



Development of polymorphic EST-SSR markers and characterization of the autotetraploid genome of sainfoin (*Onobrychis viciifolia*)

Shuheng Shen*, Xutian Chai*, Qiang Zhou, Dong Luo, Yanrong Wang and Zhipeng Liu

State Key Laboratory of Grassland Agro-ecosystems, Key Laboratory of Grassland Livestock Industry Innovation, Ministry of Agriculture and Rural Affairs, College of Pastoral Agriculture Science and Technology, Lanzhou University, Lanzhou University, Lanzhou, Gansu, China

*These authors contributed equally to this work.

ABSTRACT

Background. Sainfoin (*Onobrychis viciifolia*) is a highly nutritious, tannin-containing, and tetraploid forage legume. Due to the lack of detailed transcriptomic and genomic information on this species, genetic and breeding projects for sainfoin improvement have been significantly hindered.

Methods. In this study, a total of 24,630,711 clean reads were generated from 14 different sainfoin tissues using Illumina paired-end sequencing technology and deposited in the NCBI SRA database (SRX3763386). From these clean reads, 77,764 unigene sequences were obtained and 6,752 EST-SSRs were identified using *de novo* assembly. A total of 2,469 primer pairs were designed, and 200 primer pairs were randomly selected to analyze the polymorphism in five sainfoin wild accessions.

Results. Further analysis of 40 sainfoin individuals from the five wild populations using 61 EST-SSR loci showed that the number of alleles per locus ranged from 4 to 15, and the expected heterozygosity varied from 0.55 to 0.91. Additionally, by counting the EST-SSR band number and sequencing the three or four bands in one sainfoin individual, sainfoin was confirmed to be autotetraploid. This finding provides a high level of information about this plant.

Discussion. Through this study, 61 EST-SSR markers were successfully developed and shown to be useful for genetic studies and investigations of population genetic structures and variabilities among different sainfoin accessions.

Submitted 10 October 2018

Accepted 30 January 2019

Published 26 March 2019

Corresponding author
Zhipeng Liu, lzp@lzu.edu.cn

Academic editor
Francois van der Westhuizen

Additional Information and
Declarations can be found on
page 14

DOI 10.7717/peerj.6542

© Copyright
2019 Shen et al.

Distributed under
Creative Commons CC-BY 4.0

OPEN ACCESS

Subjects Agricultural Science, Molecular Biology, Plant Science

Keywords *Onobrychis viciifolia*, Autotetraploidy, Polymorphism, Genetic diversity, EST-SSR

INTRODUCTION

Sainfoin (*Onobrychis viciaefolia*) is a cross-pollinated, autotetraploid and perennial legume ($2n = 4x = 28$) that is commonly used as a silage. The nutritional value of sainfoin is universally recognized, and it is known to be rich in proteins and secondary metabolites. Sainfoin can also fix atmospheric nitrogen through its symbiotic relationship with rhizobia. The origin center of sainfoin is known as the Middle East and Central Asia. In China,

sainfoin is mainly grown in the northeast, north and northwest regions, including Gansu province. It does not cause bloat in grazing animals, and can provide palatable, high-quality forage (*Bhattarai, Coulman & Biligetu, 2016; Frame, 2005*). Sainfoin contains high levels of condensed tannins that sainfoin has shown to reduce parasites in the ruminant digestive tract and provide environmental benefits by reducing methane emissions from ruminant animals (*Malisch et al., 2015; Sottie et al., 2014; Bhattarai et al., 2018*).

In recent years, there was a renewed interest in sainfoin for its use in animal diets. Several studies indicated that the voluntary intake of sainfoin by grazing heifers is higher than alfalfa (*Medicago sativa*) (*Parker & Moss, 1981; Kempf et al., 2016*). *Scharenberg et al. (2007)* reported that sainfoin was more palatable than birdsfoot trefoil when given to sheep. However, sainfoin is a relatively understudied forage legume compared to alfalfa or clovers (*Trifolium* spp.). Therefore, exploitation and conservation of sainfoin germplasms became important. Also, knowledge of sainfoin genetic diversity and structures has become a prerequisite for successful sainfoin conservation programs (*Sun, Salomon & Bothmer, 2002*). To date, reports on sainfoin transcriptomes and genomics are very limited, and this hinders many genetic and breeding projects for this plant.

Simple sequence repeats (SSR) for microsatellite markers are tandem repeated mono-, di-, tri-, tetra-, penta- or hexa-nucleotide sequences that possess high information content, co-dominance and locus specificities and are easier to be detected compared to other molecular markers. SSR markers were successfully used to study genetic variation, genetic mapping, and molecular breeding for many plants (*Naghavi et al., 2007; Gupta, Langridge & Mir, 2010; Salem et al., 2010; Prasanna et al., 2010; Li et al., 2011*). Compared to genomic-SSRs, expressed sequence tag (EST) EST-SSRs were reported to provide higher levels of transferability across the related species, because EST-SSR markers were identified in the coding regions of the genome and the identified sequences are more conserved among homologous genes (*Wu et al., 2014*). EST-SSR markers have now been developed for many plant species using Illumina sequencing technologies. These plants include alfalfa (*Liu et al., 2013a*), wheat (*Gupta & Varshney, 2000*), adzuki bean (*Kang et al., 2015*), edible pea (*Nisar et al., 2017*), mung bean (*Chen et al., 2015*), and Siberian wildrye (*Zhou et al., 2016*). Current studies on sainfoin genetic diversity, map-based cloning, and molecular breeding lag behind many legume crops due mainly to the lack of genomic information. Only 101 polymorphic EST-SSRs were confirmed by individual sainfoin plants (*Kempf et al., 2016; Mora-Ortiz et al., 2016*). The current available EST-SSR primers are not sufficient for the studies on sainfoin genetic diversity, fingerprinting, and genetic mapping. These limitations have hindered the molecular breeding for sainfoin yield and nutritional value improvements.

Recent studies showed that next-generation transcriptome sequencing and Roche/454 genome sequencing technologies are effective solutions for generating large-scale genomic information in short periods of time and at reasonable costs, even for non-model plant species (*Wang et al., 2010*). Because these sequencing technologies also allow extensive investigations on alternative RNA splicing, discovery of novel transcripts, and identifications of gene boundaries at the single-nucleotide resolution level, massive parallel transcriptome sequencing has provided great opportunities to revolutionize studies of

plant transcriptomics. For example, EST-SSR markers can now be quickly developed using a bioinformatic data mining approach. Because EST-SSR markers have many advantages over genomic SSR markers during marker development, we decided to analyze the complex tetraploid sainfoin genome to develop useful EST-SSR markers for future studies. Compared with SNP markers, EST-SSRs are multi-allelic and they have a higher level of polymorphism and transferability across related species. These features make EST-SSR markers highly variable and useful for distinguishing closely related populations or varieties compared to genomic SSR markers. EST-SSR markers are known to be easily accessible, present in gene-rich regions, associated with transcription, useful for candidate gene identification, and transferrable between closely related species (Thiel *et al.*, 2003). We considered that the EST-SSR markers developed for sainfoin using an RNA-seq technology should benefit sainfoin improvement projects, such as genetic diversity analysis, germplasm identification, comparative genetics, phylogenetic relationship, QTL analysis, linkage mapping and marker-assisted selection.

