



ZIBELINE INTERNATIONAL

ISSN: 2521-5035 (Print)

ISSN: 2521-5043 (online)

CODEN : ESMACU

Earth Sciences Malaysia (ESMY)

DOI : <http://doi.org/10.26480/esmy.01.2018.01.07>

SALTWATER - FRESHWATER WETLAND ECOSYSTEM AND URBAN LAND USE CHANGE IN PORT HARCOURT METROPOLIS, NIGERIA

Wali, E.¹, Phil-Eze, P.O.¹, Nwankwoala, H.O.^{2*}¹Department of Geography, University of Nigeria, Nsukka²Department of Geology, University of Port Harcourt, Nigeria*Corresponding Author Email: nwankwoala_ho@yahoo.com

This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited

ARTICLE DETAILS

ABSTRACT

Article History:

Received 12 November 2017

Accepted 12 December 2017

Available online 1 January 2018

Urban development in wetland ecosystems for human settlement, transport networks, exploration /exploitation of natural resources, agriculture and industrial development is one of the biggest menace to wetland change and management. To estimate future urban expansion is very crucial for urban planners and environmental managers in fastest growing cities. This study aims to examine the saltwater/freshwater ecosystem and urban land use change in Port Harcourt metropolis, Nigeria. Sources of data for this study were acquired from a time series of landsat Thematic Mapper (TM) and Enhanced Thematic Mapper plus (ETM+) with Thermal Infrared Sensor (TIRS) images were used to derive land use and land cover maps of the Port-Harcourt metropolis. This study revealed that both freshwater wetland and saltwater wetlands ecosystem occupied 46.99% (18837.1 Ha) of the total classes. This may be the result of wetland being in an undisturbed nature without any conversion or alteration for use. The urban land use change of Port-Harcourt metropolis had changed dramatically during the period of 29 years. The two wetlands (saltwater and freshwater wetland) sum up to a total of 40% (16497.5 Ha) which indicates that there is pressure on wetland use such as plant products harvested from fuel wood, human settlement, urban agriculture, sand dredging, sanitation, water pollution and industrial activities from oil companies within the metropolis. Efforts should be made to increase knowledge, sensitization, consultation, stakeholder's participation and awareness on the wetlands values and wise use economy through dissemination of information, using appropriate techniques and training of adequate staff as well as the need for sound wetland policies, laws and legislation for sustainable use, management and control in conservation of wetland.

KEYWORDS

Urban Land Use Change, Saltwater/Freshwater, Wetland Ecosystem, Wetland Management, Port Harcourt.

1. INTRODUCTION

In the context of Convention adopted by Ramsar, Convention (Article 1.1), wetlands are defined as areas of marsh, fen, peat land or water, whether natural or artificial, permanent or temporary, with water that is static or flowing, fresh, brackish or salt, including areas of marine water the depth of which at low tide does not exceed six meters [1,2]. In addition, the convention (Article 2.1) also provide that they may incorporate riparian and coastal zones adjacent to the wetlands, and islands or bodies of marine water deeper than six meters at low tide lying within the wetland [1,2].

Wetland ecosystems are among the most important in the world, providing a diverse range of ecosystem services vital to human well-being [2,3-5]. They gave rise to the first modern global nature- conservation convention and remain the only single group of ecosystems with their own International Convention [6-8]. Urbanization is a major cause of loss of coastal wetlands.

Urbanization impacts wetlands in numerous direct and indirect ways. For example, construction reportedly impacts wetlands by causing direct habitat loss, suspended solids additions, hydrologic changes and altered water quality. Indirect impacts include changes in hydrology and sedimentations which substantially alter wetlands. It also exerts significant influences on the structure and function of coastal wetlands, mainly through modifying the hydrological and sedimentation regimes, and the dynamics of nutrients and chemical pollutants [9].

