

REVIEW published: 26 April 2019 doi: 10.3389/fneur.2019.00446



# Blood Biomarkers for Traumatic Brain Injury: A Quantitative Assessment of Diagnostic and Prognostic Accuracy

Zoe S. Gan<sup>1</sup>, Sherman C. Stein<sup>2</sup>, Randel Swanson<sup>3,4,5,6</sup>, Shaobo Guan<sup>2</sup>, Lizette Garcia<sup>2</sup>, Devanshi Mehta<sup>2</sup> and Douglas H. Smith<sup>6\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup> University of North Carolina School of Medicine, Chapel Hill, NC, United States, <sup>2</sup> Department of Neurosurgery, Perelman School of Medicine, University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, PA, United States, <sup>3</sup> Department of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation, Perelman School of Medicine, University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, PA, United States, <sup>4</sup> Rehabilitation Medicine Service, Corporal Michael J. Crescenz VA Medical Center, Philadelphia, PA, United States, <sup>5</sup> Center for Neurotrauma, Neurodegeneration and Restoration, Corporal Michael J. Crescenz VA Medical Center, Philadelphia, PA, United States, <sup>6</sup> Department of Neurosurgery, Perelman School of Medicine, Center for Brain Injury and Repair, University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, PA, United States

#### OPEN ACCESS

#### Edited by:

Firas H. Kobeissy, University of Florida, United States

#### Reviewed by:

Shoji Yokobori, Nippon Medical School, Japan Donald Stein, Emory University, United States

#### \*Correspondence:

Douglas H. Smith smithdou@pennmedicine.upenn.edu

#### Specialty section:

This article was submitted to Neurotrauma, a section of the journal Frontiers in Neurology

Received: 04 February 2019 Accepted: 12 April 2019 Published: 26 April 2019

#### Citation:

Gan ZS, Stein SC, Swanson R, Guan S, Garcia L, Mehta D and Smith DH (2019) Blood Biomarkers for Traumatic Brain Injury: A Quantitative Assessment of Diagnostic and Prognostic Accuracy. Front. Neurol. 10:446. doi: 10.3389/fneur.2019.00446

Blood biomarkers have been explored for their potential to provide objective measures in the assessment of traumatic brain injury (TBI). However, it is not clear which biomarkers are best for diagnosis and prognosis in different severities of TBI. Here, we compare existing studies on the discriminative abilities of serum biomarkers for four commonly studied clinical situations: detecting concussion, predicting intracranial damage after mild TBI (mTBI), predicting delayed recovery after mTBI, and predicting adverse outcome after severe TBI (sTBI). We conducted a literature search of publications on biomarkers in TBI published up until July 2018. Operating characteristics were pooled for each biomarker for comparison. For detecting concussion, 4 biomarker panels and creatine kinase B type had excellent discriminative ability. For detecting intracranial injury and the need for a head CT scan after mTBI, 2 biomarker panels, and hyperphosphorylated tau had excellent operating characteristics. For predicting delayed recovery after mTBI, top candidates included calpain-derived all-spectrin N-terminal fragment, tau A, neurofilament light, and ghrelin. For predicting adverse outcome following sTBI, no biomarker had excellent performance, but several had good performance, including markers of coagulation and inflammation, structural proteins in the brain, and proteins involved in homeostasis. The highest-performing biomarkers in each of these categories may provide insight into the pathophysiologies underlying mild and severe TBI. With further study, these biomarkers have the potential to be used alongside clinical and radiological data to improve TBI diagnostics, prognostics, and evidence-based medical management.

Keywords: traumatic brain injury, TBI, concussion, diagnosis, prognosis, biomarker, biomarkers

1

## INTRODUCTION

Traumatic brain injury (TBI) is a common cause of disability and mortality in the US (1) and worldwide (2). Pathological responses to TBI in the CNS include structural and metabolic changes, as well as excitotoxicity, neuroinflammation, and cell death (3, 4). Fluid biomarkers that may track these injury and inflammatory processes have been explored for their potential to provide objective measures in TBI assessment. However, at present there are limited clinical guidelines available regarding the use of biomarkers in both the diagnosis of TBI and outcome prediction following TBI. To inform future guideline formulation, it is critical to distinguish between different clinical situations for biomarker use in TBI, such as detection of concussion, prediction of positive and negative head computed tomography (CT) findings, and prediction of outcome for different TBI severities. This allows for comparisons to determine which biomarkers may be used most appropriately to characterize different aspects of TBI.

The identification of TBI severity has become a contentious issue. Currently, inclusion in TBI clinical trials is primarily based on the Glasgow Coma Scale (GCS), which stratifies patients into categories of mild, moderate, and severe TBI. The GCS assesses consciousness and provides prognostic information, but it does not inform the underlying pathologies that may be targeted for therapy (5, 6). Furthermore, brain damage and persistent neurological symptoms can occur across the spectrum of TBI severity, limiting the use of GCSdetermined injury severity to inform clinical management. Biomarkers in TBI have the potential to provide objective and quantitative information regarding the pathophysiologic mechanisms underlying observed neurological deficits. Such information may be more appropriate for guiding management than initial assessments of severity alone. Since the existing literature primarily focuses on applications of biomarkers in either suspected concussion, mild TBI (mTBI), or severe TBI (sTBI), we will discuss biomarker usage in these contexts.

Concussion is a clinical syndrome involving alteration in mental function induced by head rotational acceleration. This may be due to direct impact or unrestrained rapid head movements, such as in automotive crashes. Although there are over 30 official definitions of concussion, none include the underlying pathology. Missing from the literature have been objective measures to not only identify the underlying pathology associated with the given clinical symptoms, but also to indicate prognosis in long-term survival. Indeed, current practices in forming an opinion of concussion involve symptom reports, neurocognitive testing, and balance testing, all of which have elements of subjectivity and questionable reliability (7). While such information generally reflects functional status, it does not identify any underlying processes that may have prognostic or therapeutic consequences. Furthermore, because patients with concussion typically present with negative head CT findings, there is a potential role for blood-based biomarkers to provide objective information regarding the presence of concussion, based on an underlying pathology. This information could inform management decisions regarding resumption of activities for both athletes and non-athletes alike.

Blood-based biomarkers have utility far beyond a simple detection of concussion by elucidating specific aspects of the injury that could drive individual patient management. For example, biomarkers may aid in determining whether a mTBI patient presenting to the emergency department requires a CT scan to identify intracranial pathology. The clinical outcome for a missed epidural hematoma in which the patient is either discharged or admitted for routine observation is catastrophic; 25% are left severely impaired or dead (8). The Canadian CT Head Rule (9) and related clinical decision instruments achieve high sensitivities in predicting the need for CT scans in mild TBI cases. However, they do this at specificities of only 30-50% (10). Adding a blood biomarker to clinical evaluation may be useful to improve specificity without sacrificing sensitivity, as recently suggested (11). In addition, given concern about radiation exposure from head CT scans in concussion cases, particularly in pediatric populations, identification of patients who would be best assessed with neuroimaging is crucial. Thus, the use of both sensitive and specific biomarkers may serve as cost-effective tools to aid in acute assessment, especially in the absence of risk factors for intracranial injury (12). S-100B, an astroglial protein, has been the most extensively studied biomarker for TBI thus far and has been incorporated into some clinical guidelines for CT scans (13, 14). However, S-100B is not CNS-specific (15, 16) and has shown inconsistent predictive capacity in the outcome of mild TBI (17, 18). Given that several other promising biomarkers have also been investigated in this context, it is important to evaluate and compare the discriminative abilities of S-100B with other candidate blood-based biomarkers for future use.

Blood biomarkers also have the potential to help predict unfavorable outcomes across the spectrum of TBI severity. Outcome predication is difficult; in mTBI, existing prognostic models performed poorly in an external validation study (19). Identifying biomarkers that best predict delayed recovery or persistent neurological symptoms following mTBI would help with the direction of resources toward patients who may benefit most from additional rehabilitation or prolonged observation. In sTBI, poorer outcome has often been associated with a low GCS score (20). However, factors such as intoxication or endotracheal intubation may make it difficult to assess GCS reliably in the acute setting (21, 22). The addition of laboratory parameters to head CT and admission characteristics have improved prognostic models (23). Thus, prognostic biomarkers in sTBI could help determine whether patients are likely to benefit from intensive treatment. Several candidate biomarkers that correlate with various pathologies of mild and severe TBI have been studied (24), but their relative prognostic abilities remain unclear.

Existing reviews on biomarkers in TBI have provided valuable insight into the pathologic correlates of biomarkers, as well as how biomarkers may be used for diagnosis and prognosis (25–31). However, there has been no previous quantitative comparison of the literature regarding biomarkers' discriminative abilities in specific clinical situations. Here, we compare existing studies on the discriminative abilities of serum biomarkers for four commonly studied clinical situations:

detecting concussion, predicting intracranial damage after mTBI, predicting delayed recovery after mTBI, and predicting adverse outcome after sTBI.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

### Categories

There has been substantial confusion about the role of bloodbased biomarkers in TBI. Therefore, we chose four scenarios in which blood biomarkers might be considered most helpful:

- 1. To document whether a concussion has occurred, especially when the history is unclear. This might be most useful for professional athletes and military service members, for whom decisions to return to play or to combat could have serious consequences. This assessment relied on individual authors to define concussion, as no single gold standard definition exists.
- 2. To predict intracranial damage after mTBI (GCS 13– 15). This could help decide whether or not a CT scan is indicated to identify occult intracranial lesions with potentially catastrophic consequences.
- 3. To predict delayed recovery after mTBI (GCS 13–15). This might help direct early rehabilitation therapy to patients at risk of a poor outcome. It could also serve to select these patients as clinical study subjects to evaluate treatment efficacy. This assessment allowed individual authors to define recovery given the variety of clinically relevant endpoints.
- 4. To predict outcome after sTBI (GCS  $\leq 8$ ). This might help alert the healthcare team in cases in which intensive treatment is either helpful or futile, as well as providing prognostic information to the patient's family.

Although several other potential uses of biomarkers have been suggested, we thought these four categories were the most useful clinically and had been covered most thoroughly in the literature. We omitted analysis of publications in which the outcome categories did not conform to the four categories or were unclear. We also elected to limit our analysis to biomarkers measured in peripheral blood and exclude reports of measurements done on CSF, brain tissue, urine, etc. Studies which reported results obtained too long after injury to be of predictive value were not included in the analysis. Cutoff points were 48 h for Category 1, 24 h for Category 2, 72 h for Category 3 and 7 days for Category 4.

### **Literature Search**

We conducted a search of Medline, Embase and the Cochrane library for reports of biomarkers of TBI published in English up to July 2018. The search strategy was limited to articles which included the medical subject headings of both "head injury" and "brain injury," along with either "biomarker(s)" or "marker(s)" in the text. Additional articles were obtained from the bibliographies of selected reports and from the "Similar articles" feature of PubMed. Abstracts limited to animal studies or to samples other than blood were excluded. All other articles were downloaded and reviewed by at least two authors (SS, ZG, KG, DM, LG).

