View metadata, citation and similar papers at core.ac.uk

brought to you by

Articles

International incidence of psychotic disorders, 2002–17: a systematic review and meta-analysis

Hannah E Jongsma, Caitlin Turner, James B Kirkbride, Peter B Jones

Summary

Background The last comprehensive systematic review of the incidence of psychotic disorders was published in 2004. New epidemiological data from different settings now permit a broader understanding of global variation. We examined the variation in psychosis by demographic characteristics and study method.

Methods For this systematic review and meta-analysis, we searched PubMed, Embase, Web of Science, PsycINFO, and bibliographies, and directly contacted first authors. We sought to obtain citations of original research published between Jan 1, 2002, and Dec 31, 2017, on incidence of non-organic adult-onset psychotic disorder. We included papers that were published or in grey literature and had no language restrictions. Data were extracted from published reports, where possible, by sex, age, and ethnic group. Quality of yield was assessed. Data were assessed using univariable random-effects meta-analysis and meta-regression. We registered our systematic review on PROSPERO, number CRD42018086800.

Findings From 56721 records identified, 177 met inclusion criteria. The pooled incidence of all psychotic disorders was 26.6 per 100 000 person-years (95% CI 22.0–31.7). Heterogeneity was high ($I^2 \ge 98.5\%$). Men were at higher risk of all psychotic disorders (incidence rate ratio 1.44 [1.27–1.62]) and non-affective disorders (1.60 [1.44–1.77]) than women, but not affective psychotic disorders (0.87 [0.75–1.00]). Ethnic minorities were also at excess risk of all psychotic disorders (1.75 [1.53–2.00]), including non-affective disorders (1.71 [1.40–2.09]). Meta-regression revealed that population registers reported higher rates of non-affective disorders (9.64 [2.72–31.82]), schizophrenia (2.51 [1.24–5.21]), and bipolar disorder (4.53 [2.41–8.51]) than first contact study designs.

Interpretation We found marked variation in incidence of psychotic disorders by personal characteristics and place. Some geographical variation could be partially explained by differences in case ascertainment methods.

Funding None.

Copyright © 2019 The Author(s). Published by Elsevier Ltd. This is an Open Access article under the CC BY 4.0 license.

Introduction

Psychotic disorders are associated with substantial premature mortality,12 morbidity,3 and a large social and financial burden.4 Yet, research into their distribution and determinants has only in the past decade extended beyond North America⁵ and northern Europe⁶⁻⁸ to southern Europe,⁹⁻¹² South America,¹³ Africa,^{14,15} and other lowincome and middle-income countries (LMICs).15,16 These new data might provide new clues to the determinants of the heterogeneity in the incidence of psychotic disorders between and within different populations reported in previous studies,17,18 aiding both service planning and our understanding of cause; both are crucial for planning effective public mental health responses. The most recent comprehensive systematic review and meta-analysis¹⁷ was published in 2004 and was restricted to schizophrenia. Further meta-analyses have limitations in terms of single country coverage,18 search scope, yield and assessment of heterogeneity,19 specific population group coverage20,21 or coverage of a particular risk factor,²²⁻²⁶ or were also restricted to schizophrenia.27

Together, these reviews showed that estimates of the incidence of psychotic disorders vary across replicable

demographic, geographical, and social characteristics. Men and young people appear to have an excess risk,^{27,28} as do migrants and their descendants.^{20,29,30} Settings at higher latitude and more urban settings also yield higher incidences.26,31 Socioeconomic deprivation, inequality, and instability are also associated with increased incidence.14,32-34 Earlier meta-analyses^{17,18,35} found no evidence of variation in incidence by study quality or other methodological features. Research suggests^{36,37} that higher incidences are derived from population registers (which cover all healthcare contacts within an entire health system) than from first-contact studies (which rely on individuals making contact with appropriate services). These comparisons notwithstanding, methodological heterogeneity as an explanation for variation in incidences has not been investigated widely.

We sought to synthesise the accumulating research on the incidence of adult-onset psychotic disorders (including affective psychotic disorders) and investigate whether sociodemographic factors or methodological heterogeneity accounted for any observed variation. Consistent with available evidence, we hypothesised that incidences would be higher in men, younger people, and





Lancet Public Health 2019; 4: e229–44

See Comment page e212 Department of Psychiatry (H E longsma PhD. Prof P B Jones MD), and Institute of Public Health (CTurner MPhil), University of Cambridge, Cambridge, UK; PsyLife Group, Division of Psychiatry, University College London, London, UK (H E Jongsma, J B Kirkbride PhD); and CAMEO, Cambridgeshire and Peterborough NHS Foundation Trust, Cambridge. UK (Prof P B Jones) Correspondence to:

Dr Hannah E Jongsma, PsyLife, Group, Division of Psychiatry, UCL, London W1T 7NF, UK h.jongsma@ucl.ac.uk

Research in context

Evidence before this study

We searched PubMed and Web of Science (appendix p 4) for international systematic reviews and meta-analyses of the incidence of non-organic psychotic disorders in the general population, published since the last major review of the evidence (published in 2004). Our search yielded 156 results, of which 14 were meta-analyses. However, these commonly examined a single risk factor for psychotic disorders, such as migrant status, or synthesised evidence of incidence in a particular segment of the population, such as the elderly. Only one meta-analysis met all inclusion criteria and summarised incidence in the general population, but this study provided no assessment of heterogeneity.

Added value of this study

To the best of our knowledge, this study is the first comprehensive systematic review and meta-analysis of the incidence of non-organic adult-onset psychotic disorders

those from ethnic minority groups, and in register-based studies.

For **study protocol** see https://www.crd.york.ac.uk/ prospero/display_record. php?RecordID=86800

See Online for appendix

Methods

Search strategy and selection criteria

This systematic review and meta-analysis followed PRISMA guidelines³⁸ (appendix pp 2–3), including preregistering our protocol with PROSPERO (CRD42018086800) before extraction of data. Our method is based on a previous systematic review.¹⁸

We systematically searched PubMed, PsycINFO, Web of Science, and Embase, adapting a previously used search strategy¹⁸ based on Cochrane Systematic Reviewing guidelines.³⁹ This strategy used terms covering psychotic disorders and incidence and was adapted for each database (appendix p 4). We searched bibliographies of included citations and directly contacted authors to request data, where appropriate. We restricted our review to studies published between Jan 1, 2002, and Dec 31, 2017. We had no restriction on language of publication, study design, or publication status, although grey literature was only identified via published conference proceedings, author correspondence, and bibliographical searches.

Citations were considered eligible if they contained incidence data or data from which incidence could be derived (numerator and denominator); included patients (aged 18–64 years) diagnosed with a first episode of any psychotic disorder; were published between Jan 1, 2002, and Dec 31, 2017, and were published in the scientific or grey literature, online, or in print.

Two authors (HEJ, CT) carried out searches and screened the titles found to assess whether they met eligibility criteria, with definite or possible titles forwarded to duplicate independent abstract review and, if appropriate, full text review. Uncertainties about inclusion were resolved in done in 16 years and provides an update on the epidemiological landscape. For the first time, we also formally assessed if incidence of psychotic disorders varies by study type. Incidence varied substantially between settings: a 10 times variation in incidence was observed across diagnostic categories. We also found that studies with routine registers reported higher incidences of disorder than studies with a service-based design.

Implications of all the available evidence

Variance in the incidence of psychotic disorders worldwide arises from both replicable social, demographic, and environmental determinants, and from methodological heterogeneity. Although most studies continue to be done in a handful of countries, future studies across more diverse settings will benefit from standardised methods to facilitate comparable estimates of incidence across the globe.

agreement with two senior authors with experience in epidemiological research and systematic reviewing (JBK, PBJ). The study protocol is available online.

Data analysis

Two authors (HEJ, CT) extracted data. Study-level data about study characteristics, rate-level data about incidences, and meta-level data on time period, study quality, study design, and diagnostic criteria (see below) were included.

The primary outcome was incidence per 100 000 person-years of all psychotic disorders (International Classification of Disease tenth edition [ICD-10] = equivalent, F20–33), non-affective disorders (F20–29), schizophrenia (F20), affective disorders (F30–33), bipolar disorder with psychosis (F30–31), psychotic depression (F32–33), or substance-induced psychosis (F1X.5). Included studies used a range of diagnostic classifications, including ICD-8, ICD-9, and ICD–10, and the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental disorders (DSM) versions 3-R and 4, and we assumed sufficient commonalities to pool citations (appendix p 5).

Where possible, we extracted summary-level incidence data on the exposures age, sex, ethnicity, and migrant status. Meta-level data on study design, study quality, and time period were recorded. Study design was divided into first-contact studies (which count the number of people attending the relevant service, and include first presentation, first diagnosis, first GP record, first admission, and first treatment), cohort studies, caseregister studies (with a dedicated national patient register), and studies with a general population register covering an entire health system. Time period was defined as the median year of the case ascertainment period. Where incidences were not directly reported, we derived them from ancillary information wherever possible. Where citations reported overlapping data from the same study or population, we used set criteria to establish inclusion (appendix p 4).

The full spreadsheet containing all study-level, rate-level, and meta-level data is available online.

Two independent raters (HEJ, JBK or CT) assessed study quality according to seven previously published criteria:¹⁸ designation of a defined catchment area, accurate reporting and reliable source of denominator data, population-based case finding, standardised research diagnosis used, masking (of the clinician) to demographic variables, inclusion criteria stated, and inclusion of a leakage study (appendix p 4).

We first did a narrative synthesis of the yield. Based on previous meta-analyses,^{7,18} we anticipated high levels of heterogeneity and therefore specified use of randomeffects meta-analysis and meta-regression a priori to quantify this heterogeneity. When five or more incidences could be pooled, we did random-effects meta-analyses using the DerSimonian and Laird method,⁴⁰ grouping citations by study design. We transformed incidence rates to their natural logarithm and entered into meta-analyses with corresponding standard errors (SE)s. If no SE could be derived, we retained studies for narrative synthesis only. For assessments of differences in incidence by sex and ethnicity, we estimated incidence rate ratios (IRRs), transformed them to their natural logarithm, and entered them into meta-analyses with their corresponding SEs.

We assessed statistical heterogeneity using the *Q* test and quantified using the *I*² statistic, which identifies the proportion of the observed variance that reflects real differences in effect size. We examined evidence of small study effects (including publication bias) by visual inspection of funnel plots and formal testing using Egger's test for which at least 10 estimates were available.⁴¹ We did random-effects meta-regression to explore whether heterogeneity was associated with study quality, study design, or time period.

We did meta-analyses in Stata (version 13)⁴² using the metan and admetan commands. We did meta-regressions using the metareg package, and we did funnel plots and Egger's tests using the metafunnel and metabias packages.

We chose to display pooled estimates to prevent ad-hoc summaries of data but considering the high expected heterogeneity, the emphasis in interpretation of results is on the variation in incidences.

Role of the funding source

There was no funding source for this study.

Results

We retrieved 56721 records of which 177 met inclusion criteria (figure 1; table); 93 (53%) of 177 had sufficient data available for meta-analysis and meta-regression. Most studies (140 [79%] of 177) were done in Europe, with 14 (8%) done in North America. Few studies were done in Asia

(11 [6%]), the Middle East (seven [4%]), Australia, Latin or South America (four [2%] each), or Africa (two [1%]). Two citations covered more than one continent.15,55 Citations examining psychosis in young people (26 [15%]), comorbid groups (12 [7%]), the army (seven [4%]), a prison population (one [<1%]), and post-partum psychosis (five [3%]) are synthesised in the appendix (pp 8-12) because they are not representative of the general population. The most frequently studied diagnostic outcome was schizophrenia (86 [49%]), followed by all non-affective disorders (66 [37%]) and all psychotic disorders (59 [33%]). Any affective psychotic disorder as an outcome was less frequently studied (32 [18%]), although we identified 40 (22%) citations of bipolar disorder with psychosis and 15 (8%) citations of psychotic depression. Six (3%) citations examined substance-induced psychosis.

For **full data spreadsheet** see https://doi.org/10·17605/OSF. IO/2T7X4

The largest study in this Article $^{\rm 48}$ included 69690 cases, and the smallest study $^{\rm 47}$ identified eight cases. The middle



Figure 1: PRISMA flowchart

*Citations derived from Kirkbride and colleagues,18 which cover England only from 2002–09

	Country	Period	Туре	Diagnostic confirmation	Diagnostic classification	Diagnostic outcomes	Number of cases
Tsuchiya et al 200261*	Denmark	1980-97	First admission		ICD-8, ICD-10	Schz	Unknown
Hanoeman et al 2002 ^{62*}	Surinam	1992-93	First admission	Medical records	DSM-3-R	Schz, schzp	73
Selten et al 200263†	Netherlands	1970-92	Case register	None	ICD-8, ICD-9	Schz	Unknown
Baldwin et al 2002 ⁶⁴ ‡	Ireland	1995-2000	First contact	SCID or medical records	DSM-4	FEP	69
Scully et al 200265*	Ireland	1995-2000	First contact	SCID or medical records		FEP	69
Boydell et al 200366*	England	1965-97	Case register	Case notes plus OPCRIT	Combination	Schz,	623; 385
Smith et al 2003 ⁶⁷ ‡	Canada	1907-13	First admission	Clinical records	DSM-4	Schz, schzp, bpd	831
Singh et al 2003 ⁶⁸	England	2000	First contact	Interview, questionnaire, case notes		FEP	295
Selten et al 200369	Netherlands	1990-96	Case register	Discharge summary	ICD-9	Bpd, pd	14749
Cantor-Graae et al 2003 ⁷⁰ ‡	Denmark	1970-98	Population register	None	ICD-8, ICD-10	Schz	10244
Baldwin et al 2003 ⁷¹ ‡	Ireland	1995–2002	First contact	SCID or clinical records	DSM-4	FEP, non-aff, schiz, aff, bp, pd, other	146
Proctor et al 2004 ⁷²	England	1998–2001	Case register	Chart diagnosis	ICD-10	FEP, non-aff, schz, aff, bp, pd, sip, other	227
Sipos et al 200473	Sweden	1989–2001	First admission	None	ICD-9, ICD-10	Non-aff, schz	1950
Chien et al 2004 ⁷⁴ ‡	Taiwan	1997-2001	First contact	None	ICD-9	Schz	419
Boydell et al 2004 ^{75*}	England	1988-97	Combination	Case records using OCCPI	RDC	Schz	222
Veen et al 2004 ⁷⁶	Netherlands	1997-99	First contact	Diagnostic meeting	DSM-4	FEP, non-aff, aff, oth	181
Singh et al 2004 ⁷⁷	England	1992-94	First contact	Interview, SCAN or SANS and OCCPI or OPCRIT	ICD-10	FEP, non-aff, schz, aff, sip, oth	168
Sailas et al 2005 ⁷⁸ §	Finland	1984-94	Cohort	None	Other	FEP	71
Harris et al 2005 ^{79*} †	Australia		First presentation	Consensus	DSM-4, ICD-10	FEP, schz, aff	94
Sundquist et al 2005 ⁸⁰ ‡	Sweden	1997-99	Population register	None	ICD-9 , ICD-10	FEP, pd	6163
Nager et al 2005 ⁸¹ ¶	Sweden	1986-97	Cohort	None	ICD-9, ICD-10	FEP	339
Laursen et al 2005 ⁸² ‡	Denmark	1952-87	Population register	None	ICD-8, ICD-10	Schz, schza, bp	18 147
Selten et al 2005 ⁸³	Surinam	2002–03	First contact	CASH interview, panel discussion	DSM-4	FEP	64
Nixon et al 2005 ⁸⁴	England	1881–1994	Combination	Case notes	RDC	Schz	41
Qin et al 2005 ⁸⁵	Denmark	1950-87	Population register	None	ICD-8, ICD-10	Non-aff, schz	795
Allardyce et al 2005 ⁸⁶	Scotland	1989-93	First admission	Case record	ICD-9	FEP	5838
Cantor-Graae et al 2005 ⁸⁷	Sweden	1999–2001	First contact	Clinical, case records, additional data	DSM-4	FEP, non-aff	150
Baldwin et al 200543	Ireland	1995-2003	First contact	SCID or clinical diagnosis	DSM-4	FEP, non-aff, schz, aff, bpd, pd, oth	194
Kennedy et al 2005a ⁸⁸	England	1965-99	Combination	Case notes plus OPCRIT	DSM-4	Bpd	246
Kennedy et al 2005b ^{89*}	England	1965-99	Combination	Case notes plus OPCRIT	DSM-4, ICD-10	Bpd	246; 235
Lloyd et al 2005 ⁹⁰ ‡	England	1997-99	First contact	Interview (SCAN, SANS, modified PPHS), consensus diagnosis	ICD-10	Bpd	75
Leão et al 2006 ⁸ †	Sweden	1992-99	Population register	None	ICD-9, ICD-10	Non-aff	Unknown
Bray et al 200691†	Canada	1975-85	First contact	None	ICD-10	Schz	1962
Payne et al 200692	Canada	1993-95	First admission	Clinical records		Non-aff	146
Drukker et al 200693	Netherlands	1993-2002	Case register	None	DSM-4	Schz	98
Turner et al 200694**	England	1999–2002	First admission	Case notes, ratified by psychiatrist	ICD-10	Non-aff, schz	62
Mahmmood et al 2006 ⁹⁵	England	2005-05	First contact	Unknown		FEP (Table continues	303 on next page)

