



The University of Manchester Research

A lightweight approach to research object data packaging

DOI: https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.3250687

Document Version

Accepted author manuscript

Link to publication record in Manchester Research Explorer

Citation for published version (APA): O Carragáin, E., Goble, C., Sefton, P., & Soiland-Reyes, S. (2019). *A lightweight approach to research object data packaging*. Abstract from Bioinformatics Open Source Conference (BOSC) 2019, Basel, Switzerland. https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.3250687

Citing this paper

Please note that where the full-text provided on Manchester Research Explorer is the Author Accepted Manuscript or Proof version this may differ from the final Published version. If citing, it is advised that you check and use the publisher's definitive version.

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the Research Explorer are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

Takedown policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please refer to the University of Manchester's Takedown Procedures [http://man.ac.uk/04Y6Bo] or contact uml.scholarlycommunications@manchester.ac.uk providing relevant details, so we can investigate your claim.



A lightweight approach to Research Object data packaging

Eoghan Ó Carragáin, Carole Goble, Peter Sefton, Stian Soiland-Reyes

A **Research Object** (RO) provides a machine-readable mechanism to communicate the diverse set of digital and real-world resources that contribute to an item of research. The aim of an RO is to replace traditional academic publications of static PDFs, to rather provide a complete and structured archive of the items (such as people, organisations, funding, equipment, software etc) that contributed to the research outcome, including their identifiers, provenance, relations and annotations. This is increasingly important as researchers now rely heavily on computational analysis, yet we are facing a *reproducibility crisis* [1] as key components are often not sufficiently tracked, archived or reported.

We propose **Research Object Crate** (or **RO-Crate** for short), an emerging lightweight approach to package research data with their structured metadata, based on schema.org annotations in a formalized JSON-LD format that can be used independent of infrastructure to encourage FAIR sharing of reproducible datasets and analytical methods.

Background

Earlier work introduced the notion of *Research Objects* [2]. Their formalization combines existing *Linked Data* standards: W3C RDF, JSON-LD, OAI-ORE, W3C Web Annotations, PROV, Dublin Core Terms, ORCID. The <u>RO ontologies</u> [3] combined these to describe ROs, but do not themselves formalize how ROs are saved or transmitted. Multiple formats have since been realized: the portal <u>RO Hub</u> [4] use RDF REST resources; while workflow provenance make <u>RO Bundle</u> ZIP files [5] or Big Data <u>BagIt</u> archives [6, 7]. Each of these require RO support in the packaging infrastructure.

Multiple *data packaging* initiatives have recently emerged, within <u>Research Data Alliance</u>, <u>Force11</u>, <u>DataOne</u> and elsewhere; like <u>Frictionless data</u> [8] for table-like files, <u>BioCompute Objects</u> for regulatory science [9], <u>CodeMeta</u> for software, <u>Psych-DS</u> for psychology studies, and <u>DataCrate</u> [10] for datasets. RDA has surveyed a large variety of <u>data packaging formats</u> across different domains.

Common among these is *structured metadata*, e.g. with a single JSON file that refer to neighbouring data files and scripts maintained and published together, e.g. in GitHub. Many of these initiatives use <u>schema.org</u> [11] as basis for common metadata. With <u>JSON-LD</u> this offers a developer-friendly experience and interoperability with web conventions outside of the research domain.

Data packaging principles

At a <u>RDA meeting on data packaging</u> we concluded that many initiatives arrive at similar principles: simple folder structure; JSON-LD manifest; schema.org for core metadata; BagIt for fixity; OAI-ORE for aggregation. This points to: a) appetite for general package/folder-oriented approach in different contexts; b) a generic solution won't work for all and needs to be domain-extensible; c) a tendency to re-invent the wheel, leading to sub-optimal interoperability and duplication of effort.



We have identified a gap for a solid base format for data packaging that also allow communities to build domain-specific solutions. <u>Frictionless data</u> [8] could arguably fill this gap, with mature specifications and a strong design philosophy, however as an independent JSON format it does not fully apply Linked Data principles, and would be harder to use in FAIR integrations and extensions.

