Mazur-Ulam Theorem

Artur Korniłowicz Institute of Informatics University of Białystok Sosnowa 64, 15-887 Białystok, Poland

Summary. The Mazur-Ulam theorem [15] has been formulated as two registrations: cluster bijective isometric -> midpoints-preserving Function of E,F; and cluster isometric midpoints-preserving -> Affine Function of E,F; A proof given by Jussi Väisälä [23] has been formalized.

MML identifier: MAZURULM, version: 7.11.07 4.160.1126

The notation and terminology used in this paper have been introduced in the following papers: [19], [18], [4], [5], [20], [11], [10], [14], [17], [1], [6], [16], [24], [25], [21], [13], [12], [22], [2], [9], [8], [3], and [7].

For simplicity, we use the following convention: E, F, G are real normed spaces, f is a function from E into F, g is a function from F into G, a, b are points of E, and t is a real number.

Let us note that \mathbb{I} is closed.

Next we state four propositions:

- (1) DYADIC is a dense subset of \mathbb{I} .
- (2) $\overline{\text{DYADIC}} = [0, 1].$
- $(3) \quad a + a = 2 \cdot a.$
- (4) (a+b) b = a.

Let A be an upper bounded real-membered set and let r be a non negative real number. Observe that $r \circ A$ is upper bounded.

Let A be an upper bounded real-membered set and let r be a non positive real number. Note that $r \circ A$ is lower bounded.

Let A be a lower bounded real-membered set and let r be a non negative real number. Observe that $r \circ A$ is lower bounded.

© 2011 University of Białystok ISSN 1426-2630(p), 1898-9934(e) Let A be a lower bounded non empty real-membered set and let r be a non positive real number. One can check that $r \circ A$ is upper bounded.

Next we state three propositions:

- (5) For every sequence f of real numbers holds $f + (\mathbb{N} \longmapsto t) = t + f$.
- (6) For every real number r holds $\lim(\mathbb{N} \mapsto r) = r$.
- (7) For every convergent sequence f of real numbers holds $\lim(t+f) = t + \lim f$.

Let f be a convergent sequence of real numbers and let us consider t. One can check that t+f is convergent.

Next we state three propositions:

- (8) For every sequence f of real numbers holds $f \cdot (\mathbb{N} \longmapsto a) = f \cdot a$.
- (9) $\lim(\mathbb{N} \longmapsto a) = a$.
- (10) For every convergent sequence f of real numbers holds $\lim (f \cdot a) = \lim f \cdot a$.

Let f be a convergent sequence of real numbers and let us consider E, a. Note that $f \cdot a$ is convergent.

Let E, F be non empty normed structures and let f be a function from E into F. We say that f is isometric if and only if:

(Def. 1) For all points a, b of E holds ||f(a) - f(b)|| = ||a - b||.

Let E, F be non empty RLS structures and let f be a function from E into F. We say that f is affine if and only if:

(Def. 2) For all points a, b of E and for every real number t such that $0 \le t \le 1$ holds $f((1-t) \cdot a + t \cdot b) = (1-t) \cdot f(a) + t \cdot f(b)$.

We say that f preserves midpoints if and only if:

(Def. 3) For all points a, b of E holds $f(\frac{1}{2} \cdot (a+b)) = \frac{1}{2} \cdot (f(a) + f(b))$.

Let E be a non empty normed structure. Observe that id_E is isometric.

Let E be a non empty RLS structure. Note that id_E is affine and preserves midpoints.

Let E be a non empty normed structure. Observe that there exists a unary operation on E which is bijective, isometric, and affine and preserves midpoints.

Next we state the proposition

(11) If f is isometric and g is isometric, then $g \cdot f$ is isometric.

Let us consider E and let f, g be isometric unary operations on E. One can verify that $g \cdot f$ is isometric.

The following proposition is true

(12) If f is bijective and isometric, then f^{-1} is isometric.

Let us consider E and let f be a bijective isometric unary operation on E. One can check that f^{-1} is isometric.

We now state the proposition

(13) If f preserves midpoints and g preserves midpoints, then $g \cdot f$ preserves midpoints.

Let us consider E and let f, g be unary operations on E preserving midpoints. Note that $g \cdot f$ preserves midpoints.

The following proposition is true

(14) If f is bijective and preserves midpoints, then f^{-1} preserves midpoints.

Let us consider E and let f be a bijective unary operation on E preserving midpoints. Observe that f^{-1} preserves midpoints.

Next we state the proposition

(15) If f is affine and g is affine, then $g \cdot f$ is affine.

Let us consider E and let f, g be affine unary operations on E. Observe that $g \cdot f$ is affine.

One can prove the following proposition

(16) If f is bijective and affine, then f^{-1} is affine.

