

ORIGINAL RESEARCH published: 07 May 2019 doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2019.00987



Antibacterial and Cytotoxic Activities of *Pinus tropicalis* and *Pinus elliottii* Resins and of the Diterpene Dehydroabietic Acid Against Bacteria That Cause Dental Caries

Kelly Regina da Silva¹, Jaqueline Lopes Damasceno¹, Moana de Oliveira Inácio¹, Fariza Abrão¹, Natália Helen Ferreira¹, Denise Crispim Tavares¹, Sergio Ricardo Ambrosio¹, Rodrigo Cassio Sola Veneziani¹ and Carlos Henrique Gomes Martins^{1,2*}

OPEN ACCESS

Edited by:

Kin Weng Kong, University of Malaya, Malaysia

Reviewed by:

Susan Semple, University of South Australia, Australia Tamás Papp, University of Szeged, Hungary

> *Correspondence: Carlos Henrique Gomes Martins carlos.martins2@ufu.br

Specialty section:

This article was submitted to Antimicrobials, Resistance and Chemotherapy, a section of the journal Frontiers in Microbiology

Received: 05 February 2019 Accepted: 18 April 2019 Published: 07 May 2019

Citation:

Silva KR, Damasceno JL, Inácio MO, Abrão F, Ferreira NH, Tavares DC, Ambrosio SR, Veneziani RCS and Martins CHG (2019) Antibacterial and Cytotoxic Activities of Pinus tropicalis and Pinus elliottii Resins and of the Diterpene Dehydroabietic Acid Against Bacteria That Cause Dental Caries. Front. Microbiol. 10:987. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2019.00987 ¹ Laboratory of Research in Applied Microbiology (LAPEMA), University of Franca, Franca, Brazil, ² Institute of Biomedical Sciences (ICBIM), Federal University of Uberlândia, Uberlândia, Brazil

Considering the impact of dental caries on public health and the wide biological potential described for species belonging to the genus Pinus, here we investigate the antibacterial activity of the P. elliottii and P. tropicalis resins and of the diterpene dehydroabietic acid (DHA) against cariogenic bacteria. For this purpose, we have determined the minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) and the minimum bactericidal concentration (MBC) of the resins and of the diterpene. We have also investigated the biofilm inhibition ability (through MBIC₅₀ determination), as well as the synergistic effect (through fractional inhibitory concentration index) and the cytotoxic potential (through XTT assay) of the P. elliottii and P. tropicalis resins. The resins gave promising MIC and MBC values, which ranged from 12.5 to 400 µg/mL; DHA provided MIC and MBC values ranging from 25 to 400 μ g/mL. The MICB₅₀ values ranged from 0.78 to 400 μ g/mL for the resins. Neither additive nor synergistic effects emerged for the combinations of one of the resins with chlorhexidine. The cytotoxic activity was \geq 312.5 and \geq 156.3 µg/mL for the P. elliottii and P. tropicalis resins, respectively. The resins showed antibacterial activity against planktonic and sessile cariogenic bacteria. These data are relevant and encourage further research into these plants, which may contribute to the discovery of new substances that can inhibit the growth of cariogenic microorganisms and reduce the incidence of dental caries.

Keywords: dental caries, cariogenic bacteria, antibacterial activity, cytotoxic activity, Pinus

Abbreviations: BHI, brain heart infusion; CHD, chlorhexidine; DHA, dehydroabietic acid; DMSO, dimethylsulfoxide; FICI, fractional inhibitory concentration index; HGF, human gingival fibroblasts; IC₅₀, 50% inhibitory concentration; MBC, minimum bactericidal concentration; MIC, minimum inhibitory concentration; MICB₅₀, minimum inhibitory concentration against the biofilm; OD, optical density; PBS, phosphate buffered saline; SI, selectivity index.

INTRODUCTION

The oral cavity is colonized by a group of intricate microorganisms that grow as a distinct oral biofilm, the dental plaque. This tooth-adhering film causes the most common oral microbial infection known to man, the so-called dental caries (Sunitha et al., 2017).