Our proposal is to build on <u>DataCrate</u> [10] to evolve <u>RO-Crate</u>, based around these principles: a) metadata as Linked Data, using schema.org as much as possible; b) extensible for different domains; c) retain the core <u>Research Object principles</u> *Identity*, *Aggregation*, *Annotation*; d) inferred metadata rather than repetition; e) "just-enough" provenance; f) layered validation; g) archivable with Baglt; h) hooks to reuse existing domain formats; i) lightweight programmatic generation and consumption. Similar to the approach of <u>BioSchemas</u>, rather than building new specifications from scratch, we aim to build best-practice guides and validatable profiles for building rich research data packages with existing standards, without requiring expert knowledge for developing producers and consumers.

Building community consensus

RO-Crate is a fresh initiative, bringing together data archive and repository maintainers with existing Research Object, workflow and provenance communities. Starting as a small cross-domain group, organically formed to build the core principles and first sketches of their use, we are now expanding to collect use cases and reaching out to other packaging initiatives to build common ground.

One emerging use of RO-Crate is for capturing workflows and tools in a federated *workflow repository* being built in <u>EOSC-Life</u>, a large European Open Science Cloud project across 13 research infrastructures in the life science domain. However RO-Crate is also aiming to be usable by individual scientists with no particular infrastructure beyond <u>Jupyter notebook</u>, who may not have the time or motivation to use a cascade of metadata vocabularies and research data management tools [12].

RO-Crate development and discussion is done openly in a <u>GitHub repository</u> by volunteers, with monthly telcons to synchronize the effort. Anyone can join to help form the RO-Crate approach.

References

- [1] Monya Baker (2016): 1,500 scientists lift the lid on reproducibility. Nature 533. https://doi.org/10.1038/533452a
- [2] Sean Bechhofer et al (2013): Why Linked Data is Not Enough for Scientists, Future Generation Computer Systems 29(2) https://doi.org/10.1016/j.future.2011.08.004
- [3] Khalid Belhajjame et al (2015): Using a suite of ontologies for preserving workflow-centric research objects. Web Semantics: Science, Services and Agents on the World Wide Web, <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.websem.2015.01.003</u>
- [4] Jose Manuel Gomez-Perez et al (2017): Towards a Human-Machine Scientific Partnership Based on Semantically Rich Research Objects. IEEE 13th International Conference on e-Science (<u>e-Science 2017</u>). <u>https://doi.org/10.1109/eScience.2017.40</u> [preprint available]
- [5] Stian Soiland-Reyes, Pinar Alper, Carole Goble (2016): Tracking workflow execution with TavernaProv. At ProvenanceWeek 2016; PROV: Three Years Later. 6 Jun 2016, Washington DC, US. <u>https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.51314</u>
- [6] Ravi K Madduri et al (2018): Reproducible big data science: A case study in continuous FAIRness. https://doi.org/10.1101/268755
- [7] Farah Zaib Khan et al (2018): Sharing interoperable workflow provenance: A review of best practices and their practical application in CWLProv. Submitted to *GigaScience*. <u>https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.1966881</u>
- [8] Jo Barratt, Serah Rono (2018): Frictionless Data and Data Packages. At Workshop on Research Objects (RO 2018), 29 Oct 2018, Amsterdam, Netherlands. <u>https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.1301152</u>
- [9] Gil Alterovitz et al (2018): Enabling Precision Medicine via standard communication of NGS provenance, analysis, and results. PLOS Biology. 16(12):e3000099 <u>https://doi.org/10.1371/iournal.pbio.3000099</u>
- [10] Peter Sefton et al (2018): DataCrate: a method of packaging, distributing, displaying and archiving Research Objects. At Workshop on Research Objects (RO 2018), 29 Oct 2018, Amsterdam, Netherlands. <u>https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.1445817</u>
- [11]R. V. Guha, Dan Brickley, Steve Macbeth (2016): Schema.org: evolution of structured data on the web. Communications of the ACM 59(2). <u>https://doi.org/10.1145/2844544</u>
- [12] Cameron Neylon (2017): As a researcher...I'm a bit bloody fed up with Data Management. Blog Science in the Open. <u>http://cameronneylon.net/blog/as-a-researcher-im-a-bit-bloody-fed-up-with-data-management/</u> [archived 2019-04-12]

Part of this work has been done at the BioExcel CoE (<u>www.bioexcel.eu</u>), a project funded by the European Union contracts H2020-INERAEDI-02-2018-823830, H2020-EINERA-2015-1-675728,