Meta-Analysis of the Relationship between Multiple Sclerosis and Migraine

Julia Pakpoor^{1,2}, Adam E. Handel^{1,2}, Gavin Giovannoni³, Ruth Dobson^{3*}, Sreeram V. Ramagopalan^{1,2,3,4*}

1 Wellcome Trust Centre for Human Genetics, University of Oxford, Roosevelt Drive, Headington, Oxford, United Kingdom, 2 Nuffield Department of Clinical Neurosciences (Clinical Neurology), University of Oxford, The West Wing, John Radcliffe Hospital, Oxford, United Kingdom, 3 Blizard Institute, Queen Mary University of London, Barts and The London School of Medicine and Dentistry, London, United Kingdom, 4 London School of Hygiene and Tropical Medicine, London, United Kingdom

Abstract

Background: Studies investigating a proposed association between multiple sclerosis (MS) and migraine have produced conflicting results and a great range in the prevalence rate of migraine in MS patients. By meta-analysing all available data we aimed to establish an overall estimate of any association in order to more accurately inform clinicians and care-givers about a potential association between MS and migraine.

Methods: Pubmed and EMBASE were searched to identify suitable studies. Studies were included if they were a case-control study or cohort study in which controls were not reported to have another neurological condition, were available in English, and specified migraine as a headache sub-type. The odds ratio (OR) of migraine in MS patients vs. controls was calculated using the inverse variance with random effects model in Review Manager 5.1.

Results: Eight studies were selected for inclusion, yielding a total of 1864 MS patients and 261563 control subjects. We found a significant association between migraine and MS (OR = 2.60, 95% CI 1.12–6.04), although there was significant heterogeneity. Sensitivity analysis showed that migraine without aura was associated with MS OR = 2.29 (95% CI 1.14–4.58), with no significant heterogeneity.

Conclusions: MS patients are more than twice as likely to report migraine as controls. Care providers should be alerted to ask MS patients about migraine in order to treat it and potentially improve quality of life. Future work should further investigate the temporal relationship of this association and relationship to the clinical characteristics of MS.

Citation: Pakpoor J, Handel AE, Giovannoni G, Dobson R, Ramagopalan SV (2012) Meta-Analysis of the Relationship between Multiple Sclerosis and Migraine. PLoS ONE 7(9): e45295. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0045295

Editor: Markus Reindl, Innsbruck Medical University, Austria

Received June 14, 2012; Accepted August 14, 2012; Published September 14, 2012

Copyright: © 2012 Pakpoor et al. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Funding: These authors have no support or funding to report.

Competing Interests: Miss Pakpoor reports no disclosures. Dr. Handel reports no disclosures. Dr. Giovannoni serves on scientific advisory boards for Merck Serono and Biogen Idec and Vertex Pharmaceuticals; served on the editorial board of Multiple Sclerosis; has received speaker honoraria from Bayer Schering Pharma, Merck Serono, Biogen Idec, Pfizer Inc, Teva Pharmaceutical Industries Ltd.-sanofiaventis, Vertex Pharmaceuticals, Genzyme Corporation, Ironwood, and Novartis; has served as a consultant for Bayer Schering Pharma, Biogen Idec, GlaxoSmithKline, Merck Serono, Protein Discovery Laboratories, Teva Pharmaceuticals, GW Pharma, Novartis, and FivePrime; serves on the speakers bureau for Merck Serono; and has received research support from Bayer Schering Pharma, Biogen Idec, Merck Serono, Novartis, UCB, Merz Pharmaceuticals, LLC, Teva Pharmaceutical Industries Ltd.-sanofi-aventis, GW Pharma, and Ironwood. Dr. Dobson is funded by a joint ABN/Multiple Sclerosis Society of Great Britain Clinical Research Fellowship. Dr. Ramagopalan receives research support from the Multiple Sclerosis Society of Canada Scientific Research Foundation and the Multiple Sclerosis Society of the United Kingdom. This does not alter the authors' adherence to all the PLoS ONE policies on sharing data and materials.

* E-mail: s.ramagopalan@qmul.ac.uk (SVR); ruth.dobson@qmul.ac.uk (RD)

Introduction

Multiple sclerosis (MS) is an inflammatory disease of the central nervous system (CNS) characterized by myelin loss, varying degrees of axonal pathology, and progressive neurological dysfunction. The clinical features of MS encompass an extremely wide range of neurological symptoms but migraine is not typically included [1]. Migraine is a common chronic debilitating condition, with an estimated 1-year period prevalence of 11.7%, which reduces the quality of life in many sufferers [2,3].