Dental caries is the most prevalent chronic disease worldwide and represents a costly burden to health care services especially in developing countries, where investments in prevention are minimal, and access to care is limited. Dental caries is a multifactorial disease that affects approximately 90% of the world population, with biological, environmental, and socio-behavioral risk factors contributing to its initiation and progression (Elamin et al., 2018). Microorganisms like *Streptococcus mutans* initiate the carious lesion on the tooth. *Streptococcus sanguinis*, *Streptococcus salivarius*, *Streptococcus mitis*, *Streptococcus sobrinus*, and *Lactobacillus* spp., among others, are the chief cariogenic microorganisms (Sunitha et al., 2017).

Given the current worldwide overuse of antibiotics in dentistry and the limited awareness of infection prevention guidelines, drug-resistant bacteria have become further hazards (Barenghi et al., 2018). Besides that, oral diseases can be so painful that they can obstruct food mastication and ingestion, thereby impairing the body nutritional status and immune function and negatively impacting the quality of life (Chinsembu, 2016; BaniHani et al., 2018). Against this backdrop, there is an urgent need to accelerate bioprospecting efforts and to isolate active compounds that may be used to manufacture new drugs and hygiene products for oral health.

In this context, plants stand out for having proven to be a promising source for the development of medicines to treat a broad spectrum of diseases (Cragg et al., 2014; Newman and Cragg, 2016). Plant oleoresins, which are substances containing resins in their composition (non-volatile principles), have raised interest due to their already reported antimicrobial potential (Caetano da Silva et al., 2014; Leandro et al., 2014; Fernández et al., 2018; Arruda et al., 2019).

The genus *Pinus* belongs to the family Pinaceae, includes many species, mainly trees, and is found mostly in the northern hemisphere. One of the features of *Pinus* species is that they exudate a resinous secretion, known as resin or colophony, which has several applications, including adhesives, soaps, and food additives (Beltran et al., 2016). The needles, leaves, and oils of plants belonging to the genus *Pinus* have been widely employed in folk medicine because of their numerous pharmacological properties, such as anti-aging and anti-inflammatory effects, and of the possibility of using them to treat liver diseases, skin diseases, and hypertension (Xie et al., 2015).

Pinus elliottii Engelm. and *Pinus tropicalis* Morelet are trees that can be as tall as 15–30 m, but which have been little studied as to their pharmacological properties. *P. elliottii* originates in southeastern United States, though it is widely cultivated as a subtropical crop in Brazil, India, and China. It is usually employed to fabricate oleoresin and furniture (Caetano da Silva et al., 2014), and its anthelmintic (Tóro et al., 2003) and antibacterial actions have been demonstrated (Caetano da Silva et al., 2014; Leandro et al., 2014). *P. tropicalis* is endemic to the island of Cuba and exhibits strong insecticidal (Leyva et al., 2009) and fungicidal (Andrade et al., 2014) effects.

Concerning resins obtained from Pinus species, phytochemical studies have shown that they consist mainly of diterpenes, which present countless known biological activities like anti-inflammatory, antifungal, antiparasite, and antibacterial actions (Caetano da Silva et al., 2014). DHA is an abietane diterpene that can be obtained from Pinus resin or commercial resin. It has already been described as the major compound in P. elliotti (Caetano da Silva et al., 2014). Researchers have investigated the DHA pharmacological potential and explored its ability to generate derivatives that can act as synthetic precursors of heteroaromatic compounds with promising biological properties. Indeed, gastroprotective, antiviral, antibacterial, and antiulcer activities have already been described for DHA or its derivative (Wada et al., 1985; Fonseca et al., 2004; Sepúlveda et al., 2005; Caetano da Silva et al., 2014; Leandro et al., 2014).

Considering the impact of dental caries on public health and the popular use and wide biological potential described for species belonging to the genus *Pinus*, here we investigate the antibacterial activity of *P. elliottii* and *P. tropicalis* resins and of the diterpene DHA, isolated from *P. elliottii*, against cariogenic bacteria. In addition, the lack of studies on the cytotoxicity of these resins has motivated us to address this issue along with the SI of the resins in relation to human cells.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Resin Acquisition and Tested Microorganisms

Authentic *P. elliottii* (batch number 21811-09) and *P. tropicalis* (batch number 20511-09) resin samples were obtained from the Brazilian Association of Resinators (ARESB), located in the city of Avaré – SP, Brazil. DHA was isolated from the *P. elliottii* resin and was obtained by the method previously described by Leandro et al. (2014) (**Supplementary Figures S1, S2**).

