



Interaction Diversity Maintains Resiliency in a Frequently Disturbed Ecosystem

Jane E. Dell^{1,2*}, Danielle M. Salcido¹, Will Lumpkin¹, Lora A. Richards¹, Scott M. Pokswinski³, E. Louise Loudermilk², Joseph J. O'Brien² and Lee A. Dyer¹

¹ Ecology, Evolution, and Conservation Biology, Department of Biology, University of Nevada, Reno, NV, United States, ² US Forest Service, Center for Forest Disturbance Science, Southern Research Center, Athens, GA, United States, ³ Wildland Fire Science Program, Tall Timbers Research Station, Tallahassee, FL, United States

Frequently disturbed ecosystems are characterized by resilience to ecological disturbances. Longleaf pine ecosystems are not only resilient to frequent fire disturbance, but this feature sustains biodiversity. We examined how fire frequency maintains beta diversity of multi-trophic interactions in longleaf pine ecosystems, as this community property provides a measure of functional redundancy of an ecosystem. We found that beta interaction diversity at small local scales is highest in the most frequently burned stands, conferring immediate resiliency to disturbance by fire. Interactions become more specialized and less resilient as fire frequency decreases. Local scale patterns of interaction diversity contribute to broader scale patterns and confer long-term ecosystem resiliency. Such natural disturbances are likely to be important for maintaining regional diversity of interactions for a broad range of ecosystems.

Keywords: interaction diversity, tri-trophic interaction, resilience, response diversity, scale-dependency, *Pinus palustris*, prescribed fire

INTRODUCTION

Disturbances are significant features of ecosystems, with frequency and intensity being important for shaping not only community composition, structure, and function but also serving as selective forces in the evolution of life history strategies, especially in disturbance-prone ecosystems (Sousa, 1984; Seidl et al., 2016). Resiliency and high beta diversity are critical features of many of these frequently disturbed ecosystems (Elmqvist et al., 2003; Larson et al., 2013). Regular disturbance events maintain a diverse and functional ecosystem state in disturbance-dependent systems and within this context, resiliency is defined as ecosystem recovery to pre-disturbance levels of factors such as diversity, population measures, and nutrient cycling immediately post-disturbance; i.e., persistence of an ecologically stable state (Peterson et al., 1998; Gunderson, 2000). Conversely, a disruption of the disturbance regime, such as reduced frequency, represents a transformational and longer-term perturbation where ecosystem structure and function shift and push such systems to unpredictable or unstable, alternative states (Beisner et al., 2003; Bowman et al., 2016). In this context, removal of disturbance erodes the basin of ecological stability or long-term resilience of a disturbance-adapted ecosystem.

Resiliency requires a minimum level of underlying species and functional diversities to allow for multiple pathways toward post-disturbance responses (Peterson et al., 1998; Seidl et al., 2016). The

OPEN ACCESS

Edited by:

Gina Marie Wimp, Georgetown University, United States

Reviewed by:

Francesco Pomati, Swiss Federal Institute of Aquatic Science and Technology, Switzerland Serena Rasconi, Wasser Cluster Lunz, Austria

> *Correspondence: Jane E. Dell jane.dell@nevada.unr.edu

Specialty section:

This article was submitted to Population and Evolutionary Dynamics, a section of the journal Frontiers in Ecology and Evolution

Received: 06 November 2018 Accepted: 15 April 2019 Published: 01 May 2019

Citation:

Dell JE, Salcido DM, Lumpkin W, Richards LA, Pokswinski SM, Loudermilk EL, O'Brien JJ and Dyer LA (2019) Interaction Diversity Maintains Resiliency in a Frequently Disturbed Ecosystem. Front. Ecol. Evol. 7:145. doi: 10.3389/fevo.2019.00145

1

return to pre-disturbance function due to functional redundancies provided by biological diversity is also known as response diversity (Figure 1). Elmqvist et al. (2003) define response diversity as the diversity of responses to disturbance among different assemblages of species that contribute to equivalent ecosystem functions. However, response diversity is not simply equivalent to species richness for different broad taxa or at different trophic levels, because ecological communities are comprised of species that interact in different functional ways. For instance, a broad diet breadth or shared basal resources provide functional redundancy and are indicative of response diversity and trophic network stability (Pilosof et al., 2017; Figure 1). As such, quantification of response diversity requires measured metrics such as interaction diversity, defined as the richness and relative abundance of species interactions in a community, in order to understand the effects of disturbance on the interactions between species (Dyer et al., 2010). Critical ecosystem functions, such as pollination, population control of herbivores by natural enemies, and seed dispersal are dependent upon a broad range of biotic interactions at small scales, the loss of which can precipitate species extinctions and loss of ecological function (Kremen et al., 2007; Valiente-Banuet et al., 2015; Figure 1). Therefore, it is also important to consider interaction diversity, as a primary contributor to ecosystem resilience and a critical component of response diversity. While species richness and potential interactions are necessarily positively correlated, diversity of species and diversity of interactions can have different effects on ecosystem function and stability (Pardikes et al., 2018). Like other diversity metrics, interaction diversity across the landscape has alpha, beta, and gamma components that can differ substantially from species diversity.