### **Data Management and Analysis**

Data abstracted from each report included TBI category, biomarker(s) measured, time(s) after injury, number of observations, cut point (point dividing positive from negative tests), sensitivity, specificity, area under the receiver operating curve (AUC) (32), any additional features reported (injury mechanism, age of subjects, outcome measured, etc.). If the TBI cohort of a given study was of mixed severity, and at least 70% of the patients met the severity criteria for a certain category, then the study was assigned to that category. Series which included adverse GOS scores were included in the severe injury category, even if fewer than 70% of reported cases had sTBI. For each set of observations, we calculated the AUC if not already provided. We also calculated the Youden J-statistic (33), another measure of diagnostic accuracy. A detailed discussion of diagnostic accuracy is given in the **Supplementary Appendix**.

If multiple reports dealt with the use of the same biomarkers to predict the same outcome, we pooled the data to obtain a single measure. For the AUC, we used a random-effects, inverse variance-weighted meta-analytic model to pool values (34). Since only the maximum J-statistic is used to report on a series of sensitivity/specificity values, we chose only the highest J-statistic measurement for each biomarker. We compared reported biomarkers with how well they predicted outcomes in a given category. We used a previously proposed semi-quantitative scale (35) to rate the accuracy of tests from their AUC's. An AUC above 0.9 is considered excellent, with decreasing intervals of 0.1 through "good," "fair" and "poor." An AUC below 0.6 is graded a "fail."

A number of studies reporting mean biomarker levels were excluded if it was not possible to calculate operating characteristics from the published data. Other reports were excluded for reporting biomarker levels only as combinations of multiple markers or trajectories of a single marker over time.

## RESULTS

### Literature Search

Our search yielded 2,015 publications, of which 1,034 abstracts were omitted as being unlikely to provide useful data. The remaining 981 articles were downloaded and reviewed. We excluded 233 reviews, editorials, letters to the editor, duplicate reports and other publications containing no original data. Also excluded were 162 case series limited to tissue other than blood (CSF, brain tissue, etc.), 40 reports containing fewer than 10 observations and 346 reports not relevant to the four outlined scenarios or from which operating characteristics could not be calculated. Included for analysis were 200 publications, encompassing a total of 61,722 observations.

The flow chart of the study selection process is shown in **Figure 1**. Included studies are listed by category in **Table S1** (**Supplementary Appendix**), along with the biomarker tested and the number of observations. It should be noted that several reports are listed more than once, owing to their reporting on multiple biomarkers or multiple scenarios. An alphabetical list of abbreviations for biomarkers reported in the tables and the remainder of the manuscript is shown in **Table 1**.



## Analyses

#### Category 1 (Document Concussion)

There were 9 unique publications, documenting 15 biomarkers and containing a total of 946 observations. Several but not all authors defined concussion based on the 2012 Concussion in Sports Group guidelines (36) or the 2011 Team Physician Consensus Statement (37). **Table 2** shows the pooled values for AUC and the maximum J-statistic obtained for each. Four biomarker panels (copeptin, galectin-3, and MMP-9; GFAP and UCH-L1; 10 metabolites; and 17 metabolites) are in the "excellent" range (AUC $\geq$ 0.9). The AUC for copeptin, CKBB, and a 10-metabolite panel are also "excellent," and 3 other biomarkers, galectin 3, MMP-9, and occludin rate as "good" (AUC = 0.80 $\rightarrow$ 0.89). However, the observations are few, and no study has been independently verified.

#### Category 2 (Need for CT Scan After mTBI)

There are 56 publications and 23,316 observations of 24 biomarkers in this category. As shown in **Table 3**, a single report shows excellent operating characteristics for two panels of biomarkers (MMP-2, CRP, and CKBB; UCH-L1 and GFAP), as well as for phospho tau (P-tau) and its ratio with total tau (P-tau/T-tau ratio). The UCH-L1/GFAP panel and P-tau also have excellent J-statistics. The AUC values for GFAP/GFAP-BDP and D-Dimer are in the "good" range; the excellent J-statistic for GFAP/GFAP-BDP is aided by a high specificity. S-100B protein, the most studied biomarker in this category, performs only in the fair category (AUC= $0.70 \rightarrow 0.79$ ).

#### Category 3 (Delayed Recovery After mTBI)

There are 44 publications reporting results of 29 biomarkers in 13,291 observations. Most but not all authors defined delayed

recovery as post-concussive syndrome (PCS) at various time points after injury (notably, there is current debate regarding the term "PCS"). As shown in **Table 4**, small studies suggest that ghrelin, glucose, NFL, SNTF, and A-tau have AUC values in the "good" range and show promise for predicting mTBI patients who can be expected to suffer prolonged neurobehavioral or postconcussive symptoms. More commonly-studied biomarkers, such as GFAP, S-100B, NSE, and UCH-L1, have fair to poor discriminating ability.

#### Category 4 (Poor Outcome After sTBI)

In this category, 85 publications reported 23,442 observations of 59 different biomarkers. As shown in **Table 5**, several biomarkers had "good" ability to predict death, severe disability or other adverse outcomes after sTBI. They include ceruloplasmin, copeptin, D-Dimer, ficolin-3, galectin-3, gelsolin, H-FABP, HMGB1, icORP, IL-1beta, -6 and -8, leptin, MBL, MBP, MIF, NFM, periostin, RDW, S100A12, SCUBE1, SuPAR, TAC, tenascin-C, thrombospondin-1, and T-tau. However, numbers of observations are small, and independent verification is lacking.

### DISCUSSION

We have identified leading candidate biomarkers potentially useful for four clinical purposes in TBI, as determined by the highest pooled AUC and J-statistic from the existing data. **Figure 2** provides a visual overview of the candidate biomarkers' anatomical locations. These biomarkers have the potential to be used not as stand-alone diagnostic or prognostic tests, but rather alongside clinical and radiological data in the collective process of forming a clinical decision. In particular, in the absence of acute or chronic behavioral changes, excessive

#### TABLE 1 | Abbreviations used for biomarkers.

TABLE 2	Presence of	Concussion

Abbreviation	Full name	Biomarker	#Reports	#Observation	s Pooled AUC	Maximum
A-beta-42	Amyloid beta peptide			10	0.000	0.000
A-Tau	Tau-protein A	CKBB	 	18	0.902	0.602
BDNF	Brain-derived neurotrophic factor	copeptin	1	55	0.922	0.766
BMX	Tyrosine kinase	GFAP	2	238	0.533	0.030
CKBB	Creatine kinase B type	LGALS3	1	55	0.849	0.508
CRP	C-reactive protein	MMP9	1	55	0.846	0.655
C-Tau	Tau-protein C	OCLN	1	55	0.836	0.562
FDP	Fibrin degradation products	panel (10	1	10	0.976	0.778
GFAP/GFAP-BDP	Glial fibrillary acidic protein (breakdown products)	metabolites)				
GM-CSF	Granulocyte-macrophage colony-stimulating factor	panel (17	1	29	0.910	0.76
GSH	Glutathione	metabolites)			0.000	0.70
H-FABP	Heart-fatty acidic binding protein	I GALS3 MMP-9	1	55	0.968	0.79
HMGB1	High-mobility group box 1 gene	papel/CEAP	1	206	0.940	
Hsp70	Heat shock protein	UCH-L1)	I	200	0.940	
ICAM-1,-5	Intercellular adhesion molecule-1 and -5	panel(UCH-L1	1	32	0.750	
icORP	Capacity for induced oxidative stress	S-100B)		02	0.100	
IL-1beta610	Interleukins	S-100B	2	108	0.680	0.441
INR	International normalized ratio	SNTF	1	28	0.760	0.550
I GALS3	Galectin 3	T-Tau	1	28	0.740	0.303
MBI	Mannose-binding lectin	Δ-Του	1	28	0.750	0.500
MBP	Myelin basic protein	C-Tau	1	28	0.711	0.000
	Monocido chomoattractant protoin	Ubiquitin	1	20	0.670	0.422
	Malandialdahyda madifiad law dansity lipapratain		1	200	0.070	0.500
	Macrophage migration inhibitory factor		I	32	0.740	0.500
		Best results are ind	dicated in bold	face. Blank cells	s = either no data	reported in origina
NTO	Matrix metalloproteinase-2 and-9	publication, or poo	led AUC was	considered too	low (below "good	l" range, <0.80) t
IVIT3		calculate J-statistic	. See ladie 1	for appreviations	<i>.</i>	
NGAM	Neuron ceil adnesion molecule		1 .1			
NF-H	Hyperphosphorylated neuronlament	may augmen	t rather the	han replace	existing too	ls for clinica
NFL	Neurotilament light	assessment an	d contribu	te to a holist	ic approach to	managemen
NFM	Neurofilament medium	-		-		
NRGN	Neurogranin	Category	1 (Doc	ument C	oncussio	n)
NSE	Neuron-specific enolase	Two single b	iomarkers	had excelle	nt operating	characteristic
OCLN	Occludin	(AUC>0.9) f	or docum	enting con	cussion. Cop	eptin, the C
pNF-H	Phosphorylated neurofilament heavy protein	terminal part	of the arg	ginine vasop	pressin (AVP)	prohormone
PRDX-6	Peroxiredoxin	is thought to	reflect th	ne hypothala	amic pituitary	adrenal axi
PTT	Partial thromboplastin time	activity as par	t of the st	ress respons	e, and serum	levels increas
P-Tau	Hyperphosphorylated tau	in proportion	to TBI ser	verity (38, 3	9). CKBB is a	n intracellula
RDW	Red cell distribution width	enzyme that	catalyzes	the phose	phorylation o	f creatine t
S-100A1B, -A12, -B	S-100 calcium-binding proteins	phosphocreat	ine as part	t of cellular	energy home	eostasis and i
SCUBE1	Signal peptide-cub-egf domain-containing protein-1	primarily four	nd in oligo	dendrocytes	s, which may b	be due to larg
SNTF	Calpain-derived all-spectrin N-terminal fragment	energy requir	ements in	these cells (	(40). The good	l performanc
SuPAR	Soluble urokinase plasminogen activator receptor	of these biom	arkers sug	gests that bo	oth stress axis	activation and
sVCAM-1	Soluble vascular cell adhesion molecule-1	cellular dama	ge within	specific brai	in areas are in	nvolved in th
TAC	Total antioxidant capacity	pathophysiolo	gy of conc	ussion.		
TIMP-1	Tissue inhibitor of matrix metalloproteinase 1	Three othe	er biomark	ers, galecti	n 3. MMP-9.	and occludir

