



THE UNIVERSITY *of* EDINBURGH

Edinburgh Research Explorer

Obesity and incidence of diabetes: Effect of absence of metabolic syndrome, insulin resistance, inflammation and fatty liver

Citation for published version:

Sung, K-C, Lee, M-Y, Kim, Y-H, Huh, J-H, Kim, J-Y, Wild, S & Byrne, CD 2018, 'Obesity and incidence of diabetes: Effect of absence of metabolic syndrome, insulin resistance, inflammation and fatty liver' *Atherosclerosis*, vol. 275. DOI: 10.1016/j.atherosclerosis.2018.05.042

Digital Object Identifier (DOI):

[10.1016/j.atherosclerosis.2018.05.042](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atherosclerosis.2018.05.042)

Link:

[Link to publication record in Edinburgh Research Explorer](#)

Document Version:

Peer reviewed version

Published In:

Atherosclerosis

General rights

Copyright for the publications made accessible via the Edinburgh Research Explorer is retained by the author(s) and / or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing these publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

Take down policy

The University of Edinburgh has made every reasonable effort to ensure that Edinburgh Research Explorer content complies with UK legislation. If you believe that the public display of this file breaches copyright please contact openaccess@ed.ac.uk providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.



1 **Obesity and incidence of diabetes: Effect of absence of metabolic syndrome, insulin**
2 **resistance, inflammation and fatty liver**

3
4 Ki-Chul Sung ^{a,*}, Mi Yeon Lee ^b, Young-Hwan Kim ^c, Ji- Hye Huh ^d, Jang-Young Kim ^d, Sarah
5 H Wild ^e, Christopher D Byrne ^{f,g,*}
6

7 ^aDivision of Cardiology, Department of Internal Medicine, Kangbuk Samsung Hospital,
8 Sungkyunkwan University School of Medicine, Seoul, Republic of Korea

9 ^bDivision of Biostatistics, Department of R&D Management, Kangbuk Samsung Hospital,
10 Sungkyunkwan University School of Medicine, Seoul, Republic of Korea

11 ^cDepartment of Nuclear Medicine, Kangbuk Samsung Hospital, Sungkyunkwan University
12 School of Medicine, Seoul, Republic of Korea

13 ^dDepartment of Internal Medicine, Yonsei University Wonju College of Medicine, Wonju,
14 Republic of Korea

15 ^eCentre for Population Health Sciences, Lothian Place University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh,
16 Scotland

17 ^fEndocrinology and Metabolism Unit, IDS Building, Southampton General Hospital,
18 University of Southampton, MP 887, Southampton, UK

19 ^gSouthampton National Institute for Health Research, Biomedical Research Centre,
20 Southampton General Hospital, Southampton, UK
21

22 ^{*}These authors contributed equally to this study as corresponding author.
23

24 **Short title:** Metabolically Healthy Obesity and Incident Diabetes
25

26 *** Corresponding authors:**

27 **Ki-Chul Sung**, Division of Cardiology, Department of Internal Medicine, Kangbuk Samsung

28 Hospital, Sungkyunkwan University School of Medicine, 29 Saemunan-ro, Jongno-gu, Seoul

29 03181, Republic of Korea

30 Tel: +82-2-2001-2001; Fax: +82-2-2001-2400; E-mail: kcmd.sung@samsung.com

31 Or

32 **Christopher D Byrne**, Endocrinology and Metabolism Unit, IDS Building, Southampton

33 General Hospital, University of Southampton, MP 887, Tremona Road, Southampton, UK.

34 SO166YD

35 E-mail: c.d.byrne@soton.ac.uk

36

37 **Abbreviations**

38 BMI: body mass index; CIs: confidence intervals; CVD: cardiovascular disease; HDL-C: high-

39 density lipoprotein cholesterol; HOMA-IR: homeostatic model assessment-Insulin resistance;

40 HRs: hazard ratios; IR: insulin resistance; LDL-C: low-density lipoprotein cholesterol; MetS:

41 metabolic syndrome; MHO: metabolically healthy obesity; NAFLD: non-alcoholic fatty liver

42 disease; SD: standard deviation; T2DM: type 2 diabetes.

43 **ABSTRACT**

44 *Background and aims:* Obesity is frequently associated with non-alcoholic fatty liver disease
45 (NAFLD), insulin resistance (IR), inflammation and metabolic syndrome (MetS) all of which
46 increase risk of type 2 diabetes (T2DM). However, the role of these risk factors in mediating
47 the effect of obesity remains unclear. We investigated the association between obesity and
48 T2DM in the absence and presence of NAFLD, IR, inflammation and MetS components.

49 *Methods:* 29,836 obese people without diabetes were studied in a Korean health screening
50 program. Obesity was defined by the appropriate ethnic-specific body mass index (BMI)
51 threshold $\geq 25\text{kg/m}^2$. Hazard ratios (HRs and 95% confidence Intervals, CIs) for incident T2DM
52 were estimated for the group with none of hypertension, dyslipidemia, impaired fasting glucose,
53 fatty liver, IR, or inflammation ($n = 1,717$), compared to the reference group, with one or more
54 of these factors ($n = 19,757$).

55 *Results:* Mean (SD) age at baseline was 37 (7) years and 1,200 incident cases of diabetes
56 occurred. Crude T2D incidence was 12.6 /10,000 person-years in the group without metabolic
57 abnormality and was 143/10,000 person-years in the reference group. HR (95% CIs) for
58 incident diabetes was 0.13 (0.06, 0.33) in the group without metabolic abnormality.

59 *Conclusions:* Obese subjects without components of the metabolic syndrome, IR, fatty liver
60 and inflammation have an approximately 11 fold lower risk of incident type 2 diabetes than
61 obese subjects **who have** these risk factors. These simple factors could be used to target limited
62 resources at high risk obese subjects in the prevention of diabetes.

63

64 *Keywords:* Obesity; Non alcoholic fatty liver disease; Type 2 diabetes; Insulin resistance;
65 Inflammation; Metabolic syndrome

66 **1. Introduction**

67

68 The prevalence of type 2 diabetes (T2DM) continues to increase across the world [1-3]
69 and obesity is an **important** risk factor for T2DM. Non alcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD)
70 and metabolic syndrome (MetS) are very common in obese individuals and also in subjects with
71 T2DM) [4] and we have previously shown that approximately 90% of people who develop
72 T2DM over ~5 years of follow up have one or more of obesity, insulin resistance and NAFLD
73 [5]. Current population-based estimates of prevalence of NAFLD are approximately 30-40% in
74 men and 15-20% in women [6], and in T2DM prevalence is as high as 70% [7]. The presence
75 of NAFLD is associated with increased risk of T2DM in the majority of studies [5,8-17].
76 However, in these studies relative risk of T2DM varied markedly from a relatively small 64%
77 increase [15], to a large 5.5 fold increase in risk [9]. This wide inter-study variation in risk of
78 incident T2DM, suggests that variation in other risk factors associated with NAFLD, such as
79 obesity, MetS, insulin resistance and inflammation, may be accounting for the marked
80 differences in risk of T2DM between these studies. Consequently, it is important to know how
81 obesity, with and without commonly associated risk factors such as NAFLD, inflammation,
82 MetS and insulin resistance, influences risk of T2DM.

