

REVIEW

Advancing understanding, diagnosis, and therapies for cutaneous lupus erythematosus within the broader context of systemic lupus erythematosus [version 1; peer review: 3 approved]

Kristen L. Chen ^{1,2}, Rebecca L. Krain^{1,2}, Victoria P. Werth^{1,2}

¹Department of Dermatology, Corporal Michael J. Crescenz VAMC, 3900 Woodland Avenue, Philadelphia, PA 19104, USA ²Department of Dermatology, Perelman Center for Advanced Medicine, University of Pennsylvania, 3400 Civic Center Boulevard, Suite 1-330A, Philadelphia, PA 19104, USA

V1 First published: 25 Mar 2019, 8(F1000 Faculty Rev):332 (https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.17787.1)

Latest published: 25 Mar 2019, 8(F1000 Faculty Rev):332 (https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.17787.1)

Abstract

Cutaneous lupus erythematosus (CLE) is an autoimmune disease that can be associated with systemic lupus erythematosus (SLE) symptoms. The pathogenesis of both CLE and SLE is multifactorial, involving genetic susceptibility, environmental factors, and innate and adaptive immune responses. Despite the efficacy of current medications, many patients remain refractory, highlighting the necessity for new treatment options. Unfortunately, owing to challenges related in part to trial design and disease heterogeneity, only one new biologic in the last 50 years has been approved by the US Food and Drug Administration for the treatment of SLE. Thus, although SLE and CLE have a similar pathogenesis, patients with CLE who do not meet criteria for SLE cannot benefit from this advancement. This article discusses the recent trials and emphasizes the need to include patients with single-organ lupus, such as CLE, in SLE trials.

Keywords

cutaneous lupus erythematosus, systemic lupus erythematosus, clinical trials



F1000 Faculty Reviews are written by members of the prestigious F1000 Faculty. They are commissioned and are peer reviewed before publication to ensure that the final, published version is comprehensive and accessible. The reviewers who approved the final version are listed with their names and affiliations.

- Jan P. Dutz, University of British Columbia, 835
 West 10th Avenue, Vancouver, British Columbia, Canada
- 2 J. Michelle Kahlenberg, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, USA
- 3 Richard D. Sontheimer, University of Utah School of Medicine, Salt Lake City, USA

Any comments on the article can be found at the end of the article.

Corresponding author: Victoria P. Werth (werth@pennmedicine.upenn.edu)

Author roles: Chen KL: Writing – Original Draft Preparation, Writing – Review & Editing; Krain RL: Writing – Original Draft Preparation, Writing – Review & Editing; Werth VP: Conceptualization, Funding Acquisition, Supervision, Writing – Review & Editing

Competing interests: The authors are employed by the University of Pennsylvania, which owns the copyright for the CLASI.

Grant information: This project is supported by the Department of Veterans Affairs Veterans Health Administration, Office of Research and Development, Biomedical Laboratory Research and Development and National Institutes of Health (National Institute of Arthritis and Musculoskeletal and Skin Diseases) R01AR071653 (VPW).

The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

Copyright: © 2019 Chen KL *et al.* This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Licence, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

How to cite this article: Chen KL, Krain RL and Werth VP. Advancing understanding, diagnosis, and therapies for cutaneous lupus erythematosus within the broader context of systemic lupus erythematosus [version 1; peer review: 3 approved] F1000Research 2019, 8(F1000 Faculty Rev):332 (https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.17787.1)

First published: 25 Mar 2019, 8(F1000 Faculty Rev):332 (https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.17787.1)

Introduction

Lupus erythematosus (LE) is an autoimmune disease associated with a broad range of cutaneous LE (CLE) and systemic LE (SLE) symptoms¹. In the US and Europe, the incidence of CLE approximates that of SLE, ranging from 2.0 to 7.6 cases per 100,000 persons per year²⁻⁵. CLE is divided into three primary subsets: acute CLE, subacute CLE (SCLE), and chronic CLE⁶. Chronic CLE is subsequently categorized as discoid LE (DLE), hypertrophic LE, LE profundus, chilblain CLE, and lupus tumidus⁶. As suggested by these varied subtypes in CLE alone, lupus is a heterogeneous disease, making diagnosis and treatment challenging in some cases. Patients may be recalcitrant to first- and second-line therapies, underscoring the necessity for new treatments. This review will briefly touch on developments in CLE diagnostic criteria, pathogenesis, current treatment options, and challenges faced in drug trials. We will discuss findings in the most recent therapeutic trials for SLE and highlight the need to include patients with isolated cutaneous symptoms who do not meet criteria for SLE.

