This document is confidential and is proprietary to the American Chemical Society and its authors. Do not copy or disclose without written permission. If you have received this item in error, notify the sender and delete all copies.

Direct depolymerization coupled to liquid extraction surface analysis high-resolution mass spectrometry for the characterization of the surface of plant tissues

Journal:	Analytical Chemistry
Manuscript ID	ac-2019-01094u.R2
Manuscript Type:	Article
Date Submitted by the Author:	24-May-2019
Complete List of Authors:	Giorio, Chiara; Universita degli Studi di Padova, Department of Chemical Sciences Moyroud, Edwige; University of Cambridge, Department of Plant Sciences Glover, Beverley J.; University of Cambridge, Department of Plant Sciences Kalberer, Markus; University of Cambridge, Chemistry



Direct depolymerization coupled to liquid extraction surface analysis high-resolution mass spectrometry for the characterization of the surface of plant tissues

Chiara Giorio^{1,2*}, Edwige Moyroud³, Beverley J. Glover⁴, and Markus Kalberer^{1,5}

¹ Department of Chemistry, University of Cambridge, Lensfield Road, Cambridge, CB2 1EW, United Kingdom

² Department of Chemical Sciences, University of Padua, via Marzolo 1, 35131 Padova, Italy

³ The Sainsbury Laboratory Cambridge University, Bateman Street, Cambridge CB2 1LR, United Kingdom

⁴ Department of Plant Sciences, University of Cambridge, Downing Street, Cambridge, CB2 3EA, United Kingdom

⁵ Department of Environmental Sciences, University of Basel, Klingelbergstrasse 27, 4056 Basel, Switzerland

*corresponding author: chiara.giorio@unipd.it

4 Abstract

The cuticle, the outermost layer covering the epidermis of most aerial organs of land plants, can have a heterogenous composition even on the surface of the same organ. The main cuticle component is the polymer cutin which, depending on its chemical composition and structure, can have different biophysical properties. In this study, we introduce a new on-surface depolymerization method coupled to liquid extraction surface analysis (LESA) high-resolution mass spectrometry (HRMS) for a fast and spatially resolved chemical characterization of the cuticle of plant tissues. The method is composed of an on-surface saponification, followed by extraction with LESA using a chloroformacetonitrile-water (49:49:2) mixture and direct HRMS detection. The method is also compared with LESA-HRMS without prior depolymerization for the analysis of the surface of the petals of *Hibiscus richardsonii* flowers, which have a ridged cuticle in the proximal region and a smooth cuticle in the distal region. We found that on-surface saponification is effective enough to depolymerize the cutin into its monomeric constituents thus allowing detection of compounds that were not otherwise accessible without a depolymerization step. The effect of the depolymerization procedure was more pronounced for the ridged/proximal cuticle, which is thicker and richer in epicuticular waxes compared with the cuticle in the smooth/distal region of the petal.

31 Keywords

Hibiscus richardsonii; LESA-MS; cuticle; direct surface analysis; depolymerization; petal

33 Introduction

The epidermal layer of most aerial organs of land plants is covered with a cuticle that acts as a permeable barrier against water vapor loss and provides protection against external stressors.^{1,2} The main component of the cuticle is cutin, a lipid polymer which can have different biophysical properties depending on its precise chemical composition and structure.³ As an example, arrays of regularly spaced nano-scale ridges, or striations, were found in the cuticle on the flat epidermal cells of Hibiscus trionum (also known as Venice mallow or flower-of-an-hour) and many species of tulips. These cuticular striations act as a diffraction grating and create an iridescent effect where the color of the petal surface varies with the observation angle.^{4–7} Such optical properties have been shown to be salient to pollinators,⁶⁻⁸ however the specific mechanisms by which plants can create striations and diffraction gratings on their surface are not well understood. As such mechanisms could be chemistry-driven, an in-depth chemical characterization of both the striated and non-striated portions of the surface of the same petal would be key to identify the compounds underpinning the chemical process. Previous studies showed a heterogenous composition of the cuticle even on the same organ.^{2,9–11} Thus there is a need to perform a spatially resolved characterization of the cuticle chemistry on the surface of the same organ.

Direct surface analysis techniques like desorption electrospray ionization mass spectrometry (DESI-MS) and liquid extraction surface analysis mass spectrometry (LESA-MS) have proved useful to gain insights into the composition of plant surfaces.^{12,13} DESI-MS can provide a higher spatial resolution compared with LESA-MS (100-200 µm and ~1 mm for DESI-MS and LESA-MS, respectively) but LESA-MS allows the control of extraction time.^{12,13} Moreover, while a standard application of DESI-MS or LESA-MS is suitable for a fast, spatially resolved analysis, it is mainly sensitive to metabolites or free cutin monomers present on the surface, but it is not able to provide information on the composition of the cutin polymer or to detect metabolites deeply embedded in the cutin matrix.

In order to characterize the cutin polymer with mass spectrometry it is necessary to depolymerize it to break down the macromolecules into their monomeric constituents. Typically, this is done by extracting and depolymerizing bulk samples of cutin, thus losing any spatial resolution on the same tissue and risking contaminations from compounds coming from the bulk of the sample rather than the surface only.^{14–20} Another option is to mechanically strip off²¹ the cuticle before extraction and depolymerization. This procedure, however, is not always possible. For example, on tissues such as petals, that are fragile, it does not completely circumvent the problem of contamination from tissues under the cuticle surface. Therefore, there is a need for a fast and spatially resolved depolymerization approach that selectively targets only the surface.

