EFFECT OF FOLIAR SPRAY OF KNO3, HUMIC ACID CULTIVARS AND THEIR INTERACTIONS ON LEAF NUTRIENTS OF OLIVE (*OLEA EUROPAEA* L.) CVS. KHITHARY AND I18 TRANSPLANTS

Azad Ahmed Mayi¹ and Gulala Mohamed Ameen Saeed²

¹ Department of Horticulture, School of Plant Production, Faculty of Agriculture and Forestry, University of Duhok, Kurdistan Region – Iraq.

² Department of Horticulture, College of Polytechnic Agriculture, University of Helebje, Kurdistan Region – Iraq. (Accepted for publication: May 5, 2015)

Abstract:

This study was carried out during the growing season (2012) in Bakrajo Nursery Station/ Sulaimani, Kurdistan Region-Iraq. Uniform and healthy olive (*Olea europaea* L.) cvs. Khithary and I 18 transplants of (2) years old were used. Filled with river loamy soil to investigate the effect of three levels KNO_3 (0, 100 and 200 mg.L⁻¹), three humic acid concentrations (0,150 and 300 mg.L⁻¹) and their interactions on leaf nutrients of Olive cvs. Khithary and I 18 transplants. The results are summarizing as follows: Khithary significantly dominated over cv. I 18 in total leaf chlorophyll, P, K, Zn. However cv. I 18 significantly increased N and Fe. The interactions between cv. Khithary with KNO_3 significantly increased P, K, Fe. While, cv. I 18 significantly increased N and Fe. The interactions between cv. I 18 +300ppm of humic acid significantly increased Fe. While Khithary with humic acid caused the highest values of N, P, K, Zn. The interactions between KNO_3 , humic acid and cv. Khithery affected significantly on most of the leaf nutrients characteristics. While, 200 ppm KNO_3+0 humic acid with cv. Khithary increased all parameters except leaf Zn.

* Part of M.Sc thesis of the second author

Key words: KNO3, Humic acid, Olive transplant

INTRODUCTION

live belongs to the botanical order, Ligustrales, family (Oleaceae), this family includes (30) genus including (Olea) which has (600) species. Olive is botanically called (Olea europaea L.). Commercial olives belong to the (Europaea) species, this species has two subspecies: *oleaster* and *sativa* (Bartolucci, and Dhakal 1999). World olive production performs an important role in the economy of many countries such as Spain, Italy, Greece, Turkey and Tunisia. Olive is an important perennial crop in many agricultural regions of the Mediterranean countries, as it is the most important olive growing region. The olive tree yield has two main products: oil and table olives (Sibbett, et al, 2005). In Iraq, olive trees growing in some areas of central North Iraq and Kurdistan Region, Nineveh is the governorate leading olive producer, its cultivation in Nineveh in an area including villages of spreading (Baashiqa, Bahzany, Fadiliya, Sheikh Uday, Dhecan, Sinjar), Diyala, Kirkuk, Baghdad, Erbil, Duhok, Aqrah, Bamarni followed by Babylon (Shaima, 2012).

The importance of olive fruit is due to heavy loading and dietic value, as the fruit is a good source of vitamins (A, B, C, D, E) and minerals like (K, Ca, Mg and P) Ibrahim, (2005). In addition, olive oil is filled with monounsaturated fatty acids and has many antioxidative properties as phenolic acid (Shaima, 2012). Potassium takes part in many important processes, regulating the opening and closing of stomata, the transport of organic and inorganic ions within the plant; promoting the maturity, yield, size and quality of the fruit. Sufficiency level of (K %) in olive leaves were (0.8-1.3), which sampled from the mid-length of current year's young shoots that do not bear fruit (Ashraf, et al., 2004). Organic fertilizers are natural materials and good medium for the interaction of micro-organisms and provide plant with nutrients as well as having an indirect role in nutrition by the activity of micro organisms. So using organic and bio-fertilizers instead of the chemical forms could be the way to produce the natural healthy fruits. In this respect, the organic fertilization improved vegetative growth and nutritional status (Farag, 2006).

This investigation aimed to:

Study the effect of KNO₃ and humic acid on leaf nutrients parameters of (Khithary and I 18) transplants in the climate at Kurdistan Region. Find out a fertilization program can replace the mineral which will be beneficial for organic production of olives. Save human health and environment.

Impact of both olive cultivars which newly entered to the region on the vegetative growth of olive transplants. In addition to study the possibility of the production transplanting with proper size, in a short period of time.