Within Europe, migraine has a high economic impact, with headaches (including migraine) estimated to cost \notin 43.5 billion per capita in 2010 [4]. MS and migraine have a number of demographic similarities including a female preponderance and

relatively young age at onset [5,6]. Epidemiological similarities also exist, as both conditions have a higher prevalence in Caucasian as compared to African or Asian populations [7,8]. In 1952 Compston and McAlpine found that 2% of MS sufferers experienced migraine within 3 months of MS onset [9]. This finding stimulated further studies but limitations, including small sample sizes, has resulted in conflicting results and a great range in the prevalence rate of migraine in MS patients [10–18]. In this meta-analysis we sought to provide an overall estimate of the relationship between MS and migraine by comparing the occurrence of migraine in MS patients vs. controls in order to accurately inform clinicians.

First author		V	Mirraine							Age range and mean		M neam	Median	
& year of publication	Type of study	diagnostic criteria	diagnostic criteria	Cases	Controls	OR (95% CI)	F/M ratio	Case source	Control source	patients (years)	MS subtype	duration (years)	EDSS score	Migraine ascertainment
Watkins 1969	Case control	Definite/ probable MS McAlpine criteria	Critchley 1967	100	100	2.71 (21.28–5.73)	1.78	Hospital (prevalent)	Hospital	Range 15–50	N/a	N/a	N/a	Interview
Zorzon 2003	Case- control	Definite MS McDonald criteria	N/a	140	131	20.41 (2.69–154.82)	1.8	Multiple Sclerosis Center (prevalent)	Blood Transfusior Center	Range 17–65, 1 mean 42.1	71.4% RRMS, 19.3% SPMS 9.3% PPMS	10.9	2	Questionnaire in interview
Vacca 2007	Case- control	Definite MS McDonald criteria	International Classification of Headache Disorders 2004 (2nd edition)	238	238	3.19 (2.11–4.85)	1.9	Hospital (prevalent)	Friends	Range 24–61	75.6% RRMS 24.4% SPMS	n/a	2.5	Interview
Nicoletti 2008	Case- control	Definite/ probable MS, Poser criteria	International Headache Society criteria, 1988	101	101	1.31 (0.64–2.71)	1.81	Cohort (incidence and prevalence)	Cohort	Mean 43.6	75.2 RRMS 19.0 SPMS 2.0 PPMS	6.6	N/a	Standardised questionnaire in interview. If doubtful diagnosis, underwent neurological examination
Putzki 2009	Case- control	Definite MS Poser or McDonald criteria	International Headache Society criteria	491	447	0.49 (0.37–0.65)	2.13	Hospital (prevalent)	Cohort ^a	Mean 45.3	63.7 RRMS 20.2 SPMS 9.2 PPMS 5.1% uncertain	11.5	Median n/a, EDSS mean 3.17	Validated questionnaire
Kister 2010	Case- control	Definite MS McDonald criteria	International Classification of Headache Disorders, 2 nd edition	204	162576	6.47 (4.91–8.52)	N/a	MS Care Center (prevalent)	Cohort ^a	Mean 45	N/a	12.5	N/a	Validated questionnaire
Katsiari 2011	Case- control	Definite MS McDonald criteria	ICHD-II, 2004	48	72	1.04 (0.43–2.49)	25.32	Hospital (prevalent)	Hospital	Mean 37.8	N/a	7.5	Median n/a, EDSS mean 3.0 at the 1 year follow up	Standardised questionnaire in interview. If positive response, underwent neurologic examination
Kister 2012	Cohort	Definite/ probable MS Poser or McDonald criteria	Physician- diagnosed migraine	542	97898	5.65 (4.68–6.82)	All female	Cohort (incident & prevalent)	Cohort	Range 25–42 ^b	N/a	N/a	N/a	Questionnaire/medical record
OR (95%Cl) = (^a Historical cor ^b Age range of doi:10.1371/jo	odds ratio wi itrols used. all individua urnal.pone.0	ith 95% confide als in the Nurses 045295.t001	nce intervals, F = f s' Health Study II.	female, M	1 = male, N/a	a=not available	, RRMS =	relapsing-remitting	MS, SPMS	= secondary-pro	gressive MS, PPI	MS = primary pr	ogressive MS.	

Table 2. Newcastle-Ottawa Scale (NOS) assessment of the quality of the studies.

