediterranean Sea water samples. *Deep Sea Res. Part II Top. Stud. Oceanogr.* 129, 213–222. doi: 10.1016/j.dsr2.2014.10.020
- Eloe, E. A., Shulse, C. N., Fadrosch, D. W., Williamson, S. J., Allen, E. E., and Bartlett, D. H. (2011). Compositional differences in particle-associated and free-living microbial assemblages from an extreme deep-ocean environment. *Environ. Microbiol. Rep.* 3, 449–458. doi: 10.1111/j.1758-2229.2010.00223.x
- Feike, J., Jurgens, K., Hollibaugh, J. T., Kruger, S., Jost, G., and Labrenz, M. (2012). Measuring unbiased metatranscriptomics in suboxic waters of the central Baltic Sea using a new in situ fixation system. *ISME J.* 6, 461–470. doi: 10.1038/ismej.2011.94
- Field, K. G., Gordon, D., Wright, T., Rappé, M., Urback, E., Vergin, K., et al. (1997). Diversity and depth-specific distribution of SAR11 cluster rRNA genes from marine planktonic bacteria. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 63, 63–70.
- Frias-Lopez, J., Shi, Y., Tyson, G. W., Coleman, M. L., Schuster, S. C., Chisholm, S. W., et al. (2008). Microbial community gene expression in ocean surface waters. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 105, 3805–3810. doi: 10.1073/pnas.0708897105
- Fuchsmann, C. A., Staley, J. T., Oakley, B. B., Kirkpatrick, J. B., and Murray, J. W. (2012). Free-living and aggregate-associated Planctomycetes in the Black Sea. *FEMS Microbiol. Ecol.* 80, 402–416. doi: 10.1111/j.1574-6941.2012.01306.x
- Fuhrman, J. A., and Davis, A. A. (1997). Widespread Archaea and novel bacteria from the deep sea as shown by 16S rRNA gene sequences. *Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser.* 150, 275–285. doi: 10.3354/meps150275
- Gallo, N. D., and Levin, L. A. (2016). “Chapter three - Fish ecology and evolution in the world's oxygen minimum zones and implications of ocean deoxygenation,” in *Advances in Marine Biology*, ed. E. C. Barbara (Cambridge, MA: Academic Press), 117–198.
- Ganesh, S., Bristow, L. A., Larsen, M., Sarode, N., Thamdrup, B., and Stewart, F. J. (2015). Size-fraction partitioning of community gene transcription and nitrogen metabolism in a marine oxygen minimum zone. *ISME J.* 9, 2682–2696. doi: 10.1038/ismej.2015.44
- Ganesh, S., Parris, D. J., Delong, E. F., and Stewart, F. J. (2014). Metagenomic analysis of size-fractionated picoplankton in a marine oxygen minimum zone. *ISME J.* 8, 187–211. doi: 10.1038/ismej.2013.144
- Gardner, W. D. (1977). Incomplete extraction of rapidly settling particles from water samplers I. *Limnol. Oceanogr.* 22, 764–768. doi: 10.4319/lo.1977.22.4.0764
- Garfield, P. C., Packard, T. T., Friederich, G. E., and Codispoti, L. A. (1983). A subsurface particle maximum layer and enhanced microbial activity in the secondary nitrite maximum of the northeastern tropical Pacific Ocean. *J. Mar. Res.* 41, 747–768. doi: 10.1357/00224083788520496
- Grossart, H.-P. (2010). Ecological consequences of bacterioplankton lifestyles: changes in concepts are needed. *Environ. Microbiol. Rep.* 2, 706–714. doi: 10.1111/j.1758-2229.2010.00179.x
- Gruber, N. (2011). Warming up, turning sour, losing breath: ocean biogeochemistry under global change. *Philos. Trans. A Math. Phys. Eng. Sci.* 369:1980–1996. doi: 10.1098/rsta.2011.0003
- Guillou, L., Viprey, M., Chambouvet, A., Welsh, R., Kirkham, A., Massana, R., et al. (2008). Widespread occurrence and genetic diversity of marine parasitoids belonging to Syndiniales (Alveolata). *Environ. Microbiol.* 10, 3349–3365. doi: 10.1111/j.1462-2920.2008.01731.x
- Hawley, A. K., Brewer, H. M., Norbeck, A. D., Paša-Tolić, L., and Hallam, S. J. (2014). Metaproteomics reveals differential modes of metabolic coupling among ubiquitous oxygen minimum zone microbes. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 111, 11395–11400. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1322132111
- Hawley, A. K., Torres-Beltrán, M., Zaikova, E., Walsh, D. A., Mueller, A., Scofield, M., et al. (2017). A compendium of multi-omic sequence information from the Saanich Inlet water column. *Sci. Data* 4:170160. doi: 10.1038/sdata.2017.160
- Herlinveaux, R. H. (1962). Oceanography of Saanich Inlet in Vancouver Island, British Columbia. *J. Fish. Res. Board Can.* 19, 1–37. doi: 10.1139/f62-001
- Jakuba, M., Gomez-Ibanez, D., Saito, M. A., Dick, G., and Breier, J. A. Jr. (2014). *Clio: An Autonomous Vertical Sampling Vehicle for Global Ocean Biogeochemical Mapping*. Washington, DC: American Geophysical Union.