In this study, our aim was to use transcriptome sequencing of 14 sainfoin tissues on the Illumina HiSeq2500 sequencing platform. The objective of this study was to achieve a valuable sequence resource and develop some high polymorphism EST-SSR markers that would allow a better understanding of the genetic diversity of sainfoin. By counting the EST-SSR band number and sequencing the bands in sainfoin individuals, we aimed to re-verify sainfoin autotetraploidy.

MATERIALS & METHODS

Tissue sampling and total RNA isolation

Sainfoin callus cells, emerging tidbits (<2 cm), young inflorescences (2–4 cm), inflorescences (4–6 cm), mature inflorescences (6–8 cm), developing seed pods (16 days after pollination (dap)), mature seed pods (24 dap), roots, germinated seeds (36 h after seed germination), young stems (less lignified), stems (moderately lignified), mature stems (highly lignified), young compound leaves, and mature compound leaves were harvested (Fig. 1). A total of 14 tissues in the Fig. 1, The callus cells were induced from inflorescences at 25 °C on solid MS medium containing 2,4-dichlorophenoxyacetic acid (3.0 mg/L) for 30 days under a 16/8 h (light/dark) light cycle (Ma *et al.*, 2012). (A–G and J–N) were harvested from the same individual plant, these tissues used in this study were from the same 2-year-old plants grown inside a greenhouse set at 22 °C and a 16/8 h (light/dark) light cycle at Lanzhou University, radicle and germ (H and I) were harvested from three seedlings germinated from seeds. The sampled tissues were immediately frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at –80 °C until use. Total RNA was isolated from 14 individually collected samples using the RNeasy Plant Mini Kit (Qiagen, Cat. #74904) as instructed. Concentrations of the RNA samples were determined using a NanoDrop ND1000 spectrophotometer (Thermo Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA).

cDNA library construction and sequencing

To better elucidate tissue-specific RNA transcription, each RNA sample was adjusted to 400 ng/μL. Twenty micrograms of total RNA was taken from each RNA sample and




Figure 1 Tissues used in this study. Samples were collected as described in the ‘Materials and Methods’ section. (A) Callus cells. (B) An emerging tidbit. (C) A young inflorescence. (D) An inflorescence. (E) Mature inflorescence. (F) Developing seed pods. (G) Mature seed pods. (H) Roots. (I) A germinated seed. (J) Young stems. (K) Stems. (L) Mature stems. (M) A young compound leaf. (N) A mature compound leaf. Bar = 1 cm.

Full-size  DOI: [10.7717/peerj.6542/fig-1](https://doi.org/10.7717/peerj.6542/fig-1)

pooled prior to cDNA library preparation using the mRNA-Seq Sample Preparation Kit (Illumina Inc., Beijing, China). Briefly, poly (A) mRNA was isolated from the pooled total RNA sample using magnetic oligo dT beads and used to synthesize first-strand cDNA with random hexamer primers and reverse transcriptase (Invitrogen, Beijing, China). Short cDNA fragments were purified using a MinElute PCR Purification Kit (Qiagen, Beijing, China), resuspended in an EB buffer (Qiagen), and poly A was added. Sequencing adapters were ligated to the short cDNA fragments, and the libraries were sequenced using the Illumina HiSeq2500 sequencing platform at the BioMarker Company (Beijing, China). Processing of fluorescent images for sequence base-calling and calculation of quality values were performed using the Illumina data processing pipeline, which yielded 100-bp paired-end reads.

Sequence assembly and annotation

Before assembly, the raw reads were filtered to remove poly A/T, low-quality sequences, and empty reads or reads with more than 10% of bases having $Q < 30$. The assembly of a *de novo* transcriptome using clean reads was performed using the short-read assembling program Trinity. Contigs were generated after combining the reads with a certain degree of sequence overlap. Paired-end reads were used to detect contigs from the same transcript and the distances between contigs. Scaffolds were produced using N, representing different sequences between two contigs but connecting these two contigs together. Gaps between scaffolds were filled with paired-end reads and the reads with the lowest numbers of Ns. The resulting sequences were referred to as unigenes. The EST-SSR annotation positions

of these unigenes were determined using BLASTX alignment (e -value $< 10^{-5}$) against the sequences in the databases: NR, Swiss-Prot, KEGG, COG, and unigene sequences. After NR annotation, unigene GO annotations were conducted using the Blast2GO algorithm. GO functional classifications of the unigenes were performed using the WEGO software.

Detection of EST-SSR markers and designing of primers

EST-SSR markers were detected in the assembled unigenes using the Simple Sequence Repeat Identification Tool. The EST-SSRs were considered to contain mono-, di-, tri-, tetra-, penta-, and hexa-nucleotides with a minimum of ten, six, five, five, five, and five repeats, respectively. EST-SSR primers were designed using the BatchPrimer3 software and synthesized by the Shanghai Sangon Biological Engineering Technology (Shanghai, China).

Sources of wild sainfoin populations

To produce results representing a wide range of sainfoin populations, we collected 40 individual wild sainfoin plants from five different locations (eight plants per location): Minqin, Jingyuan, Yuzhong, Huining and Maqu (Table S3). After air drying, leaves were taken from each plant and stored at room temperature until use.

DNA extraction

DNA was extracted from the harvested and dried leaves using the Nucleon Phytopure Genomic DNA extraction kit (Ezyp column plant genomic DNA extraction kit, Sangon Biotech Shanghai, China) on the samples described above using a protocol reported previously (Mora-Ortiz *et al.*, 2016). The quality of each isolated genomic DNA was examined using 0.8% agarose gel electrophoresis, and the concentration of each genomic DNA sample was determined using a NanoDrop 8000 spectrophotometer (Nanodrop Technologies Wilmington, DE). The DNA samples were diluted individually in TE buffer to 25 ng DNA/ μ L prior to PCR amplification.

Amplification of EST-SSRs using polymerase chain reaction (PCR)

A total of 200 primer pairs were produced for this study and used to amplify EST-SSRs from the genomic DNA samples from the 40 wild sainfoin plants. PCR amplifications were performed in 5- μ L reactions (0.5 μ L of DNA, 2.5 μ L of 2 \times mix (e.g., 0.5 μ L of 2 \times PCR buffer, 1 μ L of 1 mM dNTPs, 0.4 μ L of 25 mM MgCl₂, 0.1 μ L of Taq DNA polymerase), 0.5 μ L (5 pmol/ μ L) of forward and reverse primers, and 1 μ L of sterile distilled water). Three microliters was added to the PCR reaction in each tube, and PCR was performed using a PCR-100™ Thermal cycler set at 94 °C for 4 min, followed by 35 cycles of 94 °C for 1 min at a specific annealing temperature for 30 s and 72 °C for 20 s. The final extension was at 72 °C for 7 min. The resulting PCR products were resolved using 8.0% non-denaturing polyacrylamide gels (Lot# I20826, GelStain; Beijing TransGen Biotech. Co., Ltd., Beijing, China) after electrophoresis.