Wetland ecosystems are important natural habitat, which must be

conserved [10,11]. They are associated with a diverse and complex array of direct and indirect uses. Direct uses include the use of the wetland for water supply and harvesting of wetland products such as fish and plants resources, while indirect benefits are derived from environmental functions such as flood water retention, ground water recharge/discharge, nutrient abatement etc. Human activities in the wetland themselves may be fairly related to alternations; they may also be caused by activities in the wetland watersheds and predominantly by agricultural ones i.e. crop and livestock's production [12]. Changes in wetland area may significantly affect the ecosystem processes and services.

Concern about changes in the size and quality of many of the world's wetlands ecosystem has been growing as more and more wetlands are being converted to agricultural or urban land use and as a result of natural factors like drought [13-15]. This study therefore aims at developing a spatial data base on land use and land cover change, with an emphasis on land use classes, assess the ecological and socio-economic effects of wetland use, to map and generate the inventory of wetlands within the Port-Harcourt metropolis between 1984 and 2013, and to explore the causes of degradation of the wetlands.

2. THE STUDY AREA

Geographically, the Port-Harcourt metropolis is positioned between Latitudes 4° 45' N, and 4° 55' N and Longitudes 6° 55' E and 7° 05' E. Port-Harcourt metropolis is located at about 25 km from the Atlantic Ocean and it is situated between the Dockyard Creek/Bonny River and the Amadi Creek [16,17]. Port-Harcourt, originally known, as "Igwe-Ocha" was founded in 1913 by the British in an area traditionally inhabited by the Ikwerres. It was named after Lewis Viscount Harcourt, the then Secretary

of State of Colonies. The main City of Port Harcourt is the Port-Harcourt City Local Government Area. It serves as the Headquarters of Rivers State [18]. Today, the Port-Harcourt metropolis is made up of two Local Government Areas, namely Port-Harcourt L.G.A. and Obio-Akpor LGA (Figure 1).

The Port-Harcourt metropolis features within a tropical monsoon climate of transitional zone of KoppenAf climatic types with prolonged and heavy rainy season and very short dry season months in the city. Only the months of December and January truly qualifies as dry season months in the city. The harmattan, which climatically influences many cities in West Africa, is less pronounced in Port-Harcourt. The heaviest precipitation in Port-Harcourt occurs between March and October [17]. The mean annual rainfall is put at 2,000mm [19]. The Port-Harcourt metropolis usually has a temporary cessation of rain commonly known as "August Break" (a dry spell) that comes in between the middle of the rainy season. The area has an average monthly temperature above 27°C and there is adequate

moisture in virtually all the months.

The relief of the area is low-lying, and the rivers are influenced by tidal fluctuation. The Port-Harcourt metropolis lies at an average altitude of about 12m above mean sea level. In terms of general surface features, the Port-Harcourt metropolis is very unique. The area falls within the coastal belt dominated by low-lying coastal plains which structurally belong to the sedimentary formation of the recent Niger Delta [20]. It consists mainly of muddy deposit pushed out of the River Niger into a relatively tide-less salt sea. The Port-Harcourt metropolis is drained by many rivers such as, Ntawogba, New Calaber, Amadi creek, Dockyard creek, Dick Fiberesima creek, Isaka River, Mini Apalugo, Elechi creek, Primose River, MgbuodohiaRiver,etc.




Figure 1: Map of Rivers State Showing Port Harcourt and Obio/Akpor L.G.A

3. METHOD OF STUDY

3.1 Data Acquisition and Sources

Sources of data for this study were acquired from a time series of landsat Thematic Mapper (TM) and Enhanced Thematic Mapper plus (ETM+) with Thermal Infrared Sensor (TIRS) images were used to derive land use and land cover maps of the Port-Harcourt metropolis. The data set includes a notable period of four years for, 1984, 1999, 2003 and 2013 (Table 1). The raw satellite data were obtained from the archive of the United States Geological Survey and Earth Explorer. The maps were projected using

Universal Transverse Mercator (UTM) and datum WGS 84 of zone 32. These data sets sensors have repeat cycles of 20 days, ground pixel dimension of 57 x 79m (TM), 16-bit pixel for values TIRS and the spectral range includes seven spectral bands in the visible/near infrared (VNIR)- Bands 1, 2, 3 and 4), shortwave infrared (SWIR – Bands, 5 and 7) and thermal infrared TIR – Band 6) parts of the electromagnetic (EM) spectrum. The spectral resolution of Landsat TM and ETM+ (30m) data makes it very useful for land use change and land cover classification and general mapping.