	Country	Period	Туре	Diagnostic confirmation	Diagnostic classification	Diagnostic outcomes	Number of cases
(Continued from previous	page)						
Westman et al 200696‡	Sweden	1997-98	Population register	None	ICD-9, ICD-10	FEP	10800
Munk-Olsen et al 2006 ⁹⁷ ¶	Denmark	1955-90	Population register	None	ICD-8, ICD-10	Non-aff, schz	166
Smith et al 200644	Canada	1902–13	First admission	Clinical records	DSM-4	Schz, schza, schp, oth	807
Amminger et al 2006 ⁹⁸ †	Australia	1997–2000	First treatment	Youth assessment team, random sample SCID or RPMIP	DSM-4	FEP	1019
Veling et al 2006 ⁷	Netherlands	1997-2005	First contact	Diagnostic meeting	DSM-4	Non-aff	181
Morgan et al 2006 ⁹⁹ ‡	England	1997-99	First contact	Interview (SCAN), case notes, consensus meeting	ICD-10	FEP, schz	592
Fearon et al 2006 ¹⁰⁰ *	England	1997-99	First contact	Interview (PSE SCAN), case notes (IGC SCAN)	ICD-10	FEP, schz, bpd, pd, oth	568
Gould et al 2006101	England	1997-99	First presentation	WHO screening for psychosis plus OPCRIT	ICD-10	FEP	111
Kirkbride et al 2006 ⁶	England	1997-99	First contact	SCAN, consensus panel	DSM-4	FEP, non-aff, schz, aff, sip	568
Zipursky et al 2006102	England	1997-99	First contact	SCAN, consensus panel	DSM-4	FEP, schz	Unknown
Li et al 2007 ¹⁰³	Sweden	1984–2004	Population register	None	ICD-9, ICD-10	FEP	40228
Schimmelmann et al 2007 ^{104*}	Australia	1998–2000	First admission	SCID and clinical diagnosis comparison	DSM-4	FEP	636
Laursen et al 2007 ¹⁰⁵	Denmark	1995-87	Population register	None	ICD9, ICD-10	Schz, bpd	17787
Ajdacic-Gross et al 2007 ¹⁰⁶ †	Switzerland	1977-2005	Case register	None	ICD-8, ICD-9	FEP, schz	7230
Andersen et al 2007107	Norway	1887-2005	First admission	Case records	ICD-10	Schz, aff	64
Harlow et al 2007 ¹⁰⁸	Sweden	1987–2001	Cohort	None	ICD-8, ICD-9	Non-aff, schz, schza, bpd	2134
Juvonen et al 2007 ¹⁰⁹	Finland	1950-59	Population register	Case notes (2 experts)	DSM-4	Schz	807
Cantor-Graae et al 2007a ¹¹⁰ ‡	Denmark	1986–2006	Population register	None	ICD-8, ICD-10	Schz	4609
Cantor-Graae et al 2007b ¹¹¹ ‡	Denmark	1970–2001	Population register	None	ICD-8, ICD-10	Schz	10779
Leão et al 2007 ^{112*} †	Sweden	1995-98	Population register	None	ICD-9, ICD-10	Non-aff, aff	Unknown
Kikbride et al 2007a ¹¹³	England	1997-99	First contact	SCAN, consensus panel	ICD-10	FEP, non-aff, aff	295
Menezes et al 200713	Brazil	2002-2004	First contact	SCID-I or case notes	DSM-4	FEP, non-aff, aff	367
Kirkbride et al 2007b ¹¹⁴ ‡	England	1997-99	First contact	SCAN, consensus panel	ICD-10	Non-aff, schz, oth	218
Stain et al 2008115†	Australia	2001-2005	First contact	Case notes	Other	Oth	308
Boonstra et al 2008 ¹¹⁶	Netherlands	2002	First contact	Clinical diagnosis	DSM-4	Non-aff	75
Crebbin et al 2008117*	England	1998-2005	Case register	Chart diagnosis	ICD-10	FEP, schz, pd	540
Farquhar et al 200845	Wales	1875-2005	First admission	Case records	ICD-10	Schz, schza, aff, bpd, pd, oth	579
Pelayo-Teran et al 200810	Spain	2001-05	First contact	SCID-I	DSM-4	Non-aff	174
Castagnini et al 2008118*	Denmark	1996	Case register	None	ICD-8	Schz, bpd, oth	11 126
Burns et al 200814	South Africa	2005	First presentation	Case records	DSM-4	FEP	160
Weiser et al 2008 ¹¹⁹	Israel		Population register	None	ICD-9, ICD-10	Schz	1686
Veling et al 2008120	Netherlands	1997–2005	First contact	Diagnostic meeting	DSM-4	FEP, non-aff, bpd, pd, oth	466
Kirkbride et al 2008a ¹²¹ ‡	England	1997-99	First contact	SCAN, consensus panel	ICD-10	Schz	148
						(Table continues	on next page)

	Country	Period	Туре	Diagnostic confirmation	Diagnostic classification	Diagnostic outcomes	Number of cases
(Continued from previous	s page)						
Kirkbride et al 2008b122	England	1996-2000	First contact	SCAN, consensus panel	DSM-4	FEP, schz, non-aff, oth	484
Coid et al 200 ¹²³	England	1996–2000	First contact	SCAN, consensus panel	DSM-4	FEP, non-aff, schz, aff, oth	484
Grant et al 200124††	USA	2004-05	Cohort	Not stated	DSM-4	Bpd	263
Crebbin et al 2009 ^{125*}	England	1998–2005	Case register	Chart diagnosis	ICD-10	Schz, sip	430
Bih et al 2009 ¹²⁶ *††	Taiwan	1996–2003	Cohort	None	ICD-9	Bpd	532
Corcoran et al 2009 ¹²⁷ †	Israel	1964-97	Cohort	None	ICD-10	Non-aff	637
Osby et al 2009 ¹²⁸ *	Sweden	1997–2005	Case register	None	ICD-10	Bpd	4117
Valdimarsdottir et al 2009 ¹²⁹ ¶	Sweden	1983-2000	Cohort	None	ICD-8, ICD-9	FEP	4557
Harlap et al 2009130	Israel	1964–76	Cohort	None	ICD-10	Schz	637
Reay et al 2009 ¹³¹	England	1998-2005	First contact	Chart diagnosis	ICD-10	FEP, non-aff, schz, aff, bpd, pd	540
Norredam et al 2009 ¹³²	Denmark	1994-2003	Cohort	None	ICD-10	Non-aff	1127
Bogren et al 2009 ¹³³	Sweden	1947-97	First contact	Key informants, case files	DSM-4	Non-aff, schz, schza, aff, bpd	61
Kirkbride et al 2009 ¹³⁴ ‡	England	1978-99	Combination	SCAN, consensus agreement	ICD-9, ICD-10	FEP, non-aff, schz, aff, bpd, pd, sip, oth	347
Coid et al 2009 ¹²³							
Cheng et al 2010135†	England	2002-2007	First contact	Unsure	ICD-10	FEP	285
Bogren et al 2010 ⁴⁶	Sweden	1947-97	First presentation	Key informants, case files	DSM-4	Non-aff, aff, bpd	108
Zammit et al 2010136‡	Sweden	1972, 1977	First admission	None	ICD-8, ICD-9	Non-aff, schz	881
Tseng et al 2010 ¹³⁷	Taiwan	1996-2001	First hospitalisation	None	ICD-9	Schz	Unknown
Zandi et al 2010 ¹³⁸	Netherlands	2002–04	First contact	CASH or CASH-CS, medical files, consensus diagnosis	DSM-4	FEP, schz	77
Norredam et al 2010139‡	Denmark	1994–2003	Cohort	None	ICD-10	Non-aff	791
Goodman et al 201147**	USA		First contact	Not stated	ICD-9	FEP	8
Cowan et al 2011 ^{140**}	USA	2000-09	First hospitalisation	None	ICD-9	Non-aff	2722
Harris et al 2011 ^{141*}	Wales	1875-2005	First admission	Panel assessment of case notes	ICD-10	Pd	800
Jorgensen et al 2011 ¹⁴²	Sweden	2005	Case register	Random sample checked by psychiatrist	ICD-10	Non-aff, schz	416
Cheng et al 2011 ¹⁴³ †	England	2002–07	First contact	Multidisciplinary diagnostic meeting	ICD-10	FEP	285
Kleinhaus et al 2011 ¹⁴⁴ †	Israel	1964-76	Cohort	None	ICD-10	Non-aff	860
Benros et al 2011 ¹⁴⁵	Denmark	1945-96	Population register	None	ICD-8, ICD-10	Non-aff	39 076
Salokangas et al 2011 ¹⁴⁶	Finland		Case register	None	ICD-8, DSM-3-R, ICD-10	Schz	30 0 32
Schofield et al 2011 ¹⁴⁷	England	1996-2006	First GP record	Patient records	READ codes	FEP	508
Veling et al 2011 ¹⁴⁸	Netherlands	1997-2005	First contact	Diagnostic meeting	DSM-4	FEP	618
Healy et al 2012 ^{149*}	Wales	1875-2005	First admission	Case records, clinical diagnosis	ICD-10	Schz, oth	3523
Callaghan et al 2012 ¹⁵⁰ *	USA	1990–2000	First hospitalisation	Not stated	ICD-9	Schz	1499
Anderson et al 2012 ¹⁵¹ †	Canada	2000-06	First contact	None		Non-aff	546
Manrique-Garcia et al 2012 ^{152**}	Sweden	1969–70	First admission	None	ICD-8, ICD-9	Non-aff, schz	674
Turola et al 2012 ¹⁵³	Italy	1979-2008	First diagnosis	Case notes	DSM-4, ICD-10	Schz (Table continues	1759 on next page)

	Country	Period	Туре	Diagnostic confirmation	Diagnostic classification	Diagnostic outcomes	Number of cases
(Continued from previous	s page)						
Werbeloff et al 2012 ¹⁵⁴	Israel	1979-92	Case register	None	ICD-9	Schz	2335
Nosarti et al 2012155†	Sweden	1973-85	First admission	None	ICD-8 and ICD-9	Non-aff, bpd	886
Gigantesco et al 2012 ¹⁵⁶	Italy	2008	First contact	SCID-I, BPRS, GAF in duplicate	DSM-4	FEP, bpd	247
Tarricone et al 2012 ¹¹	Italy	2002-09	First contact	SCAN, consensus diagnosis	ICD-10	FEP, Non-aff, schz, aff	163
Kirkbride et al 2012 ¹⁵⁷ †	England	2009–11	First presentation	Clinical diagnoses	ICD-10	FEP	
Hung et al 2013 ¹⁵⁸ ††	Taiwan	2000-05	Cohort	None	ICD-9	Bpd	9711
Peritogiannis et al 2013 ¹⁵⁹	Greece	2008–09	First contact	None	ICD-10	FEP	132
Sutterland et al 2013 ¹⁶⁰	Netherlands	1996–2006	First GP record	Medical records	ICPC	Non-aff, schz	293
Cantor-Graae et al 2013 ¹⁶¹ †‡	Denmark	1995-2010	Population register	None	ICD-8, ICD-10	Non-aff, schz, schza, bpd	13729
Kroon et al 2013 ¹⁶²	Netherlands	1996–2007	First GP record	Medical records	ICPC	Bpd	649
Castagnini et al 2013 ¹⁶³ ‡	Denmark	1995-2008	First diagnosis	None	ICD-10	Oth	11 126
Hardoon et al 2013 ¹⁶⁴	England	2000–10	First record or diagnosis	GP records	READ	Schz, bpd, oth	10520
Weibell et al 2013 ¹⁶⁵	Norway	2007-11	First presentation	SCID	DSM-4	Non-aff, sip	321
Cocchi et al 2014 ¹⁶⁶	Italy	2007–09	First contact	ERIaos-CL, sociodemographic form, HoNOS, BPRS, WHO-DAS III	ICD-10	Non-aff	43
Tortelli et al 2014167	France	2005-09	First admission	Case notes	ICD-10	FEP	258
Hogerzeil et al 2014 ³⁷	Netherlands	2000-05	First contact and case register	Diagnostic meeting and clinical regularly audited)	DSM-4	Schz	254; 843
Pedersen et al 2014 ^{168*}	Denmark	1995–2006	Case register	None	ICD-10	Oth	Unknown
Sørensen et al 2014 ^{169*}	Denmark	1993-95	Population register	None	ICD-8, ICD-10	Schz	17389
Munk-Olsen et al 2014 ¹⁷⁰ ¶	Denmark	1960-95	First treatment	None	ICD-8, ICD-9	Oth	Unknown
Szoke et al 2014 ¹⁷¹	France	2010-12	First contact	Identical procedures, regular meetings	DSM-4	FEP, non-aff, aff	133
Bhavsar et al 2014 ¹⁷² †	England	2000-07	First contact	Case notes	RDC	Schz	405
Omer et al 2014173‡	Ireland	1995-2000	First contact	SCID or clinical records	DSM-4	FEP	336
Lasalvia et al 2014º	Italy	2005-07	First contact	Interview, consensus diagnosis	ICD-10	FEP, non-aff, schz, aff, bpd, pd	558
Veling et al 2014 ¹⁷⁴	Netherlands	1997–2005	First contact	Diagnostic meeting	DSM-4	FEP, schz, aff, bpd, pd, oth	618
Kirkbride et al 2014 ³⁴ ‡	England	1996–2000	First contact	SCAN, consensus diagnosis	DSM-4	Non-aff, aff	484
Anderson et al 2015⁵†	Canada	1999–2008	Population register	Medical records or billing claims		Non-aff	Unknown
Paksarian et al 2015a175†	Denmark	1986–2010	Population register	None	ICD-8, ICD-10	Non-aff, schz, bpd	15811
Sørensen et al 2015 ¹⁷⁶	Denmark	1955-67	Population register	None	ICD-8, ICD-10	Non, aff, schz, aff	15 074; 7562
Paksarian et al 2015b ¹⁷⁷	Denmark	1986–2011	Population register	None	ICD-8, ICD-10	Non-aff, schz, bpd	14285
Soderlund et al 2015 ¹⁷⁸ †	Sweden	1955-67	Population register	None	ICD-10	Non-aff, schz, aff	2322
Medici et al 2015 ¹⁷⁹ ††	Denmark	1995-2012	Case register	None	ICD-10	Bpd	15334
Carlborg et al 2015 ¹⁸⁰ ††	Sweden	1991-2010	Case register	None	ICD-10	Bpd	10273
Tsai et al 2016181 ††	Taiwan	2000-07	Cohort	None	ICD-9	Bpd	202
						(Table continues	on next page)

	Country	Period	Туре	Diagnostic confirmation	Diagnostic classification	Diagnostic outcomes	Number of cases
(Continued from previous	page)						
Chen et al 2015 ¹⁸² ††	Taiwan	2000–06	Cohort	None	ICD-9-CM	Bpd, pd	118
Latvala et al 2016 ^{183**}	Sweden	1969–2010	Case register	None	ICD-8/9/10	Schz, bpd	14840
Jensen et al 2016 ^{184*} ††	Denmark	1995-2010	Case register	None	ICD-10	Bpd	12034
Kuhl et al 2016 ^{185*}	Denmark	2000–12	Population register	None	ICD-10	Non-aff, schz	23479
Filatova et al 2016 ¹⁸⁶ †	Finland	1966–2013	Cohort	None	ICD-8, ICD-10	Non-aff, schz, bpd, oth	295
Chiang et al 2016 ⁴⁸	Taiwan	1998-2007	First admission	None	ICD-9-CM	FEP	69 690
Nielsen et al 2016 ¹⁸⁷ ‡	Denmark	1997–2002	Population register	None	ICD-8, ICD-10	Schz	6927
Kendler et al 201649	Sweden	1972-90	Population register	None	ICD-9, ICD-10	Non-aff, schz, bpd	22589
Levine et al 2016a ¹⁸⁸ *	Israel	1950-2004	Cohort	None	ICD-10	Schz	2278
Levine et al 2016b189*	Israel	1950-2014	Cohort	None	ICD-10	Schz	665
Vassos et al 201650‡	Denmark	1995-2006	Population register	None	ICD-10	FEP, non-aff, bpd	32 983
Sørensen et al 2016190*	Denmark	1930-76	Cohort	None	ICD-8, ICD-10	Schz	4936
Hollander et al 2016 ¹⁹¹ †	Sweden	1998–2011	Population register	None	ICD-10	Non-aff	3704
O'Donoghue et al 2016 ¹⁹²	Ireland	2006-11	First presentation	SCID	DSM-4	FEP	292
Morgan et al 2016 ¹⁵	India, Nigeria, Trinidad		First contact	SSP, consensus diagnosis	ICD-10	FEP	147
Tarricone et al 2016 ¹⁹³	Italy	2002-10	First contact	SCAN	ICD-10	FEP	187
Szoke et al 2016 ¹⁹⁴	France	2010-14	First contact	Unclear—senior review if uncertain	DSM-4	Non-aff, aff	212
Mulé et al 201612	Italy	2008–11	First contact	SCAN	ICD-10	FEP, schz, aff, oth	204
Ramsey et al 2017 ^{51**}	USA	2001-14	Cohort	None	ICD-9	Schz, bpd	24714
Okkels et al 2017 ¹⁹⁵	Denmark	1985-2001	Population register	None	ICD-8, ICD-10	Non-aff, schz, bpd	9329
Vikstrom et al 2017 ¹⁹⁶ ¶	Sweden	1988–2012	Cohort	None	ICD-8, ICD-10	Non-aff, bpd	91
Wang et al 2017 ¹⁹⁷	Taiwan	1997-2007	Cohort	None	ICD-9	Schz	238
Lin et al 2017 ¹⁹⁸ ††	Taiwan	2001-06	Cohort	None	ICD-9 CM	Bpd	183
Marrie et al 2017a ¹⁹⁹	Canada	1989-2012	Case register	None	ICD-9 CM	Schz, bpd	Unknown
Marrie et al 2017b ²⁰⁰	Canada	1984-2013	Case register	None	ICD-9 CM	Schz, bpd	Unknown
Hogerzeil et al 2017 ²⁰¹	Netherlands	2000-05	First contact or case register	Structured interview or clinical, then consensus	DSM-4	Schz	254; 843
Hoeffding et al 2017 ²⁰²	Denmark	1995-2013	Population register	None	ICD-8, ICD-10	Non-aff	31647
Kim et al 2017 ⁵²	South Korea	2002-13	Cohort	None	ICD-10	Non-aff	9387
Markkula et al 201753	Finland	2011-14	Population register	None	ICD-10	Non-aff, bpd	2905
Nielsen et al 2017 ²⁰³	Denmark	1955-99	Population register	None	ICD-8, ICD-10	Schz	21305
Schofield et al 2017 ²⁰⁴	Denmark	1965-97	Population register	None	ICD-8, ICD-10	Non-aff	26891
Simon et al 2017 ⁵⁴	USA	2007-13	First contact	None, subset case records	ICD-9	FEP	37 843
Kirkbride et al 2017a ²⁰⁵ †	England	2009-13	First contact	OPCRIT	ICD-10	FEP, non-aff, schz, aff, bpd, pd, sip	687
Kirkbride et al 2017b ²⁰⁶ †	England	2009–13	First contact	OPCRIT	ICD-10	FEP, non-aff, schz, aff	687
Schofield et al 2018 ²⁰⁷ ‡	Denmark	1965-2013	Population register	None	ICD-8, ICD-10	Non-aff	Unknown
						(Table continues	on next page)