Construction of T vectors

Fragments of 200–500 bp were PCR amplified from sainfoin genomic DNA using the gene-specific primers. The PCR products were purified and these fragments were then

cloned into pGEM-T Easy Vector (Promega, Madison, WI, USA). The constructs were transferred into *E.coli* DH5 α using the freezing/heat-shock method, and sequenced by Shanghai Sangon Biological Engineering Technology using Sanger dideoxy (Shanghai, China).

Diversity analysis

The observed heterozygosity (H_o) was calculated as previously shown (Liu, Liu & Yang, 2007), and the corrected heterozygosity (H_e), corrected for sample size, and the average polymorphism information content (PIC) were analyzed using the ATETRA 1.2.a software program. Only specific bands that could be unambiguously scored across all individual plants were used in this study. A clustering analysis was used to generate a dendrogram using the unweighted pair-group method with arithmetic mean (UPGMA) and Nei's unbiased genetic distance with the NTSYSPC 2.0 software package. We used STRUCTURE 2.3.4 to generate a structure map.

RESULTS

RNA sequencing and *de novo* assembly

A cDNA library representing 14 different sainfoin tissues (Fig. 1) was sequenced, and a total of 26,912,927 raw reads were obtained (Table 1). After rigorous quality checks and data filtering, a total of 24,630,711 high-quality clean reads were obtained, and about 92% of them had quality (Q)-scores above Q_{30} . These clean reads together contained a total of 6,264,706,761 nucleotides (nt), without N, and about 45% GC content. The high-quality reads were deposited in the U.S. National Center for Biotechnology Information (NCBI) sequence read archive (SRA) database (SRX3763386). From these high-quality reads, a total of 2,678,687 contigs with a mean length of 72.26 bp and an N50 length of 69 bp were generated using *de novo* assembly. The total number of unigenes from the paired-end reads was 77,764, and 27,437 of them had distinct clusters. A total of 50,327 unigenes had distinct singletons, and the total length of these unigenes was 53,035,704 bp. The average length of a unigene was 682.01 bp with an N50 value of 1,209 bp. Of the 77,764 unigenes, the length of 50,327 unigenes ranged from 200 to 500 bp, the length of 22,096 unigenes ranged from 500 to 2,000 bp, and the length of 5,341 unigenes was above 2,000 bp (Table 1). Also, 36,353 of the 77,764 unigenes were successfully annotated according to the NR, Pfam, Swiss-Prot, KEGG, COG, and GO databases (Table 2), and 10,387 unigenes were assigned to COG classifications.

Frequency and distribution of EST-SSRs

A total of 6,752 potential EST-SSRs were identified in the 77,764 unigenes (Table 1) and used to design 2,469 primer pairs. Since 1,271 unigenes contained more than one EST-SSR, the types and distributions of the total 6,752 potential EST-SSRs were analyzed. As shown in Fig. S4, the density of single nucleotide repeats was the highest (88 of SSRs per Mb), followed by trinucleotide repeats (64 of SSRs per Mb). The most abundant repeat type was the mono-nucleotide repeat (2,906 repeats or 43.04% of the total repeats), followed by tri-nucleotide repeats (2,262, 33.50%), di-nucleotide repeats

Table 1 Summary of the *de novo* assembled sainfoin EST-SSRs.

Category	Items	Number
Raw reads	Total raw reads	26,912,927
	Total clean reads	24,630,711
	Total clean nucleotides (nt)	6,264,706,761
Clean reads	Q30 percentage	91.52%
	N percentage	0%
	GC percentage	44.98%
	Total number	2,678,687
	Total length (bp)	193,558,725
Contigs	Mean length (bp)	72.26
	N50 (bp)	69
	Total number	77,764
	Total length (bp)	53,035,704
	Mean length (bp)	682.01
Unigenes	N50 (bp)	1,209
	Distinct clusters	27,437
	Distinct singletons	50,327
	Total number of examined sequences	77,764
	Total number of identified SSRs	6,752
EST-SSRs	Number of SSR-containing sequences	4,988
	Number of sequences containing more than one SSR	1,271

Table 2 Functional annotation of sainfoin transcriptome.

Category	Number	Percentage (%)
NR annotation	35,421	46%
KOG Annotation	19,555	25%
Pfam Annotation	24,282	31%
Swiss-pro Annotation	21,973	28%
KEGG annotation	11,923	15%
COG annotation	10,387	13%
GO annotation	22,237	29%
All	36,353	47%

(1,287, 19.06%), quad-nucleotide repeats (263, 3.90%), hexa-nucleotide repeats (19, 0.28%), and penta-nucleotide repeats (15, 0.22%) (Table 3). Moreover, the EST-SSRs with five tandem repeats were the most common EST-SSRs (24.88%), followed by ten tandem repeats (22.07%), six tandem repeats (16.88%), eleven tandem repeats (10.19%), seven tandem repeats (7.09%), and the remaining tandem repeats (<5%) (Table 3). In addition, the GO enrichment for the 6,752 SSR-containing unigenes was done using the agriGO algorithm (<http://systemsbiology.cau.edu.cn/agriGOv2/index.php>) and the 971,445 unigenes available in the database as the reference. The results of the GO enrichment analysis indicated that the proportion of the “transcription”-related (GO:0003674) unigenes was significantly enriched (Fig. S5).

Table 3 Length distribution of EST-SSRs determined by the number of nucleotide repeats.

Number of repeats	Mono-	Di-	Tri-	Quad-	Penta-	Hexa-	Total	Percentage (%)
5	0	0	1,430	231	10	9	1,680	24.88
6	0	474	626	31	4	5	1,140	16.88
7	0	287	191	0	1	0	479	7.09
8	0	204	15	1	0	1	221	3.27
9	0	138	0	0	0	3	141	2.09
10	1,370	120	0	0	0	0	1,490	22.07
11	627	60	0	0	0	1	688	10.19
12	270	4	0	0	0	0	274	4.06
13	218	0	0	0	0	0	218	3.23
14	152	0	0	0	0	0	152	2.25
15	112	0	0	0	0	0	112	1.66
16	76	0	0	0	0	0	76	1.13
17	41	0	0	0	0	0	41	0.61
18	11	0	0	0	0	0	11	0.16
19	8	0	0	0	0	0	8	0.12
20	2	0	0	0	0	0	2	0.03
21	9	0	0	0	0	0	9	0.13
22	5	0	0	0	0	0	5	0.07
23	4	0	0	0	0	0	4	0.06
24	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0.01
Total	2,906	1,287	2,262	263	15	19	6,752	–
Percentage (%)	43.04	19.06	33.50	3.90	0.22	0.28	–	–

Development of EST-SSR markers

Using the SSR-containing unigene sequences, 200 primer pairs were randomly chosen from the 2,469 identified primer pairs, synthesized, and used to determine if the EST-SSR loci identified in this study were true-to-type EST-SSR loci in the sainfoin populations. Of the 200 primer pairs, 178 of them successfully amplified fragments from sainfoin genomic DNA during PCR, while the other 22 primer pairs failed. Also, 132 of the 178 PCR primer pairs amplified products of the expected size. Using genomic DNAs from the 40 different wild sainfoin plants (Table S3) as templates, 61 of the 132 primer pairs were found to be polymorphic (Fig. S6 and Table S4) and the other 71 primer pairs were monomorphic (Table S2).

