

Sahand Communications in Mathematical Analysis (SCMA) Vol. 12 No. 1 (2018), 113-120

<http://scma.maragheh.ac.ir>

DOI: 10.22130/scma.2018.78500.364

## A Certain Class of Character Module Homomorphisms on Normed Algebras

Ali Reza Khoddami

---

ABSTRACT. For two normed algebras  $A$  and  $B$  with the character space  $\Delta(B) \neq \emptyset$  and a left  $B$ -module  $X$ , a certain class of bounded linear maps from  $A$  into  $X$  is introduced. We set  $CMH_B(A, X)$  as the set of all non-zero  $B$ -character module homomorphisms from  $A$  into  $X$ . In the case where  $\Delta(B) = \{\varphi\}$  then  $CMH_B(A, X) \cup \{0\}$  is a closed subspace of  $L(A, X)$  of all bounded linear operators from  $A$  into  $X$ . We define an equivalence relation on  $CMH_B(A, X)$  and use it to show that  $CMH_B(A, X) \cup \{0\}$  is a union of closed subspaces of  $L(A, X)$ . Also some basic results and some hereditary properties are presented. Finally some relations between  $\varphi$ -amenable Banach algebras and character module homomorphisms are examined.

---

### 1. INTRODUCTION AND PRELIMINARIES

Let  $A$  be a normed algebra. Then a character on  $A$  is a bounded linear functional  $\varphi : A \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  such that  $\varphi(ac) = \varphi(a)\varphi(c)$  for all  $a, c \in A$ . The set of all non-zero characters on the normed algebra  $A$  is denoted by  $\Delta(A)$ . Also  $\Delta(A) \cup \{0\}$  is called the character space of  $A$ .

Let  $A$  be a Banach algebra. The second dual of  $A$ , which is denoted by  $A^{**}$ , is a Banach algebra with respect to the first and the second Arens products  $\square$  and  $\diamond$  respectively, which are defined as follows. For  $a, b \in A, f \in A^*$  and  $m, n \in A^{**}$ ,

$$\langle m \square n, f \rangle = \langle m, n \cdot f \rangle, \quad \langle n \cdot f, a \rangle = \langle n, f \cdot a \rangle, \quad \langle f \cdot a, b \rangle = \langle f, ab \rangle,$$

and

$$\langle m \diamond n, f \rangle = \langle n, f \cdot m \rangle, \quad \langle f \cdot m, a \rangle = \langle m, a \cdot f \rangle, \quad \langle a \cdot f, b \rangle = \langle f, ba \rangle.$$

---

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary: 46H05, 46H10; Secondary: 46B28, 46A32.

*Key words and phrases*. Character space, Character module homomorphism, Arens products,  $\varphi$ -amenability,  $\varphi$ -contractibility.

Received: 31 December 2017, Accepted: 11 April 2018.

A new version of amenability which is related to characters was introduced and investigated by E. Kaniuth and A.T.-M. Lau and J. Pym in [2]. Also M.S. Monfared independently studied this concept in [8].

Let  $A$  be a Banach algebra and let  $\varphi \in \Delta(A)$ . Then  $A$  is said to be  $\varphi$ -amenable if there exists an  $m \in A^{**}$  such that  $\langle m, \varphi \rangle = 1$  and  $\langle m, f \cdot a \rangle = \varphi(a)\langle m, f \rangle$  for all  $a \in A$  and  $f \in A^*$ . Any such  $m$  is called a  $\varphi$ -mean.

A Banach algebra  $A$  is said to be  $\varphi$ -contractible if there exists an  $u \in A$  such that  $\varphi(u) = 1$  and  $au = \varphi(a)u$  for all  $a \in A$ . The concept of  $\varphi$ -contractibility of Banach algebras was introduced by Z. Hu, M.S. Monfared and T. Traynor [1].

Suppose that  $V$  is a non-zero normed vector space and  $f \in V^*$  (the dual space of  $V$ ) is a non-zero element such that  $\|f\| \leq 1$ . Define  $a \cdot c = f(a)c$  for all  $a, c \in V$ . Then, the pair  $(V, \cdot)$  which we denote it by  $V_f$  is an associative normed algebra. One can easily verify that  $\Delta(V_f) = \{f\}$ . Some basic properties of  $V_f$  such as Arens regularity, amenability, weak amenability,  $n$ -weak amenability are investigated in [7]. Also strongly zero-product, strongly Jordan zero-product, strongly Lie zero-product preserving maps on  $V_f$  are investigated in [5, 4, 3, 6].