Materials and Methods:

The study was carried out during (2012) in Bakrajo Nursery station/ Sulaimani, Kurdistan Region-Iraq, located on 15km southwestern of Sulaimani city. Uniform and healthy olive (cvs. Khithary and I 18) transplants of (2) years old were chosen, (Khithary is originated in Syria and I 18 is originated in Spain). The experiments were started in (March 15th 2012), as transplants were grown in pots each of (5 kg) weight. Three KNO_3 concentrations (0, 100 and 200 mg.L⁻¹), KNO₃ compound of 44% K₂O, 13% N and 37% K. (Restrep-Diaz et al,. 2009). Humic acid (HA) concentrations $(0,150 \text{ and } 300 \text{ mg.L}^{-1})$, abo najmeh20, compound of %20 humic acid, %20 organic potassium and %10 organic carbon, Naser company for agrochemical-Syria), were sprayed at 15th April and repeated at same concentrations in 15th May.

Experimental design and statistical analysis:

The experiment was arranged in factorial experiment. The completely Randomized Block Design (R.C.B.D) was used, the experiment comprised of (18) treatments with three replicates, each replicate was presented by five pots each pot contained one transplant (Al-Rawi, and Khalafalla1980).

The obtained data were tabulated and statistically analyzed by computer using SAS system (1996). The differences among various treatment means were tested with Duncun multiple range test at (5%) level. SAS Institute (1996).

Parameters:

The following measurements were recorded on November 25th

1-Nitrogen concentration was determined with Microkjeldahl.

2-Phosphorus concentration was determined with colorimetric methods using Spectrophotometer Pharmacia LKB method.

3- Potassium concentration was determined with using flamephotometer.

4- Iron and Zing concentration (ppm) was determined by Atomic Absorption Spectrophotometer.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

1- Leaf nitrogen%

Table (1) shows that the transplant when treated with 200 mg KNO₃.L⁻¹ gave the highest value of nitrogen content (1.56%) and the lowest value (1.44%) recorded from untreated transplants. Nitrogen content% in the leaves of transplants untreated with humic acid significantly increased leaf nitrogen contents compared with other treatments. Leaves nitrogen content% differed between the two cultivars, leaves of 'I 18' cultivar contained significantly higher nitrogen content (1.52%) compared with 'Khithary' leaves (1.46%). The interactions between KNO₃ and humic acid significantly influenced nitrogen content in transplants leaves that treated with 0 mg humic acid.L⁻¹ and 200 mg $KNO_3.L^{-1}$ which gave the highest value (1.76%) and the lowest value (1.26%) obtained with 200 mg KNO₃.L⁻¹ plus 300 mg humic acid.L⁻¹. KNO₃ and cultivar interactions displayed cv. 'I 18' transplants treated with 200 mg KNO₃.L⁻¹ gave the highest percentage of nitrogen (1.58%). The interactions between humic acid and cultivar significantly increased nitrogen content% untreated 'Khithary' transplant which gave the highest value (1.75%) and the lowest value (1.23%) was recorded from in 'Khithary'

Var.	HA (mg.L ⁻¹)	KN	NO ₃ (mg.L ⁻¹)		Mean	
		0	100	200	Var*HA	effect of Var.
	0	1.57 c-e	1.72 bc	1.95 a	1.75 a	
Khithary	150	1.32 g	1.32 g	1.54 d-f	1.39 d	1.46 b
Х Ч	300	1.39e-g	1.16 h	1.14 h	1.23 e	_
	0	1.45e-g	1.48d-g	1.57c-e	1.50 c	
18	150	1.53d-f	1.64b-d	1.79 b	1.65 b	1.52 a
_	300	1.35 g	1.53d-f	1.37 fg	1.42 cd	_
Mean effe	ct of KNO ₃	1.44 b	1.47 b	1.56 a		
Var* KNO ₃	Khithary	1.43 b	1.40 b	1.54 a	Mean effe	ect of HA
	l 18	1.45 b	1.55 a	1.58 a		
	0	1.51 cd	1.60 bc	1.76 a	1.62a	
HA* KNO ₃	150	1.43 d-f	1.48 de	1.66 ab	1.52b	
	300	1.37e-g	1.35 fg	1.26 g	1.32c	

Table (1): Effect of KNO₃, humic acid and their interactions on leaf nitrogen (%) content of olive transplants cvs. 'Khithary' and'I 18'.