Assessment of sainfoin genetic diversity

The 61 primer pairs mentioned above were used to analyze the genetic diversity among the population comprising 40 wild sainfoin plants from five different geographic locations. The result showed that a total of 459 alleles were present in the 61 polymorphic loci in the 40 different individuals, and the number of alleles per loci ranged from three to twelve with an average number of 7.52. The H_o , H_e , and PIC were estimated from 0.05 to 1.0 (mean value = 0.67), 0.55 to 0.91 (mean value = 0.77), and 0.51 to 0.88 (mean value = 0.74), respectively (Table S4). These 61 polymorphic loci or EST-SSR markers are unlinked and

have high degrees of universality among the assayed germplasms. Therefore, they are useful for studying biogeographic processes that shaped the current disjunctive distributions of sainfoin. Furthermore, PCR amplicons representing EST-SSRs from different sainfoin individuals were sequenced and the results showed that all of the sequenced alleles were homologous to the original locus from which the marker was designed.

Using NTSYSPC 2.0 software and the UPGMA method, a dendrogram was obtained (Fig. 2). The 40 sainfoin individuals can be divided into five distinct wild populations. The individuals in population 1 originated from Minqin, the individuals in population 2 were from Jingyuan, the individuals in population 3 were from Yuzhong, the individuals in population 4 were from Huining, and the individuals in population 5 were from Maqu (Table S3). The individual plants from the Jingyuan sampling site were assessed on related taxa within the sainfoin genus. Most of them were easily amplifiable and detectable across all genotypes, and just a few showed problems of amplification or scoring, most likely due to polymorphisms (insertions/deletions or base mutations) in primer regions or in regard to subspecies. However, most EST-SSR markers found in this study are highly useful for discriminating related sainfoin populations or related taxa, including wild species, subspecies, and subgenera. Consequently, we propose that these EST-SSR markers can be used in future studies on comparative genomics, genetic differentiation, and evolutionary dynamics within the sainfoin genus.

STRUCTURE 2.3.4 was used to generate a structure map. STRUCTURE analysis based on 459 loci representing EST-SSRs was performed to evaluate the genetic structure of the 40 wild sainfoin individuals. The highest ΔK was observed for $K = 6$ [$\Delta K(6) = 144$]. ΔK values for $K = 3-5$ and $K = 7$ were not significant ($\Delta K = 0.078-5.632$). The mean value of the log probability of the data was higher for $K = 6$ than for $K = 4$, and $K = 5$ [$\text{LnP}(D) K = 6 = -13190.22$, $\text{LnP}(D) K = 4 = -14038.11$, $\text{LnP}(D) K = 5 = -13599$] (Table S6). Therefore, six clusters were chosen as the most probable genetic structure of the wild sainfoin individuals. With $K = 6$, seven individuals from site 1 were assigned to cluster 1 with coefficient Q values ranging from 0.782 to 1.000; one individual from site 2 to cluster 2 with a Q value between 0.900 and 1.000; one from site 2 to cluster 3 with a Q value between 0.900 and 1.000; three from site 2 and three from site 3 to cluster 4 with Q values from 0.782 and 0.982; seven from site 4 to cluster 5 with Q values from 0.683 to 0.973; and eight from site 5 to cluster 6 with Q values from 0.714 and 0.965 (Fig. 3). Ten sainfoin individuals could not be assigned to any of the clusters due to high levels of admixture ($Q < 0.6$).

Furthermore, PCR amplicons of Vo61 and Vo157 EST-SSRs from single individuals were sequenced to check the authenticity of the SSR locus (Fig. S6 and Fig. 4). For individual 2 in accession II at the Vo61 locus, four amplicons with different AGAA repeats, (AGAA)₃, (AGAA)₄, (AGAA)₅, (AGAA)₆, were found by pGEM-T easy vector sequencing, while three amplicons with different ACC repeats, (ACC)₃, (ACC)₅, (ACC)₆, were found for individual 1 in accession II for the Vo157 locus.




Figure 2 Phylogenetic relationships among the 40 wild sainfoin individuals. The phylogeny tree was constructed using a neighbor-joining dendrogram in the Darwin software. The starting dataset was represented by the 61 best EST-SSRs. I–V, group number representing five different sampling locations. 1–8, sample number representing eight individual samples in the same group.

Full-size  DOI: [10.7717/peerj.6542/fig-2](https://doi.org/10.7717/peerj.6542/fig-2)




Figure 3 STRUCTURE analysis of the genetic structures of five vetch sainfoin. Six different colors represent six different clusters. (A) Pink, cluster 1; green, cluster 2; cyan, cluster 3; blue, cluster 4; yellow, cluster 5; and red, cluster 6. (B) The value of the predicted population size (Δk). Genetic structure of eight individuals in each of the five sainfoin sample populations is inferred by STRUCTURE using the EST-SSR markers dataset.

Full-size [DOI: 10.7717/peerj.6542/fig-3](https://doi.org/10.7717/peerj.6542/fig-3)




Figure 4 Comparative analysis of the DNA fragment peak spectrum for two selected EST-SSR loci among the same unit of *Onobrychis viciifolia*. (A) Vo61 selected individual from II-2. (B) Vo157 selected individual from II-1.

Full-size [DOI: 10.7717/peerj.6542/fig-4](https://doi.org/10.7717/peerj.6542/fig-4)

DISCUSSION

Transcriptome sequencing followed by *de novo* assembly was shown to be a useful tool for gene and molecular marker discovery for this study. Here, we showed that short reads obtained using Illumina paired-end sequencing of sainfoin cDNAs could be quickly assembled and used for transcriptome analysis, marker development and gene identification without a reference sainfoin genome. The results of our marker validation assay agreed with previous investigations on SSR markers of common bean (*Schmutz et al., 2014*) and SSR markers in other legume crops (*Kang et al., 2014*) where EST-SSR markers detect moderate polymorphism. *De novo* transcriptome sequencing was considered a crucial tool for gene function study and development of molecular markers (*Garg et al., 2011*; *Kaur et al., 2012*; *Duarte et al., 2014*; *Yates et al., 2014*). For legume plants, whole genome sequences of *Medicago* (*Young et al., 2011*), soybean (*Schmutz et al., 2010*), common bean (*Schmutz et al., 2014*), mung bean (*Kang et al., 2014*), and adzuki bean (*Kang et al., 2015*)

were reported. In this study, the assembled unigenes were analyzed by BLAST searching the available databases, and a total of 36,353 unigenes (47% of the assembled unigenes) were annotated. In addition, the identity of 46% of the assembled unigenes was obtained by BLASTX searching against the NR database, although this percentage was slightly lower than that reported for other plants, including orchid (49.25%) (Zhang *et al.*, 2013), sesame (53.91%) (Wei *et al.*, 2011), and litchi (59.65%) (Li *et al.*, 2013). It is possible that the current incomplete sainfoin genomic and transcriptomic information affected our annotation efficiency and left some sainfoin-specific genes unidentified.