As with any metric exploring patterns of biodiversity, concepts of scale are necessary to consider when examining the causes and consequences of the richness and turnover of interacting species (Bowman et al., 2016; Giron et al., 2018). Patterns observed at larger scales represent the combined processes occurring at smaller scales, but it is not always clear how patterns at nested scales relate to one another. Species richness differs among local and regional scales (Rahbek and Graves, 2001; Witman et al., 2004) partly because regional and local diversity are shaped by different processes. For plant-insect networks, regional processes are affected more by large-scale evolutionary and historical factors, such as speciation, dispersal, extinction, and biogeographical history, while local processes include ecological effects such as, biotic interactions, resource availability, and disturbance. Furthermore, interaction networks are not static, and patterns in interaction diversity are unlikely to be constant across the landscape or at different spatial extents (Dáttilo et al., 2019). At smaller local scales, trait-distributions, environmental conditions and species abundance will affect the potential of two co-occurring species to interact (Poisot et al., 2015). Regionally, interaction diversity values can change substantially depending on the scale at which they are examined (Pardikes et al., 2018).

In this study we focused on trophic interactions between host plants, arthropod herbivores, and their parasitoid enemies in a frequently disturbed ecosystem across a large fire-adapted forest ecosystem. Disturbance by fire has been a part of terrestrial ecosystems since the Silurian Period and is an essential process for maintaining both ecosystem function and biological diversity in fire dependent ecosystems (Pausas and Keeley, 2009), such as the frequently burned longleaf pine (*Pinus palustris* Mill., Kirkman et al., 2004; O'Brien et al., 2008; Mitchell et al., 2009). In the absence of fire, competitive advantage is given to faster growing, non-fire dependent broadleaved vegetation, resulting in a closed canopy, extensive habitat degradation, and reductions in plant diversity (Mitchell et al., 2009; Noss et al., 2014). The removal of fire from the landscape initiates a shifting ecosystem trajectory where fire-adapted species are replaced by other species assemblages, yielding an alternative stable state (Beisner et al., 2003; Barrios et al., 2011).

Our primary objective was to quantify interaction diversity across a time since fire gradient, in order to assess the effect of longer fire return intervals on biotic community interactions and potential for resiliency in longleaf pine forests. We posit that resiliency will be greatest in ecosystems where there is functional redundancy, (i.e., high response diversity), and that this functional redundancy is greatest when levels of beta interaction diversity (for multiple scales) are maintained (Figure 1). Higher levels of turnover in interactions are indicative of increased ecological function (Lepesqueur et al., 2018) such that a reduction in beta diversity represents a homogenization of interactions which may reduce ecosystem function by affecting productivity, resilience to disturbance, and vulnerability to biological invasion (Balata et al., 2007; Dell et al., 2019). As frequent fire maintains high-levels of plant diversity and ecosystem function, we predict that large-scale interaction diversity will be higher in frequently burned stands than in stands with longer times since fire. Second, to understand the way interaction diversity varies with scale, we investigated how these patterns vary at both the small, plotlevel vs. broader, regional-level scales. Many understory plant species have a patchy distribution in longleaf pine because of fine-scale variation in fuel and fire heterogeneity (Menges and Hawkes, 1998; Dell et al., 2017), and diversity of these plants is best quantified at small spatial scales, therefore we expect that interaction diversity will also vary and patterns will change with increasing spatial scale. Due to the connectivity between these spatial scales any such local scale patterns of interaction diversity will contribute to broader scale patterns and confer long-term ecosystem resiliency for the region.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study Area

Research was conducted in longleaf pine forests across the Gulf Coastal Plain during 2013 to 2016. Sites included Eglin Air Force Base and Blackwater River State Forest located in the Florida panhandle and Solon Dixon Forestry Education Center and Conecuh National Forest in southern Alabama. The fire regime in longleaf pine ecosystems is characterized by highfrequency, low-intensity surface fires with return intervals of 1– 5 years (Mitchell et al., 2009). Numerous longleaf pine stands within the region are actively managed by prescribed fires with a target of an 18-month to 2-year fire return interval (Hiers et al., 2007). However, there exist stands within all management



interactions are calculated within each plot, β -diversity is calculated between plots. Focusing in on shared interactions between three individual plots illustrates the turnover of interactions between local plots (high β -diversity), this β -diversity summarizes variation in post-disturbance responses, which provides ecological resiliency.

areas that have not experienced burning for longer periods of time including up to several decades. Sampling includes both frequently burned and infrequently burned areas as well as an intermediate transitional state.

Field Collection

We established sixty-seven, 30-m diameter plots in forested stands that varied in the time since last disturbance by fire (**Figure 2**). Based on available fire history records and vegetative indicator species associated with known fire return intervals, plots were placed into a burn category; frequently burned (fire return interval (FRI): 1–5 years, n = 49), intermediately burned (FRI: 5–25 years, n = 9), and infrequently burned (FRI: >25 years, n = 9).