Means within a column, row and their interactions followed with the same letters are not significantly different from each other's according to Duncans multiple ranges test at 5% level.

transplants when treated with 300 mg humic acid.L⁻¹. Results of KNO₃, humic acid and cultivars interactions indicated that spraying 'Khithary' olive cultivar with 200 mg KNO₃.L⁻¹ plus 0 mg humic acid.L⁻¹ was the most potent treatment which gave (1.95%) nitrogen% while the lowest nitrogen coincided with untreated 'Khithary' olive cultivar(1.14%)

2- Leaf phosphorus%.

Table (2) reveals that the transplant treated with 200 mg KNO₃.L⁻¹ gave the highest value of phosphorus content (0.47%) and the lowest value (0.39%) recorded in untreated transplants. Humic acid concentration decreased leaf phosphorus content%, while untreated transplants gave the highest value of leaf p% compared with other treatments. Khithary cultivar leaves contained higher phosphorus content (0.45%) compared with leaves of 'I 18' (0.41%). The interactions between KNO₃ and humic acid significantly influenced phosphorus leaf content when treated 200 mg KNO₃.L⁻¹ which gave the highest value (0.66%) and the lowest value (0.37%) was recorded from 200 mg KNO₃.L⁻¹ plus 300 mg humic acid.L⁻¹. In the case of KNO₃ and cultivar interaction, it was found that 'Khithary' leaves treated with 200 mg $KNO_3 L^{-1}$ gave the highest percentage of phosphorus (0.53%) compared with other interactions. The interactions between humic acid and cultivar showed that phosphorus content % of untreated 'Khithary' transplants gave the highest value (0.56%) and the lowest value (0.39%) was recorded from 'I 18' transplants when treated by 150 mg humic acid.L⁻¹. Results of KNO₃, humic acid and cultivars interactions indicated that spraying 'Khithary' olive cultivar with 200 mg KNO₃.L⁻ plus 0 mg humic acid.L⁻¹ was the most potent treatment which gave (0.85%) phosphorus, while the lowest phosphorus content (0.33%) in Khithary transplant when treated with 0 mg KNO₃. L^{-1} plus 300 mg humic acid. L^{-1} .

Var.	НА	KN		Mean		
	(mg.L ⁻¹)	0	100	200	Var*HA	effect of Var.
~	0	0.35 ef	0.48 bc	0.85 a	0.56 a	
Khithary	150	0.41 b-f	0.43b-e	0.38d-f	0.41 b	0.45 a
КЧ	300	0.33 f	0.49 b	0.37d-f	0.40 b	-
	0	0.46 bd	0.36 ef	0.46 bd	0.43 b	
118	150	0.39c-f	0.39c-f	0.38c-f	0.39 b	0.41 b
	300	0.42b-f	0.41b-f	0.38d-f	0.40 b	_
Mean ef	fect of KNO ₃	0.39 b	0.43 b	0.47 a		
Var*	Khithary	0.36 d	0.47 b	0.53 a	Mean eff	ect of HA
KNO ₃	l 18	0.43 bc	0.38 cd	0.41 cd	-	
HA*	0	0.41 bc	0.42 bc	0.66 a	0.49a	
KNO ₃	150	0.40 bc	0.41 bc	0.38 c	0.40b	
11103	300	0.38 c	0.45 b	0.37 c	0.40b	

Table (2): Effect of KNO₃, humic acid and their interactions on leaf hosphorus (%) content of olive transplants cvs. 'Khithary' and 'I 18'.

Means within a column, row and their interactions followed with the same letters are not significantly different from each other's according to Duncans multiple ranges test at 5% level.

3- Leaf potassium (%)

Table (3) shows that spraying olive transplant with KNO₃ or humic acid at both levels not affect the leaf potassium content%. Leaves of 'Khithary' cultivar contained significantly higher potassium content (0.99%) when compared with leaves of 'I 18' (0.77%). The interactions between KNO₃ and humic acid significantly influenced potassium content in the leaves of transplants when untreated transplant gave the highest value (0.98%). KNO₃ and cultivar interactions showed that the leaves of 'Khithary' transplants treated with 100 mg.L⁻¹ KNO₃ contained the highest percentage of potassium (1.08%) compared with other interaction between KNO₃ and cultivar. The interactions between humic acid and cultivar non affecter on potassium content% of the 'Khithary' transplants when treated with 300 mg humic acid.L⁻¹ which gave the highest value (1.00%). Results of KNO₃, humic acid and cultivars interactions indicated that spraying 'Khithary' olive cultivar with 100 mg KNO₃.L⁻¹ plus 300 mg humic acid.L⁻¹ was the most potent treatment which gave the highest value (1.14%) potassium, while the lowest potassium content (0.62%) in 'I 18' transplant was found when treated with 100 mg KNO₃.L⁻¹ plus 0 mg humic acid.L⁻¹.