Diagnostic criteria

Whereas SLE criteria have been defined, debated, and revised, the development of CLE criteria is just beginning. The American College of Rheumatology⁷ and the Systemic Lupus International Collaborating Clinics⁸ have developed two different classification criteria for SLE. However, both exclude many patients with single-organ lupus (that is, CLE) who have moderate to severe manifestations9. In 2013, the 3rd International Meeting on Cutaneous Lupus Erythematosus began a process to achieve consensus on uniform definitions, diagnostic criteria, and classification of CLE¹⁰. Having agreed upon the Delphi consensus method, in which a series of iterative questionnaires are anonymously completed by selected experts, international experts analyzed a "pre-Delphi" questionnaire¹¹. They reported a need for a new CLE definition to improve communication of prognostic information and delineate study populations for both observational and interventional trials¹¹. Most recently, the Delphi method was used to begin developing criteria for diagnosing DLE as part of a larger effort to define CLE¹².

Pathogenesis

The pathogenesis of CLE remains incompletely understood but is multifactorial, involving genetic polymorphisms, susceptibility loci, environmental factors such as ultraviolet (UV) exposure and smoking, and the induction of innate and adaptive immune responses¹³. T lymphocytes are the predominant cells in CLE; however, plasmacytoid and myeloid dendritic cells (pDCs and mDCs, respectively) play an essential role in disease pathogenesis¹⁴. Type 1 interferons (IFNs), which are produced largely by dendritic cells and keratinocytes, are critical to the development of CLE lesions and are produced in response to UV light, nuclear antigens, and immune complexes¹⁵⁻¹⁷. They initiate a cycle of cutaneous inflammation by recruiting leukocytes to the skin via inflammatory cytokines, chemokines, and adhesion molecules¹⁵. An IFN signature is present in SLE and the CLE subtypes SCLE and DLE, suggesting a shared pathogenesis¹⁸.

Current treatment options

There are several current treatment options for CLE, and antimalarials (that is, hydroxychloroquine, chloroquine, and quinacrine) are considered first-line therapy. About 75% of patients with CLE respond to antimalarial therapy or topical glucocorticoids or both^{19,20}. Antimalarials act via immunomodulating effects by influencing antigen presentation, stabilizing lysosomes, inhibiting Toll-like receptor signaling, and reducing IFN production by pDCs^{21,22}. In particular, quinacrine suppresses the Toll receptor-mediated production of tumor necrosis factor- α likely produced by mDC populations^{14,23}. Owing to the variation in cutaneous response, antimalarials are frequently used in combination for refractory CLE²⁴. However, should patients remain resistant to antimalarial therapy, immunosuppressives (that is, methotrexate, mycophenolate mofetil, and azathioprine) may be used. Mycophenolate mofetil and mycophenolate sodium have been shown to be highly effective and well tolerated in cases of antimalarial-resistant CLE^{25,26}. When methotrexate was compared with chloroquine in the treatment of cutaneous manifestations of SLE, low-dose methotrexate was determined to be as effective as chloroquine and to have an acceptable toxicity profile²⁷. Thalidomide is another therapy used in antimalarial-refractory CLE. It is an anti-inflammatory agent and immunomodulator that targets cereblon, reducing the zinc finger transcription factors Aiolos and Ikaros and consequently modulating T-cell function²⁸. It has been shown to be efficacious in treating refractory cutaneous interface manifestations of LE²⁹. However, owing to the high risk of polyneuropathy and teratogenicity, thalidomide should be reserved for cases of severely refractory CLE and used at low doses and as short-term therapy²⁹⁻³¹. More recently, lenalidomide, a thalidomide analog, has gained traction as a useful therapy in patients who remain recalcitrant to antimalarials or thalidomide^{32,33}. It has been shown to be efficacious and safe and importantly does not cause as much peripheral neuropathy^{33,34}. Despite this, caution should be taken in women of childbearing age as to date there is no evidence demonstrating safety in human fetal development. In summary, the main current therapies for CLE include antimalarials, glucocorticoids, immunosuppressives, thalidomide, and lenalidomide, which typically provide symptom relief.

