

ON S_p -CONNECTED SPACES

ALIAS BARAKAT KHALAF* AND HARDI ALI SHAREEF**

*Dept. of Mathematics, College of Science, University of Duhok, Kurdistan-Region, Iraq

**Dept. of Mathematics, Faculty of Science and Science Education, University of Sulaimani, Kurdistan-Region, Iraq

(Accepted for publication: June 9, 2013)

ABSTRACT

In this paper we introduce a new concept of connectedness namely S_p -connected space. This class of spaces is strictly between semi-connectedness and connectedness. Several properties and characterizations of this concept are found.

Keyword: semi-open sets, preclosed sets, semi-connected spaces, S_p -connected spaces.

1. INTRODUCTION

A semi-open set was defined by Levine in [Levine, 1963] while Pipitone and Russo in [Pipitone et. al., 1975] used this set to introduced s -connectedness or semi-connectedness. By using the concept of preopen sets which introduced in [Mashhour et al, 1982], Popa defined the p -connected or preconnected [Popa, 1987]. Shareef in [Shareef, 2007] defined a new type of open sets called S_p -open sets .

Throughout this paper X and Y will always denote topological spaces on which no separation axioms are assumed unless explicitly stated. If U is a subset of X , then the closure of U and interior of U are denoted by $cl(U)$ and $int(U)$ respectively. The symbol $f: X \rightarrow Y$ represent a function from a space X into a space Y . Semi-closure of a set in any space was introduced by Crossley, and Hildebrand in [Crossley et. Al., 1971] which is the intersection of all semi-closed sets containing this set and denoted by scl , on the other hand S_p -closure in [Shareef, 2007] is defined by the intersection of all S_p -closed sets which contain it and denoted by S_pcl .

2. Preliminaries

In this section, we give definitions and results which are used in the next section.

Definition 2.1:

A subset A of a space X is said to be semi-open [Levine, 1963] (resp. preopen [Mashhour et. al., 1982], regular open, regular closed [Steen, 1970], β -open [Abd-El-Monsef, 1983], α -open [Njastad, 1965], δ -semiopen [Ekici, 2008] and γ -open [El-Atik, 1997] (equiv. sp -open [Dontchev, 1998] or b -open [Andrijevic, 1996])) set if $A \subseteq cl(int(A))$ (resp. $A \subseteq int(cl(A))$, $A = int(cl(A))$, $A = cl(int(A))$, $A \subseteq cl(int(cl(A)))$, $A \subseteq int(cl(int(A)))$, $A \subseteq cl(int_\delta(A))$ and $A \subseteq cl(int(A)) \cup$

$int(cl(A))$). A semi-open set A of a space X is said to be S_p -open set if for each $x \in A$, there exists a preclosed set F such that $x \in F \subseteq A$ [Mohammed, 2005].

The complement of semi-open (resp. preopen, β -open, α -open, δ -semiopen and γ -open (equiv. sp -open or b -open)) set in X is called semi-closed (resp. preclosed, β -closed, α -closed, δ -semiclosed, γ -closed (equiv. sp -closed or b -closed)). The complement of S_p -open set is called S_p -closed sets and their families are denoted by $S_pO(X)$ and $S_pC(X)$ while the families of semi-open, preopen, α -open, β -open, γ -open, and δ -semiopen sets are denoted by $SO(X)$, $PO(X)$, $\alpha O(X)$, $\beta O(X)$, $\gamma O(X)$ and $\delta SO(X)$.

Lemma 2.2: Let Y be an open subspace of X . If F is a preclosed subset in a space X , then $F \cap Y$ is preclosed in Y .

Proof: Obvious.

Lemma 2.3: [Donchev, 1998] Let X be any space. If A is semi-open set in X and B is preopen set in X , then $A \cap B$ is semi-open set in B .

Lemma 2.4: [Shareef, 2007] Let Y be a regular closed subspace of the space X . If A is an S_p -open subset of Y , then A is S_p -open set in X .

Proposition 2.5: [Shareef, 2007] Let A, B be two subsets of a space X , then:

1. $S_pcl(A)$ is the smallest S_p -closed set which contains A .
2. A is S_p -closed if and only if $S_pcl(A) = A$.
3. $scl(A) \subseteq S_pcl(A)$.
4. If $A \subseteq B$, then $S_pcl(A) \subseteq S_pcl(B)$.

Definition 2.6: [Sarker, 1985] Two non-empty subsets A and B of a space X are said to be semi-separated sets if $A \cap scl(B) = \emptyset$ and $scl(A) \cap B = \emptyset$.

Remark 2.7: [Pipione, 1975] If B is the closure of an open set in a space X , then B and $X \setminus B$ are both semi-open sets in X .

Lemma 2.8: [Shareef, 2007] If A is a semi-open set in a space X , then $\text{cl}(A)$ is S_p -open subset of X .

Definition 2.9: [Dontchev, 1998] A space X is said to be locally indiscrete if every open subset of X is closed.

Theorem 2.10: [Dontchev, 1998] For a space X the following conditions are equivalent:

1. X is locally indiscrete.
2. Every subset of X is preopen.
3. Every singleton in X is preopen.
4. Every closed subset of X is preopen.

Definition 2.11: [Sharma, 2011] A space X is said to be T_1 -space if for each two distinct points x and y in X there exists two open sets U and V in X containing x and y , respectively, such that $y \notin U$ and $x \notin V$.

