A limited seed bank in both natural and degraded tropical peat swamp forest: the implications for restoration

L.L.B. Graham¹ and S.E. Page²

¹Borneo Orangutan Survival Foundation, BOSF-Mawas Program, Palangka Raya, Central Kalimantan, Indonesia ²School of Geography, Geology and the Environment, University of Leicester, UK

SUMMARY

Carbon-rich tropical peat swamp forests (PSFs) are being degraded at an alarming rate. In response to national and global agendas, landscape-scale PSF restoration is underway, although supporting knowledge of PSF ecosystem restoration ecology remains limited. Seed banks are usually an important source of natural regeneration and crucial in post-degradation forest recovery, even in the humid tropics where reduced seed dormancy leads to typically smaller seed banks than in temperate regions. It has been assumed that PSF degradation reduces the seed bank, limiting natural regeneration, but this has not previously been investigated explicitly. This study of PSF in Central Kalimantan explored seed bank prevalence and regenerative capacity across five forest zones (FZs): degraded, open canopy disturbed, edge, closed canopy disturbed and natural. Numbers and species of seeds and seedlings were recorded from surface peat samples collected from each FZ over one year. Seed density, averaged across FZs, was 41 seeds m⁻²; total species number was 11; and seedling density was 16.0–73.6 m⁻² depending on FZ. These values were much lower than for other forests in this region. There was little difference in seed bank size between natural and degraded FZs, and only the forest edge showed higher than expected seed bank regenerative capability. Overall, our results suggest that seed banks are not of high importance in tropical PSF regeneration, either before or after degradation. These findings are discussed from the perspective of successional traits in different species and their relevance to ecosystem restoration.

KEY WORDS: dormancy, germination, Indonesia, natural regeneration, PSF, seed dispersal, succession

INTRODUCTION

Soil seed banks (stores of dormant seeds within the soil which have arrived by falling from the parent tree or by dispersal) build up over time in most ecosystems (Saatkamp *et al.* 2014). In some examples, such as temperate forests or savannahs, the seed bank acts as a crucial source of seedlings for recolonisation (Bakker *et al.* 1996). In humid tropical environments, there are fewer seeds adapted for dormancy and most ecosystems have short-lived seed banks (Janzen & Vázquez-Yanes 1991, Corlett 2009). This may be due to the seedlings requiring moist conditions to survive, so although dormancy is advantageous in seasonally dry environments, immediate germination is optimal in year-round humid conditions (Blakesley *et al.* 2002, Corlett 2009).

Following tropical forest degradation, seed banks can act as important sources of new seedlings for recolonisation (Bakker *et al.* 1996, FORRU 2008, Daïnou *et al.* 2011, Saatkamp *et al.* 2014). After disturbance, however, seed banks may become damaged, and if new seed banks are not built up from nearby trees or through dispersal, this source of seedlings may be lost (Janzen & Vázquez-Yanes 1991, Aide & Cavalier 1994). In degraded areas the seed bank volume can be the same as, or higher than, in comparable natural forest but with different composition, namely a higher proportion of herbs, shrubs and grasses, leading to further complications in the restoration process due to the absence of woody species (Janzen & Vázquez-Yanes 1991, Bakker *et al.* 1996, Brearley *et al.* 2004, Daïnou *et al.* 2011, Madawala *et al.* 2016).

Indonesia hosts one of the world's largest areas of intact tropical peat swamp forest (PSF), covering an estimated 200,000 km² (Page *et al.* 2011). However, Southeast Asia's PSF is being degraded at a rapid rate: between 1985 and 2006 about 47 % (121,000 km²) was degraded, *i.e.* logged, burned,

drained or converted to agricultural use (Hooijer *et al.* 2006, 2010) and in 2010 only 4 % of the PSF in Sumatra and Kalimantan was judged still to be in pristine condition, with 37 % classified as degraded forest (Miettinen & Liew 2010).

Tropical PSFs are peatlands and, thus, vast reservoirs of carbon, storing 57 Gt of carbon in Indonesia alone, which amounts to 74 % of the country's total forest soil carbon pool (Page et al. 2011). Upon degradation, largely through fires, logging and drainage (Page et al. 2009), they become sources of atmospheric carbon emissions. In 1997, 0.81-2.57 Gt of carbon were released to the atmosphere as a result of peatland fires in Indonesia, equivalent to 13-40 % of the global carbon emissions from fossil fuels for that year (Page et al. 2002). Furthermore, Indonesia's PSF provides other important environmental services, such as biodiversity support (Posa et al. 2011) and hydrological regulation (Wösten et al. 2006, 2008).

The net result of tropical PSF degradation is that species-diverse forest is replaced by a variety of less biodiverse secondary communities (Blackham et al. 2014, Kostermans 1958, Wyatt-Smith 1959, Whitmore 1984, Appanah et al. 1989, Bruenig 1990, Ibrahim 1996, Simbolon 2002, van Eijk et al. 2009). Following low-level disturbance, forest re-growth will occur, but after more severe and/or regular disturbance, the re-establishment of woody species is retarded, whilst at extreme levels of degradation (in terms of both severity and frequency), woody vegetation is indefinitely replaced by sedges and ferns (Hoscilo et al. 2011). In addition to a reduction in biodiversity, PSF degradation also leads to the loss of most, if not all, ecosystem services including carbon storage, faunal and floral biodiversity and hydrological regulation (Posa et al. 2011, Page et al. 2009) plus high emissions of greenhouse gases (GHGs) to the atmosphere (Hooijer et al. 2006, 2010, Miettinen et al. 2016).

