

**$\alpha$ -TOPOLOGICAL VECTOR SPACES**

Hariwan Zikri Ibrahim

Dept. of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, University of Zakho, Zakho, Kurdistan Region, Iraq - hariwan.ibrahim@uoz.edu.krd

*Received: Sept. 2016 / Accepted: Dec. 2016 / Published: Mar. 2017***ABSTRACT:**

The main objective of this paper is to present the study of  $\alpha$ -topological vector spaces.  $\alpha$ -topological vector spaces are defined by using  $\alpha$ -open sets and  $\alpha$ -irresolute mappings. Notions of convex, balanced and bounded set are introduced and studied for  $\alpha$ -topological vector spaces. Along with other results, it is proved that every  $\alpha$ -open subspace of an  $\alpha$ -topological vector space is an  $\alpha$ -topological vector space. A homomorphism between  $\alpha$ -topological vector spaces is  $\alpha$ -irresolute if it is  $\alpha$ -irresolute at the identity element. In  $\alpha$ -topological vector spaces, the scalar multiple of  $\alpha$ -compact set is  $\alpha$ -compact and  $\alpha Cl(C)$  as well as  $\alpha Int(C)$  is convex if  $C$  is convex. And also, in  $\alpha$ -topological vector spaces,  $\alpha Cl(E)$  is balanced (resp. bounded) if  $E$  is balanced (resp. bounded), but  $\alpha Int(E)$  is balanced if  $E$  is balanced and  $0 \in \alpha Int(E)$ .

**KEYWORDS:**  $\alpha$ -Topological vector space,  $\alpha$ -open set,  $\alpha$ -irresolute mapping, left (right) translation,  $\alpha$ -homeomorphism.

**1. INTRODCUTION**

Topology is an umbrella term that includes several fields of study including point set topology, algebraic topology, and differential topology. Because of this it is difficult to credit a single mathematician with introducing topology. In 1965, Njastad initiated and explored a new class of generalized open sets in a topological space called  $\alpha$ -open sets and proved that the collection of all  $\alpha$ -open sets in  $(X, \tau)$  is a topology on  $X$ , finer than  $\tau$ . If a set is endowed with algebraic and topological structures, then it is always fascinating to probe relationship between these two structures. The most formal way for such a study is to require algebraic operations to be continuous. This is the case we are investigating here for algebraic and topological structures on a set  $X$ , where algebraic operations (addition and scalar multiplication mappings) fail to be continuous. We join these two structures through weaker form of continuity.

A topological vector space (A. Grothendieck, and A. P. Robertson and W. J. Robertson) is a basic structure in topology in which a vector space  $X$  over a topological field  $F$  ( $R$  or  $C$ ) is endowed with a topology  $\tau$  such that:

- (1) The vector addition mapping  $m : X \times X \rightarrow X$  defined by  $m((x, y)) = x + y$ , and
- (2) Scalar multiplication mapping  $M : F \times X \rightarrow X$  defined by  $M((\lambda, x)) = \lambda \cdot x$  for all  $\lambda \in F$  and  $x, y \in X$  are continuous with respect to  $\tau$ . Equivalently,  $(X(F), \tau)$  is a topological vector space if:

- (1) For each  $x, y \in X$  and for each open neighbourhood  $W$  of  $x + y$  in  $X$ , there exist open neighbourhoods  $U$  of  $x$  and  $V$  of  $y$  in  $X$  such that  $U + V \subseteq W$ , and
- (2) For each  $x \in X, \lambda \in F$  and for each open neighbourhood  $W$  in  $X$  containing  $\lambda \cdot x$ , there exist open neighbourhoods  $U$  of  $\lambda$  in  $F$  and  $V$  of  $x$  in  $X$  such that  $U \cdot V \subseteq W$ .

In this paper, several new facts concerning topologies of  $\alpha$ -topological vector spaces are established.

**2. PRELIMINARIES**

Throughout in this paper  $X$  and  $Y$  are always topological spaces with no separation axioms considered until otherwise mentioned. If  $A \subseteq X$ , then  $Cl(A)$  and  $Int(A)$  denote the closure and interior of  $A$  in  $(X, \tau)$ , respectively. A subset  $A$  of a topological space  $(X, \tau)$  is called  $\alpha$ -open [O. Njastad] if  $A \subseteq Int(Cl(Int(A)))$ . The complement of an  $\alpha$ -open set is called an  $\alpha$ -closed set. A subset  $A$  of a space  $X$  is called semi-open [N. Levine] if  $A \subseteq Cl(Int(A))$ . The complement of semi-open set is called semi-closed set. The intersection of all  $\alpha$ -closed sets containing  $A$  is called the  $\alpha$ -closure of  $A$  and is denoted by  $\alpha Cl(A)$ . The  $\alpha$ -interior of  $A$  is defined as the union of all  $\alpha$ -open sets contained in  $A$  and is denoted by  $\alpha Int(A)$ . The family of all  $\alpha$ -open (resp.  $\alpha$ -closed) subsets of  $X$  is denoted by  $\alpha O(X)$  (resp.  $\alpha C(X)$ ). For each  $x \in X$ , the family of all  $\alpha$ -open sets containing  $x$  is denoted by  $\alpha O(X, x)$ . It is known that  $x \in \alpha Cl(A)$  if and only if, for any  $\alpha$ -open set  $U$  containing  $x$ ,  $U \cap A$  is non-empty.

If  $X(F)$  is a vector space, then  $0$  denotes its identity element, and for a fixed  $x \in X$ ,  $T_x : X \rightarrow X; y \rightarrow x + y$  and  $T_x : X \rightarrow X; y \rightarrow y + x$ , denote the left and the right translation by  $x$ , respectively. And, for every  $0 \neq \lambda \in F$ ,  $M_\lambda : X \rightarrow X; y \rightarrow \lambda \cdot y$ , denote multiplication operator.

**Definition 2.1** (D. Jangkovic) A space  $X$  is said to be  $\alpha$ -compact if every  $\alpha$ -open cover of  $X$  has a finite subcover.