Throughout the entire growing season, Lepidopteran larvae were sampled within each plot using beat sheets and visual searches in a standardized format moving clockwise around the plot. Within each fire return interval type, we also generally collected caterpillars in stands similar in burn frequency and adjacent to standardized plots to further expand the trophic network within each fire return interval category. Caterpillars were reared out to adulthood or eclosion of a parasitoid. Host plant associations were based on the vegetation from which the caterpillars were collected and confirmed through feeding in the laboratory. Host plants and arthropods were identified to species or were assigned a morphospecies based on morphological characteristics, behavior, and host plant record following Wagner (2005). Sampled arthropods were deposited into the research collection at the University of Nevada, Reno Museum of Natural History.

Quantification of Diversity

Diversity was estimated for species and interactions at two scales; the plot-level or local scale and the broader, regionallevel scale. Interaction diversity was based on the richness and abundance of interactions between species, where richness is the number of unique interactions and abundance the total number of each interaction (Dyer et al., 2010; **Figure 3**). The frequencies of unique bipartite interactions were quantified between plants and caterpillars as well as between caterpillars and parasitoids. Additionally, the frequency of tri-trophic interactions between plants, caterpillars, and parasitoids were



FIGURE 2 | Reference map of Southeastern United States with study area highlighted in black rectangle. Within the study area, individual plot locations are indicated by colored dots corresponding to each of the three fire return intervals.

included to capture emergent properties on network structure (Dyer et al., 2010; Pilosof et al., 2017; Figure 3). The richness and associated frequencies of these interaction types were used to estimate interaction diversity. Alpha diversity of species and their interactions was calculated for each plot and summarized using mean alpha diversity to compare across each burn category. Local beta diversity was calculated as the turnover of species or interactions among plots within a fire return interval category. To obtain estimates of variance for local beta diversity within fire return interval categories, we randomly sampled plots within each category and calculated turnover among subsampled plots. We reiterated this process 100 times, each time taking a subsample of plots relative to the total number of plots within the fire return interval following Chao et al. (2008). Additionally, we estimated alpha and beta diversity at the regional scale. The regional level represents each fire return interval category, and regional networks utilized all data, including interactions recorded within a plot and data from generally collected species and interactions within each burn category over the entire range of the study. In other words, all of the interaction data collected from a region was synthesized into a single network matrix for that region (rather than creating a meta-network of smaller matrices). This regional approach, using all the interaction data from a large area is the traditional method for estimating networks (Dunne, 2006; Poisot et al., 2015), and it is in contrast to our fine-scale approach of constructing networks from individual plots. It should be noted that longleaf pine forests in this study may have frequently burned stands adjacent to infrequently burned stands, therefore our use of the term regional does not infer a singular spatial aggregation, but rather a broader character-state organization. All diversity estimates are reported as effective number of species or interactions using the inverse Simpson diversity index (1/D) and represent independent measures of alpha and beta following Jost (2007). Documented interactions were used to create and visualize trophic networks for all data and for each fire return interval category (**Figure S1**).

Statistical Analysis

To determine if interaction and species diversity across the burn gradient showed similar patterns within plots, we utilized analysis of variance, with fire return interval category as an independent variable, and with alpha and beta diversity parameters as response variables. We performed separate univariate ANOVAs for each diversity component at the local scale. *Post-hoc* analyses utilizing Tukey's test were performed to identify differences between fire return interval types for each diversity parameter.

To address unequal sampling efforts in terms of number of plots within each fire return interval category, we performed sample-based rarefaction for species and interaction richness. We also calculated non-parametric asymptotic estimators at equal sample coverage levels following Chao et al. (2014) to allow for community comparison across the fire return interval gradient. Discriminant function analyses were conducted to detect differences between species and interactions within fire return interval categories. All analyses were performed in R (v.3.2.3, R Core Team, 2013).

RESULTS

The collective sampling effort resulted in a trophic network between 64 host plant species, 183 caterpillar species, and 47 parasitoid species. Combined, there were 1,415 individual interactions between species comprised of 468 unique interactions. Sixty six percent of all interactions were detected only once, and only 2% of interactions occurred over 20 times. Within all fire return interval categories, the majority of herbivorous interactions tended to be between one caterpillar and one host plant species. However, some individual plant species were consumed by numerous herbivores and the percentage of caterpillars with more than two host plants had an inverse relationship with time since fire (Table 1). Parasitoid species tended to have a more specialized diet breadth, generally interacting with only a single host species. Each fire return interval category had certain plant species that were involved in a disproportionate number of interactions (Table 1). These highly connected species, such as the host plant Quercus laevis (turkey oak) connected to 24% of the entire network, are also referred to as network hubs (Figure S1). However, the overall connectivity of turkey oak within each fire return interval category decreased with time since fire, reducing from 24% connectivity in frequently burned networks to 12 and 7% in intermediate and infrequently burned networks, respectively.

Large Scale Patterns

Dividing the entire network into regions of similar fire return intervals: frequently, intermediately, and infrequently burned yielded variable patterns in both species and interaction diversity (**Table 2**, **Figure 4**). At this larger scale, species alpha diversity increased with longer fire return intervals. However, frequently burned areas had the greatest parasitoid and herbivore species diversity. Parasitoids made up 15% of species richness in



frequently burned areas while only 8% in the infrequently burned stands (**Table 2**). The diversity of interactions did not have a clear pattern across the burn gradient with frequent and infrequently burned regions having higher interaction diversity than intermediately burned regions. Beta diversity between the fire return interval regions was higher for interactions than for turnover in species (**Table 2**).