Var.	HA	K	KNO ₃ (mg.L ⁻¹)			Mean effect
	(mg.L ⁻¹)	0	100	200	Var*HA	of Var.
~	0	1.04 ab	1.00 ab	0.92 a-d	0.98 a	
Khithary	150	0.92 a-d	1.12 a	0.91 a-e	0.98 a	0.99 a
ЧХ	300	0.93 ab	1.14 a	0.92 a-d	1.00 a	
18	0	0.92 a-c	0.62 e	0.92 a-d	0.82 b	
	150	0.89 a-e	0.77b-e	0.78 b-e	0.81 b	0.77 b
	300	0.80 b-e	0.63 de	0.63 c-e	0.69 b	
Mean effect of KNO ₃		0.92 a	0.88 a	0.85 a	Mo	an effect
Var* KNO ₃	Khithary	0.96 ab	1.08 a	0.91 bc		
	l 18	0.87 bc	0.67 d	0.78 cd	of HA	
HA* KNO3	0	0.98 a	0.81 ab	0.92 ab	0.90a	
	150	0.90 ab	0.94 ab	0.84 ab	0.90a	
	300	0.87 ab	0.88 ab	0.77 b	0.84a	

Table (3): Effect of KNO_3 , humic acid and their interactions on leaf of potassium (%) content of olive transplants cvs. 'Khithary' and 'I 18'.

Means within a column, row and their interactions followed with the same letters are not significantly different from each others according to Duncans multiple ranges test at 5% level.

4- Leaf iron (ppm)

In table (4), iron content (ppm) in leaves differed significantly between the two cultivars, 'I 18' cultivar contained significantly higher iron content (82.70 ppm) when compared with 'Khithary' (78.69 ppm).

Table (4): Effect of KNO₃, humic acid and their interactions on leaf iron (ppm) concentrations of olive transplants cvs.'Khithary 'and 'I 18'.

	НА		KNO ₃ (mg.L		Mean	
Var.	(mg.L ⁻¹)	0	100	200	Var*HA	effect of Var.
~	0	34.68 i	60.28 h	101.40 a	65.46 f	vu:
Khithary	150	68.91 f	95.69 b	99.79 a	88.13 b	78.69 b
Khi	300	86.61 c	75.23 e	85.63 c	82.49 c	_
	0	63.36 g	93.97 b	77.79 d	78.37 d	
118	150	95.11 b	66.43 f	68.01 f	76.52 e	82.70 a
	300	84.96 c	95.08 b	99.59 a	93.21 a	_
Mean	effect of KNO ₃	72.27c	81.11 b	88.70 a		
Var*	Khithary	63.40 e	77.07 d	95.61 a	Mean eff	ect of HA
KNO ₃	l 18	81.15 c	85.16 b	81.80 c		
	0	49.02 g	77.13 f	89.59 b	71.	91c
HA*	150	82.01 e	81.06 e	83.90 d	82.	33b
KNO₃	300	85.79 c	85.16cd	92.61 a	87.85a	

Means within a column, row and their interactions followed with the same letters are not significantly different from each others according to Duncans multiple ranges test at 5% level.

It was clear that treated transplants, with 200 mg KNO3.L-1 gave the highest value of iron content (88.70 ppm. Results of KNO3, humic acid and cultivars interactions indicated that spraying 'Khithary' olive cultivar with 200 mg KNO3.L-1 plus 0 mg humic acid.L-1 was the most potent treatment which gave (101.40 ppm) iron,. The interactions between KNO₃ and humic acid significantly influenced iron content in leaf, when treated with 200 mg KNO₃.L⁻¹ plus 300 mg humic acid. L^{-1} gave the highest value (92.61 ppm) and the lowest value (49.02 ppm) was recorded in untreated transplants. Regarding KNO3 and cultivar interactions, leaf of 'Khithary' transplants treated with 200 mg KNO₃.L⁻¹ contained the highest percentage of potassium (95.61ppm) compared with other interaction between KNO₃ and cultivar. The interactions between humic acid and cultivar had significantly increased in iron content (ppm) in cv. 'I 18' transplant when treated with 300 mg humic acid. L^{-1} giving the highest value (93.21 ppm) and the lowest value (65.46 ppm) was recorded in untreated transplants of cv. 'Khithary'.

5- Leaf zinc (ppm).