Study	Selection (max 4 ./)	Comparability (max 2 /)	Exposure (max 3./)
Study			
Katsiari 2011	\checkmark	JJ	1
Kister 2010	11	\checkmark	✓
Kister 2012	JJJJ	J J	\checkmark
Nicoletti 2008	J J J	J J	✓
Putzki 2009	J J J	J J	✓
Vacca 2007	ノノノノ	J J	✓
Watkins 1969	J J J	J J	\checkmark
Zorzon 2003	<i>\\\\</i>	<i>JJ</i>	11

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0045295.t002

Methods

Article Search

Pubmed was searched by IP and AEH for abstracts using the terms ("multiple sclerosis" [MeSH Terms] OR ("multiple" [All Fields] AND "sclerosis" [All Fields]) OR "multiple sclerosis" [All Fields]) AND ("migraine disorders" [MeSH Terms] OR ("migraine" [All Fields] AND "disorders" [All Fields]) OR "migraine disorders" [All Fields] OR "migraine" [All Fields]) and ("multiple sclerosis" [MeSH Terms] OR ("multiple" [All Fields] AND "sclerosis" [All Fields]) OR "multiple sclerosis" [All Fields]) AND ("headache" [MeSH Terms] OR "headache" [All Fields]). EMBASE was searched for abstracts using the terms "multiple sclerosis [All fields] AND migraine [All fields]. No limitations or time period restrictions were applied; the latest search was undertaken on the 17th December 2011. We were not familiar with any study currently in progress to be considered for inclusion. Published conference abstracts were eligible for inclusion and so were both prospective and retrospective studies. Studies were subsequently excluded if they were not a casecontrol study or cohort study, if the article was not available in English, or if migraine was not specified as a headache sub-type. Studies where controls had other neurological conditions were also excluded. The abstracts of the resulting articles were handsearched in order to select studies. Attempts to identify further articles were done by searching the references of the studies. Data on study type, raw numbers of MS patients and controls who had and had not experienced migraine and their sex; diagnostic criteria of MS and migraine used; method of migraine ascertainment; source of cases and controls; age range and mean age of patients and controls; MS patient subtype, mean diseases duration and median EDSS score was extracted independently from included articles. Any discrepancy on the suitability for inclusion of a study between the authors was resolved by consulting a third author (SVR).

Statistical Analysis

The inverse variance model in Review Manager 5.1 was used to calculate the overall odds ratio (OR), 95% confidence interval (95% CI) and test statistic for the relationship. Statistical significance was set at p<0.05. Statistical heterogeneity of studies was assessed through the calculation of Tau² and I². A random effects model was applied unless the I² was $\leq 25\%$ in which case a fixed effects model would be used [19]. In attempting to dissipate any heterogeneity, sensitivity analysis was done by excluding individual studies to see if there was a notable reduction in heterogeneity and further, subgroup analysis was performed on studies which differentiated between migraine with and without aura. Generation of a funnel plot and the Egger p-value allowed determination of the potential publication bias of included studies. Further, the quality of the studies was assessed using the Newcastle-Ottawa Scale (NOS).



Figure 1. Forest plot of comparison: MS patients vs. control, outcome: migraine. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0045295.g001



Figure 2. Funnel plot of included studies. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0045295.g002

Results

Included Studies

Our Pubmed search initially yielded 654 studies (of which some studies appeared under more than one search term) and the EMBASE search 705 studies. In total, 8 studies were selected for inclusion according to the described inclusion criteria [11–18], yielding a total of 1864 MS patients and 261563 control subjects. However, it should be noted that Kister et al 2010 and Nicoletti et al 2008 used historical controls [15,18]. Information about the included studies can be found in **table 1** and the assessed quality of each study using NOS is indicated in **table 2**.

Migraine in MS Patients vs. Control

Migraine was more common in MS patients than controls. The overall OR upon inclusion of all 8 studies was 2.60 (95% CI 1.12–6.04), **fig 1**, however, significant statistical heterogeneity was identified ($I^2 = 97\%$ Chi² = 247.10, P<0.00001). Publication bias assessment is shown using a funnel plot, **fig 2**. No selective reporting of outcomes was found for any study when comparing methods of studies to results. There was no significant indication of publication bias, Egger p-value = 0.64. The results of subgroup analyses of studies investigating migraine with and without aura showed a significant co-morbid

association between MS and migraine without aura (OR = 2.29 (CI = 1.14-4.58)) without any significant heterogeneity (**Table 3** and Figure 3).

Discussion

This meta-analysis has shown that there is a significant association between migraine and MS, with MS patients being more than twice as likely to report migraine as controls. Limitations of this study include the nature of the studies included and study heterogeneity [20]. Of note, in subgroup analyses, migraine without aura was significantly increased in patients with MS (OR = 2.29 (CI = 1.14-4.58)) without any significant heterogeneity. The heterogeneity may be in part explained by variation in the demographic characteristics (as shown in table 1), recall/reporting/interviewer bias of migraine in some studies as this data was largely collected by questionnaire/interview and ascertainment/selection bias of MS patients and controls. As indicated in Table 2, we found some discrepancy in the quality of the studies and the potential for bias. Bias may result in an over or under-estimation of ORs depending on whether the bias is differential or non-differential with respect to MS patients and controls. It can be speculated that MS patients are more likely to be in contact with a

Table 3. Odds ratio and 95% confidence intervals for subgroup studies.