Small Scale Patterns

At the local level, alpha species diversity was significantly higher in intermediately burned plots than in frequently burned plots with infrequently burned plots not significantly different than either $[F_{(2.63)} = 6.48, P = 0.003;$ Figure 4A]. Beta species diversity was significantly higher in infrequently burned plots compared to intermediately burned plots but was not different compared to frequently burned plots $[F_{(2,297)} = 202.3,$ P < 0.001]. Interaction richness was greatest within infrequently burned plots, while alpha interaction diversity was significantly greater in intermediate burned plots than in frequently burned plots but did not differ between infrequent plots [F $_{(2.63)} = 5.03$, P = 0.01; Figure 4B]. In contrast, beta interaction diversity, or the turnover of interactions, was significantly higher in frequently burned plots, almost double the beta diversity of plots in intermediately and infrequently burned stands $[F_{(2,297)} = 820.6,$ P < 0.001].

Rarefaction analyses illustrated that richness of both species and interactions was highest within infrequently burned plots as compared to intermediately and frequently burned plots (Figure S2). Comparing Chao's asymptotic estimates of species richness at an equal level of coverage of 20 samples, the most species were found within infrequently burned plots (Chao1_{infrequent} = 149) followed by intermediate (Chao1_{intermediate} = 89), and frequently burned plots (Chao1_{frequent} = 78). Interaction richness was also highest in infrequently burned plots compared to intermediately and frequently burned plots in both rarefaction compared at equal sampling effort and comparison of Chao's asymptotic estimates of interaction richness ($Chao1_{frequent} = 75$, $Chao1_{intermediate} = 110$, and $Chao1_{infrequent} = 172$). Compositional differences between burn interval categories was confirmed through the discriminant function analysis where the first discriminant function explained 99% of the variance and differentiated interactions and species in frequently burned forests from intermediate and infrequently burned forests, with an opposite relationship at the local (species: b = 0.75; interactions: b = 0.83) and regional (species: b = -0.72; interactions: b = -0.64) scale.

DISCUSSION

We found that the relationship between fire return interval and biodiversity was scale dependent for both species and interactions, as measured by richness, and both alpha and beta diversity components (**Table 2**). Frequently burned stands were more diverse at a regional-level scale in species and interaction richness as well as interaction alpha diversity. However, these

Frequent		Intermediate		Infrequent		
Plant Connectivity (%)		Plant	Connectivity (%)	Plant	Connectivity (%)	
Quercus laevis	24	Quercus laevis	12	Vaccinium arboreum	7	
Diospyros virginiana	12	Quercus marilandica	10	llex vomitoria	6	
Quercus incana	8	Quercus margaretta	9	Quercus laevis	6	
Smilax auriculata 4 Ilex vomitoria		llex vomitoria	6	Vitis rotundifolia	6	
Vaccinium arboreum	4	Quercus incana	5	Vaccinium stamineum	5	
Herbivore	Connectivity (%)	Herbivore	Connectivity (%)	Herbivore	Connectivity (%)	
Gelechiidae 8	3	Gelechiidae 3	5	Hypeagyris esther	3	
Hyperstrovia flaviguttata	3	Gelechiidae 10	4	Noctuidae 5	3	
Gelechiidae3	3	Anisota stigma	3	Geometridae 22	3	
Erebidae1	2	Megalopyge crispate	2	Thysanopyga intractata	2	
Noctuidae5	loctuidae5 1		Hyperstrovia flaviguttata 2 Gelechiidae 4		2	
Host plants per species		Host plants per species		Host plants per species		
1 70%		1 80%		1 72%		
2 10%		2 11%		2 22%		
>2 20%		>2 9%		>2 6%		

TABLE 1 | Relative connectivity of the most linked plant and herbivore species in each tri-trophic network within each fire return interval category.

Individual species (i.e., node) connectivity is measured as the percentage of total network links connected to the node in the network. As a summary of diet breadth for each fire return interval category, the percentage of herbivore species that consume 1, 2, or >2 host plants are reported.

TABLE 2 Diversity measures for both species and interactions	s calculated at the regional and local scales for each fire r	return interval category.
--	---	---------------------------

Scale	Fire return interval	Richness [P/H/E]	α	β	Richness	α	β
Regional	Frequent	170 [37/108/25]	14.11		245	29.83	
	Intermediate	115 [30/67/18]	12.28	2.11	143	8.93	2.80
	Infrequent	145 [38/95/12]	28.18		158	20.44	
Local	Frequent	6.84 ^a (0.59) [2.31/4.04/0.5]	4.39 ^a (0.29)	5.42 ^b (0.63)	5.44 ^a (0.54)	3.97 ^a (0.35)	15.29 ^b (1.39)
	Intermediate Infrequent	9.33 ^{ab} (1.45) [3.11/5.22/0.8] 13.57 ^b (2.31) [3.89/6.11/1.0]	7.26 ^b (1.20) 6.63 ^{ab} (1.37)	4.27 ^a (0.38) 5.57 ^b (0.88)	7.55 ^{ab} (1.39) 11.29 ^b (2.61)	7.13 ^b (1.32) 5.57 ^{ab} (1.45)	8.04 ^a (0.44) 9.00 ^a (0.21)

