

Carbon – Science and Technology

ISSN 0974 - 0546

http://www.applied-science-innovations.com

News and views

Received: 20/02/2019, Accepted: 10/03/2019

Is James Watson right?

Prakash R. Somani

Center for Grand Challenges and Green Technologies (<u>www.cgct.in</u>), Applied Science Innovations Pvt. Ltd., Dhayari, Pune, Maharashtra, India. Web site: <u>www.prakashsomani.com</u>

James Watson yet again correlated 'intelligence with genetic inherence' and sacrificed his titles, honors, and post. His views and comments are termed as 'unscientific'. People who took such decisions must understand that the titles, honorary degrees, post, and Nobel prize has been awarded to him for his greatest contribution in science (and not for being a 'Non-racist'). This coins many questions than answers.

Can the society allow him (or any other scientist) to have his/her own opinions (based on their own study or intuition)? Genetic inherence and its correlation with many human characteristics like skin color, diseases is very well known and accepted.

What is the scientific logic/evidence to believe that the two individuals living in completely different parts of the world; weather conditions; different biological parents (and hence genes) are equal in all aspects and should have the same characteristics (including intelligence)? Scientific answer is - No. They are not.

Today, we are talking about CRISPR technology and gene editing (including gene edited babies). Another important question: Are we not ready to accept that 'there is or might be a connection between intelligence and genetic inheritance'. It is to be pointed out here that as of now, nobody has proved Watson to be scientifically wrong. Unless and until, his opinion is proved to be scientifically correct or wrong; one need not admit it either way. But there is a point (i.e. Link between intelligence and genetic inheritance) to be considered seriously.

Knowledge of genetics directs me partially why we have only few people as Newton, Einstein, Raman, and Ramanujan. But I am completely unanswered on why their own families do not have second ones.

Forthcoming time itself will answer if Watson is right or wrong related to 'intelligence and genetic inheritance'.