Table 1: Data Source (Source: USGS, 2014)

S/N	SATELITE/ DATA TYPE	SENSOR	PATH/ROW	DATE	SACLE	BANDS	CLOUD COVER	SOURCE
1	Landsat 5	Thematic Mapper (TM)	188/057	13/12/84	30m	1,2,3,4,5,6 and 7	0	Courtesy of the U.S. Geological Survey. Earth Explorer.
2	Landsat 7	Enhanced Thematic Mapper plus (ETM+)	188/057	29/11/1999	30m	1,2,3,4,5,6 and 7	10%	Courtesy of the U.S. Geological Survey. Earth Explorer.
3	Land sat7	Enhanced Thematic Mapper plus (ETM+)	188/057	08/01/2003	30m	1,2,3,4,5,6 and 7	0	Courtesy of the U.S. Geological Survey. Earth Explorer.
4	Land Sat7	Enhanced thematic Mapper plus (ETM+)	188/057	21/12/2013	30m	1,2,3,4,5,6 and 7	0	Courtesy of the U.S. Geological Survey. Earth Explorer.

3.2 Geo- Referencing Properties of the Images

The Geo-referencing properties of 1984, 1999, 2003 and 2013 made up of Universal Transverse Mercator (UTM) projection, and datum WGS 84, zone 32. Based on the prior knowledge of the study area for over 30 years and a brief ground-routing with additional information from previous research in the study area, a classification scheme was developed [21]. The classification scheme developed gives a rather broad classification where

the land use/land cover was identified by a single digit. Table 2 Shows the five-land use/land cover classification identified in the Port Harcourt metropolis considering the scale and resolution of the remote sensor data, interpretation of more elements of the image such as color, texture, shadow, pattern, association, shape and size of the data (resource data), research objectives, field visit and the physical nature of the wetlands terrain in the Port Harcourt metropolis.

Table 2: Land Use/Land Cover Classification [21]

S/N	LEVEL 1, LAND USE /LAND COVER CATEGORIES	LAND USE/LAND COVER DESCRIPTION
1	FALLOW LAND	This includes farmland, sparse vegetation and thick vegetation.
2	SALTWATER WETLAND	Salt water ecosystem of tidal/sub tidal lands, salt water estuaries' habitats, and beach, flat or contiguous lowland subjected to tidal action.
3	BUILT UP AREA	Urban area, industrial layout, bare soil, residential, commercial, educational, infrastructure, road network, pipeline, flow station, oil and gas facilities, flow lines, open and cleaned areas.
4	FRESHWATER WETLAND	These are fresh water ecosystem mainly swamps, marshes and bogs etc.

5	WATER	Exposed water bodies within the study area including rivers streams, ponds, rivulets and creeks
---	-------	-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

This involved identifying a set of sample locations and conducting field visit to the study site on Monday 22nd June and Tuesday 7th July 2015 to validate these locations. The land use and land cover found were compared to that which was mapped in the image for the same locations. Photographs and coordinates of the various land-use land-cover were obtained with a hand-held GPS.

3.3 Saltwater Wetland Ecosystem

The saltwater wetland is mainly salt-water ecosystems (Plates 1 - 3). They are primarily associated with floodplains of estuaries of large rivers and water courses [5, 22]. In the study conducted in Nigeria, some groups researchers identify two major types of wetlands in Nigeria; they are freshwater wetlands and saltwater wetlands [23]. Saltwater wetlands mean all tidal and sub-tidal lands, including all areas below any identifiable debris line left by tidal action; all areas with vegetation present that is tolerant of salt water and occurs primarily in salt water or estuarine habitat; and any swamp, marsh, bog, beach, flat or other contiguous lowland which is subject to tidal action.