Alpha and beta diversity components were estimated using inverse Simpson's index (1/D). Variance around local beta values estimated by bootstrapping. The regional scale represents each fire return interval category, and regional networks utilized all data, including interactions recorded within a plot and data from generally collected species and interactions within each burn category over the entire range of the study. Bracketed values in species richness represent plants (P), herbivores (H), and parasitoids (E), respectively, with local levels indicating mean plot values. All other local level means are reported (±SE). Letters denote significant differences between local fire return interval categories based on Tukey's test at P < 0.05.

patterns were not consistent when scaling down to the local, plot-level scale. The higher levels of richness among species and interactions, and the higher alpha interaction diversity at local scales in infrequently and intermediately burned stands appeared to be driven by rare species and specialized, single interactions. Shrubby growth forms of hardwood species in longleaf pine forests are maintained by frequent fire, so as fire is removed from the landscape, these species grow and eventually close out the canopy (Hiers et al., 2007). This leads to a depauperate understory of shade tolerant and fire-sensitive plants (Kirkman et al., 2004; Mitchell et al., 2006). As these key plant species are removed due to lack of fire, the increase of fire-sensitive species promotes new interactions.

Frequently burned stands in our study area have more open canopies (Dell et al., 2017), and the characteristic vegetation and associated specialist consumers within more closed canopy stands are not found outside of areas that have not burned in decades as indicated by the segregation of species along the fire return interval gradient. While the assemblages of plants, herbivores, and parasitoids occurring in infrequently burned stands and are characterized by higher richness in comparison to plots that burn more often, interactions between these species are constrained at the local scale. Thus, the lower levels of interaction beta diversity within infrequently burned plots are indicative of the same specialized interactions occurring in individual plots which results in reduced variation in response to disturbance.

One of the most interesting patterns of diversity in the longleaf pine system was the high beta diversity of interactions in frequently burned plots compared to other plots. Lower species richness in frequently burned stands might usually predict similar assemblages in any given plot at the local scale, but this was not the case. While species and interaction richness



FIGURE 4 | (A) Species diversity components and (B) interaction diversity components calculated from frequently, intermediately, and infrequently burned sites at hierarchical spatial extents. The broader, regional-level alpha diversity (top panel) represents diversity calculated at each fire return interval category. Our use of the term regional does not infer a singular spatial aggregation, but rather a broader level organization. Local level alpha diversity (middle panel) and beta diversity (bottom panel) represent diversity components calculated at the plot level. Solid lines indicate median value and points connected to dashed lines indicate mean value within local level panels. Letters denote significant differences between local fire return interval categories based on Tukey's test at P < 0.05. Diversity values are reported in (1/D).

were lower than in plots without fire, the increased turnover of interactions between plots reveals that stands that burn more often harbor slightly more generalized consumers, an attribute that confers greater potential resiliency to disturbance with increased response diversity (Peterson et al., 1998; Elmqvist et al., 2003). Fire maintains high response diversity by keeping the ecosystem in a state dominated by longleaf pine and a species-rich, fire-adapted ground cover. In frequently burned forests 20% of the herbivores had a more generalized diet breadth (i.e., > 2 host plant species, Table 1), which provides functional redundancy. In this case, the decreased local alpha diversity can facilitate increased local beta diversity (Chase and Myers, 2011), contributing to greater gamma or regional interaction diversity in frequently burned forests-supporting our predictions of frequent fire positively affecting interaction diversity and varying across scale. Focusing in on shared interactions between individual plots illustrates the turnover of interactions between local plots (high β -diversity), this β diversity summarizes variation in post-disturbance responses regionally, which provides the potential for ecological resiliency.

Furthermore, redundant interactions that may be interchangeable can contribute to sustained ecosystem function (Valiente-Banuet et al., 2015). Higher interaction beta diversity and lower species richness suggest redundant interactions via a rewiring of interacting species in frequently burned forests and may confer resiliency by way of maintenance of ecological function (Lepesqueur et al., 2018). This high degree of interaction turnover may provide an advantage to species adapted to frequently disturbed longleaf pine ecosystem. For example, more generalized diet breadth can be beneficial for individuals post-fire when there is high variability in local plant species composition (García et al., 2016). Response diversity

depends on examining multiple spatiotemporal scales to assess full resiliency potential, which may not be evident if only one scale is examined. Regional or ecosystem-level networks represent an aggregation of numerous snapshots in space and time. Thus, there are dynamic processes occurring over time in networks of realized interactions that are not captured in our static presentation of trophic networks in this system. However, application of a multilayer network perspective allows for associative connections between individual plots (single layer) and the larger scale (multiple layers) by way of shared species and interactions (Pilosof et al., 2017). Therefore, the information we gain by analyzing diversity of interactions are still informative for assessing the impact of fire return interval on the biotic communities. Specifically, contributions to both immediate and long-term resiliencies are found at local and regional-level scales, respectfully.