83 Metabolically healthy obesity (MHO) is a term that has been used to define a group of
84 obese individuals who do not also have metabolic abnormalities although some studies have
85 still shown that subjects with MHO remain at higher risk of T2DM and cardiovascular disease
86 (CVD) than non-obese individuals [18-20]. Indeed, the variable risk of diabetes in MHO
87 subjects, may be explained by the different definitions that have been used to define MHO.
88 Previously, exclusion of MetS components, but not NAFLD, has been used to define MHO [21],
89 and therefore it is not clear whether assessment of NAFLD status could contribute to a clinically

90 useful, pragmatic definition of MHO, that could be used to identify obese subjects who are at
91 low risk of developing diabetes.

92 In a large, well phenotyped obese cohort, our aim was to investigate incidence and risk of
93 T2DM in obese subjects with and without, fatty liver, inflammation, MetS components and
94 insulin resistance.

95

96 **2. Materials and Methods**

97

98 The study population consisted of individuals who participated in a comprehensive health
99 screening program, at least twice, at Kangbuk Samsung Hospital, Seoul and Suwon, Korea from
100 2007 to 2014 ($n = 219,417$). Among these subjects, we excluded subjects with missing body
101 mass index (BMI) data $n = 7$, non obese subjects, $n = 157,478$ (normal weight $n = 95,408$,
102 underweight $n = 10,717$, overweight $n = 51,282$). We also excluded subjects aged < 20 years
103 ($n = 54$), and subjects with heart disease, or stroke, subjects taking medication for stroke or
104 hyperlipidemia ($n = 17,272$), subjects with diabetes ($n = 7,505$), hypertension ($n = 27,454$),
105 history of cancer ($n = 3,599$) or with relevant missing data ($n = 83$) (N.B some subjects were
106 excluded for having more than one exclusion criterion).

107 Thus, we identified 29,836 obese subjects who were included in this analysis and the
108 mean \pm SD [and median (IQR)] follow up period was 3.9 \pm 2.0 years, [3.8 (2.0-5.8)] years. The
109 study was approved by the Institutional Review Board of Kangbuk Samsung Hospital and any
110 requirement for informed consent was waived by the Board because de-identified information
111 was retrieved retrospectively.

112

113 *2.1. Measurements*

114 As part of the health screening program, individuals completed self-administered

115 questionnaires, related to their medical and social history and medication usage. Individuals
116 were asked about duration of education (years), regular exercise, smoking history (never,
117 former, or current) and alcohol consumption (grams, g/week). Trained staff also collected
118 anthropometric measurements and vital statistics. Body weight was measured in light clothing
119 with no shoes to the nearest 0.1 kilogram using a digital scale. Height was measured to the
120 nearest 0.1 centimeter. BMI was calculated as weight in kilograms divided by height in meters
121 squared.

122 Blood samples were collected after at least 10-hours of fasting and samples were analyzed
123 in the core clinical laboratory at the Kangbuk Samsung Hospital. The core clinical laboratory
124 has been accredited and participates annually in inspections and surveys by the Korean
125 Association of Quality Assurance for Clinical Laboratories. Serum levels of glucose, total
126 cholesterol, triglycerides, low-density lipoprotein cholesterol (LDL-C), and high-density
127 lipoprotein cholesterol (HDL-C) were measured using Bayer Reagent Packs (Bayer
128 Diagnostics, Leverkusen, Germany) on an automated chemistry analyzer (Advia 1650
129 Autoanalyzer; Bayer Diagnostics, Leverkusen, Germany). Insulin was measured with an
130 immunoradiometric assay (Biosource, Nivelles, Belgium) and insulin resistance was defined by
131 a HOMA-IR \geq 2.5. MetS was defined according to the Joint Societies 2009 criteria for MetS
132 [22]. We defined obesity in this Asian population by a BMI \geq 25(kg/m²). High sensitivity-C
133 reactive protein (hsCRP) was analysed by particle-enhanced immunonephelometry with the
134 BNIIITM System (Dade Behring, Marburg, Germany) with a lower detection limit of 0.1 mg/L.
135 A measurement of \geq 1 mg/L was used to define subjects with inflammation. Gamma glutamyl
136 transferase (GGT), aspartate amino transferase (AST), alanine amino transferase (ALT),
137 concentrations were measured using Bayer Reagent Packs on an automated chemistry analyzer
138 (Advia 1650 Autoanalyzer; Bayer Diagnostics, Leverkusen, Germany). Intra- and interassay
139 coefficients of variation for all biochemical measurements were $<$ 5%.

140 Hypertension was defined as systolic blood pressure ≥ 140 mmHg, diastolic blood pressure
141 ≥ 90 mmHg, self-report history of hypertension, or current use of antihypertensive medication.
142 Weekly frequency of exercise was assessed using the validated Korean version of the
143 International Physical Activity Questionnaire Short Form (IPAQ-SF) [23]. Abdominal
144 ultrasonography (Logic Q700 MR; GE, Milwaukee, WI, USA) was undertaken by clinical
145 radiologists using a 3.5MHz probe for all subjects at baseline and after five years. The following
146 images were undertaken; i) sagittal view of the right lobe of the liver and right kidney, ii)
147 transverse view of the left lateral segment of the liver and spleen and iii) transverse view of the
148 liver for altered echo texture. Fatty infiltration of the liver (fatty liver) was identified if there
149 was an increase in echogenicity of the liver compared with the echogenicity of the renal cortex
150 where the diaphragm and intrahepatic vessels appeared normal [24]. Diabetes was defined as a
151 self-reported history of diabetes, the use of glucose-lowering medications and/or HbA1c $\geq 6.5\%$
152 or fasting glucose ≥ 126 mg/d at baseline (to exclude people with prevalent diabetes), and at
153 follow-up (to identify incident diabetes).