In table (5), it was notice that the transplant when treated to 200 mg $\text{KNO}_3.\text{L}^{-1}$ gave the highest value of zinc content (17.02ppm). Zinc content in leaf of transplants treated with 300 mg humic acid.L⁻¹ increased significantly. Zinc content in leaves differed significantly between the two cultivars, 'Khithary' leaves cultivar contained higher zinc content (13.48 ppm) when compared with 'I 18' (12.68 ppm). The interactions between KNO₃ and humic acid significantly influenced zinc content in the leaves when treated with 200 mg KNO₃.L⁻¹ plus 0 mg humic acid.L⁻¹ by giving the highest value (20.75ppm).

Table (5): Effect of KNO_3 , humic acid and their interactions on leaf Zinc (ppm) concentrations of olive transplants cvs. 'Khithary ' and ' I 18'.

	НА	KNO ₃ (mg.L ⁻¹)				Mean
Var.	(mg.L ⁻¹)	0	100	200	Var*HA	effect of
	(1119)	0	100	200		Var.
~	0	6.54 g	13.84 d	14.81 cd	11.73 cd	
Khithary 	150	7.84 f	14.84 cd	14.25 cd	12.31 c	13.48 a
чх —	300	14.87cd	15.24 c	19.12 b	16.41 a	-
	0	6.53 g	11.85 e	26.68 a	15.02 b	
18	150	15.11 c	7.42 fg	12.55 e	11.69 cd	12.68 b
	300	7.85 f	11.43 e	14.67 cd	11.32 d	-
Mean effect of KNO ₃		9.79 c	12.44 b	17.02 a		
Var* KNO ₃	Khithary	9.75 d	14.64 c	16.06 b	Mean effect	t of HA
vai nino3	l 18	9.83 d	10.23 d	17.97 a		
	0	6.53 e	12.85 c	20.75 a	13.38	b
HA KNO3	150	11.47 d	11.13 d	13.40 c	12.00	С
	300	11.36 d	13.34 c	16.90 b	13.86	а

Means within a column, row and their interactions followed with the same letters are not significantly different from each others according to Duncans multiple ranges test at 5% level.

Regarding KNO₃ and cultivar interactions, it was found that the leaves of 'I 18' transplants treated with 200 mg.L⁻¹ KNO₃ contained the highest percentage of zinc (17.97 ppm) compared with other interactions between KNO₃ and cultivar. The interactions between humic acid and cultivar had significantly increased zinc contents in' Khithary' transplants when treated with 300 mg. humic acid. L^{-1} giving the highest value (16.41ppm) and the lowest value (11.32 ppm) was recorded from the untreated transplants of cv. 'I 18'. Results of KNO₃, humic acid and cultivars interactions indicated that spraying 'I 18' olive cultivar with 200 mg $KNO_3.L^{-1}$ plus 0 mg humic acid.L⁻¹ was the most potent treatment which gave (26.68ppm) zinc, while the lowest zinc (6.53ppm) was recorded in untreated 'I 18' transplant.

Discussions:

1- KNO₃: It is clear from studied parameters that the effect of KNO₃ on nutrient composion significantly characteristics affected and improved all parameters, the results may be due to role of K and N in plants such as photosynthesis reactions, nucleic acid metabolism, protein and carbohydrate biosynthesis due to increased leaf mineral content. (Hafez, and El-Metwally 2007). Potassium takes part in many important processes, regulating the opening and closing of stomata, the transport of organic and inorganic ions within the plant, (Ibrahim, 2005) and (Elloumi, et al., 2009).

2- Humic acid: For the effect of humic acid, the same tables that show studied parameters indicates that leaf nutrient status gave the highest value. The reason for the positive effect of humic acid may be due to the role of (HA) to stimulate plant growth by acting on mechanisms involved in: cell respiration, photosynthesis, protein synthesis, water and nutrient uptake and enzyme activities (Nardi et al, 1996, Chen et al, 2004, and Ali, et al, 2007). Whereas direct effects are various biochemical actions exerted at the cell wall, membrane or cytoplasm and mainly of hormonal nature (Varanini and Pinton 2001 and Chen et al, 2004). The hormone like activities of HAs is well documented in various papers, in particular auxin, cytokinin and gibberellins like effects (Piccolo et al, 1992 and Pizzeghello, et al., 2002).

