

Intracranial aneurysms: looking beyond size in neuroimaging

The role of anatomical factors and haemodynamics

Dylan Pau Roi, Jens-Dominik Mueller, Kyriakos Lobotesis, Cathal McCague, Sabrina Memarian, Faraan Khan, Kshitij Mankad

Dylan Paul Roi

Dylan.roi@nhs.net

Imperial College NHS healthcare trust (London, UK)

Radiology Registrar

MBBCh, BEng

Jens-Dominik Mueller

j.mueller@qmul.ac.uk

Queen Mary's University of London (London, UK)

Reader in Computational Fluid Dynamics and Optimisation

Dipl-Ing, MSc, PhD

Kyriakos Lobotesis

k.lobotesis@nhs.net

Imperial College NHS healthcare trust (London, UK)

Consultant Interventional radiologist

FRCR

Sabrina Memarian

s.memarian@nhs.net

Imperial College NHS healthcare trust (London, UK)

Senior house officer

BSc, MD

Cathal McCague

cathal.mccague@ouh.nhs.net

John Radcliffe Hospital (Oxford, UK)

Senior house officer

BSc, MBBS

Faraan Khan

Faraan.khan@nhs.net

St George's Hospital (London, UK)

FRCR

Kshitij Mankad

drmankad@gmail.com

Corresponding author: Dylan Roi

Competing interests: None

Disclosures: None

Funding: None

Abstract

Using imaging to define the rupture risk conferred by aneurysm anatomy represents a key tool to aid treatment decisions in unruptured aneurysms. Cerebral vasculature wall shear stress (WSS) effects the evolution of aneurysmal lesions, and computational fluid dynamics (CFD) can be used to understand the link between WSS and aneurysm anatomy. We reviewed the literature pertaining to aneurysm morphology and WSS, and their effect on aneurysmal growth and rupture risk up to December 2016, from peer-reviewed publications. Results: Aneurysm diameter, aspect ratio and size ratio conferred increased rupture risk. Size ratio is also useful in smaller aneurysms (less than 5mm). In recent cohort studies, low WSS is associated with a larger risk of rupture, however the impact of WSS on aneurysm growth and development remains poorly understood. Conclusion: CFD analysis may help to understand how geometrical and morphological characteristics link to rupture risk in cerebral aneurysm. Though current knowledge assists decision-making, further studies are necessary to translate this into a reliable clinical decision making tool.

Introduction

Some cerebral aneurysms are diagnosed incidentally; others may present symptoms due to the pressure exerted on surrounding structures, and local processes such as inflammation. They are, however, frequently diagnosed after a subarachnoid hemorrhage (SAH), following aneurysm rupture. SAH carries a high mortality and morbidity, and incidence of 6-8/100,000¹. Lifetime cost-per-year of survival following SAH is 3x as high as elective surgery or endovascular treatment of patients with an unruptured aneurysm (UA)². Thus, estimated risk of rupture is a key factor in deciding how to treat UA.

A meta-analysis conducted by Rinkel et al.³ found cerebral aneurysm prevalence of 2.3% in adults with no risk factor for SAH. In a subgroup of 9 prospective angiographic studies of patients with known risk factors for SAH (n=3751) the prevalence increased to 6%. Prevalence of aneurysms also increased with age, peaking in the age group of 60-79 years.

Managing aneurysms neurosurgically or endovascularly carries risk in itself; hence, it is desirable to quantify rupture risk in UA. Currently, treatment decisions are not based on recognized criteria or parameters but rather an individual appreciation of anatomical features like size and location. These provide an incomplete assessment of rupture risk.

Haemodynamically driven mechanisms involving the arterial wall have been linked to aneurysm initiation and subsequent growth in both in vivo and modeled environments. Clearly, the evolution of an aneurysm is governed by interaction between blood flow and vessel wall, however current modalities do not offer non-invasive insight into wall properties⁴.

Aneurysm characteristics

The PHASE scoring system⁵ was based on a meta-analysis of the largest cohort available currently. Patient factors such as ethnicity, age, previous history of SAH, or history of hypertension are considered alongside aneurysmal factors including size and location to stratify patients according to rupture risk. Higher scores suggest higher aneurysm rupture risk. However, this tool requires further validation and the treatment of a significant proportion of patients in the follow-up time may have resulted in a selection bias.