In this article we introduce a certain class of operators from a normed algebra into a left module. Some basic results and also some hereditary properties concerning them are investigated in Sections 2 and 3 respectively. Finally some relations between  $\varphi$ -amenable Banach algebras and character module homomorphisms are examined in Section 4.

## 2. MAIN RESULTS

In this section let  $A$  and  $B$  be two normed algebras and let  $\Delta(B) \neq \emptyset$ . Also let  $X$  be a left  $B$ -module. So  $X^*$  and  $X^{**}$  with the following operations are right and left  $B$ -modules respectively.

$$\begin{aligned}\langle g \cdot b, x \rangle &= \langle g, b \cdot x \rangle, \\ \langle b \cdot \Phi, g \rangle &= \langle \Phi, g \cdot b \rangle,\end{aligned}$$

for all  $b \in B, x \in X, g \in X^*, \Phi \in X^{**}$ .

Also one can easily verify that  $X^{**}$  with the following operations is a left  $B^{**}$ -module.

$$\begin{aligned}\langle n \cdot \Phi, g \rangle &= \langle n, \Phi \cdot g \rangle, \\ \langle \Phi \cdot g, b \rangle &= \langle \Phi, g \cdot b \rangle, \\ \langle g \cdot b, x \rangle &= \langle g, b \cdot x \rangle,\end{aligned}$$

for all  $b \in B, n \in B^{**}, x \in X, g \in X^*, \Phi \in X^{**}$ .

**Definition 2.1.** Let  $A$  and  $B$  be two normed algebras and let  $\Delta(B) \neq \emptyset$ . Also let  $X$  be a left  $B$ -module. We say that a bounded linear map

$T : A \rightarrow X$  is a  $B$ -character module homomorphism if there exists a  $\varphi \in \Delta(B)$  such that  $T^*(g \cdot b) = \varphi(b)T^*(g)$  for all  $b \in B$  and  $g \in X^*$ .

**Remark 2.2.** Note that in the case  $X = B$ , since  $B$  is a left  $B$ -module, so a bounded linear map  $T : A \rightarrow B$  is a  $B$ -character module homomorphism if and only if there exists a  $\varphi \in \Delta(B)$  such that  $T^*(g \cdot b) = \varphi(b)T^*(g)$  for all  $b \in B$  and  $g \in B^*$ .

**Example 2.3.** Let  $V$  be a non-zero normed vector space and  $0 \neq f \in V^*$  such that  $\|f\| \leq 1$ . Also let  $A$  be an arbitrary normed algebra. Then every bounded linear map  $T : A \rightarrow V_f$  is a  $V_f$ -character module homomorphism. Indeed, for  $f \in \Delta(V_f) = \{f\}$  we have,

$$T^*(g \cdot b) = T^*(f(b)g) = f(b)T^*(g), \quad (g \in V_f^*, b \in V_f).$$

**Proposition 2.4.** Let  $A$  and  $B$  be two normed algebras and let  $X$  be a left  $B$ -module. Also let  $T : A \rightarrow X$  be a  $B$ -character module homomorphism. Then,

- (i)  $T(A)$  is a left  $B$ -module such that for some  $\varphi \in \Delta(B)$ ,  $b \cdot T(a) = \varphi(b)T(a)$  for all  $b \in B$  and  $a \in A$ .
- (ii) If  $T$  is surjective then for some  $\varphi \in \Delta(B)$ ,  $b \cdot x = \varphi(b)x$  for all  $b \in B$  and  $x \in X$ . Moreover  $X^* \cdot \ker(\varphi) = 0$ .

*Proof.* (i) Let  $T : A \rightarrow X$  be a  $B$ -character module homomorphism. Then there exists a  $\varphi \in \Delta(B)$  such that

$$(2.1) \quad T^*(g \cdot b) = \varphi(b)T^*(g),$$

for all  $b \in B$  and  $g \in X^*$ . So  $\langle T^*(g \cdot b), a \rangle = \langle \varphi(b)T^*(g), a \rangle$  for all  $a \in A, b \in B$  and  $g \in X^*$ . It follows that  $\langle g, b \cdot T(a) \rangle = \langle g, \varphi(b)T(a) \rangle$  for all  $a \in A, b \in B$  and  $g \in X^*$ . Hence  $b \cdot T(a) = \varphi(b)T(a), a \in A, b \in B$ .