The relative ecological importance of connectivity in these longleaf networks becomes more apparent when focusing on dynamics of individual species or management of particular species. The relative connectivity of highly connected species, or hubs, has an inverse relationship with fire return interval (Table 1). For example, turkey oak (Quercus laevis), a host plant to many herbivores, was represented in 24% of all network links in frequently burned forests compared to only 12 and 6% in intermediate and infrequently burned forests, respectively. While highly connected networks are more resilient to perturbation, the loss of highly connected species would have significant impact on the remaining network and in simulations, eventually leads to network collapse (Bascompte and Jordano, 2014). Therefore, maintenance of hub species is an important management consideration. Removal of turkey oak has often been the inappropriate target of intense management in longleaf pine ecosystem (Hiers et al., 2014; Loudermilk et al., 2016). However, our results highlight that the important contributions of turkey oak to functioning networks in longleaf pine forests.

CONCLUSION

Disturbances, including natural perturbations such as fire, insect outbreaks, and hurricanes, increase habitat heterogeneity which in turn increases the realization of interactions locally and regionally. Variation in interactions is a consequence of varying species abundances, trait distributions and local environmental conditions across the landscape due to variation in disturbance frequency, intensity, duration, and extent (Bowman et al., 2016). Understanding patterns of interaction diversity within disturbance-dependent networks requires carefully collected data at the appropriate scale at which interactions occur, as well as relevant positions along the disturbance gradient. No biological network is static, and large published webs that are assembled from species inventories (e.g., Bascompte and Jordano, 2014) or that examine interactions over large gradients (e.g., Dyer et al., 2007; Forister et al., 2015) are misleading in many ways because identities of interactions often vary across the landscape (Fox and Morrow, 1981; Dáttilo et al., 2019). These metawebs (sensu Dunne, 2006) represent potential interactions within the regional species pool. At finer scales, such as those examined in our longleaf pine plots, networks are comprised of realized interactions. At these local scales, environmental conditions, community composition, and phenologies differ (Chase and Myers, 2011; Garzon-Lopez et al., 2014; Poisot et al., 2015), and the large static network of potential interactions does not exist. Local scale patterns are particularly important in the longleaf pine ecosystem because fine scale heterogeneity in soils, fuels, fire, and dispersal affect plant diversity and community assembly processes (Dell et al., 2017). The processes maintaining assemblages of species and

REFERENCES

- Balata, D., Piazzi, L., and Benedetti-Cecchi, L. (2007). Sediment disturbance and loss of beta diversity on subtidal rocky reefs. *Ecology* 88, 2455–2461. doi: 10.1890/07-0053.1
- Barrios, B., Arellano, G., and Koptur, S. (2011). The effects of fire and fragmentation on occurrence and flowering of a rare perennial plant. *Plant. Ecol.* 212, 1057–1067. doi: 10.1007/s11258-010-9886-7
- Bascompte, J., and Jordano, P. (2014). *Mutualistic Networks*. Princeton: Princeton University Press.
- Beisner, B. E., Haydon, D. T., and Cuddington, K. (2003). Alternative stable states in ecology. Front. Ecol. Environ. 1, 376–382. doi: 10.1890/1540-9295(2003)001[0376:ASSIE]2.0.CO;2
- Bowman, D. M. J. S., Perry, G. L. W., Higgins, S. I., Johnson, C. N., Fuhlendorf, S. D., and Murphy, B. P. (2016). Pyrodiversity is the coupling of biodiversity and fire regimes in food webs. *Phil. Trans. R. Soc. B* 371:20150169. doi: 10.1098/rstb.2015.0169
- Chao, A., Gotelli, N. J., Hsieh, T. C., Sander, E. L., Ma, K. H., Colwell, R. K., et al. (2014). Rarefaction and extrapolation with Hill numbers: a framework for sampling and estimation in species diversity studies. *Ecol. Monogr.* 84, 45–67. doi: 10.1890/13-0133.1

interactions within longleaf pine networks may be deterministic and niche-based at larger scales (entire ecosystems across the landscape), neutral or stochastic at small scales (1- 10 m^2 patches; Dell, 2018), or governed by both niche and neutral processes as described by the continuum hypothesis (Gravel et al., 2006), presenting an exciting opportunity for future research.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

LD and LR designed the study. JD, DS, LR, SP, EL, JO, and LD collected data. JD, DS, and WL analyzed data with LD's input. JD, DS, and LD wrote the manuscript and all authors contributed to revisions.

FUNDING

This work was made possible through funding by the Strategic Environmental Research and Development Program of the Department of Defense (RC-2243) and the Earthwatch Institute. LD and LR were also supported by DEB-1442103. The funding agencies have no involvement in this study nor publication process.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We would like to thank the dedicated volunteers from the Earthwatch Institute for assistance with caterpillar collection in the field and rearing in the laboratory.