Let us consider E and let f be a bijective affine unary operation on E. Observe that f^{-1} is affine.

Let E be a non empty RLS structure and let a be a point of E. The functor a-reflection yields a unary operation on E and is defined as follows:

(Def. 4) For every point b of E holds a-reflection(b) = $2 \cdot a - b$.

The following proposition is true

(17) a-reflection $\cdot a$ -reflection = id_E .

Let us consider E, a. Note that a-reflection is bijective.

We now state several propositions:

- (18) a-reflection(a) = a and for every b such that a-reflection(b) = b holds a = b.
- (19) a-reflection(b) a = a b.
- (20) ||a reflection(b) a|| = ||b a||.
- (21) a-reflection $(b) b = 2 \cdot (a b)$.
- (22) $||a\text{-reflection}(b) b|| = 2 \cdot ||b a||$.
- (23) a-reflection⁻¹ = a-reflection.

Let us consider E, a. Observe that a-reflection is isometric.

Next we state the proposition

(24) If f is isometric, then f is continuous on dom f.

Let us consider E, F. Observe that every function from E into F which is bijective and isometric also preserves midpoints.

Let us consider E, F. One can check that every function from E into F which is isometric and preserves midpoints is also affine.

References

- [1] Grzegorz Bancerek. The ordinal numbers. Formalized Mathematics, 1(1):91–96, 1990.
- [2] Józef Białas. Infimum and supremum of the set of real numbers. Measure theory. Formalized Mathematics, 2(1):163–171, 1991.
- [3] Józef Białas and Yatsuka Nakamura. Dyadic numbers and T₄ topological spaces. Formalized Mathematics, 5(3):361–366, 1996.
- [4] Czesław Byliński. Functions and their basic properties. Formalized Mathematics, 1(1):55–65, 1990.
- [5] Czesław Byliński. Functions from a set to a set. Formalized Mathematics, 1(1):153–164, 1990.
- [6] Czesław Byliński. Partial functions. Formalized Mathematics, 1(2):357–367, 1990.
- [7] Czesław Byliński. Some basic properties of sets. Formalized Mathematics, 1(1):47-53, 1990.
- [8] Agata Darmochwał. Families of subsets, subspaces and mappings in topological spaces. Formalized Mathematics, 1(2):257–261, 1990.
- [9] Agata Darmochwał and Yatsuka Nakamura. Metric spaces as topological spaces fundamental concepts. Formalized Mathematics, 2(4):605–608, 1991.
- [10] Hiroshi Imura, Morishige Kimura, and Yasunari Shidama. The differentiable functions on normed linear spaces. Formalized Mathematics, 12(3):321–327, 2004.
- [11] Artur Korniłowicz. Collective operations on number-membered sets. Formalized Mathematics, 17(2):99–115, 2009, doi: 10.2478/v10037-009-0011-0.
- [12] Jarosław Kotowicz. Convergent sequences and the limit of sequences. Formalized Mathematics, 1(2):273–275, 1990.
- [13] Jarosław Kotowicz. Real sequences and basic operations on them. Formalized Mathematics, 1(2):269–272, 1990.
- [14] Rafał Kwiatek. Factorial and Newton coefficients. Formalized Mathematics, 1(5):887–890, 1990
- [15] Stanisław Mazur and Stanisław Ulam. Sur les transformationes isométriques d'espaces vectoriels normés. C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, (194):946–948, 1932.
- [16] Beata Padlewska and Agata Darmochwał. Topological spaces and continuous functions. Formalized Mathematics, 1(1):223–230, 1990.
- [17] Jan Popiołek. Real normed space. Formalized Mathematics, 2(1):111-115, 1991.
- [18] Andrzej Trybulec. Binary operations applied to functions. Formalized Mathematics, 1(2):329–334, 1990.
- [19] Andrzej Trybulec. A Borsuk theorem on homotopy types. Formalized Mathematics, 2(4):535–545, 1991.
- [20] Andrzej Trybulec. On the sets inhabited by numbers. Formalized Mathematics, 11(4):341–347, 2003.
- [21] Wojciech A. Trybulec. Vectors in real linear space. Formalized Mathematics, 1(2):291–296, 1990
- [22] Zinaida Trybulec. Properties of subsets. Formalized Mathematics, 1(1):67–71, 1990.
- [23] Jussi Väisälä. A proof of the Mazur-Ulam theorem. http://www.helsinki.fi/~jvaisa-la/mazurulam.pdf.
- [24] Edmund Woronowicz. Relations and their basic properties. Formalized Mathematics, 1(1):73–83, 1990.
- [25] Edmund Woronowicz. Relations defined on sets. Formalized Mathematics, 1(1):181–186, 1990.

Received December 21, 2010