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Summary．In this article，semiring and semialgebra of sets are formalized so as to construct a measure of a given set in the next step．Although a semiring of sets has already been formalized in［13］，that is，strictly speaking，a definition of a quasi semiring of sets suggested in the last few decades 15．We adopt a classical definition of a semiring of sets here to avoid such a confusion．Ring of sets and algebra of sets have been formalized as non empty preboolean set 23 and field of subsets［18，respectively．In the second section，definitions of a ring and a $\sigma$－ring of sets，which are based on a semiring and a ring of sets respectively，are formalized and their related theorems are proved．In the third section，definitions of an algebra and a $\sigma$－algebra of sets，which are based on a semialgebra and an algebra of sets respectively，are formalized and their related theorems are proved．In the last section，mutual relationships between $\sigma$－ring and $\sigma$－algebra of sets are formalized and some related examples are given．The formalization is based on［15］，and also referred to［9］and 16．
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## 1. Preliminaries

Now we state the propositions:
(1) Let us consider finite sequences $f_{1}, f_{2}$, and a natural number $k$. Suppose $k \in \operatorname{Seg}\left(\operatorname{len} f_{1} \cdot \operatorname{len} f_{2}\right)$. Then
(i) $\left(k-{ }^{\prime} 1 \bmod \operatorname{len} f_{2}\right)+1 \in \operatorname{dom} f_{2}$, and
(ii) $\left(k-{ }^{\prime} 1 \operatorname{div} \operatorname{len} f_{2}\right)+1 \in \operatorname{dom} f_{1}$.
(2) Let us consider a non empty, finite set $S$. Then $\cup \mathrm{CFS}(S)=\bigcup S$.
(3) Let us consider an object $x$. Then $\langle x\rangle$ is a disjoint valued finite sequence.
(4) Let us consider sets $x, y$, and a finite sequence $F$. If $F=\langle x, y\rangle$ and $x$ misses $y$, then $F$ is disjoint valued.
(5) Let us consider finite sequences $f_{1}, f_{2}$. Then there exists a finite sequence $f$ such that
(i) $\bigcup f_{1} \cap \bigcup f_{2}=\bigcup f$, and
(ii) $\operatorname{dom} f=\operatorname{Seg}\left(\operatorname{len} f_{1} \cdot \operatorname{len} f_{2}\right)$, and
(iii) for every natural number $i$ such that $i \in \operatorname{dom} f$ holds $f(i)=f_{1}\left(\left(i-^{\prime}\right.\right.$ $\left.\left.1 \operatorname{div} \operatorname{len} f_{2}\right)+1\right) \cap f_{2}\left(\left(i-^{\prime} 1 \bmod \operatorname{len} f_{2}\right)+1\right)$.

Proof: For every natural number $k$ such that $k \in \operatorname{Seg}\left(\operatorname{len} f_{1} \cdot \operatorname{len} f_{2}\right)$ holds $\left(k-^{\prime} 1 \bmod\right.$ len $\left.f_{2}\right)+1 \in \operatorname{dom} f_{2}$ and $\left(k-^{\prime} 1 \operatorname{div}\right.$ len $\left.f_{2}\right)+1 \in \operatorname{dom} f_{1}$. Define $\mathcal{P}$ [natural number, object] $\equiv \$_{2}=f_{1}\left(\left(\$_{1}-^{\prime} 1\right.\right.$ div len $\left.\left.f_{2}\right)+1\right) \cap f_{2}\left(\left(\$_{1}-^{\prime}\right.\right.$ $1 \bmod$ len $\left.f_{2}\right)+1$ ). Consider $f$ being a finite sequence such that $\operatorname{dom} f=$ $\operatorname{Seg}\left(\operatorname{len} f_{1} \cdot \operatorname{len} f_{2}\right)$ and for every natural number $k$ such that $k \in \operatorname{Seg}\left(\operatorname{len} f_{1}\right.$. len $f_{2}$ ) holds $\mathcal{P}[k, f(k)$ ] from [6, Sch. 1].
(6) Let us consider disjoint valued finite sequences $f_{1}, f_{2}$. Then there exists a disjoint valued finite sequence $f$ such that
(i) $\bigcup f_{1} \cap \bigcup f_{2}=\bigcup f$, and
(ii) $\operatorname{dom} f=\operatorname{Seg}\left(\operatorname{len} f_{1} \cdot \operatorname{len} f_{2}\right)$, and
(iii) for every natural number $i$ such that $i \in \operatorname{dom} f$ holds $f(i)=f_{1}\left(\left(i-^{\prime}\right.\right.$ $\left.\left.1 \operatorname{div} \operatorname{len} f_{2}\right)+1\right) \cap f_{2}\left(\left(i-^{\prime} 1 \bmod \operatorname{len} f_{2}\right)+1\right)$.

