

## $\sigma$ -ring and $\sigma$ -algebra of Sets<sup>1</sup>

Noboru Endou Gifu National College of Technology Gifu, Japan Kazuhisa Nakasho Shinshu University Nagano, Japan

Yasunari Shidama Shinshu University Nagano, Japan

**Summary.** In this article, semiring and semialgebra of sets are formalized so as to construct a measure of a given set in the next step. Although a semiring of sets has already been formalized in [13], that is, strictly speaking, a definition of a quasi semiring of sets suggested in the last few decades [15]. We adopt a classical definition of a semiring of sets here to avoid such a confusion. Ring of sets and algebra of sets have been formalized as non empty preboolean set [23] and field of subsets [18], respectively. In the second section, definitions of a ring and a  $\sigma$ -ring of sets, which are based on a semiring and a ring of sets respectively, are formalized and their related theorems are proved. In the third section, definitions of an algebra and a  $\sigma$ -algebra of sets, which are based on a semialgebra and an algebra of sets respectively, are formalized and their related theorems are proved. In the last section, mutual relationships between  $\sigma$ -ring and  $\sigma$ -algebra of sets are formalized and some related examples are given. The formalization is based on [15], and also referred to [9] and [16].

MSC: 03E30 28A05 03B35

Keywords: semiring of sets;  $\sigma$ -ring of sets;  $\sigma$ -algebra of sets

MML identifier: SRINGS\_3, version: 8.1.04 5.31.1231

The notation and terminology used in this paper have been introduced in the following articles: [1], [2], [3], [17], [21], [6], [14], [23], [10], [11], [7], [8], [22], [4], [5], [18], [19], [26], [27], [20], [13], [25], and [12].

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>This work was supported by JSPS KAKENHI 23500029 and 22300285.

## 1. Preliminaries

Now we state the propositions:

- (1) Let us consider finite sequences  $f_1$ ,  $f_2$ , and a natural number k. Suppose  $k \in \text{Seg}(\text{len } f_1 \cdot \text{len } f_2)$ . Then
  - (i)  $(k 1 \mod \text{len } f_2) + 1 \in \text{dom } f_2$ , and
  - (ii)  $(k 1 \operatorname{div} \operatorname{len} f_2) + 1 \in \operatorname{dom} f_1$ .
- (2) Let us consider a non empty, finite set S. Then  $\bigcup CFS(S) = \bigcup S$ .
- (3) Let us consider an object x. Then  $\langle x \rangle$  is a disjoint valued finite sequence.
- (4) Let us consider sets x, y, and a finite sequence F. If  $F = \langle x, y \rangle$  and x misses y, then F is disjoint valued.
- (5) Let us consider finite sequences  $f_1$ ,  $f_2$ . Then there exists a finite sequence f such that
  - (i)  $\bigcup f_1 \cap \bigcup f_2 = \bigcup f$ , and
  - (ii) dom  $f = \text{Seg}(\text{len } f_1 \cdot \text{len } f_2)$ , and
  - (iii) for every natural number i such that  $i \in \text{dom } f$  holds  $f(i) = f_1((i 1 \text{ div len } f_2) + 1) \cap f_2((i 1 \text{ mod len } f_2) + 1)$ .

PROOF: For every natural number k such that  $k \in \text{Seg}(\text{len } f_1 \cdot \text{len } f_2)$  holds  $(k-'1 \mod \text{len } f_2) + 1 \in \text{dom } f_2$  and  $(k-'1 \dim \text{len } f_2) + 1 \in \text{dom } f_1$ . Define  $\mathcal{P}[\text{natural number, object}] \equiv \$_2 = f_1((\$_1 -'1 \dim \text{len } f_2) + 1) \cap f_2((\$_1 -'1 \mod \text{len } f_2) + 1)$ . Consider f being a finite sequence such that f being a finite sequence such that f being f and for every natural number f such that f being f be

- (6) Let us consider disjoint valued finite sequences  $f_1$ ,  $f_2$ . Then there exists a disjoint valued finite sequence f such that
  - (i)  $\bigcup f_1 \cap \bigcup f_2 = \bigcup f$ , and
  - (ii) dom  $f = \text{Seg}(\text{len } f_1 \cdot \text{len } f_2)$ , and
  - (iii) for every natural number i such that  $i \in \text{dom } f$  holds  $f(i) = f_1((i 1 \text{ div len } f_2) + 1) \cap f_2((i 1 \text{ mod len } f_2) + 1)$ .