In this study, a total of 6,752 potential EST-SSRs were identified in the 77,764 unigenes. The frequency of EST-SSRs was one SSR per 4.35-kb sequence, which is much higher than what was reported for pineapple (1 in 13 kb) (Ong, Voo & Kumar, 2012), and lotus (1 in 13.04 kb) (Pan *et al.*, 2010). However, this frequency is lower than what was reported for Levant cotton (1 in 2.4 kb) (Jena *et al.*, 2012), castor bean (1 in 1.77 kb) (Qiu *et al.*, 2010), and radish (1 in 3.45 kb) (Wang *et al.*, 2012). It was speculated that the estimated frequency of SSRs depended strongly on the size of the database, SSR search criteria, and mining tools used (Varshney, Graner & Sorrells, 2005). In our study, mono-repeats were the most abundant repeat type. Our GO enrichment analysis showed that unigenes related to the category “transcription” were significantly enriched. This finding agreed with our previous investigations on alfalfa and *Vicia sativa* using similar GO analyses of SSR-containing unigene approaches (Liu *et al.*, 2013a; Liu *et al.*, 2013b). The results of GO enrichment analysis of unigenes containing EST-SSR loci indicated that EST-SSR loci are not randomly distributed in the transcriptome and it preference exists in transcription factors (Fig. S5C). These results are consistent with previous studies (Luo *et al.*, 2015; Zhou *et al.*, 2016).

The analysis of transcriptomes from 14 distinct sainfoin individuals using the Illumina HiSeq™ 2500 platform generated a total of 24.63 million clean reads, equivalent to a total of 6,264,706,761 bp length. Approximately 91.5% of the clean reads had Phred quality scores at the Q30 level and an N percentage (percentage of ambiguous “N” bases) of 0. The quality of the clean reads indicated a quality sequencing result. A total of 77,764 unigenes were assembled and had a mean unigene length of 682.01 bp. This mean length was greater than what was reported for tea (402 bp) (Tan *et al.*, 2013) and sweet potato (581 bp) (Wang *et al.*, 2010), possibly because the paired-end reads (100 bp) obtained in this study were longer than those (75 bp) used in previous studies (Jia *et al.*, 2015). It is noteworthy that the 100-bp paired-end reads obtained in this study were shorter than what was documented in other reports, including alfalfa (803 bp) (Liu *et al.*, 2013a) and seashore paspalum (970 bp) (Jia *et al.*, 2015). Thus, the longer mean unigene length obtained in this study may also contribute to the different parameters used during sequence assembly and the nature of the plant. In addition, we thought that the Illumina sequencing technology used in this study also helped to allow better discoveries of novel unigenes and marker development for sainfoin. A neighbor-joining dendrogram based on allele distances showed the genetic relationships among the 40 sainfoin individuals (Fig. 3).

ATETRA 1.2.a software was used to calculate the number of alleles per locus, allele size, Ho, He, genetic distances, genetic similarity between individual sainfoins, and PIC defined as a closely related diversity measure. The average PIC value obtained in this study

was 0.74, which is higher than that (0.43) reported for sainfoin (*Mora-Ortiz et al., 2016*). The difference between the two PIC values might be caused by the different materials and different loci used in these two studies. For example, the EST-SSRs used in our study were from 14 different sainfoin tissues, but the markers used by Mora-Ortiz et al. were generated from 7-day-old seedlings. Our study focused on 40 wild sainfoin individuals, and Mora-Ortiz et al. used 32 sainfoin individuals representing distinct varieties or landraces. Additionally, the 61 highest polymorphic EST-SSR markers were selected from 200 EST-SSR primer pairs in our study.

The dendrogram and STRUCTURE map showed no clear relationship between the clustering pattern and geographical distance, which may be due to the lack of adequate accession numbers and the fact that these sainfoin accessions were sampled from adjacent areas, where the frequent exchange of sainfoin germplasm may obscure an existing pattern following the geographical origin of the accessions. In addition, this vague geographical pattern may be related to the autotetraploid characteristics of sainfoin. Therefore, the use of a higher number of accessions from close geographical locations and more individual plants per accession will be essential for verifying the genetic diversity of sainfoin in future studies.

In heterologous hexaploid wheat, there are one or two amplicons in a single individual at an SSR locus (*Yang, Peng & Yang, 2016; Sipahi et al., 2017*). The autotetraploid plants alfalfa (*Liu, Liu & Yang, 2007*) and potato (*Chandel et al., 2015*) show one, two, three or four amplicons in single individuals at an SSR locus. We found that the number of bands in sainfoin individuals ranges from one amplicon to four amplicons. For example, one band was found at Vo 61 in the No. 7 plant in accession II, two bands in the No. 4 plant, three bands in the No. 3 plant, and four bands in the No. 2 plant (*Fig. S6*). Additionally, by sequencing the four bands in the No. 2 plant, different AGAA repeats were found in the four amplicons (AGAA)₃, (AGAA)₄, (AGAA)₅, (AGAA)₆ (*Fig. 4*). In the previous study, there are some reports claimed that sainfoin is autotetraploid (*Hayot Carbonero et al., 2013; Mora-Ortiz et al., 2016*). The sequencing results of EST-SSR alleles in our study are consistent with the characteristics of autotetraploid. The results provided new evidence from EST-SSR molecular markers that sainfoin is autotetraploid.

CONCLUSIONS

In this study, a total of 24,630,711 clean reads were generated from 14 different sainfoin tissue samples using Illumina paired-end sequencing technology. The reads were deposited into the NCBI SRA database ([SRX3763386](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/sra/SRX3763386)). From these clean reads, 77,764 unigene sequences were identified and resulted in 6,752 EST-SSRs. Using this information, 61 novel EST-SSR markers were developed for sainfoin and successfully used to confirm the genetic diversities among the 40 randomly collected wild sainfoin individuals, representing five different geographic regions. Additionally, sainfoin was re-verified to be autotetraploid by counting the EST-SSRs band number and sequencing the bands in one sainfoin individual. These 61 EST-SSR markers have relatively high degrees of polymorphism and can be used

in studies on genetic diversity, cultivar identification, sainfoin evolution, linkage mapping, comparative genomics, and/or marker-assisted selection breeding of sainfoin.

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION AND DECLARATIONS

Funding

This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China, Grant/Award Numbers: 31722055, 31672476, and 31730093; Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities, Grant/Award Numbers: lzujbky-2017-ot22 and lzujbky-2017-it08. The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

Grant Disclosures

The following grant information was disclosed by the authors:

National Natural Science Foundation of China: 31722055, 31672476, 31730093.

Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities: lzujbky-2017-ot22, lzujbky-2017-it08.

Competing Interests

The authors declare there are no competing interests.