Size

A meta-analysis of 6556 aneurysms in all intracerebral locations (average age 55.6, 70% female)⁶ demonstrated an increased rupture risk with increasing size. Aneurysms of 5 to 10mm in diameter were 2.3 times more likely to rupture (95% CI 1.0-5.2) compared to smaller ones. Risk increased to 11.9 for aneurysms greater than 15mm (95% CI 5.5-25.8). The UCAS cohort (n=6697) showed a similar trend, with increased risk of rupture as the maximal aneurysm diameter increased.

However, of the patients presenting with SAH (n=245), 86% had aneurysms smaller than 10mm⁷. Anterior communicating (ACoM) aneurysms were the most common (29.0%), of which 94.4% were smaller than 10mm, suggesting that small aneurysms are not as benign as previously thought.

Location

Aneurysm site correlates with rupture risk⁸. A study of 854 ruptured aneurysms (RA) presenting with subarachnoid hemorrhage (an additional 180 UA were found in the same patients), found the most common sites were: ACom 31.3%, Middle cerebral artery (MCA) 24.1%, and internal carotid artery (ICA) 22.8%. The two most frequent sites of RA of less than 5mm were 48.7% ACom and MCA 11.4%.

In the UCAS cohort, MCA aneurysms (36.2%) were twice as common as ICA (18.6%), ACom (15.5%) or ICA/Posterior communicating (PCoM) (15.5%) aneurysms⁹. However, small ACom and PCoM aneurysms (<7mm) were more likely to rupture than in others in the follow-up period.

Aneurysm morphology

The UCAS cohort⁹ featured 1266 aneurysms (18.9% of aneurysms) with daughter sacs, conferring a rupture hazard ratio of 1.63 ($p=0.02$). Similar data in patients with two or more aneurysms at time of presentation suggested that irregular shape was associated with rupture (adjusted odds ratio = 3.0, 95% CI 1.0-8.8; $n=124$, totalling 302 aneurysms)¹⁰.

Aspect ratio

Aspect ratio (AR) is the ratio of the maximum dimension of the dome of the aneurysm to the width of its neck (figure 1a). In a study of 201 aneurysms¹¹, high AR correlated with risk of rupture in all locations. The authors observe that the area of neck is the limiting factor to blood inflow to the aneurysm.

A larger study of 532 patients (127 unruptured, 405 ruptured)¹² echoes these findings. Mean size difference between both groups was not significant. The mean AR of 1.8 for unruptured lesions was well below the 3.4 for those that ruptured.

Size ratio

Size Ratio (SR) is the ratio of the maximal diameter of the aneurysm to that of the parent vessel (figure 1b). 40 successive patients (UA $n=24$, RA $n=16$) with similar risk factor profiles, genders and parent vessel diameter were studied prospectively¹³. Size ratio was greater in the RA group (RA 4.08 SD 0.54 vs. UA 2.57 SD 0.24) and was the only predictive factor when subjected to logistic regression analysis. In a larger cohort of patients presenting with SAH ($n=854$) (14.6% had more than one aneurysm, adding 180 UAs to study), diameter and SR were both significantly higher in the RA group⁸ ($p<0.001$ for both parameters). However, in a subgroup analysis of aneurysms less than 5mm ($n=236$ RA, $n=138$ UA), SR was significantly greater in the RA group (3.2 SD 1.2, UA 2.2 SD 1.2 $p<0.01$). These findings suggest greater risk of rupture with aneurysms arising from small arteries.

Parent vessel geometry

The configuration of the aneurysm and its feeding vessel(s) is significant. ACom aneurysms were related to the presence of a dominant A1 (see figure 2c and 2d) (57% vs. 14% in control group, $p=0.01$), or a hypoplastic contralateral A1 (24% vs. 6% in control group ($p=0.01$)), which may have

led to increased haemodynamic forces at the ACom¹⁴ (n=51, ACom aneurysms vs. 50 matched controls). 78% of aneurysms were filling exclusively from a single A1. These findings were reproduced by two further studies^{15,16}.

Kasuya et al.¹⁵ looked at the angles between A1 and A2 segments of the ACA as a possible cause of aneurysm formation in 23 patients with ACom aneurysms and normoplastic A1s matched to 21 controls. Aneurysm formation was linked to smaller A1-A2 angles (mean 103° vs 142.2° in the control group) as smaller angles project the impingement point onto the ACom rather than the A2 segment, thus inflicting higher haemodynamic stresses (figure 2a and 2b).

Following observations of the previous groups, Lazzaro and colleagues¹⁷ studied the role of circle of Willis (CoW) anatomical variants (with non-symmetrical blood supply) in aneurysm rupture of 113 patients (75% ruptured) treated for ACom or PCom aneurysms. CoW variants around the ACom complex, defined as hypoplasia or absence of the A1 segment contralateral to the aneurysm, were seen in 46.9% of patients suffering rupture compared with 29.6% in the unruptured group.