	Country	Period	Туре	Diagnostic confirmation	Diagnostic classification	Diagnostic outcomes	Number of cases
(Continued from previous page)							
Nyberg et al 2018 ^{208**} ‡‡	Sweden	1968–2005	Cohort	None	ICD-8, ICD-9, ICD-10	Non-aff	4641
Barghadouch et al 2018 ²⁰⁹ †‡‡	Denmark	1993-2000	Cohort	None	ICD-10	Non-aff	392
Richardson et al 2018 ²¹⁰ †‡‡	England	2009–13	First contact	OPCRIT	ICD-10	FEP, non-aff, schz, aff	0687
Jongsma et al 201855	England, Netherlands, France, Spain, Italy, Brazil	2005–15	First contact	SCAN, CASH, DIGS, SID, or case notes—OPCRIT	ICD-10	FEP, non-aff, aff	2774

All references up to and including 60 are found in the reference list of the main article. References from 61 onwards are found in the appendix (pp 35–43). Aff=affective psychosis. Bpd=bipolar disorder. BPRS=Brief Psychiatric Rating Scale. CASH=Comprehensive Assessment of Symptoms and History. CASH-CS=CASH-Culturally Sensitive. DIGS=Diagnostic Interview for Genetic Studies. DSM=Diagnostic and Statistical Manual. ERIaos-CL=Early Recognition Inventory Retrospective Assessment of Symptoms checklist. FEP=all first episode psychosis. GAF=Global Assessment of Functioning. HoNOS=Health of the Nations Outcome Scale. ICD=International Classification of Disease. Non-aff=crive psychosis. OCCPI=Operational Criteria Checklist for Psychotic Illness. OH=Other. Pd=psychotic depression. PPHS=Personal and Psychiatric History Schedule. PSE=Present State Examination. RDC=Research Diagnostic Criteria. RPMIP=Royal Park Multidiagnostic Instrument for Psychosis. SANS=Scale for the Assessment of Negative Symptoms. SCAN=Schedules Clinical Assessment Neuropsychiatry. Sch2=schizophrenia. Sch2p=schizophreniform disorder. Sch2a=schizoaffective disorder. SCID=Structural Clinical Interview for DSM-4. SCID-I=SCID-Axis I disorders. Sip=substance-induced psychosis. SID=Structured Interview for DSM-4. SSP=Screening Schedule for Psychosis. WHO-DAS III=WHD Disability Assessment Schedule. *Citations with insufficient data to include in quantitative analyses. †Citations covering young people (<40 years). ‡Citations only containing information covered in more detail in other citations. Scitations covering a prison population. ¶Citations covering post-partum psychosis. ||Citations covering comorbid populations. *Citations covering new widely (not included in analyses). ‡Published online in 2017.

Table: Study characteristics of included citations

year of recruitment varied from 1908⁴⁴ to 2012, ⁵³ with most citations (105 [59%]) recruiting between approximately 1995 and 2006. Most studies reported a clearly defined catchment area (174 [98%]), clearly listed their inclusion criteria (166 [94%]), used accurate denominator data (157 [89%]), and employed population-based case-finding (135 [76%]). Few studies done used a standardised research diagnosis (50 [28%]), did a leakage study (28.5 [16%]), or used blinding to demographic variables (18 [10%]; appendix pp 6-8). 92 (52%) citations reflected first contact designs and 76 (43%) used a cohort, case, or population register. The remaining nine (5%) studies used a combination. 40 (23%) citations used a version of the DSM for diagnoses and 118 (67%) used a version of ICD. The remaining 19 (11%) used a combination, used a different diagnostic system, such as the Research Diagnostic Criteria, or it was not reported (three [2%]; table). To confirm clinical diagnoses, 21 (12%) citations used a structured interview instrument only, 19 (11%) reviewed medical records, 14 (8%) used a structured interview followed by consensus diagnosis, 13 (7%) used only an interview without specifying whether an instrument was used, ten (6%) used only a consensus or panel discussion, and five (2%) used a chart or clinical diagnoses. The remaining citations either relied solely on clinical diagnoses in registry data (85 [48%]), or information was not stated (ten [6%]; table).

We included 44 separate estimates of the incidence of all psychotic disorders derived from 27 citations, including estimates from multicentre studies (figure 2). Incidence varied around 15 times, from $6 \cdot 3$ per 100 000 person-years

 $(95\% \text{ CI } 4 \cdot 5 - 8 \cdot 8)$ in Santiago (Spain)⁵⁵ to $90 \cdot 0$ ($88 \cdot 3 - 91 \cdot 8$) in the USA.⁵⁴ The overall pooled incidence of all psychotic disorders was $26 \cdot 6$ per 100 000 person-years ($22 \cdot 0 - 31 \cdot 7$).

Incidence of non-affective disorders was available from 47 incidences derived from 28 citations (figure 3). Incidence varied almost 30 times, from 5.2 per 100000 years (95% CI 3.7-7.4) in Santiago55 to 148.4 (142.7–154.4) in Finland.⁵³ The overall pooled incidence was 18.7 per 100000 person-years (14.8-23.6), but this incidence was lower in first-contact studies at 17.4 (14.6-20.8) compared with population register studies (pooled incidence rate 90.9 [34.5-237.5]; figure 3). The incidence of schizophrenia was available from 36 incidences from 26 citations and varied from 2.7 per 100000 person-years (1.4-5.3) in Cavan-Monaghan (Ireland)⁴³ to 75.9 (74.4-77.5) in South Korea.⁵² Pooled incidence was lower in first contact studies (13.1 per 100000 person-years [9.0-15.0]) than in population registers (32.8 [23.2-46.5]; figure 4).

We pooled 34 estimates of the incidence of affective psychotic disorders from 16 citations. Incidence varied from 0.9 per 100000 person-years (95% CI 0.4-2.2) in Santiago⁵⁵ to 17.0 (10.8–26.6) in Lundby (Sweden).⁴⁶ The overall pooled rate was 4.6 per 100000 person-years (3.1–6.8; figure 5). 24 estimates of the incidence of bipolar disorder were included in a meta-analysis, derived from 15 citations. Incidence varied from 1.4 per 100000 person-years (1.0–2.0) in Wales⁴⁵ to 28.5 (28.0–29.1) in Sweden,⁴⁹ and was higher in population registers (15.1 [10.2–22.3]) than first contact

	Country	Notes	IR (95% CI)
Singh et al (2003) ⁶⁸	England	•	20.91 (18.59–23.51)
Singh et al (2004)77	England	•	21.12 (18.05–24.70)
Kirkbride et al (2006) ⁶	England	Southeast London	54.60 (48.54–61.41)
Gould et al (2006) ¹⁰¹	England	-	29.67 (23.45-37.53)
Kirkbride et al (2006) ⁶	England	Bristol -	22.20 (17.21-28.64)
Kirkbride et al (2006) ⁶	England	Nottingham	25.03 (21.82-28.71)
Coid et al (2008) ¹²³	England		58.56 (53.09-64.59
Reay et al (2009) ¹³¹	England		30.88 (28.55-33.39
Schofield et al (2011) ¹⁴⁷	England	•	48-91 (45-22-52-90)
Jongsma et al (2018)55	England	Cambridgeshire	17.12 (15.22–19.25)
Jongsma et al (2018)55	England	Southeast London	61.56 (54.73-69.24)
Tortelli et al (2014)167	France	•	39.65 (35.25-44.59
Jongsma et al (2018)55	France	Val-de-Marne	41.68 (36.34-47.81)
Jongsma et al (2018)55	France	Puy-de-Dome	18-54 (13-82-24-88)
Jongsma et al (2018)55	France	Paris 🔶	44.70 (37.47-53.32)
Peritogiannis et al (2013)159	Greece	•	29.96 (25.12-35.74)
Baldwin et al (2005)43	Ireland	•	31.50 (27.46-36.13)
O'Donoghue et al (2016)192	Ireland		21.98 (19.54–24.72)
Jongsma et al (2018)55	Italy	Veneto 🔶	20.70 (17.01-25.18)
Jongsma et al (2018)55	Italy	Bologna 🔶	17.64 (15.08-20.63)
Jongsma et al (2018)55	Italy	Palermo 🔶	11.25 (9.80-12.90)
Zandi et al (2010) ¹³⁸	Netherlands	CASH -	21.98 (17.03-28.35)
Veling et al (2011) ¹⁴⁸	Netherlands	•	33.12 (30.62-35.82)
Jongsma et al (2018) ⁵⁵	Netherlands	Gouda and Voorhout 🛛 🔶 🗍	21.76 (18.60-25.45)
Jongsma et al (2018)55	Netherlands	Amsterdam 🔶	46.53 (41.36-52.33)
Weibell et al (2013)165	Norway	▲	24.05 (20.56–28.13)
Allardyce et al (2005) ⁸⁶	Scotland	•	23.34 (22.88–23.80)
Jongsma et al (2018)55	Spain	Barcelona 🔶	12.18 (10.01–14.82)
Jongsma et al (2018)55	Spain	Madrid -	21.54 (17.36–26.72)
Jongsma et al (2018)55	Spain	Valencia 🔶	15.96 (12.37–20.59
Jongsma et al (2018) ⁵⁵	Spain	Cuenca —	13.74 (9.47–19.93)
Jongsma et al (2018)55	Spain	Santiago —	6.30 (4.51-8.79)
Jongsma et al (2018)55	Spain	Oviedo 🔶	17.64 (14.22–21.88)
Bogren et al (2009) ¹³³	Sweden	1957 cohort	32.79 (24.43-43.99
Bogren et al (2009) ¹³³	Sweden	1947 cohort -	37.71 (28.11-50.60)
Simon et al (2017) ⁵⁴	USA		90.02 (88.27-91.80)
Menezes et al (2007)13	Brazil	•	15.80 (14.32–17.43)
Jongsma et al (2018) ⁵⁵	Brazil	Ribeirao Preto 🔶	21.54 (19.92-23.30)
Morgan et al (2016) ¹⁵	Trinidad	- + -	27.11 (19.43-37.83)
Morgan et al (2016) ¹⁵	India		31.19 (24.17-40.24)
Chiang et al (2016) ⁴⁸	Taiwan	•	46.06 (45.17-46.97)
Morgan et al (2016) ¹⁵	Nigeria		36-97 (28-10-48-64)
Burns et al (2008) ¹⁴	South Africa	•	31.50 (26.93–36.85)
Subtotal (I ² =99.7%, p=0.0	00)	\diamond	26.74 (22.09-32.38)
Combination			
Nixon et al (2005) ⁸⁴	England	•	20.91 (17.87–24.45)
Subtotal		$\overline{\Diamond}$	20-91 (17-87-24-45)
Overall (I²=99·6%, p=0·00	0)	\diamond	26.59 (22.01-32.13)
			1
		20 40 0000	

Figure 2: Incidence of all psychotic disorders

References from 61 onwards are found in the appendix (pp 35-43). IR=incidence rates. Note: weights are from random effects analysis.

studies $(3.6 \ [2.0-6.5];$ figure 6). Insufficient citations were available to pool rates for other outcomes.

Pooled estimates of the incidence of all psychotic disorders were similar across high-income and LMICs (appendix pp 11–16), though heterogeneity was substantial in both sets of data; formal comparisons were hampered by insufficient studies in LMICs.

For all psychotic disorders, 26 estimates of IRRs in men compared with women were available from 10 citations, with a pooled IRR of 1 · 44 (95% CI 1 · 27–1 · 62). A similar pattern was observed for non-affective psychoses (1 · 60 [1 · 44–1 · 77]; derived from 27 estimates

using 11 citations) and schizophrenia (1.70 [1.46-1.97]; derived from 11 estimates using 11 citations). No excess risk in men was found for affective disorders (IRR 0.87 [0.75-1.00]; p=0.07; derived from 20 estimates using six citations) or for psychotic bipolar disorder (0.90 [0.73-1.11]; derived from five estimates; appendix p 17). Insufficient citations were available to pool IRRs for other outcomes.

Migrants and their descendants were at excess risk of all psychotic disorders, non-affective disorders, and schizophrenia (insufficient citations were available to synthesise results for other diagnostic outcomes). When pooling all migrant groups to a binary majority or minority division, 22 estimates from seven citations were available to pool IRRs for all psychotic disorders (pooled IRR 1.75 [95% CI 1.53–2.00]). The pooled IRR for nonaffective disorders was 1.71 (1.40–2.09), derived using 28 estimates from thirteen citations. The pooled IRR for schizophrenia was 1.41 (1.15–1.75), derived using six estimates (appendix p 18). Risk was not equitably distributed across ethnic minority groups (appendix pp 19–21).

We did not pool estimates by age group because of the large variety of age groups used. Nonetheless, we observed an overall pattern of higher incidence in younger age groups (appendix pp 22–24). For example, in the multinational EU-GEI study⁵⁵ incidence of all first episode psychosis ranged from 44.2 per 100 000 person-years (95% CI 42.2–46.2) in people aged 18–24 years to 5.5 (3.2–7.7) in people aged 60–64 years.⁵⁵

We found some evidence that study design was associated with variation in incidence. Population registers had higher incidences of non-affective disorders (IRR 9.64[2.72–31.82]), schizophrenia (2.54[1.24-5.21]), and bipolar disorder (4.53 [2.41-8.51]) than first contact studies. Incidence of schizophrenia was also elevated in cohort studies (3.10 [1.12-8.53]) and case registers (3.12 [1.33-7.29]). Cohort studies (0.43 [0.20-0.93]) and population registers (0.42 [0.22-0.83]) recorded lower IRRs by minority status for non-affective disorders than first contact designs, but we found no differences by study design in IRRs for any other exposure or outcome association. We found little evidence that study quality and time period were associated with changes in incidence or IRR (appendix pp 25–28).