- Jones, W. J., Preston, C. M., Marin Iii, R., Scholin, C. A., and Vrijenhoek, R. C. (2008). A robotic molecular method for in situ detection of marine invertebrate larvae. *Mol. Ecol. Resour.* 8, 540–550. doi: 10.1111/j.1471-8286.2007.02021.x
- Kassambara, A. (2017). *ggpubr: 'ggplot2' Based Publication Ready Plots. R Package Version 0.1.5*. Available at: <https://rdr.io/cran/ggpubr/>.
- Keeling, R. E., Kortzinger, A., and Gruber, N. (2010). Ocean deoxygenation in a warming world. *Ann. Rev. Mar. Sci.* 2, 199–229. doi: 10.1146/annurev.marine.010908.163855
- Kirkpatrick, J., Oakley, B., Fuchsmann, C., Srinivasan, S., Staley, J. T., and Murray, J. W. (2006). Diversity and distribution of planctomycetes and related bacteria in the suboxic zone of the black sea. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 72, 3079–3083. doi: 10.1128/AEM.72.4.3079-3083.2006
- Lam, P., and Kuypers, M. M. M. (2011). Microbial nitrogen cycling processes in oxygen minimum zones. *Annu. Rev. Mar. Sci.* 3, 317–345. doi: 10.1146/annurev-marine-120709-142814
- Lam, P., Lavik, G., Jensen, M. M., Van De Vossenberg, J., Schmid, M., Woebken, D., et al. (2009). Revising the nitrogen cycle in the Peruvian oxygen minimum zone. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 106, 4752–4757. doi: 10.1073/pnas.0812444106
- Lepp, P. W., and Schmidt, T. M. (1998). Nucleic acid content of *Synechococcus* spp. during growth in continuous light and light/dark cycles. *Arch. Microbiol.* 170, 201–207. doi: 10.1007/s002030050634
- Levin, L. A., and Breitburg, D. L. (2015). Linking coasts and seas to address ocean deoxygenation. *Nat. Clim. Change* 5, 401–403. doi: 10.1038/nclimate2595
- Louca, S., Hawley, A. K., Katsev, S., Torres-Beltrán, M., Bhatia, M. P., Kheirandish, S., et al. (2016). Integrating biogeochemistry with multiomic sequence information in a model oxygen minimum zone. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 113, E5925–E5933. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1602897113
- Naqvi, S. W. A., Bange, H. W., Farias, L., Monteiro, P. M. S., Scranton, M. I., and Zhang, J. (2010). Marine hypoxia/anoxia as a source of CH₄ and N₂O. *Biogeochemistry* 7, 2159–2190. doi: 10.5194/bg-7-2159-2010
- Naqvi, S. W. A., Kumar, M. D., Narvekar, P. V., De Sousa, S. N., George, M. D., and D'silva, C. (1993). An intermediate nepheloid layer associated with high

- microbial metabolic rates and denitrification in the northwest Indian Ocean. *J. Geophys. Res.* 98, 16469–16479. doi: 10.1029/93JC00973
- Oksanen, J., Blanchet, F. G., Kindt, R., Legendre, P., Minchin, P. R., O'hara, R. B., et al. (2015). *vegan: Community Ecology Package*. Available at: <http://CRAN.R-project.org/package=vegan> R package version 2.3-1
- Ottesen, E. A., Marin, R., Preston, C. M., Young, C. R., Ryan, J. P., Scholin, C. A., et al. (2011). Metatranscriptomic analysis of autonomously collected and preserved marine bacterioplankton. *ISME J.* 5, 1881–1895. doi: 10.1038/ismej.2011.70
- Ottesen, E. A., Young, C. R., Gifford, S. M., Eppley, J. M., Marin, R., Schuster, S. C., et al. (2014). Multispecies diel transcriptional oscillations in open ocean heterotrophic bacterial assemblages. *Science* 345:207. doi: 10.1126/science.1252476
- Padilla, C. C., Ganesh, S., Gantt, S., Huhman, A., Parris, D. J., Sarode, N., et al. (2015). Standard filtration practices may significantly distort planktonic microbial diversity estimates. *Front. Microbiol.* 6:547. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2015.00547
- Paulmier, A., and Ruiz-Pino, D. (2009). Oxygen minimum zones (OMZs) in the modern ocean. *Prog. Oceanogr.* 80, 113–128. doi: 10.1016/j.pocean.2008.08.001
- Preston, C. M., Marin, R., Jensen, S. D., Feldman, J., Birch, J. M., Massion, E. I., et al. (2009). Near real-time, autonomous detection of marine bacterioplankton on a coastal mooring in Monterey Bay, California, using rRNA-targeted DNA probes. *Environ. Microbiol.* 11, 1168–1180. doi: 10.1111/j.1462-2920.2009.01848.x
- R Core Team (2013). *R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing*. Vienna: R Foundation for Statistical Computing.