Author Contributions

- Shuheng Shen performed the experiments, analyzed the data, contributed reagents/materials/analysis tools, prepared figures and/or tables, authored or reviewed drafts of the paper, approved the final draft.
- Xutian Chai performed the experiments, analyzed the data, prepared figures and/or tables.
- Qiang Zhou approved the final draft.
- Dong Luo analyzed the data.
- Yanrong Wang conceived and designed the experiments, contributed reagents/materials/analysis tools.
- Zhipeng Liu conceived and designed the experiments, contributed reagents/materials/analysis tools, prepared figures and/or tables, authored or reviewed drafts of the paper, approved the final draft.

Data Availability

The following information was supplied regarding data availability:

Data is available via the NCBI SRA database ([SRX3763386](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/sra/SRX3763386)).

Supplemental Information

Supplemental information for this article can be found online at <http://dx.doi.org/10.7717/peerj.6542#supplemental-information>.

REFERENCES

- Bhattarai S, Coulman B, Biligetu B. 2016.** Sainfoin (*Onobrychis viciifolia*): renewed interest as a forage legume for Western Canada. *Canadian Journal of Plant Science* **96**(5):748–756 DOI [10.1139/cjps-2015-0378](https://doi.org/10.1139/cjps-2015-0378).
- Bhattarai S, Coulman B, Beattie AD, Biligetu B. 2018.** Assessment of sainfoin (*Onobrychis viciifolia* Scop.) germplasm for agro-morphological traits and nutritive value. *Grass and Forage Science* **73**(4):958–966 DOI [10.1111/gfs.12372](https://doi.org/10.1111/gfs.12372).
- Chandel P, Tiwari JK, Ali N, Devi S, Sharma S, Sharma S, Luthra SK, Singh BP. 2015.** Interspecific potato somatic hybrids between *Solanum tuberosum* and *S. cardiophyllum*, potential sources of late blight resistance breeding. *Plant Cell Tissue and Organ Culture* **123**(3):579–589 DOI [10.1007/s11240-015-0862-8](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11240-015-0862-8).
- Chen HL, Wang LX, Wang SH, Liu CJ, Blair MW, Cheng XZ. 2015.** Transcriptome sequencing of mung bean (*Vigna radiate* L.) genes and the identification of EST-SSR markers. *PLOS ONE* **10**:e0120273 DOI [10.1371/journal.pone.0120273](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0120273).
- Duarte J, Rivière N, Baranger A, Aubert G, Burstin J, Cornet L, Lavaud C, Lejeune-Hénaut I, Martinant J-P, Pichon J-P, Pilet-Nayel M-L, Boutet G. 2014.** Transcriptome sequencing for high through put SNP development and genetic mapping in Pea. *BMC Genomics* **15**:126 DOI [10.1186/1471-2164-15-126](https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2164-15-126).
- Frame J. 2005.** *Forage legumes for temperate grasslands*. Enfield: FAO Science Publishers Inc., 309.
- Garg R, Patel RK, Tyagi AK, Jain M. 2011.** *De novo* assembly of chick pea transcriptome using short reads for gene discovery and marker identification. *DNA Research* **18**(1):53–63 DOI [10.1093/dnares/dsq028](https://doi.org/10.1093/dnares/dsq028).
- Gupta PK, Langridge P, Mir RR. 2010.** Marker-assisted wheat breeding: present status and future possibilities. *Molecular Breeding* **26**(2):145–161 DOI [10.1007/s11032-009-9359-7](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11032-009-9359-7).
- Gupta PK, Varshney RK. 2000.** The development and use of microsatellite markers for genetic analysis and plant breeding with emphasis on bread wheat. *Euphytica* **113**(3):163–185 DOI [10.1023/a:1003910819967](https://doi.org/10.1023/a:1003910819967).
- Hayot Carbonero C, Carbonero F, Smith LMJ, Brown TA. 2013.** Cytological characterisation of the underutilized forage crop *Onobrychis viciifolia* Scop. and other members of the *Onobrychis* genus. *Genetic Resources and Crop Evolution* **60**(7):1987–1996 DOI [10.1007/s10722-013-9967-2](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10722-013-9967-2).
- Jena SN, Srivastava A, Rai KM, Ranjan A, Singh SK, Nisar T, Srivastava M, Bag SK, Mantri S, Asif MH, Yadav HK, Tuli R, Sawant SV. 2012.** Development and characterization of genomic and expressed SSRs for levant cotton (*Gossypium herbaceum* L.). *Theoretical and Applied Genetics* **124**(3):565–576 DOI [10.1007/s00122-011-1729-y](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00122-011-1729-y).
- Jia XP, Deng YM, Sun XB, Liang LJ, Ye XQ. 2015.** Characterization of the global transcriptome using Illumina sequencing and novel microsatellite marker information in *seashore paspalum*. *Genes and Genomics* **37**(1):77–86 DOI [10.1007/s13258-014-0231-8](https://doi.org/10.1007/s13258-014-0231-8).
- Kang YJ, Kim SK, Kim MY, Lestari P, Kim KH, Ha BK, Jun TH, Hwang WJ, Lee T, Lee J, Shim S, Yoon MY, Jang YE, Han KS, Taerayoon P, Yoon N, Somta P, Tanya P,**