Page 3 of 25

Analytical Chemistry

59 85

In this study, we introduce a new method for the characterization of the surface of plant tissues by a direct on-surface depolymerization of the cuticle followed by LESA-MS analysis. Depolymerization was done by adapting a method proposed by Mendez-Millan et al.22 for bulk samples which was modified here into a fast and direct approach that provides spatially resolved characterization on the surface of the same organ. This method was successfully applied to the characterization of the cuticle of the petals of *Hibiscus richardsonii*,^{23,24} a flower characterized by a ridged/proximal and a smooth/distal portion (Figure 1). Cuticular ridges are characterized by a sub-µm distance between a crest and its following trough (Figure 1), thus neither DESI-MS nor LESA-MS provide sufficient spatial resolution to characterize the intra-ridge chemical composition. However, the ridged/proximal and smooth/distal portions both extend for centimeters on a petal surface so both DESI-MS and LESA-MS would allow one to analyze separately the chemical composition of each of the two portions of the petals. LESA-MS additionally allows the control of extraction time and potentially allows incorporation of a depolymerization step into an automatic routine. Chemical composition of the different portions of the petals are here compared and discussed to gain insights concerning the compounds that may play a role in the formation of cuticular ridges on the surface of the petals.



Figure 1. Picture of a *Hibiscus richardsonii* flower and scanning electron microscopy (SEM) images of (1) the striated proximal region, (2) the junction between the smooth and striated regions, (3) the smooth region next to the junction and (4) the smooth distal region.

87 Materials and Methods

1 2 3

4 5

6 7

8 9 10

11

12 13

14 15

16 17

18 19

37

86

88 Plant Growth Conditions

Seeds of *Hibiscus richardsonii* (Voucher AK251841, Mayor Island (Tuhua), New Zealand) were obtained from Dr. Brian G. Murray (University of Auckland). Plants were grown to flowering in Levington's M3 compost in a controlled greenhouse environment at 26 °C with a 16 hour/8 hour light/dark regime.

93 Sample preparation and analysis

20 94 *Sample preparation* 21

Sample preparation was done according to the procedure already described in a previous study.¹³ 95 22 23 96 Briefly, petals of *Hibiscus richardsonii* were detached from the flowers using tweezers, cleaned with 24 25 97 a dry white nylon brush and a gentle stream of N₂, and placed on a movable liquid extraction surface 26 analysis (LESA, Triversa NanoMate Advion, Ithaca, NY, USA) sample stage covered with cleaned 27 98 28 aluminum foil.¹³ On some petals, LESA was done using a non-polar (chloroform-acetonitrile-water 99 29 30 100 (49:49:2)) solvent mixture or a polar (acetonitrile-water (90:10)) solvent mixture without prior 31 32 101 depolymerization, respectively.¹³ 0.1% formic acid was added to the water used for preparation of 33 34 102 the extraction mixtures in order to increase spray stability and ionization efficiency.¹³ Other petals 35 ₃₆103 were subjected to depolymerization before analysis.

³⁸ 104 Depolymerization ³⁹

40 105 Depolymerization of the cutin was done via saponification directly on the surface of the petals through 41 42¹⁰⁶ a simplified procedure adapted from the method used by Mendez-Millan et al.²² A droplet of about 43 107 20 µL volume of reagent mixture (6% KOH in 10:90 water/methanol) was placed on the smooth/distal 44 45 108 surface and another droplet was placed on the ridged/proximal surface of the petals using a Pasteur 46 47 109 pipette. The petals were left at room temperature for 30 minutes for depolymerizing the cutin and 48 49 110 drying of the solvent on the petal surface before analysis. Immediately after depolymerization, liquid ⁵⁰ 111 extraction surface analysis was done as described in section "LESA-HRMS analysis" using the non-51 polar chloroform-acetonitrile-water (49:49:2) mixture¹³ to minimize solubilization of KOH, which 52 1 1 2 53 ₅₄ 113 could potentially cause corrosion of MS internal components. Nevertheless, the non-polar mixture is ⁵⁵ 114 also the most suitable mixture for solubilization of cutin and wax monomers.

- 57
- 58
- 59 60

Analytical Chemistry

1

115 LESA-HRMS analysis

LESA high-resolution mass spectrometry (HRMS) analysis was done on both depolymerized and 116 non-depolymerized samples according to the procedure already described in a previous study.¹³ 117 Briefly, 3 µL of extraction mixture were deposited at a height of 1.4 mm from the sample plate, the 118 10119 liquid junction was maintained for 30 s and 45 s for the non-polar and polar extraction mixtures, 11 respectively; the droplets containing the dissolved analytes were then aspirated at a height of 1.2 mm 12 120 13 14 121 from the sample plate and infused directly in a chip-based nanoESI source (Triversa NanoMate ¹⁵ 122 Advion, Ithaca, NY, USA) operating in negative ionization mode. NanoMate temperature was set at 16 17 123 16 °C to reduce solvent evaporation, especially when the non-polar mixture was used, and to allow 18 19 124 for longer extraction times to be used compared with previous studies.^{25,26} A direct contact of the tip ²⁰ 125 with the sample surface, rather than forming a liquid microjunction, could also allow for longer 22 126 extraction times^{27,28} but was not explored in our study. Blanks were analyzed by repeating the same 23 24 127 procedure (depolymerization and extraction or extraction only) on the clean aluminum foil. Mass 25 ²³₂₆128 spectrometry analysis of the LESA extracts was done with a LTQ Velos Orbitrap mass spectrometer ²⁷ 129 (Thermo Scientific, Bremen, Germany) with a resolution of 100 000 at m/z 400 and a typical mass 29 1 3 0 accuracy within ± 2 ppm. Samples were sprayed at a gas (N₂) pressure of 0.80 psi, ionization voltage 30 31 131 of -1.4 kV (negative ionization mode), and with a transfer capillary temperature of 210 °C. Data were 32 ³²₃₃132 acquired in full scan in the m/z ranges 80–600 and 150–1000, and auto MS/MS analysis on the five ³⁴ 133 35 most intense peaks with a collision-induced dissociation (CID) energy of 35 (normalized collision 36 1 34 energy). Concerning data processing, molecular formulas were assigned using Xcalibur 2.1 (Thermo 37 38 135 Scientific, Bremen, Germany) within $a \pm 5$ ppm error and under the following restrictions: number of ³⁹ 136 $^{12}C = 1-100$, $^{13}C = 0-1$, H = 1-200, O = 0-50, N = 0-2, $^{32}S = 0-1$ and $^{34}S = 0-1$. Data were then filtered 41 137 using a Mathematica 10 (Wolfram Research Inc., UK) code developed in-house and already described 42 elsewhere²⁹ which uses a series of rules (e.g. nitrogen rule, isotope ratios) and element ratios ($O/C \le$ 43 138 44 45 139 2, H/C \ge 0.3, H/C \le 2.5, N/C \le 0.5, S/C \le 0.2) to determine a list of chemically meaningful formula ⁴⁶ 140 assignments. More details about instrumental settings, calibrations and data processing procedures 47 can be found elsewhere.^{13,29,30} The following discussion refers to CHO compounds only, which are 48 141 49 the most relevant cuticle building-blocks¹¹ and represent almost entirely the compounds detected in 50 142 ⁵¹ 52 143 this study.