Computational Fluid Dynamics (CFD)

Though often used in industry as an analysis tool, CFD can also be used as a modelling tool in medical applications where in vivo testing is not possible. Computational fluid dynamics (CFD) numerically approximates the solution to the Navier-Stokes equations which govern fluid motion.

Sensitivity and limitations of a CFD approach

Although CFD sensitivity has been validated¹⁸, this was on the basis of multiple approximations. Watton et al.¹⁹ mentions the computationally expensive yet important role of cyclic stretch in blood vessels on accurate simulations. Vessel wall boundary is simulated as “no-slip” whereas glycocalyx coated EC allow flow even at the wall²⁰. The lack of patient specific inflow velocity measurements is a problem in most models²¹. Mesh size also effects the solution; however, mesh convergence studies can be used to ensure appropriate mesh quality. Castro et al.²² describe the challenge of accurately modelling ACom aneurysms especially around the neck as the spatial resolution of current modalities is often too low. Correlating haemodynamics and aneurysm rupture has limitations, as geometry of the aneurysm is the only information available from imaging (histological wall composition is not accounted for in simulation)²³. Finally, the impact on simulation of any change in aneurysm morphology post rupture is not factored in.

Flow characteristics and Rupture risk

Cebral et al.²⁴ performed CFD on 210 aneurysms (127 ruptured, 60%), establishing a classification based on the following 4 haemodynamic patterns: flow complexity, flow stability, inflow concentration and flow impingement zone compared to area of aneurysm. Ruptured aneurysms were 4.7x more likely to have complex flow (p<0.0001), 2.7x more likely to have unstable flow (p=0.0018), 4.0x more likely to have a concentrated inflow (p<0.0001), and 3.0x more likely to have a small impingement area (below 50% of aneurysm area) (p=0.0006). In addition, complex and unstable

flow was seen more frequently in ACom aneurysms. In a more recent study (n=119 aneurysms, 38 RA and 81 UA), most RAs (61%) had complex flow patterns with multiple vortices, in contrast to most UAs (75%) with simple flow patterns and a single vortex²⁵.

Castro et al.²⁶ analysed 26 patients (18 ruptured, 70%) with ACom aneurysms. They too noted that aneurysms with small impingement area were more likely to rupture (83% vs. 63%). 77% of aneurysms with asymmetric A1 inflow had ruptured compared to 25% of those with symmetric inflow. The complicated flow patterns, with the more prevalent asymmetrical A1 flows, may explain the higher ACom rupture rates. They observe that the greater the asymmetry in flow the higher the rupture rate. This has also been observed clinically, as described above.

WSS and aneurysm growth

Aneurysm initiation mechanisms

To study haemodynamics before and after aneurysm formation in three ACom aneurysms²⁷, a mesh was created with and without an aneurysm (idealized). All patients had a dominant A1. All reconstructions before aneurysm growth show areas of high WSS where the aneurysm later developed relative to areas of lower WSS surrounding this region.

This approach was reproduced in the ICA (n=3) to investigate the correlation between known aneurysm location and a new index characterising WSS variation²⁸. WSS in the artery before and after aneurysm formation was low compared to the rest of the vessel. At the locus of ICA aneurysm formation, the authors observed a “local spatial minimum” WSS, indicating a zone of stagnation. This highlights that high WSS initiation mechanism suggested by Castro et al.²⁷ is not the only mechanism to consider. In 3 patients imaged pre- and post-aneurysm formation, increased WSS with high spatial WSS gradient were found at all sites where aneurysms later developed²⁹. Aneurysm bleb formation is also associated with areas of high wall-shear-stress within the aneurysm dome³⁰.

Mechanisms of growth

Imaging follow-up over time can be used to better understand haemodynamics seen in growing aneurysms. Three distinct studies^{31–33} involving 10 patients with aneurysms in different locations found that low WSS was seen in areas of growth, and when imaged more than twice the trend of reducing WSS over time continued³². In the largest cohort (n=7) imaged with MRA, the mean aneurysm sac displacement of 0.19 mm (SD 0.34, range -0.26 to 1.96mm) was smaller than the size of one voxel, raising questions about the sensitivity of these measures³¹. However, areas where displacement exceeded 0.3mm had a significantly lower mean WSS than those that had grown lessor amounts (0.76 Pa (SD 1.51) vs. 2.55 Pa (SD 3.65) respectively, $p \leq 0.001$).