- Rinke, C., Lee, J., Nath, N., Goudeau, D., Thompson, B., Poulton, N., et al. (2014). Obtaining genomes from uncultivated environmental microorganisms using FACS-based single-cell genomics. *Nat. Protoc.* 9:1038. doi: 10.1038/nprot.2014.067
- Robidart, J. C., Church, M. J., Ryan, J. P., Ascani, F., Wilson, S. T., Bombar, D., et al. (2014). Ecogenomic sensor reveals controls on N₂-fixing microorganisms in the North Pacific Ocean. *ISME J.* 8:1175. doi: 10.1038/ismej.2013.244
- Schmidtko, S., Stramma, L., and Visbeck, M. (2017). Decline in global oceanic oxygen content during the past five decades. *Nature* 542, 335–339. doi: 10.1038/nature21399
- Shi, Y., Tyson, G. W., and Delong, E. F. (2009). Metatranscriptomics reveals unique microbial small RNAs in the ocean's water column. *Nature* 459, 266–269. doi: 10.1038/nature08055
- Simon, M., Grossart, H.-P., Schweitzer, B., and Ploug, H. (2002). Microbial ecology of organic aggregates in aquatic ecosystems. *Aquat. Microb. Ecol.* 28, 175–211. doi: 10.3354/ame028175
- Smith, D. C., Simon, M., Alldredge, A. L., and Azam, F. (1992). Intense hydrolytic enzyme activity on marine aggregates and implications for rapid particle dissolution. *Nature* 359, 139–142. doi: 10.1038/359139a0
- Stepanuskas, R. (2015). Wiretapping into microbial interactions by single cell genomics. *Front. Microbiol.* 6:258. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2015.00258
- Stewart, F. J., Dalsgaard, T., Young, C. R., Thamdrup, B., Revsbech, N. P., Ulloa, O., et al. (2012a). Experimental incubations elicit profound changes in community transcription in OMZ bacterioplankton. *PLoS One* 7:e37118. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0037118
- Stewart, F. J., Ulloa, O., and Delong, E. F. (2012b). Microbial metatranscriptomics in a permanent marine oxygen minimum zone. *Environ. Microbiol.* 14, 23–40. doi: 10.1111/j.1462-2920.2010.02400.x
- Stewart, F. J., Ottesen, E. A., and Delong, E. F. (2010). Development and quantitative analyses of a universal rRNA-subtraction protocol for microbial metatranscriptomics. *ISME J.* 4, 896–907. doi: 10.1038/ismej.2010.18
- Stramma, L., Johnson, G. C., Sprintall, J., and Mohrholz, V. (2008). Expanding oxygen-minimum zones in the tropical Oceans. *Science* 320:655. doi: 10.1126/science.1153847
- Stramma, L., Schmidtko, S., Levin, L. A., and Johnson, G. C. (2010). Ocean oxygen minima expansions and their biological impacts. *Deep Sea Res. Part I Oceanogr. Res. Pap.* 57, 587–595. doi: 10.1016/j.dsr.2010.01.005
- Suter, E. A., Scranton, M. I., Chow, S., Stinton, D., Medina Faull, L., and Taylor, G. T. (2016). Niskin bottle sample collection aliases microbial community composition and biogeochemical interpretation. *Limnol. Oceanogr.* 62, 606–617. doi: 10.1002/lno.10447
- Suzuki, R., and Shimodaira, H. (2015). *pvclust: Hierarchical Clustering with P-Values via Multiscale Bootstrap Resampling. R Package Version 2.0-0*. Available at: <http://CRAN.R-project.org/package=pvclust>
- Taylor, C. D., and Doherty, K. W. (1990). Submersible Incubation Device (SID), autonomous instrumentation for the in situ measurement of primary production and other microbial rate processes. *Deep Sea Res. Part A Oceanogr. Res. Pap.* 37, 343–358. doi: 10.1016/0198-0149(90)90132-F