54 1 4 4 Statistical analysis

53

55 56 145 Principal component analysis (PCA) was done using Statistica 10 (StatSoft Inc., Tulsa, OK, USA), 57 58 146 on 16 samples, representing different spots analyzed (with direct depolymerization followed by ⁵⁹ 147 LESA-HRMS) on the ridged/proximal and smooth/distal portions of the petals, and 587 active 60

148 variables, describing the absolute intensities of the predominant ions (most intense) in the mass149 spectra.

151 **Results and Discussion**

1 2 3

4

5 6

10

11 The new cuticle characterization method developed here was adapted from Mendez-Millan et al.22 to 152 12 13 1 5 3 translate a bulk saponification procedure into a direct/on surface saponification of the cutin prior to 14 LESA-HRMS analysis. Saponification was chosen over transesterification and CuO oxidation 15 154 16 17 155 because it was the most effective method for analysis of the cutin of maize²² and because it produces ¹⁸ 156 19 free fatty acid anions that can be easily ionized with LESA-HRMS. The saponification method used by Mendez-Millan et al.²² consisted of: (i) removal of free-lipids with dichloromethane extraction in 20 1 5 7 21 22 158 an ultrasonic bath, (ii) reflux for 18 h with a solution of 6% KOH in methanol/water (90:10), (iii) ²³ 24 159 filtration and washing of the residues with methanol, (iv) acidification, (v) liquid-liquid extraction 25 160 with dichloromethane and (vi) concentration in a rotary evaporator. Compared with the method from 26 27 161 Mendez-Millan et al.²², the method we proposed here is much faster (30 mins vs. 18 h), easier (single 28 29 162 step method) and spatially-resolved. It also uses much less solvents (~20 µL vs. hundreds of mL used ³⁰ 163 in several extraction steps in addition to the reflux) and could potentially be incorporated into an 31 32 164 automatic routine with LESA-HRMS analysis. However, the method used by Mendez-Millan et al.²² 33 is exhaustive and quantitative, in contrast to the qualitative but fast, spatially-resolved and direct 34 165 33 36 166 35 method proposed here.

³⁷ 167 Another important aspect concerns the possible contamination arising from the tissues under the 38 cuticle surface. Unlike the method we describe here, bulk extraction methods, as those used by 39 168 40 41 169 Mendez-Millan et al.²² and others,^{14–20} are used on the whole sample, and not just the surface, and 42 43 170 therefore are intrinsically a mixture of the cuticle and other compartments of the petal where unique 44 171 signatures of the cuticle are more difficult to isolate. Alternatively, the surface of the petal could be 45 stripped off mechanically and subjected to depolymerization.²¹ However, fragile tissues, like petals, 46 172 47 48 173 can easily break during such procedure therefore failing to prevent the problem of contaminations ⁴⁹ 174 50 coming from the underlining tissues.

The results obtained with the new method developed here are compared with LESA-HRMS analysis done using a procedure without saponification, as used in previous studies.^{13,31} This comparison allows the assessment of whether a direct depolymerization is efficient enough to bring new insights into the composition of the cuticle of *Hibiscus richardsonii* petals in both the smooth/distal and the ridged/proximal portions.

The HRMS analysis allows for an unambiguous determination of molecular formulas for the peaks detected in the mass spectra following the method described above. Figure 2 shows the overlap and specificity of molecular formulas obtained with the different methods used in this study. While some molecular formulas were identified by all methods, the vast majority of compounds were only 10 184 detected by a single method. In particular, the newly proposed method using depolymerization coupled to LESA-HRMS analysis provided 1020 new molecular formulas for the smooth/distal 12 185 region and 1146 new molecular formulas for the ridged/proximal portion of the petals that were not otherwise accessible without depolymerization.



Figure 2. Venn diagrams showing the overlap and specificity of the different methods in terms of number of 49 191 assigned molecular formulas for the smooth/distal (a) and ridged/proximal (b) portions of the petals.

51 192

53 193 The total number of peaks with an assigned formula, the total ion current (TIC), together with average O/C, H/C, double bond equivalents (DBE) and carbon oxidation state (\overline{OSc}) for the smooth/distal and ⁵⁶ 195 ridged/proximal portions are reported in Table 1 for all extraction procedures tested. Numbers 58 196 reported consider three different spots on each portion (smooth/distal vs. ridged/proximal) of the ₆₀ 197 surface of the petals, for at least three petals coming from at least two different flowers. Table 1 shows

Analytical Chemistry

that not only more peaks were detected with the depolymerization method but also TIC was higher, for both the smooth/distal and ridged/proximal portions of the petals by doing a depolymerization prior to LESA-HRMS analysis. The effect of the depolymerization is more prominent for the ridged/proximal portion where the number of detected peaks increased roughly two-fold compared 10 202 with LESA-HRMS analysis without depolymerization and the TIC increased by about two orders of magnitude. This is evident also from Figure 3, showing the mass spectra of the smooth/distal and 12 203 13 204 ridged/proximal portions of the petals obtained with LESA-HRMS with and without prior ¹⁵ 205 depolymerization, respectively. In particular, the depolymerization allowed us to extract many more 17 206 compounds with high molecular weights around 200-400 Da and 600-800 Da compared with LESA-19 207 HRMS analysis without prior depolymerization. ²⁰₂₁208

22 209 Table 1. Total number of peaks detected (N), total ion current (TIC), and average double bond equivalents (DBE), ²³₂₄210 O/C, H/C and carbon oxidation state (\overline{OSc}) of all formulas in the mass spectra from the smooth/distal and 25 211 ridged/proximal portions of the petals of Hibiscus richardsonii derived from the three different extraction methods.