Proposition 2.12: If a space X is T_1 -space, then $S_pO(X) = SO(X)$.

Proof: Obvious.

Theorem 2.13: [Khalaf, 2012] A space X is S_p - T_2 if and only if for each pair of distinct points $x, y \in X$, there exists a set U which is both S_p -open and S_p -closed containing one of them but not the other.

Lemma 2.14: [Pipitone, 1975] Let A be a subset of a space X , then A is semi-open set if and only if there exists an open set $G \subseteq A$ such that $\text{cl}(A) = \text{cl}(G)$.

Definition 2.15: A space X is said to be semi-connected [Sarker, 1985], if it cannot be expressed as the union of two semi-separated sets.

Equivalently, X is said to be semi-connected [Pipitone, 1975], if it cannot be written as a union of two non-empty disjoint semi-open sets. Otherwise we say that X is semi-disconnected.

Definition 2.16: A space X is said to be β -connected [Jafari, 2003] (resp., γ -connected [Duszynski, 2011], preconnected [Jafari, 2003], connected [Sharma, 2011] and δ -semiconnected [Ekici, 2008]) if X cannot be expressed as the union of two non-empty disjoint β -open (resp., γ -open, preopen, open and δ -semiopen) sets of X .

Lemma 2.17: [Ekici, 2008] For a space X , the following properties are equivalent:

1. $\text{cl}(V) = X$ for every nonempty open set V of X ,
2. $U \cap V \neq \emptyset$ for any nonempty semi-open sets U and V of X ,
3. X is semi-connected,
4. X is δ -semiconnected.

Definition 2.18: [Noiri, 1980] A space X is said to be extremally disconnected space if the closure of each open set in X is open.

Corollary 2.19: [Shareef, 2007] If a space X is extremally disconnected, then every S_p -open subset of X is preopen subsets of X .

Definition 2.20: [Jafari, 2003] A space X is said to be PS -space if every preopen set in X is semi-open in X .

Corollary 2.21: [Jafari, 2003] If X is extremally disconnected PS -space, then β -connectedness, preconnectedness, semi-connectedness and connectedness are all equivalent.

Theorem 2.22: [Sharma, 2011] A space X is disconnected if and only if X is the union of two non-empty disjoint open sets.

Theorem 2.23: [Sharma, 2011] A space X is disconnected if and only if there exists a non-empty proper subset of X which is both open and closed.

The following definitions and results are from [Duszynski, 2011].

Lemma 2.24: If a space X is γ -connected, then it is β -connected.

A space X is said to be **B-SP-connected** (resp., **P-SP-connected**) if X cannot be written as a union of two non-empty disjoint sets S_1, S_2 of X such that $S_1 \in \text{BO}(X)$, $S_2 \in \beta O(X)$ (resp., $S_1 \in \text{PO}(X)$, $S_2 \in \beta O(X)$). A space X is said to be **α -B-connected** (resp., **α -SP-connected, α -S-connected**) if X cannot be expressed as a union of two non-empty disjoint sets $S_1; S_2 \subset X$ such that $S_1 \in \alpha O(X)$ and $S_2 \in \text{BO}(X)$ (resp., $S_2 \in \beta O(X)$, $S_2 \in \text{SO}(X)$).

Theorem 2.25: For every space X the following are equivalent:

1. X is β -connected space.
2. X is B -SP-connected space.
3. X is P -SP-connected space.

Theorem 2.26: For every space X the following are equivalent:

1. X is semi-connected space.
2. X is α -S-connected space.
3. X is α -SP-connected space.
4. X is α -B-connected space.

Corollary 2.27: [Duszynski, 2006] Connectedness and α -P-connectedness are equivalent notion for every space X .

Definition 2.28: A function $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is said to be S_p -continuous [Shareef, 2007] (resp. continuous [Sharma, 2011], irresolute [Crossley, 1972], s -continuous or (strongly semi-continuous) [Muhammed, 2005]) if the inverse image of every open (resp. open, semi-open,

semi-open) set in Y is S_p -open (resp. open, semi-open, open) set in X .

Theorem 2.29: [Shareef, 2007] The following statements are equivalents for the function $f: X \rightarrow Y$:

- (1) $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is S_p -continuous.
- (2) The inverse image of every closed set in Y is S_p -closed set in X .

Theorem 2.30: [Sharma, 2011] A function $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is continuous if and only if the inverse image of every closed set in Y is closed in X .

3. S_p -Connected Space

Definition 3.1: Two non-empty subsets A and B of X are said to be S_p -separated sets if $S_p\text{cl}(A) \cap B = \emptyset$ and $A \cap S_p\text{cl}(B) = \emptyset$.

Example 3.2: Let $X = \{a, b, c\}$ and $\tau = \{\emptyset, X, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{a, b\}\}$. Then $\{a\}$ and $\{b\}$ are S_p -separated sets in X because $S_p\text{cl}(\{a\}) \cap \{b\} = \{a\} \cap \{b\} = \emptyset$ and $\{a\} \cap S_p\text{cl}(\{b\}) = \{a\} \cap \{b\} = \emptyset$.

Proposition 3.3: Let Y be an open subspace of a space X and $A \in S_pO(X)$. Then $A \cap Y \in S_pO(Y)$.