Challenges in trial design

Although current treatment for CLE has been effective, some patients remain refractory to treatment or require less toxic therapies or do both. There is a need for safe and effective therapies for these refractory patients. Despite this, no medications have been approved for CLE in over 50 years; this is largely due to problems associated with lupus trial design³⁵. In a recent proposal for optimizing lupus clinical trials, Merrill *et al.* highlighted challenges to developing new treatments⁹. These include the heterogeneity of lupus itself, the influence of a wide variety of background therapies, the scarcity of patients meeting stringent enrollment criteria, and the limited number of properly equipped trial sites⁹. However, the development of the Cutaneous Lupus Erythematosus Disease Area and Severity Index (CLASI) has made it easier to evaluate treatment for CLE, and within the past few years several clinical studies and trials

using this tool have shown promising results^{25,36-46}. Recently, an international group of dermatologists unanimously agreed that the CLASI be used in clinical trials as a measure of skin activity⁴⁷. Still, with regard to the progress of new therapeutics, only anifrolumab and baricitinib have recently entered into phase III, and many of the remainder failed to meet critical endpoints.

Recent drug trials Belimumab

Belimumab is a monoclonal antibody directed against B-lymphocyte stimulator (BLyS), an immunomodulatory cytokine that stimulates B-cell differentiation and survival⁴⁸. A multicenter, randomized, controlled, phase III trial assessed the safety and efficacy of this medication, comparing belimumab plus standard therapy with placebo plus standard therapy in patients with SLE⁴⁹. In this study, belimumab decreased the number of flares and hindered damage progression in patients with SLE^{40,49}. Belimumab was also found to improve cutaneous disease, such as rash, mucosal ulcers, and alopecia, and patients with musculoskeletal and skin manifestations responded best to this medication^{40,50}. Despite this, belimumab is US Food and Drug Administration (FDA)-approved solely for the treatment of SLE, as clinical trials did not formally study the effects of the drug on cutaneous disease⁵¹. As a result, patients with CLE struggle to access this medication despite its possible efficacy and tolerability for a subset of patients.

Sifalimumab and anifrolumab

Sifalimumab, an anti-IFN- α monoclonal antibody, was assessed in SLE patients with moderate to severe disease in a phase IIb, randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled study. The percentage of patients with improvements in CLASI was greater for all sifalimumab doses compared with the placebo, although Herpes zoster infections were more frequent with sifalimumab treatment^{41,48}. Despite such promising results, this trial was discontinued to further assess anifrolumab, an anti-IFN- α receptor monoclonal antibody that binds the type I IFN- $\alpha/\beta/\omega$ receptor (IFNAR), preventing signaling by all type I IFNs⁴². In a phase IIb, randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled study of anifrolumab, as in the study of sifalimumab, a greater percentage of patients showed significant improvement in their cutaneous activity as compared with the placebo group, and more of an effect was seen in patients with higher baseline IFN levels^{42,48}. Although Herpes zoster infections were reported in 5.1% and 9.5% of the patients receiving 300 mg and 1,000 mg of anifrolumab, respectively, the most common adverse events included headache, upper respiratory infection, nasopharyngitis, and urinary tract infection⁴². The success of this clinical trial led to two phase III studies, and the results have yet to be published. Thus far, findings from both sifalimumab and anifrolumab phase II trials have collectively demonstrated a role of many type I IFNs in patients with SLE.