The theorem is a consequence of (5).

(7) Let us consider a set X, and a non empty, \-closed family S of subsets of X. Then  $\emptyset \in S$ .

Let X be a set. One can check that every family of subsets of X which is non empty and  $\backslash$ -closed has also the empty element.

## 2. Classical Semiring, Ring and $\sigma$ -ring of Sets

Let  $I_1$  be a set. We say that  $I_1$  is semi \-closed if and only if

(Def. 1) for every sets X, Y such that X,  $Y \in I_1$  there exists a disjoint valued finite sequence F of elements of  $I_1$  such that  $X \setminus Y = \bigcup F$ .

Let X be a set. Let us note that  $2^X$  is semi \-closed and there exists a family of subsets of X which is non empty, semi \-closed, and \cap-closed and there exists a family of subsets of X which is semi \-closed and \cap-closed and has the empty element.

A semiring of X is a semi \-closed,  $\cap$ -closed family of subsets of X with the empty element. Now we state the propositions:

- (8) Let us consider a set X, a family S of subsets of X, and sets  $S_1$ ,  $S_2$ . Suppose  $S_1$ ,  $S_2 \in S$  and S is semi \-closed. Then there exists a finite subset x of S such that x is a partition of  $S_1 \setminus S_2$ .
- (9) Let us consider a set X, and a non empty family S of subsets of X. Suppose S is semi \-closed. Then S is  $\setminus_{fp}^{\subseteq}$ -closed. The theorem is a consequence of (8).
- (10) Let us consider a set X, and a family S of subsets of X. Suppose S is  $\cap_{fp}$ -closed and  $\setminus_{fp}^{\subseteq}$ -closed and has the empty element. Then S is semi  $\setminus$ -closed. The theorem is a consequence of (2).

Note that every set which is \-closed is also semi \-closed and ∩-closed.

Let X be a set. Observe that there exists a family of subsets of X which is non empty and preboolean and every set which is non empty and preboolean has also the empty element.

Let X be a set and S be a semi \-closed,  $\cap$ -closed family of subsets of X with the empty element. The ring generated by S yielding a non empty, preboolean family of subsets of X is defined by the term

(Def. 2)  $\bigcap \{Z, \text{ where } Z \text{ is a non empty, preboolean family of subsets of } X : S \subseteq Z \}.$ 

Now we state the proposition:

(11) Let us consider a set X, and a semi \-closed, \cap-closed family P of subsets of X with the empty element. Then  $P \subseteq$  the ring generated by P.

Let X be a set and S be a semi \-closed,  $\cap$ -closed family of subsets of X with the empty element. The functor DisUnion S yielding a non empty family of subsets of X is defined by the term

(Def. 3)  $\{A, \text{ where } A \text{ is a subset of } X : \text{there exists a disjoint valued finite sequence } F \text{ of elements of } S \text{ such that } A = \bigcup F \}.$ 

Let us consider a set X and a semi  $\backslash$ -closed,  $\cap$ -closed family S of subsets of X with the empty element. Now we state the propositions:

- (12)  $S \subseteq \text{DisUnion } S$ .
- (13) DisUnion S is  $\cap$ -closed. The theorem is a consequence of (6) and (1). Now we state the proposition:
- (14) Let us consider a set X, a semi \-closed, \cap-closed family S of subsets of X with the empty element, and sets A, B, P. If P = DisUnion S and A,  $B \in P$  and A misses B, then  $A \cup B \in P$ .

Let us consider a set X, a semi  $\backslash$ -closed,  $\cap$ -closed family S of subsets of X with the empty element, and sets A, B. Now we state the propositions:

- (15) If  $A, B \in S$ , then  $B \setminus A \in \text{DisUnion } S$ .
- (16) If  $A \in S$  and  $B \in \text{DisUnion } S$ , then  $B \setminus A \in \text{DisUnion } S$ .