Forest and peatland ecosystem restoration could be amongst the most cost-efficient measures for reducing regional CO₂ emissions (Spracklen *et al.* 2008, van Noordwijk *et al.* 2008). For this reason, the Indonesian Government is collaborating with nongovernment organisations to initiate large-scale restoration programmes on Indonesia's degraded tropical peatlands (van Noordwijk *et al.* 2008, KFCP 2014) as well as with the Australian Government's Centre for International Agricultural Research (ACIAR) to establish the new (2017) project "Improving community fire management and peatland restoration in Indonesia". Furthermore, in 2016 Indonesia's President Joko Widowo established the Peatland Restoration Agency, which is tasked with the rewetting of two million hectares of degraded, drained peatland within four years. Therefore, land and ecosystem management approaches to assist the restoration of PSF are of considerable contemporary interest, both in Indonesia and elsewhere.

Effective restoration actions require intimate knowledge of ecosystem processes (Aide et al. 2000), but the study of PSF ecology has really developed only over the last 30 years (Rieley & Page 2005) with, at present, little of that knowledge being applied to ecosystem restoration (Page et al. 2009, Graham et al. 2017). Degraded PSF has been shown to have poor regeneration capabilities, with postdisturbance succession often following retrogressive pathways, driven in particular by frequent fire, loss of hydrological integrity and wet-season flooding (Page et al. 2009, Hoscilo et al. 2011, Blackham et al. 2014). More scientific studies are required to understand the barriers to PSF regeneration and the effects of external influences on degraded tropical peatland landscapes.

Restoration ecology is the science upon which the practice of ecological restoration is based. One aspect explores the barriers to regeneration that exist at individual sites and examines the methods that can be used to overcome these barriers (Aide et al. 2000, Holl 2012) as well as how they can be incorporated into the design and implementation of restoration action plans (RAPs) (SER 2004; Tongway & Ludwig 2011, 2012). The regeneration barriers and appropriate RAP for a specific site will be unique: they will reflect the site's natural history and its disturbance history (Holl et al. 2000, Curran et al. 2012). Investigation of regeneration barriers usually involves the comparison of at least one ecological factor between the degraded area and a 'reference site' - an adjacent area where the ecosystem remains undegraded (SER 2004). Significant differences highlight the regeneration barriers.

To date there are no published studies of the soil (surface peat) seed bank in tropical PSF under natural conditions. One study considered the soil seed bank in a fire-degraded peatland area in Central Kalimantan (Indonesia) and found that only one wind-dispersed species (Combretocarpus rotundatus) emerged post-fire, leading to the assumption that fire had destroyed the seed bank (Simbolon 2002). Other authors have also suggested that disturbance leads to loss of the PSF seed bank (Giesen 2004, Rieley & Page 2005, Blackham et al. 2014). This interpretation should be treated with caution, however, as it is widely accepted that forest ecosystems in wetter environments (such as the humid tropics) display a strong tendency towards short seed dormancy and, consequently, have smaller soil seed banks than the forests of other climatic zones (Janzen & Vázquez-Yanes 1991, Bakker *et al.* 1996, Corlett 2009). In these situations, seed dispersal becomes the most important mechanism for seedling recruitment (*ibid.*)

In light of these knowledge gaps, this study aimed to directly compare seed bank volume, diversity and regenerative capabilities between an area of degraded PSF and an adjacent area of relatively undisturbed PSF.

STUDY AREA

The study took place in the PSF of the Natural Laboratory of Peat Swamp Forest (NLPSF) (02° 18' S, 113° 50' E, 30 m a.s.l.), located on the Sebangau peat dome in Central Kalimantan, Indonesia. The site is located in the northern part of the Sungai (= River) Sebangau catchment and forms part of the 5,000 km² of PSF that covers the interfluve of the Sebangau and Katingan Rivers (Figure 1). The mixed PSF where our data collection took place was



Figure 1. Location map. The seed bank samples were collected slightly to the west of the research camp (yellow triangle), within the NLPSF study site area or LAHG research area (red line), shown in relation to the island of Borneo (inset). Image courtesy of B. Ripoll Capilla.

previously continuous and undisturbed, but concessional logging, illegal logging and fires during recent years have resulted in some areas becoming degraded (Rieley & Page 2005). The climate is humid tropical, with a mean maximum temperature of 28.9 °C, a mean minimum temperature of 22.0 °C and an annual rainfall of 2912 mm yr⁻¹ (2003–2007 average), with a wet season from October/November through to May/June (Harrison 2009).

METHODS

Five forest zones (FZs) were defined: 'Degraded Forest' (DF, 200 m outside the forest); 'Open-Canopy' disturbed forest (OC, 50 m outside the forest); 'Forest Edge' (FE); 'Closed-Canopy' disturbed forest (CC, 50 m inside the forest); and 'Natural Forest' (NF, 800 m inside the forest) which was relatively undisturbed and where regeneration was operating naturally (regarded as the reference site). In each FZ, one 600 m transect running parallel to the forest edge was established (Figure 2). A detailed description of vegetation in the five forest zones is provided in the Appendix.

In order to assess the seed bank in each FZ, five surface peat samples were taken at stratified random locations along each transect (Duncan 2006), during the months of September and December 2007, and March and June 2008. Each sample was 12.5 cm square and 5 cm deep (Zimmerman et al. 2000). In most seed bank studies, leaf litter is removed from the soil surface before the sample is taken. In PSF, the point of transition from litter to peat is unclear, especially as roots come right up to and even above the surface of the litter. Therefore, still-intact dry leaves were removed from the ground surface but any litter below this was taken as part of the sample. The peat samples were extracted by slicing the peat, including roots, to the required size using a sharp knife. The samples were transferred, on the day of sampling, to a seedling nursery which attempted to provide natural forest conditions (i.e. shade, water, protection from direct rainfall) and immediately spread out on germination trays to a thickness of 1 cm (Duncan 2006). The number of visible seeds in each sample, and their species if known (if not, morphospecies was used), was recorded. Thereafter,