Baricitinib

In a double-blind, multicenter, randomized, placebo-controlled phase II study, baricitinib, a Janus kinase 1 (JAK1) and JAK2 inhibitor, was assessed⁴³. JAKs are tyrosine kinases that mediate

the signaling of several pro-inflammatory cytokines, most of which have been found to be associated with the pathogenesis of SLE⁴³. Although a large number of patients presented with merely mild cutaneous disease, the larger dose of baricitinib (4 mg) significantly improved the signs and symptoms of SLE, especially arthritis⁴³. As expected, serious adverse events were more common with the 2 mg and 4 mg doses of baricitinib than the placebo (10%, 10%, and 5%, respectively); however, no deaths, malignancies, or major adverse cardiovascular events were noted, making baricitinib a rather safe and tolerable oral medication⁴³. As baricitinib is a potential medication for patients with cutaneous disease, further studies are needed to better understand its possible effect on skin activity; it is recommended patients be enrolled when they present with moderate to severe skin disease so that changes in skin activity can be better noted.

Emerging therapies

Several recent and upcoming clinical trials have been successful in showing an improvement in cutaneous disease in patients with SLE. BIIB059, an anti-BDCA2 monoclonal antibody, is being studied. The antibody, when bound, leads to internalization of BDCA2, a pDC-specific receptor, and inhibits the production of type I IFNs and other inflammatory mediators⁴⁴. This phase Ib randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled, multicenter clinical trial has not only confirmed the role of pDCs in SLE but has also shown a decrease in cutaneous disease activity in these patients as compared with placebo⁴⁴. Because most adverse events are mild to moderate in severity, the results of this study have led to further development of BIIB059.

Another therapy, CC-220, is also being studied. Similar to thalidomide and its analogs, CC-220 is a high-affinity ligand for cereblon with immunomodulatory properties; its administration decreases Ikaros and Aiolos, two transcription factors encoded by their respective susceptibility loci, IKZF1 and IKZF3, which are associated with SLE⁴⁵. This randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled, phase IIa dose escalation study showed a strong correlation between improvement in CLASI score and pDC reduction⁴⁵. Like BIIB059, CC-220 is being developed further in ongoing studies of SLE patients with skin involvement.

Finally, a recent study assessing the safety and tolerability of ustekinumab, an IL-12/23 monoclonal antibody, was performed, as this pathway has also been associated with the pathogenesis of SLE⁴⁶. Among the patients with at least mild cutaneous disease in this phase II, placebo-controlled study, a statistically significantly greater percentage of patients saw improvement in skin activity with ustekinumab as compared with placebo⁴⁶. Such clinical trials not only advance our knowledge and treatment of CLE but more importantly have the potential to improve these patients' quality of life.

Special considerations for skin drug trials

As these exciting therapies move forward for SLE, we want to underscore the importance of including patients with CLE in these trials and acknowledge special considerations for skin drug trials. Active CLE is associated with a significant impact on quality of life^{52,53} and therefore is worthy of therapy. Furthermore, skin disease in patients with SLE is associated with greater accrual of damage, including chronic seizures and scarring alopecia⁵⁴. Given that the pathogenesis of SLE and CLE is very similar, treatments for SLE should benefit patients with CLE. However, at present, because patients with CLE are often excluded from these trials, efficacious and safe medications, like belimumab, are not FDA-approved for CLE. Thus, patients are not able to easily access medications that have the potential to alleviate the distress and suffering caused by their disease.

When considering including patients with CLE, we acknowledge certain challenges associated with skin clinical trials. First, among patients with cutaneous disease who are enrolled in clinical trials to evaluate CLE, those with moderate to severe skin activity should be included. For instance, in the baricitinib trial, it was difficult to show an improvement in skin disease with the medication as most patients presented with relatively mild disease⁴³. Second, the use of background therapies has led to high placebo response rates. In order to decrease the chances of this occurring, it is recommended that patients with lower placebo response rates, particularly patients with refractory DLE or SCLE, be enrolled in clinical trials, as these patients do not respond well to background medications³⁵. Notably, however, patients with isolated cutaneous disease generally require fewer background medications compared with patients with multi-organ

involvement who may be systemically ill. Given these challenges, recommendations previously put forth include smaller, shorter trials and paring down background therapies when appropriate as well as including more discriminatory endpoints⁹. We support these suggestions with the hope that patients affected by CLE may obtain better therapies.