**Definition 2.2** (M. Khan and B. Ahmad) A space  $X$  is said to be  $P$ -regular, if for each semi-closed set  $F$  and  $x \notin F$ , there exist disjoint open sets  $U$  and  $V$  such that  $x \in U$  and  $F \subseteq V$ .

**Definition 2.3** (S. N. Maheshwari and S. S. Thakur) A space  $X$  is said to be  $\alpha$ - $T_2$ , if for any two distinct points  $x, y \in X$ , there exist two  $\alpha$ -open sets  $U$  and  $V$  containing  $x$  and  $y$ , respectively, such that  $U \cap V = \emptyset$ .

**Definition 2.4** (S. N. Maheshwari and S. S. Thakur) A function  $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$  is said to be  $\alpha$ -irresolute, if the inverse image of every  $\alpha$ -open set in  $Y$  is an  $\alpha$ -open set in  $X$ .

### 3. $\alpha$ -TOPOLOGICAL VECTOR SPACES

We denote by  $F$  a scalar field. In practice this is either  $\mathbb{R}$  or  $\mathbb{C}$ , the set of real or complex numbers.

**Definition 3.1** Let  $X$  be a vector space. The pair  $(X_{(F)}, \tau)$  is said to be an  $\alpha$ -topological vector space over the field  $F$  ( $\mathbb{R}$  or  $\mathbb{C}$ ) with a topology  $\tau$  defined on  $X_{(F)}$  and standard topology on  $F$  if the following two conditions are satisfied:

- (1) For each  $x, y \in X$  and for each  $\alpha$ -open set  $W$  of  $X$  containing  $x + y$ , there exist  $\alpha$ -open sets  $U$  and  $V$  in  $X$  containing  $x$  and  $y$  respectively, such that  $U + V \subseteq W$ .
- (2) For each  $x \in X, \lambda \in F$  and for each  $\alpha$ -open set  $W$  of  $X$  containing  $\lambda \cdot x$ , there exist  $\alpha$ -open sets  $U$  in  $F$  containing  $\lambda$  and  $V$  in  $X$  containing  $x$ , such that  $U \cdot V \subseteq W$ .

**Remark 3.2** Every vector space  $X$  over  $F$  endowed with the trivial topology is an  $\alpha$ -topological vector spaces.

**Theorem 3.3** In  $\alpha$ -topological vector spaces  $(X_{(F)}, \tau)$ , for any  $\alpha$ -open set  $U$  containing  $0$ , there exists an  $\alpha$ -open set  $V$  containing  $0$  such that  $V + V \subseteq U$ .

**Proof.** Let  $U$  be any  $\alpha$ -open set such that  $0 = 0 + 0 \in U$ . Since  $(X_{(F)}, \tau)$  is  $\alpha$ -topological vector spaces, then there are  $\alpha$ -open sets  $A$  and  $B$  with  $0 \in A, 0 \in B$  and  $A + B \subseteq U$ . Let  $V = A \cap B$ , then  $V$  is  $\alpha$ -open,  $0 \in V$  and  $V + V \subseteq A + B \subseteq U$ .

**Theorem 3.4** If  $(X_{(F)}, \tau)$  is an  $\alpha$ -topological vector space. Then:

- (1) The (left) right translation  $T_x: X \rightarrow X$  defined by  $T_x(y) = y + x$ , for all  $x, y \in X$  is  $\alpha$ -irresolute.
- (2) The translation  $M_\lambda: X \rightarrow X$  defined by  $M_\lambda(x) = \lambda \cdot x$ , for all  $x \in X$  is  $\alpha$ -irresolute.

**Proof.** (1) Let  $W$  be an  $\alpha$ -open set containing  $T_x(y) = y + x$ . Then by definition, there exist  $\alpha$ -open sets  $U$  and  $V$  in  $X$  containing  $y$  and  $x$  respectively, such that  $U + V \subseteq W$ . So,  $T_x(U) = U + x \subseteq U + V \subseteq W$ . This proves that,  $T_x: X \rightarrow X$  is  $\alpha$ -irresolute mapping.

(2) Let  $x \in X, \lambda \in F$ , then  $M_\lambda(x) = \lambda \cdot x$ . Let  $W$  be any  $\alpha$ -open set of  $X$  containing  $\lambda \cdot x$ , then by definition, there exist  $\alpha$ -open sets  $U$  in  $F$  containing  $\lambda$  and  $V$  in  $X$  containing  $x$ , such that  $U \cdot V \subseteq W$ . This gives that  $M_\lambda(V) = \lambda \cdot V \subseteq U \cdot V \subseteq W$ . This proves that  $M_\lambda$  is an  $\alpha$ -irresolute mapping.

**Theorem 3.5** Suppose that  $(X_{(F)}, \tau)$  is an  $\alpha$ -topological vector space. If  $A \in \alpha O(X)$ , then:

- (1)  $A + y \in \alpha O(X)$  for every  $y \in X$ .
- (2)  $\lambda \cdot A \in \alpha O(X)$  for every non zero  $\lambda \in F$ .

**Proof.** (1) Let  $y \in X$  and  $z \in A + y$ , then we have to prove that  $z$  is an  $\alpha$ -interior point of  $A + y$ . Now,  $z = x + y$ , where  $x$  is some point in  $A$ . We can write  $x \in A + y + (-y) = A$ . By the right translation  $T_{-y}: X \rightarrow X$ , we have  $T_{-y}(z) = z + (-y) = x$ . By Theorem 3.4 (1),  $T_{-y}$  is  $\alpha$ -irresolute for  $z \in X$ . Thus, for the  $\alpha$ -open set  $A$  containing  $x = T_{-y}(z)$ , there exists  $\alpha$ -open set  $M_z$  of  $X$  containing  $z$  such that  $T_{-y}(M_z) = M_z + (-y) \subseteq A$ , this implies  $M_z \subseteq A + y$ . This shows that  $z$  is an  $\alpha$ -interior point of  $A + y$ . Hence  $A + y \in \alpha O(X)$ .