Three other biomarkers, galectin 3, MMP-9, and occludin, had good operating characteristics (AUC = 0.80-0.89) for detecting concussion based on a single study (ref. S17 in Supplementary Appendix) while the combination of all 3 yielded an excellent operating characteristic. Galectin-3, a betagalactoside-binding lectin, was previously found to be expressed in activated microglia after diffuse axonal injury (DAI) (41). MMP-9, a matrix metalloprotease that is expressed in humans early after TBI (42), modifies the brain extracellular matrix and

Total tau

Ubiquitin C-terminal hydrolase

Von Willebrand factor

focus on biomarker values may lead to unnecessary testing

with negative psychological and economic consequences. Blood

biomarkers offer potentially valuable objective information that

T-Tau

VWF

UCH-L1

#### TABLE 3 | Mild TBI-need for CT scan.

Biomarker	#Reports	#Observations	Pooled AUC	Maximum J-statistic
A-beta-42	1	46	0.689	
BDNF	1	159	0.670	0.839
CKBB	1	92	0.714	
CRP	1	92	0.698	
d-Dimer	2	93	0.890	0.669
GFAP/GFAP-BDP	16	2040	0.831	0.936
GM-CSF	1	92	0.432	
H-FABP	2	264	0.641	0.293
IL-10	1	133	0.646	0.318
MDA-LDL	1	92	0.497	
MMP-2	1	92	0.616	
MT3	1	306	0.590	
NF-H	1	68	0.717	0.575
NFM	1	52	0.605	0.211
NRGN	1	494	0.510	
NSE	5	844	0.798	0.690
panel(MMP-2, CRP, CKBB)	1	110	0.964	0.7190
panel(UCH-L1, GFAP)	1	1947	0.986	0.9710
S-100B	30	8464	0.723	0.580
P-Tau	2	350	0.921	0.944
T-Tau	6	176	0.666	0.440
P-Tau/T-Tau ratio	2	350	0.923	0.816
Ubiquitin	2	302	0.710	0.210
UCH-L1	5	3108	0.700	0.470

Best results are indicated in boldface. Blank cells = either no data reported in original publication, or pooled AUC was considered too low (below "good" range, <0.80) to calculate J-statistic. See **Table 1** for abbreviations.

leads to cerebral edema and disruption of blood-brain barrier (BBB) integrity following TBI (43, 44). OCLN is a regulatory protein at the tight junctions of the BBB that correlates with increased resistance and decreased permeability of the BBB (45). While these findings identify osmotic dysregulation, BBB disruption, cerebral edema, and DAI as potential pathologic correlates of concussion, conclusions regarding clinical utility are limited by the relatively small sample size and lack of independent verification. Furthermore, concern has been raised about the authors' limited characterization of the control group and its subsequent impact on their conclusions (46).

The superior performance of other biomarker panels in this category reflects the multifaceted pathophysiology associated with concussion. These panels appear to successfully gather data about different mechanisms of injury to maximize sensitivity and specificity. The combination of GFAP and UCH-L1, two biomarkers thought to reflect focal mass lesions and diffuse injuries, respectively, also performed at the "excellent" level (ref. S11). However, the combination of UCH-L1 and S-100B only had fair performance, reflective of the poor individual performance of the nonspecific marker S-100B. Incorporation of higher-performing individual biomarkers, such as copeptin

TABLE 4 | Mild TBI-delayed recovery.

Biomarker	#Reports	#Observations	Pooled AUC	Maximum J-statistic
BDNF	1	299	0.585	
BMX	1	63	0.760	0.400
CRP	1	846	0.615	0.330
GFAP	17	1959	0.716	0.850
Ghrelin	1	118	0.829	0.659
GSH	1	88	0.773	0.514
ICAM-1	1	118	0.485	
IL-6	1	118	0.535	
IL-8	1	118	0.615	
NCAM	1	118	0.614	
Neuroglobin	1	34	0.682	
NFL	1	35	0.82	0.79
Nogo-A	1	34	0.754	
NSE	6	543	0.685	0.691
pNF-H	1	118	0.614	
S-100B	24	2800	0.691	0.810
E-selectin	1	118	0.600	
SNTF	2	73	0.863	0.750
regulatory T cells	1	40	0.592	
Testosterone	1	181	0.684	0.786
VCAM-1	2	186	0.654	0.481
A-Tau	1	56	0.87	0.77
C-Tau	1	56	0.59	0.28
P-Tau	1	134	0.663	0.350
T-Tau	5	335	0.640	0.863
P-Tau/T-Tau ratio	1	134	0.658	0.300
UCH-L1	7	3158	0.787	0.740

Best results are indicated in boldface. Blank cells = either no data reported in original publication, or pooled AUC was considered too low (below "good" range, <0.80) to calculate J-statistic. See **Table 1** for abbreviations.

and CKBB, into panels may be useful to study in the future. Metabolite panels demonstrated also excellent operating characteristics; some metabolites are thought to reflect altered brain energy metabolism and mitochondrial dysfunction in TBI (47). However, the use of a metabolite panel is limited by variability of specific metabolites used across studies (refs. S10, S12). Given the limitations of these single, small studies, further verification is warranted to identify the best candidate serum biomarkers for a panel to objectively detect concussion.

### Category 2 (Need for CT Scan After mTBI)

It should be noted that several clinical decision rules are available to predict the need for CT scan in mild TBI. These rules have near 100% sensitivity. However, their specificities are low (10), resulting in roughly 50% negative CT scans in those patients predicted to need them. A recent report (48) demonstrated that GFAP and UCH-L1 levels were no higher in patients with mild TBI and negative CT scans than in patients with orthopedic but not head injuries. This suggests that low GFAP and/or UCH-L1 levels may be useful in reducing unnecessary CT scans for mild TBI.

#### TABLE 5 | Severe TBI-adverse outcomes.

Biomarker	#Reports	#Observations	Pooled AUC	Maximum J-statistic
Adiponectin	1	86	0.785	0.604
Base deficit	1	216	0.479	
BDNF	4	170	0.482	
Caspase-Cleaved Cytokeratin-18	1	100	0.685	0.370
ceruloplasmin	1	20	0.800	0.600
Cholinesterase	1	188	0.381	0.519
Copeptin	4	422	0.825	0.635
Copper	1	20	0.795	0.590
D-Dimer	2	226	0.819	0.895
DNA	2	106	0.694	0.430
FDP	1	1266	0.755	0.426
Ferritin	1	69	0.585	0.170
Fibinogen	1	1266	0.712	0.382
Ficolin-3	1	384	0.823	0.619
Galectin-3	1	300	0.808	0.553
Gelsolin	2	322	0.805	0.679
GEAP	10	2448	0 749	0.800
	1	49	0.840	0.680
HMGB1	1	106	0.882	0.657
Hsn70	1	20	0.750	0.500
	1	13	0.700	0.000
	1	170	0.490	0.222
icORP	1	104	0.870	0 333
	1	28	0.971	0.800
IL-IDela	2	20	0.071	0.800
IL-0	1	20	0.835	0.840
IL-0	1	20	0.550	0.07
	1	1266	0.330	0.203
	1	1200	0.730	0.594
ме	1	204	0.075	0.049
MPD	1 0	107	0.002	0.975
	- 1	127	0.677	0.075
	1	170	0.077	0.970
ME	1	100	0.700	0.570
	1	210	0.695	0.347
Noofotin	1	200	0.303	0.340
	1	300	0.760	0.467
	2	200	0.760	0.552
		70	0.700	0.390
	1	21	0.857	0.714
NSE D:	9	911	0.715	0.905
Periostin	1	130	0.815	0.506
Platelet count	1	1266	0.618	0.201
PRDX-6	1	170	0.524	
PTT	1	1266	0.748	0.410
RDW	1	122	0.693	0.611
S-100A1B	1	59	0.677	0.3
S100A12	1	306	0.855	0.630
S-100B	25	3712	0.762	0.880
SCUBE1	1	113	0.831	

(Continued)

TABLE 5	Continued
	001111111111111111111111111111111111111

Biomarker	#Reports	#Observations	Pooled AUC	Maximum J-statistic
Substance P	1	100	0.700	0.360
SuPAR	1	78	0.801	0.363
TAC	1	100	0.830	0.410
Tenascin-C	1	216	0.827	0.590
Thioredoxin	1	216	0.798	0.549
thrombospondin-1	1	402	0.827	0.619
TIMP-1	1	100	0.645	0.290
T-Tau	6	344	0.818	0.833
UCH-L1	5	195	0.696	0.54
VWF	1	44	0.660	0.32

Best results are indicated in boldface. Blank cells = no data reported in original publication; pooled AUC was considered too low (below "good" range, <0.80) to calculate J-statistic. See **Table 1** for abbreviations.

While the current study shows that operating characteristics were good for GFAP and its breakdown products and poor for UCH-L1, the use of both biomarkers in combination had excellent discriminative ability for identifying CT-positive mTBI. Another biomarker panel including MMP-2, CRP, and CKBB also had excellent performance, reflecting mechanisms of generalized inflammation (CRP), local brain inflammation (MMP-2), and cell membrane damage (CKBB, previously discussed in Category 1) (ref. S65). Our analysis shows superior performance of these two biomarker panels to S-100B, the only biomarker with a low-level recommendation for determining the need for a CT scan following mTBI (13). Panels may thus provide a more holistic approach to detecting intracranial injury warranting a CT scan.

Two individual biomarkers performed slightly lower than the panels but still rated as "excellent" based on single studies. The superior discriminative ability of P-tau compared to the more commonly studied T-tau highlights the significance of tau hyperphosphorylation in brain tauopathy. D-dimer also had good performance in this category in a pediatric population (ref. S23), although there are additional clinical scenarios that may cause an elevated D-dimer unrelated to TBI, such as trauma and infection (49). In addition, the applicability of this finding to adults is unknown, and the sample size is small. Further research is warranted to confirm the results of these single studies.

#### Category 3 (Delayed Recovery After mTBI)

A few less-studied biomarkers performed best for predicting delayed recovery following mTBI. Single studies demonstrated that SNTF, tau A, ghrelin, and NFL all had operating characteristics in the "good" range, outperforming more commonly-studied biomarkers such as GFAP, S100B, NSE and UCH-L1.

Three axon-associated proteins, calpain-derived  $\alpha$ II-spectrin N-terminal fragment (SNTF), tau-A, and NFL, may be indicative of DAI, which is thought to be one of the most common pathological mechanisms accounting for long-term dysfunction in all severities of TBI (50–53). SNTF accumulates in damaged axons (54–56) following intra-axonal calcium overload



and calpain-mediated proteolysis in stretch injury (57, 58). Furthermore, SNTF has been found in degenerating axons after TBI that were undetected by the gold standard marker of transport interruption, amyloid precursor protein (APP) (59). Tau protein may mediate DAI by regulating axon microtubule assembly; (60, 61) tau-A fragments in particular are easily detectable and quantifiable by standard ELISA, perhaps due to their small size, and subsequent ability to cross the BBB (62). NFL is predominantly expressed in subcortical axons and correlates with magnetic resonance diffusion tensor imaging parameters of DAI (63). The included studies found that elevated serum SNTF predicted failure to improve cognitive function at 3 months in CT-negative concussion patients (ref. S83), while tau A and NFL predicted late resolution of post-concussive symptoms in concussed professional ice hockey players (refs. S16, S82). Thus, these proteins may be mechanism-specific biomarkers for identifying patients at risk for persistent cognitive deficits following mTBI.