154

155 2.2. Statistical analyses

156 The statistical analysis was performed using STATA version 15.0 (StataCorp LP, College
157 Station, TX, USA). Reported p values were two-tailed, and < 0.05 were considered statistically
158 significant. The distribution of continuous variables was evaluated and transformations were
159 conducted for nonparametric variables. Cox proportional hazards models were used to estimate
160 Hazard Ratios (HRs and 95% confidence intervals) (and fully adjusted HRs, aHRs) for the
161 association between sub-groups and incident diabetes at follow up. Three mutually exclusive
162 obesity groups were generated:

163 **Group A (reference group)** ($n = 19,757$ (66.22%)) = obese subjects with ≥ 1 component
164 of MetS (i.e. dysglycaemia, low levels of HDL-C, or high levels of serum triglyceride

165 concentrations, or increased blood pressure [22]); or fatty liver (defined by presence of fatty
166 liver on ultrasound), or IR (defined by HOMA-IR \geq 2.5), or inflammation (defined by
167 hsCRP \geq 1mg/L).

168 **Group B** ($n = 8,362$ (28.03%)) = obese subjects without features of the MetS [22], but with
169 \geq 1 of fatty liver, IR, or inflammation (defined as above).

170 **Group C** ($n = 1,717$ (5.75%)) = obese subjects without features of the MetS [22], fatty
171 liver, IR or inflammation (defined as above).

172 The proportional hazards model assumption was tested with a graphical analysis of the
173 hazard of incident diabetes over time (see Supplementary Fig. 1). Models were adjusted for age,
174 sex, center (Seoul or Suwon), year of screening exam, smoking status, alcohol intake, exercise,
175 family history of diabetes and education level.

176

177 **3. Results**

178

179 The mean age \pm SD (range) of the cohort was 37 ± 6 (range: 20-77) years. Table 1 describes
180 the baseline characteristics of subjects who developed incident diabetes compared with
181 characteristics of subjects remaining free from diabetes at follow up (mean \pm SD) 4 ± 2 (range:
182 0.5-8) years of follow up. With 114,119 person-years of follow up, and 1200 incident cases of
183 T2DM, the incidence rate was 1.1% (95% CIs 1.0, 1.1) per annum. Subjects who developed
184 incident diabetes were older, had a higher prevalence of fatty liver and had a higher BMI, hsCRP
185 and HOMA-IR, than subjects who did not develop diabetes during follow-up. Table 2 (men)
186 and Table 3 (women) show the baseline characteristics of the cohort in the three sub-groups of
187 obesity according to the presence or absence of metabolic abnormalities as described in the
188 Methods.

189 Since fatty liver often co-exists with T2DM [4], we investigated the association between
190 fatty liver and incident T2DM. Adjusting for age, sex, center, year of screening exam, smoking
191 status, alcohol intake, regular exercise, family history of diabetes and education level, BMI
192 $\geq 25\text{kg/m}^2$; all MetS factors; IR; and inflammation, the aHR (95% CIs) for the association
193 between fatty liver and incident T2DM was 2.03 (1.73, 2.38) for men, and 3.09 (2.04, 4.67) for
194 women.

195 Next, we investigated the numbers of incident cases of diabetes, incidence of diabetes per
196 10,000 patient years, and age-adjusted and fully adjusted HRs for incident diabetes in obese
197 men and women combined (Table 4). Compared to the reference group ($n = 19,757$), (crude
198 incidence rate for diabetes = 143.0 cases/10,000 person-years), in the obese group without MetS
199 components, crude incidence rate for diabetes = 28.8 cases/10,000 person-years) and aHR (95%
200 CIs) for incident diabetes was 0.25 (0.20, 0.31). In the obese group without MetS components,
201 fatty liver or inflammation, crude incidence rate for diabetes = 12.6 cases/10,000 person-years)
202 and aHR (95% CIs) for incident diabetes was 0.13 (0.06, 0.33).

203

204 **4. Discussion**

205

206 Our novel results show that in an obese Korean cohort, the incidence of type 2 diabetes is
207 approximately 1.1% per annum and that there are marked differences in T2DM incidence within
208 the cohort, depending on the presence or absence of metabolic abnormalities. Incidence of
209 T2DM was ~90% lower among obese people who do not have any other MetS components, or
210 evidence of IR, inflammation and fatty liver, than among the group with one or more of these
211 metabolic abnormalities. The overall incidence of T2DM in our study is similar to that described
212 in many cohorts from different regions around the world [1,25-29].

213 Current population-based prevalence of NAFLD is approximately 30-40% in men and 15-
214 20% in women [6] and is even higher in people with T2DM, occurring in up to 70% of this
215 group of patients [7]. Recent evidence shows that liver fat, as a manifestation of NAFLD, is a
216 risk factor for both T2DM and CVD [5,30,31]. Given that liver fat is very common in patients
217 with obesity [32], and can be diagnosed with ultrasound, identification of fatty liver provides a
218 potentially useful strategy for finding subjects at increased risk of diabetes in obese subjects.

219 Obesity is a risk factor for increased all cause mortality [33-35] and a recent meta-analysis
220 investigating whether MHO is ever a benign condition, suggested that obese persons are at
221 increased risk of cardiovascular events, even in the absence of metabolic abnormalities [36].
222 These findings led the authors of the meta-analysis to conclude that there is no healthy pattern
223 of increased weight. However many of the studies included in the meta-analysis adjusted for
224 different metabolic risk factors [37-39], and the summary results of the meta-analysis were
225 presented as crude hazard ratios. These data emphasise that further research is needed to test
226 whether obesity is ever a metabolically benign condition, having adjusted for a comprehensive
227 range of risk factors for metabolic and vascular disease. The optimum BMI associated with
228 metabolic health is not clear and may vary by ethnic group and sex. A recent large meta-analysis
229 showed that the associations of both overweight and obesity with higher all-cause mortality
230 were broadly consistent across 10,625,411 participants from different ethnic groups in Asia,
231 Australia and New Zealand, Europe, and North America (data from 239 prospective studies)
232 across four continents [35]. However, that said, a recent study of 12.8 million Korean adults,
233 aged 18-99 years, suggested that the BMI which predicted the lowest mortality increased with
234 age and was lower in women than men [40]. The change in optimum BMI with age was also
235 more profound in women than in men and sex and age-specific optimums for BMI were
236 generally higher than for the current normal range (BMI of 18.5-24.9kg/m²) (except for women
237 < 50 years). Taken together, these data highlight the notion that BMI is an imprecise measure

238 of risk of ill health, and associations between BMI and ill health are likely to differ according
239 to age, sex and the presence of other risk factors such as those studied herein. In keeping with
240 the data we have presented, we suggest that in order to improve the clinical utility of BMI to
241 assess risk of incident disease in obese subjects, it is important to consider the co-existing
242 presence of fatty liver, IR and inflammation besides more traditional risk factors. Assessment
243 of these easily measured risk factors may improve the prognostic value of BMI as an indicator
244 of future risk of T2DM and importantly, allow limited resources available for diabetes
245 prevention to be targetted at higher risk obese sub-groups.