The following bacteria from the American Type Culture Collection (ATCC) collection were used to conduct the antibacterial assays: *S. mutans* (ATCC 25175), *S. mitis* (ATCC 49456), *S. sanguinis* (ATCC 10556), *S. sobrinus* (ATCC 33478), *S. salivarius* (ATCC 25975), *Lactobacillus casei* (ATCC 11578), and *Enterococcus faecalis* (ATCC 4082). These microorganisms were maintained in a freezer at -80° C in 20% glycerol solution in the Laboratory of Applied Microbiology Research (LaPeMA) – University of Franca (Unifran).

Minimum Inhibitory Concentration and Minimum Bactericidal Concentration

The MIC is defined as the lowest concentration of the sample that can inhibit bacterial growth. MIC was determined by microdilution in microplates. The experiments were accomplished in 96-well microplates and were repeated three times.

Briefly, 1.0 mg/mL resin solutions were prepared in DMSO (Merck, Darmstadt, Germany) and subsequently diluted in BHI broth (Difco, Detroit, MI, United States). Then, the resin samples were tested at concentrations ranging from 0.195 to 400 μ g/mL. The final DMSO content in the samples was 5% (v/v); five inoculated wells containing DMSO at concentrations ranging from 5 to 1% were used as negative controls. The inoculum was adjusted for each organism at 625 nm in a spectrophotometer, to give a cell concentration of 5 \times 10⁵ CFU/mL, which corresponded to the final concentration in the well (CLSI, 2012). One inoculated well was included to control broth adequacy for organism growth. One non-inoculated well, free of antimicrobial agent, was also employed, to ensure medium sterility. The positive control was CHD (Sigma, St. Louis, MO, United States) at concentrations ranging from 0.115 to 59 μ g/mL. Next, the microorganisms were incubated at 37°C for 24 h. S. mutans, S. mitis, S. sanguinis, S. sobrinus, and L. casei were incubated under microaerophilic conditions (atmosphere containing 10% CO₂), while S. salivarius and E. faecalis were incubated under aerobic conditions.

After incubation, 30 μ L of 0.02% resazurin (Sigma) aqueous solution was added to each well. Resazurin is an oxidation-reduction probe that allows microbial growth to be immediately detected. The blue and red colors represent absence and presence of microbial growth, respectively (Sarker et al., 2007).

To determine the MBC, a $10-\mu L$ aliquot of the inoculum was removed from each well before resazurin was added. The inoculum aliquot was then seeded on Blood Agar Base (Difco) supplemented with 5% defibrillation sheep blood for all strains. Next, the microplates were incubated as described above. MBC is defined as the lowest concentration of the sample where no bacterial growth occurs. A substance is considered to exert a bacteriostatic effect when its MBC value is higher than its MIC value. However, a substance is considered to exhibit a bactericidal effect when its MBC value is the same as its MIC value.

Minimum Inhibitory Concentration Against the Biofilm

Preliminary tests were performed to define the best conditions for the biofilm to form, such as the ideal inoculum concentration and the period that is necessary for the biofilm to grow. For this purpose, the biofilms were standardized in three repeated experiments; BHI (Difco) broth and 96-well flat-bottom microplates were used. This assay was carried out for the bacteria that afforded the most promising MIC and MBC results. The best inoculum concentration and the optimal biofilm formation time were 10⁶ CFU/mL and 24 h, respectively (data not shown).

Minimum inhibitory concentration against the biofilm is defined as the minimum concentration of the *P. elliottii* or *P. tropicalis* resin that can inhibit biofilm formation by at least 50%. MICB₅₀ was determined as described by Wei et al. (2006) with the modifications depicted below. Briefly, serial twofold resin dilutions were prepared in a 96-well polystyrene tissue culture plate (TPP, Trasadingen, Switzerland) containing BHI

(Difco) broth for all the bacteria, as described previously. The final concentrations ranged from 0.195 to 400 μ g/mL for the resins and between 0.115 and 59 μ g/mL for the positive control (CHD; Sigma). Bacterial strains in the absence of antibacterial agent were employed as negative controls, and the inoculums were adjusted to give a cell concentration of 10⁶ CFU/mL for all the bacteria. The well contents were discarded after incubation at 37°C for 24 h. Then, each well was washed three times with 150 μ L of sterile Milli Q water and fixed with 150 μ L of methanol for 20 min. The whole experiment was repeated three times.