Subgroup of studies	Odds ratio with 95% CI	P-value	Number of studies	Heterogeneity
Migraine with aura	0.8 (0.29–2.16)	P = 0.65	3[16–18]	Tau ² = 0.34; Chi ² = 3.55, df = 2 (P = 0.17); I^2 = 44%
Migraine without aura	2.29 (1.14–4.58)	P = 0.02	3[16–18]	Tau ² = 0.21; Chi ² = 4.62, df = 2 (P = 0.10); l ² = 57%

Abbreviations: CI = confidence interval, df = degree of freedom. Tau² estimates the between-study variance and I^2 describes the proportion of variation estimated to be due to heterogeneity.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0045295.t003



Figure 3. Forest plot of comparison: MS patients vs. control. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0045295.g003

neurologist and may thus be more likely to report migraine and/ or have migraine detected, resulting in an overestimated OR. Similarly, an MS patient may be more attentive to the frequency and severity of migraine.

Whereas the occurrence of migraine without aura but not migraine with aura was found to be significantly more frequent in MS patients, it is plausible that small sample sizes in studies investigating migraine with aura meant there was insufficient power to detect significance.

Further, the study by Rolak and Brown, which was not included in this investigation as the controls had neurological disorders, found migraine reported in 22/104 MS patients vs. in 10/100 control neurological patients, OR 2.41 (CI 1.08- 5.40) [10].

The mechanism behind any association between MS and migraine remains to be determined but a number of hypotheses exist. Nicoletti et al compared the age of onset of both conditions which was 33.6 -+10.8 years for MS and 19.5+-7.4 years for migraine [18]. Since migraine often precedes MS by numerous years, as also shown by Kister et al, another potential explanation is that migraine could be a potential risk factor for MS [11]. However, cortical demyelination has been shown to accelerate cortical spreading depression in rodent models of autoimmune induced cortical demyelination, a key aspect in migraine pathophysiology [21]. Migraine may therefore result from early MS lesions. Importance of lesion location in MS patients has also been suggested following observations that lesions within the midbrain are more commonly associated with co-morbid migraine in MS patients. This may be explained by the presence of the periaqueductal gray matter (PAG) within the midbrain which is suspected to have a role in migraine aetiology [22,23].

Immune therapies used to treat MS may also a have a role as evidence for a migraine-inducing role of interferon beta exists [24], however, immune therapies could only ever provide a small part of the complete explanation due to the fact that migraine often precedes MS. Interferon beta treatment is also thought to worsen pre-existing migraine, suggesting it may not be implicated in the aetiology of the migraine itself [25]. Notably, evidence suggests that the other disease modifying therapies glatiramer acetate and natalizumab do not appear to worsen migraine, and indeed some patients may want to take this into consideration as switching from interferon beta may help reduce both frequency and severity of migraine [26-27]. Furthermore, stress and anxiety, which often accompany MS, are thought to be very likely causes of increased migraine frequency and chronification [28]. One further suggestion is that instead of one condition causing the other, both conditions have a common pathway in their underlying cause.

Before any of the above hypotheses can be confirmed, more studies specifically investigating whether MS precedes migraine or vice versa would be necessary to determine the temporal relationship of the demonstrated association and clarify whether migraine is as a risk-factor, co-morbidity or symptom of MS. Regardless, the key aspect of this study is the potentially underappreciated finding of migraine being more common in MS patients and as a major potential cause of poorer quality of life, it should be actively looked for and treated.

Author Contributions

Conceived and designed the experiments: SVR. Performed the experiments: JP. Analyzed the data: JP AEH GG RD SVR. Wrote the paper: JP AEH GG RD SVR.