Ghrelin is an orexigenic peptide hormone that may be linked to stress-induced hypothalamic-pituitary axis (HPA) activation (64, 65) and cognitive dysfunction in neurodegenerative disease (66). In the included study, low values of ghrelin within the first few days following concussion were independently associated with three-month neurocognitive impairment (ref. S89). Thus, ghrelin may be a nonspecific prognostic indicator in mTBI to be used in conjunction with other brain-specific biomarkers such as SNTF.

Reliable biomarkers in this category have the potential to be used in conjunction with radiologic data as well as current predictors of worse outcome after mTBI, such as older age, lower level of education, and pre-existing psychiatric conditions (19). This could help identify patients at risk of persistent disability and the development of additional neurocognitive sequelae. However, as the results for tau-A, SNTF, NFL, and ghrelin were based on a handful of studies with relatively small sample sizes, these candidate biomarkers warrant further investigation regarding their prognostic abilities and rehabilitative implications in mTBI.

## Category 4 (Poor Outcome After sTBI)

For predicting mortality and poor outcome in sTBI, there were no biomarkers with operating characteristics in the "excellent" range. However, several biomarkers performed in the "good" range based on single studies, including markers of coagulation and inflammation, structural proteins in the brain, and regulatory proteins in normal homeostasis. The prognostic value of these downstream biological processes suggests that there may be potential for considering some TBIs as systemic rather than primarily localized disorders. Such a holistic approach could have significant implications for both acute and chronic treatments.

Serum biomarkers of coagulation with good ability to predict poor outcome in sTBI include D-Dimer, thrombospondin-1, and SCUBE1. D-dimer is thought to indicate TBI-induced coagulopathy (67–69) that largely occurs secondary to DIC and leads to further cerebral injury (70). Thrombospondin-1 is a thrombin-sensitive, anti-angiogenic factor (71, 72) whose expression is increased after intracerebral hemorrhage (73). SCUBE1 is released from endothelial cells and platelet alpha granules during platelet activation (74, 75). As coagulopathy in isolated TBI is associated with increased mortality (76, 77), D-Dimer, thrombospondin-1, and SCUBE1 could be important prognostic indicators in sTBI.

Several inflammatory markers with good operating characteristics were identified, including IL-1beta, IL-6, IL-8, HMGB1, ceruloplasmin, ficolin-3, macrophage inhibitory factor (MIF), MBL, galectin-3, S100A12, and SuPAR. HMGB1 had the highest pooled AUC in this category, based on a single study (ref. S165). HMGB1's high expression in the brain (78, 79) suggests that it may be useful for recognizing patients with critical inflammatory responses to brain injury that are associated with severe disability and death. While these markers of inflammation are not specific for brain-localized insults, they may contribute prognostic information by helping to characterize strong inflammatory responses to TBI that contribute to secondary brain injury (80) and ultimately poor outcome. The good performance of periostin and tenascin-C, two extracellular matrix proteins involved in various cell cycle processes including proliferation, migration, differentiation, and apoptosis, suggests that measures of cell turnover in response to injury may have prognostic value as well (refs. S105, S179).

Measurements of the capacity to endure oxidative stress also fared well. The brain is particularly susceptible to oxidative stress due to high oxygen consumption, limited neuron regeneration, and high levels of unsaturated fatty acids in membranes (81). In TBI, the release of reactive oxygen species (ROS) induces inflammation, compromise of the BBB, and cell death (82-85). Quantitation of antioxidants in the brain and the oxidativereduction potential have subsequently been used to detect oxidative stress (81, 86, 87). The icORP measures the ability of a biological sample to endure an oxidative insult by using an oxidative current to deplete antioxidants in the sample (ref. S92), whereas TAC measures the capacity of antioxidants in a sample to prevent oxidation of a peroxidase substrate (ref. S126). These measures may indicate not only the extent of ongoing ROSinduced damage and inflammation, but also the limited ability of the body to deal with oxidative insults that translate into poor prognosis.

Structural proteins in the brain may also predict outcome as a result of brain-specific injury. High performers identified in this category were MBP, an abundant structural protein of the myelin sheath (88); tau protein, discussed earlier in Category 3 for its ability to predict delayed recovery after mTBI; and NFM, a type IV intermediate filament that contributes to neuron structure, as well as axonal structure and transport (89). Interestingly, the astroglial protein S100B, the most extensively studied biomarker in TBI, had a similar J-statistic but a lower pooled AUC when compared to biomarkers discussed here. Further prognostic studies on these biomarkers in multiple severe TBI populations, particularly on the less-studied MBP and NFM, may allow for better comparison with S-100B.

A handful of proteins involved in homeostatic functions also demonstrated good operating characteristics for predicting poor outcome. Copeptin, which was identified above as a promising marker for detecting concussion, also performed well in this category. This indicates that the degree of stress axis activation has prognostic implications in sTBI, although the prognostic value of copeptin is not limited to TBI (90). Gelsolin mediates cell shape changes & motility (91) and is decreased in acute tissue injury after trauma (92). Leptin, the "satiety hormone," fared well in a pediatric population (ref. S121). It is secreted by adipose tissue (93, 94), is also expressed in the hypothalamus (95), and may play an important role in neuronal and glial maturation (96). H-FABP, which is involved in the intracellular traffic of fatty acids and other hydrophobic ligands, primarily reflects cardiac injury (97) but is also found in smaller concentrations in the brain (98, 99) and other tissues (100). Changes in these markers of osmoregulation, cell motility, energy homeostasis, and fatty acid trafficking may reflect systemic disturbances in sTBI that lead to poor prognosis.

Top-performing biomarkers in this category have the potential to inform which pathologic mechanisms may be most indicative of poor outcome after sTBI. While TBI pathophysiology is undoubtedly complex, making management decisions in this context challenging, the information provided by biomarkers may add value to existing prognostic models (101). The IMPACT (International Mission on Prognosis and Analysis of Clinical Trials) (102) and CRASH (Corticosteroid Randomization After Significant Head Injury) (103) models predict mortality and unfavorable outcome at 6 months after sTBI. Both models take into account age, GCS motor score, pupillary reactivity, and CT classification, and both have been externally validated with comparably reasonable discriminative ability (104). However, lower discriminative performance of these models in a different validation set (105) and at the individual level (106) perhaps indicates the need to update prognostic models to improve generalizability. Validation of promising markers identified in this analysis could potentially lead to the improvement of such models.

### LIMITATIONS

This study has a number of consequential limitations. Within each outcome category, the data exhibited considerable heterogeneity. Different patient populations, ages, definitions of outcome, and delays between injury and sampling all detract from the reliability of our findings. In particular, the numerous definitions of concussion and recovery in our included studies limit the strength of our conclusions in these categories. While this variability reflects the heterogeneous nature of these terms in clinical usage, we attempted to identify studies that fit into general categories of clinical interest. Furthermore, the small number of observations, often only a single small study, make statistical comparisons, and stratification (by age, time after injury, etc.) unreliable.

We omitted several otherwise-excellent studies in which levels of a particular biomarker were shown to be significantly associated with the presence of TBI sequelae of interest to us. However, in the absence of operating characteristics or individual subject measurements, we could not calculate how well the biomarker would predict the outcomes of interest. Other studies failed to separate head injuries of different severities or chose outcomes other than those of interest in this study. Biomarkers from tissues other than blood, combinations of biomarkers, and changes in their levels over time are potentially quite useful but beyond the scope of this study.

The developing field of anti-neuronal autoantibodies could be especially promising for predicting delayed recovery and chronic complications after TBI, across the spectrum of severity levels. An exponential increase in neuroimmunology research over the past decade has contributed to a significant shift in our understanding of anti-neuronal autoantibodies and led to the development of novel blood-based diagnostics for several neurological disorders (107-118). Following the landmark 2007 study that introduced anti-N-methyl-D-aspartate receptor (NMDAr) encephalitis (119), IgG autoantibodies against neuronal membrane targets have been implicated in the pathogenesis of various neurological disorders (119-128). Human studies investigating anti-neuronal autoantibodies present in the blood post-TBI have largely investigated the role of TBI-induced (adaptive) IgG autoantibodies, which appear ~4-6 days following TBI (129-132). However, it has recently shown that serum IgG autoantibodies are present in both human and animal serum, regardless of age, sex or disease state (133-136). The recent discovery that all human blood contains thousands of autoantibodies (133, 136) and that individual autoantibody profiles are influenced by the presence of disease (111, 113, 118, 123, 137) leads to the promising hypothesis that quantification of disease-specific changes in serum anti-neuronal autoantibody titer concentrations can serve as highly sensitive and specific biomarkers of persistent post-TBI neurodegeneration. Indeed, the discovery of non-invasive serum biomarkers such as autoantibody profiling which objectively demonstrate chronic post-TBI neurodegeneration would provide objective information to inform clinical trials for both mechanism discovery and therapeutic intervention.

Genetic variants have been increasingly studied to explain the variability in outcome following TBI. Many single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs), single nucleotide substitutions within a gene's coding or regulatory regions, have been identified for this purpose (138-140). In particular, SNPs in genes of proteins involved in dopamine availability and transmission have been targeted, as dopamine dysregulation after TBI is thought to contribute to chronic deficits in memory, attention, and executive function (141). SNPs in both catechol-O-methyltransferase (COMT) and ankyrin repeat and kinase domain-containing 1 (ANKK1) have been associated with a variety of cognitive impairments after predominantly mTBI (142-145), but this association is less clear in sTBI (146-149). A better understanding of which genes are implicated in the neurocognitive response to TBI may shed light on mechanisms of such injury and have both prognostic and therapeutic implications. Future studies will need to clarify the effects of age, gender, ethnicity, environment, and gene-gene interactions on the relationship between gene expression and brain function (150).

Finally, there are questions about the reliability of any blood biomarker as an indicator of brain injury severity. The integrity of the blood-brain barrier, as well as proteolytic degradation of some biomarkers in serum, could affect measured levels (26). Plog et al. hypothesize that the transport mechanisms, which they term the "glymphatic" system, may have a greater influence on biomarker levels than TBI severity itself (151). Thus, clinically relevant manipulations of this system, such as cisternotomy and sleep deprivation, could prevent accurate interpretation of serum biomarker levels. Peripheral surgical trauma also disrupts the BBB and leads to neuroinflammation (152). While comparing the discriminative abilities of CSF biomarkers may bypass these challenges, there exists much more data on blood biomarkers due in part to the ease and convenience with which they may be collected in a variety of settings. Due to these limitations, it must be emphasized that blood biomarkers have value not as isolated diagnostic tests, but rather as adjuncts to clinical, radiological, and other diagnostic information.