The saltwater ecosystem wetland over the study years has the size shown in the results. Table 4 shows that the year 1984 recorded 6086.52 Ha of land in size, 1999 with 5190.23 Ha, 2003 with 5530.59 Ha and in 2013 salt water wetland reduced to 5411.79 Ha in size. From figure 2 - 5, we can see the spatial distribution of wetlands in the Port-Harcourt metropolis in 1984, 1999, 2003 and 2013 with the spatial extent of wetlands decrease between 1984, 1999, 2003 and 2013 in the study area as shown in figure 6-13.




Figure 2: 1984 Landsat5 TM Image




Figure 3: 1999 Landsat7 ETM Image




Figure 4: 2003 Landsat7 ETM Image




Figure 5: 2013 Landsat7 ETM Image



Plate 1: Saltwater wetland Ecosystem at low tide near Rivers State

Hospital Board, Mile 1 Diobu, Port-Harcourt Management



Plate 2: Saltwater wetland Ecosystem at Elechi Beach Mile 1 converted to dump site



Plate 3: Saltwater wetland Ecosystem at Borikiri Area, Port-Harcourt

3.4 Freshwater Wetland Ecosystem

Freshwater wetlands are mainly fresh water ecosystems (Plates 4 - 6). They occur wherever ground water, surface spring, streams or run-off courses, saturated soils or frequent flooding creates temporary and/or permanent shallow water bodies. Freshwater wetlands include swamps, marshes, bogs and similar areas that are inundated or saturated by surface or groundwater at a frequency and for duration sufficient to support the ecosystem. The size of fresh water ecosystem from our review in table 3 indicates that in the year 1984, wetland size was 12750.58 Ha, this increased to 12886.20 Ha in 1999, by 2003 it became 10966.91 Ha and by 2013 the size was 9747.28 Ha of an aerial extent around the metropolis.




Figure 6: Built up Area as at 1984




Figure 7: Built up Area as at 1999




Figure 8: Built up Area as at 2003




Figure 9: Built up area as at 2013




Figure 10: Wetland as at 1984

Figure 11: Wetland as at 1999





Figure 12: Wetland as at 2003

Figure 13: Wetland as at 2013



Plate 4: Freshwater Wetland experiencing transformed Seasonal high tide at Rukpokwu

Plate 5: Freshwater Wetland being to dumpsite at Rumuolumini Community near Ignatius Ajuru University of Education, Port Harcourt



Plate 6: Freshwater Wetland being encroached in Mgbuoba /NTA road due to urbanization process

3.5 Land Use Change Process/Distribution

The urban land use maps delineated from change distribution data of 1984, 1999, 2003 and 2013 showed over the whole study period. The urban land use change of Port-Harcourt metropolis had changed dramatically during the period of 29 years. The data interpretation

analysis/discussion is based on comparison between urban land use (Built-up area) and wetland ecosystem for different timelines, in the 29 - year period. The spatial patterning of land use/land cover distribution area of 1984, 1999, 2003 and 2013 as derived from the image maps is presented in Table 3 and Figures 6– 13. The total area under study is 40066.59 (Ha).

Table 3: Size and Proportion of Land Cover Classes from 1984-2013

CLASS NAME	1984 Image area	%	1999 Image (Ha)	%	2003 image Area (Ha)	%	2013 Image Area(Ha)	%
Saltwater wetland	6086.52	15.19	5190.23	12.95	5530.59	13.53	5411.79	13.50
Freshwater wetland	12750.58	31.8	12886.20	32.16	10966.91	27.37	9747.28	24.32
Fallow land	7777.8	19.4	4998.46	12.47	5387.26	13.44	5192.19	12.95
Built-up Area	11100.8	27.7	14753.8	36.8	15915.6	39.72	19343.6	48.27
Water bodies	2350.89	5.86	2237.9	5.58	2266.24	5.65	3111.61	7.76
Total	40066.59	100	40066.59	100	40066.59	100	40066.59	100

Table 3 shows that in 1984, built up area occupied the second highest classes with 27.7% (11100.8 Ha) of the total classes. This could be connected to low level of urban development, less pressure on wetlands, people having enough space to occupy and wetland being left alone. Both freshwater wetland and saltwater wetlands ecosystem occupied 46.99% (18837.1 Ha) of the total classes. This may be the result of wetland being

in an undisturbed nature without any conversion or alteration for use.