(2) Let  $\lambda \in F, \lambda \neq 0$  and  $z \in \lambda \cdot A$ , this means  $z = \lambda \cdot x$ , for some  $x \in A$ . We have to show that  $z$  is an  $\alpha$ -interior point of  $\lambda \cdot A$ . By Theorem 3.4 (2), the multiplication mapping  $M_{\lambda^{-1}}: X \rightarrow X$  is  $\alpha$ -irresolute. Thus, for the  $\alpha$ -open set  $A$  containing  $M_{\lambda^{-1}}(z) = \lambda^{-1} \cdot z = x$ , there exists  $\alpha$ -open set  $U_z$  of  $X$  containing  $z$  such that  $M_{\lambda^{-1}}(U_z) = \lambda^{-1} \cdot U_z \subseteq A$  this implies  $U_z \subseteq \lambda \cdot A$ . This shows that  $z$  is an  $\alpha$ -interior point of  $\lambda \cdot A$ . Hence  $\lambda \cdot A \in \alpha O(X)$

**Corollary 3.6** Suppose that  $(X_{(F)}, \tau)$  is an  $\alpha$ -topological vector space. If  $A \in \alpha O(X)$ , then for all  $u \in A$ , there exists an  $\alpha$ -open set  $V$  containing  $0$  such that  $u + V \subseteq A$ .

**Proof.** The proof is follow by taking  $V = A - u$ .

**Theorem 3.7** Suppose that  $(X_{(F)}, \tau)$  is an  $\alpha$ -topological vector space and  $\mu_0$  is a collection of all  $\alpha$ -open sets containing  $0$ . Then, for each  $U \in \mu_0$ , there exists  $V \in \mu_0$  such that  $\alpha Cl(V) \subseteq U$ .

**Proof.** Let  $U \in \mu_0$ . Then by Theorem 3.3, there exists  $V \in \mu_0$  such that  $V + V \subseteq U$ . Let  $x \in \alpha Cl(V)$ . Since  $x - V$  is  $\alpha$ -open containing  $x$ , so  $(x - V) \cap V \neq \emptyset$ . Choose,  $y \in (x - V) \cap V$ , then  $y = x - v_1 = v_2$ , where  $v_1, v_2 \in V$ . Thus,  $x = v_2 + v_1 \in V + V \subseteq U$ . Therefore,  $\alpha Cl(V) \subseteq U$ .

**Theorem 3.8** Suppose that  $(X_{(F)}, \tau)$  is an  $\alpha$ -topological vector space. If  $A \in \alpha O(X)$  and  $B$  is any subset of  $X$ , then  $A + B \in \alpha O(X)$ .

**Proof.** Suppose  $A \in \alpha O(X)$  and  $B \subseteq X$ . Then, by Theorem 3.5 (1), for each  $x_i \in B$  we have  $A + x_i \in \alpha O(X)$ . Now, for each  $x_i \in B$  we have  $A + B = A + \{x_1, x_2, \dots\} = \cup_{x_i \in B} (A + x_i)$ . Since union of any number of  $\alpha$ -open sets is  $\alpha$ -open, therefore  $A + B$  is  $\alpha$ -open in  $X$ .

**Corollary 3.9** Suppose that  $(X_{(F)}, \tau)$  is an  $\alpha$ -topological vector

space. If  $A \in \alpha O(X)$ , then the set  $U = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} nA$  is an  $\alpha$ -open set in

$X$ .

**Proof.** Let  $A$  be  $\alpha$ -open in  $X$ . Then, by Theorem 3.8,  $A + A = 2A \in \alpha O(X)$  and  $2A + A = 3A \in \alpha O(X)$ . Similarly, we can prove that

each set  $4A, 5A, \dots$  is  $\alpha$ -open in  $X$ . Thus the set  $U = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} nA$  is  $\alpha$ -open in  $X$ .

**Theorem 3.10** Suppose that  $(X_{(F)}, \tau)$  is an  $\alpha$ -topological vector space. Then,  $\lambda \cdot (\alpha Int(B)) = \alpha Int(\lambda \cdot B)$ , where  $\lambda \in F$ .

**Proof.** Let  $\lambda \cdot x \in \lambda \cdot (\alpha Int(B))$  such that  $x \in \alpha Int(B)$ , then there exists an  $\alpha$ -open set  $U$  such that  $x \in U \subseteq B$ . Now,  $\lambda \cdot x \in \lambda \cdot U \subseteq \lambda \cdot B$ . As  $\lambda \cdot U$  is  $\alpha$ -open by Theorem 3.5 (2). So,  $\lambda \cdot x \in \alpha Int(\lambda \cdot B)$ . Therefore,  $\lambda \cdot (\alpha Int(B)) \subseteq \alpha Int(\lambda \cdot B)$ .

Conversely, let  $y \in \alpha Int(\lambda \cdot B)$ , where define  $y = \lambda \cdot x$  for some  $x \in B$ , then there exists an  $\alpha$ -open set  $V$  such that  $\lambda \cdot x \in V \subseteq \lambda \cdot B$ . Since  $(X_{(F)}, \tau)$  is an  $\alpha$ -topological vector space, then there exist  $\alpha$ -open sets  $U$  in  $F$  containing  $\lambda$  and  $W$  in  $X$  containing  $x$ , such that  $\lambda \cdot x \in \lambda \cdot W \subseteq U \cdot W \subseteq V \subseteq \lambda \cdot B$ . Then,  $x \in W \subseteq B$  implies that  $x \in \alpha Int(B)$  and so  $\lambda \cdot x \in \lambda \cdot (\alpha Int(B))$ . Therefore,  $\alpha Int(\lambda \cdot B) \subseteq \lambda \cdot (\alpha Int(B))$ . Hence,  $\lambda \cdot (\alpha Int(B)) = \alpha Int(\lambda \cdot B)$ .

**Theorem 3.11** Suppose that  $(X_{(F)}, \tau)$  is an  $\alpha$ -topological vector space. Then,  $M: F \times X \rightarrow X$  is an  $\alpha$ -irresolute mapping.