Figure 2. Schematic diagram of the forest study site. The transects are shown as dashed lines, each 600 m long. Transects were positioned parallel to the forest edge in different forest zones: degraded forest (DF), 200 m outside the forest; open-canopy disturbed forest (OC), 50 m outside the forest; forest edge (FE); closed-canopy disturbed forest (CC), 50 m inside the forest; and natural forest (NF in the forested area A), 1 km inside the undisturbed peat swamp forest. The area of degraded sedge swamp (C) was previously riverine forest which was logged and burned decades before this study. The area of transitional forest (B) may be receding farther from the river (D) due to edge effect pressures.

germination and survival of 'seedlings' was recorded at monthly intervals over a six-month period (Zimmerman *et al.* 2000). Some new plants came from very small seeds (with the usual roots and shoots) and were defined as germinated seedlings, whilst others grew from the cut roots and were defined as root sprouts.

Data were analysed first for seasonal effect within each FZ, averaged across the five replicates. If no seasonal effect (*i.e.* wet *versus* dry season) was observed, the data were averaged over the year for each FZ and then compared to the reference FZ (the NF transect). Where the data were normally distributed and the variance homogenous, ANOVA was used (repeated measures in the case of seasonal variation); otherwise, a Friedman repeat measures test was used for seasonal variation and a Mann Whitney U test for comparisons between FZs. All statistical analyses were carried out in SPSS.

RESULTS

Over a one-year period, the cumulative number of seeds collected across all FZs was 64, or 16 seeds at each 3-monthly collection on average, with an average of 3.2 seeds *per* transect or 0.64 seeds *per* peat sample *per* 3-month period, equal to a density of 41 seeds m⁻². Using the Freidman test, no effect of season on seed abundance was observed in any of the FZs. Therefore, the total number of seeds found in each FZ was averaged for each season and across the year (Table 1). Whilst FE had the greatest density of seeds overall (80.0 seeds m⁻²), it was not significantly different

from NF (38.4 seeds m⁻²) due to high variation across both forest zone samples. Indeed, none of the FZs had significantly more or less seeds than NF.

No seasonal effect on the number of seed species found in the peat samples was observed using the Friedman test (Table 1). Therefore, the number of species found was averaged across replicates with regard to FZ and analysed for the year using ANOVA, which showed no significant difference from NF for any of the FZs (Table 1). The highest number of species was found in CC, with an average of 0.4 species per sample, or five species during the whole year. In total, eleven species were found across all samples from all FZs. Of these, five were classified by morphospecies and the remaining six were identified to genus or species. All six were tree species, of which four were relatively large-seeded, five animal-dispersed and one wind-dispersed. Seeds of Combretocarpus rotundatus and Tristaniopsis sp. were found in all disturbed FZs but were absent from NF (Table 2).

Of the seeds recorded during processing of the peat samples, only *Combretocarpus rotundatus* germinated. The new plants that appeared originated either by germination of very small seeds (indistinguishable and inseparable from wet peat) or by sprouting from roots cut during the peat collection process. Using the Friedman test, no seasonal variation was detected in the total numbers of new plants that appeared (from seeds or sprouting roots) during the six-month period in the nursery. Therefore, the numbers of seedlings that germinated from seed in the disturbed FZs were averaged within each season, and for the year, for each FZ, then

Table 1. The average annual number of seeds *per* m^2 and species *per* averaged sample. As noted in the text, no seasonal effects were detected throughout, and no significant differences from the baseline natural forest (NF) were found in any of the disturbed forest zones. See text for details of the statistical tests used.

Forest Zone		Seed density		Number of seed species			
	m ⁻² yr ⁻¹	p-value for seasonal effect	p-value for comparison with NF	<i>per</i> averaged sample yr ⁻¹	p-value for seasonal effect	p-value for comparison with NF	
DF	19.2	0.558	0.494	0.15	0.663	0.524	
OC	32.0	0.663	0.856	0.15	0.663	0.524	
FE	80.0	0.124	0.639	0.25	0.112	0.771	
CC	35.2	0.458	0.909	0.4	0.234	0.659	
NF	38.4	0.318	-	0.25	0.231	-	

Family	Species	Dispersal	Relative seed size	Successional type	Seed found in degraded FZ?	Germinated ?
Anacardiaceae	Campnosperma squamatum	animal	large	early-mid	no	no
Anisophyllaceae	Combretocarpus rotundatus	wind	small	pioneer	yes	yes
Lauraceae	Litsea angulata	animal	large	mid–late	no	no
Myrtaceae	Tristaniopsis sp.	animal	small	early	yes	no
Phyllanthaceae	Glochidion rubrum	animal	large	early-mid	no	no
Sapindaceae	Nephelium lappaceum	animal	large	mid–late	no	no

Table 2. Characteristics of the six tree species identified in the seed bank samples.

Table 3. Numbers of new plants that germinated from seeds and sprouted from roots, the average percentage survival of germinated seedlings and sprouting roots, and the annual total number of seedling and sprout species, for each FZ. Significance at the 0.05 level is denoted with an asterisk (*); see text for details of the statistical tests used.

		Seedlin	gs germinated	Sprouting roots		Species			
Forest Zone	m ⁻² yr ⁻¹	p-value for seasonal effect	p-value for comparison with NF	Survival (%)	m ⁻² yr ⁻¹	Survival (%)	Total	p-value for seasonal effect	p-value for comparison with NF
DF	19.2	0.910	0.740	33	0	-	3	0.809	0.608
OC	16	0.287	1.000	50	9.6	25	6	0.236	0.347
FE	73.6	0.555	*0.020	62	25.6	50	8	0.238	*0.040
CC	35.2	0.806	0.108	59	12.8	34	3	0.926	0.273
NF	16	0.144	-	25	3.2	0	3	0.287	-

compared with the results for NF using ANOVA (Table 3). Only FE had significantly more germinations (73.6 seedlings m^{-2} year⁻¹) than NF (16 seedlings m^{-2} year⁻¹). Sample size was not large enough to run a statistical analysis for the number of germinations from sprouting roots, but FE again had a higher mean productivity in terms of regeneration, with 25.6 sprouting roots m^{-2} year⁻¹ compared to 3.2 seedlings m^{-2} year⁻¹ in NF.