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fevo. 2019.00145/full#supplementary-material

- Chao, A., Jost, L., Chiang, S. C., Jiang, Y.-H., and Chazdon, R. L. (2008). A two-stage probabilistic approach to multiple-community similarity indices. *Biometrics* 64, 1178–1186. doi: 10.1111/j.1541-0420.2008. 01010.x
- Chase, J. M., and Myers, J. A. (2011). Disentangling the importance of ecological niches from stochastic processes across scales. *Phil. Trans. R. Soc. B* 366, 2351–2363. doi: 10.1098/rstb.2011.0063
- Dáttilo, W., Vizentin-Bugoni, J., Debastiani, V. J., Jordano, P., and Izzo, T. J. (2019). The influence of spatial sampling scales on ant-plant interaction network architecture. J. Anim. Ecol. 1–12. doi: 10.1111/1365-2656.12978
- Dell, J. E. (2018). Untangling Patterns of Plant and Arthropod Diversity in A Fire-Adapted Ecosystem: Dynamic Relationships Between Fire, Scale, and Trophic Interactions in Longleaf Pine Forests. Doctoral Dissertation. Reno, NV: University of Nevada, Reno.
- Dell, J. E., Pokswinski, S. P., Richards, L. A., Hiers, J. K., Williams, B. W., O'Brien, J. J., et al. (2019). Maximizing the monitoring of diversity for management activities: Additive partitioning of plant species diversity across a frequently burned ecosystem. *Forest Ecol. Manag.* 432, 409–414. doi: 10.1016/j.foreco.2018. 09.022

- Dell, J. E., Richards, L. A., O'Brien, J. J., Loudermilk, E. L., Hudak, A. T., Pokswinski, S. M., et al. (2017). Overstory-derived surface fuels mediate plant species diversity in frequently burned longleaf pine forests. *Ecosphere* 8:e01964. doi: 10.1002/ecs2.1964
- Dunne, J. A. (2006). "The network structure of food webs" in *Ecological Networks: Linking Structure to Dynamics in Food Webs*, eds. M. Pascual and J.A Dunne. (Oxford: Oxford University Press), 27–86.
- Dyer, L. A., Singer, M. S., Lill, J. T., Stireman III., J. O., Gentry, G. L., et al. (2007). Host specificity of Lepidoptera in tropical and temperate forests. *Nature* 448, 696–699. doi: 10.1038/nature05884
- Dyer, L. A., Walla, T. R., Greeney, H. F., Stireman, I. I. I., J. O., and Hazen, R. F. (2010). Diversity of interactions: a metric for studies of biodiversity. *Biotropica* 42, 281–289. doi: 10.1111/j.1744-7429.2009.00624.x
- Elmqvist, T., Folke, C., Nystrom, M., Peterson, G., Bengtsson, J., Walker, B., et al. (2003). Response diversity, ecosystem change, and resilience. *Front. Ecol. Environ.* 1, 488–494. doi: 10.1890/1540-9295(2003)001[0488:RDECAR]2. 0.CO;2
- Forister, M. L., Novotny, V., Panorska, A. K., Baje, L., Basset, Y., Butterill, P. T., et al. (2015). Global distribution of diet breadth in insect herbivores. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA*. 112, 442–447. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1423042112
- Fox, L. A., and Morrow, P. A. (1981). Specialization: species property or local phenomenon? *Science* 211, 887–893.
- García, Y., Castellanos, M. C., and Pausas, J. G. (2016). Fires can benefit plants by disrupting antagonistic interactions. *Oecologia* 182, 1165–1173. doi: 10.1007/s00442-016-3733-z
- Garzon-Lopez, C. X., Jansen, P. A., Bohlman, S. A., Ordonez, A., and Olff, H. (2014). Effects of sampling scale on patterns of habitat association in tropical trees. J. Veg. Sci. 25, 349–362. doi: 10.1111/jvs.12090
- Giron, D., Dubreuil, G., Bennett, A., Dedeine, F., Dicke, M., Dyer, L. A., et al. (2018). Promises and challenges in insect-plant interactions. *Entomol. Exp. et Appl.* 166, 319–343. doi: 10.1111/eea.12679
- Gravel, D., Canham, C. D., Beaudet, M., and Messier, C. (2006). Reconciling niche and neutrality: the continuum hypothesis. *Ecol. Lett.* 9, 399–409. doi: 10.1111/j.1461-0248.2006.00884.x
- Gunderson, L. (2000). Ecological resilience–in theory and application. *Annu. Rev. Ecol. Syst.*, 31, 425–439. doi: 10.1146/annurev.ecolsys.31.1.425
- Hiers, J. K., O'Brien, J. J., Will, R. E., and Mitchell, R. J. (2007). Forest floor depth mediates understory vigor in xeric Pinus paulustris ecosystems. *Ecol. Appl.* 17, 806–814. doi: 10.1890/06-1015
- Hiers, J. K., Walters, J. R., Mitchell, R. J., Varner, J. M., Conner, L. M., Blanc, L. A., et al. (2014). Ecological value of retaining pyrophytic oaks in longleaf pine ecosystems. *J. Wildl. Manage.* 78, 383–393. doi: 10.1002/jwmg.676
- Jost, L. (2007). Partitioning diversity into independent alpha and beta components. *Ecology* 88, 2427–2439. doi: 10.1890/06-1736.1
- Kirkman, L. K., Goebel, C. P., and Palik, B. J. (2004). Predicting plant species diversity in a longleaf pine landscape. *Ecoscience* 11, 80–93. doi: 10.1080/11956860.2004.11682812
- Kremen, C., Williams, N. M., Aizen, M. A., Gemmill-Herren, B., LeBuhn, G., Minckley, R., et al. (2007). Pollination and other ecosystem services produced by mobile organisms: a conceptual framework for the effects of land-use change. *Ecol. Lett.* 10, 299–314. doi: 10.1111/j.1461-0248.2007. 01018.x
- Larson, A. J., Belote, R. T., Cansler, A., Parks, S. A., and Dietz, M. S. (2013). Latent resilience in ponderosa pine forest: effects of resumed frequent fire. *Ecol. Appl.* 23, 1243–1249. doi: 10.1890/13-0066.1
- Lepesqueur, C., Scherrer, S., Vieira, M. C., Almeida-Neto, M., Salcido, D. M., Dyer, L. A., et al. (2018). Changing interactions among persistent species as the major driver of seasonal turnover in plant-caterpillar interactions. *PLoS ONE* 13:e0203164. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone. 0203164
- Loudermilk, E. L., Hiers, J. K., Pokswinski, S., O'Brien, J. J., Barnett, A., and Mitchell, R. J. (2016). The path back: Oaks (*Quercus spp.*) facilitate longleaf pine (*Pinus palustris*) seedling establishment in xeric sites. *Ecosphere* 7:e01361. doi: 10.1002/ecs 2.1361