Proof: Let Y be an open subspace of a space X and $A \in S_pO(X)$. Then $A \in SO(X)$ and $A = \bigcup_{\alpha \in I} F_\alpha$, where $F_\alpha \in PC(X)$ for each $\alpha \in I$. Now since Y is preopen set in X and A is semi-open set in X so by [Lemma 2.3] $A \cap Y \in SO(Y)$ and $A \cap Y = (\bigcup_{\alpha \in I} F_\alpha) \cap Y = \bigcup_{\alpha \in I} (F_\alpha \cap Y)$, but by [Lemma 2.2] $F_\alpha \cap Y \in PC(Y)$ for each $\alpha \in I$; therefore $A \cap Y \in S_pO(Y)$.

Lemma 3.4: Let Y be an open subspace of a space X and $A \subseteq Y$, then $S_p\text{cl}_Y(A) \subseteq S_p\text{cl}(A)$ where $S_p\text{cl}_Y$ denote the S_p -closure relative to the subspace Y .

Proof: Let $x \notin S_p\text{cl}(A)$ implies that there exists an S_p -open set U containing x such that $U \cap A = \emptyset$. Then $U \cap Y \cap A = \emptyset$, let $G = U \cap Y$. Since $U \in S_pO(X)$ and Y is open in X so by [Lemma 3.3] $G = U \cap Y \in S_pO(Y)$; therefore $G \cap A = \emptyset$ implies that $x \notin S_p\text{cl}_Y(A)$, hence $S_p\text{cl}_Y(A) \subseteq S_p\text{cl}(A)$.

Lemma 3.5: Let Y be a regular closed subspace of a space X and $A \subseteq Y$. Then $S_p\text{cl}(A) \subseteq S_p\text{cl}_Y(A)$.

Proof: Let $x \notin S_p\text{cl}_Y(A)$ implies that there exists an S_p -open set U in Y containing x such that $U \cap A = \emptyset$. Since Y is regular closed set in X then by [Lemma 2.4] U is S_p -open set in X implies that $x \notin S_p\text{cl}(A)$, so $S_p\text{cl}(A) \subseteq S_p\text{cl}_Y(A)$.

Theorem 3.6: Let (Y, τ_Y) be an open subspace of a space (X, τ) and let $A, B \subseteq Y$. If A and B are S_p -separated sets in X , then A and B are τ_Y - S_p -separated sets.

Proof: Let A and B be two τ - S_p -separated sets implies that $S_p\text{cl}(A) \cap B = \emptyset$ and $A \cap S_p\text{cl}(B) = \emptyset$. But since Y is open subspace of X so by [Lemma 3.4], $S_p\text{cl}_Y(A) \subseteq S_p\text{cl}(A)$ and $S_p\text{cl}_Y(B) \subseteq S_p\text{cl}(B)$ implies that $S_p\text{cl}_Y(A) \cap B = \emptyset$ and $A \cap S_p\text{cl}_Y(B) = \emptyset$. Thus A and B are τ_Y - S_p -separated sets in Y .

Theorem 3.7: Let Y be a regular closed subset of a space (X, τ) and $A, B \subseteq Y$. If A and B are τ_Y - S_p -separated sets in Y , then they are τ - S_p -separated sets in X .

Proof: Let A and B be τ_Y - S_p -separated sets in Y . Then $S_p\text{cl}_Y(A) \cap B = \emptyset$ and $A \cap S_p\text{cl}_Y(B) = \emptyset$. Since Y is regular closed subspace of X , so by [Lemma 3.5], $S_p\text{cl}(A) \subseteq S_p\text{cl}_Y(A)$ and $S_p\text{cl}(B) \subseteq S_p\text{cl}_Y(B)$ this implies that $S_p\text{cl}(A) \cap B = \emptyset$ and $A \cap S_p\text{cl}(B) = \emptyset$. Thus A and B are τ - S_p -separated sets in X .

Proposition 3.8: Two S_p -closed (S_p -open) subsets of a space X are S_p -separated if and only if they are disjoint.

Proof: Necessity. Let A and B be two disjoint S_p -closed sets in X . Then $A \cap B = \emptyset$ and since they are S_p -closed sets in X so by [Proposition 2.5], $S_p\text{cl}(A) = A$ also $S_p\text{cl}(B) = B$ this implies that $S_p\text{cl}(A) \cap B = \emptyset$ and $A \cap S_p\text{cl}(B) = \emptyset$. Thus A and B are S_p -separated sets.

Sufficiency: Obvious.

Definition 3.9: A space X is said to be S_p -connected space if it cannot be expressed as a union of two non-empty proper S_p -separated sets of X .

Proposition 3.10: Every semi-connected space is S_p -connected.

Proof: Let X be semi-connected space. Then X cannot be expressed as a union of two semi-separated sets, to show X is S_p -connected space if possible suppose that X is not S_p -connected, then there exists two S_p -separated sets A and B such that $X = A \cup B$. Now $S_p\text{cl}(A) \cap B = \emptyset$ and $A \cap S_p\text{cl}(B) = \emptyset$, then by [Proposition 2.5], $s\text{cl}(A) \cap B = \emptyset$ and $A \cap s\text{cl}(B) = \emptyset$ this implies that by [Definition 2.15], A and B are semi-separated sets. Therefore, X can be written as a union of semi-separated sets this implies that X is semi-connected which is a contradiction. Thus X is S_p -connected space.