**Proof.** Let  $\lambda \in F$  and  $x \in X$  and since  $M((\lambda, x)) = \lambda \cdot x$ . Let  $W$  be an  $\alpha$ -open set of  $X$  containing  $\lambda \cdot x$ . Since  $(X_{(F)}, \tau)$  is an  $\alpha$ -topological vector space, therefore there exist  $\alpha$ -open sets  $U$  in  $F$  containing  $\lambda$  and  $V$  in  $X$  containing  $x$ , such that  $U \cdot V \subseteq W$  implies that  $M((U, V)) = M(U \times V) = U \cdot V \subseteq W$ . Since,  $U \in \alpha O(F, \lambda)$  and  $V \in \alpha O(X, x)$ , therefore,  $U \times V \in \alpha O(F \times X, \lambda \cdot x)$ . This proves that  $M: F \times X \rightarrow X$  is an  $\alpha$ -irresolute mapping.

**Theorem 3.1.** Suppose that  $(X_{(F)}, \tau)$  is an  $\alpha$ -topological vector space. Then,  $m: X \times X \rightarrow X$  is an  $\alpha$ -irresolute mapping.

**Proof.** Let  $x, y \in X$  and  $m((x, y)) = x + y$ . Let  $W$  be an  $\alpha$ -open set of  $X$  containing  $x + y$ . Since  $(X_{(F)}, \tau)$  is an  $\alpha$ -topological vector space, therefore there exist  $\alpha$ -open sets  $U$  containing  $x$  and  $V$  containing  $y$  in  $X$ , such that  $U + V \subseteq W$  implies that  $m((U, V)) = m(U \times V) = U + V \subseteq W$ . Since,  $U \in \alpha O(X, x)$  and  $V \in \alpha O(X, y)$ , therefore,  $U \times V \in \alpha O(X \times X, x \times y)$ . This proves that  $m: X \times X \rightarrow X$  is an  $\alpha$ -irresolute mapping.

**Definition 3.13** A bijective mapping  $f$  from a topological space to itself is called  $\alpha$ -homeomorphism if it is  $\alpha$ -irresolute and for every  $\alpha$ -open set  $A$  of  $X$ , the set  $f(A)$  is  $\alpha$ -open in  $Y$ .

**Theorem 3.14.** Suppose that  $(X_{(F)}, \tau)$  is an  $\alpha$ -topological vector space. For given  $y \in X$  and  $\lambda \in F$  with  $\lambda \neq 0$ , each translation mapping  $T_y: x \rightarrow x + y$  and multiplication mapping  $M_\lambda: x \rightarrow \lambda \cdot x$ , where  $x \in X$  is  $\alpha$ -homeomorphism onto itself.

**Proof.** First, we show that  $T_y: x \rightarrow x + y$  is an  $\alpha$ -homeomorphism. It is obviously bijective. By Theorem 3.4 (1),  $T_y$  is  $\alpha$ -irresolute. Moreover, by Theorem 3.5 (1), for any  $\alpha$ -open set  $U$ , we have  $T_y(U) = U + y$  is  $\alpha$ -open. Similarly, we can prove that  $M_\lambda: x \rightarrow \lambda \cdot x$  is an  $\alpha$ -homeomorphism.

**Definition 3.15** An  $\alpha$ -topological vector space  $(X_{(F)}, \tau)$  is said to be  $\alpha$ -homogenous space if for each  $x, y \in X$ , there is an  $\alpha$ -homeomorphism  $f$  of the space  $X$  onto itself such that  $f(x) = y$ .

**Theorem 3.16** Every  $\alpha$ -topological vector space  $(X_{(F)}, \tau)$  is an  $\alpha$ -homogenous space.

**Proof.** Take any  $x, y \in X$  and put  $z = (-x) + y$ . Then, by Theorem 3.14,  $T_z: X \rightarrow X$  is an  $\alpha$ -homeomorphism and  $T_z(x) = x + z = y$ . Therefore,  $(X_{(F)}, \tau)$  is an  $\alpha$ -homogenous space.

**Theorem 3.17** Let  $f : (X_{(F)}, \tau_X) \rightarrow (Y_{(F)}, \tau_Y)$  be a homomorphism of  $\alpha$ -topological vector spaces. If  $f$  is  $\alpha$ -irresolute at  $0 \in X$ , then  $f$  is  $\alpha$ -irresolute on  $X$ .

**Proof.** Let  $x \in X$ . Suppose that  $W$  is an  $\alpha$ -open set in  $Y$  containing  $y = f(x)$ . Since  $T_y: Y \rightarrow Y$  is  $\alpha$ -irresolute, therefore there is an  $\alpha$ -open set  $V$  containing  $0$  such that  $T_y(V) = V + y \subseteq W$ . Now from  $\alpha$ -irresolute of  $f$  at  $0$  of  $X$ , there exists  $\alpha$ -open  $U$  in  $X$  containing  $0$  such that  $f(U) \subseteq V$ . Since  $T_x: X \rightarrow X$  is  $\alpha$ -homeomorphism, therefore the set  $U + x$  is  $\alpha$ -open set containing  $x$ . Thus,  $f(U + x) = f(U) + f(x) = f(U) + y \subseteq V + y \subseteq W$ . Therefore,  $f$  is  $\alpha$ -irresolute at  $x$  of  $X$ , and hence on  $X$ .

**Theorem 3.18** Suppose  $(X_{(F)}, \tau)$  is an  $\alpha$ -topological vector space and  $S$  is a subspace of  $X$ . If  $S$  contains a non-empty  $\alpha$ -open subset of  $X$ , then  $S$  is  $\alpha$ -open in  $(X_{(F)}, \tau)$ .

**Proof.** Suppose  $U$  is a non-empty  $\alpha$ -open subset in  $X$ , such that  $U \subseteq S$ . For any  $y \in S$ , the set  $T_y(U) = U + y$  is  $\alpha$ -open in  $X$  and  $U + y \subseteq S$ . Therefore, the subspace  $S = \cup_{y \in S} (U + y)$  is  $\alpha$ -open in  $X$  as the union of  $\alpha$ -open sets.

**Theorem 3.19** Suppose that  $(X_{(F)}, \tau)$  is an  $\alpha$ -topological vector space. Then every  $\alpha$ -open subspace of  $X$  is  $\alpha$ -closed in  $X$ .