Abbreviations

CLASI, Cutaneous Lupus Erythematosus Disease Area and Severity Index; CLE, cutaneous lupus erythematosus; DLE, discoid lupus erythematosus; FDA, US Food and Drug Administration; IFN, interferon; JAK, Janus kinase; LE, lupus erythematosus; mDC, myeloid dendritic cell; pDC, plasmacytoid dendritic cell; SCLE, subacute cutaneous lupus erythematosus; SLE, systemic lupus erythematosus; UV, ultraviolet

Grant information

9

This project is supported by the Department of Veterans Affairs Veterans Health Administration, Office of Research and Development, Biomedical Laboratory Research and Development and National Institutes of Health (National Institute of Arthritis and Musculoskeletal and Skin Diseases) R01AR071653 (VPW).

The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

References

- Chang J, Werth VP: Therapeutic options for cutaneous lupus erythematosus: recent advances and future prospects. Expert Rev Clin Immunol. 2016; 12(10): 1109–21.
 PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text
- Jiménez S, Cervera R, Font J, et al.: The epidemiology of systemic lupus erythematosus. Clin Rev Allergy Immunol. 2003; 25(1): 3–12.
 PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text
- Jarukitsopa S, Hoganson DD, Crowson CS, et al.: Epidemiology of systemic lupus erythematosus and cutaneous lupus erythematosus in a predominantly white population in the United States. Arthritis Care Res (Hoboken). 2015; 67(6): 817–28.

PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text | F1000 Recommendation

- F Petersen MP, Möller S, Bygum A, et al.: Epidemiology of cutaneous lupus erythematosus and the associated risk of systemic lupus erythematosus: a nationwide cohort study in Denmark. Lupus. 2018; 27(9): 1424–30. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | F1000 Recommendation
- F Gronhagen CM, Fored CM, Granath F, et al.: Cutaneous lupus erythematosus and the association with systemic lupus erythematosus: a population-based cohort of 1088 patients in Sweden. Br J Dermatol. 2011; 154(5): 1335–41.
 PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | F1000 Recommendation
- Okon LG, Werth VP: Cutaneous lupus erythematosus: diagnosis and treatment. Best Pract Res Clin Rheumatol. 2013; 27(3): 391–404.
 PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text
- Hochberg MC: Updating the American college of rheumatology revised criteria for the classification of systemic lupus erythematosus. Arthritis Rheum. 1997; 40(9): 1725.

PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text

 F Petri M, Orbai A-M, Alarcón GS, et al.: Derivation and validation of the Systemic Lupus International Collaborating Clinics classification criteria for systemic lupus erythematosus. Arthritis Rheum. 2012; 64(8): 2677–86.
 PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text | F1000 Recommendation

- Merrill JT, Manzi S, Aranow C, et al.: Lupus community panel proposals for optimising clinical trials: 2018. Lupus Sci Med. 2018; 5(1): e000258. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text
- Schultz HY, Dutz JP, Furukawa F, et al.: From pathogenesis, epidemiology, and genetics to definitions, diagnosis, and treatments of cutaneous lupus erythematosus and dermatomyositis: a report from the 3rd International Conference on Cutaneous Lupus Erythematosus (ICCLE) 2013. *J Invest Dermatol.* 2015; 135(1): 7–12.
 PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text
- Merola JF, Nyberg F, Furukawa F, et al.: Redefining cutaneous lupus erythematosus: a proposed international consensus approach and results of a preliminary questionnaire. Lupus Sci Med. 2015; 2(1): e000085. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text
- Elman SA, Joyce C, Nyberg F, et al.: Development of classification criteria for discoid lupus erythematosus: Results of a Delphi exercise. J Am Acad Dermatol. 2017; 77(2): 261–7.
 PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text
- Hejazi EZ, Werth VP: Cutaneous Lupus Erythematosus: An Update on Pathogenesis, Diagnosis and Treatment. Am J Clin Dermatol. 2016; 17(2): 135–46. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text
- F Zeidi M, Kim HJ, Werth VP: Increased Myeloid Dendritic Cells and TNF-α Expression Predicts Poor Response to Hydroxychloroquine in Cutaneous Lupus Erythematosus. J Invest Dermatol. 2019; 139(2): 324–32. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | F1000 Recommendation
- Robinson ES, Werth VP: The role of cytokines in the pathogenesis of cutaneous lupus erythematosus. Cytokine. 2015; 73(2): 326–34.
 PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text
- F Sarkar MK, Hile GA, Tsoi LC, et al.: Photosensitivity and type I IFN responses in cutaneous lupus are driven by epidermal-derived interferon kappa. Ann Rheum Dis. 2018; 77(11): 1653–64. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text | F1000 Recommendation
- 17. E Stannard JN, Reed TJ, Myers E, et al.: Lupus Skin Is Primed for IL-6