3- Cultivars: It's clear from most tables that the vegetative growth characteristics significantly

differed between the two cultivars. The differences between the cultivars in leaves nutrient such as (N, P, K, Fe and Zinc, may be ascribed to the differences in genotype characteristics (Jordao, et al,. 1999). In addition, the genetic integrity of the plant species might influence particular nutrient uptake efficiency (Popovic et al., 1999). Then, these differences in nutrient uptake efficiency between cultivars may cause differences in vegetation growth characteristics. Also, the differences in growth vigor between the two cultivars may be attributed to the response of different cultivars to the local environmental conditions according to the genetic variation between the cultivars (Gaafar and Saker 2006 and Khalifa 2007).

References

- Ali, V. K.; H Çelik; M. A. Turan and B. B. A^oýk. (2007). Effects of Soil and Foliar Applications of Humic Substances on Dry Weight and Mineral Nutrients Uptake of Wheat under Calcareous Soil Condition. 1Uludag University, Agricultural Faculty, Department of Soil Science and Plant Nutrition. Aust. J. Basic & Appl. Sci., 3(2): 1266-1273.
- Al-Rawi, K.M.and A. Khalafalla. (1980). Analysis of Experimental Agriculture Disgen. Dar Al-Kutub for Printing and Publishing. Mosul Univ.
- Ashraf, T. A. B. ggeman;F. Turkelboom. (2004). Growing Olive and other tree species in marginal dry environments. International center for agricultural research in the dry areas ICARDA, Aleppo Syria.
- Bartolucci, P. and B. R. Dhakal. (1999). Olive Growing in Nepal. TCP/NEP/6713. Field Document-1.
- Chen Y., M. De Nobili and T. Aviad (2004). Stimulatory effects of humic substances on plant growth. In: Soil organic matter in sustainable agriculture (Magdoff F., Weil R.R., eds). CRC Press, NY, USA. pp. 103-129.
- Elloumi, O., M. Ghrab and M. Ben-Mimoun. (2009). Responses of olive trees (cv. Chemlali) after five years of experiment to potassium mineral nutrition under rain fed condition. The Proceedings of the International Plant. Nutrition Colloquium. XVI UC Davis.
- Farag, S. G. (2006). Minimizing mineral fertilizers in grapevine farms to reduce the chemical residuals in grapes. M Sc Thesis, institute of Enviromental Studies and Research, Ain Shams University, Egypt, Pp:67.

- Gaafar, R. M. and M. M. Saker. (2006). Monitoring of cultivars identity and genetic stability in strawberry varieties grown in Egypt. World J. Agric. Sci. 2 (1): 29-36.
- Hafez, O. M. and I. M. El-Metwally. (2007). Efficiency of Zinc and Potassium Sprays Alone or in Combination with Some Weed Control Treatments on Weed Growth, Yield and Fruit Quality of Washington Navel Orange Orchards. J. Sc. Res. Egypt., 3(7): 613-621.
- Ibrahim, Z.R. (2005). Effect of Foliar Application of NAA, KNO₃ and Fe on Vegetative Growth, Yield and Fruit Quality of Peach (*Prunus persica* L.) cv. Early Coronet. M. Sc. Thesis. Duhok Unversity. Iraq.
- Jordao, P.V.; M.E. Marcelo and M.S.L. Centeno. (1999). Effect of cultivar on leaf-mineral composition of olive tree. Acta Hort. 474: 349-352. Proc. 3rd Int. ISHS Symp on Olive growing.
- Khalifa, GH. F.H. (2007). Effect of planting date and on growth and yield characteristics of two variety of strawberry (Fragaria x ananassa Duch), M.Sc. Thesis, Agriculture and Forest college, Mosul University, Ministry of Higher Education and Scientific Research. Iraq.
- Nardi S.; G. Concheri . and G. Dell'agnola (1996). Biological activity of humus. In: Humic substances in terrestrial ecosystems (Piccolo A., ed). Elsevier, NY, USA. pp. 361-406.
- Piccolo A.; S. Nardi and G. Concheri (1992). Structural characteristics of humic substances as related to nitrate uptake and growth regulation in plant systems. Soil Biol Biochem 24, 373-380.