**Proof.** Let  $S$  be an  $\alpha$ -open subspace of  $X$ . As right translation  $T_x: X \rightarrow X$  is  $\alpha$ -homeomorphism, therefore,  $S + x$  is  $\alpha$ -open in  $X$ . Then  $Y = \cup_{x \in X} (S + x)$  is also  $\alpha$ -open. Now  $S = X \setminus Y$  is  $\alpha$ -closed.

**Theorem 3.20** Every  $\alpha$ -open subspace  $S$  of an  $\alpha$ -topological vector space  $(X_{(F)}, \tau)$  is also an  $\alpha$ -topological vector space (called  $\alpha$ -topological subspace of  $X$ ).

**Proof.** Let  $x, y \in S$  and  $W$  be an  $\alpha$ -open set of  $S$  containing  $x + y$ . This gives  $W$  is an  $\alpha$ -open set of  $X$  containing  $x + y$ . Hence, there exist  $\alpha$ -open sets  $U$  and  $V$  in  $X$  containing  $x$  and  $y$  respectively, such that  $U + V \subseteq W$ . Now, the sets  $A = U \cap S$  and  $B = V \cap S$  are  $\alpha$ -open sets in  $S$  containing  $x$  and  $y$  respectively and also  $A + B \subseteq U + V \subseteq W$ . Again, let  $\lambda \in F$  and  $x \in S$ . Let  $W$  be an  $\alpha$ -open set of  $S$  containing  $\lambda \cdot x$ . Since  $S$  is  $\alpha$ -open in  $X$ , therefore  $W$  is  $\alpha$ -open set of  $X$  containing  $\lambda \cdot x$ . Hence, there exist  $\alpha$ -open sets  $U \subseteq F$  containing  $\lambda$  and  $V \subseteq X$  containing  $y$  such that  $U \cdot V \subseteq W$ . Now, the set  $A = U \cap F$  is  $\alpha$ -open set of  $F$  containing  $\lambda$  and the set  $B = V \cap S$  is  $\alpha$ -open set of  $S$  containing  $y$  and also  $A \cdot B \subseteq U \cdot V \subseteq W$ . This proves that  $S$  is an  $\alpha$ -topological vector space.

**Theorem 3.21** Let  $A$  and  $B$  be subsets of an  $\alpha$ -topological vector space  $(X_{(F)}, \tau)$ . Then  $\alpha Cl(A) + \alpha Cl(B) \subseteq \alpha Cl(A + B)$ .

**Proof.** Suppose that  $x \in \alpha Cl(A)$  and  $y \in \alpha Cl(B)$ . Let  $W$  be an  $\alpha$ -open set containing  $x + y$ . Then there are  $\alpha$ -open sets  $U$  and  $V$  containing  $x$  and  $y$  respectively, such that  $U + V \subseteq W$ . Since  $x \in \alpha Cl(A)$  and  $y \in \alpha Cl(B)$ , there are  $a \in A \cap U$  and  $b \in B \cap V$ . Then  $a + b \in (A + B) \cap (U + V) \subseteq (A + B) \cap W$ . This means  $x + y \in \alpha Cl(A + B)$ , that is  $\alpha Cl(A) + \alpha Cl(B) \subseteq \alpha Cl(A + B)$ .

**Theorem 3.22** Suppose  $(X_{(F)}, \tau)$  is an  $\alpha$ -topological vector space and  $A, B$  are subsets of  $X$ . If  $B$  is  $\alpha$ -open, then for any set  $A$ , we have  $A + B = \alpha Cl(A) + B$ .

**Proof.** As we know that  $A \subseteq \alpha Cl(A)$ , so  $A + B \subseteq \alpha Cl(A) + B$ . Conversely, let  $y \in \alpha Cl(A) + B$  and write  $y = x + b$  where  $x \in \alpha Cl(A)$  and  $b \in B$ . There exists an  $\alpha$ -open set  $V$  containing zero such that  $T_b(V) = V + b \subseteq B$ . Now,  $V$  is  $\alpha$ -open in  $X$  containing  $0$ , this gives that  $-V$  is also  $\alpha$ -open in  $X$  containing  $0$ . Since,  $x \in \alpha Cl(A)$ , so,  $a \in A \cap (x - V)$ . We know that  $y = x + b = a - a + x + b \in a + V + b \subseteq A + B$ . Therefore,  $\alpha Cl(A) + B \subseteq A + B$ . Hence,  $A + B = \alpha Cl(A) + B$ .

**Theorem 3.23** Suppose that  $(X_{(F)}, \tau)$  is an  $\alpha$ -topological vector space, then for any  $A \subseteq X$ ,  $\alpha Cl(A) = \cap \{A + U: U \in \alpha O(X, 0)\}$ .

**Proof.** Let  $x \in \alpha Cl(A)$ , this implies that for every  $U \in \alpha O(X, 0)$ , we have  $x + U \in \alpha O(X, x)$  and  $(x + U) \cap A \neq \emptyset$ . Let  $a \in (x + U)$  and  $a \in A$ . Hence  $a = x + u_1$  for some  $u_1 \in U$ . This gives  $x = a - u_1 \in a - U \subseteq A - U$ . Thus,  $x \in \cap \{A - U: U \in \alpha O(X, 0)\}$  and so  $x \in \cap \{A + U: U \in \alpha O(X, 0)\}$ .

Conversely, assume that  $x \notin \alpha Cl(A)$ . Then, there exists  $U \in \alpha O(X, 0)$  such that  $(-U + x) \cap A = \emptyset$ , that is,  $x \notin A + U$ , hence  $x \notin \cap \{A + U: U \in \alpha O(X, 0)\}$ . This shows that  $\cap \{A + U: U \in \alpha O(X, 0)\} \subseteq \alpha Cl(A)$ . Therefore, we have  $\alpha Cl(A) = \cap \{A + U: U \in \alpha O(X, 0)\}$ .

**Theorem 3.24** Suppose  $(X_{(F)}, \tau)$  is an  $\alpha$ -topological vector space. Then the scalar multiple of  $\alpha$ -closed set is  $\alpha$ -closed.