F1000 recommended

Inflammatory Responses through a Keratinocyte-Mediated Autocrine Type I Interferon Loop. J Invest Dermatol. 2017; 137(1): 115–22. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text | F1000 Recommendation

- Braunstein I, Klein R, Okawa J, et al.: The interferon-regulated gene signature is elevated in subacute cutaneous lupus erythematosus and discoid lupus erythematosus and correlates with the cutaneous lupus area and severity index score. Br J Dermatol. 2012; 166(5): 971–5.
 PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text
- Isaacson D, Elgart M, Turner ML: Anti-malarials in Dermatology. Int J Dermatol. 1982; 21(7): 379–95.
 PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text
- 20. Callen JP: Management of skin disease in patients with lupus erythematosus. Best Pract Res Clin Rheumatol. 2002; 16(2): 245–64. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text
- 21. **F** Wozniacka A, Carter A, McCauliffe DP: **Antimalarials in cutaneous lupus** erythematosus: mechanisms of therapeutic benefit. *Lupus*. 2016; **11**(2): 71–81. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | F1000 Recommendation
- F Nutan F, Ortega-Loayza AG: Cutaneous Lupus: A Brief Review of Old and New Medical Therapeutic Options. J Investig Dermatol Symp Proc. 2017; 18(2): S64–S68.
- PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | F1000 Recommendation
- Alves P, Bashir MM, Wysocka M, et al.: Quinacrine Suppresses Tumor Necrosis Factor-a and IFN-a in Dermatomyositis and Cutaneous Lupus Erythematosus. J Investig Dermatol Symp Proc. 2017; 18(2): S57–S63. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text
- 24. F Chasset F, Bouaziz JD, Costedoat-Chalumeau N, et al.: Efficacy and comparison of antimalarials in cutaneous lupus erythematosus subtypes: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Br J Dermatol. 2017; 177(1): 188–96. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | F1000 Recommendation
- F Kreuter A, Tomi NS, Weiner SM, et al.: Mycophenolate sodium for subacute cutaneous lupus erythematosus resistant to standard therapy. Br J Dermatol. 2007; 156(6): 1321–7.
 PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | F1000 Recommendation
- F Gammon B, Hansen C, Costner MI: Efficacy of mycophenolate mofetil in antimalarial-resistant cutaneous lupus erythematosus. J Am Acad Dermatol. 2011; 65(4): 717–721.e2.
 PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | F1000 Recommendation
- F Islam MN, Hossain M, Haq SA, et al.: Efficacy and safety of methotrexate in articular and cutaneous manifestations of systemic lupus erythematosus. Int J Rheum Dis. 2012; 15(1): 62–8.
 PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | F1000 Recommendation
- F Gandhi AK, Kang J, Havens CG, et al.: Immunomodulatory agents Ienalidomide and pomalidomide co-stimulate T cells by inducing degradation of T cell repressors lkaros and Aiolos via modulation of the E3 ubiquitin ligase complex CRL4^{CRBN}. Br J Haematol. 2014; 164(6): 811–21. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text | F1000 Recommendation
- Housman TS, Jorizzo JL, McCarty MA, et al.: Low-dose thalidomide therapy for refractory cutaneous lesions of lupus erythematosus. Arch Dermatol. 2003; 139(1): 50–4.
 - PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text
- Baret I, De Haes P: Thalidomide: Still an important second-line treatment in refractory cutaneous lupus erythematosus? J Dermatolog Treat. 2015; 26(2): 173-7.
 - PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text
- F Chasset F, Tounsi T, Cesbron E, et al.: Efficacy and tolerance profile of thalidomide in cutaneous lupus erythematosus: A systematic review and meta-analysis. J Am Acad Dermatol. 2018; 78(2): 342-350.e4.
 PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | F1000 Recommendation
- Shah A, Albrecht J, Bonilla-Martinez Z, et al.: Lenalidomide for the treatment of resistant discoid lupus erythematosus. Arch Dermatol. 2009; 145(3): 303–6.
 PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text
- Okon L, Rosenbach M, Krathen M, *et al.*: Lenalidomide in treatment-refractory cutaneous lupus erythematosus: Efficacy and safety in a 52-week trial. J Am Acad Dermatol. 2014; 70(3): 583–4.
 PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text
- F Cortés-Hernández J, Ávila G, Vilardell-Tarrés M, et al.: Efficacy and safety of lenalidomide for refractory cutaneous lupus erythematosus. Arthritis Res Ther. 2012; 14(6): R265.
 PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text | F1000 Recommendation
- Presto JK, Werth VP: Cutaneous Lupus Erythematosus: Current Treatment Options. Curr Treat Option Rheumatol. 2016; 2(1): 36–48.
- Publisher Full Text
- F Cortés-Hernández J, Torres-Salido M, Castro-Marrero J, et al.: Thalidomide in the treatment of refractory cutaneous lupus erythematosus: prognostic factors of clinical outcome. Br J Dermatol. 2012; 166(3): 616–23. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | F1000 Recommendation
- 37. Yokogawa N, Tanikawa A, Amagai M, et al.: Response to hydroxychloroquine in