### CONCLUSION

We have reviewed the literature and identified blood biomarkers with the highest discriminative abilities as determined by operating characteristics in four commonly encountered clinical situations: diagnosing concussion, predicting the need for a CT scan after mTBI, predicting delayed recovery after mTBI, and predicting poor outcome after sTBI. The top performers in each category may provide insight into pathogenic mechanisms of TBI that most influence the measured endpoint. Nonetheless, many challenges remain before these biomarkers can be incorporated into clinical practice. In particular, it remains unclear whether a large panel of biomarkers in addition to clinical assessment will be sufficient to first stratify patients into categories of TBI before more specific biomarker assessments are applied. Alternatively, in the age of precision medicine, biomarker assessment may be tailored to individual patients. Ideally, pre-clinical development will help refine approaches for clinical application.

## **AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS**

ZG, SG, LG, DM, and SS contributed to the acquisition, analysis, and interpretation of the data. SS, RS, and DS contributed to study conception and design. ZG, RS, and SS drafted the work, and all other authors (SG, LG, DM, DS) revised it critically for important intellectual content. All authors (ZG, SS, RS, SG, LG, DM, DS) provide approval for publication of the content and agree to be accountable for all aspects of the work in ensuring that questions related to the accuracy or integrity of any part of the work are appropriately investigated and resolved.

## FUNDING

This work was supported by the Paul Allen Family Foundation; NIH grants NS038104, NS094003, and NS092398; and a PA State Cure Award. RS is supported by grant 4K12HD001097 under the NIH K-12 Rehabilitation Medicine Scientist Training Program. The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We would like to acknowledge the significant contributions of co-author SS, who passed during the review of this manuscript.

### REFERENCES

- Faul MXL, Wald MM, Coronado VG. Traumatic Brain Injury in the United States: Emergency Department Visits, Hospitalizations, and Deaths. Atlanta, GA: Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, National Center for Injury Prevention and Control (2010).
- Hyder AA, Wunderlich CA, Puvanachandra P, Gururaj G, Kobusingye OC. The impact of traumatic brain injuries: a global perspective. *NeuroRehabilitation*. (2007) 22:341–53.
- Wagner AK, Zitelli KT. A rehabilomics focused perspective on molecular mechanisms underlying neurological injury, complications, and recovery after severe TBI. *Pathophysiology*. (2013) 20:39–48. doi: 10.1016/j.pathophys.2012.02.007
- Algattas H, Huang JH. Traumatic brain injury pathophysiology and treatments: early, intermediate, and late phases post-injury. *Int J Mol Sci.* (2014) 15:309–41. doi: 10.3390/ijms15010309
- Saatman KE, Duhaime AC, Bullock R, Maas AI, Valadka A, Manley GT. Classification of traumatic brain injury for targeted therapies. J Neurotrauma. (2008) 25:719–38. doi: 10.1089/neu.2008.0586
- Hawryluk GW, Manley GT. Classification of traumatic brain injury: past, present, and future. *Handb Clin Neurol.* (2015) 127:15–21. doi: 10.1016/B978-0-444-52892-6.00002-7
- Dziemianowicz MS, Kirschen MP, Pukenas BA, Laudano E, Balcer LJ, Galetta SL. Sports-related concussion testing. *Curr Neurol Neurosci Rep.* (2012) 12:547–59. doi: 10.1007/s11910-012-0299-y
- Miller JD, Tocher JL, Jones PA. Extradural haematoma–earlier detection, better results. *Brain Inj.* (1988) 2:83–6. doi: 10.3109/02699058809150934
- Stiell IG, Wells GA, Vandemheen K, Clement C, Lesiuk H, Laupacis A, et al. The Canadian CT Head Rule for patients with minor head injury. *Lancet*. (2001) 357:1391–6. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(00)04561-X
- Stein SC, Fabbri A, Servadei F, Glick HA. A critical comparison of clinical decision instruments for computed tomographic scanning in mild closed traumatic brain injury in adolescents and adults. *Ann Emerg Med.* (2009) 53:180–8. doi: 10.1016/j.annemergmed.2008.01.002
- Mcmahon PJ, Panczykowski DM, Yue JK, Puccio AM, Inoue T, Sorani MD, et al. Measurement of the glial fibrillary acidic protein and its breakdown products GFAP-BDP biomarker for the detection of traumatic brain injury compared to computed tomography and magnetic resonance imaging. *J Neurotrauma*. (2015) 32:527–33. doi: 10.1089/neu.2014.3635
- Su YS, Schuster JM, Smith DH, Stein SC. Cost effectiveness of biomarker screening for traumatic brain injury. J Neurotrauma. (2018) 36:1–9. doi: 10.1089/neu.2018.6020
- Jagoda AS, Bazarian JJ, Bruns JJ Jr., Cantrill SV, Gean AD, Howard PK, et al. Clinical policy: neuroimaging and decisionmaking in adult mild traumatic brain injury in the acute setting. *J Emerg Nurs.* (2009) 35:e5–40. doi: 10.1016/j.jen.2008.12.010
- Unden J, Ingebrigtsen T, Romner B, Scandinavian Neurotrauma C. Scandinavian guidelines for initial management of minimal, mild and moderate head injuries in adults: an evidence and consensus-based update. *BMC Med.* (2013) 11:50. doi: 10.1186/1741-7015-11-50
- Goncalves CA, Leite MC, Nardin P. Biological and methodological features of the measurement of S100B, a putative marker of brain injury. *Clin Biochem*. (2008) 41:755–63. doi: 10.1016/j.clinbiochem.2008.04.003
- Olsson B, Zetterberg H, Hampel H, Blennow K. Biomarker-based dissection of neurodegenerative diseases. *Prog Neurobiol.* (2011) 95:520–34. doi: 10.1016/j.pneurobio.2011.04.006
- Babcock L, Byczkowski T, Wade SL, Ho M, Bazarian JJ. Inability of S100B to predict postconcussion syndrome in children who present to the emergency department with mild traumatic brain injury: a brief report. *Pediatr Emerg Care*. (2013) 29:458–61. doi: 10.1097/PEC.0b013e31828a202d
- Ryb GE, Dischinger PC, Auman KM, Kufera JA, Cooper CC, Mackenzie CF, et al. S-100beta does not predict outcome after mild traumatic brain injury. *Brain Inj.* (2014) 28:1430–5. doi: 10.3109/02699052.2014.919525

## SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fneur. 2019.00446/full#supplementary-material

- Lingsma HF, Yue JK, Maas AI, Steyerberg EW, Manley GT, Investigators T-T. Outcome prediction after mild and complicated mild traumatic brain injury: external validation of existing models and identification of new predictors using the TRACK-TBI pilot study. *J Neurotrauma*. (2015) 32:83– 94. doi: 10.1089/neu.2014.3384
- Lingsma HF, Roozenbeek B, Steyerberg EW, Murray GD, Maas AIR. Early prognosis in traumatic brain injury: from prophecies to predictions. *Lancet Neurol.* (2010) 9:543–54. doi: 10.1016/S1474-4422(10)70065-X
- Stocchetti N, Pagan F, Calappi E, Canavesi K, Beretta L, Citerio G, et al. Inaccurate early assessment of neurological severity in head injury. J Neurotrauma. (2004) 21:1131–40. doi: 10.1089/neu.2004.21.1131
- Bledsoe BE, Casey MJ, Feldman J, Johnson L, Diel S, Forred W, et al. Glasgow Coma Scale scoring is often inaccurate. *Prehosp Disaster Med.* (2015) 30:46–53. doi: 10.1017/S1049023X14001289
- Yuan F, Ding J, Chen H, Guo Y, Wang G, Gao WW, et al. Predicting outcomes after traumatic brain injury: the development and validation of prognostic models based on admission characteristics. *J Trauma Acute Care Surg.* (2012) 73:137–45. doi: 10.1097/TA.0b013e31824b00ac
- Mondello S, Hayes RL. Biomarkers. Handb Clin Neurol. (2015) 127:245–65. doi: 10.1016/B978-0-444-52892-6.00016-7
- Zetterberg H, Blennow K. Fluid biomarkers for mild traumatic brain injury and related conditions. *Nat Rev Neurol.* (2016) 12:563–74. doi: 10.1038/nrneurol.2016.127
- Zetterberg H, Smith DH, Blennow K. Biomarkers of mild traumatic brain injury in cerebrospinal fluid and blood. *Nat Rev Neurol.* (2013) 9:201–10. doi: 10.1038/nrneurol.2013.9
- Papa L, Ramia MM, Edwards D, Johnson BD, Slobounov SM. Systematic review of clinical studies examining biomarkers of brain injury in athletes after sports-related concussion. *J Neurotrauma*. (2015) 32:661–73. doi: 10.1089/neu.2014.3655
- Sandler SJ, Figaji AA, Adelson PD. Clinical applications of biomarkers in pediatric traumatic brain injury. *Childs Nerv Syst.* (2010) 26:205–13. doi: 10.1007/s00381-009-1009-1
- Bogoslovsky T, Gill J, Jeromin A, Davis C, Diaz-Arrastia R. Fluid biomarkers of traumatic brain injury and intended context of use. *Diagnostics*. (2016) 6:37. doi: 10.3390/diagnostics6040037
- Kawata K, Liu CY, Merkel SF, Ramirez SH, Tierney RT, Langford D. Blood biomarkers for brain injury: what are we measuring? *Neurosci Biobehav Rev.* (2016) 68:460–73. doi: 10.1016/j.neubiorev.2016.05.009
- Kulbe JR, Geddes JW. Current status of fluid biomarkers in mild traumatic brain injury. *Exp Neurol.* (2016) 3:334–52. doi: 10.1016/j.expneurol.2015.05.004
- Linden A. Measuring diagnostic and predictive accuracy in disease management: an introduction to receiver operating characteristic (ROC) analysis. J Eval Clin Pract. (2006) 12:132–9. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2753.2005.00598.x
- Youden WJ. Index for rating diagnostic tests. *Cancer*. (1950) 3:32–5. doi: 10. 1002/1097-0142(1950)3:1<32::AID-CNCR2820030106>3.0.CO;2-3
- Einarson TR. Pharmacoeconomic applications of meta-analysis for single groups using antifungal onychomycosis lacquers as an example. *Clin Ther*. (1997) 19:559–69. doi: 10.1016/S0149-2918(97)80140-3
- Metz CE. Basic principles of ROC analysis. Semin Nucl Med. (1978) 8:283–98. doi: 10.1016/S0001-2998(78)80014-2
- Mccrory P, Meeuwisse WH, Aubry M, Cantu B, Dvorák J, Echemendia RJ, et al. Consensus statement on concussion in sport: the 4th International Conference on Concussion in Sport held in Zurich, November 2012. Br J Sports Med. (2013) 47:250–8. doi: 10.1136/bjsports-2013-092313
- 37. Herring SA, Cantu RC, Guskiewicz KM, Putukian M, Kibler WB, Bergfeld JA, et al. Concussion (mild traumatic brain injury) and the team physician: a consensus statement–2011 update. *Med Sci Sports Exerc.* (2011) 43:2412–22. doi: 10.1249/MSS.0b013e3182342e64
- Klose M, Juul A, Struck J, Morgenthaler NG, Kosteljanetz M, Feldt-Rasmussen U. Acute and long-term pituitary insufficiency in traumatic brain

injury: a prospective single-centre study. *Clin Endocrinol.* (2007) 67:598–606. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2265.2007.02931.x