**Proof.** Let  $B \in \alpha C(X)$ , then  $X \setminus B \in \alpha O(X)$  and  $M_\lambda(X \setminus B) = \lambda \cdot (X \setminus B) = \lambda \cdot X \setminus \lambda \cdot B = X \setminus \lambda \cdot B \in \alpha O(X)$ . Therefore,  $\lambda \cdot B \in \alpha C(X)$ .

**Theorem 3.25** Suppose  $(X_{(F)}, \tau)$  is an  $\alpha$ -topological vector space. Then scalar multiple of  $\alpha$ -compact set is  $\alpha$ -compact.

**Proof.** Let  $A$  be an  $\alpha$ -compact subsets of  $X$ . Let  $\{U_i: i \in I\}$  be an  $\alpha$ -open cover of  $\lambda \cdot A$  for some non-zero  $\lambda \in F$ , then  $\lambda \cdot A \subseteq \cup_{i \in I} U_i$ . This gives  $A \subseteq 1/\lambda \cdot \cup_{i \in I} U_i = \cup_{i \in I} 1/\lambda \cdot U_i$ . Since,  $U_i \in \alpha O(X)$  and  $(X_{(F)}, \tau)$  is an  $\alpha$ -topological vector space, therefore,  $1/\lambda \cdot U_i \in \alpha O(X)$  for each  $i \in I$ . Since,  $A$  is  $\alpha$ -compact therefore, there exist a finite subset  $I_0$  of  $I$  such that  $A \subseteq \cup_{i \in I_0} 1/\lambda \cdot U_i$ . This implies that  $\lambda \cdot A \subseteq \cup_{i \in I_0} U_i$ . Hence  $\lambda \cdot A$  is  $\alpha$ -compact in  $X$ .

**Theorem 3.26** Suppose  $(X_{(F)}, \tau)$  is a  $P$ -regular and  $\alpha$ -topological vector space. Then the algebraic sum of an  $\alpha$ -compact set  $A$  and  $\alpha$ -closed set  $B$  is  $\alpha$ -closed.

**Proof.** Let  $x \notin A + B$ , then for some  $a \in A$ ,  $x \notin a + B$ . Since, the translation mapping is  $\alpha$ -homeomorphism, so  $T_a(B) = a + B$ , where  $a + B$  is  $\alpha$ -closed. Since  $X$  is  $P$ -regular space, therefore, there exist open sets  $U_a$  and  $V_a$  such that  $x \in U_a$ ,  $a + B \subseteq V_a$  and  $U_a \cap V_a = \emptyset$ . Also  $V_a - B = \cup_{b \in B} (V_a - b)$  is  $\alpha$ -open and contains  $a$ . Hence,  $A \subseteq \cup_{a \in A} (V_a - B)$ . Since,  $A$  is  $\alpha$ -compact, therefore there exists a finite subset  $\{a_1, a_2, a_3, \dots, a_n\}$  of elements of  $A$ ,

such that  $A \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^n (V_{a_i} - B)$ . Let  $U = \bigcup_{i=1}^n U_{a_i}$ , then  $U$  is an  $\alpha$ -open

set containing  $x$ . We claim that  $U \cap (A + B) = \emptyset$ . If not, then  $y = a + b \in U \cap (A + B)$ , then  $y \in V_{a_i}$  for some  $i$  and  $y \in U_{a_i}$ , which is contradiction to the fact that  $U_a \cap V_a = \emptyset$ .

**Theorem 3.27** Suppose that  $(X_{(F)}, \tau)$  is an  $\alpha$ -topological vector space. If  $H \subseteq X$  is linear subspace, then so is  $\alpha Cl(H)$ .

**Proof.** Let  $H$  be a linear subspace of  $X$ , which means that,  $H + H \subseteq H$  and for all  $\lambda \in F$ ,  $\lambda \cdot H \subseteq H$ . By Theorem 3.21,  $\alpha Cl(H) + \alpha Cl(H) \subseteq \alpha Cl(H + H) \subseteq \alpha Cl(H)$ . Since, scalar multiplication is an  $\alpha$ -homeomorphism it maps the  $\alpha$ -closure of a set into the  $\alpha$ -closure of its image, namely, for every  $\lambda \in F$ ,  $\lambda \cdot (\alpha Cl(H)) = \alpha Cl(\lambda \cdot H) \subseteq \alpha Cl(H)$ . Therefore,  $\alpha Cl(H)$  is linear subspace.

**Definition 3.28** A subset  $E$  of an  $\alpha$ -topological vector space  $(X_{(F)}, \tau)$  is said to be balanced if for all  $\lambda \in F$ ,  $|\lambda| \leq 1$ ,  $\lambda \cdot E \subseteq E$ .

**Theorem 3.29** Suppose that  $(X_{(F)}, \tau)$  is an  $\alpha$ -topological vector space. For every  $B \subseteq X$ :

- (1) If  $B$  is balanced so is  $\alpha Cl(B)$ .
- (2) If  $B$  is balanced and  $0 \in \alpha Int(B)$ , then  $\alpha Int(B)$  is balanced.

**Proof.** (1) Since multiplication by a (non-zero) scalar is an  $\alpha$ -homeomorphism, thus for every  $\lambda \in F$ ,  $\lambda \cdot (\alpha Cl(B)) = \alpha Cl(\lambda \cdot B)$ . If  $B$  is balanced, then for  $|\lambda| \leq 1$ ,  $\lambda \cdot (\alpha Cl(B)) = \alpha Cl(\lambda \cdot B) \subseteq \alpha Cl(B)$ , hence  $\alpha Cl(B)$  is balanced.

(2) Let  $B$  be balanced subset of  $X$ . By Theorem 3.10, for every  $0 < |\lambda| \leq 1$ ,  $\lambda \cdot (\alpha Int(B)) = \alpha Int(\lambda \cdot B)$ . Since,  $B$  is balanced, therefore  $\lambda \cdot B \subseteq B$ ,  $|\lambda| \leq 1$ . Also,  $\lambda \cdot (\alpha Int(B)) = \alpha Int(\lambda \cdot B) \subseteq \alpha Int(B)$ . Since for  $\lambda = 0$ ,  $\lambda \cdot (\alpha Int(B)) = \{0\}$ , we must require  $0 \in \alpha Int(B)$  for the latter to be balanced.