- (ii) The equality  $b \cdot x = \varphi(b)x, b \in B, x \in X$  is an immediate consequence of part (i), because  $T$  is surjective. Also the surjectivity of  $T$  implies the injectivity of  $T^*$ . So if  $b \in \ker(\varphi)$  then by (2.1)  $T^*(g \cdot b) = 0$ . So  $g \cdot b = 0, b \in \ker(\varphi), g \in X^*$ . It follows that  $X^* \cdot \ker(\varphi) = 0$ .

□

**Remark 2.5.** Let  $B$  be a normed algebra with  $\varphi \in \Delta(B)$ . Also let  $X$  be a left  $B$ -module such that  $b \cdot x = \varphi(b)x, b \in B, x \in X$ . Then for each bounded linear map  $T : A \rightarrow X$  we have,

$$T^*(g \cdot b) = T^*(\varphi(b)g) = \varphi(b)T^*(g), \quad (b \in B, g \in X^*).$$

**Corollary 2.6.** Let  $A$  and  $B$  be two normed algebras. Also let  $T : A \rightarrow B$  be a surjective  $B$ -character module homomorphism. Then there exists  $\varphi \in \Delta(B)$  such that  $B = B_\varphi$ . Moreover  $B^*B = B^*$ .

*Proof.* The equality  $B = B_\varphi$  is an immediate consequence of Proposition 2.4 part (ii). Let  $g \in B^*$  and  $e \in B$  be an element such that  $\varphi(e) = 1$ . It follows that  $g \cdot e = g$ . So  $B^* \subseteq B^*B$ . Hence  $B^*B = B^*$ .  $\square$

### 3. HEREDITARY PROPERTIES

In this section we present some hereditary properties concerning character module homomorphisms.

**Theorem 3.1.** *Let  $A$  and  $B$  be two normed algebras and let  $X$  be a left  $B$ -module. Also let  $T : A \rightarrow X$  be a non-zero bounded linear map. Then  $T$  is a  $B$ -character module homomorphism if and only if  $T^{**} : A^{**} \rightarrow X^{**}$  is a  $B^{**}$ - (also  $B$ -) character module homomorphism.*

*Proof.* Let  $T : A \rightarrow X$  be a  $B$ -character module homomorphism. Then there exists a  $\varphi \in \Delta(B)$  such that  $T^*(g \cdot b) = \varphi(b)T^*(g)$  for all  $b \in B, g \in X^*$ . We shall show that  $T^{**} : A^{**} \rightarrow X^{**}$  is a  $B^{**}$ -character module homomorphism. A similar argument can be applied to show that  $T^{**}$  is a  $B$ -character module homomorphism.

Let  $\Lambda \in X^{***}$  and  $n \in B^{**}$ . Also let  $\{g_\alpha\}_\alpha \subseteq X^*$  and  $\{b_\beta\}_\beta \subseteq B$  be two nets such that  $\Lambda = w^* - \lim_\alpha g_\alpha$  and  $n = w^* - \lim_\beta b_\beta$ . It follows that

$$\Lambda \cdot n = w^* - \lim_\alpha w^* - \lim_\beta g_\alpha \cdot b_\beta.$$

Indeed,

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_\alpha \lim_\beta \langle g_\alpha \cdot b_\beta, \Phi \rangle &= \lim_\alpha \lim_\beta \langle \Phi \cdot g_\alpha, b_\beta \rangle \\ &= \lim_\alpha \langle n, \Phi \cdot g_\alpha \rangle = \lim_\alpha \langle n \cdot \Phi, g_\alpha \rangle \\ &= \langle n \cdot \Phi, \Lambda \rangle = \langle \Lambda, n \cdot \Phi \rangle \\ &= \langle \Lambda \cdot n, \Phi \rangle, \quad (\Phi \in X^{**}). \end{aligned}$$

As  $T^{***}$  is  $w^* - w^*$ -continuous so,

$$\begin{aligned} T^{***}(\Lambda \cdot n) &= w^* - \lim_\alpha w^* - \lim_\beta T^{***}(g_\alpha \cdot b_\beta) \\ &= w^* - \lim_\alpha w^* - \lim_\beta T^*(g_\alpha \cdot b_\beta) \\ &= w^* - \lim_\alpha w^* - \lim_\beta \varphi(b_\beta)T^*(g_\alpha) \\ &= n(\varphi)T^{***}(\Lambda), \end{aligned}$$

for all  $n \in B^{**}$  and  $\Lambda \in X^{***}$ .