- Kleindienst A, Brabant G, Morgenthaler NG, Dixit KC, Parsch H, Buchfelder M. Following brain trauma, copeptin, a stable peptide derived from the AVP precusor, does not reflect osmoregulation but correlates with injury severity. *Acta Neurochir Suppl.* (2010) 106:221–4. doi: 10.1007/978-3-211-98811-4\_41
- 40. Wallimann T, Wyss M, Brdiczka D, Nicolay K, Eppenberger HM. Intracellular compartmentation, structure and function of creatine kinase isoenzymes in tissues with high and fluctuating energy demands: the "phosphocreatine circuit" for cellular energy homeostasis. *Biochem J.* (1992) 281:21–40. doi: 10.1042/bj2810021
- Venkatesan C, Chrzaszcz M, Choi N, Wainwright MS. Chronic upregulation of activated microglia immunoreactive for galectin-3/Mac-2 and nerve growth factor following diffuse axonal injury. *J Neuroinflammation*. (2010) 7:32. doi: 10.1186/1742-2094-7-32
- Roberts DJ, Jenne CN, Leger C, Kramer AH, Gallagher CN, Todd S, et al. A prospective evaluation of the temporal matrix metalloproteinase response after severe traumatic brain injury in humans. *J Neurotrauma*. (2013) 30:1717–26. doi: 10.1089/neu.2012.2841
- 43. Mori T, Wang X, Aoki T, Lo EH. Downregulation of matrix metalloproteinase-9 and attenuation of edema via inhibition of ERK mitogen activated protein kinase in traumatic brain injury. *J Neurotrauma*. (2002) 19:1411–9. doi: 10.1089/089771502320914642
- 44. Higashida T, Kreipke CW, Rafols JA, Peng C, Schafer S, Schafer P, et al. The role of hypoxia-inducible factor-1alpha, aquaporin-4, and matrix metalloproteinase-9 in blood-brain barrier disruption and brain edema after traumatic brain injury. *J Neurosurg.* (2011) 114:92–101. doi: 10.3171/2010.6.JNS10207
- 45. Hirase T, Staddon JM, Saitou M, Ando-Akatsuka Y, Itoh M, Furuse M, et al. Occludin as a possible determinant of tight junction permeability in endothelial cells. *J Cell Sci*. (1997) 110:1603–13.
- Peacock W. Controls can't be ignored. J Neurotrauma. (2016) 34:1710. doi: 10.1089/neu.2016.4664
- Orešič M, Posti JP, Kamstrup-Nielsen MH, Takala RSK, Lingsma HF, Mattila I, et al. Human serum metabolites associate with severity and patient outcomes in traumatic brain injury. *EBioMedicine*. (2016) 12:118–26. doi: 10.1016/j.ebiom.2016.07.015
- Posti JP, Hossain I, Takala RS, Liedes H, Newcombe V, Outtrim J, et al. Glial fibrillary acidic protein and ubiquitin C-terminal hydrolase-L1 are not specific biomarkers for mild CT-negative traumatic brain injury. J Neurotrauma. (2017) 34:1427–38. doi: 10.1089/neu.2016.4442
- Lippi G, Bonfanti L, Saccenti C, Cervellin G. Causes of elevated D-dimer in patients admitted to a large urban emergency department. *Eur J Intern Med.* (2014) 25:45–8. doi: 10.1016/j.ejim.2013.07.012
- Adams JH, Graham DI, Murray LS, Scott G. Diffuse axonal injury due to nonmissile head injury in humans: an analysis of 45 cases. *Ann Neurol.* (1982) 12:557–63. doi: 10.1002/ana.410120610
- Adams JH, Doyle D, Ford I, Gennarelli TA, Graham DI, Mclellan DR. Diffuse axonal injury in head injury: definition, diagnosis and grading. *Histopathology*. (1989) 15:49–59. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2559.1989.tb03040.x
- 52. Graham DI, Adams JH, Gennarelli TA. Mechanisms of non-penetrating head injury. *Prog Clin Biol Res.* (1988) 264:159–68.
- Johnson VE, Stewart W, Smith DH. Axonal pathology in traumatic brain injury. *Exp Neurol.* (2013) 246:35–43. doi: 10.1016/j.expneurol.2012.01.013
- Roberts-Lewis JM, Savage MJ, Marcy VR, Pinsker LR, Siman R. Immunolocalization of calpain I-mediated spectrin degradation to vulnerable neurons in the ischemic gerbil brain. J Neurosci. (1994) 14:3934–44. doi: 10.1523/JNEUROSCI.14-06-03934.1994
- Buki A, Siman R, Trojanowski JQ, Povlishock JT. The role of calpain-mediated spectrin proteolysis in traumatically induced axonal injury. *J Neuropathol Exp Neurol.* (1999) 58:365–75. doi: 10.1097/00005072-199904000-00007
- Saatman KE, Abai B, Grosvenor A, Vorwerk CK, Smith DH, Meaney DF. Traumatic axonal injury results in biphasic calpain activation and retrograde transport impairment in mice. *J Cereb Blood Flow Metab.* (2003) 23:34–42. doi: 10.1097/01.WCB.0000035040.10031.B0
- Iwata A, Stys PK, Wolf JA, Chen XH, Taylor AG, Meaney DF, et al. Traumatic axonal injury induces proteolytic cleavage of the voltage-gated sodium channels modulated by tetrodotoxin and protease inhibitors. *J Neurosci.* (2004) 24:4605–13. doi: 10.1523/JNEUROSCI.0515-03.2004

- Von Reyn CR, Mott RE, Siman R, Smith DH, Meaney DF. Mechanisms of calpain mediated proteolysis of voltage gated sodium channel alpha-subunits following *in vitro* dynamic stretch injury. *J Neurochem*. (2012) 121:793–805. doi: 10.1111/j.1471-4159.2012.07735.x
- Johnson VE, Stewart W, Weber MT, Cullen DK, Siman R, Smith DH. SNTF immunostaining reveals previously undetected axonal pathology in traumatic brain injury. *Acta Neuropathol.* (2015) 131:115–35. doi: 10.1007/s00401-015-1506-0
- Ahmadzadeh H, Smith DH, Shenoy VB. Viscoelasticity of tau proteins leads to strain rate-dependent breaking of microtubules during axonal stretch injury: predictions from a mathematical model. *Biophys J.* (2014) 106:1123– 33. doi: 10.1016/j.bpj.2014.01.024
- Genin GM. Nanoscopic injury with macroscopic consequences: tau proteins as mediators of diffuse axonal injury. *Biophys J.* (2014) 106:1551–2. doi: 10.1016/j.bpj.2014.03.003
- Wang Y, Sorensen MG, Zheng Q, Zhang C, Karsdal MA, Henriksen K. Will posttranslational modifications of brain proteins provide novel serological markers for dementias? *Int J Alzheimers Dis.* (2012) 2012:209409. doi: 10.1155/2012/209409
- 63. Ljungqvist J, Zetterberg H, Mitsis M, Blennow K, Skoglund T. Serum neurofilament light protein as a marker for diffuse axonal injury: results from a case series study. *J Neurotrauma*. (2017) 34:1124–7. doi: 10.1089/neu.2016.4496
- 64. Grundy PL, Harbuz MS, Jessop DS, Lightman SL, Sharples PM. The hypothalamo-pituitary-adrenal axis response to experimental traumatic brain injury. J Neurotrauma. (2001) 18:1373–81. doi: 10.1089/08977150152725669
- Spencer SJ, Xu L, Clarke MA, Lemus M, Reichenbach A, Geenen B, et al. Ghrelin regulates the hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal axis and restricts anxiety after acute stress. *Biol Psychiatry*. (2012) 72:457–65. doi: 10.1016/j.biopsych.2012.03.010
- Gahete MD, Cordoba-Chacon J, Kineman RD, Luque RM, Castano JP. Role of ghrelin system in neuroprotection and cognitive functions: implications in Alzheimer's disease. *Peptides*. (2011) 32:2225–8. doi: 10.1016/j.peptides.2011.09.019
- 67. Cortiana M, Zagara G, Fava S, Seveso M. Coagulation abnormalities in patients with head injury. J Neurosurg Sci. (1986) 30:133–8.
- Harhangi BS, Kompanje EJ, Leebeek FW, and Maas AI. Coagulation disorders after traumatic brain injury. *Acta Neurochir.* (2008) 150:165–75. doi: 10.1007/s00701-007-1475-8
- 69. Di Battista AP, Rizoli SB, Lejnieks B, Min A, Shiu MY, Peng HT, et al. Sympathoadrenal activation is associated with acute traumatic coagulopathy and endotheliopathy in isolated brain injury. *Shock.* (2016) 46:96–103. doi: 10.1097/SHK.00000000000642
- Stein SC, Smith DH. Coagulopathy in traumatic brain injury. *Neurocrit Care*. (2004) 1:479–88. doi: 10.1385/NCC:1:4:479
- Esemuede N, Lee T, Pierre-Paul D, Sumpio BE, Gahtan V. The role of thrombospondin-1 in human disease. J Surg Res. (2004) 122:135–42. doi: 10.1016/j.jss.2004.05.015
- Lawler PR, Lawler J. Molecular basis for the regulation of angiogenesis by thrombospondin-1 and -2. *Cold Spring Harb Perspect Med.* (2012) 2:a006627. doi: 10.1101/cshperspect.a006627
- Zhou HJ, Zhang HN, Tang T, Zhong JH, Qi Y, Luo JK, et al. Alteration of thrombospondin-1 and –2 in rat brains following experimental intracerebral hemorrhage. *Lab Invest J Neurosurg.* (2010) 113:820–5. doi: 10.3171/2010.1.JNS09637
- 74. Yang RB, Ng CK, Wasserman SM, Colman SD, Shenoy S, Mehraban F, et al. Identification of a novel family of cell-surface proteins expressed in human vascular endothelium. J Biol Chem. (2002) 277:46364–73. doi: 10.1074/jbc.M207410200
- Tu CF, Su YH, Huang YN, Tsai MT, Li LT, Chen YL, et al. Localization and characterization of a novel secreted protein SCUBE1 in human platelets. *Cardiovasc Res.* (2006) 71:486–95. doi: 10.1016/j.cardiores.2006. 04.010
- Abdelmalik PA, Boorman DW, Tracy J, Jallo J, Rincon F. Acute traumatic coagulopathy accompanying isolated traumatic brain injury is associated with worse long-term functional and cognitive outcomes. *Neurocrit Care*. (2016) 24:361–70. doi: 10.1007/s12028-015-0191-0
- 77. Epstein DS, Mitra B, O'reilly G, Rosenfeld JV, Cameron PA. Acute traumatic coagulopathy in the setting of isolated traumatic brain

injury: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Injury*. (2014) 45:819–24. doi: 10.1016/j.injury.2014.01.011