- Taylor, C. D., Edgcomb, V. P., Doherty, K. W., Engstrom, I., Shanahan, T., Pachiadaki, M. G., et al. (2015). Fixation filter, device for the rapid in situ preservation of particulate samples. *Deep Sea Res. Part A Oceanogr. Res. Pap.* 96, 69–79. doi: 10.1016/j.dsr.2014.09.006
- Torres-Beltrán, M., Hawley, A., Capelle, D., Bhatia, M., Durno, E., Tortell, P., et al. (2016). Methanotrophic community dynamics in a seasonally anoxic fjord: saanich inlet, British Columbia. *Front. Mar. Sci.* 3:268. doi: 10.3389/fmars.2016.00268
- Torres-Beltrán, M., Hawley, A. K., Capelle, D., Zaikova, E., Walsh, D. A., Mueller, A., et al. (2017). A compendium of geochemical information from the Saanich Inlet water column. *Sci. Data* 4:170159. doi: 10.1038/sdata.2017.159
- Tripp, H. J., Kitner, J. B., Schwabach, M. S., Dacey, J. W. H., Wilhelm, L. J., and Giovannoni, S. J. (2008). SAR11 marine bacteria require exogenous reduced sulphur for growth. *Nature* 452, 741–744. doi: 10.1038/nature06776
- Venables, W. N., and Ripley, B. D. (2002). *Modern Applied Statistics with S*, 4th Edn. New York, NY: Springer. doi: 10.1007/978-0-387-21706-2
- Walsh, D. A., and Hallam, S. J. (2011). “Bacterial Community Structure and Dynamics in a seasonally anoxic fjord: Saanich Inlet, British Columbia,” in *Handbook of Molecular Microbial Ecology II: Metagenomics in Different Habitats*, ed. F. J. De Bruijn (Hoboken, NJ: Wiley-Blackwell), 253–267.
- Walsh, D. A., Zaikova, E., Howes, C. G., Song, Y. C., Wright, J. J., Tringe, S. G., et al. (2009). Metagenome of a versatile chemolithoautotroph from expanding oceanic dead zones. *Science* 326, 578–582. doi: 10.1126/science.1175309
- Ward, B. B., Devol, A. H., Rich, J. J., Chang, B. X., Bulow, S. E., Naik, H., et al. (2009). Denitrification as the dominant nitrogen loss process in the Arabian Sea. *Nature* 461, 78–81. doi: 10.1038/nature08276
- Whitmire, A. L., Letelier, R. M., Villagrán, V., and Ulloa, O. (2009). Autonomous observations of in vivo fluorescence and particle backscattering in an oceanic oxygen minimum zone. *Opt. Express* 17, 21992–22004. doi: 10.1364/OE.17.021992
- Whitney, F. A., Freeland, H. J., and Robert, M. (2007). Persistently declining oxygen levels in the interior waters of the eastern subarctic Pacific. *Prog. Oceanogr.* 75, 179–199. doi: 10.1016/j.pocean.2007.08.007
- Wickham, H. (2009). *ggplot2: Elegant Graphics for Data Analysis*. New York, NY: Springer-Verlag. doi: 10.1007/978-0-387-98141-3
- Wright, J. J., Konwar, K. M., and Hallam, S. J. (2012). Microbial ecology of expanding oxygen minimum zones. *Nat. Rev. Microbiol.* 10, 381–394. doi: 10.1038/nrmicro2778
- Wrighton, K. C., Thomas, B. C., Sharon, I., Miller, C. S., Castelle, C. J., Verberkmoes, N. C., et al. (2012). Fermentation, hydrogen, and sulfur metabolism in multiple uncultivated bacterial phyla. *Science* 337:1661. doi: 10.1126/science.1224041
- Zaikova, E., Walsh, D. A., Stilwell, C. P., Mohn, W. W., Tortell, P. D., and Hallam, S. J. (2010). Microbial community dynamics in a seasonally anoxic fjord: Saanich Inlet, British Columbia. *Environ. Microbiol.* 12, 172–191. doi: 10.1111/j.1462-2920.2009.02058.x

Conflict of Interest Statement: The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Copyright © 2019 Torres-Beltrán, Mueller, Scofield, Pachiadaki, Taylor, Tyshchenko, Michiels, Lam, Ulloa, Jürgens, Hyun, Edgcomb, Crowe and Hallam. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.