Using idealised models, different diameters and neck width were used to create a range of ARs (1.8, 2.5, and 3.0)³⁴. WSS was high at the neck area where the flow impinged, but low in the dome for all dimensions. WSS and pressure both decreased with increasing diameter, correlating well with

findings of other research groups in this section. With increasing AR, WSS decreased whilst pressure increased in the aneurysm, leading the authors to infer that “low WSS and high pressure [...] may play important roles in the fragile change of the aneurysm and its final rupture”.

Two aneurysms picked to closely match average characteristics of 40 aneurysms studied in a previous paper³⁵ were used to study the link between SR and WSS: one basilar tip (terminal artery), and one sidewall artery aneurysm. With constant aneurysm morphology, different SRs were modelled by enlarging either the vessel or the aneurysm to achieve ratios ranging from 1 to 3.5. Findings reproduced in both cases showed that with increasing SR flow became more complex, with multiple vortices. Low SR led to a stable single vortex flow in both aneurysms. The area of Low WSS (less than 0.5Pa) increased dramatically when SR increased beyond 2.

Cohort WSS studies

Takao et al.³⁶ studied 50 Internal carotid/PCom aneurysms and 50 MCA aneurysms followed-up (13 ruptured during the study time, 6 in PComs and 7 in MCA vasculature). Low WSS was associated with rupture but only statistically significant in ICA PCom bifurcation aneurysms. Small numbers of RA may explain lack of statistical significance in MCA cohort. In a larger cohort of exclusively MCA aneurysms (n= 106, 43 RA, 63 UA), low WSS was independently associated with rupture status after multivariate analysis (mean WSS RA=7.19Pa vs. UA=9.55Pa, p=0.0001)³⁷. In RAs, WSS values were lower within the aneurysm than in the parent vessels, whereas in UAs, they were comparable (n=119 aneurysms, 38 ruptured and 81 unruptured)²⁵. RAs had lower WSS magnitudes and larger areas of low WSS than UAs.

Using MRA and MR 4D fluid dynamics data, aneurysms in all common locations were analyzed (139 UA and 13 RA)³⁸. Low WSS and high flow variability were seen in similar percentages between RA and UA (92% and 89% respectively). However, WSS magnitude overall was significantly lower in ruptured cohort (RA: 0.49, SD=0.12 vs. UA 0.64 SD 0.15; p less than 0.01). Though only a small number of RAs were studied, aneurysm specific boundary conditions could be applied with this imaging modality.

Hemodynamics and the pathology of aneurysm development

Meng et al³⁹ hypothesized that aneurysmal development is a three way interactive process involving aneurysmal geometry, flow conditions and pathobiology, all of which are driven by haemodynamics. Although geometry and haemodynamics are considered mutually causal, the evolution of cerebral aneurysm begins with the interplay of geometry and flow conditions, the driving forces of aneurysm remodelling and growth through pathobiology. This dynamic process leads to geometric aneurysmal growth changes, resulting in either stability or a haemodynamic stress much greater than wall strength leading to rupture.

Elevated WSS may trigger the aneurysm initiation process with changes including: increased leukocyte adhesion, damage to endothelial cells, increased matrix metalloprotease activity⁴⁰. High

WSS triggered a predominantly mural cell mediated pathway leading to thin walled hypocellular lesions, described as type I aneurysms³⁹.

Cerebral vascular beds are exposed to higher WSS than elsewhere in the body. The CoW has many bifurcations with zones exposed to high WSS under normal physiological flow⁴¹, making high WSS a likely aneurysm initiation mechanism.

A uniform shear stress field aligns endothelial cell (ECs) in the direction of flow, while low WSS and changing flow direction cause loss of EC orientation⁴². Low WSS also switches EC phenotype from atheroprotective to atherogenic increasing EC turnover rate. Low WSS also causes stagnation, accumulation of red blood cells and adhesion of platelets⁴³. This is closely followed by infiltration of white blood cells and deposition of fibrin⁴⁴. Hypoxia may also be an aggravating factor in zones of stagnation⁴¹. Meng et al³⁹ suggested an inflammatory cell-mediated pathway induced by low WSS and high oscillatory shear index led to thick-walled atherosclerotic lesions, or type II aneurysms.

A review of 71 aneurysms retrieved post-surgery showed RAs often featured a destroyed EC layer, replaced by blood cells and fibrin⁴⁵. No smooth muscle cells (SMC) or type IV collagen were present, and inflammatory cells such as macrophages and leukocytes had colonized the area. In most cases of severe EC disruption, vessel wall integrity was compromised.