- Murakami K, Koide M, Dumont TM, Russell SR, Tranmer BI, Wellman GC. Subarachnoid hemorrhage induces gliosis and increased expression of the pro-inflammatory cytokine high mobility group box 1 protein. *Transl Stroke Res.* (2011) 2:72–9. doi: 10.1007/s12975-010-0052-2
- 79. Watanabe M, Miyajima M, Nakajima M, Arai H, Ogino I, Nakamura S, et al. Expression analysis of high mobility group box-1 protein (HMGB-1) in the cerebral cortex, hippocampus, and cerebellum of the congenital hydrocephalus (H-Tx) rat. *Acta Neurochir Suppl.* (2012) 113:91–6. doi: 10.1007/978-3-7091-0923-6\_19
- Hinson HE, Rowell S, Schreiber M. Clinical evidence of inflammation driving secondary brain injury. *J Trauma Acute Care Surg.* (2015) 78:184–91. doi: 10.1097/TA.00000000000468
- Bar-Or D, Bar-Or R, Rael LT, Brody EN. Oxidative stress in severe acute illness. *Redox Biol.* (2015) 4:340–5. doi: 10.1016/j.redox.2015.01.006
- Baldwin SA, Fugaccia I, Brown DR, Brown LV, Scheff SW. Blood-brain barrier breach following cortical contusion in the rat. J Neurosurg. (1996) 85:476–81. doi: 10.3171/jns.1996.85.3.0476
- Swanson RA, Ying W, Kauppinen TM. Astrocyte influences on ischemic neuronal death. *Curr Mol Med.* (2004) 4:193–205. doi: 10.2174/1566524043479185
- Chodobski A, Zink BJ, Szmydynger-Chodobska J. Blood-brain barrier pathophysiology in traumatic brain injury. *Transl Stroke Res.* (2011) 2:492– 516. doi: 10.1007/s12975-011-0125-x
- Corps KN, Roth TL, Mcgavern DB. Inflammation and neuroprotection in traumatic brain injury. *JAMA Neurol.* (2015) 72:355–62. doi: 10.1001/jamaneurol.2014.3558
- Tyurin VA, Tyurina YY, Borisenko GG, Sokolova TV, Ritov VB, Quinn PJ, et al. Oxidative stress following traumatic brain injury in rats: quantitation of biomarkers and detection of free radical intermediates. *J Neurochem*. (2000) 75:2178–89. doi: 10.1046/j.1471-4159.2000.0752178.x
- Costantini D, Verhulst S. Does high antioxidant capacity indicate low oxidative stress? *Funct Ecol.* (2009) 23:506–9. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2435.2009.01546.x
- Richter-Landsberg C. The oligodendroglia cytoskeleton in health and disease. J Neurosci Res. (2000) 59:11-8. doi: 10.1002/(SICI)1097-4547(20000101)59:1<11::AID-JNR2>3.0.CO;2-O
- Liem RK, Messing A. Dysfunctions of neuronal and glial intermediate filaments in disease. J Clin Invest. (2009) 119:1814–24. doi: 10.1172/JCI38003
- Katan M, Muller B, Christ-Crain M. Copeptin: a new and promising diagnostic and prognostic marker. *Crit Care.* (2008) 12:117. doi: 10.1186/cc6799
- Silacci P, Mazzolai L, Gauci C, Stergiopulos N, Yin HL, Hayoz D. Gelsolin superfamily proteins: key regulators of cellular functions. *Cell Mol Life Sci.* (2004) 61:2614–23. doi: 10.1007/s00018-004-4225-6
- Dahl B, Schiodt FV, Ott P, Gvozdenovic R, Yin HL, Lee WM. Plasma gelsolin is reduced in trauma patients. *Shock*. (1999) 12:102–4. doi: 10.1097/00024382-199908000-00002
- Friedman JM, Halaas JL. Leptin and the regulation of body weight in mammals. *Nature*. (1998) 395:763–70. doi: 10.1038/27376
- 94. Ahima RS, Qi Y, Singhal NS. Adipokines that link obesity and diabetes to the hypothalamus. *Prog Brain Res.* (2006) 153:155–74. doi: 10.1016/S0079-6123(06)53009-2
- Morash B, Li A, Murphy PR, Wilkinson M, Ur E. Leptin gene expression in the brain and pituitary gland. *Endocrinology*. (1999) 140:5995–8. doi: 10.1210/endo.140.12.7288
- Ahima RS, Bjorbaek C, Osei S, Flier JS. Regulation of neuronal and glial proteins by leptin: implications for brain development. *Endocrinology*. (1999) 140:2755–62. doi: 10.1210/endo.140.6.6774
- Azzazy HM, Pelsers MM, Christenson RH. Unbound free fatty acids and heart-type fatty acid-binding protein: diagnostic assays and clinical applications. *Clin Chem.* (2006) 52:19–29. doi: 10.1373/clinchem.2005.056143
- Myers-Payne SC, Hubbell T, Pu L, Schnutgen F, Borchers T, Wood WG, et al. Isolation and characterization of two fatty acid binding proteins from mouse brain. J Neurochem. (1996) 66:1648–56. doi: 10.1046/j.1471-4159.1996.66041648.x
- 99. Pelsers MM, Hanhoff T, Van Der Voort D, Arts B, Peters M, Ponds R, et al. Brain- and heart-type fatty acid-binding proteins in the brain:

tissue distribution and clinical utility. Clin Chem. (2004) 50:1568-75. doi: 10.1373/clinchem.2003.030361

- 100. Glatz JF, Van Der Vusse GJ. Cellular fatty acid-binding proteins: their function and physiological significance. *Prog Lipid Res.* (1996) 35:243–82. doi: 10.1016/S0163-7827(96)00006-9
- 101. Gao J, Zheng Z. Development of prognostic models for patients with traumatic brain injury: a systematic review. Int J Clin Exp Med. (2015) 8:19881–5.
- 102. Steyerberg EW, Mushkudiani N, Perel P, Butcher I, Lu J, Mchugh GS, et al. Predicting outcome after traumatic brain injury: development and international validation of prognostic scores based on admission characteristics. *PLoS Med.* (2008) 5:e165. doi: 10.1371/journal.pmed.0050165
- 103. Perel P, Arango M, Clayton T, Edwards P, Komolafe E, Poccock S, et al. Predicting outcome after traumatic brain injury: practical prognostic models based on large cohort of international patients. *BMJ*. (2008) 336:425–9. doi: 10.1136/bmj.39461.643438.25
- 104. Roozenbeek B, Lingsma HF, Lecky FE, Lu J, Weir J, Butcher I, et al. Prediction of outcome after moderate and severe traumatic brain injury: external validation of the International Mission on Prognosis and Analysis of Clinical Trials (IMPACT) and Corticoid Randomisation After Significant Head injury (CRASH) prognostic models. *Crit Care Med.* (2012) 40:1609–17. doi: 10.1097/CCM.0b013e31824519ce
- 105. Sun H, Lingsma HF, Steyerberg EW, Maas AI. External validation of the international mission for prognosis and analysis of clinical trials in traumatic brain injury: prognostic models for traumatic brain injury on the study of the neuroprotective activity of progesterone in severe traumatic brain injuries trial. *J Neurotrauma*. (2016) 33:1535–43. doi: 10.1089/neu.2015.4164
- Carter EL, Hutchinson PJA, Kolias AG, Menon DK. Predicting the outcome for individual patients with traumatic brain injury: a case-based review. Br J Neurosurg. (2016) 30:227–32. doi: 10.3109/02688697.2016.1139048
- 107. Lennon VA, Wingerchuk DM, Kryzer TJ, Pittock SJ, Lucchinetti CF, Fujihara K, et al. A serum autoantibody marker of neuromyelitis optica: distinction from multiple sclerosis. *Lancet.* (2004) 364:2106–12. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(04)17551-X
- Lennon VA, Kryzer TJ, Pittock SJ, Verkman AS, Hinson SR. IgG marker of optic-spinal multiple sclerosis binds to the aquaporin-4 water channel. J Exp Med. (2005) 202:473–7. doi: 10.1084/jem.20050304
- 109. Brettschneider J, Jaskowski TD, Tumani H, Abdul S, Husebye D, Seraj H, et al. Serum anti-GAGA4 IgM antibodies differentiate relapsing remitting and secondary progressive multiple sclerosis from primary progressive multiple sclerosis and other neurological diseases. *J Neuroimmunol.* (2009) 217:95–101. doi: 10.1016/j.jneuroim.2009.07.017
- 110. Double KL, Rowe DB, Carew-Jones FM, Hayes M, Chan DK, Blackie J, et al. Anti-melanin antibodies are increased in sera in Parkinson's disease. *Exp Neurol.* (2009) 217:297–301. doi: 10.1016/j.expneurol.2009.03.002
- 111. Nagele E, Han M, Demarshall C, Belinka B, Nagele R. Diagnosis of Alzheimer's disease based on disease-specific autoantibody profiles in human sera. *PLoS ONE*. (2011) 6:e23112. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0023112
- 112. Yanamandra K, Gruden MA, Casaite V, Meskys R, Forsgren L, Morozova-Roche LA. alpha-synuclein reactive antibodies as diagnostic biomarkers in blood sera of Parkinson's disease patients. *PLoS ONE*. (2011) 6:e18513. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0018513
- 113. Han M, Nagele E, Demarshall C, Acharya N, Nagele R. Diagnosis of Parkinson's disease based on disease-specific autoantibody profiles in human sera. *PLoS ONE*. (2012) 7:e32383. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0032383
- 114. Maftei M, Thurm F, Schnack C, Tumani H, Otto M, Elbert T, et al. Increased levels of antigen-bound beta-amyloid autoantibodies in serum and cerebrospinal fluid of Alzheimer's disease patients. *PLoS ONE*. (2013) 8:e68996. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0068996
- 115. Fraussen J, Claes N, De Bock L, Somers V. Targets of the humoral autoimmune response in multiple sclerosis. *Autoimmun Rev.* (2014) 13:1126–37. doi: 10.1016/j.autrev.2014.07.002
- 116. Kayser MS, Dalmau J. Anti-NMDA receptor encephalitis, autoimmunity, and psychosis. *Schizophr Res.* (2014) 176:36–40. doi: 10.1016/j.schres.2014.10.007
- 117. Schirmer L, Srivastava R, Hemmer B. To look for a needle in a haystack: the search for autoantibodies in multiple sclerosis. *Mult Scler.* (2014) 20:271–9. doi: 10.1177/1352458514522104
- 118. Demarshall C, Sarkar A, Nagele E, Goldwaser EL, Godsey GA, Acharya N, et al. Utility of autoantibodies as biomarkers for diagnosis and

staging of neurodegenerative diseases. *Int Rev Neurobiol.* (2015) 122:1–51. doi: 10.1016/bs.irn.2015.05.005