Heterogeneity was high across study outcomes ($l^2 \ge 98.5\%$; figures 2–6). Small study effects, as evidenced by Egger's test, were shown in the overall meta-analyses of incidences of all psychotic disorders ($\beta = 7.53$ [SE 3.14]; p=0.021), non-affective disorders (-14.55 [2.46]; p<0.001), schizophrenia (-11.78 [5.52]; p=0.041), affective disorders (7.72 [1.60]; p<0.001), and bipolar disorder (-14.97 [2.78]; p<0.001). They were also found in analyses by sex for all psychotic disorders (2.16 [0.44]; p<0.001) and affective disorders (0.90 [0.24]; p=0.001), but not for other diagnostic outcomes or for analyses by ethnic group (appendix pp 29–32). Post-hoc sensitivity analyses

Case registric Subtral (#-OK-p-0-000) England 17/12 (14.35-20-42) Subtral (#-OK-p-0-000) 17/12 (14.35-20-42) 17/12 (14.35-20-42) First contat 20.40 (19.22-17.3) 15/8 (13.00-116.5) Solten at (12005) ¹⁰ Sweden 37/3 (13.92-14.66) Contor Game total (2005) ¹⁰ Sweden 37/3 (13.92-14.66) Daylow Piers and (2005) ¹⁰ Gama 37/3 (13.92-14.66) Veling et al (2005) ¹⁰ Gama 37/3 (13.92-14.66) Sweden 37/3 (13.92-14.66) 37/3 (13.92-14.66) Veling et al (2005) ¹⁰ Gama 37/3 (13.92-14.66) Sweden 37/3 (13.92-14.66) 37/3 (13.92-14.66) Sweden (2005) ¹⁰ Fegland 37/2 (12.92-19.5) Borgen et al (2000) ¹⁰ Fegland 10.000 Sweden (2007) ¹⁰ Fegland 10.000 Sitchhide et al (2000) ¹⁰ Fegland 10.000 Sitchhide et al (2004) ¹⁰ Fegland 10.000 Sitchhide et al (2004) ¹⁰ Fegland 10.000 Sitchhide et al (2004) ¹⁰ Fegland 10.000 Sitchide et al (2004) ¹⁰ <th></th> <th>Country</th> <th>Notes</th> <th>IR (95% CI)</th>		Country	Notes	IR (95% CI)
Proctor feet al (2004) ²⁷ England 1721 (143:50-42) First contact 7000 (1 ²) Surtical (1-0) ²⁷ Surden 1127 (143:50-42) First contact 12(2005) ¹⁶ Surden 1576 (1300-2165) Canada 124 (12005) ¹⁷ Surden 1576 (1300-2165) Payne et al (2005) ¹⁶ Canada 124 (1005) ¹⁶ Canada 124 (1006-214 (4)) Payne et al (2006) ¹⁷ Canada 124 (1006-214 (4)) Payne et al (2008) ¹⁷ England 1377 (156:56-39-22) Pelayo-Trane tal (2008) ¹⁷ England 142 (2009) ¹⁸ England 143 (2006) ¹⁸ England 143 (2006) ¹⁹ England 143 (2007) ¹⁹ England 143 (2006) ¹⁹ England 143 (2006) ¹⁹ England 143 (2006) ¹⁹ England 143 (2006) ¹⁹ England 143 (2007) ¹⁹ England 14	Case register			
Subtail ((1=00-p-0-00) First conta- Sippo et al (2007) ¹⁶ Sweden Sweden Singe et al (2007) ¹⁷ Sweden Sw	Proctor et al (2004)72	England		▲ 17·12 (14·35-20·42)
First contet 040917 Seeden 040917322-1733 Seltes et al (2005) ¹⁹ Surinam 1578 (13.09-2165) 1578 (13.09-2165) Cathor Coase et al (2005) ¹⁰ Ganada 1373 (13.19-24.068) 1373 (13.19-24.068) Payne et al (2005) ¹⁰ Ganada 1373 (13.19-24.068) 1373 (13.19-24.068) Payne Teal (2008) ¹⁰ Sealen 1373 (13.17-45.07) 1373 (13.17-45.07) Payne Teal (2008) ¹⁰ Sealen 1373 (13.17-45.07) 1373 (13.17-45.07) Veling et al (2008) ¹⁰ Fegland 24.96 (13.37-68) 1373 (11.17-45.07) Beomstra et al (2008) ¹¹⁰ Fegland 1373 (11.17-45.07) 1373 (11.17-45.07) Brown et al (2008) ¹¹⁰ Netherlands 1373 (11.27-45.07) 1373 (11.27-45.07) Strictland et al (2008) ¹¹⁰ Netherlands 1373 (11.27-45.07) 1373 (11.27-45.07) Strictland et al (2007) ¹¹⁰ Regland England 1445 (11.27-45.07) 1475 (11.27-45.07) Strictland et al (2007) ¹¹⁰ England Bitstol 1444 (10.97-14.97) 1493 (15.47-27.47) Strictland et al (2007) ¹¹⁰ England Southeast London	Subtotal (12=0%-p=0.000)	5		17.12 (14.35-20.42)
Singes et al (2004) ¹⁰ Sweden 2005) ¹⁰ Sweden 373 (2102-34 65) Senter at al (2005) ¹⁰ Sweden 373 (2102-34 65) Span et al (2005) ¹⁰ Grada 377 (18 62 6-39 22) 377 (18 62 6-39 2) 377 (18 6-39 6) 377	First contact			
Seleci et al (2005) ¹⁰ Suriam (578 (1500-2165)) Canto-Gane et al (2005) ¹⁰ Ganada (2005) ¹⁰ Suria et al (2005) ¹⁰ Spain (2005) ¹⁰ Spain (2005) ¹⁰ Canada (2005) ¹⁰ Spain (2005) ¹⁰ Canada (2005) ¹⁰ Cana	Sipos et al (2004) ⁷³	Sweden		♦ 20.49 (19.32–21.73)
Cattor Grave et al (2005) ¹⁰ Sweden 37.44 (3.9-2)-460, Smith et al (2006) ¹⁰ Ganada 37.74 (3.9-2)-460, Smith et al (2006) ¹⁰ Ganada 37.74 (3.9-2)-460, Velago Team et al (2008) ¹⁰ Netherlands 37.74 (1.74-16.07) Cod et al (2008) ¹⁰ Netherlands 21.76 (1.9-34-24.47) Degren et al (2008) ¹⁰ Netherlands 21.76 (1.9-34-24.47) Standie et al (2001) ¹⁰ Netherlands 36.97 (2.755-46.80) Standie et al (2006) ¹⁰ England Boord 40.45 (3.394-48.25) Standie et al (2006) ¹⁰ England Nottingham 40.45 (3.394-48.25) Kirkbride et al (2006) ¹⁰ England Nottingham 40.45 (3.394-48.25) Kirkbride et al (2006) ¹⁰ England Nottingham 40.45 (3.394-48.25) Menezse et al (2007) ¹¹⁰ Brazil Kirkbride et al (2006) ¹¹ Hajtingham 40.45 (3.994-48.25) Gigantesco et al (2017) ¹¹⁰ Brazil Kirkbride et al (2006) ¹¹ England Nottingham 40.45 (3.994-48.25) Gigantesco et al (2012) ¹¹¹ Italy Codit et al (2012) ¹¹¹ Italy <	Selten et al (2005) ⁸³	Surinam	-	▲ 16.78 (13.00-21.65)
Payne et al (2005) ¹⁹ Canada 377 (16 26-29-29) Pelayo-Teran et al (2008) ¹⁰ Spain 137 (16 26-29-29) Pelayo-Teran et al (2008) ¹⁰ Netherlands 2176 (19-34-24-47) Good et al (2008) ¹⁰ Netherlands 2176 (19-34-24-47) Good et al (2008) ¹⁰ Netherlands 2196 (17-27-2780) Reave et al (2008) ¹⁰ Netherlands 2176 (19-34-24-47) Sturtiand et al (2010) ¹⁰ Sweden 317 (12-12-24-92) Borgen et al (2010) ¹⁰ Netherlands 2176 (19-34-24-47) Zandi et al (2010) ¹⁰ Netherlands 2176 (19-34-24-47) Singh et al (2006) ¹⁰ England Bristol 44-04 (19-34-95) Kirkbrid et al (2006) ¹⁰ England Nottingham 9457 (19-34-24-47) Kirkbrid et al (2006) ¹⁰ England Nottingham 9457 (19-34-24-47) Kirkbrid et al (2007) ¹¹ England South-ast London 44-95 (39-34-82-5) Kirkbrid et al (2007) ¹¹ England South-ast London 9937 (19-34-24-47) Kirkbrid et al (2017) ¹¹ England South-ast London 19-957 (10-34-24-47) Kirkbrid et al (2017) ¹¹ England South-ast London 19-957 (10-34-24-47) Spain Madrid 19-93 (16-82-22-94) Jongsma et al (2018) ¹⁵ Spain Madrid 19-94 (10-65-18-94) Jongsma et al (2018) ¹⁵ Spain Madrid 19-96 (13-82-94-94) Jongsma et al (2018) ¹⁵ France Vi-de-Marne 20-06-20-07 Jongsma et al (2018) ¹⁵ France Pi-de-Dorne 19-06-20-07 Jongsma et al (2018) ¹⁵ Spain Qual-20-07 Jongsma et al (2018) ¹⁵ Spain Qual-20-07 Jo	Cantor-Graae et al (2005)87	Sweden		▲ 37.34 (31.92–43.68)
Smith et al (2005) ⁴⁴ Ganzda 377 (16 52-59 22) Pelayo-Franct al (2008) ¹⁴⁵ Netherlands 377 (16 52-59 22) Codi et al (2008) ¹⁴⁶ Netherlands 217 (19 34-224 47) Codi et al (2008) ¹⁴⁶ Netherlands 213 /4 (13 /4 447) Bogren et al (2008) ¹⁴⁶ Netherlands 213 /4 (13 /4 447) Bogren et al (2001) ⁴⁴⁵ Needen 213 /4 (13 /4 2447) Stutterland et al (2001) ⁴⁴⁵ Neetherlands 36 /7 (7 25 54-9 50) Stuterland et al (2001) ⁴⁴⁵ Neetherlands 21 /6 (19 /4 -24 47) Land et al (2006) ⁴⁴ England London 40 45 (33 91-48 2) Kirkbride et al (2006) ⁴⁴ England Bistol 44 41 (10 97-19-00) Kirkbride et al (2007) ¹¹⁴ England 19 30 (16 82-22 14) 19 30 (16 82-22 14) Gigartesco et al (2012) ¹⁴⁵ Italy 73 (63 2-8 64) 73 (63 2-8 64) Jongsma et al (2018) ¹⁵⁵ England Gouda and Voorhout 15 96 (8 (8 7-11 32) Jongsma et al (2018) ¹⁵⁵ Italy Palerno 96 (8 (2 7-11 32) Jongsma et al (2018) ¹⁵⁵ Italy Palerno 96 (8 (8	Payne et al (2006) ⁹²	Canada	•	12.43 (10.62–14.54)
Pelsyo-Teran et al (2008) ¹⁶ Spain Pelsyo-Teran et al (2008) ¹⁶ Netherlands Ret	Smith et al (2006) ⁴⁴	Canada		▲ 37.71 (36.26–39.22)
Veling et al (2008) ¹⁰⁰ Netherlands 2176 (19 34-24 47) Boonstra et al (2008) ¹¹⁰ England 438 (14 31-46 47) Boonstra et al (2009) ¹¹⁰ England 177 (19 32-24 42) Sugren et al (2010) ¹¹⁶ Netherlands 2176 (19 34-24 47) Sutterland et al (2013) ¹¹⁶ Netherlands 2176 (19 34-24 47) Stirkind et al (2004) ¹¹⁷ England London Kirkbride et al (2006) ¹¹⁶ England Netherlands Kirkbride et al (2006) ¹¹⁶ England Notingham Michoide et al (2007) ¹¹⁸ Brazil 199 (16 87-2214) Gigantesco et al (2012) ¹¹⁶ Italy 66 (2 (4 93-88)) Jongsma et al (2018) ¹¹⁶ Italy Bologna Jongsma et al (2018) ¹¹⁶ Italy Bologna Jongsma et al (2018) ¹¹⁶ France Val-de-Mame Jongsma et al (2018) ¹¹⁶ France Val-de-Mame Jongsma et	Pelavo-Teran et al (2008)10	Spain		13.74 (11.74–16.07)
Coid et al (2008) ^{1/2} England Boostrat et al (2008) ^{1/2} England Boostrat et al (2009) ^{1/2} England Uttriand et al (2003) ^{1/2} Sweden Suttriand et al (2003) ^{1/2} Netherlands Singh et al (2003) ^{1/2} Netherlands Singh et al (2004) ^{1/2} England Dingh et al (2004) ^{1/2} England Bordon Kirkbride et al (2006) ^{1/2} England Dingh et al (2006) ^{1/2} England Dingh et al (2006) ^{1/2} England Distol Kirkbride et al (2007) ^{1/3} England Nottingham Brazil Kirkbride et al (2007) ^{1/3} England Distol Kirkbride et al (2007) ^{1/3} England Distol Kirkbride et al (2007) ^{1/3} England Nottingham Brazil Dingma et al (2018) ^{1/3} England Southeast London Kirkbride et al (2012) ^{1/3} Italy Dingma et al (2018) ^{1/3} England Dingma et al (2018) ^{1/3} England Cambridgeshire Jongma et al (2018) ^{1/3} France Val-de-Marne Jongma et al (2018) ^{1/3} France Val-de-Marne Jongma et al (2018) ^{1/3} France Plavide-Dorne Jat (Jat 66-741) Jongma et al (2018) ^{1/3} France Plavide-Dorne Jat (Jat 7-2375) Jongma et al (2018) ^{1/3} France Plavide-Dorne Jat (Jat 7-2375) Jongma et al (2018) ^{1/3} France Plavide-Dorne Jat (Jat 7-2375) Jongma et al (2018) ^{1/3}	Veling et al (2008) ¹²⁰	Netherlands	-	◆ 21.76 (19·34-24·47)
Boonstnet al (2008) ¹⁹⁶ Netherlands Rayet al (2009) ¹⁹⁶ Siveden Sutterland et al (2013) ¹⁹⁶ Netherlands Sutherland et al (2013) ¹⁹⁶ Netherlands Singh et al (2004) ⁷² England London Kirkhoid et al (2005) ⁴ England London Kirkhoid et al (2005) ⁴ England Netherlands Singh et al (2006) ⁴ England Nottingham Parali Kirkhoid et al (2007) ⁴⁴ England Cocchi et al (2017) ⁴⁶ Halp Parali Kirkhoid et al (2007) ⁴⁴ Halp Cocchi et al (2018) ⁴⁵ England Southeast London Sr40 (51 03-84 64) Jongsma et al (2018) ⁴⁵ England Southeast London Sr40 (51 03-84 64) Jongsma et al (2018) ⁴⁵ England Southeast London Sr40 (51 03-84 64) Jongsma et al (2018) ⁴⁵ England Southeast London Sr40 (51 03-84 64) Jongsma et al (2018) ⁴⁵ England Southeast London Sr40 (51 03-84 64) Jongsma et al (2018) ⁴⁵ England Southeast London Sr40 (51 03-84 64) Jongsma et al (2018) ⁴⁵ England Southeast London Sr40 (51 03-84 64) Jongsma et al (2018) ⁴⁵ England Southeast London Sr40 (51 03-84 64) Jongsma et al (2018) ⁴⁵ France Paris Jongsma et al (20	Coid et al (2008) ¹²³	England		43.82 (41.31-46.47)
Ray et al (2009) ¹³ England 17.72 (15.27.93.27) Bogren et al (2010) ¹⁶ Sweden 36.97 (27.55.49.60) Strich and et al (2010) ¹⁶ Netherlands 14.07 (10.47.95.49.60) Singh et al (2004) ⁷ England 14.07 (10.47.95.80) Kirkbride et al (2006) ⁶ England 40.45 (33.91.42.44.77) Kirkbride et al (2006) ⁶ England 40.45 (33.91.42.44.77) Kirkbride et al (2006) ⁶ England 40.45 (33.91.42.44.77) Kirkbride et al (2007) ¹³ England 40.45 (33.91.42.44.77) Kirkbride et al (2007) ¹⁴ England 99.76 (8.70.14.44) Gigantesco et al (2017) ¹⁵ England 13.87 (9.94.19.36) Gigantesco et al (2013) ¹⁵⁶ Italy 66.24.29.14.4) Jongsma et al (2018) ¹⁶ England 57.40 (51.03.46.4) Jongsma et al (2018) ¹⁶ England 57.40 (51.03.46.4) Jongsma et al (2018) ¹⁶ Italy Bologna 14.01 (10.75.16.72.1) Jongsma et al (2018) ¹⁶ France Val-de-Marne 26.31 (22.06.31.38.19.04) Jongsma et al (2018) ¹⁶ France Val-de-Marne 25.12 (26.67.41.1) Jongsma et al (2018) ¹⁶ Franc	Boonstra et al (2008)116	Netherlands		21.98 (17.37-27.80)
Bogren et al (2010) ⁴⁶ Sweden Sutterland et al (2013) ⁴⁶ Netherlands Singh et al (2004) ⁷² England Kirkbride et al (2004) ⁷² England Kirkbride et al (2006) ⁴ England Kirkbride et al (2006) ⁴ England Netherlands Kirkbride et al (2006) ⁴ England Netherlands Kirkbride et al (2007) ⁴⁴ England Netherlands Kirkbride et al (2007) ⁴⁴ England Netherlands Menzes et al (2007) ⁴⁴ England Kirkbride et al (2007) ⁴⁴ England Kirkbride et al (2007) ⁴⁴ England Southeast London Kirkbride et al (2007) ⁴⁴ England Gografia et al (2013) ⁴⁵ Spain Madrid Jongsma et al (2018) ⁴⁵ Spain Nadrid Jongsma et al (2018) ⁴⁵ Spain Netherlands Gouda and Voorhout Jongsma et al (2018) ⁴⁵ Spain Netherlands Amsterdam Jongsma et al (2018) ⁴⁵ Spain Netherlands Amsterdam Jongsma et al (2018) ⁴⁵ France Val-de-Marre Jongsma et al (2018) ⁴⁵ France Puy-de-Dome 10-44 (18-27-148) Jongsma et al (2018) ⁴⁵ France Val-de-Marre Jongsma et al (2018	Reav et al (2009)131	England		▲ 17·12 (15·22–19·25)
Surfarda et al (2013) ¹⁶⁶ Netherlands Zandi et al (2004) ⁷⁷ England Kirkbride et al (2006) ⁶ England Bristol Kirkbride et al (2006) ⁶ England Bristol Kirkbride et al (2007) ¹³⁴ England Kirkbride et al (2017) ¹³⁴ England Kirkbride et al (2012) ¹³⁶ Italy Cocchi et al (2012) ¹³⁶ England Southeast London Southeast London Southeast London Jasz (94)-936) Jongsma et al (2018) ¹⁵⁶ England Jongsma et al (2018) ¹⁵⁶ Spain Madrid Jongsma et al (2018) ¹⁵⁶ Netherlands Jongsma et al (2018) ¹⁵⁶ Spain Jongsma et al (2018) ¹⁵⁶ Netherlands Jongsma et al (2018) ¹⁵⁶ England Jongsma et al (2018) ¹⁵⁶ Spain Valencia Jongsma et al (2018) ¹⁵⁶ England Jongsma et al (2018) ¹⁵⁶ Spain Valencia Jongsma et al (2018) ¹⁵⁶ England Jongsma et al (2018) ¹⁵⁶ Spain Valencia Jongsma et al (2018) ¹⁵⁶ England Jongsma et al (2018) ¹⁵⁶ Spain Valencia Jongsma et al (2018) ¹⁵⁶ France Val-de-Marne Jongsma et al (2018) ¹⁵⁶ Spain Valencia Jongsma et al (2018) ¹⁵⁶ France Netherlands Jongsma et al (2018) ¹⁵⁶ Spain Valencia Jongsma et al (2018) ¹⁵⁶ Spain Valencia Jongsma et al (2018) ¹⁵⁶ France Netherlands Jongsma et al (2018) ¹⁵⁶ Spain Valencia Jongsma et al (2018) ¹⁵⁶ Spain Note et al (2018) ¹⁵⁷ Spain Note et al (2018) ¹⁵⁶ Spain Note et al (2018) ¹⁵⁶ Spain Note et al (2018) ¹⁵⁷ Spain No	Bogren et al (2010) ⁴⁶	Sweden		36.97 (27.55-49.60)