  PROOF: Reconsider  $A_1 = A$  as a subset of X. Consider  $B_1$  being a subset of X such that  $B = B_1$  and there exists a disjoint valued finite sequence F of elements of S such that  $B_1 = \bigcup F$ . Consider  $g_1$  being a disjoint valued finite sequence of elements of S such that  $B_1 = \bigcup g_1$ . Reconsider  $R_1 = \text{DisUnion } S$  as a non empty set. Define  $\mathcal{P}[\text{natural number, object}] \equiv \$_2 = g_1(\$_1) \setminus A_1$ . For every natural number k such that  $k \in \text{Seg len } g_1$  there exists an element x of  $R_1$  such that  $\mathcal{P}[k, x]$  by [10, (3)], (15). Consider  $g_2$  being a finite sequence of elements of  $R_1$  such that dom  $g_2 = \text{Seg len } g_1$  and for every natural number k such that  $k \in \text{Seg len } g_1$  holds  $\mathcal{P}[k, g_2(k)]$  from [6, Sch. 5]. For every natural numbers n, m such that n,  $m \in \text{dom } g_2$  and  $n \neq m$  holds  $g_2(n)$  misses  $g_2(m)$ . Set R = DisUnion S. Define  $\mathcal{H}[\text{natural number}] \equiv \bigcup \text{rng}(g_2 \upharpoonright \$_1) \in R$ . For every natural number k such that  $\mathcal{H}[k]$  holds  $\mathcal{H}[k+1]$  by [4, (13)], [6, (59), (82)], [24, (55)]. For every natural number k,  $\mathcal{H}[k]$  from [4, Sch. 2].  $\square$

Now we state the propositions:

(17) Let us consider a set X, a semi  $\backslash$ -closed,  $\cap$ -closed family S of subsets of X with the empty element, and sets A, B, R. Suppose R = DisUnion S and A,  $B \in R$  and  $A \neq \emptyset$ . Then  $B \setminus A \in R$ .

PROOF: Consider  $A_1$  being a subset of X such that  $A = A_1$  and there exists a disjoint valued finite sequence F of elements of S such that  $A_1 = \bigcup F$ . Consider  $f_1$  being a disjoint valued finite sequence of elements of S such that  $A_1 = \bigcup f_1$ . Consider  $B_1$  being a subset of X such that  $B = B_1$  and there exists a disjoint valued finite sequence F of elements of S such that  $B_1 = \bigcup F$ . Reconsider  $B_1 = B$  as a non empty set. Define  $\mathcal{P}[\text{natural number, object}] \equiv \$_2 = B_1 \setminus f_1(\$_1)$ . For every natural number K such that  $K \in Seg \text{ len } f_1$  there exists an element  $K \in Seg \text{ len } f_1$  there exists an element  $K \in Seg \text{ len } f_1$  such that  $K \in Seg \text{ len } f_1$  there exists an element  $K \in Seg \text{ len } f_1$  such that  $K \in Seg \text{ len } f_1$  there exists an element  $K \in Seg \text{ len } f_1$  such that  $K \in Seg \text{ len } f_1$  there exists an element  $K \in Seg \text{ len } f_2$  such that  $K \in Seg \text{ len } f_2$  there exists an element  $K \in Seg \text{ len } f_2$  such that  $K \in Seg \text{ len } f_2$  there exists an element  $K \in Seg \text{ len } f_2$  such that  $K \in Seg \text{ len } f_2$  there exists an element  $K \in Seg \text{ len } f_2$  such that  $K \in Seg \text{ len } f_2$  there exists an element  $K \in Seg \text{ len } f_2$  such that  $K \in Seg \text{ len } f_2$  there exists an element  $K \in Seg \text{ len } f_2$  such that  $K \in Seg \text{ len } f_2$  there exists an element  $K \in Seg \text{ len } f_2$  such that  $K \in Seg \text{ len } f_2$  such that  $K \in Seg \text{ len } f_2$  such that  $K \in Seg \text{ len } f_3$  such that  $K \in Seg \text{ len } f_3$  such that  $K \in Seg \text{ len } f_3$  such that  $K \in Seg \text{ len } f_3$  such that  $K \in Seg \text{ len } f_3$  such that  $K \in Seg \text{ len } f_3$  such that  $K \in Seg \text{ len } f_3$  such that  $K \in Seg \text{ len } f_3$  such that  $K \in Seg \text{ len } f_3$  such that  $K \in Seg \text{ len } f_3$  such that  $K \in Seg \text{ len } f_3$  suc