- Pizzeghello D., G. Niconili and S. Nardi (2002). Hormone-like activities of humic substances in different forest ecosystems. New Phytol 155, 393-402.
- Popovic, M.; D. Malencic; O. Gasic and B. Lazovic. (1999). The influence of different nitrogen concentrations on NO₃ and protein content in olive leaves. Third international symposium on olive growing, Chania, Crete, Greece, 22-26 Sep. 1997. Acta-Horticulturae, 474: 329-331.
- Restrepo-Diaz, H., M. Benlloch and R. Fernandez-Escobar. (2009). Leaf Potassium Accumulation in Olive Plants Related to Nutritional K Status, Leaf Age, and Foliar Application of Potassium Salts. Journal of Plant Nutrition, Volume 32, pages 1108-1121.
- SAS Institute, Inc. (1996). The SAS system. Relase 6.12. Cary, NC.
- Shaima,M. Abdul-Qader (2012). Effect of cultivar, organic manure, urea spray and their interactions on vegetative growth, flowering, quantitative and qualitative characteristics of Olive (*Olea europaea* L.). Ph D. Thesis, faculty of agriculture and forestry, Duhok University, Kurdistan-Iraq.
- Sibbett, G. S.; L. Ferguson; J. L. Coviello and M. Lindstrand. (2005). Olive Production Manual. University of California Agriculture and Natural Resources. Publication 3353.
- Varanini Z. and Pinton R. (2001). Direct versus indirect effects of soil humic substances on plant growth and nutrition. In: The rhizosphere: biochemistry and organic substances at the soil-plant interface (Pinton R., Varanini Z., Nannipieri P., eds). Marcel Dekker Inc, NY, USA. pp. 141-157.

کارتیٰکرنا زبلیّ پوتاسیم نایتریّت و ترشیّ هیومیك و تیّکه لکرنا وان لسهر تو خمّت ناﭬ بهلگیّت نهمامکیّت زهیتونیّ جوریّ Khithary and I18

پوخته:

نمة فەكولىيد يا ھاتيد ئەنجامدان ل سالا (٢٠١٣) لى نەمامىگەھا زەيتونا لىبەكرەجۆ ل پارێر گەھا سليمانى. ھەريّما كوردستانا عيّراقى. نەمامىت وەك ئىك و دوور ژ نەخۆشيا ھەلبرارتىنە ژ ھەردوو چورىت خزىرى و 18 I زەيتون (.). (.) كوردستانا عيّراقى. نەمامىت وەك ئىك و دوور ژ نەخۆشيا ھەلبرارتىنە ژ ھەردوو چورىت خزىرى و 18 I زەيتون تىرشى ھيومىك (،، ، ١٠ ، ، ١٠ مىلىم.لير^{-\}) و تىكەل كرنا وان بەبەستا زانىنا كارىگەريا وان لىسەر تايبەتەندىيا شىنبون و كەسكاتى پىكھاتيا بەلىگا ژ مادى خارنى بىز نەماما ھەردوو چورىيّت زەيتونا خزىرى لەھەى خەسلەتىت تىرشى ھيومىك (،، ، ١٠ ، ، ، ، ساكىم.لير^{-\}) و تىكەل كرنا وان بەبەستا زانىنا كارىگەريا وان لىسەر تايبەتەندىيا شىنبون و كەسكاتى پىكھاتيا بەلىگا ژ مادى خارنى بىز نەماما ھەردوو چورىيّت زەيتونا خزىرى لەھەى خەسلەتىت تەرشى ھيومىك: رەشاندىنا بەلىگا ب دەNO ئىشەكرنا كەسكى و چوريا: چورى 118 زالىرو بىشىزەيەكى پىشوەرى بىسەر چورى خزىرى لەھەمى خەسلەتىت كەشەكرنا كەسكى و چوريا: چورى 118 زالىرو بىشىزەيەكى پىشوەرى لەھەمى خەسلەتىت كەشەكرنا كەسكى و چوريا: يورى 118 زالىرو بىشىزەيەكى پىشوەرى لىھەمى خەسلەتىتىت كەشا ئەلى بىلى بىلىدىيا نەمامكى، درىتريا تاكىت تەنىشتا، تىكەل كرن لىناقەرا چورى خزىرى دەھەمى خەسلەتىتە ورگرى يىلى بىلىدى ئەلىمەمىي ، درىتريا تاكىت تەنىشتا، تىكەل كرن لىناقەرا چورى خزىرى دەھەمى خەسلەتىت ورگرى يەھورى لىرى ئىرى قىرى، ۋەارا بەلىگ، رووبەرى بەلىگا. بەلى چورى خزىرى دىگەل تىرشى ھيومىك دىلەر ئىيدە يەھەرى لەيرى ئىرى قىرى، ۋەرا بەلىگ، دويتريا تاكىت تەنىشتا . تىكەل كرن لىناقەرا زبلى ھىومىك ھەرى ئەرى پىدان يەھەي بىندە لىلىدىيا نەمامكى، درىتريا تاكىت تەنىشتا . تىكەل كرن لىناقەرا زبلى ھىومىك ھىرى ھىومىك يەرەرى ئىيدانا بەيدى ھىرەرا بەلىگ، دويتريا تاكىت تەنىشتا . تىكەل كرن لىناقەرا زبلى ھىومىك ھىرمىك يەرەرى ئىيدانا يەلەكى مەزەلى لەھەمى خەسلەتىت يەلى كەملىكى بەلى تىرىي ھىومىك ھىرى ھىرمىك ھىرى ھىومىك دىرى ئىھورى لە ھەمى خەسلەتىتىت وەرگىرتى رىھىشا كەسك، بەلى تىكەلىكرن لىناقەرا زبلى دىرەي يەرەي ھىرى دىرى ئىرەي دەلى كەمىكى بى ھۆكارى زىدەيا بىلىدى بەلىكى، دىزىۋيا تاكىت تەنىشتا.