In 1999, built up area (urban land use) rose to 36.8% (14753.8 Ha) and maintained an increase in urban growth due to change from military to civilian rule (transitional period), constructions of many roads, empowerment by Government, and quest for white collar jobs. The two wetlands maintain a sharp reduction with a single digit of 45.11%

(18076.43 Ha). The 1999 image scene shows a cloud cover during the period of image acquisition, and this led to an increase in figure instead of decrease. It remains the only single image that was clean and clear in the nineties.

As shown in Table 3, byin the year 2003, built up area (urban land use) occupied 39.72% (15915.6 Ha) of the total class with a high increase. The two wetlands (saltwater and freshwater wetland) sum up to atotal of 40% (16497.5 Ha) which indicates that there is pressure on wetland use such as plant products harvested from fuel wood, urban agriculture, sand dredging, sanitation, water pollution and industrial activities from oil companies within the metropolis.

The year 2013 witnessed an expansion of cities in terms of developmental activities in all facets. Here, built up area recorded a two-fold increase in size. The pattern of land cover distribution in 2013 indicated that built-up areas were occupied by the development of greater Port-Harcourt city, as there was not enough space for development in the Port-Harcourt metropolis. People therefore tended to develop towards wetland ecosystem. Table 3 shows that both wetlands (saltwater and freshwater wetland) occupied 37.82% (15159.07 Ha). This is to say that there is a reduction in the size of both wetlands ecosystem due to rapid conversion of wetlands for housing development and excessive urban sprawl and its associated problems of inefficient use of land, urban space and the development of shanty towns/slums.

Other classes such as water bodies and fallow land in Table 3 can equally be reviewed. Water bodies maintained a constant size in volume over the years except in 2013, where it increased to 7.76 % (3111.61 Ha). This sharp increase in the volume of water in 2013 was as a result of the 2012 flood menace in the country which resulted from most rivers, creeks, ponds and streams in the metropolis overflowing their banks and opening of water ways by the oil companies to ensure easy movement of their vessels. Water bodies occupied a total of 5.86 % (2350.89 Ha) in 1984, and experienced a slight decrease in size to 5.58 % (2237.9 Ha) in 1999 and a little increase in size to 5.65% (2266.24 Ha) in 2003. Similarly, the result presented above indicates that in 1984, fallow land occupied 19.4 % (7777.8Ha) of the land use area, and decreased to 12.47% (4998.46Ha) in the year 1999, with a slight increase to 13.44% (5387.26) in 2003, and then reduced to 12.95% (5192.19 Ha) in 2013.

3.6 Result of Accuracy Assessment of Land use/Land Cover Map of the Study Area.

A supervised classification of the satellite imagery was adopted to produce land use and land cover classes. The study made use of Maximum likelihood classification technique to perform its task, there by using all relevant spectral bands in each image. This is the most widely used classification algorithm [24,25]. To generate land cover classes, images of the study areas were taken in three stages: feature extraction, selection of training data (signatures), and selection of suitable classification approaches. The following five land use and land cover classes were identified and mapped: fallow land, saltwater wetland, built-up area, freshwater wetland and water bodies. After the classification, 20 sample points were generated to determine accuracy assessment, except in accuracy total report of 1999 where point generated was 25 points due to cloud cover.

In order to ascertain classification accuracy assessment, it is necessary to systematically compare two sources of information, the first one is pixels or polygon in remote sensing-derived classification map and the second one is ground reference test information. The relation between these two sets of data is usually summarized in an error matrix. The error matrix compares, on a category-by-category basis, the relation between known reference data and the corresponding result of an automated classification [26]. A researcher has proposed that two ways to evaluate and validate error matrix are descriptive statistical and multivariate analytical statistical methods [27].