Ruptured lesions tend to have decellularized aneurysm wall with matrix degeneration and high levels of inflammation⁴⁶. The authors hypothesize that inflammation is a result of wall degeneration rather than its cause, with inflammation triggered by a dysfunctioning EC layer, due to abnormal haemodynamic stresses. Macrophages and vascular smooth muscle cells (VSMCs) are the main inflammatory components driving the pathogenesis of IAs, with recent studies linking macrophage infiltration with increased aneurysm progression⁴⁷.

SMC apoptosis is seen in aneurysm wall⁴⁸, along with elevated concentrations of serum elastase⁴⁹ and matrix metalloproteases responsible for intracellular matrix degeneration⁵⁰. Decreased numbers of ECs, degeneration of the internal elastic lamina and thinning of the media were also reported by Stehbens⁵¹. On a genetic level Wei et al⁵² discussed the regulatory role of differentially expressed genes (DEGs) on cell proliferation and apoptosis of vascular SMC, which in turn may contribute to the progression of IA. Hormones have also been implicated: Wang et al demonstrated the protective role oestrogen plays on EC layers through the local delivery of 17 β -estradiol and subsequent improved endothelial function⁵³.

Other epidemiological risk factors includes age and smoking; smoking appears to affect every step in the cascade of events leading to SAH from hemodynamic stress and endothelial dysfunction to aneurysm wall weakening and rupture⁵⁴. Interestingly, aneurysmal SAH has a bimodal age distribution pattern⁵⁵. Jung observed that advancing age and vascular risk factors are likely to account for the older age peak whereas the intrinsic wall defects contribute to the younger-age peak.

Conclusion

The link between anatomy and haemodynamics is key to understanding the natural history of an aneurysm and the related risk of rupture. Anatomically, though simple measurements like diameter are useful, neck size (AR) and parent vessel diameter (SR) provide more accurate measures of risk, especially in small aneurysms. From a haemodynamic perspective ruptured aneurysms tend to have low WSS, but additional factors are also present. Not all aneurysms followed up increase in size⁵¹. Thus, analysing aneurysm growth exclusively in the context of WSS is unlikely to reliably predict rupture risk. Currently, no single haemodynamic stress mechanism can account for aneurysm formation; rather it is likely that different factors effect different stages of growth. Research to-date suggests the solution will be though combining haemodynamic and anatomical factors to prefect this risk-stratification process.

In conclusion, CFD analysis may not be the sole solution to aneurysmal risk-assessment, but may uncover geometrical and morphological characteristics effecting the rupture risk of an aneurysm. Indeed, if the relationship between pathological processes, WSS and aneurysm sac geometry is elucidated, the simple metric analysis mentioned above could be used more for decision making, negating the need for lengthy CFD analyses in everyday clinical practice.