Portion of the petals	Extraction methods	Ν	TIC	DBE	O/C	H/C	OS c
	(extraction solvent)		(a.u.)				
Smooth/distal	LESA (polar mixture)	641	3.83x10 ⁷	10	0.58	1.32	-0.15
Smooth/distal	LESA (non-polar mixture)	1073	5.48x10 ⁶	7	0.45	1.45	-0.56
Smooth/distal	Depolymerization + LESA (non-polar mixture)	1395	6.07x10 ⁷	8	0.29	1.58	-1.01
Ridged/proximal	LESA (polar mixture)	743	6.44x10 ⁶	8	0.46	1.49	-0.57
Ridged/proximal	LESA (non-polar mixture)	990	3.77x10 ⁶	7	0.46	1.43	-0.52
Ridged/proximal	Depolymerization + LESA (non-polar mixture)	1601	1.75x10 ⁸	6	0.25	1.67	-1.16

³¹215

29 2 1 4



Figure 3. Mass spectra of the smooth/distal (top panels) and ridged/proximal (bottom panels) portions of the petals obtained using the three different extraction methods.

33 216 The van Krevelen diagram in Figure 4 shows the H/C and O/C ratio distributions of the molecular formulas detected using the three extraction methods. It can be seen from Figure 4 that the majority ³⁶218 of peaks detected after depolymerization of the cutin are distributed in the region of lipids (red 38 2 1 9 square), the region corresponding to low O/C and high H/C. This is also confirmed by the data 40 220 reported in Table 1, where it is evident that, on average, molecular formulas in the depolymerization extracts have a lower O/C, lower DBE (for the ridged part) and higher H/C, which are typical of lipid ⁴³ 222 compounds. It is also worth noticing from Figure 4 that not all compounds detected using LESA-45 223 HRMS without depolymerization are also detected after depolymerization. This might be due to a ... 47 224 degradation of plant metabolites and/or suppression of the signal of those compounds because of competitive ionization in the source of the mass spectrometer.

- 50 226

1.5

2.0



Figure 4. Van Krevelen diagram showing the distribution of all molecular formulas detected on the smooth/distal ₃₃ 229 and the ridged/proximal portions (combined) of the petals of Hibiscus richardsonii using different extraction 34 2 3 0 solvents, with or without prior depolymerization. The red square (and zoomed region on the bottom part of the 36 231 figure) indicates the area of lipids, compounds that were more efficiently extracted after depolymerization of the 37 2 32 cutin.

0.3

41 234 The Kendrick mass defect plot in Figure 5 helps to identify homologous series of compounds having the same number of rings/double bonds and heteroatoms but different chain lengths. Main series ⁴⁴ 236 detected through depolymerization coupled to LESA-HRMS analysis are long-chain fatty acids, 46 2 37 hydroxy fatty acids, dihydroxy fatty acids, and monounsaturated hydroxy fatty acids (blue, light-blue ... 48 238 and green series of horizontal data points in Figure 5), which are all known components of plants epicuticular and intracuticular waxes.^{2,11,13} A list of the main compounds detected using the new 51 240 method is reported in Table 2, including tentative assignments based on the molecular formulas or MS/MS spectra where available. The majority of the compounds detected exclusively after 53 241 depolymerization are long-chain fatty acids and high-molecular weight compounds tentatively ⁵⁶ 243 associated with monogalactosyldiacylglycerol (MGDG) lipids.

- 58 2 4 4

30 227

25 251

²⁶ 252



17 246 Figure 5. Kendrick mass defect plot in -CH₂ base showing the distribution of all molecular formulas detected on ¹⁸ 247 the petals of Hibiscus richardsonii (smooth/distal and ridged/proximal portions combined) with prior ₂₀ 248 depolymerization. The color scale shows the number of oxygen atoms in the formulas.

Table 2. List of main compounds (most intense peaks in the mass spectra) detected on the surface of the petals with LESA-HRMS with prior depolymerization. Compounds that were only detected with prior depolymerization are highlighted in bold.

Neutral Formula	Theoretical Mass	DBE	MS/MS analysis ^a	Tentative assignment	Database
C ₅ H ₁₀ O ₃	118.0630	1	T. T	Hydroxyvaleric acid	PubChen
$C_7H_6O_2$	122.0368	5		<u>Salicylaldehyde</u>	PubChem
$C_6H_{12}O_3$	132.0786	1		Hydroxyhexanoic acid	PubChem
C ₈ H ₈ O ₂	136.0524	5		Phenylacetic acid	PubChen
C ₈ H ₈ O ₃	152.0473	5		<u>Vanillin</u>	PubChen
$C_9H_{10}O_3$	166.0630	5		Dihydro-Coumaric Acid	PubChem
$C_7H_6O_5$	170.0215	5		Gallic acid	PubChem
$C_{10}H_{10}O_3$	178.0630	6	162.03/145.03/ 133.03/118.04	Methoxycinnamic acid	PubChem
C ₈ H ₈ O ₅	184.0372	5		3,4-Dihydroxymandelic acid	PubChen
$C_{10}H_{16}O_3$	184.1099	3		<u>Pinonic acid</u>	PubChen
$C_{10}H_{18}O_3$	186.1256	2		Oxodecanoic acid	PubChen
$C_{11}H_{12}O_4$	208.0736	6	192.04/179.03	Not found	
$C_{15}H_{16}O_2$	228.1150	8		<u>Bisphenol A</u>	PubChen
$C_{14}H_{28}O_2$	228.2089	1		Myristic acid	PubChem
$C_{13}H_{20}O_4$	240.1362	4		Diethyl diallylmalonate	PubChen
$C_{15}H_{30}O_2$	242.2246	1		Pentadecanoic acid	PubChem
$C_{16}H_{28}O_2$	252.2089	3		Hexadecadienoic acid	PubChem
$C_{16}H_{30}O_2$	254.2246	2		Palmitoleic Acid	PubChem
$C_{16}H_{32}O_2$	256.2402	1	237.22	Palmitic Acid	PubChem
$C_{17}H_{30}O_2$	266.2246	3		Heptadec-2-ynoic acid	PubChen
C ₁₆ H ₃₀ O ₃	270.2195	2		Keto palmitic acid	PubChen
$C_{17}H_{34}O_2$	270.2559	1		Heptadecanoic acid	PubChem
$C_{18}H_{30}O_2$	278.2246	4	259.21/233.23 /179.18	Linolenic acid	PubChem