The converse of [Proposition 3.10] is not true in general as it is shown in the following example:

Example 3.11: Let $X = \{a, b, c\}$ and $\tau = \{\emptyset, X, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{a, b\}\}$. Then X is S_p -connected space but it is not semi-connected since

$X = \{a\} \cup \{b, c\}$, where $\{a\}$ and $\{b, c\}$ are semi-separated sets.

Corollary 3.12: Every β -connected space is S_p -connected.

Proof: Follows from [Definition 2.16] and [Proposition 3.10].

Theorem 3.13: A space X is S_p -connected if and only if there is no non-empty proper subset of X which is both S_p -open and S_p -closed.

Proof: Let X be S_p -connected space and there exists a non-empty proper subset A of X which is both S_p -open and S_p -closed. Then $B = X \setminus A$ is also non-empty S_p -open and S_p -closed, but $S_p \text{cl}(A) \cap B = A \cap B = \emptyset$ and $A \cap S_p \text{cl}(B) = A \cap B = \emptyset$ this implies that A and B are S_p -separated set and $X = A \cup B$, then X is not S_p -connected space which is a contradiction. Thus there is no non-empty proper subset of X which is both S_p -open and S_p -closed.

Conversely: Let the hypothesis be satisfied, to show X is S_p -connected space. If possible suppose that X is not S_p -connected space, then there exists S_p -separated sets A and B such that $X = A \cup B$. Since $S_p \text{cl}(A) \cap B = \emptyset$ implies that $A \cap B = \emptyset$, then $A = X \setminus B$ and now $S_p \text{cl}(A) \subseteq X \setminus B = A$ so A is S_p -closed set, and since $S_p \text{cl}(B) \cap A = \emptyset$ then $S_p \text{cl}(B) \subseteq X \setminus A = B$ this implies that B is S_p -closed set. Now $X \setminus B$ is S_p -open set, but $A = X \setminus B$; therefore A is a non-empty proper subset of X which is both S_p -open and S_p -closed that is a contradiction. Hence X must be S_p -connected space.

Corollary 3.14: A space X is S_p -connected if and only if the only subsets of X which are both S_p -open and S_p -closed sets are \emptyset and X .

Proof: Follows from [Theorem 3.13].

Proposition 3.15: A space X is S_p -connected if and only if X cannot be expressed as the union of two non-empty disjoint S_p -open sets.

Proof: Let X be S_p -connected space and if possible suppose that X there exists two disjoint non-empty S_p -open sets A and B such that $X = A \cup B$. Then by [Proposition 3.8], A and B are S_p -separated sets this implies that X is not S_p -connected space which is a contradiction. Thus X cannot be expressed as the union of two non-empty disjoint S_p -open sets.

Conversely: Let the hypothesis be satisfied and if possible suppose that X is not S_p -connected. Then there exist two S_p -separated sets A and B such that $X = A \cup B$, now since $S_p \text{cl}(A) \cap B = \emptyset$ implies that $A \cap B = \emptyset$, but $S_p \text{cl}(A) \subseteq X \setminus B = A$ this implies that A is S_p -

closed set and by the same way B is also S_p -closed set, and then A and B are also S_p -open sets implies that A and B are disjoint non-empty S_p -open sets such that $X = A \cup B$ which is a contradiction. Thus X must be S_p -connected space.

Corollary 3.16: If a space X is S_p -connected T_1 -space, then it is semi-connected.

Proof: Let X be an S_p -connected T_1 -space, then by [Theorem 3.15], X cannot expressed as the union of two non-empty disjoint S_p -open sets and since X is T_1 -space, so by [Proposition 2.12] X cannot expressed as the union of two non-empty disjoint semi-open sets. This implies that X is a semi-connected space.

Remark 3.17: A space X is S_p -connected if and only if it cannot be written as a union of two non-empty disjoint S_p -closed sets.

The property of S_p -connectedness is not hereditary as shown by the following example:

Example 3.18:- Let $X = \{a, b, c, d\}$ and $\tau = \{\emptyset, X, \{a\}, \{a, b\}, \{a, c\}, \{a, b, c\}\}$. Then $S_p \text{O}(X) = \{\emptyset, X\}$, so the only non-empty subset of X which is both S_p -open and S_p -closed is X itself, therefore by [Corollary 3.14], X is S_p -connected space. Now let $Y = \{b, c\}$, then $\tau_Y = \{\emptyset, Y, \{b\}, \{c\}\}$ and $S_p \text{O}(Y) = \tau_Y$ implies that Y can be expressed as the union of two non-empty disjoint S_p -open sets in Y . Thus Y is not S_p -connected subspace.

Theorem 3.19: Let A be S_p -connected set in X and C, D be S_p -separated sets of X such that $A \subseteq C \cup D$. Then either $A \subseteq C$ or $A \subseteq D$.