246 Recently, the issue of whether MHO exists as a phenomenon, has been discussed in an
247 editorial [33] based on the work of Yi et al [40], with the authors of the editorial concluding
248 that MHO is common among the obese population and constitutes a unique subset of protective
249 characteristics that reduce metabolic and cardiovascular risk factors despite the presence of
250 excessive fat mass. However, it was acknowledged that the protective factors that grant a
251 healthier profile to individuals with MHO are poorly understood and are still being elucidated.
252 Numerous possible mechanisms underlying the explanation for MHO have been suggested,
253 including adipose tissue distribution and an absence of inflammation. However, the prognostic
254 value of MHO remains controversial [41-43] and the lack of a standard definition for metabolic
255 health and obesity (as well as the dynamic properties of MHO) may have contributed to
256 contrasting results regarding the prognostic value of MHO [44]. Whilst our manuscript was
257 under review a meta-analysis of three studies with 132,667 subjects including 8675 MHO
258 subjects without fatty liver, and 7218 MHO subjects with fatty liver, suggested that that the
259 MHO phenotype, with or without fatty liver, presents a risk of the development of type 2
260 diabetes [45]. However, our data emphasise that if a term such as MHO is to be used, it should
261 be defined by including subjects with obesity, only after exclusion of inflammation, IR and
262 fatty liver, as well as exclusion of easily measured components of the MetS (dysglycaemia,

263 atherogenic dyslipidaemia – low levels of HDL-C and high levels of serum triglyceride
264 concentrations, and increased blood pressure). Whilst exclusion of inflammation, IR, fatty liver
265 and easily measured components of the MetS did not completely abolish the risk of diabetes
266 associated with obesity; exclusion of these factors did markedly attenuate the risk of diabetes
267 over ~4 years of follow up.

268 The strengths and limitations of our study should be considered. We have studied a large
269 number of obese individuals ($n = 29,836$) with ~4 years of follow up. There were a substantial
270 number ($n = 1,200$), incident cases of diabetes at follow up. As an oral glucose tolerance test
271 was not undertaken to identify prevalent or incident diabetes, it is possible that some
272 misclassification bias occurred. However, any such bias would not be expected to be differential,
273 so would attenuate the strength of the observed associations, and would bias associations
274 towards the null. We have also assessed the presence of fatty liver using abdominal
275 ultrasonography at baseline. Whilst the sensitivity of ultrasound for detecting fatty liver is
276 limited to identification of $\sim >25\%$ fat infiltration [24], and the detection of liver fat can be
277 affected by severe obesity, in our predominantly single ethnic group population, there were very
278 few severely obese subjects. Although we acknowledge that it is possible that subjects with low
279 levels of liver fat compatible with a diagnosis of NAFLD would not have been identified by
280 ultrasound, any misclassification bias would attenuate the strength of the associations we have
281 observed. Additionally, another important limitation is that it was not possible to assess the
282 effect of waist circumference (a key component of the MetS) in these subjects. However, despite
283 widespread evidence that waist circumference is a better indicator of future risk, waist
284 circumference is rarely measured in clinical practice and BMI remains the more frequently used
285 simple measure for assessing obesity. Given that BMI is the much more frequently used
286 measure, it is therefore clinically relevant to ascertain what factors added to obesity contribute
287 markedly to increasing risk of T2DM, in order to determine what factors have to be excluded

288 to define MHO. In this cohort, waist circumference was only available on a proportion of
289 subjects, and therefore we considered it more appropriate to use the BMI threshold $\geq 25\text{kg/m}^2$
290 as well as the other recognized features of the MetS to define the presence or absence of the
291 syndrome. Finally, HbA1c was not measured using a method standardized to the Diabetes
292 Control and Complications Trial and approved by the National Glycohemoglobin
293 Standardization Program.

294

295 **5. Conclusion**

296

297 Our results add to existing evidence by showing that obese subjects who do not have
298 increased blood pressure, dyslipidaemia, impaired fasting glucose, IR, fatty liver and
299 inflammation are at very low risk of incident diabetes at ~4 year follow up. We suggest that
300 measuring these simple easily measured risk factors in obese individuals would be useful to
301 assess risk of T2DM in clinical practice. Although further work is necessary to test the
302 durability of our findings over a longer period of follow up, we suggest that measurement, and
303 exclusion of these risk factors in clinical practice, may help better targeting of limited resources
304 for diabetes prevention to obese people at highest risk of developing diabetes.

305

306 **Acknowledgements**

307 The authors acknowledge the efforts of the health screening group at Kangbuk Samsung
308 Hospital, Korea. CDB is supported in part by the Southampton National Institute for Health
309 Research Biomedical Research Centre.

310

311

312 **Financial supports**

313 The authors are supported by the MRC-KHIDI UK-KOREA PARTNERING AWARD
314 (Medical Research Council MC_PC_16016).

315

316 **Conflict of interest**

317 The authors declared they do not have anything to disclose regarding conflict of interest
318 with respect to this manuscript.

319

320 **Authors' contributions**

321 K.S and C.D.B. contributed to the hypothesis. K.S. wrote the methods and contributed to
322 discussion. M.L analyzed the data. J.H. J.K. H.K. and S.W. contributed to the discussion. C.D.B.
323 wrote the introduction, results and discussion, K.S. is the guarantor for the article.