Both the average percentage survival of germinated seedlings (still alive at the end of the sixmonth recording period) and the percentage survival of sprouting roots were highest for FE (Table 3), although the dataset was not large enough to run a statistical analysis.

Nine morphospecies were identified amongst the new plants originating from either seeds or roots. Of these, only one was identified with any certainty (as *Combretocarpus rotundatus*). There was no seasonal variation in the species abundance of seedlings or sprouts (Freidman test; Table 3). Therefore, the number of species found in each FZ was averaged for the whole year and compared to NF using ANOVA (Table 3). This analysis showed that only FE had a significantly greater number of seedling/sprout species (total 8) than NF (total 3).

DISCUSSION

Tropical forests generally have smaller seed banks than temperate forests (where 500 seeds m^{-2} is typical and up to 5000 seeds m^{-2} common; Bakker *et al.* 1996). Seed bank densities in the tropical rainforests of Southeast Asia also commonly reach hundreds of seeds *per* m^2 (Table 4). In this study, the average seed bank density (41 seeds m^{-2}) in surface peat samples collected across the five FZs was lower than other documented seed bank densities for tropical rainforest ecosystems in this region (Table 4).

The number of seeds identified from the soil samples did not include seeds smaller than were

easily visible to the human eye. In other studies it is suggested that, for analysis of seed density, soil samples should be sieved or submerged to separate seeds from the soil (Bakker *et al.* 1996). These methods were not practical here because it was not possible to sieve the peat samples in the same way as mineral soils; and when the peat samples were submerged, fragments of organic matter floated alongside small seeds. As a result, the values for total species numbers and seed density may be underestimates. Rather than considering seed density directly, some previous studies have based seed density values on the number of seedlings emerging from soil samples in germination trials (*e.g.* Tekle &

Table 4. Comparison of seed densities and number of species found in the seed banks of Southeast Asian rainforest study sites. PSF = peat swamp forest, LER = Tropical lowland evergreen rainforest, R = Tropical rainforest, LR = Tropical lowland rainforest. Adapted from Brearley *et al.* (2004) and Tang *et al.* (2006).

Site	Forest type	Forest quality	Seeds (m ⁻²)	No. spp.	Reference
Sebangau, Central Kalimantan, Indonesia	PSF	combined	41 (seed density)	11	this study
Sebangau, Central Kalimantan, Indonesia	PSF	disturbed	74 (seedling density)	8	this study
Sebangau, Central Kalimantan, Indonesia	PSF	primary	16 (seedling density)	3	this study
Barito Ulu, Central Kalimantan, Indonesia	LER	primary	175	25	Brearley et al. (2004)
Barito Ulu, Central Kalimantan, Indonesia	LER	disturbed	573	24	Brearley et al. (2004)
Chiang Mai, Thailand (Site No.2)	R	primary	128	24	Cheke et al. (1979)
Gogol Valley, Papua New Guinea	R	primary	398	-	Saulei & Swaine (1988)
Gogol Valley, Papua New Guinea	R	disturbed	757	-	Saulei & Swaine (1988)
Lungmanis, Sabah, Malaysia	R	primary	58	29	Liew (1973)
Pasoh, Malaysia	LR	primary	131	30	Putz & Appanah (1987)
Bukit Timah, Malaysia	LR	-	1000	-	Metcalfe & Turner (1998)

Bekele 2000, Tang *et al.* 2006). The seedling emergence data in our study were comparable to those for seed density (16–73.6 seedlings m⁻² depending on FZ), which supports our conclusion that this ecosystem does indeed have an overall low seed bank despite our restrictions on the use of more samples *per* transect) was selected for consistency with other studies in this field (see Methods). Given that we now know the seed bank of tropical PSF is limited, a larger sample size is advised for future studies.

The number of seed bank species at Sebangau was also lower than in other studies (Table 4); all other studies have recorded more than 20 species, whereas just eleven were found in this study. Of these, the six which were identified to species were all trees, with four being relatively large-seeded.

Large-seeded tropical tree species are commonly recalcitrant (*i.e.* have little or no dormancy before germinating), and thus form only a transient portion of the seed bank (Thompson 1992, Bakker *et al.* 1996, Corlett 2009). The very limited dormancy of PSF tree seeds in their natural environment should be taken into consideration when collecting and storing seed for use in seedling nurseries.

The two small-seeded species are found in the three most disturbed FZs (DF, OC and FE). Combretocarpus rotundatus is wind-dispersed and Tristaniopsis sp. has a small dehiscent fruit with very small seeds. Both are commonly found along the forest edge and in degraded areas, and are adapted to disturbance and high light levels - *i.e.*, they are pioneer secondary succession species (Wibisono et al. 2005, Giesen & van der Meer 2009). Such species might typically be expected to occur in the seed bank, given that pioneer species often support a longer seed dormancy to 'sit out' unfavourable conditions (Janzen & Vázquez-Yanes 1991, Corlett 2009). Therefore, these two species may represent an important element for natural regeneration and restoration in this area (also noted in Blackham et al. 2014).