The theorem is a consequence of (5).
(7) Let us consider a set $X$, and a non empty, \-closed family $S$ of subsets of $X$. Then $\emptyset \in S$.
Let $X$ be a set. One can check that every family of subsets of $X$ which is non empty and $\backslash$-closed has also the empty element.

## 2. Classical Semiring, Ring and $\sigma$-Ring of Sets

Let $I_{1}$ be a set. We say that $I_{1}$ is semi $\backslash$-closed if and only if
(Def. 1) for every sets $X, Y$ such that $X, Y \in I_{1}$ there exists a disjoint valued finite sequence $F$ of elements of $I_{1}$ such that $X \backslash Y=\bigcup F$.
Let $X$ be a set. Let us note that $2^{X}$ is semi $\backslash$-closed and there exists a family of subsets of $X$ which is non empty, semi $\backslash$-closed, and $\cap$-closed and there exists a family of subsets of $X$ which is semi $\backslash$-closed and $\cap$-closed and has the empty element.

A semiring of $X$ is a semi $\backslash$-closed, $\cap$-closed family of subsets of $X$ with the empty element. Now we state the propositions:
(8) Let us consider a set $X$, a family $S$ of subsets of $X$, and sets $S_{1}, S_{2}$. Suppose $S_{1}, S_{2} \in S$ and $S$ is semi $\backslash$-closed. Then there exists a finite subset $x$ of $S$ such that $x$ is a partition of $S_{1} \backslash S_{2}$.
(9) Let us consider a set $X$, and a non empty family $S$ of subsets of $X$. Suppose $S$ is semi $\backslash$-closed. Then $S$ is $\backslash \frac{\subset}{f p}$-closed. The theorem is a consequence of (8).
(10) Let us consider a set $X$, and a family $S$ of subsets of $X$. Suppose $S$ is $\cap_{f p}$-closed and $\backslash \frac{\subset}{f p}$-closed and has the empty element. Then $S$ is semi $\backslash$-closed. The theorem is a consequence of (2).
Note that every set which is $\backslash$-closed is also semi $\backslash$-closed and $\cap$-closed.
Let $X$ be a set. Observe that there exists a family of subsets of $X$ which is non empty and preboolean and every set which is non empty and preboolean has also the empty element.

Let $X$ be a set and $S$ be a semi $\backslash$-closed, $\cap$-closed family of subsets of $X$ with the empty element. The ring generated by $S$ yielding a non empty, preboolean family of subsets of $X$ is defined by the term
(Def. 2) $\bigcap\{Z$, where $Z$ is a non empty, preboolean family of subsets of $X: S \subseteq$ $Z\}$.
Now we state the proposition:
(11) Let us consider a set $X$, and a semi $\backslash$-closed, $\cap$-closed family $P$ of subsets of $X$ with the empty element. Then $P \subseteq$ the ring generated by $P$.
Let $X$ be a set and $S$ be a semi $\backslash$-closed, $\cap$-closed family of subsets of $X$ with the empty element. The functor DisUnion $S$ yielding a non empty family of subsets of $X$ is defined by the term
(Def. 3) $\{A$, where $A$ is a subset of $X$ : there exists a disjoint valued finite sequence $F$ of elements of $S$ such that $A=\bigcup F\}$.

Let us consider a set $X$ and a semi $\backslash$-closed, $\cap$-closed family $S$ of subsets of $X$ with the empty element. Now we state the propositions:
(12) $S \subseteq$ DisUnion $S$.
(13) DisUnion $S$ is $\cap$-closed. The theorem is a consequence of (6) and (1).