- Menges, E. S., and Hawkes, C. V. (1998). Interactive effects of fire and microhabitat on plants of Florida scrub. *Ecol. Appl.* 8, 935–946. doi: 10.1890/1051-0761(1998)008[0935:IEOFAM]2.0.CO;2
- Mitchell, R. J., Hiers, J. K., O'Brien, J., and Starr, G. (2009). Ecological forestry in the southeast: understanding the ecology of fuels. *J. Forest.* 107, 391–397.
- Mitchell, R. J., Hiers, J. K., O'Brien, J. J., Jack, S. B., and Engstrom, R. T. (2006). Silviculture that sustains: the nexus between silviculture, frequent prescribed fire, and conservation of biodiversity in longleaf pine forests of the southeastern United States. *Can. J. Forest Res.* 36, 2724–2736. doi: 10.1139/x06-100
- Noss, R. F., Platt, W. J., Sorrie, B. A., Weakley, A. S., Means, D. B., Costanza, J., et al. (2014). How global biodiversity hotspots may go unrecognized: Lessons from the North American Coastal Plain. *Divers. Distrib.* 21: 236–244. doi: 10.1111/ddi.12278
- O'Brien, J. J., Hiers, J. K., Callaham, M. A., Mitchell, R. J., and Jack, S. B. (2008). Interactions among overstory structure, seedling life history traits and fire in frequently burned neotropical pine forests. *Ambio* 37, 542–547. doi: 10.1579/0044-7447-37.7.542
- Pardikes, N., Lumpkin, W., Hurtado, P. J., and Dyer, L. A. (2018). Simulated tri-trophic networks reveal complex relationships between species diversity and interaction diversity. *PLoS One*, 13:e0193822. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0193822
- Pausas, J. G., and Keeley, J. E. (2009). A burning story: the role of fire in the history of life. *BioScience* 59, 593–601. doi: 10.1525/bio.2009.59.7.10
- Peterson, G., Allen, C., and Holling, C. (1998). Ecological resilience, biodiversity, and scale. *Ecosystems* 1, 6–18. doi: 10.1007/s100219900002
- Pilosof, S., Porter, M. A., Pascual, M., and Kefi, S. (2017). The multilayer nature of ecological networks. *Nat. Ecol. Evol.* 1, 1–9. doi: 10.1038/s41559-017-0101
- Poisot, T., Stouffer, D. B., and Gravel, D. (2015). Beyond species: why ecological interaction networks vary through space and time. *Oikos* 124. 243–251. doi: 10.1111/oik.01719
- R Core Team (2013). R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing. Available online at: http://www.R-project.org/ (accessed April 27, 2018).
- Rahbek, C., and Graves, G. R. (2001). Multiscale assessment of patterns of avian species richness. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 98, 4534–4539. doi: 10.1073/pnas.071034898
- Seidl, R., Spies, T. A., Peterson, D. L., Stephens, S. L., Hicke, J. A., and Angeler, D. (2016). REVIEW: Searching for resilience: addressing the impacts of changing disturbance regimes on forest ecosystem services. J. Appl. Ecol. 53, 120–129. doi: 10.1111/1365-2664.12511
- Sousa, W. P. (1984). The role of disturbance in natural communities. Annu. Rev. Ecol. Syst. 15, 353–391. doi: 10.1146/annurev.es.15.110184.002033
- Valiente-Banuet, A., Aizen, M. A., Alcántara, J. M., Arroyo, J., Cocucci, A., Galetti, M., et al. (2015). Beyond species loss: the extinction of ecological interactions in a changing world. *Funct. Ecol.* 29, 299–307. doi: 10.1111/1365-2435.12356
- Wagner, D. L. (2005). Caterpillars of Eastern North America. Princeton: Princeton University Press.
- Witman, J. D., Etter, R. J., and Smith, F. (2004). The relationship between regional and local species diversity in marine benthic communities: a global perspective. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 101, 15664–15669. doi: 10.1073/pnas.0404300101

Conflict of Interest Statement: The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

The handling editor is currently co-organizing a Research Topic with one of the authors, LR, and confirms the absence of any other collaboration.

Copyright © 2019 Dell, Salcido, Lumpkin, Richards, Pokswinski, Loudermilk, O'Brien and Dyer. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.