Of the two small-seeded species, only Combretocarpus rotundatus went on to germinate in the seedling study. Seedlings of other species emerged from very small seeds that could not be separated from the peat. This supports the results of other studies, which found that seed banks of tropical rain forests in Southeast Asia were largely composed of small-seeded pioneer and secondary succession species (Metcalfe & Turner 1998, Metcalfe et al. 1998, Brearley et al. 2004), although the seedling density of this study was much lower (assuming that germination in the nursery was the same as it would be *in situ* on the forest floor). FE was the only FZ to

have significantly greater numbers of seedling morphospecies than NF. However, the overall numbers of morphospecies (eight for FE, three for NF) are still much lower than those observed in other studies in this region. The FE zone also appears to have the highest regenerative capacity overall, in that it had the greatest number of rootlets and the greatest percentage survival of both seedlings and sprouting roots.

While other studies note a seasonal effect in seed banks linked to the phenology of the surrounding forest (Bakker et al. 1996, Grombone-Guaratini & Rodrigues 2002, Tang et al. 2006, Madawala et al. 2016), we observed no seasonal effect for seed and seedling densities or for species composition. The reason may be that Bornean PSF is known to support continuous, year-round fruiting across a range of species (Cannon et al. 2007a, 2007b; Harrison et al. 2013). The lack of seasonality means that future studies to assess the seed bank in surface peat samples could potentially be carried out over a short period and at any time of year. The limitation to studying seed banks over short periods is that some species may undergo mast fruiting, and thus not be represented in a seed bank that has a short dormancy. This could only be explored through a long-term study.

Because separating small seeds from the peat was problematic, the initial seed density indicates the number of large (classically recalcitrant) seeds that are found within this PSF, whilst the seed density ascertained indirectly from seedling germination indicates the number of small seeds (normally with longer dormancy), which are typical of the pioneer secondary successor group (Thompson 1992, Corlett 2009). Regarding the first group, a small bank of large seeds was observed, as might be expected given that these are mainly of tree species adapted to the wet environment of tropical PSF, and trees from moist conditions tend to have shorter (if any) dormancy (Blakesley et al. 2002, Corlett 2009). However, the seed density ascertained from the seedling germination study was similarly low, indicating that PSF lacks an effective or productive seed bank. This may be due to the extremely moist environment resulting in PSF trees evolving rapid germination to avoid decomposition, even for those species that are adapted as pioneers or secondary successors (Blakesley et al. 2002, Corlett 2009). Some studies have noted that seed banks actually increase in degraded, disturbed or secondary forest compared to natural forest (Saulei & Swain 1988, Brearley et al. 2004), due to the increased numbers of pioneer and secondary succession species associated with these environments that tend to display greater seed dormancy (Saatkamp et al. 2014). Other studies have described a reduction in the seed bank in degraded forest areas, linking this to reduced seed input or damage to the seed bank (e.g. Aide & Cavalier 1994, Zimmerman et al. 2000). As the site used in this study had been degraded for a long time, assessment of the seed bank soon after disturbance might have yielded different findings; although, given the short-lived nature of most tropical seed banks, it can probably be assumed that the seed bank contains only newly arrived seeds rather than those remaining from a time prior to forest disturbance (Aide & Cavalier 1994). However, if this small seed bank, which is relatively unchanged from DF through to NF, is representative of PSF generally, seed banks may not be lost during degradation as previously thought (Giesen 2004, Rieley & Page 2005, Blackham et al. 2014). Instead, it may be that a large and (by inference) effective seed bank was never actually in operation. In other words, this appears to be the natural state of the ecosystem, and thus not a factor that is likely to hinder PSF regeneration. This shifts the emphasis for promotion of new seedling recruitment to seed dispersal, either by animals or through water transport (Bakker et al. 1996, Holl et al. 2000). The implication is that seed dispersal is extremely important for forest regeneration postdisturbance, indicating a probable need for enhancement planting of PSF tree species in degraded areas.

The second important finding from this study is the significantly higher seed bank regeneration activity observed for FE, compared to all other FZs, in terms of both seedling density and species composition. It might be hypothesised that seed banks are unnecessary in intact PSF, which is continually wet and has year-round fruiting. Equally, the degraded environment outside the forest bears so little resemblance to that of a natural forest gap that any seed bank would fail. The only location where a seed bank is both necessary and sufficiently protected is, therefore, the forest edge. Although the seed bank here is very small compared that in other forest types of the region, its presence nevertheless provides an important indication that regeneration by this route is occurring at the forest edge. The regenerative capabilities of the forest edge might also be harnessed in restoration and reforestation projects.

Finally, it was observed that seedlings emerged not only from the small seeds in the seed bank, but also from fine root hairs that were cut during collection of the soil samples. This has not been noted in other studies. Whilst we were unable to identify the species of sprouting roots, it suggests an interesting new avenue for tropical PSF restoration, in that there was a high propensity for root matter to sprout even without the addition of hormone rooting powder. This could potentially be utilised for vegetative propogation in reforestation work, but requires further study.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We would like to thank the field team that helped to collect the data: Andri Thomas, Salahuddin and Eben Eser. We also thank Minstry of Research, Technology and Higher Education, Indonesia, (RISTEK) for allowing this research to go ahead, and Center for International Management of Tropical Peatlands (UPT LLG CIMTROP) and the Borneo Nature Foundation (BNF) for providing the logistical and administrative support for conducting research at this location. The work was funded by The Wildlife Conservation Society, Rufford Foundation and British Ecological Society. This paper was improved by the edits and suggestions of two anonymous reviewers and the guest editor of this special issue.