	$C_{18}H_{32}O_2$	280.2402	3	261.22/234.23	Linoleic acid	PubChem
	$C_{18}H_{34}O_2$	282.2559	2		Oleic Acid	PubChem
	$C_{18}H_{36}O_2$	284.2715	1	265.25	Stearic Acid	PubChem
	$C_{18}H_{30}O_3$	294.2195	4		Hydroxylinolenic acid	PubChem
	$C_{19}H_{36}O_2$	296.2715	2		Methyl oleate	PubChem
	$C_{20}H_{34}O_2$	306.2559	4		Eicosatrienoic acid	PubChem
	C ₂₀ H ₃₈ O ₂	310.2872	2		Eicosenoic acid	PubChem
	$C_{20}H_{40}O_2$	312.3028	1		Arachidic acid	PubChem
	$C_{20}H_{40}O_3$	328.2977	1		<u>Glycol stearate</u>	ChemSpider
•	C ₂₂ H ₃₈ O ₃	350.2821	4		Furancarboxylic acid	PubChem
	C ₂₂ H ₃₈ O ₄	366.2770	4		Dicyclohexyl sebacate	PubChem
,	C ₁₇ H ₁₆ O ₁₀	380.0744	10		Trimethylenglykol-digalloat	PubChem
	C ₂₂ H ₄₂ O ₆	402.2981	2		Glyceryl lactylpalmitate	PubChem
)	C ₂₉ H ₅₀ O ₈	526.3506	5		Steroid	PubChem
	C ₃₄ H ₆₆ O ₄	538.4961	2		Didodecyl sebacate	PubChem
	C ₃₀ H ₅₄ O ₈	542.3819	4		Sorbitan, trioctanoate	PubChem
	C ₃₄ H ₆₄ O ₇	584.4652	3		Sorbitan, ditetradecanoate	PubChem
•	C ₃₆ H ₇₀ O ₉	646.5020	2		1-(O-α-D-glucopyranosyl)-3-keto-	LipidMAPS
					(1,27R,29R)-triacontanetriol	-
,	$C_{37}H_{68}O_9$	656.4863	4		<u>Parisin</u>	PubChem
	$C_{37}H_{68}O_{10}$	672.4813	4		<u>MGDG(28:1)^b</u>	LipidMAPS
)	$C_{37}H_{70}O_{10}$	674.4969	3		<u>MGDG(28:0)</u>	LipidMAPS
	C ₃₉ H ₆₆ O ₉	678.4707	7		<u>1,3,5-Tris(10-</u>	PubChem
	CasHcoOs	680 4863			<u>carboxydecyloxy)benzene</u> Triethoxycholesterol galactose	PubChem
	C20H22O2	684 5176	4		Nonatriaconta-10 17 24-trien-3-one	PubChem
		694 5900	8		Hexadecanovloleanolic acid	PubChem
	$C_{46}H_{8}O_{4}$	696 4813	6		MGDC(30:3)	LinidMAPS
) ,	$C_{39}H_{68}O_{10}$	698 4969	5		MGDG(30·2)	LipidMAPS
		700 5126	4		MGDG(30.1)	LipidMAPS
	$C_{39}H_{72}O_{10}$	700.5120	3		MCDC(30.0)	
	$C_{39}\Pi_{74}O_{10}$	702.3202	5		MCDC(31.2)	LipiuwiAr S LipidMADS
	$C_{40}\Pi_{72}O_{10}$	714 5787	3		MCDC(31.1)	LipiuwiAr S LipidMADS
	$C_{40}H_{74}O_{10}$	/14.3282	4		$\frac{1}{1} \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}$	LipiuwiAr S LipidM A DS
	U47H86U5	/30.04/3	3		docosatrienovl)-sn-glvcerol	LipiuwiArs
	C48H92O10	828.6691	3		MGDG(39:0)	LipidMAPS

^a Fragment ions detected in negative ionization with LESA-HRMS; ^b MGDG(*x*:*y*) refers to the

monogalactosyldiacylglycerol lipid class with x carbon atoms and y degree of unsaturation of the fatty acid chain.