- Kim KS, Gwag J-G, Moon J-K, Lee Y-H, Park B-S, Bombarely A, Doyle JJ, Jackson SA, Schafleitner R, Srinives P, Varshney RK, Lee S-H. 2014. Genome sequence of mungbean and insights into evolution within *Vigna* species. *Nature Communications* 5:Article 5443 DOI 10.1038/ncomms6443.
- Kang YJ, Satyawand D, Shim S, Lee T, Lee J, Hwang WJ, Kim SK, Lestari P, Laosatit K, Kim KH, Ha TJ, Chitikineni A, Kim MY, Ko J-M, Gwag J-G, Moon J-K, Lee Y-H, Park B-S, Varshney RK, Lee S-H. 2015. Draft genome sequence of adzuki bean, *Vigna angularis*. *Scientific Reports* 5:8069 DOI 10.1038/srep08069.
- Kaur S, Pembleton LW, Cogan NO, Savin KW, Leonforte T, Paull J, Materne M, Forster J. 2012. Transcriptome sequencing of field pea and faba bean for discovery and validation of SSR genetic markers. *BMC Genomics* 13:104 DOI 10.1186/1471-2164-13-104.
- Kempf K, Mora-Ortiz M, Smith LM, Kölliker R, Skøt L. 2016. Characterization of novel SSR markers in diverse sainfoin (*Onobrychis viciifolia*) germplasm. *BMC Genetics* 17:124 DOI 10.1186/s12863-016-0431-0.
- Li HT, Chen X, Yang Y, Xu JS, Gu JX, Fu J, Qian XJ, Zhang SC, Wu JS, Liu KD. 2011. Development and genetic mapping of microsatellite markers from whole genome shotgun sequences in *Brassica oleracea*. *Molecular Breeding* 28(4):585–596 DOI 10.1007/s11032-010-9509-y.
- Li CQ, Wang Y, Huang XM, Li J, Wang HC, Li JG. 2013. *De novo* assembly and characterization of fruit transcriptome in *Litchi chinensis* Sonn and analysis of differentially regulated genes in fruit in response to shading. *BMC Genomics* 14:552 DOI 10.1186/1471-2164-14-552.
- Liu ZP, Chen TL, Ma LC, Zhao ZG, Zhao PX, Nan ZB, Wang YR. 2013a. Global transcriptome sequencing using the Illumina platform and the development of EST-SSR markers in autotetraploid alfalfa. *PLOS ONE* 8(12):e83549 DOI 10.1371/journal.pone.0083549.
- Liu ZP, Liu GS, Yang QC. 2007. A novel statistical method for assessing SSR variation in autotetraploid alfalfa (*Medicago sativa* L.). *Genetics and Molecular Biology* 30(2):385–391 DOI 10.1590/S1415-47572007000300015.
- Liu ZP, Ma LC, Nan ZB, Wang YR. 2013b. Comparative transcriptional profiling provides insights into the evolution and development of the zygomorphic flower of *Vicia sativa* (Papilionoideae). *PLOS ONE* 8:e57338 DOI 10.1371/journal.pone.0057338.
- Luo D, Zhou Q, Ma LC, Xie WG, Wang YR, Liu ZP. 2015. Novel polymorphic expressed-sequence tag–simple-sequence repeat markers *Campeiosachys nutans* in for genetic diversity analyses. *Crop Science* 55(6):2712–2718 DOI 10.2135/cropsci2015.01.0012.
- Ma XF, Tudor S, Butler T, Ge YX, Xi YJ, Bouton J, Harrison M, Wang ZY. 2012. Transgenic expression of phytase and acid phosphatase genes in alfalfa (*Medicago sativa*) leads to improved phosphate uptake in natural soils. *Molecular Breeding* 30(1):377–391 DOI 10.1007/s11032-011-9628-0.
- Malisch CS, Luscher A, Baert N, Engstrom MT, Studer B, Frygas C, Salminen JP. 2015. Large variability of proanthocyanidin content and composition in

- sainfoin (*Onobrychis viciifolia*). *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry* **63**(47):10234–10242 DOI [10.1021/acs.jafc.5b04946](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jafc.5b04946).
- Mora-Ortiz M, Swain MT, Vickers MJ, Hegarty MJ, Kelly R, Smith LM, Skøt L. 2016.** *De novo* transcriptome assembly for gene identification, analysis, annotation, and molecular marker discovery in *Onobrychis viciifolia*. *BMC Genomics* **17**:756 DOI [10.1186/s12864-016-3083-6](https://doi.org/10.1186/s12864-016-3083-6).
- Naghavi MR, Mardi M, Pirseyedi SM, Kazemi M, Potki P, Ghaffari MR. 2007.** Comparison of genetic variation among accessions of *Aegilops tauschii* using AFLP and SSR markers. *Genetic Resources and Crop Evolution* **54**(2):237–240 DOI [10.1007/s10722-006-9143-z](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10722-006-9143-z).
- Nisar M, Khan A, Wadood SF, Shah AA, Hanci F. 2017.** Molecular characterization of edible pea through EST-SSR markers. *Turkish Journal of Botany* **41**:338–346 DOI [10.3906/bot-1608-17](https://doi.org/10.3906/bot-1608-17).
- Ong WD, Voo CL, Kumar SV. 2012.** Development of ESTs and data mining of pineapple EST-SSRs. *Molecular Biology Reports* **39**(5):5889–5896 DOI [10.1007/s11033-011-1400-3](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11033-011-1400-3).
- Pan L, Xia QJ, Quan ZW, Liu HG, Ke WD, Ding Y. 2010.** Development of novel EST-SSRs from sacred lotus (*Nelumbon ucifera* Gaertn) and their utilization for the genetic diversity analysis of *N. nucifera*. *Journal of Heredity* **101**(1):71–82 DOI [10.1093/jhered/esp070](https://doi.org/10.1093/jhered/esp070).
- Parker RJ, Moss BR. 1981.** Nutritional value of sainfoin hay compared with alfalfa hay 1. *Journal of Dairy Science* **64**(2):206–210 DOI [10.3168/jds.S0022-0302\(81\)82555-6](https://doi.org/10.3168/jds.S0022-0302(81)82555-6).
- Prasanna BM, Pixley K, Warburton ML, Xie CX. 2010.** Molecular marker-assisted breeding options for maize improvement in Asia. *Molecular Breeding* **26**(2):339–356 DOI [10.3168/jds.S0022-0302\(81\)82555-6](https://doi.org/10.3168/jds.S0022-0302(81)82555-6).
- Qiu L, Yang C, Bo T, Yang JB, Liu A. 2010.** Exploiting EST databases for the development and characterization of EST-SSR markers in castor bean (*Ricinus communis* L.). *BMC Plant Biology* **10**:278 DOI [10.1186/1471-2229-10-278](https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2229-10-278).
- Salem KFM, Varshney RK, Röder MS, Börner A. 2010.** EST-SSR based estimates on functional genetic variation in a barley (*Hordeum vulgare* L.) collection from Egypt. *Genetic Resources and Crop Evolution* **57**(4):515–521 DOI [10.1007/s10722-009-9489-0](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10722-009-9489-0).
- Scharenberg A, Arrigo Y, Gutzwiller A, Wyss U, Hess HD, Kreuzer M, Dohme F. 2007.** Effect of feeding dehydrated and ensiled tanniferous sainfoin (*Onobrychis viciifolia*) on nitrogen and mineral digestion and metabolism of lambs. *Archives of Animal Nutrition* **61**:390–405 DOI [10.1080/17450390701565081](https://doi.org/10.1080/17450390701565081).
- Schmutz J, Cannon SB, Schlueter J, Ma J, Mitros T, Nelson W, Hyten DL, Song Q, Thelen JJ, Cheng J, Xu D, Hellsten U, May GD, Yu Y, Sakurai T, Umezawa T, Bhattacharyya MK, Sandhu D, Valliyodan B, Lindquist E, Peto M, Grant D, Shu S, Goodstein D, Barry K, Futrell-Griggs M, Abernathy B, Du J, Tian Z, Zhu L, Gill N, Joshi T, Libault M, Sethuraman A, Zhang X-C, Shinozaki K, Nguyen HT, Wing RA, Cregan P, Specht J, Grimwood J, Rokhsar D, Stacey G, Shoemaker RC, Jackson SA. 2010.** Genome sequence of the palaeopolyploid soybean. *Nature* **463**:178–183 DOI [10.1038/nature08670](https://doi.org/10.1038/nature08670).