REFERENCES

- Aide, T.M. & Cavalier, J. (1994) Barriers to lowland tropical forest restoration in the Sierra Nevada de Santa Marta, Colombia. *Restoration Ecology*, 2, 219–229.
- Aide, T.M., Zimmerman, J.K., Pascarella, J.B., Rivera, L. & Marcano-Vega, H. (2000) Forest restoration in a chronosequence of tropical abandoned pastures: implications for restoration ecology. *Restoration Ecology*, 8, 328–338.
- Appanah, S., Chan, H.T. & Hamzah, K.A. (1989) Peat Swamp Forests of Peninsular Malaysia: Current Status, Ecology, Management and Conservation. Report #51, Forest Research Institute Malaysia, Kepong, 1–9.
- Bakker, J.P., Poschlod, P., Strykstra, R.J., Bekker, R.M. & Thompson, K. (1996) Seed banks and seed dispersal: important topics in restoration ecology. *Acta Botanica Neerlandica*, 45, 461– 490.
- Blackham, G.V., Webb, E.L. & Corlett, R.T. (2014) Natural regeneration in a degraded tropical peatland, Central Kalimantan, Indonesia: Implications for forest restoration. *Forest Ecology* and Management, 324, 8–15.
- Blakesley, D., Elliott, S., Kuarak, C., Navakitbumrung,P., Zangkum, S. & Anusarnsunthorn, V. (2002)Propagating framework tree species to restore seasonally dry tropical forest: implications of

seasonal seed dispersal and dormancy. *Forest Ecology and Management*, 164, 31–38.

- Brearley, F.Q., Prajadinata, S., Kidd, P.S., Proctor, J. & Suriantata (2004) Structure and floristics of an old secondary rain forest in Central Kalimantan, Indonesia, and a comparison with adjacent primary forest. *Forest Ecology and Management*, 195, 385–397.
- Bruenig, E.F. (1990) Oligotrophic forested wetlands in Borneo. In: Luga, A.E., Brinson, M. & Brown, S. (eds.) *Forested Wetlands*, Ecosystems of the World 15, Elsevier, Amsterdam, 299–334.
- Cannon, C.H., Curran, L.M., Marshall, A.J. & Leighton, M. (2007a) Beyond mast-fruiting events: Community asynchrony and individual dormancy dominate woody plant reproductive behavior across seven Bornean forest types. *Current Science*, 93, 1558–1566.
- Cannon, C.H., Curran, L.M., Marshall, A.J. & Leighton, M. (2007b) Long-term reproductive behavior of woody plants across seven Bornean forest types in the Gunung Palung National Park (Indonesia): suprannual synchrony, temporal productivity and fruiting diversity. *Ecology Letters*, 10, 956–969.
- Cheke, A.S., Nanakorn, W. & Yankoses, C. (1979) Dormancy and dispersal of seeds of secondary forest species under the canopy of a primary tropical rain forest in northern Thailand. *Biotropica*, 11, 88–95.
- Corlett, R.T. (2009) *The Ecology of Tropical East Asia*. Oxford University Press, Oxford, 262 pp.
- Curran, P., Smedley, D., Thompson, P. & Knight, A. T. (2012) Mapping restoration opportunity for collaborating with land-managers in a carbon credit-funded restoration program in the Makana municipality, Eastern Cape, South Africa. *Restoration Ecology*, 20, 56–64.
- Daïnou, K., Bauduin, A., Bourland, N., Gillet, J.-F., Fétéké, F. & Doucet, J.-L. (2011) Soil seed bank characteristics in Cameroonian rainforests and implications for post-logging forest recovery. *Ecological Engineering*, 37, 1499–1506.
- Duncan, R.S. (2006) Tree recruitment from on-site versus off-site propagule sources during tropical forest succession. *New Forests*, 31, 131–150.
- FORRU (2008) Research for Restoring Tropical Forest Ecosystems: a Practical Guide. Forest Restoration Research Unit (FORRU), Chiang Mai University, Thailand, 144 pp.
- Giesen, W. (2004) Causes of Peatswamp Forest Degradation in Berbak NP, Indonesia, and Recommendations for Restoration. Report, Water for Food and Ecosystems Programme Project on: "Promoting the river basin and ecosystem

approach for sustainable management of SE Asian lowland peat swamp forests: Case study Air Hitam Laut river basin, Jambi Province, Indonesia", ARCADIS Euroconsult, Arnhem, The Netherlands, 125 pp.

- Giesen, W. & van der Meer, P. (2009) Guidelines for the Rehabilitation of Degraded Peat Swamp Forests in Central Kalimantan. Project report for "Master Plan for the Conservation and Development of the Ex-Mega Rice Project Area in Central Kalimantan". Euroconsult Mott MacDonald & Deltares Delft Hydraulics, The Netherlands, 61 pp.
- Graham, L.L.B, Giesen, W. & Page, S.E. (2017) A common-sense approach to tropical peat swamp forest restoration in Southeast Asia. *Journal of Restoration Ecology*, 25, 312–321.
- Grombone-Guaratini, M.T. & Rodrigues, R.R. (2002) Seed bank and seed rain in a seasonal semi-deciduous forest in south-eastern Brazil. *Journal of Tropical Ecology*, 18, 759–774.
- Harrison, M.E. (2009) Orang-utan Feeding Behaviour in Sabangau, Central Kalimantan. PhD thesis, University of Cambridge, 424 pp.
- Harrison, M.E., Husson, S.J., Zweifel, N.K.B., D'Arcy, L.J., Morrogh-Bernard, H.C., van Noordwijk, M.A. & van Schaik, C.P. (2013) *Phenology Paper 2.* Technical Report, Kalimantan Forest Climate Partnership (KFCP), Jakarta, 174 pp.
- Holl, K.D. (2012) Restoration of tropical forests. In: van Andel, J. & Aronson, J. (eds.) *Restoration Ecology: The New Frontier*. Second edition, Wiley-Blackwell, Chichester, 103–114.
- Holl, K.D., Loik, M.E., Lin, E.H.V. & Samuels, I.A. (2000) Tropical montane forest restoration in Costa Rica: Overcoming barriers to dispersal and establishment. *Restoration Ecology*, 8, 339–349.
- Hooijer, A., Silvius, M., Wösten, H. & Page, S.E. (2006) *PEAT-CO2: Assessment of CO2 Emissions from Drained Peatlands in SE Asia*. Report Q3943, Delft Hydraulics, Delft (Netherlands), 41 pp.
- Hooijer, A., Page, S.E., Canadell, J.G., Silvius, M., Kwadijk, J., Wösten, H. & Jauhiainen, J. (2010) Current and future CO₂ emissions from drained peatlands in Southeast Asia. *Biogeosciences*, 7, 1505–1514.
- Hoscilo, A., Page, S.E., Tansey, K.J. & Rieley, J.O. (2011) Effect of repeated fires on land-cover change on peatland in southern Central Kalimantan, Indonesia, from 1973 to 2005. *International Journal of Wildland Fire*, 20, 578– 588.
- Ibrahim, S. (1996) Forest management systems in