Now we state the proposition:
(14) Let us consider a set $X$, a semi $\backslash$-closed, $\cap$-closed family $S$ of subsets of $X$ with the empty element, and sets $A, B, P$. If $P=\operatorname{DisUnion} S$ and $A$, $B \in P$ and $A$ misses $B$, then $A \cup B \in P$.
Let us consider a set $X$, a semi $\backslash$-closed, $\cap$-closed family $S$ of subsets of $X$ with the empty element, and sets $A, B$. Now we state the propositions:

If $A, B \in S$, then $B \backslash A \in$ DisUnion $S$.
(16) If $A \in S$ and $B \in \operatorname{DisUnion} S$, then $B \backslash A \in \operatorname{DisUnion} S$.

Proof: Reconsider $A_{1}=A$ as a subset of $X$. Consider $B_{1}$ being a subset of $X$ such that $B=B_{1}$ and there exists a disjoint valued finite sequence $F$ of elements of $S$ such that $B_{1}=\bigcup F$. Consider $g_{1}$ being a disjoint valued finite sequence of elements of $S$ such that $B_{1}=\bigcup g_{1}$. Reconsider $R_{1}=$ DisUnion $S$ as a non empty set. Define $\mathcal{P}$ [natural number, object] $\equiv$ $\$_{2}=g_{1}\left(\$_{1}\right) \backslash A_{1}$. For every natural number $k$ such that $k \in \operatorname{Seg}$ len $g_{1}$ there exists an element $x$ of $R_{1}$ such that $\mathcal{P}[k, x]$ by [10, (3)], (15). Consider $g_{2}$ being a finite sequence of elements of $R_{1}$ such that dom $g_{2}=\operatorname{Seg}$ len $g_{1}$ and for every natural number $k$ such that $k \in \operatorname{Seg}$ len $g_{1}$ holds $\mathcal{P}\left[k, g_{2}(k)\right]$ from [6, Sch. 5]. For every natural numbers $n, m$ such that $n, m \in \operatorname{dom} g_{2}$ and $n \neq m$ holds $g_{2}(n)$ misses $g_{2}(m)$. Set $R=\operatorname{DisUnion} S$. Define $\mathcal{H}[$ natural number $] \equiv \bigcup \operatorname{rng}\left(g_{2} \mid \$_{1}\right) \in R$. For every natural number $k$ such that $\mathcal{H}[k]$ holds $\mathcal{H}[k+1]$ by [4, (13)], [6, (59), (82)], [24, (55)]. For every natural number $k, \mathcal{H}[k]$ from [4, Sch. 2].
Now we state the propositions:
(17) Let us consider a set $X$, a semi $\backslash$-closed, $\cap$-closed family $S$ of subsets of $X$ with the empty element, and sets $A, B, R$. Suppose $R=\operatorname{DisUnion} S$ and $A, B \in R$ and $A \neq \emptyset$. Then $B \backslash A \in R$.
Proof: Consider $A_{1}$ being a subset of $X$ such that $A=A_{1}$ and there exists a disjoint valued finite sequence $F$ of elements of $S$ such that $A_{1}=\bigcup F$. Consider $f_{1}$ being a disjoint valued finite sequence of elements of $S$ such that $A_{1}=\bigcup f_{1}$. Consider $B_{1}$ being a subset of $X$ such that $B=B_{1}$ and there exists a disjoint valued finite sequence $F$ of elements of $S$ such that $B_{1}=\bigcup F$. Reconsider $R_{1}=R$ as a non empty set. Define $\mathcal{P}$ [natural number, object $] \equiv \$_{2}=B_{1} \backslash f_{1}(\$ 1)$. For every natural number $k$ such that $k \in \operatorname{Seg}$ len $f_{1}$ there exists an element $x$ of $R_{1}$ such that $\mathcal{P}[k, x]$ by [10, (3)], (16). Consider $F$ being a finite sequence of elements of $R_{1}$ such
that $\operatorname{dom} F=\operatorname{Seg}$ len $f_{1}$ and for every natural number $k$ such that $k \in$ Seg len $f_{1}$ holds $\mathcal{P}[k, F(k)$ ] from [6, Sch. 5]. Define $\mathcal{P}$ [natural number] $\equiv$ $\bigcap \operatorname{rng}\left(F \upharpoonright \$_{1}\right) \in R$. For every natural number $k$ such that $\mathcal{P}[k]$ holds $\mathcal{P}[k+1]$ by [6, (82)], [4, (11)], [6, (59)], [24, (55)]. For every natural number $k, \mathcal{P}[k]$ from [4, Sch. 2].
(18) Let us consider a set $X$, and a semi $\backslash$-closed, $\cap$-closed family $S$ of subsets of $X$ with the empty element. Then the ring generated by $S=$ DisUnion $S$. The theorem is a consequence of (13), (17), and (14).
Let $X$ be a set.
A $\sigma$-ring of subsets of $X$ is a non empty, preboolean family of subsets of $X$ and is defined by
(Def. 4) for every sequence $F$ of subsets of $X$ such that $\operatorname{rng} F \subseteq i t$ holds $\bigcup F \in i t$.
Let us observe that every $\sigma$-ring of subsets of $X$ is $\sigma$-multiplicative.
Let $S$ be a family of subsets of $X$. The functor $\sigma$-ring $(S)$ yielding a $\sigma$-ring of subsets of $X$ is defined by
(Def. 5) $\quad S \subseteq i t$ and for every set $Z$ such that $S \subseteq Z$ and $Z$ is a $\sigma$-ring of subsets of $X$ holds it $\subseteq Z$.