324 **References**

- 325 [1] S.H. Read, J.J. Kerssens, D.A. McAllister, et al., Trends in type 2 diabetes incidence
326 and mortality in Scotland between 2004 and 2013, *Diabetologia* 59 (2016) 2106-13.
- 327 [2] L.S. Geiss, J. Wang, Y.J. Cheng, et al., Prevalence and incidence trends for diagnosed
328 diabetes among adults aged 20 to 79 years, United States, 1980-2012, *JAMA* 312 (2014)
329 1218-26.
- 330 [3] B. Carstensen, J.K. Kristensen, P. Ottosen, et al., The Danish National Diabetes Register:
331 trends in incidence, prevalence and mortality, *Diabetologia* 51 (2008) 2187-96.
- 332 [4] C.D. Byrne, G. Targher, NAFLD: A multisystem disease, *J. Hepatol.* 62 (2015) S47-S64.
- 333 [5] K.C. Sung, W.S. Jeong, S.H. Wild, et al., Combined influence of insulin resistance,
334 overweight/obesity, and fatty liver as risk factors for type 2 diabetes, *Diabetes Care* 35
335 (2012) 717-22.
- 336 [6] J.D. Browning, L.S. Szczepaniak, R. Dobbins, et al., Prevalence of hepatic steatosis in
337 an urban population in the United States: impact of ethnicity, *Hepatology* 40 (2004)
338 1387-95.
- 339 [7] M. Blachier, H. Leleu, M. Peck-Radosavljevic, et al., The burden of liver disease in
340 Europe: A review of available epidemiological data, *J. Hepatol.* 58 (2013) 593-608.
- 341 [8] T. Yamada, M. Fukatsu, S. Suzuki, et al., Fatty liver predicts impaired fasting glucose
342 and type 2 diabetes mellitus in Japanese undergoing a health checkup, *J. Gastroenterol.*
343 *Hepatol.* 25 (2010) 352-6.
- 344 [9] M. Shibata, Y. Kihara, M. Taguchi, et al., Nonalcoholic fatty liver disease is a risk factor
345 for type 2 diabetes in middle-aged Japanese men, *Diabetes Care* 30 (2007) 2940-4.
- 346 [10] M. Okamoto, Y. Takeda, Y. Yoda, et al., The association of fatty liver and diabetes risk,
347 *J. Epidemiol.* 13 (2003) 15-21.
- 348 [11] C.H. Kim, J.Y. Park, K.U. Lee, et al., Fatty liver is an independent risk factor for the

- 349 development of Type 2 diabetes in Korean adults, *Diabet. Med.* 25 (2008) 476-81.
- 350 [12] J.G. Fan, F. Li, X.B. Cai, et al., Effects of nonalcoholic fatty liver disease on the
351 development of metabolic disorders, *J. Gastroenterol. Hepatol.* 22 (2007) 1086-91.
- 352 [13] J.C. Bae, E.J. Rhee, W.Y. Lee, et al., Combined effect of nonalcoholic fatty liver disease
353 and impaired fasting glucose on the development of type 2 diabetes: a 4-year
354 retrospective longitudinal study, *Diabetes Care* 34 (2011) 727-9.
- 355 [14] S.K. Park, M.H. Seo, H.C. Shin, et al., The clinical availability of non-alcoholic fatty
356 liver disease as an early predictor of type 2 diabetes mellitus in Korean men: 5-years'
357 prospective cohort study, *Hepatology* 57 (2013) 1378-883.
- 358 [15] A. Kasturiratne, S. Weerasinghe, A.S. Dassanayake, et al., Influence of non-alcoholic
359 fatty liver disease on the development of diabetes mellitus, *J. Gastroenterol. Hepatol.*
360 28 (2013) 142-7.
- 361 [16] Y. Chang, H.S. Jung, K.E. Yun, et al., Cohort study of non-alcoholic fatty liver disease,
362 NAFLD fibrosis score, and the risk of incident diabetes in a Korean population, *Am. J.*
363 *Gastroenterol.* 108 (2013) 1861-8.
- 364 [17] K.C. Sung, S.H. Wild, C.D. Byrne, Resolution of fatty liver and risk of incident diabetes,
365 *J. Clin. Endocrinol. Metab.* 98 (2013) 3637-43.
- 366 [18] G.M. Hinnouho, S. Czernichow, A. Dugravot, et al., Metabolically healthy obesity and
367 the risk of cardiovascular disease and type 2 diabetes: the Whitehall II cohort study, *Eur.*
368 *Heart J.* 36 (2015) 551-9.
- 369 [19] D. Navarro-Gonzalez, L. Sanchez-Inigo, A. Fernandez-Montero, et al., Are all
370 metabolically healthy individuals with obesity at the same risk of diabetes onset?,
371 *Obesity (Silver Spring)* 24 (2016) 2615-23.
- 372 [20] J.A. Bell, M. Kivimaki, M. Hamer, Metabolically healthy obesity and risk of incident
373 type 2 diabetes: a meta-analysis of prospective cohort studies, *Obes. Rev.* 15 (2014)

- 374 504-15.
- 375 [21] R.P. Wildman, P. Muntner, K. Reynolds, et al., The obese without cardiometabolic risk
376 factor clustering and the normal weight with cardiometabolic risk factor clustering:
377 prevalence and correlates of 2 phenotypes among the US population (NHANES 1999-
378 2004), *Arch. Intern. Med.* 168 (2008) 1617-24.
- 379 [22] K.G. Alberti, R.H. Eckel, S.M. Grundy, et al., Harmonizing the metabolic syndrome: a
380 joint interim statement of the International Diabetes Federation Task Force on
381 Epidemiology and Prevention; National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute; American
382 Heart Association; World Heart Federation; International Atherosclerosis Society; and
383 international association for the Study of Obesity, *Circulation* 120 (2009) 1640-5.
- 384 [23] J.Y. Oh, Y.J. Yang, B.S. Kim, et al., Validity and reliability of Korean version of
385 International Physical Activity Questionnaire (IPAQ) short form, *J. Korean Acad. Fam.*
386 *Med.* 28 (2007) 532-41.
- 387 [24] S. Saadeh, Z.M. Younossi, E.M. Remer, et al., The utility of radiological imaging in
388 nonalcoholic fatty liver disease, *Gastroenterology* 123 (2002) 745-50.
- 389 [25] M. Sharma, I. Nazareth, I. Petersen, Trends in incidence, prevalence and prescribing in
390 type 2 diabetes mellitus between 2000 and 2013 in primary care: a retrospective cohort
391 study, *BMJ Open* 6 (2016) e010210.
- 392 [26] Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, Incidence of diagnosed diabetes per 1,000
393 population aged 18-79 years, by age, United States, 1980-2014; 2015.
- 394 [27] S. Lin, T. Naseri, C. Linhart, et al., Diabetes incidence and projections from prevalence
395 surveys in Samoa over 1978-2013, *Int J Public Health* 62 (2017) 687-94.
- 396 [28] S. Morrell, S. Lin, I. Tukana, et al., Diabetes incidence and projections from prevalence
397 surveys in Fiji, *Popul Health Metr* 14 (2016) 45.
- 398 [29] S. Lin, T. Naseri, C. Linhart, et al., Trends in diabetes and obesity in Samoa over