Proof: Let A be S_p -connected set in X and C, D be S_p -separated sets of X such that $A \subseteq C \cup D$ and let $A \not\subseteq C$ and $A \not\subseteq D$. Now Suppose that $A \cap C \neq \emptyset$ and $A \cap D \neq \emptyset$, since $A \cap (C \cup D) = A$ implies that $A = (A \cap C) \cup (A \cap D)$. But since C and D are S_p -separated sets so $S_p \text{cl}(C) \cap D = \emptyset$ and $C \cap S_p \text{cl}(D) = \emptyset$. Now $(A \cap C) \cap S_p \text{cl}(A \cap D) \subseteq (A \cap C) \cap S_p \text{cl}(D) = A \cap (C \cap S_p \text{cl}(D)) = \emptyset$ this implies that $(A \cap C) \cap S_p \text{cl}(A \cap D) = \emptyset$. By the same way we can get $S_p \text{cl}(A \cap C) \cap (A \cap D) = \emptyset$, so $A \cap C$ and $A \cap D$ are S_p -separated sets such that $A = (A \cap C) \cup (A \cap D)$ this implies that A is not S_p -connected set which is a contradiction. Thus either $A \subseteq C$ or $A \subseteq D$.

Theorem 3.20: Let X be a space such that any two elements x and y in X are contained in an S_p -connected subspace of X , then X is S_p -connected.

Proof: Suppose that X is not S_p -connected space, then X is the union of two non-empty S_p -separated sets A and B . Now since A and B are

non-empty sets, so there exists $a \in A$ and $b \in B$ this implies that by hypothesis a and b are contained in some S_p -connected subspace Y of X , but $X = A \cup B$ implies that $Y \subseteq A \cup B$ and then by [Theorem 3.19], either $Y \subseteq A$ or $Y \subseteq B$ this implies that either a, b are both in A or are both in B which is a contradiction. Hence X must be S_p -connected space.

Proposition 3.21: If U is an S_p -connected set in a space X , then $S_p\text{cl}(U)$ is also S_p -connected set in X .

Proof: Let U be S_p -connected set in a space X and $S_p\text{cl}(U)$ not S_p -connected in X . Then there exists two S_p -separated sets A and B in X such that $S_p\text{cl}(U) = A \cup B$, but $U \subseteq S_p\text{cl}(U)$ implies that $U \subseteq A \cup B$ and since U is S_p -connected set in X so by [Theorem 3.19] either $U \subseteq A$ or $U \subseteq B$. Now if $U \subseteq A$, then by [Proposition 2.5] $S_p\text{cl}(U) \subseteq S_p\text{cl}(A)$ and since $S_p\text{cl}(A) \cap B = \emptyset$ implies that $S_p\text{cl}(U) \cap B = B = \emptyset$ which is a contradiction. And if $U \subseteq B$, then by [Proposition 2.5] $S_p\text{cl}(U) \subseteq S_p\text{cl}(B)$ and $A \cap S_p\text{cl}(B) = \emptyset$ implies that $A \cap S_p\text{cl}(U) = A = \emptyset$ which is a contradiction. Then in both cases we get a contradiction. Hence $S_p\text{cl}(U)$ is an S_p -connected set in X .

Theorem 3.22: Let U and V be two subsets of a space X . If U is S_p -connected in X such that $U \subseteq V \subseteq S_p\text{cl}(U)$, then V is also S_p -connected set in X .

Proof: Let V be not S_p -connected set in X . Then there exists two S_p -separated sets A and B such that $V = A \cup B$, since $U \subseteq V$ this implies that $U \subseteq A \cup B$ and since U is S_p -connected set in X so by [Theorem 3.19] either $U \subseteq A$ or $U \subseteq B$. If $U \subseteq A$, then by [Proposition 2.5] $S_p\text{cl}(U) \subseteq S_p\text{cl}(A)$ and since A and B are S_p -separated sets so $S_p\text{cl}(U) \cap B = \emptyset$, but $A \cup B = V \subseteq S_p\text{cl}(U)$ this implies that $V \cap B = B = \emptyset$ which is a contradiction. By the same way if $U \subseteq B$ we get a contradiction. Thus V must be S_p -connected set in X .

Proposition 3.23: If for every non-empty S_p -open subset U of a space X , $S_p\text{cl}(U) = X$, then X is S_p -connected.

Proof: Suppose that X is not S_p -connected space. Then by [Proposition 3.15] there exists two non-empty disjoint S_p -open sets U and V such that $X = U \cup V$, now since $U \cap V = \emptyset$ this implies that $U = X \setminus V$ and $V = X \setminus U$ and then they are also non-empty S_p -closed sets in X ; therefore by [Proposition 2.5] $S_p\text{cl}(U) = U \neq X$ and $S_p\text{cl}(V) = V \neq X$ which is a contradiction to the hypothesis. Thus X is S_p -connected.

Remark 3.24: Let X be a δ -semi-connected space, then by [Lemma 2.17], X is semi-connected space and by [Proposition 3.10], X is S_p -connected space.

Proposition 3.25: If a space X is extremally disconnected (or locally indiscrete) preconnected space, then X is S_p -connected.

Proof: Suppose that X is not S_p -connected space this implies that by [Proposition 3.15], there exist two non-empty disjoint S_p -open sets U and V such that $X = U \cup V$. Since X is extremally disconnected (locally indiscrete) space so by [Corollary 2.19] or ([Theorem 2.10]), U and V are preopen sets in X this implies that by [Definition 2.16], X is not preconnected which is a contradiction. Thus X must be S_p -connected space.

Corollary 3.26: Let X be extremally disconnected PS -space. If X is preconnected (resp. connected) space, then X is S_p -connected.

Proof: Follows from [Proposition 3.25] and [Corollary 2.21].