Define  $\tilde{\varphi} : B^{**} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  by  $\tilde{\varphi}(n) = n(\varphi)$ . One can easily verify that  $\tilde{\varphi} \in \Delta(B^{**})$ .

Also

$$T^{***}(\Lambda \cdot n) = \tilde{\varphi}(n)T^{***}(\Lambda), \quad (n \in B^{**}, \Lambda \in X^{***}).$$

For the converse, if  $T^{**} : A^{**} \rightarrow X^{**}$  is a  $B^{**}$ -character module homomorphism then there exists  $\psi \in \Delta(B^{**})$  such that  $T^{***}(\Lambda \cdot n) = \psi(n)T^{***}(\Lambda)$  for all  $n \in B^{**}$  and  $\Lambda \in X^{***}$ . Set  $\varphi = \psi|_B$ . Clearly  $\varphi$  is a multiplicative linear functional. Also  $\varphi \neq 0$ . Indeed, the assumption  $\varphi = 0$  implies,

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(n)T^{***}(\Lambda) &= T^{***}(\Lambda \cdot n) \\ &= w^* - \lim_{\alpha} w^* - \lim_{\beta} T^{***}(g_{\alpha} \cdot b_{\beta}) \\ &= w^* - \lim_{\alpha} w^* - \lim_{\beta} \psi(b_{\beta})T^{***}(g_{\alpha}) \\ &= w^* - \lim_{\alpha} w^* - \lim_{\beta} \varphi(b_{\beta})T^{***}(g_{\alpha}) = 0, \end{aligned}$$

where,  $\{g_{\alpha}\}_{\alpha} \subseteq X^*$  and  $\{b_{\beta}\}_{\beta} \subseteq B$  are some nets such that  $\Lambda = w^* - \lim_{\alpha} g_{\alpha}$  and  $n = w^* - \lim_{\beta} b_{\beta}$ .

It follows that  $T^{***} = 0$ . So  $T = 0$ , that is a contradiction. Therefore  $\varphi \in \Delta(B)$ .

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} T^*(g \cdot b) &= T^{***}(g \cdot b) = \psi(b)T^{***}(g) \\ &= \varphi(b)T^*(g), \end{aligned}$$

for all  $b \in B, g \in X^*$ . So  $T$  is a  $B$ -character module homomorphism. □

**Corollary 3.2.** *Let  $A$  and  $B$  be two normed algebras and let  $X$  be a left  $B$ -module. Also let  $T : A \rightarrow X$  be a non-zero bounded linear map. Then  $T$  is a  $B$ -character module homomorphism if and only if  $T^{(2n)} : A^{(2n)} \rightarrow X^{(2n)}$  is a  $B^{(2n)}$ -character module homomorphism.*

**Proposition 3.3.** *Let  $A, B, C$  be normed algebras and let  $X$  be a left  $B$ -module. Also let  $T : A \rightarrow X$  be a  $B$ -character module homomorphism. Then for each bounded linear map  $S : C \rightarrow A$  the map  $T \circ S : C \rightarrow X$  is a  $B$ -character module homomorphism.*

*Proof.* As  $T : A \rightarrow X$  is a  $B$ -character module homomorphism so there exists  $\varphi \in \Delta(B)$  such that  $T^*(g \cdot b) = \varphi(b)T^*(g)$  for all  $b \in B$  and  $g \in X^*$ . Hence

$$\begin{aligned} (T \circ S)^*(g \cdot b) &= S^* \circ T^*(g \cdot b) = S^*(T^*(g \cdot b)) \\ &= S^*(\varphi(b)T^*(g)) = \varphi(b)S^*(T^*(g)) \\ &= \varphi(b)S^* \circ T^*(g) \\ &= \varphi(b)(T \circ S)^*(g), \end{aligned}$$

for all  $b \in B$  and  $g \in X^*$ . It follows that  $T \circ S$  is a  $B$ -character module homomorphism. □

Let  $A$  and  $B$  be two normed algebras and let  $X$  be a left  $B$ -module. Set  $CMH_B(A, X)$  as the set of all non-zero  $B$ -character module homomorphisms from  $A$  into  $X$ .