**Theorem 3.30** Suppose that  $(X_{(F)}, \tau)$  is an  $\alpha$ -topological vector space, then for every  $U \in \mu_0$ , there exists a balanced  $V \in \mu_0$  such that  $V \subseteq U$ .

**Proof.** The proof is clear.

**Definition 3.31.** A set  $C$  is said to be convex if for  $t \in [0, 1]$ ,  $tC + (1-t)C \subseteq C$ .

**Theorem 3.32** Suppose that  $(X_{(F)}, \tau)$  is an  $\alpha$ -topological vector space. If  $C$  is convex, then so is  $\alpha Cl(C)$ .

**Proof.** Convexity is a purely algebraic property, but  $\alpha$ -closures and  $\alpha$ -interiors are topological concepts. The convexity of  $C$  implies that for all  $t \in [0, 1]$ ,  $tC + (1-t)C \subseteq C$ . Let  $t \in [0, 1]$ , then  $t(\alpha Cl(C)) = \alpha Cl(tC)$  and  $(1-t)(\alpha Cl(C)) = \alpha Cl((1-t)C)$ . By Theorem 3.21,  $t(\alpha Cl(C)) + (1-t)(\alpha Cl(C)) = \alpha Cl(tC) + \alpha Cl((1-t)C) \subseteq \alpha Cl(tC + (1-t)C) \subseteq \alpha Cl(C)$ . Thus,  $\alpha Cl(C)$  is convex.

**Theorem 3.33** Suppose that  $(X_{(F)}, \tau)$  is an  $\alpha$ -topological vector space. If  $C$  is convex, then  $\alpha Int(C)$  is convex.

**Proof.** Suppose that  $C$  is convex. Let  $x, y \in \alpha Int(C)$ . This means there exist  $\alpha$ -open sets  $U$  and  $V$  containing  $0$  such that  $x + U \subseteq C$  and  $y + V \subseteq C$ . Since  $C$  is convex, so,  $t(x + U) + (1-t)(y + V) = (tx + (1-t)y) + tU + (1-t)V \subseteq C$ , which proves that  $tx + (1-t)y \in \alpha Int(C)$ , namely  $\alpha Int(C)$  is convex.

**Definition 3.34** Suppose that  $(X_{(F)}, \tau)$  is an  $\alpha$ -topological vector space. A subset  $E \subseteq X$  is said to be bounded if for all  $\alpha$ -open set  $V$  containing  $0$ , there exists  $s \in R$  such that for all  $t > s$ ,  $E \subseteq tV$ . That is, every  $\alpha$ -open set containing zero contains after being blown up sufficiently.

**Theorem 3.35** Suppose that  $(X_{(F)}, \tau)$  is an  $\alpha$ -topological vector space. If  $E$  is bounded, then  $\alpha Cl(E)$  is bounded.

**Proof.** Let  $V$  be an  $\alpha$ -open set containing  $0$ , then by Theorem 3.7, there exist  $W \in \mu_0$  such that  $\alpha Cl(W) \subseteq V$ . Since  $E$  is bounded, so  $E \subseteq tW \subseteq t\alpha Cl(W) \subseteq tV$ , for sufficiently large  $t$ . It follows that for large enough  $t$ ,  $\alpha Cl(E) \subseteq t\alpha Cl(W) \subseteq tV$ . Thus,  $\alpha Cl(E)$  is bounded.

The following result provides a characterization for  $\alpha$ - $T_2$  of  $\alpha$ -topological vector space.

**Theorem 3.36** Let  $(X_{(F)}, \tau)$  be an  $\alpha$ -topological vector space. Then the following statements are equivalent:

- (1)  $X$  is  $\alpha$ - $T_2$ .
- (2) If  $x \in X$ ,  $x \neq 0$ , then there exists  $U \in \mu_0$  such that  $x \notin U$ .
- (3) If  $x, y \in X$ ,  $x \neq y$ , then there exists  $V \in \mu_x$  such that  $y \notin V$ .

**Proof.** (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2). Let  $x \in X$ ,  $x \neq 0$  by assumption, there exist  $U, V \in \alpha O(X)$  such that  $0 \in U$ ,  $x \in V$  and  $U \cap V = \emptyset$ . Thus,  $U \in \mu_0$ ,  $V \in \mu_x$  and  $x \notin U$ .

(2)  $\Rightarrow$  (1). Let  $x, y \in X$  such that  $x - y \neq 0$ . Then there exists  $U \in \mu_0$  such that  $x - y \notin U$ . By Theorem 3.3, there exists  $W \in \mu_0$  such that  $W + W \subseteq U$  and by Theorem 3.30,  $W$  can be assumed to be balanced. Let  $V_1 = x + W$  and  $V_2 = y + W$  and note that  $V_1 \in \mu_x$ ,  $V_2 \in \mu_y$  and  $V_1 \cap V_2 = \emptyset$ , since if  $a \in V_1 \cap V_2$ , then  $-(a - x) \in W$ , as  $W$  is balanced and  $a - y \in W$ . It follows that  $x - y = (a - y) + (-(a - x)) \in W + W \subseteq U$ , which is a contradiction. So, we must have  $V_1 \cap V_2 = \emptyset$ . This shows that  $X$  is  $\alpha$ - $T_2$ .

(1)  $\Rightarrow$  (3). Obvious.

(3)  $\Rightarrow$  (2). Obvious.

The following result follows from Theorem 3.36.

**Corollary 3.37** Let  $(X_{(F)}, \tau)$  be an  $\alpha$ -topological vector space. Then the following statements are equivalent:

- (1)  $X$  is  $\alpha$ - $T_2$ .
- (2)  $\bigcap \{U : U \in \mu_0\} = \{0\}$ .
- (3)  $\bigcap \{V : V \in \mu_x\} = \{x\}$ .