⁴⁸ 253 49

47

1

50 2 5 4 51

52 255 More specifically, the most intense peaks in the mass spectra, especially for the ridged/proximal ⁵³ 54 256 portion, correspond to saturated C16 and C18 fatty acids, mono-unsaturated C16 and C18 fatty acids ⁵⁵ 257 56 and di-unsaturated C16 and C18 fatty acids (Figure 6) which are well known monomers of the cutin, epicuticular and intracuticular waxes.^{2,11,13} In addition to the monomeric cutin units and waxes, some 57 258 59 259 dimers can be seen in the high molecular weight region of the mass spectra (Figure 6). A more

Page 13 of 25

1 2 3

4

5 6

7 8

9

16

17

18 19

20 21

22

23

24

25

26

27

30

35

37

39

42

44

49

51

267

Analytical Chemistry

exhaustive list of main molecular formulas detected that could be associated with cutin constituents 260 261 is reported in Table S1 in the supporting information. Conversely, the smooth/distal portion of the 262 petal is richer, on average, in lower molecular weight compounds (around m/z 150-200, see Figure 6), for example those with molecular formulas $C_7H_6O_2$, $C_9H_{10}O_3$, $C_7H_6O_5$, $C_{10}H_{10}O_3$, and $C_{10}H_{16}O_3$ 263 10 264 which may be associated with plant metabolites. 11



28 268 Figure 6. Difference between the mass spectra of the ridged/proximal portion and the mass spectra of the ²⁹ 269 smooth/distal portion of the petals of Hibiscus richardsonii analyzed with the new method using direct 31 270 depolymerization followed by LESA-HRMS using the non-polar solvent mixture.

272 Next, we performed a Principal Component Analysis (PCA) on the 587 most intense peaks in the ³⁶ 273 mass spectra obtained by analyzing the ridged/proximal and smooth/distal portions of the petals using 38 274 the new method with prior depolymerization. This analysis confirms a markedly different 40²⁷⁵ composition of the cuticle in the two portions of the petals (Figure 7). In fact, the samples taken from ⁴¹ 276 the ridged/proximal portions are scattered on the top part of the score plot (Figure 7a) while the 43 277 samples taken from the smooth/distal portions of the petals are clustered toward the bottom-right part 45 278 of the score plot (Figure 7a). As shown by the loading plot in Figure 7b, the differences between the 40 47 279 ridged/proximal and the smooth/distal portions can be ascribed mainly to a few compounds with ⁴⁸ 280 molecular formulas C₁₀H₁₀O₃, C₁₆H₃₀O₂, C₁₈H₃₀O₂, C₁₈H₃₂O₂, C₇H₆O₂, C₉H₁₀O₃, C₁₀H₁₆O₃, and $C_{46}H_{78}O_4$. The ridged/proximal portion is richer in $C_{10}H_{10}O_3$ (methoxycinnamic acid), $C_{16}H_{30}O_2$ 50 281 ₅₂ 282 (palmitoleic acid), C₁₈H₃₀O₂ (linolenic acid), and C₁₈H₃₂O₂ (linoleic acid) while the smooth/distal ⁵³₅₄283 portion is richer in C₇H₆O₂ (salicylaldehyde), C₉H₁₀O₃ (dihydro-coumaric acid), C₁₀H₁₆O₃ (pinonic 55 284 acid), and C₄₆H₇₈O₄ (hexadecanoyloleanolic acid). Whether these compounds (tentatively assigned 57 285 based on the molecular formulas and MS/MS spectra where available) may play a role in the

58 59 60

formation of ridges on the surface of the proximal portion of the petals of *Hibiscus richardsonii* will need to be investigated in future studies.



Figure 7. Scores (a) and loadings (b) of the first two principal components, explaining 94.4% of the variance of the dataset, obtained from the principal components analysis applied to the 587 most intense peaks in the mass spectra of the ridged/proximal and smooth/distal portions of the petals analyzed with the new method proposed here, i.e. direct depolymerization followed by LESA-HRMS using the non-polar solvent mixture. The loading plot only shows the compounds that contributed the most to differentiate the ridged/proximal from the smooth/distal portion of the petal.

44 297 These results show that the direct depolymerization method presented here is able to provide a 46 298 qualitative composition of the surface of the petals with the possibility of capturing important differences in the chemical composition of different regions of the petals cuticle (smooth/distal vs. ridged/proximal).

51 301

36 2 9 2

39 294

⁴² 296

37 293

⁴⁰ 295

⁵³ 302 Conclusions

₅₆ 303 We present a new analytical method using direct/on surface depolymerization coupled with LESA-57 304 58 304 HRMS for the detailed characterization of the surface of plant tissues. This method was used for the

Analytical Chemistry

chemical characterization of the cuticle of Hibiscus richardsonii petals, whose surface is 305 characterized by a ridged/proximal region and a smooth/distal portion. 306

6 307 The on-surface saponification proved to be effective to depolymerize the cutin to obtain a qualitative 7 8 308 analysis of cuticular constituents. Comparison with direct analysis without prior depolymerization 9 10 3 0 9 showed that (i) depolymerization was effective to break down the cutin polymers into their 11 monomeric constituents, (ii) the signal of other compounds was suppressed, probably due to 12310 13 13 14 311 competitive ionization and degradation. For these reasons, it would be beneficial to analyze the petals ¹⁵ 312 cuticle via LESA-HRMS analysis both with and without prior depolymerization in order to obtain a 16 17 3 1 3 more complete picture of the surface composition. The depolymerization step proposed here is 18 19 314 compatible with the Triversa NanoMate and could potentially be incorporated into an automated ²⁰ 315 procedure, which is currently not possible due to software limitations.

22 3 1 6 The fast and spatially resolved depolymerization approach presented here, that selectively targets 23 24 3 17 only the surface, allowed detection of a set of cuticular compounds that were not otherwise accessible 25 26 318 without a depolymerization step. The effect of the depolymerization was more pronounced for the ²⁷ 319 28 ridged/proximal portion of the petal, which has a thicker cuticle and is richer in epicuticular waxes 29 3 20 compared with the smooth/distal portion. Our results are consistent with previous studies that showed 30 31 321 that the composition of the plant cuticle can be chemically and morphologically heterogeneous even ³² 33 322 between different regions of the same petal.^{13,31} Further experiments are necessary to establish ³⁴ 323 35 whether there is a link between chemical composition of the cuticle and patterning differences of 36 324 plant surfaces. ³⁷ 38 325

40 3 2 6 Associated content 41

42 43 327 **Supporting information**

44 45 328 The Supporting Information is available free of charge on the ACS Publications website at DOI: 46 47 329 Additional table (PDF)