- 399 35 years, 1978-2013, *Diabetic Med* 34 (2017) 654-61.
- 400 [30] G. Targher, C.D. Byrne, A. Lonardo, et al., Non-alcoholic fatty liver disease and risk of
401 incident cardiovascular disease: A meta-analysis, *J. Hepatol.* 65 (2016) 589-600.
- 402 [31] G. Targher, C.D. Byrne, Clinical Review: Nonalcoholic fatty liver disease: a novel
403 cardiometabolic risk factor for type 2 diabetes and its complications, *J. Clin. Endocrinol.*
404 *Metab.* 98 (2013) 483-95.
- 405 [32] C.D. Byrne, Ectopic fat, insulin resistance and non-alcoholic fatty liver disease, *Proc.*
406 *Nutr. Soc.* 72 (2013) 412-9.
- 407 [33] L. Xu, S.L. Au Yeung, C.M. Schooling, Does the optimal BMI really vary by age and
408 sex?, *Int. J. Epidemiol.* 45 (2016) 285-6.
- 409 [34] D. Aune, A. Sen, M. Prasad, et al., BMI and all cause mortality: systematic review and
410 non-linear dose-response meta-analysis of 230 cohort studies with 3.74 million deaths
411 among 30.3 million participants, *BMJ* 353 (2016) i2156.
- 412 [35] Global BMI Mortality Collaboration, E. Di Angelantonio, Bhupathiraju ShN, et al.,
413 Body-mass index and all-cause mortality: individual-participant-data meta-analysis of
414 239 prospective studies in four continents, *Lancet* 388 (2016) 776-86.
- 415 [36] C.K. Kramer, B. Zinman, R. Retnakaran, Are metabolically healthy overweight and
416 obesity benign conditions?: A systematic review and meta-analysis, *Ann. Intern. Med.*
417 159 (2013) 758-69.
- 418 [37] J. Arnlov, E. Ingelsson, J. Sundstrom, et al., Impact of body mass index and the
419 metabolic syndrome on the risk of cardiovascular disease and death in middle-aged men,
420 *Circulation* 121 (2010) 230-6.
- 421 [38] J.B. Meigs, P.W. Wilson, C.S. Fox, et al., Body mass index, metabolic syndrome, and
422 risk of type 2 diabetes or cardiovascular disease, *J. Clin. Endocrinol. Metab.* 91 (2006)
423 2906-12.

- 424 [39] Y. Song, J.E. Manson, J.B. Meigs, et al., Comparison of usefulness of body mass index
425 versus metabolic risk factors in predicting 10-year risk of cardiovascular events in
426 women, *Am. J. Cardiol.* 100 (2007) 1654-8.
- 427 [40] S.W. Yi, H. Ohrr, S.A. Shin, et al., Sex-age-specific association of body mass index with
428 all-cause mortality among 12.8 million Korean adults: a prospective cohort study, *Int. J.*
429 *Epidemiol.* 44 (2015) 1696-705.
- 430 [41] Y. Heianza, Y. Arase, H. Tsuji, et al., Metabolically healthy obesity, presence or absence
431 of fatty liver, and risk of type 2 diabetes in Japanese individuals: Toranomon Hospital
432 Health Management Center Study 20 (TOPICS 20), *J. Clin. Endocrinol. Metab.* 99
433 (2014) 2952-60.
- 434 [42] C.H. Jung, Y.M. Kang, J.E. Jang, et al., Fatty liver index is a risk determinant of incident
435 type 2 diabetes in a metabolically healthy population with obesity, *Obesity (Silver*
436 *Spring)* 24 (2016) 1373-9.
- 437 [43] Y. Chang, H.S. Jung, K.E. Yun, et al., Metabolically healthy obesity is associated with
438 an increased risk of diabetes independently of nonalcoholic fatty liver disease, *Obesity*
439 *(Silver Spring)* 24 (2016) 1996-2003.
- 440 [44] C.H. Jung, W.J. Lee, K.H. Song, Metabolically healthy obesity: a friend or foe?, *Korean*
441 *J. Intern. Med.* 32 (2017) 611-21.
- 442 [45] Y. Hashimoto, M. Hamaguchi, M. Tanaka, et al., Metabolically healthy obesity without
443 fatty liver and risk of incident type 2 diabetes: A meta-analysis of prospective cohort
444 studies, *Obes. Res. Clin. Pract.* 12 (2018) 4-15.

445

Table 1

Baseline characteristics of the whole cohort according to incident DM

Characteristics	No DM	Incident DM	<i>p</i> value
Number (%)	28,636 (96.0)	1,200 (4.0)	
Age (years)*	36±6	39±6	<0.001
Male, <i>n</i> (%)	23,052 (80.5)	973 (81.1)	0.617
Systolic BP (mmHg)*	115±10	117±10	<0.001
Diastolic BP (mmHg)*	74±7	75±7	<0.001
Glucose (mg/dl)*	95±8	106±10	<0.001
Total cholesterol (mg/dl)*	200±32	206±33	<0.001
LDL-C (mg/dl)*	124±29	128±29	<0.001
HDL-C (mg/dl)*	49±11	46±10	<0.001
Triglycerides (mg/dl)†	128 (92-181)	158 (115-221)	<0.001
ALT (IU/L)†	27 (19-40)	36 (24-55)	<0.001
AST (IU/L)†	23 (19-29)	27 (22-35)	<0.001
GGT (IU/L)†	30 (19-49)	42 (27-69)	<0.001
hsCRP†(mg/l)†	0.07 (0.04-0.13)	0.09 (0.05-0.2)	<0.001
HOMA-IR†	1.56 (1.09-2.17)	2.25 (1.58-3.16)	<0.001
Smoking, <i>n</i> (%)			<0.001
Current smoker	10,357 (36.2)	505 (42.1)	
Never/former smoker	17,258 (60.3)	663 (55.3)	
Unknown	1,021 (3.6)	32 (2.7)	
Alcohol intake, <i>n</i> (%)			0.065
<20g/day	21,180 (74.0)	868 (72.3)	

20g/day	5,558 (19.4)	263 (21.9)	
Unknown	1,898 (6.6)	69 (5.8)	
Regular exercise, <i>n</i> (%) [§]			0.319
<1 times per week	14,834 (51.8)	595 (49.6)	
≥1 times per week	13,359 (46.7)	585 (48.8)	
Unknown	443 (1.6)	20 (1.7)	
Family history of DM, <i>n</i> (%)			<0.001
No	23,837 (83.24)	892 (74.33)	
Yes	4,666 (16.30)	296 (24.67)	
Unknown	133 (0.46)	12 (1.00)	
High education level, <i>n</i> (%)			<0.001
≤High school	1,589 (5.6)	54 (4.5)	
≥College graduate	12,081 (42.2)	406 (33.8)	
Unknown	14,966 (52.3)	740 (61.7)	
Seoul center, <i>n</i> (%)	14,758 (51.5)	615 (51.3)	0.846
BMI (kg/m ²)	27±2	28±2	<0.001
Fatty liver, <i>n</i> (%)			<0.001
No	12,697 (44.4)	276 (23.0)	
Yes	15,910 (55.6)	923 (77.0)	
MetS, <i>n</i> (%)	8,377 (29.3)	744 (62.0)	<0.001
Inflammation (hsCRP >1mg/L), <i>n</i> (%)	9,454 (33.0)	540 (45.0)	<0.001

ALT, alanine aminotransferase; AST, aspartate aminotransferase; BMI, body mass index; BP, blood pressure; DM, diabetes; GGT, gamma-glutamyl transferase; HDL-C, high-density lipoprotein-cholesterol; HOMA-IR, homeostatic model assessment-Insulin resistance; hs CRP, high-sensitivity C-reactive protein; LDL-C, low-density lipoprotein cholesterol.