**Proposition 3.4.** *Let  $A$  and  $B$  be two normed algebras and let  $\Delta(B) = \{\varphi\}$ . Then  $CMH_B(A, X) \cup \{0\}$  is a closed subspace of  $L(A, X)$  of all bounded linear operators from  $A$  into  $X$ .*

*Proof.* Clearly  $CMH_B(A, X) \cup \{0\}$  is a subspace of  $L(A, X)$ . We shall show that  $CMH_B(A, X) \cup \{0\}$  is a closed subspace. For this end let

$$T \in L(A, X) \cap \overline{CMH_B(A, X) \cup \{0\}}$$

and  $T \neq 0$ . So there exists a sequence  $\{T_n\}_n \subseteq CMH_B(A, X)$  such that  $\|T_n^* - T^*\| = \|T_n - T\| \rightarrow 0$ .

Hence  $T_n^*(g \cdot b) \rightarrow T^*(g \cdot b)$ . As  $T_n^*(g \cdot b) = \varphi(b)T_n^*(g)$  we can conclude that  $\varphi(b)T^*(g) = T^*(g \cdot b)$ ,  $b \in B, g \in X^*$ . So  $T \in CMH_B(A, X) \cup \{0\}$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 3.5.** *Let  $A$  be a normed algebra and let  $\Delta(A) = \{\varphi\}$ . Then  $CMH_A(A, A) \cup \{0\}$  is a closed right ideal of  $L(A, A)$ .*

*Proof.* The proof is an immediate consequence of Proposition 3.3 and Proposition 3.4.  $\square$

Let  $A$  and  $B$  be two normed algebras and let  $X$  be a left  $B$ -module such that  $CMH_B(A, X) \neq \emptyset$ . If  $T \in CMH_B(A, X)$  then there exists a unique element  $\varphi_T \in \Delta(B)$  such that  $T^*(g \cdot b) = \varphi_T(b)T^*(g)$  for all  $b \in B$  and  $g \in X^*$ . For  $T, S \in CMH_B(A, X)$  define  $T \sim S$  if and only if  $\varphi_T = \varphi_S$ . Now we can conclude the following result.

**Proposition 3.6.** *Let  $A$  and  $B$  be two normed algebras and let  $X$  be a left  $B$ -module such that  $CMH_B(A, X) \neq \emptyset$ . Then  $\sim$  is an equivalence relation on  $CMH_B(A, X)$ .*

*Proof.* the proof is straightforward.  $\square$

Let  $T \in CMH_B(A, X)$  and let  $[T]_{\sim}$  be the equivalence class of  $T$ .

Note that in the case when  $\Delta(B) = \{\varphi\}$ , the set  $CMH_B(A, X) \cup \{0\}$  is a closed subspace of  $L(A, X)$ . But it is not the case in general.

The following result reveals that the set  $CMH_B(A, X) \cup \{0\}$  is the union of closed subspaces of  $L(A, X)$ .

**Proposition 3.7.** *Let  $A$  and  $B$  be two normed algebras and let  $X$  be a left  $B$ -module such that  $CMH_B(A, X) \neq \emptyset$ . Then  $[T]_{\sim} \cup \{0\}$  is a closed subspace of  $L(A, X)$  for all  $T \in CMH_B(A, X)$ . Moreover*

$$CMH_B(A, X) \cup \{0\} = \bigcup_T \left( [T]_{\sim} \cup \{0\} \right).$$

*Proof.* Clearly  $[T]_{\sim} \cup \{0\}$  is a subspace of  $L(A, X)$ . An argument similar to the proof of Proposition 3.4 can be applied to show that  $[T]_{\sim} \cup \{0\}$  is a closed subspace. As  $CMH_B(A, X) = \bigcup_T [T]_{\sim}$  so  $CMH_B(A, X) \cup \{0\} = \bigcup_T ([T]_{\sim} \cup \{0\})$ .  $\square$

4. THE RELATION BETWEEN  $\varphi$ -AMENABLE BANACH ALGEBRAS AND CHARACTER MODULE HOMOMORPHISMS.

In this section we give some relations between  $\varphi$ -amenable,  $\varphi$ -contractible Banach algebras and character module homomorphisms.