Zandi et al (2010) ¹⁶¹ Netherlands 14.01 (10.04-19.55) Singh et al (2004) ⁷⁷ England 14.15 (11.87-16.88) Kirkhoide et al (2005) ⁶⁴ England 14.45 (11.87-16.88) Kirkhoide et al (2005) ⁶⁴ England 14.44 (10.97-19-00) Kirkhoide et al (2007) ¹³⁴ Brazil 13.87 (09-41-9) 50) Kirkhoide et al (2007) ¹⁴⁴ England 9.97 (87-0-11.44) Gigantesco et al (2012) ⁵⁶⁵ Italy 662 (493-886) Jongsma et al (2018) ⁵⁶ England 57.40 (13.04-68-22.09) Jongsma et al (2018) ⁵⁶ Southeast London 57.40 (13.02-64-55) Jongsma et al (2018) ⁵⁶ England 14.01 (10.57-167.20) Jongsma et al (2018) ⁵⁶ Tay 80 (13.38-19.04) Jongsma et al (2018) ⁵⁶ Tank Gooda and Voorhout 15.96 (13.38-19.04) Jongsma et al (2018) ⁵⁶ Italy Palermo 26.31 (22.06-31.39) Jongsma et al (2018) ⁵⁶ England Carchideshire 14.04 (10.47-37.63) Jongsma et al (2018) ⁵⁶ England Carchideshire 14.04 (13.07-34.73) Jongsma et al (2018) ⁵⁶ England Carchideshire 14.30 (11.30-18.09)	Sutterland et al (2013)160	Netherlands		21.76 (19·34-24·47)
Singh et al (2004)? England 1415 (1187-16-89) Kirkbride et al (2006) ⁶ England Bristol 4045 (33 91-48-25) Kirkbride et al (2006) ⁶ England Bristol 1444 (1097-19-00) Kirkbride et al (2007) ¹³ Brazil 99 (87-01-144) 1387 (994-19) 36) Gigantesco et al (2012) ¹³⁶ Italy 739 (632-252.14) 1930 (1682-22.14) Jongsma et al (2013) ¹⁵⁵ England Southeast London 57.40 (51-36-465) Jongsma et al (2018) ¹⁵⁶ England 57.40 (51-36-465) 104 (51-380-22.09) Jongsma et al (2018) ¹⁵⁶ England Southeast London 57.40 (51-36-465) Jongsma et al (2018) ¹⁵⁶ Taly Bologna 14-01 (1175-1672) Jongsma et al (2018) ¹⁵⁶ Netherlands Gouda and Voorhout 15.96 (13-38-190.4) Jongsma et al (2018) ¹⁵⁶ Taly Palermo 968 (8-27-11-32) Jongsma et al (2018) ¹⁵⁶ France Puis 42.10 (37-43-4735) Jongsma et al (2018) ¹⁵⁶ France Puis 42.10 (37-43-4735) Jongsma et al (2018) ¹⁵⁶ France Puis 42.40 (27-43-4785) Jongsma et al (2018) ¹⁵⁶ France <td>Zandi et al (2010)¹³⁸</td> <td>Netherlands</td> <td>-</td> <td>14-01 (10-04-19-55)</td>	Zandi et al (2010) ¹³⁸	Netherlands	-	14-01 (10-04-19-55)
Kirkbride et al (2006) ⁶ England London 40.45 (33.91-48.25) Kirkbride et al (2006) ⁶ England Bistol 14.44 (10.97-19.00) Menzess et al (2007) ¹³ Brazil 19.97 (8.70-11.44) Kirkbride et al (2007) ¹³ England 19.93 (16.82-22.14) Gigantesco et al (2012) ¹⁵⁶ England 19.30 (16.82-22.14) Jongsma et al (2018) ¹⁵⁵ England Southeast London Jongsma et al (2018) ¹⁵⁵ England Southeast London Jongsma et al (2018) ¹⁵⁵ Spain Madrid 17.46 (13.80-22.09) Jongsma et al (2018) ¹⁵⁵ Italy Bologna 14.01 (11.75-16.72) Jongsma et al (2018) ¹⁵⁵ Italy Palerno 9.66 (8.27-11.32) Jongsma et al (2018) ¹⁵⁵ Italy Palerno 9.66 (8.27-11.32) Jongsma et al (2018) ¹⁵⁵ England Cambridgeshire 11.94 (10.41-37.70) Jongsma et al (2018) ¹⁵⁵ France Val-de-Marne 2.63 (12.20-6-31.39) Jongsma et al (2018) ¹⁵⁵ France Paris 40.40 (42.92-48.72) Jongsma et al (2018) ¹⁵⁵ France Paris 40.40 (42.92-48.72) Jongsma et al (2018) ¹⁵⁵ <td>Singh et al (2004)77</td> <td>England</td> <td></td> <td>14-15 (11-87–16-88)</td>	Singh et al (2004)77	England		14-15 (11-87–16-88)
Kikbride et al (2006) ⁶ England Bristol 14.44 (10.97-90.0) Kikbride et al (2007) ¹³ Brazil 13.87 (9.94-19.36) 13.87 (9.94-19.36) Kikbride et al (2007) ¹⁴ England 9.97 (8.70-11.44) 19.30 (16.82-22.14) Gigantesco et al (2012) ¹⁵⁶ Italy 7.39 (6.32-86.4) 6.62 (4.93-8.88) Jongsma et al (2013) ¹⁵⁵ England Southeast London 57.40 (51.03-64.56) Jongsma et al (2018) ¹⁵⁵ Spain Madrid 17.44 (13.80-22.09) Jongsma et al (2018) ¹⁵⁵ Spain Madrid 17.44 (13.80-22.09) Jongsma et al (2018) ¹⁵⁵ Spain Valencia 14.40 (11.075-16.72) Jongsma et al (2018) ¹⁵⁵ France Val-de-Marre 26.31 (22.06-31.39) Jongsma et al (2018) ¹⁵⁵ France Val-de-Marre 26.31 (22.06-31.39) Jongsma et al (2018) ¹⁵⁵ France Val-de-Marre 26.31 (22.06-31.39) Jongsma et al (2018) ¹⁵⁵ France Val-de-Marre 26.31 (22.06-31.39) Jongsma et al (2018) ¹⁵⁵ France Paris 40.04 (32.92-48-72) Jongsma et al (2018) ¹⁵⁵ France Paris 40.04 (32.92-48-72) Jong	Kirkbride et al (2006) ⁶	England	London	40.45 (33.91-48.25)
Kirkbride et al (2005)* England Nottingham 13 87 (9.94-19.36) Menezes et al (2007)** England 9.97 (8.70-11.44) Gigantesco et al (2012)**6 Italy 7.39 (6.32-22.14) Cochti et al (2013)*5 England 5.740 (51.03-64.56) Jongsma et al (2018)*5 England 5.740 (51.03-64.56) Jongsma et al (2018)*5 England 5.740 (51.03-64.56) Jongsma et al (2018)*5 Spain Madrid 14.01 (10-65-18.44) Jongsma et al (2018)*5 England Gouda and Voorhout 15.96 (13.38-19.04) Jongsma et al (2018)*5 England Cambridgeshire 11.94 (10-41-13.70) Jongsma et al (2018)*5 England Cambridgeshire 11.94 (10-41-37.07) Jongsma et al (2018)*5 England Cambridgeshire 11.94 (10-41-37.07) Jongsma et al (2018)*5 England Cambridgeshire 11.94 (10-41-37.07) Jongsma et al (2018)*5 France Pu-de-Dome 12.42 (8.56-18.04) Jongsma et al (2018)*5 France Pu-de-Dome 12.42 (8.56-18.04) Jongsma et al (2018)*5 Spain Oviedo 14.33 (13.0-16.25) Jongsma et al (2018)*5 <td>Kirkbride et al (2006)⁶</td> <td>England</td> <td>Bristol</td> <td>14.44 (10.97-19.00)</td>	Kirkbride et al (2006) ⁶	England	Bristol	14.44 (10.97-19.00)
Merezes et al (2007) ¹³ Brzil 9.97 (8.70-11.44) Kirkbride et al (2007) ¹⁴⁴ England 19.39 (16.82-22.14) Gigantesco et al (2012) ¹⁵⁶ England 50.72 (1.44) Jongsma et al (2018) ¹⁵⁶ England 50.74 (1.44) Jongsma et al (2018) ¹⁵⁶ England 50.74 (1.61, 2.22.14) Jongsma et al (2018) ¹⁵⁶ Spain Madrid 17.46 (1.380-22.09) Jongsma et al (2018) ¹⁵⁶ Spain Valencia 14.01 (11.75-16.72) Jongsma et al (2018) ¹⁵⁵ Netherlands Gouda and Voorhout 15.96 (1.338-19.04) Jongsma et al (2018) ¹⁵⁶ France Val-de-Marne 26.63 (1.29, -17.32) Jongsma et al (2018) ¹⁵⁶ France Val-de-Marne 26.63 (1.29, -17.32) Jongsma et al (2018) ¹⁵⁶ France Pu-de-Dorne 11.94 (10.41-13.70) Jongsma et al (2018) ¹⁵⁶ France Pu-de-Dorne 12.43 (8.56-18.64) Jongsma et al (2018) ¹⁵⁶ France Pu-de-Dorne 12.43 (8.56-18.64) Jongsma et al (2018) ¹⁵⁶ France Pu-de-Dorne 12.43 (8.56-18.64) Jongsma et al (2018) ¹⁵⁶ France Pu-de-Dorne 12.43 (8.56-18.64)	Kirkbride et al (2006) ⁶	England	Nottingham -	13.87 (9.94–19.36)
Kirkbride et al (2007) ¹¹⁴ England 19.30 (16.82-22.14) Gigantesco et al (2012) ¹²⁶ Italy 7.33 (6.32-86.4) Cocchi et al (2013) ¹²⁶ England Southeast London 57.40 (51.03-64.56) Jongsma et al (2018) ¹⁵ England Southeast London 57.40 (51.03-64.56) Jongsma et al (2018) ¹⁵ Spain Madrid 11.74-6 (13.80-22.09) Jongsma et al (2018) ¹⁵ Spain Valencia 14.401 (17.5-16.72) Jongsma et al (2018) ¹⁵ Netherlands Gouda and Voorhout 15.96 (13.38-19-04) Jongsma et al (2018) ¹⁵ England Cambridgeshire 11.94 (10.41-13.70) Jongsma et al (2018) ¹⁵ England Cambridgeshire 11.94 (10.41-13.70) Jongsma et al (2018) ¹⁵ France Puy-de-Dome 12.43 (8.56-18.04) Jongsma et al (2018) ¹⁵ France Puy-de-Dome 12.43 (8.56-18.04) Jongsma et al (2018) ¹⁵ France Puy-de-Dome 12.43 (8.56-18.04) Jongsma et al (2018) ¹⁵ Spain Oviedo 14.430 (11.30-16.25) Jongsma et al (2018) ¹⁵ Spain Cuenca 10.91 (8.97-13.28) Jongsma et al (2018) ¹⁵ Italy	Menezes et al (2007) ¹³	Brazil		9.97 (8.70–11.44)
Gigantesco et al (2013) ³⁶ Italy 739 (6.32-8.64) Cocchi et al (2014) ⁴⁶ Italy 642 (4.93-8.88) Jongsma et al (2018) ⁵⁵ England Southeast London Jongsma et al (2018) ⁵⁵ Spain Madrid Jongsma et al (2018) ⁵⁵ Spain Valencia Jongsma et al (2018) ⁵⁵ Spain Valencia Jongsma et al (2018) ⁵⁵ France Val-de-Marne Jongsma et al (2018) ⁵⁵ France Pu-de-Dome Jongsma et al (2018) ⁵⁵ France Pu-de-Dome 14-34 (3 (3 5-61-80.4)) Jongsma et al (2018) ⁵⁵ France Pu-de-Dome 14-34 (13 -66-25) Jongsma et al (2018) ⁵⁵ France Pu-de-Dome 14-34 (13 -66-25) Jongsma et al (2018) ⁵⁵ Spain Cuenca	Kirkbride et al (2007) ¹¹⁴	England		▲ 19·30 (16·82–22·14)
Cocchi et al (2014)** Italy 6 62 (4 93 - 8 88) Jongsma et al (2018)*5 England Southeast London 57-40 (51 - 03 - 64 56) Jongsma et al (2018)*5 Spain Madrid 17-46 (13 80 - 22.09) Jongsma et al (2018)*5 Spain Valencia 14-01 (11-55-182) Jongsma et al (2018)*5 Netherlands Gouda and Voorhout 15-956 (1338 - 19-04) Jongsma et al (2018)*5 Italy Palermo 9-68 (8:27-11:32) Jongsma et al (2018)*5 France Val-de-Marne 263 (12:06-31:39) Jongsma et al (2018)*5 France Val-de-Marne 263 (12:06-31:39) Jongsma et al (2018)*5 France Val-de-Dorne 11:94 (10-41-370) Jongsma et al (2018)*5 France Paris 40-04 (3:29-248.72) Jongsma et al (2018)*5 France Paris 40-04 (3:29-248.72) Jongsma et al (2018)*5 France Paris 40-04 (3:29-248.72) Jongsma et al (2018)*5 Spain Subrotal (Pase, pac-boxe) 12:43 (8:56-18:04) Jongsma et al (2018)*5 Spain Cuenca 13:33 (9-01-19:73) Jongsma et al (2018)*5 Spain Barcelona	Gigantesco et al (2012) ¹⁵⁶	Italy	•	7.39 (6.32-8.64)
Jongsma et al (2018) ⁵⁵ England Southeast London 57-40 (51-03-64-56) Jongsma et al (2018) ⁵⁵ Spain Madrid 77-46 (13-80-22-09) Jongsma et al (2018) ⁵⁵ Krance Val-de-Marne 26-31 (220-63-139) Jongsma et al (2018) ⁵⁵ France Puy-de-Dome 26-31 (220-63-139) Jongsma et al (2018) ⁵⁵ France Puy-de-Dome 27-21 (36-67-41) Jongsma et al (2018) ⁵⁵ France Puy-de-Dome 27-21 (36-67-41) Jongsma et al (2018) ⁵⁵ France Paris 40-04 (32-92-48-72) Jongsma et al (2018) ⁵⁵ Spain Santiago 41-43 (11-31-809) Jongsma et al (2018) ⁵⁵ Spain Oviedo 14-33 (11-31-809) Jongsma et al (2018) ⁵⁵ Spain Oviedo 14-33 (11-31-809) Jongsma et al (2018) ⁵⁵ Spain Cuenca 13-33 (9-01-19-73) Jongsma et al (2018) ⁵⁵ Spain Barzell Ribeirao Preto 14-73 (13-36-16-25) Jongsma et al (2018) ⁵⁵ Spain Barzell Ribeirao Preto 14-73 (13-20-20) Jongsma et al (2018) ⁵⁵ Spain Barcelona 10-91 (8-97-13-28) Baldwin et al (2005) ⁴⁴ England 50-20-000 Combination Nixon et al (2005) ⁴⁴ England 50-20-000 Population register Hoeffding et al (2017) ⁷⁰² Denmark Markkula et al (2017) ⁷⁰² Denmark Markkula et al (2017) ⁷⁰² Denmark Markkula et al (2017) ⁷⁰³ Finland 50-70 (54-62-56-80) Markkula et al (2017) ⁷⁰³ Denmark Markkula et al (2017) ⁷⁰³ Denmark M	Cocchi et al (2014)166	Italy		6.62 (4.93-8.88)
Jongsma et al (2018) ⁵⁵ Spain Madrid 17-46 (13 80-22.09 Jongsma et al (2018) ⁵⁵ Spain Valencia Jongsma et al (2018) ⁵⁵ Spain Valencia Jongsma et al (2018) ⁵⁵ Netherlands Gouda and Voorhout Jongsma et al (2018) ⁵⁵ France Val-de-Marne Jongsma et al (2018) ⁵⁵ England Cambridgeshire Jongsma et al (2018) ⁵⁵ Spain Santiago Jongsma et al (2018) ⁵⁵ France Puy-de-Dome Jongsma et al (2018) ⁵⁵ Spain Oviedo Jongsma et al (2018) ⁵⁵ Spain Oviedo Jongsma et al (2018) ⁵⁵ Spain Cuenca Jongsma et al (2018) ⁵⁵ Spain Guenca Jongsma et al (2018) ⁵⁵ Spain Barcelona Baldwin et al (2005) ⁴⁴ England Subtratal (<i>P</i> = 9 - 6 , w , p = 0 - 00) Doublistion Nixon et al (2017) ⁵⁰ Finland Jongsma et al (2017) ⁵⁰² Denmark Hoeffding et al (2017) ⁵⁰² Denmark Finland Jongsma et al (2017) ⁵⁰² Denmark Jacke (14-77-23-58) Jongsma et al (2017) ⁵⁰² Denmark Jacke (14-77-23-58) Jacke (1	Jongsma et al (2018)55	England	Southeast London	♦ 57·40 (51·03-64·56)
Jongsma et al (2018) ⁵⁵ Italy Bologna Jongsma et al (2018) ⁵⁵ Spain Valencia Jongsma et al (2018) ⁵⁵ Netherlands Jongsma et al (2018) ⁵⁵ Italy Palermo Jongsma et al (2018) ⁵⁵ England Cambridgeshire Jongsma et al (2018) ⁵⁵ France Val-de-Marne Jongsma et al (2018) ⁵⁵ France Puy-de-Dorne Jongsma et al (2018) ⁵⁵ Spain Oviedo Jongsma et al (2018) ⁵⁵ Spain Oviedo Jongsma et al (2018) ⁵⁵ Spain Cuenca Jongsma et al (2018) ⁵⁵ Spain Cuenca Jongsma et al (2018) ⁵⁵ Spain Bazzil Ribeirao Preto Jongsma et al (2018) ⁵⁵ Spain Bazzel Ribeirao Preto Jongsma et al (2018) ⁵⁵ Spain Bazelona Baldwin et al (2005) ⁶⁴ England Subtotal (<i>P</i> = 98-6%, pe-0-000) Population register Hoeffding et al (2017) ⁵⁰² Denmark Markkula et al (2017) ⁵⁰² Denmark Markkula et al (2017) ⁵⁰² Denmark Markkula et al (2017) ⁵⁰² Finland Subtotal (<i>P</i> =99-9%, pe-0.000) Population register Hoeffding et al (2017) ⁵⁰² Denmark Markkula et al (2017) ⁵⁰² Finland Subtotal (<i>P</i> =99-9%, pe-0.000) Population register Hoeffding et al (2017) ⁵⁰² Denmark Markkula et al (2017) ⁵⁰² Denmark Markkula et al (2017) ⁵⁰² Finland Subtotal (<i>P</i> =99-9%, pe-0.000) Population register Hoeffding et al (2017) ⁵⁰³ Finland Subtotal (<i>P</i> =99-9%, pe-0.000) Population register Hoeffding et al (2017) ⁵⁰² Denmark Markkula et al (2017) ⁵⁰³ Finland Subtotal (<i>P</i> =99-9%, pe-0.000) Subtotal (<i>P</i> =99-9%, pe-0.00	Jongsma et al (2018)55	Spain	Madrid	17.46 (13.80-22.09
Jongsma et al (2018) ³⁵ Spain Valencia Gouda and Voorhout Gouda and Voorhout J596 (13):83-19.04) Jongsma et al (2018) ³⁵ Italy Palermo J668 (8:27-1132) Jongsma et al (2018) ³⁵ France Val-de-Marne Cambridgeshire 11.94 (10:41-13:70) Jongsma et al (2018) ³⁵ England Cambridgeshire 12.94 (10:41-13:70) Jongsma et al (2018) ³⁵ Spain Santiago 52.12 (3:66-741) Jongsma et al (2018) ³⁵ France Puy-de-Dome 12.43 (8:56-18.04) Jongsma et al (2018) ³⁵ France Paris 40.04 (32.92-48.72) Jongsma et al (2018) ³⁵ Spain Oviedo 14.73 (13:36-16.25) Jongsma et al (2018) ³⁵ Spain Cuenca 13.33 (9.01-19.73) Jongsma et al (2018) ³⁵ Spain Barzil Ribeirao Preto 14.73 (13:36-16.25) Jongsma et al (2018) ³⁵ Spain Barzil Ribeirao Preto 16.28 (13.12-20.20) Jongsma et al (2018) ³⁵ Spain Barzelona 10.91 (8:97-13.28) Baldwin et al (2005) ³⁴ Italy Veneto 16.28 (13.12-20.20) Jongsma et al (2018) ³⁵ Spain Barcelona 10.91 (8:97-13.28) Subtotal (<i>P</i> = 98.6%, pe0-000) Combination 10.91 (4:94-15.43) Subtotal (<i>P</i> = 99.6%, pe0-000) Population register Hoeffiding et al (2017) ³³ Finland 12.94 (10:84-15.43) Subtotal (<i>P</i> = 99.9%, pe0-000)	Jongsma et al (2018)55	Italy	Bologna	14.01 (11.75–16.72)