that dom  $F = \text{Seg len } f_1$  and for every natural number k such that  $k \in \text{Seg len } f_1$  holds  $\mathcal{P}[k, F(k)]$  from [6, Sch. 5]. Define  $\mathcal{P}[\text{natural number}] \equiv \bigcap \text{rng}(F \upharpoonright \$_1) \in R$ . For every natural number k such that  $\mathcal{P}[k]$  holds  $\mathcal{P}[k+1]$  by [6, (82)], [4, (11)], [6, (59)], [24, (55)]. For every natural number k,  $\mathcal{P}[k]$  from [4, Sch. 2].  $\square$ 

(18) Let us consider a set X, and a semi \-closed, \cap-closed family S of subsets of X with the empty element. Then the ring generated by S = DisUnion S. The theorem is a consequence of (13), (17), and (14).

Let X be a set.

A  $\sigma$ -ring of subsets of X is a non empty, preboolean family of subsets of X and is defined by

- (Def. 4) for every sequence F of subsets of X such that rng  $F \subseteq it$  holds  $\bigcup F \in it$ . Let us observe that every  $\sigma$ -ring of subsets of X is  $\sigma$ -multiplicative. Let S be a family of subsets of X. The functor  $\sigma$ -ring(S) yielding a  $\sigma$ -ring
- of subsets of X is defined by (Def. 5)  $S \subseteq it$  and for every set Z such that  $S \subseteq Z$  and Z is a  $\sigma$ -ring of subsets of X holds  $it \subseteq Z$ .

Now we state the proposition:

- (19) Let us consider a set X, and a semi \-closed, \cap-closed family S of subsets of X with the empty element. Then  $\sigma$ -ring(the ring generated by S) =  $\sigma$ -ring(S). The theorem is a consequence of (11).
  - 3. Semialgebra, Algebra and  $\sigma$ -algebra of Sets

Let X be a set.

A semialgebra of sets of X is a semi \-closed,  $\cap$ -closed family of subsets of X with the empty element and is defined by

(Def. 6)  $X \in it$ .

Now we state the proposition:

(20) Let us consider a set X. Then every field of subsets of X is a semialgebra of sets of X.

Let X be a set and S be a semialgebra of sets of X. The field generated by S yielding a non empty field of subsets of X is defined by the term

(Def. 7)  $\cap \{Z, \text{ where } Z \text{ is a field of subsets of } X : S \subseteq Z\}.$ 

Now we state the propositions:

(21) Let us consider a set X, and a semialgebra P of sets of X. Then  $P \subseteq$  the field generated by P.

- (22) Let us consider a set X, and a semialgebra S of sets of X. Then the field generated by S = DisUnion S. The theorem is a consequence of (13), (17), and (14).
- (23) Let us consider a non empty set X, and a semialgebra S of sets of X. Then  $\sigma$ (the field generated by S) =  $\sigma$ (S). The theorem is a consequence of (21).
- 4. Mutual Relationships between  $\sigma$ -ring and  $\sigma$ -algebra of Sets

Let us consider a set X and a set S. Now we state the propositions:

- (24) If S is a  $\sigma$ -field of subsets of X, then S is a  $\sigma$ -ring of subsets of X.
- (25) If S is a  $\sigma$ -ring of subsets of X and  $X \in S$ , then S is a  $\sigma$ -field of subsets of X.