peat swamp forest: a Malaysian perspectve. In: Maltby, E., Immirzi, C.P. & Safford, R.J. (eds.) *Tropical Lowland Peatlands of Southeast Asia: Proceedings of a Workshop on Integrated Planning and Management of Tropical Lowland Peatlands*, IUCN, Gland, Switzerland, 175–180.

- Janzen, D.H. & Vázquez-Yanes, C. (1991) Aspects of tropical seed ecology of relevance to management of tropical forest wildlands. In: Gómez-Pompa, A., Whitmore. T.C. & Hadley, M. (eds.) Rain Forest Regeneration and Management, Parthenon, Carnforth, xxiii+457 pp.
- KFCP (2014) Practical Lessons From the Field: A Synthesis of Eight Lessons Learned Papers From the KFCP REDD+ Demonstration Activity. Indonesia-Australia Forest Carbon Partnership, Jakarta, 48 pp. Online at: http://www.fordamof.org/content/publikasi/post/337, accessed 27 Mar 2018.
- Kostermans, A.J.G.H. (1958) Secondary growth on areas of former peat swampforest. In: *Proceedings of the Symposium on Humid Tropics Vegetation, Tjiawi (Indonesia), December 1958,* UNESCO Science Cooperation Office for SEA, Djakarta, 155–163.
- Liew, T.C. (1973) Occurrence of seeds in virgin topsoil with particular reference to a secondary species in Sabah. *Malaysian Forestry*, 36, 185– 193.
- Madawala, H.M.S.P., Ekanayake, S.K. & Perera, G.A.D. (2016) Diversity, composition and richness of soil seed banks in different forest communities at Dotalugala Man and Biosphere Reserve, Sri Lanka. *Ceylon Journal of Science*, 45, 43–55.
- Metcalfe, D.J. & Turner, L.M. (1998) Soil seed bank from lowland rain forest in Singapore: Canopygap and litter-gap demanders. *Journal of Tropical Ecology*, 14, 103–108.
- Metcalfe, D.J., Grubb, P.J. & Turner, L.M. (1998) The ecology of very small-seeded shade-tolerant trees and shrubs in lowland rain forest in Singapore. *Plant Ecology*, 134, 131–149.
- Miettinen, J. & Liew, S.C. (2010) Degradation and development of peatlands in Peninsular Malaysia and in the islands of Sumatra and Borneo since 1990. Land Degradation and Development, 21, 285–296.
- Miettinen, J., Shi, C. & Liew, S.C. (2016) Land cover distribution in the peatlands of Peninsular Malaysia, Sumatra and Borneo in 2015 with changes since 1990. *Global Ecology and Conservation*, 6, 67–78.
- Page, S.E., Siegert, F., Rieley, J.O., Boehm, H.-D.D.V., Jaya, A. & Limin, S. (2002) The

amount of carbon released from peat and forest fires in Indonesia in 1997. *Nature*, 420, 61–65.

- Page, S.E., Hosciło, A., Wösten, H., Jauhiainen, J., Silvius, M., Rieley, J., Ritzema, H., Tansey, K., Graham, L., Vasander, H. & Limin, S. (2009) Ecological restoration of lowland tropical peatlands in Southeast Asia: Current knowledge and future research directions. *Ecosystems*, 12, 888–905.
- Page, S.E., Rieley, J.O. & Banks, C.J. (2011) Global and regional importance of the tropical peatland carbon pool. *Global Change Biology*, 17, 798– 818.
- Posa, M.R.C., Wijedasa, L.S. & Corlett, R.T. (2011) Biodiversity and conservation of tropical peat swamp forests. *BioScience*, 61, 49–57.
- Putz, F.E. & Appanah, S. (1987) Buried seeds, newly dispersed seeds and the dynamics of a lowland forest in Malaysia. *Biotropica*, 19, 326–333.
- Rieley, J.O. & Page, S.E. (2005) Wise Use of Tropical Peatlands: Focus on Southeast Asia.
 Alterra Publishing, Wageningen, The Netherlands, 149 pp.
- Saatkamp, A., Poschlod, P. & Venable, D.L. (2014) The functional role of soil seed banks in natural communities. In: Gallagher, R.S. (ed.) *Seeds: The Ecology of Regeneration in Plant Communities*, 3rd edition, CABI, Wallingford, 263–295.
- Saulei, S.M. & Swaine, M.D. (1988) Rain forest seed dynamics during succession at Gogol, Papua New Guinea. *Journal of Ecology*, 76, 1133–1152.
- SER (2004) The SER International Primer on Ecological Restoration. Version 2, Science & Policy Working Group, Society for Ecological Restoration (SER) International (www.ser.org), Tuscon, Arizona, 14 pp.
- Simbolon, H. (2002) Hutan rawa gambut Kelampangan Kalimantan Tengah pasca kebakaran hutan Desember 1997 (Kelampangan peat swamp forest in Central Kalimantan after the forest fires of December 1997). In: Jamal, Y., Suyanto, A., Imamudin, H., Kahono, S., Rugayah., Simbolon, H., Sulundari, S. & Partomihardio, T. (eds.) Laporan Teknik: Provek inventarisasi dan karakterisasi sumberdaya hayati (Technical Report: Inventory and Characterisation of Biological Resources Project). Biological Research Centre, LIPI, Bogor, Indonesia, 380–389 (in Indonesian).
- Spracklen, D., Yaron, G., Singh, T., Righelato, R. & Sweetman, T. (2008) The Root of the Matter: Carbon Sequestration in Forests and Peatlands. Policy Exchange, London, 34 pp.
- Tang, Y., Cao, M. & Fu, X. (2006) Soil seed bank in a dipterocarp rain forest in Xishuangbanna,