Theorem 3.27: If a space X is disconnected, then X is not S_p -connected space.

Proof: Let X be disconnected space. Then by [Theorem 2.23] there exists a non-empty proper subset U of X which is both open and closed, and then $X \setminus U$ is open and closed set in X . But every clopen set is S_p -open set and $X = U \cup (X \setminus U)$ this implies that X is written as the union of two non-empty disjoint S_p -open sets so by [Proposition 3.15], X is not S_p -connected space.

From the above theorem we get the following result.

Corollary 3.28: Every S_p -connected space is connected.

Lemma 3.29: Any S_p - T_2 space which contains at least two distinct points is not S_p -connected space.

Proof: Let X be S_p - T_2 space contains at least two distinct points. Then by [Theorem 2.13] there exists an S_p -clopen set U containing one of them but not the other this implies that X contains a non-empty proper set which is both S_p -open and S_p -closed set; therefore by [Theorem 3.13] X is not S_p -connected space.

Theorem 3.30: For a locally indiscrete space X the following statements are equivalent:

1. X is S_p -connected space.
2. $S_p\text{cl}(U) = X$, for every non-empty S_p -open set U in X .
3. $U \cap V \neq \emptyset$, for any two non-empty S_p -open subsets U and V of X .

Proof: (1) \rightarrow (2)

Let X be S_p -connected space and let there exists a non-empty S_p -open set U in X such that $S_p\text{cl}(U) \neq X$. Then there exists $y \in X$ such that $y \notin S_p\text{cl}(U)$ this implies that there exists an S_p -open set V containing y such that $U \cap V \neq \emptyset$, and since U is semi-open set so by [Lemma 2.14] there exists an open set $G \subseteq U$ in X such that $\text{cl}(G) = \text{cl}(U)$ and $G \subseteq U$ so by [Remark 2.7] $\text{cl}(U)$ and $X \setminus \text{cl}(U)$ are semi-open sets in X . Now by [Lemma 2.8] $\text{cl}(U)$ is S_p -open set also by [Theorem 2.10] $\text{cl}(U)$ is preopen set this implies that $X \setminus \text{cl}(U) \neq \emptyset$ is semi-open and preclosed set in X ; therefore $X \setminus \text{cl}(U)$ is S_p -open set. But $X = \text{cl}(U) \cup (X \setminus \text{cl}(U))$ and $\text{cl}(U) \cap (X \setminus \text{cl}(U)) = \emptyset$ this implies that X is the union of two non-empty disjoint S_p -open sets, then by [Proposition 3.15] X is not S_p -connected which is a contradiction. Thus the condition (2) must be satisfied.

(2) \rightarrow (1)

Follows from [Proposition 3.23].

(2) \rightarrow (3)

Suppose that there exists two non-empty S_p -open sets U and V in X such that $U \cap V = \emptyset$. Since $U \neq \emptyset$ and $V \neq \emptyset$ so $S_p\text{cl}(U) \neq X$ this contradicts condition (2). Thus $U \cap V \neq \emptyset$, for any two non-empty S_p -open subsets U and V of X .

(3) \rightarrow (2)

Suppose that there exists a non-empty S_p -open set U such that $S_p\text{cl}(U) \neq X$. Then there exists $y \in X$ such that $y \notin S_p\text{cl}(U)$, so there exists an S_p -open set V containing y such that $U \cap V = \emptyset$ which contradicts condition (3). Hence the proof is complete.

Corollary 3.31: Every γ -connected space is S_p -connected.

Proof: Follows from [Lemma 2.24] and [Corollary 3.12].

Corollary 3.32: Every B - SP -connected (resp. P - SP -connected) space is S_p -connected.

Proof: Follows from [Theorem 2.25] and [Corollary 3.12].

Corollary 3.33: Every α - S -connected (resp. α - SP -connected, α - B -connected) space is S_p -connected space.

Proof: Follows from [Theorem 2.26] and [Proposition 3.10].

Corollary 3.34: Every S_p -connected space is α - P -connected.

Proof: Let X be S_p -connected space. Then by [Corollary 3.28] X is connected and by [Corollary 2.27] X is α - P -connected.

Proposition 3.35: If Y is a regular closed subspace of a locally indiscrete S_p -connected space X , then Y is S_p -connected subspace.

Proof: Let Y be a regular closed subspace of a locally indiscrete S_p -connected space. To show Y is S_p -connected subspace, let U be a non-empty S_p -open set in Y , since Y is regular closed in X then by [Lemma 2.4], U is S_p -open in X and since X is locally indiscrete S_p -connected space so by [Theorem 3.30], $S_p\text{cl}(U) = X$. But Y is regular closed in X , then by [Lemma 3.5], $S_p\text{cl}_Y(U) = X$ this implies that by [Theorem 3.30] Y is S_p -connected subspace.

Theorem 3.36: A space X is S_p -connected if there exists a locally indiscrete S_p -connected subspace such that Y is open and $S_p\text{cl}(Y) = X$

Proof: Let Y be a locally indiscrete S_p -connected subspace of a space X such that $S_p\text{cl}(Y) = X$ and Y be open in X . Now let A and B be two non-empty S_p -open sets in X , since $S_p\text{cl}(Y) = X$ and Y is open set in X , so by [Proposition 3.3], $A \cap Y$ and $B \cap Y$ are S_p -open sets in Y and they are non-empty also. But since Y is locally indiscrete S_p -connected subspace, so by [Theorem 3.30], $\emptyset \neq (A \cap Y) \cap (B \cap Y) \subseteq A \cap B$ this implies that by [Theorem 3.30], X is S_p -connected space.