48 3 3 0 49

39

44

⁵⁰ 331 Acknowledgements

52 53 332 The authors would like to thank Dr. B.G. Murray for the generous gift of *Hibiscus richardsonii* seeds. ⁵⁴ 55 333 This work was funded by the European Research Council (ERC consolidator grant 279405) to MK, ⁵⁶ 334 57 the Herchel Smith fund and the Gatsby Charitable Foundation to EM, and by a BBSRC grant 58 3 3 5 BB/P001157/1 to BJG and MK. 59

References

1 2 3

4

- Fernández, V.; Guzmán-Delgado, P.; Graça, J.; Santos, S.; Gil, L. Cuticle Structure in Relation
 to Chemical Composition: Re-Assessing the Prevailing Model. *Front. Plant Sci.* 2016, 7
 (March), 1–14.
- 11 341(2)Jetter, R.; Kunst, L.; Samuels, A. L. Composition of Plant Cuticular Waxes. In Annual Plant12
13 342Reviews Volume 23: Biology of the Plant Cuticle; Riederer, M., Müller, C., Eds.; Blackwell14
1594314
15945-181.
- 16 344 (3) Dominguez, E.; Heredia-Guerrero, J. A.; Heredia, A. The Biophysical Design of Plant
 17 18 345 Cuticles: An Overview. *New Phytologist*. March 2011, pp 938–949.
- ¹⁹₂₀ 346 (4) Vignolini, S.; Moyroud, E.; Glover, B. J.; Steiner, U. Analysing Photonic Structures in Plants.
 ²¹₂₂ 347 *J. R. Soc. Interface* **2013**, *10* (87), 20130394.
- 23 348 (5) Vignolini, S.; Moyroud, E.; Hingant, T.; Banks, H.; Rudall, P. J.; Steiner, U.; Glover, B. J. The Flower of Hibiscus Trionum Is Both Visibly and Measurably Iridescent. *New Phytol.* 2015, 205 (1), 97–101.
- ²⁸ 351 (6) Moyroud, E.; Wenzel, T.; Middleton, R.; Rudall, P. J.; Banks, H.; Reed, A.; Mellers, G.;
 ³⁰ 352 Killoran, P.; Westwood, M. M.; Steiner, U.; et al. Disorder in Convergent Floral
 ³¹ 353 Nanostructures Enhances Signalling to Bees. *Nature* 2017, *550* (7677), 469–474.
- 33 354 (7) Whitney, H. M.; Kolle, M.; Andrew, P.; Chittka, L.; Steiner, U.; Glover, B. J. Floral
 35 355 Iridescence, Produced by Diffractive Optics, Acts As a Cue for Animal Pollinators. *Science* 36 (80-.). 2009, 323 (5910), 130–133.
- Whitney, H. M.; Reed, A.; Rands, S. A.; Chittka, L.; Glover, B. J. Flower Iridescence Increases
 Object Detection in the Insect Visual System without Compromising Object Identity. *Curr. Biol.* 2016, 26 (6), 802–808.
- ⁴³/₄₄ 360 (9) Nawrath, C. Unraveling the Complex Network of Cuticular Structure and Function. *Curr.* ⁴⁵/₄₆ 361 *Opin. Plant Biol.* 2006, 9 (3), 281–287.
- 47 362 (10) Jeffree, C. E. The Fine Structure of the Plant Cuticle. In *Annual Plant Reviews Volume 23:* 49 363 *Biology of the Plant Cuticle*; Riederer, M., Müller, C., Eds.; Blackwell Publishing Ltd: Oxford, UK, 2006; pp 11–125.
- Stark, R. E.; Tian, S. The Cutin Biopolymer Matrix. In *Annual Plant Reviews Volume 23: Biology of the Plant Cuticle*; Riederer, M., Müller, C., Eds.; Blackwell Publishing Ltd: Oxford, UK, 2006; pp 126–144.
- ⁵⁷ 368 (12) Hemalatha, R. G.; Pradeep, T. Understanding the Molecular Signatures in Leaves and Flowers
 ⁵⁹ 369 by Desorption Electrospray Ionization Mass Spectrometry (DESI MS) Imaging. J. Agric. Food