**Theorem 4.1.** *Let  $A$  be a reflexive  $\varphi$ -amenable Banach algebra. Then  $CMH_A(\mathbb{C}, A) \neq \emptyset$ .*

*Proof.* As  $A$  is reflexive and  $\varphi$ -amenable Banach algebra so there exists  $m \in A$  such that  $\varphi(m) = 1$  and  $f(am) = \varphi(a)f(m)$  for all  $a \in A$  and  $f \in A^*$ . Define  $T : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow A$  by  $T(\lambda) = \lambda m, \lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ . It follows that  $T^*(f) = f(m)$  for all  $f \in A^*$ . So

$$\begin{aligned} T^*(f \cdot a) &= f \cdot a(m) \\ &= f(am) \\ &= \varphi(a)f(m) \\ &= \varphi(a)T^*(f), \end{aligned}$$

for all  $a \in A$  and  $f \in A^*$ . Hence  $T \in CMH_A(\mathbb{C}, A)$  and  $CMH_A(\mathbb{C}, A) \neq \emptyset$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 4.2.** *Let  $A$  be a Banach algebra and let  $\varphi \in \Delta(A)$ . Also let  $T : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow A$  be a linear map such that  $\varphi(T(1)) \neq 0$  and  $T^*(f \cdot a) = \varphi(a)T^*(f)$  for all  $a \in A$  and  $f \in A^*$ . Then  $A$  is  $\varphi$ -amenable.*

*Proof.* Let  $u = T(1)$ . So  $T(\lambda) = T(\lambda 1) = \lambda T(1) = \lambda u$  for all  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ . It follows that  $T^*(f) = f(u)$  for all  $f \in A^*$ . So the assumption  $T^*(f \cdot a) = \varphi(a)T^*(f)$  implies that,  $f \cdot a(u) = \varphi(a)f(u)$ . Hence  $f(au) = \varphi(a)f(u)$ . Set  $m = \frac{u}{\varphi(u)}$ . So  $\varphi(m) = 1$  and  $f(am) = \varphi(a)f(m), a \in A, f \in A^*$ . This shows that  $m$  is a  $\varphi$ -mean. Hence  $A$  is  $\varphi$ -amenable.  $\square$

**Proposition 4.3.** *Let  $A$  be a  $\varphi$ -contractible Banach algebra. Then  $CMH_A(\mathbb{C}, A) \neq \emptyset$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $A$  be a  $\varphi$ -contractible Banach algebra. So there exists  $u \in A$  such that  $\varphi(u) = 1$  and  $au = \varphi(a)u$  for all  $a \in A$ . Define  $T : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow A$  by  $T(\lambda) = \lambda u$ . One can easily verify that  $T \in CMH_A(\mathbb{C}, A)$ . So  $CMH_A(\mathbb{C}, A) \neq \emptyset$ .  $\square$

## REFERENCES

1. Z. Hu, M.S. Monfared and T. Traynor, *On character amenable Banach algebras*, Studia Math., 193 (2009), pp. 53-78.
2. E. Kaniuth, A.T.-M. Lau and J. Pym, *On character amenability of Banach algebras*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 344 (2008), pp. 942-955.
3. A.R. Khoddami, *On maps preserving strongly zero-products*, Chamchuri J. Math., 7 (2015), pp. 16-23.
4. A.R. Khoddami, *On strongly Jordan zero-product preserving maps*, Sahand Commun. Math. Anal., 3 (2016), pp. 53-61.
5. A.R. Khoddami, *Strongly zero-product preserving maps on normed algebras induced by a bounded linear functional*, Khayyam J. Math., 1 (2015), pp. 107-114.
6. A.R. Khoddami, *The second dual of strongly zero-product preserving maps*, Bull. Iran. Math. Soc., 43 (2017), pp. 1781-1790.
7. A.R. Khoddami and H.R. Ebrahimi Vishki, *The higher duals of a Banach algebra induced by a bounded linear functional*, Bull. Math. Anal. Appl., 3 (2011), pp. 118-122.
8. M.S. Monfared, *Character amenability of Banach algebras*, Math. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc., 144 (2008), pp. 697-706.

---

FACULTY OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, SHAHROOD UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY, P. O. BOX 3619995161-316, SHAHROOD, IRAN.

*E-mail address:* khoddami.alireza@shahroodut.ac.ir