Kappa Analysis is a multivariate analytical statistical method used and introduced to the remote sensing community in 1981 and was first published in remote sensing journal in 1983 [28,29]. It measures accuracy between the remote sensing-derived classification map (in this study LULC map of the study area) and the ground-truth data by the major diagonal of the error matrix, as well as the chance agreement, which is indicated by the row and column total referred to as marginal [30,31].

According to a studies, Kappa value >0.80 represents a strong agreement or accuracy between the classification map and the ground reference, a Kappa value between 0.40 and 0.80 is considered moderate, and a Kappa value < 0.4 represents a poor agreement.

Table 4: Accuracy Total Report (1984) of Port-Harcourt

Class name	Reference totals	Classified totals	Number correct	Producer's accuracy (%)	User's accuracy (%)
Fallow Land	5	7	5	100%	71.43%
Saltwater wetland	4	3	3	75%	100%
Built up area	1	0	0	0%	0%
Fresh Water	0	0	0	0%	0%
Water Bodies	2	2	2	100.00	100.00
Total	20	20	10		

Overall classification accuracy = 90.00%

Kappa (K) statistics

Class name	kappa
Fallow Land	0.6190
Saltwater wetland	1.0000
Built up area	0.0000
Freshwater wetland	0.10000
Water bodies	1.0000
Overall kappa statistic =	0.8596

Table 5: Accuracy total report (1999) of Port Harcourt

Class name	Reference totals	Classified totals	Number correct	Producer's accuracy (%)	User's accuracy (%)
Fallow land	2	0	0	-	-
Saltwater wetland	2	2	2	100.00%	100.00%
Built up area	6	5	5	83.33%	100.00%
Freshwater wetland	5	8	5	100.00%	62.50%
Water bodies	0	0	0	-	-
Total	25	25	22		

Overall classification Accuracy = 88.00%

Kappa (k) Statistics

Class name	kappa
Fallow land	0.0000
Saltwater wetland	1.0000
Built up Area	1.0000
Freshwater wetland	0.5313
Water bodies	0.0000
Overall kappa statistics =	0.8337

Table 6: Accuracy total report (2003) of Port Harcourt

Class name	Reference totals	Classified totals	Number correct	Producer's accuracy (%)	User's accuracy (%)
Fallow land	2	2	2	100%	100%
Saltwater wetland	3	3	3	100.00%	100.00%
Built up area	5	5	5	100.00%	100.00%
Freshwater wetland	0	0	0	-	-
Water bodies	1	1	1	100.00%	100.00%
Total	20	20	20		

Overall classification Accuracy = 100%

Kappa (k) Statistics

Class name	kappa
Fallow land	1.0000
Saltwater wetland	1.0000
Built up Area	1.0000
Freshwater wetland	1.0000
Water bodies	1.0000
Overall kappa statistics =	1.0000

Table 7: Accuracy total report (2013) of Port Harcourt

Class name	Reference totals	Classified totals	Number correct	Producer's accuracy (%)	User's accuracy (%)
Fallow land	0	0	0	-	-

Saltwater wetland	2	2	2	100.00%	100.00%
Built up area	5	5	5	100.00%	100.00%
Freshwater wetland	2	2	2	100.00%	100.00%
Water bodies	1	1	1	100.00%	100.00%
Total	20	20	20		

Overall Classification Accuracy = 100.00%

Kappa (k) Statistics

Class name	kappa
Fallow land	0.0000
Saltwater wetland	1.0000
Built up Area	1.0000
Freshwater wetland	1.0000
Water bodies	1.0000
Overall kappa Statistics =	1.0000

Analysis based on overall accuracy assessment in Tables 4, 5, 6 and 7 showed that the 1984 classification based on the assessed Landsat TM Map was 90.00%, with a kappa coefficient statistic of 0.85%, while the overall accuracies for 1999 image classification based on Landsat ETM was 88.00% with a kappa coefficient statistic of 0.8337; the 2003 image scene classification accuracy based on Landsat ETM was 100.00% with a kappa coefficient statistic of 1.0000 respectively. The 2013 image classification accuracy based on Landsat7 ETM was 100.00% with kappa overall coefficient statistics of 1.0000. The minimum standards as stipulated above meet USGS classification scheme.