Data are ^{*}mean (standard deviation), [†]median (interquartile range).

[§] ≥ 1 time per week.

447

Table 2

Baseline characteristics according to obesity type (men)

	All	obese(A)	Obese, without MetS components (B)	Obese, without MetS components, fatty liver or inflammation (C)	<i>p</i> value
Number (%)	24,025	16,441 (68.43)	6,376 (26.54)	1,208 (5.03)	
Age (years)*	36±6	37±6	36±6	35±6	<0.001
Systolic BP (mmHg)*	117±9	118±10	114±8	112±8	<0.001
Diastolic BP (mmHg)*	75±7	76±7	73±6	70±7	<0.001
Glucose (mg/dl)*	96±8	98±8	91±6	91±5	<0.001
Total cholesterol (mg/dl)*	202±32	205±33	197±30	193±29	<0.001
LDL-C (mg/dl)*	126±29	127±30	124±28	123±28	<0.001
HDL-C (mg/dl)*	48±10	46±10	52±9	56±11	<0.001
Triglycerides (mg/dl)†	138 (100-192)	168 (124-219)	103 (81-124)	90 (70-112)	<0.001
ALT (IU/L)†	30 (22-43)	32 (23-46)	27 (20-39)	22 (17-30)	<0.001
AST (IU/L)†	24 (20-30)	25 (21-31)	24 (20-28)	21 (18-25)	<0.001
GGT (IU/L)†	35 (24-55)	39 (26-61)	29 (21-44)	25 (18-36)	<0.001
hsCRP(mg/l)†	0.07 (0.04-0.13)	0.07 (0.04-0.13)	0.07 (0.04-0.14)	0.03 (0.02-0.05)	<0.001

HOMA-IR [†]	1.65 (1.13-2.35)	1.95 (1.37-2.76)	1.40 (0.99-1.97)	1.19 (0.81-1.61)	<0.001
Smoking, <i>n</i> (%)					<0.001
Current smoker	10,582 (44.05)	7,621 (46.35)	2,515 (39.44)	446 (36.92)	
Never/former smoker	12,829 (53.40)	8,401 (51.1)	3,725 (58.42)	703 (58.2)	
Unknown	614 (2.56)	419 (2.55)	136 (2.13)	59 (4.88)	
Alcohol intake, <i>n</i> (%)					<0.001
<20g/day	19,931 (82.96)	11,714 (71.25)	4,885 (76.62)	800 (66.23)	
20g/day	3,110 (12.94)	4,070 (24.76)	1,233 (19.34)	339 (28.06)	
Unknown	984 (4.10)	657 (4)	258 (4.05)	69 (5.71)	
Regular exercise, <i>n</i> (%) [§]					0.136
<1 times per week	11,872 (49.42)	8,321 (50.61)	3,024 (47.43)	527 (43.63)	
≥1 times per week	11,835 (49.26)	7,902 (48.06)	3,272 (51.32)	661 (54.72)	
Unknown	318 (1.32)	218 (1.33)	80 (1.25)	20 (1.66)	
Family history of DM, <i>n</i> (%)					0.007
No	20,129 (83.78)	13,631 (82.91)	5,474 (85.85)	1,024 (84.77)	
Yes	3,792 (15.78)	2,732 (16.62)	877 (13.75)	183 (15.15)	
Unknown	104 (0.43)	78 (0.47)	25 (0.39)	1 (0.08)	

High education level, <i>n</i> (%)					<0.001
≤High school	851 (3.54)	608 (3.7)	178 (2.79)	65 (5.38)	
≥College graduate	10,752 (44.75)	7,070 (43)	2,813 (44.12)	869 (71.94)	
Unknown	12,422 (51.70)	8,763 (53.3)	3,385 (53.09)	274 (22.68)	
Seoul center, <i>n</i> (%)	11,413 (47.5)	8,196 (49.85)	2,751 (43.15)	466 (38.58)	<0.001
BMI (kg/m ²)	27 ± 2	27 ± 2	27 ± 2	26 ± 1	<0.001
Fatty liver, <i>n</i> (%)					<0.001
No	9,318 (38.78)	5,356 (32.6)	2,754 (43.24)	1,208 (100)	
Yes	14,688 (61.14)	11,073 (67.4)	3,615 (56.76)	-	
Unknown	19 (0.08)	12 (0.07)	7 (0.11)	-	
MetS, <i>n</i> (%)	7,828 (32.58)	7,828 (47.61)	-	-	<0.001
Inflammation (hsCRP >1mg/L), <i>n</i> (%)	7,750 (32.26)	5,571 (33.88)	2,179 (34.18)	-	<0.001

ALT, alanine aminotransferase; AST, aspartate aminotransferase; BMI, body mass index; BP, blood pressure; DM, diabetes; GGT, gamma-glutamyl transferase; HDL-C, high-density lipoprotein-cholesterol; HOMA-IR, homeostatic model assessment-Insulin resistance; hs CRP, high-sensitivity C-reactive protein; LDL-C, low-density lipoprotein cholesterol.

Data are * mean (standard deviation), † median (interquartile range).

§ ≥1 time per week.

Table 3

Baseline characteristics according to obesity type (women)

	All	obese(A)	Obese, without MetS components (B)	Obese, without MetS components, fatty liver or inflammation (C)	<i>p</i> value
Number (%)	5,811	3,316 (57.06)	1,986 (34.18)	509 (8.76)	
Age (years)*	38±7	38±7	37±7	37±6	<0.001
Systolic BP (mmHg)*	110±11	112±11	108±9	105±9	<0.001
Diastolic BP (mmHg)*	69±8	71±8	68±7	66±7	<0.001
Glucose (mg/dl)*	94±8	96±9	90±6	90±6	<0.001
Total cholesterol (mg/dl)*	195±33	195±36	196±30	189±28	<0.001
LDL-C (mg/dl)*	118±29	120±30	115±28	113±25	<0.001
HDL-C (mg/dl)*	55±12	50±11	62±10	64±10	<0.001
Triglycerides (mg/dl)†	98 (73-137)	120 (87-169)	82 (64-104)	73 (56-92)	<0.001
ALT (IU/L)†	17 (13-23)	18 (14-25)	16 (13-22)	14 (11-18)	<0.001
AST (IU/L)†	19 (16-23)	19 (17-24)	19 (17-23)	17 (15-20)	<0.001
GGT (IU/L)†	15 (11-22)	17 (12-25)	14 (11-20)	13 (11-17)	<0.001
hsCRP (mg/l)†	0.08 (0.04-0.16)	0.09 (0.05-0.18)	0.08 (0.04-0.17)	0.03 (0.02-0.05)	<0.001