- Dalmau J, Tuzun E, Wu HY, Masjuan J, Rossi JE, Voloschin A, et al. Paraneoplastic anti-N-methyl-D-aspartate receptor encephalitis associated with ovarian teratoma. *Ann Neurol.* (2007) 61:25–36. doi: 10.1002/ana.21050
- Hughes EG, Peng X, Gleichman AJ, Lai M, Zhou L, Tsou R, et al. Cellular and synaptic mechanisms of anti-NMDA receptor encephalitis. *J Neurosci.* (2010) 30:5866–75. doi: 10.1523/JNEUROSCI.0167-10.2010
- 121. Lancaster E, Martinez-Hernandez E, Dalmau J. Encephalitis and antibodies to synaptic and neuronal cell surface proteins. *Neurology*. (2011) 77:179–89. doi: 10.1212/WNL.0b013e318224afde
- 122. Michalak Z, Lebrun A, Di Miceli M, Rousset MC, Crespel A, Coubes P, et al. IgG leakage may contribute to neuronal dysfunction in drug-refractory epilepsies with blood-brain barrier disruption. J Neuropathol Exp Neurol. (2012) 71:826–38. doi: 10.1097/NEN.0b013e31826809a6
- 123. Acharya NK, Nagele EP, Han M, Nagele RG. Autoantibodies: double agents in human disease. *Sci Transl Med.* (2013) 5:186fs119. doi: 10.1126/scitranslmed.3006288
- 124. Diamond B, Honig G, Mader S, Brimberg L, Volpe BT. Brainreactive antibodies and disease. Annu Rev Immunol. (2013) 31:345–85. doi: 10.1146/annurev-immunol-020711-075041
- 125. Dahm L, Ott C, Steiner J, Stepniak B, Teegen B, Saschenbrecker S, et al. Seroprevalence of autoantibodies against brain antigens in health and disease. Ann Neurol. (2014) 76:82–94. doi: 10.1002/ana.24189
- 126. Hammer C, Stepniak B, Schneider A, Papiol S, Tantra M, Begemann M, et al. Neuropsychiatric disease relevance of circulating anti-NMDA receptor autoantibodies depends on blood-brain barrier integrity. *Mol Psychiatry*. (2014) 19:1143–9. doi: 10.1038/mp.2013.110
- 127. Hoftberger R. Neuroimmunology: an expanding frontier in autoimmunity. Front Immunol. (2015) 6:206. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2015.00206
- Leypoldt F, Armangue T, Dalmau J. Autoimmune encephalopathies. Ann N Y Acad Sci. (2015) 1338:94–114. doi: 10.1111/nyas.12553
- 129. Zhang Y, Popovich P. Roles of autoantibodies in central nervous system injury. *Discov Med.* (2011) 11:395–404.
- Raad M, Nohra E, Chams N, Itani M, Talih F, Mondello S, et al. Autoantibodies in traumatic brain injury and central nervous system trauma. *Neuroscience*. (2014) 281C:16–23. doi: 10.1016/j.neuroscience.2014.08.045
- 131. Zhang Z, Zoltewicz JS, Mondello S, Newsom KJ, Yang Z, Yang B, et al. Human traumatic brain injury induces autoantibody response against glial fibrillary acidic protein and its breakdown products. *PLoS ONE*. (2014) 9:e92698. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0092698
- 132. Wang KW, Yang Z, Yue J, Zhang Z, Winkler EA, Puccio A, et al. Plasma anti\_glial fibrillary acidic protein autoantibody levels during the acute and chronic phases of traumatic brain injury: a transforming research and clinical knowledge in traumatic brain injury pilot study. *J Neurotrauma*. (2016) 33:1270–7. doi: 10.1089/neu.2015.3881
- 133. Levin EC, Acharya NK, Han M, Zavareh SB, Sedeyn JC, Venkataraman V, et al. Brain-reactive autoantibodies are nearly ubiquitous in human sera and may be linked to pathology in the context of blood-brain barrier breakdown. *Brain Res.* (2010) 1345:221–32. doi: 10.1016/j.brainres.2010.05.038
- 134. Nagele RG, Clifford PM, Siu G, Levin EC, Acharya NK, Han M, et al. Brain-reactive autoantibodies prevalent in human sera increase intraneuronal amyloid-beta(1-42) deposition. J Alzheimers Dis. (2011) 25:605–22. doi: 10.3233/JAD-2011-110098
- 135. Acharya NK, Levin EC, Clifford PM, Han M, Tourtellotte R, Chamberlain D, et al. Diabetes and hypercholesterolemia increase blood-brain barrier permeability and brain amyloid deposition: beneficial effects of the LpPLA2 inhibitor darapladib. J Alzheimers Dis. (2013) 35:179–98. doi: 10.3233/JAD-122254
- 136. Nagele EP, Han M, Acharya NK, Demarshall C, Kosciuk MC, Nagele RG. Natural IgG autoantibodies are abundant and ubiquitous in human sera, and their number is influenced by age, gender, and disease. *PLoS ONE*. (2013) 8:e60726. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0060726
- 137. Demarshall CA, Nagele EP, Sarkar A, Acharya NK, Godsey G, Goldwaser EL, et al. Detection of Alzheimer's disease at mild cognitive impairment and disease progression using autoantibodies as blood-based biomarkers. *Alzheimers Dement.* (2016) 12:51–62. doi: 10.1016/j.dadm.2016.03.002

- 138. Diaz-Arrastia R, Baxter VK. Genetic factors in outcome after traumatic brain injury: what the human genome project can teach us about brain trauma. J Head Trauma Rehabil. (2006) 21:361–74. doi: 10.1097/00001199-200607000-00007
- 139. Dardiotis E, Fountas KN, Dardioti M, Xiromerisiou G, Kapsalaki E, Tasiou A, et al. Genetic association studies in patients with traumatic brain injury. *Neurosurg Focus*. (2010) 28:E9. doi: 10.3171/2009.10.FOCUS09215
- 140. Davidson J, Cusimano MD, Bendena WG. Post-traumatic brain injury: genetic susceptibility to outcome. *Neuroscientist.* (2015) 21:424–41. doi: 10.1177/1073858414543150
- Bales JW, Wagner AK, Kline AE, Dixon CE. Persistent cognitive dysfunction after traumatic brain injury: a dopamine hypothesis. *Neurosci Biobehav Rev.* (2009) 33:981–1003. doi: 10.1016/j.neubiorev.2009.03.011
- 142. Mcallister TW, Flashman LA, Harker Rhodes C, Tyler AL, Moore JH, Saykin AJ, et al. Single nucleotide polymorphisms in ANKK1 and the dopamine D2 receptor gene affect cognitive outcome shortly after traumatic brain injury: a replication and extension study. *Brain Inj.* (2008) 22:705–14. doi: 10.1080/02699050802263019
- 143. Yue JK, Pronger AM, Ferguson AR, Temkin NR, Sharma S, Rosand J, et al. Association of a common genetic variant within ANKK1 with six-month cognitive performance after traumatic brain injury. *Neurogenetics*. (2015) 16:169–80. doi: 10.1007/s10048-015-0437-1
- 144. Winkler EA, Yue JK, Ferguson AR, Temkin NR, Stein MB, Barber J, et al. COMT Val158Met polymorphism is associated with post-traumatic stress disorder and functional outcome following mild traumatic brain injury. J Clin Neurosci. (2016) 35:109–16. doi: 10.1016/j.jocn.2016.09.017
- 145. Winkler EA, Yue JK, Mcallister TW, Temkin NR, Oh SS, Burchard EG, et al. COMT Val 158 Met polymorphism is associated with nonverbal cognition following mild traumatic brain injury. *Neurogenetics.* (2016) 17:31–41. doi: 10.1007/s10048-015-0467-8
- 146. Lipsky RH, Sparling MB, Ryan LM, Xu K, Salazar AM, Goldman D, et al. Association of COMT Val158Met genotype with executive functioning following traumatic brain injury. J Neuropsychiatry Clin Neurosci. (2005) 17:465–71. doi: 10.1176/jnp.17.4.465
- 147. Willmott C, Ponsford J, Mcallister TW, Burke R. Effect of COMT Val158Met genotype on attention and response to methylphenidate following traumatic brain injury. *Brain Inj.* (2013) 27:1281–6. doi: 10.3109/02699052.2013.809553
- Willmott C, Withiel T, Ponsford J, Burke R. COMT Val158Met and cognitive and functional outcomes after traumatic brain injury. *J Neurotrauma*. (2014) 31:1507–14. doi: 10.1089/neu.2013.3308
- 149. Myrga JM, Juengst SB, Failla MD, Conley YP, Arenth PM, Grace AA, et al. COMT and ANKK1 genetics interact with depression to influence behavior following severe TBI: an initial assessment. *Neurorehabil Neural Repair*. (2016) 30:920–30. doi: 10.1177/1545968316648409
- 150. Witte AV, Floel A. Effects of COMT polymorphisms on brain function and behavior in health and disease. *Brain Res Bull.* (2012) 88:418–28. doi: 10.1016/j.brainresbull.2011.11.012
- 151. Plog BA, Dashnaw ML, Hitomi E, Peng W, Liao Y, Lou N, et al. Biomarkers of traumatic injury are transported from brain to blood via the glymphatic system. *J Neurosci.* (2015) 35:518–26. doi: 10.1523/JNEUROSCI.3742-14.2015
- 152. Terrando N, Eriksson LI, Ryu JK, Yang T, Monaco C, Feldmann M, et al. Resolving postoperative neuroinflammation and cognitive decline. *Ann Neurol.* (2011) 70:986–95. doi: 10.1002/ana.22664

**Conflict of Interest Statement:** The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Copyright © 2019 Gan, Stein, Swanson, Guan, Garcia, Mehta and Smith. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.