4. CONCLUSION

Since it is impossible to avoid change in time and space there is a crucial need for planning in the Port Harcourt metropolis. This study revealed a very alarming rate of wetland reduction and expansion of built-up area. Sound planning is needed to protect and preserve wetland ecosystem. If no adequate measures are taken, wetland resources in the Port Harcourt Metropolis may grossly become extinct. This is because of the following:

- Wetlands and fallow land experienced both absolute and relative losses between 1984 and 1999.
- Within 1999-2013, built-up area experienced a positive increase while freshwater wetland and water bodies came up with negative reduction in their magnitude.
- Within 2003-2013, freshwater wetland hit a negative change in reduction and saltwater wetland in this period made the lowest conversion. Built-up areas and water bodies exhibited positive change in the study.
- Drivers of the land use and land cover change in Port-Harcourt metropolis include demographic factors, urbanization, infrastructural development and oil and gas activity.

It is recommended that:

- Efforts should be made to increase knowledge, sensitization, consultation, stakeholder's participation and awareness on the wetlands values and wise use economy through dissemination of information, using appropriate techniques and training of adequate staff.
- There is need for sound wetland policies, laws and legislation for sustainable use, management and control in conservation of wetland.
- There is need for improvement of institutional arrangement so that wetland policies can be fully integrated into the urban planning process across all departments.

REFERENCES

- [1] Ramsar Iran. 1971. Ramsar Convention on Wetland: Ramsar Handbooks for The Wise Use of Wetlands, 4th Edition.
- [2] Ramsar Convention Secretariat. 2007. Wise Use of Wetlands: A Conceptual Framework For The Wise Use of Wetlands. Ramsar Handbooks for The Wise Use Of Wetlands. 3rd Ed. Gland (Switzerland): Rcs.
- [3] Barbier, E.B., Acreman, M., Knowler, D. 1997. Economic Valuation of Wetlands: A Guide For Policy Makers and Planners. Gland (Switzerland): Ramsar Convention Bureau.
- [4] Nwankwoala, H.O. 2011. An Integrated Approach to Sustainable Development and Management of Groundwater Resources of Nigeria. Journal of Geology and Mining Research, 3 (5), 123-130.
- [5] Wali, E. 2015. Urbanization and Loss of Wetlands in Port-Harcourt Metropolis, Nigeria. An Unpublished M.Sc. Thesis; Department of Geography University of Nigeria, Nsukka.
- [6] Mathews, G.V.T. 1993. The Ramsar Convention on Wetlands: Its History and Development. In Luthi E, Ramsar, Editors. Gland (Switzerland): Ramsar Convention Bureau.
- [7] Tunner, R.K., Van Den Bergh, J.C.J.M., Soderzvist, T., Barendregt, A., Van Der Staaten J., Maltby, E., Van Ler Land, E. C. 2000. Ecological -Economic Analysis Of Wetlands:Scientific Integration For Management And Policy. Ecological Economics, 35, 7-23.
- [8] Ramsar Convention. 2010. Accessible At <http://www.Ramsar.Org/Cda/Ramsar/20/04/2011>.
- [9] Adedeji, D., Eziyi, O. I. 2010. Urban Environmental Problems in Nigeria: Implication For Sustainable Development. Journal of Sustainable Development in Africa, 12 (7), 124-145.
- [10] Williams, M. 1990. Protection and Retrospection In Wetlands: A Threatened Landscape. Black Well. Oxford.
- [11] Nwankwoala, H.O. 2012. Case Studies on Coastal Wetlands and Water Resources In Nigeria. European Journal of Sustainable Development, 12, 113-126.
- [12] Zalids, G.C., Martzave, Las, A.L., Gourvelon, E. 1997. Environmental Impacts On Greek Wetlands, 17, 339-345.
- [13] Ringrose, S., Matheson, W., Boyle, T. 1988. Differentiation of Ecological Zones in The Okavango Delta Bostwana, By Classification and Contextual Analysis of Landsat Mss Data. Photogram. Eng. Remote Sens. 54, 601-608.
- [14] Garakis, A., Kalburtji, K. 1998. Agricultural Activities Affecting the Functions and Values of Ramsar Wetland Sites of Greece Agric Ecosystem. Environment, 70, 119-128.
- [15] Chopra, R., Verma, V.K., Harma, P.K. 2001. Mapping, Monitoring and Conservation of Harike Wetland Ecosystem. Punjab India, Through Remote Sensing: Inter. Journal of Remote Sensing, 1, 89-98
- [16] Okoye, T.O. 1975. Port-Harcourt In Ofomata, G. E. K. (Ed). Nigeria In Maps: Eastern States. Ethiope, Benin City, 92-93.
- [17] Oyegun, C.U., Adeyemo, A. 1999. Port-Harcourt Region (Ed). Paragraphics Port Harcourt, 1-12.
- [18] Alagoa, E.J., Derefaka, A.A. 2002. The Land and People of Rivers State: Eastern Niger Delta. Onyoma Research Publication.
- [19] Ayoade, J.O. 1993. The Introductory Climatology for The Tropics. Spectrum Books Ltd., Ibadan, Nigeria.
- [20] Umeuduji, J. E., Aiseuebeogun, A. 1999. Relief and Drainage, In Oyegun C. U. And Adeyemo. A. (Eds). Land and People of Rivers State. River Side Communication, Port- Harcourt, 24-30.
- [21] Anderson. 1976. A Land Use and Land Cover Classification System for Use with Remote Sensor Data. Geological Survey Professional Paper No. 964, Us. Government Printing Office, Washington, D.C. P. 28.
- [22] Samuel, K.A. 2006. Managing Wetlands in Accra, Ghana. African Regional Workshop Cities, Ecosystems and Biodiversity Nairobi, 21 September 2006. Side Event at The Africities Summit (18-24 September 2006).
- [23] Agbi, J.A., Abang, S.O., Animashaun, A.I. 1995. Nigerian Environment. Nigerian Conservation, Module 2. Mac Millian Nigerian, Lagos.