Japanese patients with lupus-related skin disease using the cutaneous lupus erythematosus disease area and severity index (CLASI). *Mod Rheumatol.* 2014; 23(2): 318–22. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text

 F Ky C, Swasdibutra B, Khademi S, et al.: Efficacy of Intravenous Immunoglobulin Monotherapy in Patients with Cutaneous Lupus Erythematosus: Results of Proof-of-Concept Study. Dermatol Reports. 2015; 7(1): 5804.

- PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text | F1000 Recommendation
- Werth VP, Fiorentino D, Sullivan BA, et al.: Brief Report: Pharmacodynamics, Safety, and Clinical Efficacy of AMG 811, a Human Anti-Interferon-γ Antibody, in Patients With Discoid Lupus Erythematosus. Arthritis Rheumatol. 2017; 69(5): 1028–1034.
 PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text
- F laccarino L, Bettio S, Reggia R, et al.: Effects of Belimumab on Flare Rate and Expected Damage Progression in Patients With Active Systemic Lupus Erythematosus. Arthritis Care Res (Hoboken). 2017; 69(1): 115–123. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | F1000 Recommendation
- F Khamashta M, Merrill JT, Werth VP, et al.: Sifalimumab, an anti-interferon-α monoclonal antibody, in moderate to severe systemic lupus erythematosus: A randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled study. Ann Rheum Dis. 2016; 75(11): 1909–1916.
- PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text | F1000 Recommendation