تأثير الرش الورقي بـ KNO₃ وحامض الهيوميك في النمو ومحتوى أوراق شتلات الزيتون(.Olea europaea L) ا صنفى الخضيري و .I18

الخلاصة:

تنفذت هذه التجربة خلال موسم النمو ٢٠١٢ في محطة مشتل بكرجو في محافظة السليمانية/ اقليم كردستان /العراق. بداءت التجربة في(١٥ آذار ٢٠١٢) حيث تم زراعة الشتلات في أكياس النايلون سعة (٥ كغم) تم ملئها بالرمل. لدراسة تأثير ثلاثة تراكيز منKNO₃(صفر، ١٠٠، ٢٠، ٢٠ ملغم. لتر⁻¹) و ثلاث تركيز من حامض الهيوميك (٠، ١٥، ٢٠، ٣٠ ملغم . لتر⁻¹) و تداخلاتها لغرض معرفة تأثيراتها على محتوى الأوراق من العناصر لشتلات صنفي الزيتون الخضيري و 18 آ. تم تنفيذ تجربة عاملية بواقع ثلاث مكررات حسب تصميم القطاعات العشوائية الكامله (RCBD) و ذلك بزراعة خمسة شتلات لكل وحدة تجريبية وتم الرشKNO₃ و حامض الهيوميك في ١٥ نيسان و كررت عملية الرش بنفس التراكيز في ١٥ مايس. KNO₃ معنويا على صنف الخضيري في محتوى الأوراق من العناصر في علية الرش بنفس التراكيز في ١٥ مايس. وحدة تجريبية وتم الرشKNO₃ و حامض الهيوميك في ١٥ نيسان و كررت عملية الرش بنفس التراكيز في ١٥ مايس. KNO₃ معنويا على صنف الخضيري في محتوى الأوراق من العناصر في عنويا في محتوى الاوراق من العناصر. صنف 18 ال ساد دمعنويا على صنف الخضيري في محتوى الاوراق من العناصر لهيوميك يفوقا معنويا في محتوى الاوراق من العناصر. صنف 18 ا زاد معنويا على صنف الخصيري في محتوى العراص العياضي و كررت عملية الرش بنفس التراكيز في ١٥ مايس. KNO₃ معنويا على صنف الخرير الورقي ب KO₃ و حامض الهيوميك تفوقا معنويا في محتوى الاوراق من العناصر. صنف 18 ما معنويا على صنف الخريري في محتوى الاوراق من العناصر P، K , P في الاوراق. التداخل بين الصنف 18 معنويا في مراكيز د معنويا في تراكيز Fe, K , P، لي العراق. التداخل بين الصنف الخضيري و حامض الهيوميك تسبب في اعطاء قيم مرتفعة في تراكيزى الاوراق من التراكيز Zn, Fe, K, P، لي العنف العنفيري و حامض الهيوميك مع صنف الخضيري أدى الى الاوراق. تراكيز كان و تراكيز Pie دي العراق. التداخل بين الصنف الخضيري و حامض الميوميك تسبب في اعطاء قيم مرتفعة في تراكيزي الاوراق من التراكيز Zn, Fe, K, P، العرائ الاداخل بين KON و حامض الهيوميك مع صنف الخضيري أدى الى زيادة الراكير تراكيز الوراق من التراكيز الوراق من الروراق من الميوري و حامض الميوميك مع صنف الخضيري الوراد الى الحضري الميوري الوراي الحضري الوراي الحضيري الحضي الميوري الوراق الحضير الميوري الحضي الميوري الحضير الحضي الميوري الوراي الحضيري ا