[24] Mamandhar, R., Odeh, I.O.A., Ancev, T. 2009. Improving the Accuracy Of Land Use And Land Cover Classification Of Land Sat Data Using Post Classification Enhancement. *Remote Sensing*, 1 (3), 330-344.

[25] Eric, K.F., Adubofour, F. 2012. Analysis of Forest Cover Change Detection in Owabi Forest Reserve Kumasi, Ghana. *International Journal of Remote Sensing Applications*, 2 (4).

[26] Lillesland, M.T., Kiefer, W.R., Dupman, W.J. 2004. *Remote Sensing and Image Interpretation*. 5th Edition, John Wiley And Son, Inc., New York.

[27] Jensen, J.R. 2005. *Introductory Digital Image Processing: A Remote Sensing Perspectives*. 3rd Edition Prentice Hall Series in Geographic Information Science.

[28] Congalton, R. 1981. A Review of Assessing the Accuracy of Classification of Remotely Sensed Data. *Remote Sensing of Environment*, 37 (1), 35-46.

[29] Congalton, R.G., Oderwald, R. G., Mead, R.A. 1983. Assessing Land Sat Classification Accuracy Using Discrete Multivariate Analysis Statistical Techniques, *Photogrammetric Engineering and Remote Sensing* 59, 529-535.

[30] Rosenfield, G., Fitzpatrick-Lines, K.A. 1986. Coefficient of Agreement as A Measure of Thematic Classification Accuracy. *Photogrammetric Engineering and Remote Sensing*, 52 (2), 223-227.

[31] Congalton, R.G. 1996. Accuracy Assessment: A Critical Component of Land Cover Mapping. *Gap Analysis*. American Society for Photogrammetric and Remote Sensing, 119-131.