Jongsma et al (2018) ³⁵ Netherlands Gouda and Voorhout 15-96 (13:38-19-04) Jongsma et al (2018) ³⁵ Italy Palermo Jongsma et al (2018) ³⁵ France Val-de-Marne Jongsma et al (2018) ³⁵ England Cambridgeshire Jongsma et al (2018) ³⁵ Netherlands Amsterdam Jongsma et al (2018) ³⁵ Spain Santiago Jongsma et al (2018) ³⁵ France Puy-de-Dome Jongsma et al (2018) ³⁵ France Puy-de-Dome Jongsma et al (2018) ³⁵ France Paris Jongsma et al (2018) ³⁵ Spain Oviedo Jongsma et al (2018) ³⁵ Spain Oviedo Jongsma et al (2018) ³⁵ Spain Oviedo Jongsma et al (2018) ³⁵ Spain Cuenca Jongsma et al (2018) ³⁵ Spain Cuenca Jongsma et al (2018) ³⁵ Spain Barcelona Baddwin et al (2005) ⁴⁴ Italy Veneto Jongsma et al (2018) ³⁵ Spain Barcelona Nixon et al (2005) ⁴⁴ England Subtotal (<i>P</i> = 98-6%, p=0-000) Population register Hoeffiding et al (2017) ³³ Finland Markkula et al (2017) ³⁴ Finland Markkula et al (2017)	Jongsma et al (2018)55	Spain	Valencia –	14.01 (10.65-18.44)
Jongsma et al (2018) ⁵⁵ Italy Palermo 968 (8:27-11-32) Jongsma et al (2018) ⁵⁵ France Val-de-Marne 26-31 (22:06-31-33) Jongsma et al (2018) ⁵⁵ England Cambridgeshire 11-94 (10-41-13-70) Jongsma et al (2018) ⁵⁵ Netherlands Amsterdam 5-21 (3:66-7-41) Jongsma et al (2018) ⁵⁵ France Puy-de-Dome 12-43 (8:56-18:04) Jongsma et al (2018) ⁵⁵ France Paris 40-04 (32:92-48:72) Jongsma et al (2018) ⁵⁵ Spain Oviedo 14-30 (11-30-18:09) Jongsma et al (2018) ⁵⁵ Spain Cuenca 13:33 (9:01-19:73) Jongsma et al (2018) ⁵⁵ Spain Cuenca 13:33 (9:01-19:73) Jongsma et al (2018) ⁵⁵ Spain Barzil Ribeirao Preto 14-73 (13:36-16-25) Jongsma et al (2018) ⁵⁵ Spain Cuenca 10:91 (8:97-13:28) Baldwin et al (2018) ⁵⁵ Spain Barcelona 10:91 (8:97-13:28) Baldwin et al (2005) ⁶⁴ Ireland 10:91 (8:97-13:28) Baldwin et al (2005) ⁶⁴ Ireland 10:91 (8:97-13:28) Subtotal (<i>P</i> = 98-6%, p=0-000) Combination Nixon et al (2017) ⁵² Denmark Markkula et al (2017) ⁵³ Finland 55:770 (54-62-56 80) Markkula et al (2017) ⁵⁴ Denmark Subtotal (<i>P</i> =99-9%, p=0-000)	Jongsma et al (2018)55	Netherlands	Gouda and Voorhout	◆ 15.96 (13.38-19.04)
Jongsma et al (2018) ⁵⁵ France Val-de-Marne 26-31 (22-06-31-39) Jongsma et al (2018) ⁵⁵ England Cambridgeshire 1194 (10-41-13-70) Jongsma et al (2018) ⁵⁵ Spain Santiago Jongsma et al (2018) ⁵⁵ France Puy-de-Dome 12-43 (8-56-18-04) Jongsma et al (2018) ⁵⁵ France Paris 40-04 (32-92-48-72) Jongsma et al (2018) ⁵⁵ Spain Oviedo Jongsma et al (2018) ⁵⁵ Spain Oviedo Jongsma et al (2018) ⁵⁵ Spain Cuenca 13-33 (9-01-19-73) Jongsma et al (2018) ⁵⁵ Spain Cuenca 13-33 (9-01-19-73) Jongsma et al (2018) ⁵⁵ Spain Cuenca 13-33 (9-01-19-73) Jongsma et al (2018) ⁵⁵ Spain Barcelona Baldwin et al (2005) ⁵⁴ Ireland 10-09 (8-97-13-28) Nixon et al (2005) ⁵⁴ England 10-09 (8-97-13-28) Subtotal (<i>P</i> = 98-6%, p=0-000) Population register Hoeffding et al (2017) ⁵²² Denmark Markkul aet al (2017) ⁵³² Finland Subtotal (<i>P</i> = 99-9%, p=0-000) Population (<i>P</i> =99-6%, p=0-000) Overall (<i>P</i> ² =99-6%, p=0-000)	Jongsma et al (2018)55	Italy	Palermo 🔶	9.68 (8.27-11.32)
Jongsma et al (2018) ⁵⁵ England Cambridgeshire 11-94 (10-41-13-70) Jongsma et al (2018) ⁵⁵ Netherlands Amsterdam 42:10 (37:43-47:35) Jongsma et al (2018) ⁵⁵ Spain Santiago 5:21 (3:66-7:41) Jongsma et al (2018) ⁵⁵ France Puy-de-Dome 12:43 (8:56-18:04) Jongsma et al (2018) ⁵⁵ France Paris 40:04 (3:2:92-48:72) Jongsma et al (2018) ⁵⁵ Spain Oviedo 14:30 (11:30-18:09) Jongsma et al (2018) ⁵⁵ Spain Cuenca 13:33 (9:01-19:73) Jongsma et al (2018) ⁵⁵ Spain Cuenca 16:28 (13:12-20:02) Jongsma et al (2018) ⁵⁵ Spain Barcelona 10:91 (8:97-13:28) Baldwin et al (2005) ⁸⁴ England 12:94 (10:84-15:43) Subtotal (<i>P</i> = 98:6%, p=0-000) 12:94 (10:84-15:43) 12:94 (10:84-15:43) Population register Hoeffding et al (2017) ⁵² Einland 14:84:1(142:71-15:4:35) Subtotal (<i>P</i> = 99:6%, p=0-000) Denmark 55:70 (5:4:62-56:60) 14:84:1(142:71-15:4:35) Overall (<i>P</i> = 99:6%, p=0-000) Denmark 55:70 (5:4:62-56:60) 18:66 (14:77-23:58) Overall (<i>P</i> = 99:6%, p=0-	Jongsma et al (2018)55	France	Val-de-Marne	◆ 26.31 (22.06-31.39)
Jongsma et al (2018) ⁵⁵ Netherlands Amsterdam 42:10 (37:43-47:35) Jongsma et al (2018) ⁵⁵ Spain Santiago 5:21 (3:66-7:41) Jongsma et al (2018) ⁵⁵ France Puy-de-Dome 12:43 (8:6-1:804) Jongsma et al (2018) ⁵⁵ France Paris 40:04 (3:292-48:04) Jongsma et al (2018) ⁵⁵ Spain Oviedo 14:30 (11:30-18:09) Jongsma et al (2018) ⁵⁵ Spain Cuenca 13:33 (9:01-19:73) Jongsma et al (2018) ⁵⁵ Spain Cuenca 13:33 (9:01-19:73) Jongsma et al (2018) ⁵⁵ Spain Cuenca 13:33 (9:01-19:73) Jongsma et al (2018) ⁵⁵ Spain Barcelona 10:91 (8:97-13:28) Jondsma et al (2018) ⁵⁵ Spain Barcelona 10:91 (8:97-13:28) Baldwin et al (2005) ⁸⁴ England 12:94 (10:84-15:43) Subtotal (l ² e 96:%, p=0:000) 12:94 (10:84-15:43) 12:94 (10:84-15:43) Population register Hoeffding et al (2017) ⁵⁰ Einland 55:70 (54:62-56:80) Markkula et al (2017) ⁵¹ Finland 14:8:41 (14:27:1-154:35) 90:91 (34:79-237:51) Subtotal (l ² = 99:9%, p=0:000) Verall (l ² = 99	Jongsma et al (2018)55	England	Cambridgeshire 🔶	11.94 (10.41–13.70)
Jongsma et al (2018) ⁵⁵ Spain Santiago 5,221 (3.66-7.41) Jongsma et al (2018) ⁵⁵ France Puy-de-Dome 12.43 (8.56-18-04) Jongsma et al (2018) ⁵⁵ France Paris 40.04 (32-92-48-72) Jongsma et al (2018) ⁵⁵ Spain Oviedo 14.30 (11.30-180.09) Jongsma et al (2018) ⁵⁵ Spain Cuenca 13.33 (9.01-19.73) Jongsma et al (2018) ⁵⁵ Italy Veneto 16.28 (13.12-20.20) Jongsma et al (2018) ⁵⁵ Spain Barcelona 10.91 (8.97-13.28) Baldwin et al (2005) ⁸⁴ Ireland 10.80 (8.54-13.60) Subtotal (l ² = 98-6%, p=0-000) Population register Hoeffding et al (2017) ⁵²⁷ Denmark Markkula et al (2017) ⁵³ Finland Markkula et al (2017) ⁵³ Finland Markkula et al (2017) ⁵³ Finland Subtotal (l ² = 99-9%, p=0-000) Overall (l ² = 99-6%, p=0-000)	Jongsma et al (2018)55	Netherlands	Amsterdam	42.10 (37.43-47.35)
Jongsma et al (2018) ⁵⁵ France Paris Jongsma et al (2018) ⁵⁵ France Paris Jongsma et al (2018) ⁵⁵ France Paris Jongsma et al (2018) ⁵⁵ Spain Oviedo Jongsma et al (2018) ⁵⁵ Brazil Ribeirao Preto Jongsma et al (2018) ⁵⁵ Spain Cuenca Jongsma et al (2018) ⁵⁵ Spain Cuenca Jongsma et al (2018) ⁵⁵ Italy Veneto Jongsma et al (2018) ⁵⁵ Spain Barcelona Baldwin et al (2005) ⁴³ Ireland Subtotal (<i>P</i> = 98-6%, p=0-000) Population register Hoeffding et al (2017) ⁵⁰² Denmark Markkula et al (2017) ⁵⁰² Denmark Markkula et al (2017) ⁵⁰² Denmark Subtotal (<i>P</i> =99-9%, p=0-000) Verall (<i>P</i> =99-9%, p=0-000) Porvarlat (<i>P</i> =99-6%, p=0-000) Denmark	Jongsma et al (2018)55	Spain	Santiago —	5.21 (3.66-7.41)
Jongsma et al (2018) ⁵⁵ France Paris 40.04 (32.92-48.72) Jongsma et al (2018) ⁵⁵ Spain Oviedo 14.30 (11.30-18.09) Jongsma et al (2018) ⁵⁵ Brazil Ribeirao Preto 14.30 (11.30-18.09) Jongsma et al (2018) ⁵⁵ Spain Cuenca 13.33 (90.1-9.73) Jongsma et al (2018) ⁵⁵ Spain Cuenca 16.28 (13.12-20.20) Jongsma et al (2018) ⁵⁵ Spain Barcelona 10.91 (8.97-13.28) Baldwin et al (2005) ⁸⁴ Ireland 10.80 (8.54-13.67) 17.44 (14.60-20.83) Subtotal (l ² = 98.6%, p=0.000) I2.94 (10.84-15.43) 12.94 (10.84-15.43) 12.94 (10.84-15.43) Subtotal (l ² = 0%-p=0.000) Population register Image: State	Jongsma et al (2018)55	France	Puy-de-Dome	12.43 (8.56–18.04)
Jongsma et al (2018) ⁵⁵ Spain Oviedo 14:30 (11:30-18:09 Jongsma et al (2018) ⁵⁵ Spain Cuenca 14:73 (13:36-16:25) Jongsma et al (2018) ⁵⁵ Spain Cuenca 13:33 (9(1)-19:73) Jongsma et al (2018) ⁵⁵ Spain Barcelona 10:91 (8:97-13:28) Baldwin et al (2005) ⁸⁴ Ireland 10:80 (8:54-13:67) Subtotal (P ² 98:6%, p=0:000) Combination Nixon et al (2005) ⁸⁴ England 12:94 (10:84-15:43) Subtotal (P ² 90%-p=0:000) Population register Hoeffding et al (2017) ⁵⁰² Denmark Markkula et al (2017) ⁵⁰² Denmark Subtotal (P ² 99.6%, p=0:000) Overall (P ² 99.6%, p=0:000)	Jongsma et al (2018)55	France	Paris	◆ 40.04 (32.92-48.72)
Jongsma et al (2018) ⁵⁵ Brazil Ribeirao Preto 14.73 (13:36-16-25) Jongsma et al (2018) ⁵⁵ Spain Cuenca 13:33 (9:01-19.73) Jongsma et al (2018) ⁵⁵ Spain Barcelona 10:91 (8:97-13:28) Baldwin et al (2005) ⁴³ Ireland 10:8 (5:4-13:67) Subtotal (P= 98-6%, p=0-000) Combination Nixon et al (2005) ⁸⁴ England 12:94 (10:84-15:43) Subtotal (P= 0%-p=0-000) Population register Hoeffding et al (2017) ²⁰² Denmark 55:70 (5:4-62-56-80) Markkula et al (2017) ⁵³ Finland 14:8-41 (14:27-15:4-35) Subtotal (P= 99-9%, p=0-000) Verall (P= 99-9%, p=0-000)	Jongsma et al (2018)55	Spain	Oviedo -	14.30 (11.30–18.09
Jongsma et al (2018) ⁵⁵ Spain Cuenca 13:33 (9:01-19:73) Jongsma et al (2018) ⁵⁵ Italy Veneto 16:28 (13:12-20:20) Jongsma et al (2018) ⁵⁵ Spain Barcelona 10:91 (8:97-13:28) Baldwin et al (2005) ⁴³ Ireland 10:80 (8:54-13:67) Subtotal (l ² = 98:6%, p=0:000) Combination Nixon et al (2005) ⁸⁴ England 12:94 (10:84-15:43) Subtotal (l ² = 0%-p=0:000) Population register Hoeffding et al (2017) ⁵⁰ Denmark Markkula et al (2017) ⁵³ Finland 55:70 (54:62-56:80) Markkula et al (2017) ⁵³ Finland 148:41 (142:71-154:35) Subtotal (l ² =99:9%, p=0:000) Overall (l ² =99:9%, p=0:000)	Jongsma et al (2018) ⁵⁵	Brazil	Ribeirao Preto	14.73 (13.36–16.25)
Jongsma et al (2018) ⁵⁵ Italy Veneto 16-28 (13:12-20-20) Jongsma et al (2018) ⁵⁵ Spain Barcelona 10.91 (8:97-13:28) Baldwin et al (2005) ⁴³ Ireland 10-80 (8:54-13:67) 10-80 (8:54-13:67) Subtotal (P= 98.6%, p=0-000) 7-44 (14:60-20-83) 10-294 (10:84-15:43) Subtotal (P= 0%-p=0-000) 12:94 (10:84-15:43) 12:94 (10:84-15:43) Population register 148:41 (14:271-154:35) 148:41 (14:271-154:35) Narkkula et al (2017) ⁵⁰² Denmark 55:70 (54:62-56:80) 148:41 (14:271-154:35) Subtotal (P=99.9%, p=0-000) 0:90:91 (34:79-237:51) 18:66 (14:77-23:58) 18:66 (14:77-23:58)	Jongsma et al (2018)55	Spain	Cuenca -	13.33 (9.01–19.73)
Jongsma et al (2018) ⁵⁵ Spain Barcelona 10-91 (8-97-13-28) Baldwin et al (2005) ⁸³ Ireland 10-80 (8-54-13-67) Subtotal (<i>P</i> ² 98-6%, p=0-000) Combination Nixon et al (2005) ⁸⁴ England 12-94 (10-84-15-43) Subtotal (<i>P</i> ² 0%-p=0-000) Population register Hoeffding et al (2017) ⁵⁰ Denmark 55-70 (54-62-56-80) Markkula et al (2017) ⁵³ Finland 55-70 (54-62-56-80) Markkula et al (2017) ⁵³ Finland 148-41 (142-71-154-33) Subtotal (<i>P</i> ² 99-9%, p=0-000) Overall (<i>P</i> ² 99-6%, p=0-000)	Jongsma et al (2018) ⁵⁵	Italy	Veneto	♦ 16·28 (13·12-20·20)
Baldwin et al (2005) ⁴³ Ireland 10-80 (8-54-13-67) Subtotal (I ² = 98-6%, p=0-000) 17-44 (14-60-20-83) Combination 12-94 (10-84-15-43) Nixon et al (2005) ⁸⁴ England Subtotal (I ² = 0%-p=0-000) 12-94 (10-84-15-43) Population register 12-94 (10-84-15-43) Hoeffding et al (2017) ⁸⁰² Denmark Markkula et al (2017) ⁵³³ Finland Subtotal (I ² = 99-9%, p=0-000) 90-91 (34-79-237-51) Overall (I ² = 99-6%, p=0-000) 18-66 (14-77-23-58)	Jongsma et al (2018) ⁵⁵	Spain	Barcelona 🔶	10.91 (8.97–13.28)
Subtotal (l²= 98.6%, p=0.000) 17.44 (14.60-20.83) Combination Nixon et al (2005) ⁸⁴ England Nixon et al (2005) ⁸⁴ England 12.94 (10.84-15.43) Subtotal (l²= 0%-p=0.000) 12.94 (10.84-15.43) 12.94 (10.84-15.43) Population register 12.94 (10.84-15.43) 12.94 (10.84-15.43) Markkula et al (2017) ⁸²² Denmark 55.70 (54.62-56.80) Subtotal (l²= 99.9%, p=0.000) 148.41 (142.71-154.35) 90.91 (34.79-237.51) Overall (l²= 99.6%, p=0.000) 18.66 (14.77-23.58) 18.66 (14.77-23.58)	Baldwin et al (2005)43	Ireland		10.80 (8.54–13.67)
Combination Nixon et al (2005) ⁸⁴ England 12.94 (10.84-15.43) Subtotal (P² = 0%-p=0.000) 12.94 (10.84-15.43) 12.94 (10.84-15.43) Population register 55.70 (54.62-56.80) 148.41 (142.71-154.35) Markkula et al (2017) ⁵⁰² Denmark 55.70 (54.62-56.80) Subtotal (P²=99.9%, p=0.000) 148.41 (142.71-154.35) Overall (P²=99.6%, p=0.000) 18.66 (14.77-23.58)	Subtotal (I ² = 98.6%, p=0.000)			
Nixon et al (2005) ⁸⁴ England 12:94 (10:84-15:43) Subtotal (P²=0%-p=0-000) 12:94 (10:84-15:43) Population register 14:94 (10:84-15:43) Hoeffding et al (2017) ⁵⁰² Denmark Markkula et al (2017) ⁵³³ Finland Subtotal (P²=99:9%, p=0-000) 90:91 (34:79-237:51) Overall (P²=99:6%, p=0-000) 18:66 (14:77-23:58)	Combination			
Subtotal (l² = 0%-p=0.000) 12.94 (10.84-15.43) Population register 55.70 (54.62-56.80) Markkula et al (2017) ⁵⁰² Denmark Subtotal (l²=99.9%, p=0.000) 148.41 (142.71-154.35) Overall (l²=99.6%, p=0.000) 18.66 (14.77-23.58)	Nixon et al (2005) ⁸⁴	England	•	12.94 (10.84–15.43)
Population register Hoeffding et al (2017) ⁵⁰² Denmark Markkula et al (2017) ⁵¹³ Finland 55-70 (54-62-56-80) Subtotal (l²=99-9%, p=0-000) 148-41 (142-71-154-35 Overall (l²=99-6%, p=0-000) 18-66 (14-77-23-58)	Subtotal (I ² = 0%-p=0.000)		\Diamond	> 12.94 (10.84–15.43)
Hoeffding et al (2017)*** Denmark 55-70 (54-62-56-80) Markkula et al (2017)*** Finland 148-41 (142-71-154-35) Subtotal (l²=99-9%, p=0-000) 90-91 (34-79-237-51) 18-66 (14-77-23-58) Overall (l²=99-6%, p=0-000) 0.00121 0.00121	Population register			
Markkula et al (2017) ⁵³ Finland Subtotal (l ² =99-9%, p=0-000) Overall (l ² =99-6%, p=0-000) 0 = 0.0111 0 = 0.0011 0 = 0.0111 0 = 0.01111 0 = 0.01111 0 = 0.01111 0	Hoeffding et al (2017) ²⁰²	Denmark		♦ 55.70 (54.62–56.80)
Subtotal (l ² =99.9%, p=0.000) Overall (l ² =99.6%, p=0.000) 18.66 (14.77-23.58)	Markkula et al (2017)53	Finland		♦ 148.41 (142.71–154.35)
Overall (l²=99.6%, p=0.000)	Subtotal (l ² =99·9%, p=0·000)			90.91 (34.79-237.51)
	Overall (I ² =99·6%, p=0·000)			18.66 (14.77-23.58)
0.00471 728			0.00421	238