References

1. Hacein-Bey L, Provenzale JM. Current imaging assessment and treatment of intracranial aneurysms. *Am J Roentgenol*. 2011;196(1):32-44. doi:10.2214/AJR.10.5329.
2. King JT, Ratcheson RA. Cost and outcomes analysis. *Neurosurg Clin N Am*. 1998;9(3):629-640. <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/9668193>. Accessed November 15, 2015.
3. Rinkel GJE, Djibuti M, Algra A, van Gijn J. Prevalence and Risk of Rupture of Intracranial Aneurysms: A Systematic Review. *Stroke*. 1998;29(1):251-256. doi:10.1161/01.STR.29.1.251.
4. Sanchez M, Ecker O, Ambard D, et al. Intracranial Aneurysmal Pulsatility as a New Individual Criterion for Rupture Risk Evaluation: Biomechanical and Numeric Approach (IRRAs Project). *AJNR Am J Neuroradiol*. 2014;1-7. doi:10.3174/ajnr.A3949.
5. Greving JP, Wermer MJH, Brown RD, et al. Development of the PHASES score for prediction of risk of rupture of intracranial aneurysms: A pooled analysis of six prospective cohort studies. *Lancet Neurol*. 2014;13(1):59-66. doi:10.1016/S1474-4422(13)70263-1.
6. Wermer MJH, van der Schaaf IC, Algra A, Rinkel GJE. Risk of Rupture of Unruptured Intracranial Aneurysms in Relation to Patient and Aneurysm Characteristics: An Updated Meta-Analysis. *Stroke*. 2007;38(4):1404-1410. doi:10.1161/01.STR.0000260955.51401.cd.
7. Forget TR, Benitez R, Veznedaroglu E, et al. A review of size and location of ruptured intracranial aneurysms. *Neurosurgery*. 2001;49(6):1322-1325; discussion 1325-1326.
8. Kashiwazaki D, Kuroda S. Size Ratio Can Highly Predict Rupture Risk in Intracranial Small (<5 mm) Aneurysms. *Stroke*. 2013;44(8):2169-2173. doi:10.1161/STROKEAHA.113.001138.
9. Kirino T, Cen N, Hashi K, et al. The Natural Course of Unruptured Cerebral Aneurysms in a Japanese Cohort. *N Engl J Med*. 2012;366(26):2474-2482. doi:10.1056/NEJMoa1113260.
10. Backes D, Vergouwen MDI, Velthuis BK, et al. Difference in Aneurysm Characteristics Between Ruptured and Unruptured Aneurysms in Patients With Multiple Intracranial Aneurysms. 2014:1299-1304. doi:10.1161/STROKEAHA.113.004421.
11. Ujiie H, Tamano Y, Sasaki K, Hori T. Is the aspect ratio a reliable index for predicting the rupture of a saccular aneurysm? *Neurosurgery*. 2001;48(3):495-503. doi:10.1097/00006123-200103000-00007.
12. Weir B, Amidei C, Kongable G, et al. The aspect ratio (dome/neck) of ruptured and unruptured aneurysms. *J Neurosurg*. 2003;99(3):447-451. doi:10.3171/jns.2003.99.3.0447.
13. Rahman M, Smietana J, Hauck E, et al. Size ratio correlates with intracranial aneurysm rupture status: A prospective study. *Stroke*. 2010;41(5):916-920. doi:10.1161/STROKEAHA.109.574244.
14. Charbel FT, Seyfried D, Mehta B, Dujovny M, Ausman JI. Dominant A1: angiographic and clinical correlations with anterior communicating artery aneurysms. *Neurol Res*.


- 1991;13(4):253-256. <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/1687336>. Accessed November 15, 2015.
15. Kasuya H, Shimizu T, Nakaya K, Sasahara A, Hori T, Takakura K. Angles between A1 and A2 segments of the anterior cerebral artery visualized by three-dimensional computed tomographic angiography and association of anterior communicating artery aneurysms. *Neurosurgery*. 1999;45(1):89-93; discussion 93-4. <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/10414570>. Accessed November 15, 2015.
 16. González-Llanos F, Pascual JM, Roda JM. [Anatomic and hemodynamic study of anterior communicating artery complex]. *Neurocirugia (Astur)*. 2002;13(4):285-298. <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/12355651>. Accessed November 15, 2015.
 17. Lazzaro M a, Ouyang B, Chen M. The role of circle of Willis anomalies in cerebral aneurysm rupture. *J Neurointerv Surg*. 2012;4(1):22-26. doi:10.1136/jnis.2010.004358.
 18. Ford MD, Nikolov HN, Milner JS, et al. PIV-Measured Versus CFD-Predicted Flow Dynamics in Anatomically Realistic Cerebral Aneurysm Models. *J Biomech Eng*. 2008;130(2):021015. doi:10.1115/1.2900724.
 19. Watton PN, Selimovic A, Raberger NB, Huang P, Holzapfel GA, Ventikos Y. Modelling evolution and the evolving mechanical environment of saccular cerebral aneurysms. *Biomech Model Mechanobiol*. 2011;10(1):109-132. doi:10.1007/s10237-010-0221-y.
 20. Savery MD, Damiano ER. The endothelial glycocalyx is hydrodynamically relevant in arterioles throughout the cardiac cycle. *Biophys J*. 2008;95(3):1439-1447. doi:10.1529/biophysj.108.128975.
 21. Karmonik C, Yen C, Grossman RG, Klucznik R, Benndorf G. Intra-aneurysmal flow patterns and wall shear stresses calculated with computational flow dynamics in an anterior communicating artery aneurysm depend on knowledge of patient-specific inflow rates. *Acta Neurochir (Wien)*. 2009;151(5):479-485. doi:10.1007/s00701-009-0247-z.
 22. Castro M a, Putman CM, Cebal JR. Patient-specific computational fluid dynamics modeling of anterior communicating artery aneurysms: a study of the sensitivity of intra-aneurysmal flow patterns to flow conditions in the carotid arteries. *AJNR Am J Neuroradiol*. 2006;27(10):2061-2068. doi:10.1016/j.acra.2006.03.011.
 23. Robertson AM, Watton PN. Computational fluid dynamics in aneurysm research: critical reflections, future directions. *AJNR Am J Neuroradiol*. 2012;33(6):992-995. doi:10.3174/ajnr.A3192.
 24. Cebal JR, Mut F, Weir J, Putman CM. Association of hemodynamic characteristics and cerebral aneurysm rupture. *Am J Neuroradiol*. 2011;32(2):264-270. doi:10.3174/ajnr.A2274.
 25. Xiang J, Natarajan SK, Tremmel M, et al. Hemodynamic-morphologic discriminants for intracranial aneurysm rupture. *Stroke*. 2011;42(1):144-152. doi:10.1161/STROKEAHA.110.592923.
 26. Putman CM, Sheridan MJ, Cebal JR. Hemodynamic Patterns of Anterior Communicating Artery Aneurysms: A Possible. *AJNR Am J Neuroradiol*. 2009:297-302. doi:10.3174/ajn-