Theorem 3.37: Let X be a space and let $\{C_\alpha: \alpha \in \Delta\}$ be a collection of S_p -connected sets in X such that $\bigcap_{\alpha \in \Delta} C_\alpha \neq \emptyset$. Then $\bigcup_{\alpha \in \Delta} C_\alpha$ is S_p -connected set in X .

Proof: Suppose that $\bigcup_{\alpha \in \Delta} C_\alpha$ be not S_p -connected in X , then $\bigcup_{\alpha \in \Delta} C_\alpha$ can be expressed as the union of two S_p -separated sets A and B this implies that $\bigcup_{\alpha \in \Delta} C_\alpha = A \cup B$. Now since for all $\alpha \in \Delta$, $C_\alpha \subseteq \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Delta} C_\alpha$ implies that $C_\alpha \subseteq A \cup B$ and since C_α is S_p -connected set in X for each $\alpha \in \Delta$, then by [Theorem 3.19] either $C_\alpha \subseteq A$ or $C_\alpha \subseteq B$ for all $\alpha \in \Delta$. If $C_\alpha \subseteq A$ for all $\alpha \in \Delta$, then $\bigcup_{\alpha \in \Delta} C_\alpha \subseteq A$ which is a contradiction of the assumption that A and B are S_p -separated of $\bigcup_{\alpha \in \Delta} C_\alpha$. By the same way if $C_\alpha \subseteq B$ we get a contradiction. Thus $\bigcup_{\alpha \in \Delta} C_\alpha$ must be S_p -connected set in X .

Proposition 3.38: A space X is S_p -connected if and only if each S_p -continuous function from X into a discrete two point space $\{a, b\}$ is constant.

Proof: Let X be S_p -connected space and $f: X \rightarrow \{a, b\}$ be S_p -continuous function, where Y is a discrete space of at least two points. Now since f is S_p -continuous so by [Theorem 2.29] for each $y \in f(X) \subseteq \{a, b\}$, $f^{-1}(\{y\})$ is S_p -open, S_p -closed and non-empty set in X . But since X is S_p -connected space, so by [Corollary 3.14],

$f^{-1}(\{y\}) = X$ this implies that $f(x) = y$ for all $x \in X$, then f is a constant function.

Conversely: Let the hypothesis be satisfied and suppose that X is not S_p -connected. Then by [Theorem 3.13], there exists a proper subset A of X which is both S_p -open and S_p -closed in X . This implies that $X \setminus A$ is also non-empty proper subset of X which is both S_p -open and S_p -closed in X . Now define a function $f: X \rightarrow \{a, b\}$ by setting $f(x) = a$ if $x \in A$ and $f(x) = b$ if $x \in X \setminus A$, since $\{a, b\}$ is discrete and $A \cap (X \setminus A) = \emptyset$, then the definition of f shows that $f^{-1}(\emptyset) = \emptyset$, $f^{-1}(\{a, b\}) = X$. Also $f^{-1}(\{a\}) = A$ and $f^{-1}(\{b\}) = X \setminus A$. Thus we have shown that the inverse image of every open set in $\{a, b\}$ is S_p -open in X , then by [Definition 2.28], f is S_p -continuous function, but f is not constant which is a contradiction. Hence X must be S_p -connected space.

Theorem 3.39: Let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be a surjective S_p -continuous function. If X is an S_p -connected space, then Y is connected.

Proof: Let X be S_p -connected and suppose that Y is disconnected, then by [Theorem 2.22], Y is the union of two non-empty disjoint open sets U and V of Y . Since f is S_p -continuous function so by [Definition 2.28] $f^{-1}(U)$ and $f^{-1}(V)$ are non-empty disjoint S_p -open sets in X , but $f(X) = Y = U \cup V$ this implies that $X = f^{-1}(U) \cup f^{-1}(V)$, and then X is the union of two non-empty disjoint S_p -open sets which implies that X is not S_p -connected this is a contradiction. Thus Y is connected.

Theorem 3.40: Let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be a surjective irresolute function. If X is an semi-connected space, then Y is S_p -connected.

Proof: Let X be s -connected and Y is not an S_p -connected space. Then by [Proposition 3.15], there exist two disjoint non-empty S_p -open sets U and V such that $Y = U \cup V$, and since f is irresolute and U, V are semi-open in Y sets, so by [Definition 2.28], $f^{-1}(U)$ and $f^{-1}(V)$ are also non-empty disjoint semi-open sets in X . Now $f(X) = Y = U \cup V$ this implies that $X = f^{-1}(U) \cup f^{-1}(V)$; therefore X is the union of two non-empty disjoint semi-open sets, and then by [Definition 2.15], X is not semi-connected space which is a contradiction. Thus Y must be S_p -connected space.

Theorem 3.41: Let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be a surjective open continuous function. If X is S_p -connected space, then Y is also S_p -connected.