Southwest China. Biotropica, 38, 328–333.

- Tekle, K. & Bekele, T. (2000) The role of soil seed banks in the rehabilitation of degraded hillslopes in Southern Wello, Ethiopia. *Biotropica*, 32, 23– 32.
- Thompson, K. (1992) The functional ecology of seed banks. In: Fenner, M. (ed.) Seeds: The Ecology of Regeneration in Plant Communities, 2nd edition, CABI, Wallingford, 231–258.
- Tongway, D.J. & Ludwig, J.A. (2011) Restoring Disturbed Landscapes: Putting Principles into Practice. Island Press, Washington, USA, 216 pp.
- Tongway, D.J. & Ludwig, J.A. (2012) Planning and implementing successful landscape-scale restoration. In: van Andel, J. & Aronson, J. (eds.) *Restoration Ecology: The New Frontier*. Second edition, Wiley-Blackwell, Chichester, 30–42.
- van Eijk, P., Leenman, P., Wibisono, I.T.C. & Giesen, W. (2009) Regeneration and restoration of degraded peat swamp forest in Berbak National Park, Jambi, Sumatra, Indonesia. *Malayan Nature Journal*, 61, 223–241.
- van Noordwijk, M., Purnomo, H., Peskett, L. & Setiono, B. (2008) Reducing Emissions from Deforestation and Forest Degradation (REDD) in Indonesia: Options and Challenges for Fair and Efficient Payment Distribution Mechanisms. Working Paper 81, World Agroforestry Centre (ICRAF), Bogor, Indonesia, 29 pp.

Whitmore, T.C. (1984) Tropical Rain Forests of the

Far East. Second edition, Oxford University Press, Oxford, UK, 352 + xvi pp.

- Wibisono, I.T.C., Siboro, L. & Suryadiputra, I.N.N. (2005) Panduan Rehabilitasi dan Teknik Silvikultur di Lahan Gambut (Silvicultural Rehabilitation and Engineering Guide on Peatland). Wetlands International - Indonesia Program, Bogor, 174 pp. (in Indonesian).
- Wösten, J.H.M., Van den Berg, J., van Eijk, P., Gevers, G.J.M., Giesen, W.B.J.T., Hooijer, A., Idris, A., Leenman, P.H., Rais, D.S., Siderius, C., Silvius, M.J., Suryadiputra, N. & Wibisono, I.T. (2006) Interrelationships between hydrology and ecology in fire degraded tropical peat swamp forests. *Water Resources Development*, 22, 157– 174.
- Wösten, J.H.M., Clymans, E., Page, S.E., Rieley, J.O. & Limin, S.H. (2008) Peat-water interrelations in tropical peatland ecosystems in Southeast Asia. *Catena*, 73, 212–224.
- Wyatt-Smith, J. (1959) Peat swamp forest in Malaya. *Malayan Forester*, 22, 5–32.
- Zimmerman, J.K., Pascarella, J.B. & Aide, T.M. (2000) Barriers to forest regeneration in an abandoned pasture in Puerto Rico. *Restoration Ecology*, 8, 350–360.

Submitted 06 Oct 2017, final revision 15 Mar 2018 Editor: Mark Harrison

Author for correspondence:

Dr. Laura Graham, Borneo Orangutan Survival Foundation, BOSF-Mawas Program, Jl. Nuri No.9, Palangka Raya, Central Kalimantan, Indonesia. Tel: +62 813 5097 3434; E-mail: 1.1.b.graham.02@cantab.net

FZ	Species diversity and composition	Forest dynamics	Forest structure	Productivity	Comment
DF	Low number of tree, sapling and seedling species. Low species variety with high species dominance.	Very low tree density, very low sapling density and low seedling density, with very low basal area and biomass.	Reduced canopy height and low canopy cover.	Very low litterfall production.	Highly disturbed, showing little sign of regeneration.
OC	Low number of tree, sapling and seedling species. Low species variety with high species dominance.	Very low tree density, low sapling density and high seedling density, with very low basal area and biomass.	Reduced canopy height and low canopy cover.	Low litterfall production.	Disturbed but showing some signs of regeneration.
FE	Moderate number of tree and sapling species, but high number of seedling species. Low to moderate species dominance and variety.	Moderate tree density, high sapling density and very high seedling density, with low basal area and biomass.	High canopy cover, but reduced canopy height.	High litterfall production.	Disturbed and showing signs of high regeneration.
CC	High number of tree, sapling and seedling species. Low species dominance with much variety.	High tree density, moderate sapling density and high seedling density, with high basal area and biomass.	High canopy height and canopy cover.	High litterfall production.	Similar to natural forest but with some disturbance.
NF	High number of tree and sapling species, fewer seedling species. Low species dominance with much variety.	High tree density, moderate sapling density and low seedling density, with high basal area and biomass.	High canopy height and canopy cover.	High litterfall production.	Normal forest.

Appendix: Summary of vegetation characteristics for the five forest zones (FZs).