- Schmutz J, McClean PE, Mamidi S, Wu GA, Cannon SB, Grimwood J, Jenkins J, Shu S, Song Q, Chavarro C, Torres-Torres M, Geffroy V, Moghaddam SM, Gao D, Abernathy B, Barry K, Blair M, Brick MA, Chovatia M, Gepts P, Goodstein DM, Gonzales M, Hellsten U, Hyten DL, Jia G, Kelly JD, Kudrna D, Lee R, Richard MMS, Miklas PN, Osorno JM, Rodrigues J, Thareau V, Urrea CA, Wang M, Yu Y, Zhang M, Wing RA, Cregan PB, Rokhsar DS, Jackson SA. 2014. A reference genome for common bean and genome-wide analysis of dual domestications. *Nature Genetics* 46:707–713 DOI 10.1038/ng.3008.
- Sipahi H, Sipahi H, Baum M, Baum M, Baum M. 2017. Construction of new EST-SSRs for Fusarium resistant wheat breeding. *Computational Biology and Chemistry* 68:22–28 DOI 10.1016/j.compbiolchem.2017.02.003.
- Sottie ET, Acharya SN, McAllister T, Thomas J, Wang Y, Iwaasa A. 2014. Alfalfa pasture bloat can be eliminated by intermixing with newly-developed sainfoin population. *Agronomy Journal* 106(4):1470–1478 DOI 10.2134/ Agronj13.0378.
- Sun GL, Salomon B, Bothmer RV. 2002. Microsatellite polymorphism and genetic differentiation in three Norwegian populations of *Elymus alaskanus* (Poaceae). *Plant Systematics and Evolution* 234(1–4):101–110 DOI 10.1007/s00606-002-0211-3.
- Tan LQ, Wang LY, Wei K, Zhang CC, Wu LY, Qi GN, Cheng H, Zhang Q, Cui Q-M, Liang J-B. 2013. Floral transcriptome sequencing for SSR marker development and linkage map construction in the tea plant (*Camellia sinensis*). *PLOS ONE* 8(11):e81611 DOI 10.1371/journal.pone.0081611.
- Thiel T, Michalek W, Varshney RK, Graner A. 2003. Exploiting EST databases for the development and characterization of gene-derived SSR-markers in barley (*Hordeum vulgare* L.). *Theoretical and Applied Genetics* 106(3):411–422 DOI 10.1007/s00122-002-1031-0.
- Varshney RK, Graner A, Sorrells ME. 2005. Genic microsatellite markers in plants: features and applications. *Trends in Biotechnology* 23(1):48–55 DOI 10.1016/j.tibtech.2004.11.005.
- Wang ZY, Fang BP, Chen JY, Zhang XJ, Luo ZX, Huang LF, Chen XL, Li YJ. 2010. *De novo* assembly and characterization of root transcriptome using Illumina paired-end sequencing and development of cSSR markers in sweet potato (*Ipomoea batatas*). *BMC Genomics* 11:726 DOI 10.1186/1471-2164-11-726.
- Wang SF, Wang XF, He QW, Liu XX, Xu WL, Li LB, Gao JW, Wang FD. 2012. Transcriptome analysis of the roots at early and late seedling stages using Illumina paired-end sequencing and development of EST-SSR markers in radish. *Plant Cell Reports* 31:1437–1447 DOI 10.1007/s00299-012-1259-3.
- Wei WL, Qi XQ, Wang LH, Zhang YX, Wei H, Li DH, Lv HX, Zhang XR. 2011. Characterization of the sesame (*Sesamum indicum* L.) global transcriptome using Illumina paired-end sequencing and development of EST-SSR markers. *BMC Genomics* 12:451 DOI 10.1186/1471-2164-12-451.
- Wu J, Cai CF, Cheng FY, Cui HL, Zhou H. 2014. Characterisation and development of EST-SSR markers in tree peony using transcriptome sequences. *Molecular Breeding* 34(4):1853–1866 DOI 10.1007/s11032-014-0144-x.

- Yang ZJ, Peng ZS, Yang H. 2016. Identification of novel and useful EST-SSR markers from *de novo* transcriptome sequence of wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.). *Genetics and Molecular Research* 15(1):Article 15017509 DOI 10.4238/gmr.15017509.
- Yates SA, Swain MT, Hegarty MJ, Chernukin I, Lowe M, Allison GG, Ruttink T, Abberton MT, Jenkins G, Skøt L. 2014. *De novo* assembly of red clover transcriptome based on RNA-Seq data provides insight into drought response, gene discovery and marker identification. *BMC Genomics* 15:453 DOI 10.1186/1471-2164-15-453.
- Young ND, Debelle F, Oldroyd GED, Geurts R, Cannon SB, Udvardi MK, Benedito VA, Oldroyd GED, Geurts R, Cannon SB, Udvardi MK, Benedito VA, Mayer KFX, Gouzy J, Schoof H, Van de Peer Y, Proost S, Cook DR, Meyers BC, Spannagl M, Cheung F, De Mita S, Krishnakumar V, Gundlach H, Zhou S, Mudge J, Bharti AK, Murray JD, Naoumkina MA, Rosen B, Silverstein KAT, Tang H, Rombauts S, Zhao PX, Zhou P, Barbe V, Bardou P, Bechner M, Bellec A, Berger A, Bergès H, Bidwell S, Bisseling T, Choisine N, Couloux A, Denny R, Deshpande S, Dai X, Doyle JJ, Dudez A-M, Farmer AD, Fouteau S, Franken C, Gibelin C, Gish J, Goldstein S, González AJ, Green PJ, Hallab A, Hartog M, Hua A, Humphray SJ, Jeong D-H, Jing Y, Jöcker A, Kenton SM, Kim D-J, Klee K, Lai H, Lang C, Lin S, Macmil SL, Magdelenat G, Matthews L, McCorrison J, Monaghan EL, Mun J-H, Najjar FZ, Nicholson C, Noirot C, O’Bleness M, Paule CR, Poulain J, Prion F, Qin B, Qu C, Retzel EF, Riddle C, Sallet E, Samain S, Samson N, Sanders I, Saurat O, Scarpelli C, Schiex T, Segurens B, Severin AJ, Janine Sherrier D, Shi R, Sims S, Singer SR, Sinharoy S, Sterck L, Viollet A, Wang B-B, Wang K, Wang M, Wang X, Warfsmann J, Weissenbach J, White DD, White JD, Wiley GB, Wincker P, Xing Y, Yang L, Yao Z, Ying F, Zhai J, Zhou L, Zuber A, Dénarié J, Dixon RA, May GD, Schwartz DC, Rogers J, Quétier F, Town CD, Roe BA. 2011. The *Medicago* genome provides insight into the evolution of rhizobial symbioses. *Nature* 480:520–524 DOI 10.1038/nature10625.
- Zhang JX, Wu KL, Zeng SJ, Silva JATD, Zhao XL, Tian CE, Xia HQ, Duan J. 2013. Transcriptome analysis of *Cymbidium sinense* and its application to the identification of genes associated with floral development. *BMC Genomics* 14:279 DOI 10.1186/1471-2164-14-279.
- Zhou Q, Luo D, Ma LC, Xie WG, Wang YR, Liu ZP. 2016. Development and cross-species transferability of EST-SSR markers in Siberian wildrye (*Elymus sibiricus* L.) using Illumina sequencing. *Scientific Reports* 6:20549 DOI 10.1038/srep20549.