HOMA-IR [†]	1.65 (1.13-2.35)	1.95 (1.37-2.76)	1.40 (0.99-1.97)	1.19 (0.81-1.61)	<0.001
Smoking, <i>n</i> (%)					<0.001
Current smoker	280 (4.82)	173 (5.22)	93 (4.68)	14 (2.75)	
Never/former smoker	5,092 (87.63)	2,891 (87.18)	1,769 (89.07)	432 (84.87)	
Unknown	439 (7.55)	252 (7.6)	124 (6.24)	63 (12.38)	
Alcohol intake, <i>n</i> (%)					<0.001
<20g/day	4,649 (80.0)	2,679 (80.79)	1,620 (81.57)	350 (68.76)	
20g/day	179 (3.08)	92 (2.77)	57 (2.87)	30 (5.89)	
Unknown	983 (16.92)	545 (16.44)	309 (15.56)	129 (25.34)	
Regular exercise, <i>n</i> (%) [§]					<0.001
<1 times per week	3,557 (61.21)	2,021 (60.95)	1,201 (60.47)	335 (65.82)	
≥1 times per week	2,109 (36.29)	1,206 (36.37)	742 (37.36)	161 (31.63)	
Unknown	145 (2.50)	89 (2.68)	43 (2.17)	13 (2.55)	
Family history of DM, <i>n</i> (%)					<0.001
No	4,600 (79.16)	2,574 (77.62)	1,620 (81.57)	406 (79.76)	
Yes	1,170 (20.13)	717 (21.62)	351 (17.67)	102 (20.04)	
Unknown	41 (0.71)	25 (0.75)	15 (0.76)	1 (0.2)	

High education level, <i>n</i> (%)					<0.001
≤High school	792 (13.63)	480 (14.48)	204 (10.27)	108 (21.22)	
≥College graduate	1,735 (29.86)	885 (26.69)	559 (28.15)	291 (57.17)	
Unknown	3,284 (56.51)	1,951 (58.84)	1,223 (61.58)	110 (21.61)	
Seoul center, <i>n</i> (%)	3,050 (52.49)	1,810 (54.58)	1,009 (50.81)	231 (45.38)	<0.001
BMI (kg/m ²)	27±2	27±2	27±2	26±1	<0.001
Fatty liver, <i>n</i> (%)					<0.001
No	3,655 (62.90)	1,778 (53.62)	1368 (68.88)	509 (100)	
Yes	2,145 (36.91)	1,534 (46.26)	611 (30.77)	-	
Unknown	11 (0.19)	4 (0.12)	7 (0.35)	-	
MetS, <i>n</i> (%)	1,293 (22.25)	1,293 (38.99)	-	-	<0.001
Inflammation (hsCRP >1mg/L), <i>n</i> (%)	2,244 (38.62)	1,430 (43.12)	814 (40.99)	-	<0.001

ALT, alanine aminotransferase; AST, aspartate aminotransferase; BMI, body mass index; BP, blood pressure; DM, diabetes; GGT, gamma-glutamyl transferase; HDL-C, high-density lipoprotein-cholesterol; HOMA-IR, homeostatic model assessment-Insulin resistance; hs CRP, high-sensitivity C-reactive protein; LDL-C, low-density lipoprotein cholesterol.

Data are * mean (standard deviation), † median (interquartile range).

§ ≥1 time per week.

Table 4

Numbers of incident DM, incident DM rates and hazard ratios (HRs) for all incident DM in obese subjects

Obese groups	Number	Median f/up(Days)	(IQR) Person-years	Number of events	Incident (10,000person-year)	DM Model HRs (95% CI)*	1Age-adjusted Model 2Multivariate (95% CI)*	HRs
All	29,836							
A	19,757	1,408 (735-2,154)	76,870.8	1,099	143.0	1 (reference)	1 (reference)	
B	8,362	1,418 (741-2,143)	33,276.9	96	28.8	0.25 (0.20-0.31)	0.25 (0.20-0.31)	
C	1,717	743 (641-1,082)	3,971.2	5	12.6	0.16 (0.07-0.38)	0.13 (0.06-0.33)	
<i>p</i> for trend						<0.001	<0.001	
Men	24,025							
A	16,441	1,414 (735-2,157)	64,325.9	896	139.3	1 (reference)	1 (reference)	
B	6,376	1,415 (739-2,141)	25,366.9	73	28.8	0.26 (0.20-0.33)	0.25 (0.20-0.33)	
C	1,208	735 (634-1,034)	2,735.3	4	14.6	0.20 (0.07-0.52)	0.16 (0.06-0.44)	
<i>p</i> for trend						<0.001	<0.001	
Women	5,811							
A	3,316	1,383 (735-2,128)	1,2544.9	203	161.8	1 (reference)	1 (reference)	

B	1,986	1,429 (743-2,151)	7,910.0	23	29.1	0.22 (0.14-0.34)	0.22 (0.14-0.35)
C	509	770 (655-1,203)	1,235.9	1	8.1	0.09 (0.01-0.61)	0.07 (0.01-0.51)
<i>p</i> for trend						<0.001	<0.001

Group A (reference group) (*n* = 19,757 (66.22%)) = obese subjects with ≥ 1 component of MetS (i.e. dysglycaemia, low levels of HDL-C, high levels of serum triglyceride concentrations, or increased blood pressure [22]); or fatty liver (defined by presence of fatty liver on ultrasound), or IR (defined by HOMA-IR ≥ 2.5), or inflammation (defined by hsCRP ≥ 1 mg/L).

Group B (*n* = 8,362 (28.03%)) = obese subjects without features of the MetS [22], but with ≥ 1 of fatty liver, IR, or inflammation (defined as above).

Group C (*n* = 1,717 (5.75%)) = obese subjects without features of the MetS [22], fatty liver, IR or inflammation (defined as above).

*Adjustments: Model 1 = Age, Model 2 Age, sex, center, year of screening exam, smoking status, alcohol intake, regular exercise, Family history of DM and education level.

Median interquartile range (IQR) follow up (F/U) (days).

449

450

Supplementary figure 1. Graphical analysis to test proportional hazards assumption for three obesity groups and risk of incident diabetes over time

451