r.A1323.

27. Castro M a, Putman CM, Cebal JR. Computational analysis of anterior communicating artery aneurysm shear stress before and after aneurysm formation. *J Phys Conf Ser*. 2011;332:012001. doi:10.1088/1742-6596/332/1/012001.
28. Mantha a., Karmonik C, Benndorf G, Strother C, Metcalfe R. Hemodynamics in a cerebral artery before and after the formation of an aneurysm. *Am J Neuroradiol*. 2006;27(5):1113-1118.
29. Kulcsár Z, Ugron Á, Marosfoi M, Berentei Z, Paál G, Szikora I. Hemodynamics of cerebral aneurysm initiation: The role of wall shear stress and spatial wall shear stress gradient. *Am J Neuroradiol*. 2011;32(3):587-594. doi:10.3174/ajnr.A2339.
30. Russell JH, Kelson N, Barry M, Percy M, Fletcher DF, Winter CD. Computational Fluid Dynamic Analysis of. 2013;73(6):1061-1069. doi:10.1227/NEU.0000000000000137.
31. Boussel L, Rayz V, McCulloch C, et al. Aneurysm growth occurs at region of low wall shear stress: patient-specific correlation of hemodynamics and growth in a longitudinal study. *Stroke*. 2008;39(11):2997-3002. doi:10.1161/STROKEAHA.108.521617.
32. Sugiyama S-I, Meng H, Funamoto K, et al. Hemodynamic analysis of growing intracranial aneurysms arising from a posterior inferior cerebellar artery. *World Neurosurg*. 2012;78(5):462-468. doi:10.1016/j.wneu.2011.09.023.
33. Tanoue T, Tateshima S, Villablanca JP, Viñuela F, Tanishita K. Wall shear stress distribution inside growing cerebral aneurysm. *AJNR Am J Neuroradiol*. 2011;32(9):1732-1737. doi:10.3174/ajnr.A2607.
34. WANG Q, WANG W, FEI Z, LIU Y, CAO Z. Simulation of Blood Flow in Intracranial ICA-PComA Aneurysm Via Computational Fluid Dynamics Modeling. *J Hydrodyn Ser B*. 2009;21(5):583-590. doi:10.1016/S1001-6058(08)60188-2.
35. Tremmel M, Dhar S, Levy EI, Mocco J, Meng H. Influence of Intracranial Aneurysm-To-Parent Vessel Size Ratio on Hemodynamics and Implication for Rupture. *Neurosurgery*. 2009;64(4):622-631. doi:10.1227/01.NEU.0000341529.11231.69.
36. Takao H, Murayama Y, Otsuka S, et al. Hemodynamic differences between unruptured and ruptured intracranial aneurysms during observation. *Stroke*. 2012;43(5):1436-1439. doi:10.1161/STROKEAHA.111.640995.
37. Miura Y, Ishida F, Umeda Y, et al. Low wall shear stress is independently associated with the rupture status of middle cerebral artery aneurysms. *Stroke*. 2013;44(2):519-521. doi:10.1161/STROKEAHA.112.675306.
38. Kawaguchi T, Nishimura S, Kanamori M, et al. Distinctive flow pattern of wall shear stress and oscillatory shear index: similarity and dissimilarity in ruptured and unruptured cerebral aneurysm blebs. *J Neurosurg*. 2012;117(4):774-780. doi:10.3171/2012.7.JNS111991.
39. Meng H, Tutino VM, Xiang J, Siddiqui a. High WSS or Low WSS? Complex interactions of hemodynamics with intracranial aneurysm initiation, growth, and rupture: Toward a unifying