Proof: Let Y be not S_p -connected space. Then by [Proposition 3.15], Y can be expressed as the

union of two non-empty disjoint S_p -open sets U and V in Y , but since f is continuous and open function so by [Proposition 2.30], $f^{-1}(U)$ and $f^{-1}(V)$ are non-empty disjoint S_p -open sets in X . And since $f(X) = Y = U \cup V$ implies that $X = f^{-1}(U) \cup f^{-1}(V)$, then by [Proposition 3.15], X is not S_p -connected which is a contradiction. Thus Y must be S_p -connected space.

Theorem 3.42: Let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be a surjective s -continuous function. If X is connected space, then Y is S_p -connected space.

Proof: Let Y be not S_p -connected space. Then by [Proposition 3.15], there exists two disjoint non-empty S_p -open sets U and V in Y such that $Y = U \cup V$. Since f is s -continuous and U, V are semi-open sets in Y , so from [Definition 2.28] we have $f^{-1}(U)$ and $f^{-1}(V)$ are non-empty disjoint open sets in X , but $f(X) = Y = U \cup V$ implies that $X = f^{-1}(U) \cup f^{-1}(V)$ and then by [Theorem 2.22] X is disconnected which is a contradiction. Thus Y must be S_p -connected space.

REFERENCES

- Abd-El-Monsef M. E., El-Deeb S. N. and Mahmoud R. A., (1983), " β -open sets and β -continuous mappings", *Bull. Fac. Assint*, Vol. 12, pp. 77-90.
- Andrijevic D., (1996), "on b -open sets", *Mat. Vesnik*, Vol. 48, pp. 59-64.
- Crossley S. G., and Hildebrand S. K., (1971), "Semi-closure", *Texas J. Sci.*, Vol. 22, pp. 99-112.
- Crossley S. G. and Hildebrand S. K., (1972), "Semi-topological properties", *Fundamenta Mathematicae*, Vol. 74, pp. 233-254.
- Dontchev J., (1998), "Survey on preopen sets", *ArXiv: Math.*, Vol. 1, pp. 1-18.
- Duszynski Z., (2006), "On some concepts of weak connectedness of topological spaces", *Acta Math. Hungar.*, Vol. 110, pp. 81-90.
- Duszynski Z., (2011), " β -connectedness and S -connectedness of topological spaces", *Matematiqki Vesnik*, Vol. 63, No. 2, pp. 115-126.
- Ekici E., and Noiri T., (2008), "A note on almost δ -semicontinuous functions", *Bol. Soc. Paran. Mat.*, Vol. 26, pp. 65-70.
- El-Atik A. A., (1997), "A Study of some Types of Mappings on Topological Spaces", *M. Sc. thesis*, Tanta Univ. Egypt.
- Jafari S., and Noiri T., (2003), "Properties of β -connected spaces", *Acta Math. Hungar.*, Vol. 101 (3), pp. 227-236.
- Khalaf A. B., Shareef H. A., (2012), " S_p -separation axioms", *International Journal of Scientific & Engineering Research*, Vol. 3, pp. 1-6.

- Levine N., (1963), "Semi-open sets and semi-continuity in topological spaces", *Amer. Math. Monthly*, Vol. 70, pp. 36-41.
- Mashhour A. S., and Abd-El-Monsef M. E. and El-Deeb S. N., (1982), "On pre-continuous and weak pre-continuous mappings", *Proc. Math. Phys. Soc. Egypt*, Vol. 53, pp. 47-53.
- Muhammed B. A., (2005), "On a Special Type of Semi-open Sets in Topological Spaces", *M. Sc. Thesis*, University of Duhok, Iraq.
- Njastad O., (1965), "On some classes of nearly open sets", *Pacific J. Math.*, Vol. 15 (3), pp. 961-970.
- Noiri T., (1980), "A note on extremally disconnected spaces", *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, Vol. 79, No. 2, pp. 327-330.
- Pipitone V., and Russo G., (1975), "Spazi semiconnessi e spazi semiaperti", *Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo*, Vol. 24 (2), pp. 273-285.
- Sarker J. P., and Dasgupta H., (1985), "Locally semi-connectedness in topological spaces", *Indian J. Pure Appl. Math.*, Vol. 16 (12), pp. 1488-1494
- Shareef H. A., (2007), " S_p -open Sets, S_p -continuity and S_p -compactness in Topological Spaces", *M. Sc. Thesis*, University of Sulaimani, Iraq.
- Sharma J. N., and Chauhan J. P., (2011), "Topology (General and Algebraic)", Krishna Prakashan Media, Indai.
- Steen L. A. and Seebach J. A., (1970), "Counterexamples in Topology", Holt, Rinehart and Winston, Inc., New York.

حول الفضاءات المتصلة من النمط S_p

الملخص

في هذا البحث ادخلنا مفهوما جديدا للفضاءات المتصلة سميت بالفضاءات المتصلة من النمط S_p . هذا الصنف من الفضاءات يقع بين الفضاءات الشبه متصلة والفضاءات المتصلة. الكثير من خواص وصفات هذا المفهوم وجدت.

ل دور فالاهييين بيكفه ژ جورى S_p

كورتى

دفى فهكولينيدا مه جورهكى نوى ژ فالاهييين بيكفه دا نياسين بنافى فالاهييين بيكفه ژ جورى S_p . نهف جوره د كهفته دناف بهرا فالاهييين شتى- بيكفه و فالاهييين بيكفه. كهلك ساخلهتين وسيفاتين فى جورى هاتينه ديتن.