References

- Noseworthy JH, Lucchinetti C, Rodriguez M, Weinshenker BG (2000) Multiple sclerosis. N Engl J Med 343: 938–952.
- Aydemir N, Ozkara C, Unsal P, Canbeyli R (2011) A comparative study of health related quality of life, psychological well-being, impact of illness and stigma in epilepsy and migraine. Seizure 20: 679–685.
- Lipton RB, Bigal ME, Diamond M, Freitag F, Reed ML, et al. (2007) Migraine prevalence, disease burden, and the need for preventive therapy. Neurology 68: 343–349.
- Gustavsson A, Svensson M, Jacobi F, Allgulander C, Alonso J, et al. (2011) Cost of disorders of the brain in europe 2010. Eur Neuropsychopharmacol 21: 718– 779.
- D'Amico D, La Mantia L, Rigamonti A, Usai S, Mascoli N, et al. (2004) Prevalence of primary headaches in people with multiple sclerosis. Cephalalgia 24: 980–984.
- La Mantia L(2009) Headache and multiple sclerosis: Clinical and therapeutic correlations. Neurol Sci 30 Suppl 1:S23–26.
- Rosati G (2001) The prevalence of multiple sclerosis in the world: An update. Neurol Sci 22: 117–139.
- Stewart WF, Lipton RB, Liberman J (1996) Variation in migraine prevalence by race. Neurology 47: 52–59.
- Mc AD, Compston N (1952) Some aspects of the natural history of disseminated sclerosis. QJ Med 21: 135–167.
- Rolak LA, Brown S (1990) Headaches and multiple sclerosis: A clinical study and review of the literature. J Neurol 237: 300–302.
- Kister I, Munger KL, Herbert J, Ascherio A (2012) Increased risk of multiple sclerosis among women with migraine in the nurses' health study ii. Mult Scler J 18: 90–97.
- Zorzon M, Zivadinov R, Nasuelli D, Dolfini P, Bosco A, et al. (2003) Risk factors of multiple sclerosis: A case-control study. Neurological Sciences 24: 242–247.
- Watkins SM, Espir M (1969) Migraine and multiple sclerosis. J Neurol Neurosur Ps 32: 35-&.
- Putzki N, Pfriem A, Limmroth V, Yaldizli O, Tettenborn B, et al. (2009) Prevalence of migraine, tension-type headache and trigeminal neuralgia in multiple sclerosis. Eur J Neurol 16: 262–267.
- Kister I, Caminero AB, Monteith TS, Soliman A, Bacon TE, et al. (2010) Migraine is comorbid with multiple sclerosis and associated with a more symptomatic ms course. J Headache Pain 11: 417–425.

- Katsiari CG, Vikelis M, Paraskevopoulou ES, Sfikakis PP, Mitsikostas DD (2011) Headache in systemic lupus erythematosus vs multiple sclerosis: A prospective comparative study. Headache 51: 1398–1407.
- Vacca G, Marano E, Morra VB, Lanzillo R, De Vito M, et al. (2007) Multiple sclerosis and headache co-morbidity. A case-control study. Neurological Sciences 28: 133–13.
- Nicoletti A, Patti F, Lo Fermo S, Liberto A, Castiglione A, et al. (2008) Headache and multiple sclerosis: A population-based case-control study in catania, sicily. Cephalalgia 28: 1163–1169.
- Ried K (2006) Interpreting and understanding meta-analysis graphs–a practical guide. Australian family physician 35: 635–638.
- 20. Sackett DL (1979) Bias in analytic research. J Chron Dis 32: 51-63.
- Merkler D, Klinker F, Jurgens T, Glaser R, Paulus W, et al. (2009) Propagation of spreading depression inversely correlates with cortical myelin content. Ann Neurol 66: 355–365.
- Gee JR, Chang J, Dublin AB, Vijayan N (2005) The association of brainstem lesions with migraine-like headache: An imaging study of multiple sclerosis. Headache 45: 670–677.
- Tortorella P, Rocca MA, Colombo B, Annovazzi P, Comi G, et al. (2006) Assessment of mri abnormalities of the brainstem from patients with migraine and multiple sclerosis. J Neurol Sci 244: 137–141.
- D'Amico D, La Mantia L, Rigamonti A, Usai S, Mascoli N, et al. (2004) Prevalence of primary headaches in people with multiple sclerosis. Cephalalgia 24: 980–984.
- La Mantia L, D'Amico D, Rigamonti A, Mascoli N, Bussone G, Milanese C (2006) Interferon treatment may trigger primary headaches in multiple sclerosis patients. Mult Scler 12: 476–480.
- Villani V, Prosperini L, De Giglio L, Pozzilli C, Salvetti M, et al. (2012) The impact of interferon Beta and natalizumab on comorbid migraine in multiple sclerosis. Headache 52: 1130–1135.
- Pollmann W, Erasmus LP, Feneberg W, Then Bergh F, Straube A. (2002) Interferon beta but not glatiramer acetate therapy aggravates headaches in MS. Neurology 59: 636–639.
- Bigal ME, Lipton RB (2008) Concepts and mechanisms of migraine chronification. Headache 48: 7–15.