Figure 3: Incidence of non-affective disorders

References from 61 onwards are found in the appendix (pp 35-43). IR=incidence rates. Note: weights are from random effects analysis.

supported some remaining small study effects within first contact designs (appendix p 32).

Discussion

Our systematic review identified 177 citations containing data on the incidence of psychotic disorders published since 2002. This yield is considerably higher than reported in another systematic review¹⁹ and was marked by substantial heterogeneity in incidence across all major psychotic disorders. Although we found no evidence that incidences varied with study quality or time period, we did observe strong evidence of higher incidence rates reported in register-based or cohort-based study designs than in first-contact studies. Given that register-based or cohortbased studies are often done with whole population samples (ie, the USA,^{51,54} Sweden,⁴⁹ Denmark,⁵⁰ Taiwan⁴⁸), this difference was consistent with our evidence of small study effects, whereby smaller studies tended to estimate lower incidence rates. Together with the high levels of statistical heterogeneity observed in our meta-analyses, our results suggest that methodological variation might partially obscure true heterogeneity in the incidence of psychotic disorders. Nonetheless, as previously established, we found strong evidence of higher incidences of all first

	Country	Notes		IR (95% CI)
First contact				
Cantor-Graae et al (2005) ⁸⁷	Sweden		_	3.35 (2.01-5.58)
Smith et al (2006)44	Canada		•	28.50 (26.35-30.83)
Andersen et al (2007) ¹⁰⁷	Norway			24.05 (17.57-32.90)
Coid et al (2008) ¹²³	England		•	32.46 (28.86–36.51)
Reay et al (2009) ¹³¹	England			4.14 (3.27-5.23)
Tseng et al (2010) ¹³⁷	Taiwan		•	30.57 (29.98-31.17)
Sutterland et al (2013)160	Netherlands		•	12.06 (10.31-14.11)
Hogerzeil et al (2014) ³⁷	Netherlands	First contact	•	20.29 (18.04-22.82)
Morgan et al (2016) ¹⁵	Nigeria		-	19.49 (14.53-26.15)
Morgan et al (2016)15	Trinidad		_	19.49 (12.18-31.20)
Morgan et al (2016)15	India			27.39 (20.01-37.47)
Mulé et al (2016)12	Italy			13.07 (10.95-15.59)
Tarricone et al (2012)11	Italy		•	23.34 (19.95-27.30)
Singh et al (2004)77	England		- - -	7.03 (5.45-9.07)
Kirkbride et al (2006) ⁶	England	Bristol		8.17 (5.31–12.57)
Kirkbride et al (2006) ⁶	England	Southeast London	· •	21.54 (17.03-27.25)
Kirkbride et al (2006) ⁶	England	Nottingham	▲	8.17 (6.98–9.55)
Turola et al (2012) ¹⁵³	Italy		•	18.54 (17.83–19.28)
Hardoon et al (2014) ¹⁶⁴	England		•	8.17 (7.85-8.49)
Lasalvia et al (2014) ⁹	Italy		▲ 1	5.58 (4.68-6.66)
Baldwin et al (2005) ⁴³	Ireland	_	• • · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	2.69 (1.36-5.34)
Subtotal (l ² = 99.6%, p=0.000)			\sim	13.07 (9.83-17.37)
Combination			~	5 (5 5 7 5))
Nixon et al (2005) ⁸⁴	England		-	7.03 (5.45-9.07)
Subtotal $(l^2 = 0\%, p = 0.000)$			\diamond	7.03 (5.45-9.07)
Population register			~	, -5(5 15 5 -7)
l aursen et al (2007) ¹⁰⁵	Denmark	City with >100 000	•	38.86 (37.37-40.41)
Laursen et al (2007) ¹⁰⁵	Denmark	Copenhagen	•	59.15 (56.87-61.51)
Laursen et al (2007) ¹⁰⁵	Denmark	Suburb of Copenhagen	•	43.82 (41.31-46.47)
Laursen et al (2007) ¹⁰⁵	Denmark	Rural area	•	27.11 (26.07-28.20)
Laursen et al $(2007)^{105}$	Denmark	City with >10000		33.12 (31.84-34.44)
Kendler et al (2016) ⁵³	Sweden		•	13.74 (13.21–14.28)
Weiser et al (2008) ¹¹⁹	Israel			33.12 (31.84-34.44)
Subtotal $(l^2=99.8\%, p=0.000)$	isidei			32.83 (23.20-46.46)
Cohort				55 (-5 1- 1-)
Harlap et al (2009) ¹³⁰	Israel			21.76 (20.12-23.53)
Kim et al (2017) ⁵²	South Korea		•	75.94 (74.47-77.45)
Subtotal (1 ² =99.9%, p=0.000)				40.67 (11.95–138.46)
Case register				40 07 (11 55 150 40)
Salokongas et al (2011) ¹⁴⁶	Finland			34.12 (33.46-34.80)
Hogerzeil et al (2014) ³⁷	Netherlands	Case register	•	68.72 (64.79–72.88)
Drukker et al (2006) ⁹³	Netherlands		•	29.08 (23.90-35.37)
Subtotal $(l^2 = 99.6\%, p=0.000)$				41.03 (23.85-70.57)
Overall $(l^2=99.8\%, p=0.000)$			\diamond \sim	18.58 (15.08-22.89)
(55 ever p= 000)			Ť	
		—		
			20 40 0000	

Figure 4: Incidence of schizophrenia

References from 61 onwards are found in the appendix (pp 35-43). IR=incidence rates. Note: weights are from random effects analysis.

episode psychosis and non-affective psychotic disorders in men and ethnic minority groups, with less evidence of such differences for affective psychotic disorders.

The strength of our study is that our search strategy was inclusive and based on a previously used strategy with good reliability.¹⁸ We searched multiple databases without restriction by place or language of publication. Although individual studies might have been missed, given the size of our yield we consider it unlikely that these missing data would have substantially altered our main conclusions.

One limitation of our Article was that some citations provided incidence estimates from multiple catchment areas (notably Jongsma and colleagues, 2018),⁵⁵ which we included as separate estimates in meta-analyses. We acknowledge this inclusion might have conservatively biased SEs around effect sizes. Nonetheless, it would not have affected our observation of substantial interestimate heterogeneity in incidence, which was the primary focus of our Article. Future studies should consider adopting individual-participant data approaches, which account for clustering by design.56 We used a previously published, clinician-informed algorithm to group estimates into major psychotic disorder categories.18 However, for nonaffective disorders particularly, the use of this algorithm led to the categorisation of studies that used several overlapping diagnostic outcomes (appendix pp 33-34), which might have contributed to heterogeneity. Although our quality assessment tool was based on epidemiological good practice, we acknowledge it might have been skewed towards first-contact studies given it is not feasible to assess some criteria (ie, blinding) in register-based designs. Despite this, our quality assessment aided in

	Country	Notes	IR (95% CI)
Case register			
Proctor et al (2004)72	England		11 ·36 (8·80–14·66)
Subtotal (l ² =0%, p=0.000)			11.36 (8.80-14.66)
First contact			-
Coid et al (2008) ¹²³	England		
Reay et al (2009) ¹³¹	England		◆ 8.67 (7.27–10.34)
Bogren et al (2009)133	Sweden		16.95 (10.80-26.60)
Tortelli et al (2014)167	France	_	1.35 (0.75-2.43)
Chiang et al (2016) ⁴⁸	Taiwan	•	1.70 (1.67-1.73)
Veen et al (2004) ⁷⁶	Netherlands	-	3.25 (2.11–5.01)
Singh et al (2004)77	England		► 5·16 (3·77-7·05)
Kirkbride et al (2006) ⁶	England	Nottingham	
Kirkbride et al (2006) ⁶	England	Southeast London	14.01 (10.86–18.08)
Kirkbride et al (2006)6	England	Bristol	5.81 (3.78-8.95)
Menezes et al (2007) ¹³	Brazil		5.87 (4.92–7.00)
Jongsma et al (2018)55	France	Val-de-Marne	14.88 (11.99–18.46)
Jongsma et al (2018)55	Italy	Bologna 🔶	- 3.82 (2.74–5.33)
Jongsma et al (2018)55	England	Cambridge	5.00 (4.03-6.21)
Jongsma et al (2018)55	Italy	Veneto	2.80 (1.65-4.75)
Jongsma et al (2018) ⁵⁵	Spain	Barcelona 🔶 🔶	0.90 (0.45-1.78)
Jongsma et al (2018)55	Italy	Palermo — 🔶 —	1.40 (0.93–2.12)
Jongsma et al (2018)55	Spain	Santiago 🔶 🔶	0.90 (0.37-2.16)
Jongsma et al (2018)55	England	Southeast London 🛛 🔶	4.01 (2.51-6.43)
Jongsma et al (2018)55	France	Paris —	4.48 (2.54–7.91)
Jongsma et al (2018)55	Netherlands	Amsterdam —	4.31 (2.97-6.25)
Jongsma et al (2018)55	Spain	Valencia 🔶 🔶	1.40 (0.58–3.39)
Jongsma et al (2018)55	Spain	Madrid 🔶	- 2.89 (1.63–5.10)
Jongsma et al (2018)55	France	Puy-de-Dome	6.17 (3.64–10.48)
Jongsma et al (2018)55	Brazil	Ribeirao Preto	→ 6.62 (5.66–7.74)
Jongsma et al (2018)55	Netherlands	Gouda and Voorhout —	► 5·10 (3·73–6·98)
Jongsma et al (2018)55	Spain	Oviedo —	2.61 (1.48-4.61)
Baldwin et al (2005) ⁴³	Ireland		 11.59 (9.16–14.66)
Subtotal (l2=99.0%, p=0.00	0)	\triangleleft	4.40 (2.95-6.57)
Overall (l ² =99.0%, p=0.000)	1	\triangleleft	4.55 (3.06-6.77)

Figure 5: Incidence of affective disorders

References from 61 onwards are found in the appendix (pp 35-43). IR=incidence rates. Note: weights are from random effects analysis.

assessing the gaps in the published literature.