Now we state the proposition:
(19) Let us consider a set $X$, and a semi $\backslash$-closed, $\cap$-closed family $S$ of subsets of $X$ with the empty element. Then $\sigma$-ring(the ring generated by $S$ ) $=$ $\sigma$-ring $(S)$. The theorem is a consequence of (11).

## 3. Semialgebra, Algebra and $\sigma$-algebra of Sets

Let $X$ be a set.
A semialgebra of sets of $X$ is a semi $\backslash$-closed, $\cap$-closed family of subsets of $X$ with the empty element and is defined by
(Def. 6) $\quad X \in i t$.
Now we state the proposition:
(20) Let us consider a set $X$. Then every field of subsets of $X$ is a semialgebra of sets of $X$.
Let $X$ be a set and $S$ be a semialgebra of sets of $X$. The field generated by $S$ yielding a non empty field of subsets of $X$ is defined by the term
(Def. 7) $\bigcap\{Z$, where $Z$ is a field of subsets of $X: S \subseteq Z\}$.
Now we state the propositions:
(21) Let us consider a set $X$, and a semialgebra $P$ of sets of $X$. Then $P \subseteq$ the field generated by $P$.
(22) Let us consider a set $X$, and a semialgebra $S$ of sets of $X$. Then the field generated by $S=$ DisUnion $S$. The theorem is a consequence of (13), (17), and (14).
(23) Let us consider a non empty set $X$, and a semialgebra $S$ of sets of $X$. Then $\sigma($ the field generated by $S)=\sigma(S)$. The theorem is a consequence of (21).

## 4. Mutual Relationships Between $\sigma$-Ring and $\sigma$-algebra of Sets

Let us consider a set $X$ and a set $S$. Now we state the propositions:
(24) If $S$ is a $\sigma$-field of subsets of $X$, then $S$ is a $\sigma$-ring of subsets of $X$.
(25) If $S$ is a $\sigma$-ring of subsets of $X$ and $X \in S$, then $S$ is a $\sigma$-field of subsets of $X$.

Let us consider a family $S$ of subsets of $\mathbb{R}$. Now we state the propositions:
(26) Suppose $S=\{I$, where $I$ is a subset of $\mathbb{R}: I$ is left open interval $\}$. Then $S$ is semi $\backslash$-closed and $\cap$-closed and has the empty element. The theorem is a consequence of (10).
(27) Suppose $S=\{I$, where $I$ is a subset of $\mathbb{R}: I$ is right open interval $\}$. Then $S$ is semi $\backslash$-closed and $\cap$-closed and has the empty element. The theorem is a consequence of (4) and (3).
Now we state the proposition:
(28) the set of all $I$ where $I$ is an interval is a semialgebra of sets of $\mathbb{R}$. The theorem is a consequence of (3) and (4).
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