1 ว		
² ³ 370		<i>Chem.</i> 2013 , <i>61</i> , 7477–7487.
4 5 371	(13)	Giorio, C.; Moyroud, E.; Glover, B. J.; Skelton, P. C.; Kalberer, M. Direct Surface Analysis
$^{6}_{7}$ 372		Coupled to High-Resolution Mass Spectrometry Reveals Heterogeneous Composition of the
⁸ 373		Cuticle of Hibiscus Trionum Petals. Anal. Chem. 2015, 87 (19), 9900–9907.
9 10 374	(14)	Lü, S.; Song, T.; Kosma, D. K.; Parsons, E. P.; Rowland, O.; Jenks, M. A. Arabidopsis CER8
11 12 375		Encodes LONG-CHAIN ACYL-COA SYNTHETASE 1 (LACS1) That Has Overlapping
$^{13}_{14}376$		Functions with LACS2 in Plant Wax and Cutin Synthesis. Plant J. 2009, 59 (4), 553–564.
¹⁵ 377	(15)	Riederer, M.; Schönherr, J. Quantitative Gas Chromatographic Analysis of Methyl Esters of
16 17 378		Hydroxy Fatty Acids Derived from Plant Cutin. J. Chromatogr. A 1986, 360, 151–161.
18 19 379	(16)	Tsubaki, S.; Sakumoto, S.; Uemura, N.; Azuma, J. Compositional Analysis of Leaf Cuticular
$^{20}_{21}380$		Membranes Isolated from Tea Plants (Camellia Sinensis L.). Food Chem. 2013, 138 (1), 286-
22 381		290.
23 24 382	(17)	van Maarseveen, C.; Jetter, R. Composition of the Epicuticular and Intracuticular Wax Layers
25 26 383		on Kalanchoe Daigremontiana (Hamet et Perr. de La Bathie) Leaves. Phytochemistry 2009, 70
²⁷ 384		(7), 899–906.
29 385	(18)	Ray, A. K.; Chen, ZJ.; Stark, R. E. Chemical Depolymerization Studies Of The Molecular
30 31 386		Architecture Of Lime Fruit Cuticle. Phytochemistry 1998, 49 (1), 65-70.
³² 33 387	(19)	Bonaventure, G.; Beisson, F.; Ohlrogge, J.; Pollard, M. Analysis of the Aliphatic Monomer
³⁴ 388		Composition of Polyesters Associated with Arabidopsis Epidermis: Occurrence of Octadeca-
36 389		Cis-6, Cis-9-Diene-1,18-Dioate as the Major Component. Plant J. 2004, 40 (6), 920–930.
37 38 390	(20)	Gérard, H.; Pfeffer, P.; Osman, S. 8, 16-Dihydroxyhexadecanoic Acid, a Major Component
³⁹ 391		from Cucumber Cutin. Phytochemistry 1994, 35, 818-819.
41 392	(21)	Ji, X.; Jetter, R. Very Long Chain Alkylresorcinols Accumulate in the Intracuticular Wax of
42 43 393		Rye (Secale Cereale L.) Leaves near the Tissue Surface. Phytochemistry 2008, 69 (5), 1197-
44 45 394		1207.
46 395 47	(22)	Mendez-Millan, M.; Dignac, MF.; Rumpel, C.; Derenne, S. Quantitative and Qualitative
48 396		Analysis of Cutin in Maize and a Maize-Cropped Soil: Comparison of CuO Oxidation,
49 50 397		Transmethylation and Saponification Methods. Org. Geochem. 2010, 41 (2), 187–191.
⁵¹ 398	(23)	Craven, L. A.; de Lange, P. J.; Lally, T. R.; Murray, B. G.; Johnson, S. B. A Taxonomic Re-
53 399		Evaluation of Hibiscus Trionum (Malvaceae) in Australasia. New Zeal. J. Bot. 2011, 49 (1),
55 400		27–40.
56 57 401	(24)	Johnson, S. B.; Craven, L. A. Identification of, and Further Evidence for the Indigenous Status
⁵⁸ 402 59 60		of Two Weedy Bladder Ketmia Species (Hibiscus Trionum Complex, Malvaceae); and the

³ 403 Search for Australia's Inland Sea. *Plant Prot. Q.* **2013**, *28* (2), 50.

- 404 (25) Himmelsbach, M.; Varesio, E.; Hopfgartner, G. Liquid Extraction Surface Analysis (LESA)
 of Hydrophobic TLC Plates Coupled to Chip-Based Nanoelectrospray High- Resolution Mass
 406 Spectrometry. *Chimia (Aarau).* 2014, 68 (3), 150–154.
- 10 407
11(26)Hall, Z.; Chu, Y.; Gri, J. L. Liquid Extraction Surface Analysis Mass Spectrometry Method11
12 408for Identifying the Presence and Severity of Nonalcoholic Fatty Liver Disease. Anal. Chem.13
14 4092017, 89, 5161–5170.
- Almeida, R.; Berzina, Z.; Arnspang, E. C.; Baumgart, J.; Vogt, J.; Nitsch, R.; Ejsing, C. S.
 Quantitative Spatial Analysis of the Mouse Brain Lipidome by Pressurized Liquid Extraction
 Surface Analysis. *Anal. Chem.* 2015, *87*, 1749–1756.
- 20 21 413 (28) Martin, N. J.; Griffiths, R. L.; Edwards, R. L.; Cooper, H. J. Native Liquid Extraction Surface
 22 414 Analysis Mass Spectrometry : Analysis of Noncovalent Protein Complexes Directly from
 23 Dried Substrates. J. Am. Soc. Mass Spectrom. 2015, 26, 1320–1327.
- Zielinski, A. T.; Kourtchev, I.; Bortolini, C.; Fuller, S. J.; Giorio, C.; Popoola, O. A. M.;
 Bogialli, S.; Tapparo, A.; Jones, R. L.; Kalberer, M. A New Processing Scheme for Ultra-High
 Resolution Direct Infusion Mass Spectrometry Data. *Atmos. Environ.* 2018, *178*, 129–139.
- 31 419 (30) Zielinski, A. T.; Campbell, S. J.; Seshia, A. A.; Jones, R. L.; Kalberer, M.; Giorio, C.
 32 33 420 Compositional Analysis of Adsorbed Organic Aerosol on a Microresonator Mass Sensor.
 34 421 Aerosol Sci. Eng. 2018, 2 (3), 118–129.
- (31) Li, B.; Hansen, S. H.; Janfelt, C. Direct Imaging of Plant Metabolites in Leaves and Petals by Desorption Electrospray Ionization Mass Spectrometry. *Int. J. Mass Spectrom.* 2013, 348, 15– 22.
- 41 425



n-polar mixture)

800

800

1000





116x170mm (300 x 300 DPI)



60



Figure 5. Kendrick mass defect plot in -CH2 base showing the distribution of all molecular formulas detected on the petals of Hibiscus richardsonii (smooth/distal and ridged/proximal portions combined) with prior depolymerization. The color scale shows the number of oxygen atoms in the formulas.

79x55mm (300 x 300 DPI)

ridged/proximal

7b0

smooth/distal

₃₉H₆₈O₉

 $_{46}H_{78}O_{4}$

 $H_{66}O_{4}$







Figure 7. Scores (a) and loadings (b) of the first two principal components, explaining 94.4% of the variance of the dataset, obtained from the principal components analysis applied to the 587 most intense peaks in the mass spectra of the ridged/proximal and smooth/distal portions of the petals analyzed with the new method proposed here, i.e. direct depolymerization followed by LESA-HRMS using the non-polar solvent mixture. The loading plot only shows the compounds that contributed the most to differentiate the ridged/proximal from the smooth/distal portion of the petal.

92x118mm (600 x 600 DPI)





58x43mm (300 x 300 DPI)