The most recent systematic review and meta-analysis of all psychotic disorders¹⁹ identified substantially fewer citations (N=33) than our Article and provided no assessment or investigation of heterogeneity, despite similar inclusion criteria and time frames. The estimates of our more comprehensive review are aligned: we found a pooled estimate of non-affective disorders of 18.7 per 100 000 person-years (95% CI 14.8–23.7) and of affective disorders of 4.8 (3.3–6.9) compared with their estimates of 22.5 (16.5–28.5) for non-affective and 7.1 (1.4–12.2) for affective disorders.¹⁹ Our findings on the excess of psychoses in men were nuanced: the overall excess found in both reviews appears to be primarily driven by an excess in non-affective disorders in line with other meta-analytic evidence.^{27,28}

The median incidence of schizophrenia in our Article (21.7 per 100 000 person-years [IQR 5.6-52.0]) was higher than in the last major systematic review¹⁷ on this topic by McGrath and colleagues (15.2 [7.7-43.0]), with greater variation around these estimates. The only systematic review⁵⁷ pertaining to mood disorders solely synthesised incidence of major depressive disorder and

as such is not directly comparable to the present Article. The excess risk of (non-affective) psychotic disorders in migrants and their descendants is long-established,⁵⁸ well-reported,^{20,29} and covered elaborately in one publication.³⁰

The present Article presents a varied epidemiological landscape, which partly appears to reflect methodological differences in study design. We found substantial heterogeneity both within and between study designs, with incidences of non-affective disorders, schizophrenia, and bipolar disorder higher in registry-based studies than in first contact studies. Different study designs were more common for different outcomes; for instance, a large proportion of schizophrenia studies were population registers, potentially contributing to this pooled estimate being higher than the pooled estimate of non-affective disorders (a broader category). Although individual studies^{36,37} have done direct comparisons between different study designs, to our knowledge this study is the first systematic review to have investigated such differences. From a public mental health perspective, our results highlight the importance of parsing out potentially causally-relevant signals in geographical



Figure 6: Incidence of bipolar disorder

References from 61 onwards are found in the appendix (pp 35–43). IR=incidence rates. Note: weights are from random effects analysis.

variance in incidence from noise generated through varying study designs used in different settings; individual studies^{16,55,59} that have done so suggest substantive variation in the global burden of psychotic disorders remains.

Nevertheless, more research is required to understand heterogeneity in incidence produced by different study designs. One possible explanation is that register-based studies primarily (though not exclusively) originate from Scandinavian countries, and higher incidences might indicate an association between latitude and psychotic disorders (which is well-reported, but poorly understood).³¹ Alternatively, although registry-based studies might ascertain new cases of psychotic disorder across an entire (usually secondary and tertiary) health-care system, not limited to contact with mental health providers, they also rely heavily on diagnoses made in clinical practice. Although such diagnoses are reliable,⁶⁰ first-contact studies are often able to include standardised diagnostic assessments, which might reduce the number of false positives, leading to lower reported incidence. Small study effects are not necessarily due to publication bias41 and in our Article are consistent with the possibility of lower incidence rates reported in first contact designs; registry-based or insurance database-based studies tended to include a larger number of cases (table). However, sensitivity analyses (appendix p 32) suggest some withintype small study effects remained, which might reflect real variance between for instance urban (where a large number of cases accrue) and rural areas. In this Article, we were unable to assess effects of urbanicity, latitude, or other socioeconomic variables due to the preponderance of country-wide estimates for which no meaningful values could be assigned.

The geographical spread of studies in this Article remained mostly limited to Europe, Northern America, or Australia. One public health implication of our findings is the continued dearth of evidence outside of these settings, which might have profound consequences; for example, a cross-sectional study¹⁶ suggested the well established link between urbanicity and psychosis might not apply in LMICs. To fully understand and provide effective public mental health responses to the global burden of psychotic disorders, we will require methodologically-rigorous and culturally-appropriate epidemiological studies to delineate the incidence of psychotic disorders in a broader range of settings than has thus far been considered.

Finally, our findings also suggest that developing international guidelines for investigation of the incidence of psychotic disorders in different settings could help minimise methodological heterogeneity in the reporting of psychosis incidence across the globe.

Contributors

HEJ, JBK, and PBJ conceived the project and designed the protocol. HEJ and CT carried out the database searches, screened titles, abstracts, and full texts, extracted data from citations, prepared data for analyses, and carried out statistical analyses. HEJ provided supervision to CT and prepared the manuscript. HEJ, JBK, and CT quality scored all articles. JBK carried out the database searches, screened titles, abstracts and full texts, extracted data from citations (for all studies from England published before 2011), and provided the template spreadsheet. PBJ screened abstracts. JBK and PBJ resolved conflicts regarding inclusion of articles, provided supervision to HEJ and CT, and critically revised the manuscript at all stages.

Declaration of interests

PBJ has received honoraria from Janssen and Ricordati. HEJ, CT, and JBK declare no competing interests.

Acknowledgments

HEJ and PBJ are funded by the National Institute of Health Research (NIHR) Collaboration of Leadership in Applied Health Research and Care, East of England. HEJ is funded by the Economic and Social Research Council (grant ES/S011714/1). JBK is funded by the Wellcome Trust (grant 101272/Z/13/Z). This work was in part supported by the University College London (UCL)Hospital NIHR Biomedical Research Centre, which provided funding to the Division of Psychiatry, UCL.

References

- Hjorthøj C, Stürup AE, McGrath JJ, Nordentoft M. Years of potential life lost and life expectancy in schizophrenia: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Lancet Psychiatry* 2017; 4: 295–301.
- 2 Hayes JF, Marston L, Walters K, King MB, Osborn DP. Mortality gap for people with bipolar disorder and schizophrenia: UK-based cohort study 2000–2014. Br J Psychiatry 2017; 211: 175–81.
- 3 GBD 2017 Disease and Injury Incidence and Prevalence Collaborators. Global, regional, and national incidence, prevalence, and years lived with disability for 354 diseases and injuries for 195 countries and territories, 1990–2017: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2017. *Lancet* 2018; 392: 1789–858.
- 4 Knapp M, Mangalore R, Simon J. The global costs of schizophrenia. *Schizophr Bull* 2004; **30**: 279–93.
- 5 Anderson KK, Cheng J, Susser E, McKenzie KJ, Kurdyak P. Incidence of psychotic disorders among first-generation immigrants and refugees in Ontario. *Can Med Assoc J* 2015; 187: E279–86.
- 6 Kirkbride JB, Fearon P, Morgan C, et al. Heterogeneity in incidence rates of schizophrenia and other psychotic syndromes: findings from the 3-center AeSOP study. Arch Gen Psychiatry 2006; 63: 250–58.
- 7 Veling W, Selten JP, Veen N, Laan W, Blom JD, Hoek HW. Incidence of schizophrenia among ethnic minorities in the Netherlands: a four-year first-contact study. *Schizophr Res* 2006; 86: 189–93.
- 8 Leão TS, Sundquist J, Frank G, Johansson L-M, Johansson S-E, Sundquist K. Incidence of schizophrenia or other psychoses in first- and second-generation immigrants: a national cohort study. *J Nerv Ment Dis* 2006; **194**: 27–33.
- 9 Lasalvia A, Bonetto C, Tosato S, et al. First-contact incidence of psychosis in north-eastern Italy: Influence of age, gender, immigration and socioeconomic deprivation. Br J Psychiatry 2014; 205: 127–34.
- 10 Pelayo-Terán JM, Pérez-Iglesias R, Ramírez-Bonilla ML, et al. Epidemiological factors associated with treated incidence of first-episode non-affective psychosis in Cantabria: insights from the Clinical Programme on Early Phases of Psychosis. Early Interv Psychiatry 2008; 2: 178–87.
- 11 Tarricone I, Mimmi S, Paparelli A, et al. First-episode psychosis at the West Bologna Community Mental Health Centre: results of an 8-year

prospective study. Psychol Med 2012; 42: 2255-64.

- 12 Mulè A, Sideli L, Capuccio V, et al. Low incidence of psychosis in Italy: confirmation from the first epidemiological study in Sicily. Soc Psychiatry Psychiatr Epidemiol 2017; 52: 155–62.
- 13 Menezes P, Scazufca M. Incidence of first-contact psychosis in São Paulo, Brazil. Br J Psychiatry 2007; 191: s102–06.
- 14 Burns JK, Esterhuizen T. Poverty, inequality and the treated incidence of first-episode psychosis. An ecological study from South Africa. Soc Psychiatry Psychiatr Epidemiol 2008; 43: 331–35.
- Morgan C, John S, Esan O, et al. The incidence of psychoses in diverse settings, INTREPID (2): a feasibility study in India, Nigeria, and Trinidad. *Psychol Med* 2016; 46: 1923–33.
- 16 DeVylder JE, Kelleher I, Lalane M, Oh H, Link BG, Koyanagi A. Association of urbanicity with psychosis in low- and middle-income countries. JAMA Psychiatry 2018; 75: 679–86.
- 17 McGrath J, Saha S, Welham J, El Saadi O, MacCauley C, Chant D. A systematic review of the incidence of schizophrenia: the distribution of rates and the influence of sex, urbanicity, migrant status and methodology. *BMC Med* 2004; 2: 13.
- 18 Kirkbride JB, Errazuriz A, Croudace TJ, et al. Incidence of schizophrenia and other psychoses in England, 1950–2009: a systematic review and meta-analyses. *PLoS One* 2012; 7: e31660.
- 19 Castillejos MC, Martín-Pérez C, Moreno-Küstner B. A systematic review and meta-analysis of the incidence of psychotic disorders: the distribution of rates and the influence of gender, urbanicity, immigration and socio-economic level. *Psychol Med* 2018; 22: 1–15.
- 20 Bourque F, van der Ven E, Malla A. A meta-analysis of the risk for psychotic disorders among first- and second-generation immigrants. *Psychol Med* 2011; **41**: 897–910.
- 21 Stafford J, Howard R, Kirkbride JB. The incidence of very late-onset psychotic disorders: a systematic review and meta-analysis, 1960–2016. *Psychol Med* 2018; 48: 1775–86.
- 22 Esterberg ML, Trotman HD, Holtzman C, Compton MT, Walker EF. The impact of a family history of psychosis on age-at-onset and positive and negative symptoms of schizophrenia: a meta-analysis. *Schizophr Res* 2010; **120**: 121–30.
- 23 Matheson SL, Shepherd AM, Pinchbeck RM, Laurens KR, Carr VJ. Childhood adversity in schizophrenia: a systematic meta-analysis. *Psychol Med* 2012; 43: 1–13.
- 24 Moore THM, Zammit S, Lingford-Hughes A, et al. Cannabis use and risk of psychotic or affective mental health outcomes: a systematic review. *Lancet* 2007; 370: 319–28.
- 25 Varese F, Smeets F, Drukker M, et al. Childhood adversities increase the risk of psychosis: a meta-analysis of patient-control, prospective- and cross-sectional cohort studies. *Schizophr Bull* 2012; 38: 661–71.
- 26 Vassos E, Pedersen CB, Murray RM, Collier DA, Lewis CM. Meta-analysis of the association of urbanicity with schizophrenia. *Schizophr Bull* 2012; 38: 1118–23.
- 27 van der Werf M, Hanssen M, Köhler S, et al. Systematic review and collaborative recalculation of 133 693 incident cases of schizophrenia. *Psychol Med* 2014; 44: 9–16.
- 28 Aleman A, Kahn RS, Selten J-P. Sex differences in the risk of schizophrenia. Arch Gen Psychiatry 2003; 60: 565.
- 29 Cantor-Graae E, Selten J-P. Schizophrenia and migration: a meta-analysis and review. Am J Psychiatry 2005; 162: 12–24.
- 30 Selten J-P, van der Ven E, Termorshuizen F. Migration and psychosis: a meta-analysis of incidence studies. *Psychol Med* 2019; 6: 1–11.
- 31 Saha S, Chant DC, Welham JL, McGrath JJ. The incidence and prevalence of schizophrenia varies with latitude. *Acta Psychiatr Scand* 2006; 114: 36–39.
- 32 Faris R, Dunham H. Mental disorders in urban areas: an ecological study of schizophrenia and other psychoses. Chicago, IL: The University of Chicago Press, 1939.
- 33 Hare EH. Mental illness and social conditions in Bristol. J Ment Sci 1956; 102: 349–57.
- 34 Kirkbride JB, Jones PB, Ullrich S, Coid JW. Social deprivation, inequality, and the neighborhood-level incidence of psychotic syndromes in East London. *Schizophr Bull* 2014; 40: 169–80.
- 35 Castillejos MC, Martín-Pérez C, Moreno-Küstner B. Incidence of psychotic disorders and its association with methodological issues. A systematic review and meta-analyses. *Schizophr Res* 2018; 204: 458–59.

- 36 Anderson KK, Norman R, MacDougall AG, et al. Disparities in access to early psychosis intervention services: comparison of service users and non-users in health administrative data. *Can J Psychiatry* 2018; 63: 395–403.
- 37 Hogerzeil SJ, van Hemert AM, Rosendaal FR, Susser E, Hoek HW. Direct comparison of first-contact versus longitudinal register-based case finding in the same population: early evidence that the incidence of schizophrenia may be three times higher than commonly reported. *Psychol Med* 2014; 44: 3481–90.
- 38 Moher D, Liberati A, Tetzlaff J, Altman DG, Group TP. Preferred reporting items for systematic reviews and meta-analyses: the PRISMA statement. *PLoS Med* 2009; 6: e1000097.
- 39 The Cochrane Collaboration. Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions. Higgins JP, Green S, eds. Chichester: John Wiley & Sons, 2008.
- 40 DerSimonian R, Laird N. Meta-analysis in clinical trials. Control Clin Trials 1986; 7: 177–88.
- 41 Harbord R, Harris R, Sterne J. Updated tests for small-study effects in meta-analyses. In: Sterne JA, ed. Meta-analysis in stata: an updated collection from the Stata Journal. College Station, TX: Stata Press, 2009: 138–50.
- 42 StataCorp. Stata Statistical Software: Release 13. College Station, TX: StataCorp LP, 2013.
- 43 Baldwin P, Browne D, Scully PJ, et al. Epidemiology of first-episode psychosis: illustrating the challenges across diagnostic boundaries through the cavan-monaghan study at 8 years. *Schizophr Bull* 2005; 31: 624–38.
- 44 Smith GN, Boydell J, Murray RM, et al. The incidence of schizophrenia in European immigrants to Canada. *Schizophr Res* 2006; 87: 205–11.
- 45 Farquhar F, Le Noury J, Tschinkel S, Harris M, Kurien R, Healy D. The incidence and prevalence of manic-melancholic syndromes in North West Wales: 1875–2005. Acta Psychiatr Scand 2007; 115: 37–43.
- 46 Bogren M, Mattisson C, Isberg P-E, Munk-Jørgensen P, Nettelbladt P. Incidence of psychotic disorders in the 50 year follow up of the Lundby population. *Aust N Z J Psychiatry* 2010; 44: 31–39.
- 47 Goodman GP, DeZee KJ, Burks R, Waterman BR, Belmont PJ. Epidemiology of psychiatric disorders sustained by a U.S. Army brigade combat team during the Iraq War. *Gen Hosp Psychiatry* 2011; 33: 51–57.
- 48 Chiang C-L, Chen P-C, Huang L-Y, et al. Time trends in first admission rates for schizophrenia and other psychotic disorders in Taiwan, 1998–2007: a 10-year population-based cohort study. Soc Psychiatry Psychiatr Epidemiol 2017; 52: 163–73.

- 49 Kendler KS, Ohlsson H, Mezuk B, Sundquist K, Sundquist J. A Swedish national prospective and co-relative study of school achievement at age 16, and risk for schizophrenia, other nonaffective psychosis, and bipolar illness. *Schizophr Bull* 2015; 42: sbv103.
- 50 Vassos E, Agerbo E, Mors O, Pedersen CB. Urban–rural differences in incidence rates of psychiatric disorders in Denmark. *Br J Psychiatry* 2016; 208: 435–40.
- Ramsey C, Dziura J, Justice AC, et al. Incidence of mental health diagnoses in veterans of operations Iraqi freedom, enduring freedom, and new dawn. Am J Public Health 2017; 107: 329–35.
- 52 Kim W, Jang SY, Chun SY, Lee TH, Han KT, Park EC. Mortality in schizophrenia and other psychoses: data from the South Korea national health insurance cohort, 2002–2013. J Korean Med Sci 2017; 32: 835–42.
- 53 Markkula N, Lehti V, Gissler M, Suvisaari J. Incidence and prevalence of mental disorders among immigrants and native Finns: a register-based study. Soc Psychiatry Psychiatr Epidemiol 2017; 52: 1523–40.
- i4 Simon GE, Coleman KJ, Yarborough BJH, et al. First presentation with psychotic symptoms in a population-based sample. *Psychiatr Serv* 2017; 68: 456–61.
- 55 Jongsma HE, Gayer-Anderson C, Lasalvia A, et al. Treated incidence of psychotic disorders in the Multinational EU-GEI Study. JAMA Psychiatry 2018; 75: 36.
- 56 Abo-Zaid G, Guo B, Deeks JJ, et al. Individual participant data meta-analyses should not ignore clustering. J Clin Epidemiol 2013; 66: 865–873.
- 57 Waraich P, Goldner EM, Somers JM, Hsu L. Prevalence and incidence studies of mood disorders: a systematic review of the literature. *Can J Psychiatry* 2004; **49**: 124–38.
- 58 Ødegaard Ø. Emigration and insanity. Acta Psychiatr Neurol Scand 1932; 4: 1–206.
- 59 Morgan C, John S, Esan O, et al. The incidence of psychoses in diverse settings, INTREPID (2): a feasibility study in India, Nigeria, and Trinidad. *Psychol Med* 2016; 46: 1923–33.
- 60 Dalman C, Broms J, Cullberg J, Alleback P. Young cases of schizophrenia identified in a national inpatient register. Are the diagnoses valid? Soc Psychiatry Psychiatr Epidemiol 2002; 37: 527–31.