**Theorem 3.38** Any  $\alpha$ -topological vector space  $(X_{(F)}, \tau)$  is  $\alpha$ - $T_2$ .

**Proof.** Pick  $u_0, u_1 \in X$  such that  $u_0 \neq u_1$ . Thus  $V = X \setminus \{u_1 - u_0\}$  is an  $\alpha$ -open set containing zero. As  $0 + 0 = 0$ , by  $(X_{(F)}, \tau)$  is an  $\alpha$ -topological vector space, there exist  $V_1$  and  $V_2$  sets containing  $0$  such that  $V_1 + V_2 \subseteq V$ . Define  $U = V_1 \cap V_2 \cap (-V_1) \cap (-V_2)$ , thus  $U = -U$  and  $U + U \subseteq V$  and hence  $u_0 + U + U \subseteq u_0 + V \subseteq X \setminus \{u_1\}$ , so that  $u_0 + v_1 + v_2 \neq u_1$ , for all  $v_1, v_2 \in U$ , or  $u_0 + v_1 \neq u_1 - v_2$ , for all  $v_1, v_2 \in U$ , and since  $U = -U$ , therefore  $(u_0 + U) \cap (u_1 + U) = \emptyset$ .

## REFERENCES

A. Grothendieck, Topological vector spaces, New York: Gordon and Breach Science Publishers, (1973).  
 D. Jankovic, I. J. Reilly and M. K. Vamanamurthy, On strongly compact topological spaces. Question and answer in General Topology, 6 (1) (1988), 29-40.  
 N. Levine. Semi-open sets and semi-continuity in topological spaces, Amer. Math. Monthly, 70 (1) (1963), 36-41.  
 S. N. Maheshwari and S. S. Thakur, On  $\alpha$ -irresolute mappings, Tamkang J. Math. 11 (2) (1980), 209-214.  
 O. Njastad, On some classes of nearly open sets, Pacific J. Math. 15 (1965), 961-970.  
 M. Khan and B. Ahmad, On P-regular spaces, Math. Today, XIII, (1995), 51-56.  
 A. P. Robertson, W. J. Robertson, Topological vector spaces, Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics. 53. Cambridge University Press, (1964).

### بۆشاييا ئاراسته برئ توپولوجى ژ جورئ $\alpha$

#### كورتيا ليكولينئ:

مه رهم ژئى كارى ئەوه كو پيشكيشكرن و خويندنا بۆشاييا ئاراسته برئ توپولوجى ژ جورئ  $\alpha$ . بۆشاييا ئاراسته برئ توپولوجى ژ جورئ  $\alpha$  هاتيه پيناسه كرن برئكا كومپن فهكرى ژ جورئ  $\alpha$  و نه خشا دوو دلى ژ جورئ  $\alpha$ . بىرؤكا قؤقز، هاوسهنگ و كوما سنوردار هاتينه پيشكيشكرن و خاندن بؤ بۆشاييا ئاراسته برئ توپولوجى ژ جورئ  $\alpha$ . به دريژايى دگهل ئەنجامين دى، هاته سه لماندن كو هه مى بوشايى به شى يا فهكرى ژ جورئ  $\alpha$  ژ بۆشاييا ئاراسته برئ توپولوجى ژ جورئ  $\alpha$  دببته بۆشاييا ئاراسته برئ توپولوجى ژ جورئ  $\alpha$ . هومؤمؤرپيسم له نيوان بۆشايين ئاراسته برئ توپولوجى ژ جورئ  $\alpha$  دببته نه خشا دوو دل ژ جورئ  $\alpha$  ئەگەر ئەو نه خشه كا دوو دل بيت لسهر توخمى هاوئەنجام. لئ بۆشايين ئاراسته برئ توپولوجى ژ جورئ  $\alpha$  ليكدانا ژمارئ دگهل كوما پته و ژ جورئ  $\alpha$  دببته پته و ژ جورئ  $\alpha$  و  $\alpha Cl(C)$  ههروهسا  $\alpha Int(C)$  دبه قؤقز ئەگەر C قؤقز بيت. و ههروهسا، لئ بۆشايين ئاراسته برئ توپولوجى ژ جورئ  $\alpha$ ،  $\alpha Cl(E)$  دببته هاوسهنگ (سنوردار) ئەگەر E هاوسهنگ (سنوردار) بيت، بهلام  $\alpha Int(E)$  دببته هاوسهنگ ئەگەر E هاوسهنگ بيت و  $\alpha Int(E) \neq 0$ .

### فضاءات متجه التوبولوجية من النمط $\alpha$

#### خلاصة البحث:

الغرض من هذا العمل هو تقديم و دراسة فضاءات متجه التوبولوجية من النمط  $\alpha$ . فضاء متجه التوبولوجية من النمط  $\alpha$  عرفناها باستخدام المجموعات المفتوحة من النمط  $\alpha$  و الدوال المتعدية من النمط  $\alpha$ . درسنا المفاهيم محدب، توازن و المجموعة مقيد في فضاء متجه التوبولوجية من النمط  $\alpha$ . جنبا إلى جنب مع غيرها من النتائج، أثبتنا أن كل فضاء الجزئي المفتوح من النمط  $\alpha$  في فضاء متجه التوبولوجية من النمط  $\alpha$  تكون فضاء متجه التوبولوجية من النمط  $\alpha$ . مفهوم التماثل بين فضاءات متجه التوبولوجية من النمط  $\alpha$  تكون الدالة المتعدية من النمط  $\alpha$  اذا كانت الدالة المتعدية من النمط  $\alpha$  على العنصر احادي. في فضاءات متجه التوبولوجية من النمط  $\alpha$  ضرب كمية عددية غير موجهة مع مجموعة المتراص من النمط  $\alpha$  تكون المتراص من النمط  $\alpha$  و  $\alpha Cl(C)$  كذلك  $\alpha Int(C)$  تكونا المحدب اذا كانت C محدب. و أيضا، في فضاءات متجه التوبولوجية من النمط  $\alpha$ ،  $\alpha Cl(E)$  تكون متوازن (مقيد) اذا كانت E متوازن (مقيد)، و لكن  $\alpha Int(E)$  تكون متوازن اذا كانت E متوازن و  $\alpha Int(E) \neq 0$ .