- hypothesis. *Am J Neuroradiol*. 2014;35(7):1254-1262. doi:10.3174/ajnr.A3558.
40. Dolan JM, Kolega J, Meng H. High Wall Shear Stress and Spatial Gradients in Vascular Pathology: A Review. *Ann Biomed Eng*. 2013;41(7):1411-1427. doi:10.1007/s10439-012-0695-0.
 41. Penn DL, Komotar RJ, Sander Connolly E. Hemodynamic mechanisms underlying cerebral aneurysm pathogenesis. *J Clin Neurosci*. 2011;18(11):1435-1438. doi:10.1016/j.jocn.2011.05.001.
 42. Ford MD, Alperin N, Lee SH, Holdsworth DW, Steinman DA. Characterization of volumetric flow rate waveforms in the normal internal carotid and vertebral arteries. *Physiol Meas*. 2005;26(4):477-488. doi:10.1088/0967-3334/26/4/013.
 43. Sforza DM, Putman CM, Cebal JR, Dynamics F. NIH Public Access. 2009:91-107. doi:10.1146/annurev.fluid.40.111406.102126.Hemodynamics.
 44. Crompton MR. Mechanism of growth and rupture in cerebral berry aneurysms. *Br Med J*. 1966;1(5496):1138-1142. <http://www.pubmedcentral.nih.gov/articlerender.fcgi?artid=1844070&tool=pmcentrez&rendertype=abstract>. Accessed October 1, 2015.
 45. Kataoka K, Taneda M, Asai T, Kinoshita a, Ito M, Kuroda R. Structural fragility and inflammatory response of ruptured cerebral aneurysms. A comparative study between ruptured and unruptured cerebral aneurysms. *Stroke*. 1999;30(7):1396-1401. doi:10.1161/01.STR.30.7.1396.
 46. Frösen J, Tulamo R, Paetau A, et al. Saccular intracranial aneurysm: pathology and mechanisms. *Acta Neuropathol*. 2012;123(6):773-786. doi:10.1007/s00401-011-0939-3.
 47. Chalouhi N, Atallah E, Jabbour P, Patel PD, Starke RM, Hasan D. Aspirin for the Prevention of Intracranial Aneurysm Rupture. *Neurosurgery*. 2017;64(CN_suppl_1):114-118. doi:10.1093/neuros/nyx299.
 48. Hara A, Yoshimi N, Mori H. Evidence for apoptosis in human intracranial aneurysms. *Neurol Res*. 1998;20(2):127-130. <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/9522347>. Accessed November 15, 2015.
 49. Chyatte D, Lewis I. Gelatinase Activity and the Occurrence of Cerebral Aneurysms. *Stroke*. 1997;28(4):799-804. doi:10.1161/01.STR.28.4.799.
 50. Bruno G, Todor R, Lewis I, Chyatte D. Vascular extracellular matrix remodeling in cerebral aneurysms. *J Neurosurg*. 1998;89(3):431-440. doi:10.3171/jns.1998.89.3.0431.
 51. William E. Stehbins. Etiology of intracranial berry aneurysms. May 2009. <http://thejns.org/doi/abs/10.3171/jns.1989.70.6.0823?journalCode=jns>. Accessed November 15, 2015.
 52. Wei L, Wang Q, Zhang Y, et al. Identification of key genes, transcription factors and microRNAs involved in intracranial aneurysm. *Mol Med Rep*. 2018;17(1):891-897. doi:10.3892/mmr.2017.7940.
 53. Wáng YXJ, He J, Zhang L, et al. A higher aneurysmal subarachnoid hemorrhage incidence in

- women prior to menopause: a retrospective analysis of 4,895 cases from eight hospitals in China. *Quant Imaging Med Surg*. 2016;6(2):151-156. doi:10.21037/qims.2016.01.06.
54. Chalouhi N, Ali MS, Starke RM, et al. Cigarette Smoke and Inflammation: Role in Cerebral Aneurysm Formation and Rupture. *Mediators Inflamm*. 2012;2012:1-12. doi:10.1155/2012/271582.
55. Jung K-H. New Pathophysiological Considerations on Cerebral Aneurysms. *Neurointervention*. 2018;13(2):73-83. doi:10.5469/neuroint.2018.01011.



Figures

Figure 1: a. Aspect ratio (AR) as maximal aneurysm diameter (d) over neck diameter (n), b. Size ratio (SR) as maximal aneurysm diameter (d) over parent vessel diameter (p).




Figure 2: a. Small angle (approximately 90°) of Left A1-A2 segment, b corresponding flow lines with aneurysm filling exclusively from Left A1, c. hypoplastic Right A1, d. corresponding flow lines with exclusive filling from dominant Left A1.