 42.
 Furie R, Khamashta M, Merrill JT, et al.: Anifrolumab, an Anti-Interferon
- 42. a Pulle R, Numanasha M, Wenni OT, et al. Announanda, an Anterneterfore a Receptor Monoclonal Antibiody, in Moderate-to-Severe Systemic Lupus Erythematosus. Arthritis Rheumatol. 2017; 69(2): 376–386. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text | F1000 Recommendation
- 43. F Wallace DJ, Furie RA, Tanaka Y, et al.: Baricitinib for systemic lupus erythematosus: A double-blind, randomised, placebo-controlled, phase 2 trial. Lancet. 2018; 392(10143): 222–231.
 PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | F1000 Recommendation
- Furie R, Werth VP, Merola JF, et al.: Monoclonal antibody targeting BDCA2 ameliorates skin lesions in systemic lupus erythematosus. J Clin Invest. 2019; 129(3): 1359–1371.
 PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text
- Werth VP, Furie R, Gaudy A, *et al.*: CC-220 Decreases B-Cell Subsets and Plasmacytoid Dendritic Cells in Systemic Lupus Erythematosus (SLE)
- Plasmacytoid Dendritic Cells in Systemic Lupus Erythematosus (SLE) Patients and Is Associated with Skin Improvement: Pharmacodynamic Results from a Phase IIa Proof of Concept Study. Arthritis Rheum. 2017; 69. Reference Source
- Van Vollenhoven RF, Hahn BH, Tsokos GC, et al.: Efficacy and safety of ustekinumab, an interleukin 12/23 inhibitor, in patients with active systemic lupus erythematosus: results of a phase 2, randomized placebo-controlled study. Arthritis Rheum. 2017; 69(suppl 10). Reference Source
- Concha JSS, Patsatsi A, Marshak-Rothstein A, et al.: Advances in Cutaneous Lupus Erythematosus and Dermatomyositis: A Report from the 4th International Conference on Cutaneous Lupus Erythematosus-An Ongoing Need for International Consensus and Collaborations. J Invest Dermatol. 2019; 139(2): 270–6.
 PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text
- Presto JK, Hejazi EZ, Werth VP: Biological therapies in the treatment of cutaneous lupus erythematosus. Lupus. 2017; 26(2): 115–8.
 PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text
- F Furie R, Petri M, Zamani O, et al.: A phase III, randomized, placebocontrolled study of belimumab, a monoclonal antibody that inhibits B lymphocyte stimulator, in patients with systemic lupus erythematosus. Arthritis Rheum 2011; 63(12): 3918–30.
 PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text | F1000 Recommendation
- Manzi S, Sánchez-Guerrero J, Merrill JT, et al.: Effects of belimumab, a B lymphocyte stimulator-specific inhibitor, on disease activity across multiple organ domains in patients with systemic lupus erythematosus: combined results from two phase III trials. Ann Rheum Dis. 2012; 71(11): 1833–8. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text
- Sanz I, Yasothan U, Kirkpatrick P: Belimumab. Nat Rev Drug Discov. 2011; 10(5): 335–6.
 PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text
- Klein R, Moghadam-Kia S, Taylor L, et al.: Quality of life in cutaneous lupus erythematosus. J Am Acad Dermatol. 2011; 64(5): 849–58.
 PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text
- 53. E Batalla A, García-Doval I, Peón G, et al.: A quality-of-life study of cutaneous lupus erythematosus. Actas Dermosifiliogr. 2013; 104(9): 800–6.
 PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | F1000 Recommendation
- Santiago-Casas Y, Vilá LM, McGwin G Jr, et al.: Association of discoid lupus erythematosus with clinical manifestations and damage accrual in a multiethnic lupus cohort. Arthritis Care Res (Hoboken). 2012; 64(5): 704–12. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text | F1000 Recommendation

Open Peer Review

Current Peer Review Status:

Editorial Note on the Review Process

F1000 Faculty Reviews are written by members of the prestigious F1000 Faculty. They are commissioned and are peer reviewed before publication to ensure that the final, published version is comprehensive and accessible. The reviewers who approved the final version are listed with their names and affiliations.

The reviewers who approved this article are:

Version 1

- 1 Richard D. Sontheimer Department of Dermatology, University of Utah School of Medicine, Salt Lake City, Utah, USA Competing Interests: No competing interests were disclosed.
- 2 J. Michelle Kahlenberg Division of Rheumatology, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI, USA Competing Interests: Participated on Advisory boards for AstraZeneca.
- 3 Jan P. Dutz

Department of Dermatology and Skin Science, University of British Columbia, 835 West 10th Avenue, Vancouver, British Columbia, Canada

Competing Interests: No competing interests were disclosed.

The benefits of publishing with F1000Research:

- Your article is published within days, with no editorial bias
- You can publish traditional articles, null/negative results, case reports, data notes and more
- The peer review process is transparent and collaborative
- Your article is indexed in PubMed after passing peer review
- Dedicated customer support at every stage

For pre-submission enquiries, contact research@f1000.com