Let us consider a family S of subsets of  $\mathbb{R}$ . Now we state the propositions:

- (26) Suppose  $S = \{I, \text{ where } I \text{ is a subset of } \mathbb{R} : I \text{ is left open interval}\}$ . Then S is semi \-closed and \cap -closed and has the empty element. The theorem is a consequence of (10).
- (27) Suppose  $S = \{I, \text{ where } I \text{ is a subset of } \mathbb{R} : I \text{ is right open interval}\}$ . Then S is semi \-closed and \cap-closed and has the empty element. The theorem is a consequence of (4) and (3).

Now we state the proposition:

(28) the set of all I where I is an interval is a semialgebra of sets of  $\mathbb{R}$ . The theorem is a consequence of (3) and (4).

## References

- [1] Grzegorz Bancerek. König's theorem. Formalized Mathematics, 1(3):589–593, 1990.
- [2] Grzegorz Bancerek. Tarski's classes and ranks. Formalized Mathematics, 1(3):563–567, 1990.
- [3] Grzegorz Bancerek. Continuous, stable, and linear maps of coherence spaces. Formalized Mathematics, 5(3):381-393, 1996.
- [4] Grzegorz Bancerek. The fundamental properties of natural numbers. Formalized Mathematics, 1(1):41–46, 1990.
- [5] Grzegorz Bancerek. The ordinal numbers. Formalized Mathematics, 1(1):91–96, 1990.
- [6] Grzegorz Bancerek and Krzysztof Hryniewiecki. Segments of natural numbers and finite sequences. Formalized Mathematics, 1(1):107–114, 1990.
- [7] Józef Białas. The  $\sigma$ -additive measure theory. Formalized Mathematics, 2(2):263–270, 1991.
- [8] Józef Białas. Properties of the intervals of real numbers. Formalized Mathematics, 3(2): 263–269, 1992.
- [9] Vladimir Igorevich Bogachev and Maria Aparecida Soares Ruas. Measure theory, volume 1. Springer, 2007.
- [10] Czesław Byliński. Functions and their basic properties. Formalized Mathematics, 1(1): 55-65, 1990.

- [11] Czesław Byliński. Functions from a set to a set. Formalized Mathematics, 1(1):153–164, 1990.
- [12] Czesław Byliński. Some basic properties of sets. Formalized Mathematics, 1(1):47–53, 1990.
- [13] Roland Coghetto. Semiring of sets. Formalized Mathematics, 22(1):79–84, 2014. doi:10.2478/forma-2014-0008.
- [14] Agata Darmochwał. Finite sets. Formalized Mathematics, 1(1):165–167, 1990.
- [15] D.F. Goguadze. About the notion of semiring of sets. Mathematical Notes, 74:346–351, 2003. ISSN 0001-4346. doi:10.1023/A:1026102701631.
- [16] P. R. Halmos. Measure Theory. Springer-Verlag, 1974.
- [17] Jarosław Kotowicz and Konrad Raczkowski. Coherent space. Formalized Mathematics, 3 (2):255–261, 1992.
- [18] Andrzej Nędzusiak.  $\sigma$ -fields and probability. Formalized Mathematics, 1(2):401–407, 1990.
- [19] Andrzej Nędzusiak. Probability. Formalized Mathematics, 1(4):745–749, 1990.
- [20] Beata Padlewska. Families of sets. Formalized Mathematics, 1(1):147–152, 1990.
- [21] Konrad Raczkowski and Paweł Sadowski. Equivalence relations and classes of abstraction. Formalized Mathematics, 1(3):441–444, 1990.
- [22] Andrzej Trybulec. On the sets inhabited by numbers. Formalized Mathematics, 11(4): 341–347, 2003.
- [23] Andrzej Trybulec and Agata Darmochwał. Boolean domains. Formalized Mathematics, 1 (1):187–190, 1990.
- [24] Wojciech A. Trybulec. Non-contiguous substrings and one-to-one finite sequences. Formalized Mathematics, 1(3):569–573, 1990.
- [25] Zinaida Trybulec. Properties of subsets. Formalized Mathematics, 1(1):67–71, 1990.
- [26] Edmund Woronowicz. Relations and their basic properties. Formalized Mathematics, 1 (1):73-83, 1990.
- [27] Edmund Woronowicz. Relations defined on sets. Formalized Mathematics, 1(1):181–186, 1990.

Received February 18, 2015