By following the procedure reported by Stepanović et al. (2007), the biofilms were quantified by OD by adding 150 μ L of crystal violet (2%) to the microplate wells. After 15 min at room temperature, excess dye was removed by rinsing with tap water, followed by air-drying at room temperature. Then, 150 μ L of glacial acetic acid 33% was gently added to each well, to re-solubilize the dye bound to the cells. The microtiter plate was covered with the lid and kept at room temperature for at least 30 min to minimize evaporation. The OD of each well was measured at 595 nm with the aid of a microtiter plate reader (ASYS, Eugendorf, Salzburg, Austria). The percentage of inhibition was calculated by using the equation $(1 - At_{595}/Ac_{595}) \times 100$, where At_{595} nm and Ac_{595} nm are the absorbance of the wells treated with the resins and the control, respectively (Wei et al., 2006).

Cell viability under antibiofilm activity was measured by counting the number of microorganisms; the same procedures described above were employed in another microplate. The assay was conducted according to Moreti et al. (2017) with the adaptations depicted below. Dilutions between 10^{-1} to 10^{-7} were prepared with BHI (Difco) broth, to allow microorganism counting. Subsequently, 50 µL of each dilution was transferred to BHI (Difco) agar plates supplemented with 5% defibrillation sheep blood, divided into eight parts, and incubated under the conditions described previously. After incubation, the colonies were counted. Results are expressed as CFU/mL. In addition, the IC₅₀ (concentration of resins capable of inhibiting 50% of microbial growth) was calculated by using GraphPad Prism 6, and results are expressed in µg/mL.

Fractional Inhibitory Concentration Index (FICI)

The activities of combinations of one of the resins with CHD (Sigma) were evaluated by FICI for *S. mutans, S. mitis, S. sanguinis, S. sobrinus,* and *L. casei,* the bacteria that presented the lowest MIC values. Checkerboard assays were performed according to the protocol previously described by White et al. (1996) to investigate the *in vitro* antimicrobial efficacy of the combination of the *P. elliottii* (antimicrobial agent A) or *P. tropicalis* (antimicrobial agent B) resin with CHD (antimicrobial agent C; Sigma). The whole experiment was repeated three times, and concentrations of each compound were combined by using a standard MIC format.

Serial twofold dilutions of resins and CHD (Sigma) were mixed in each well of a 96-well microtiter plate. Fiftymicroliter aliquots of the first and the second antimicrobial agents were added in vertical and horizontal orientation, respectively. A volume of 100 mL of fresh bacterial suspension $(1 \times 10^6 \text{ CFU/mL})$ was added to each well and incubated as mentioned previously. FICIs were calculated according to the following formula: FICI = (MIC of antimicrobial agent A or B in combination / MIC of antimicrobial agent A or B alone) + (MIC of antimicrobial agent C in combination / MIC of antimicrobial agent C in combination / MIC of antimicrobial agent C alone). FICI ≤ 0.5 , >0.5–1.0, 1–4.0, and >4.0 was interpreted as synergistic, additive, indifferent, and antagonistic, respectively (Lewis et al., 2002).

Cell Line and Culture Conditions and XTT-Based Cytotoxicity Assay

Human gingival fibroblasts (HGF cells) were employed to assess the cytotoxic potential of the *P. tropicalis* and *P. elliottii* resins. The cell line was maintained as monolayers in plastic culture flasks (25 cm^2) in HAM-F10/DMEM (1:1, Sigma) culture medium supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (Nutricell), antibiotics (0.01 mg/mL streptomycin and 0.005 mg/mL penicillin; Sigma), and 2.38 mg/mL Hepes (Sigma), at 37° C with 5% CO₂.

The cytotoxic activity was measured with the in vitro Toxicology Colorimetric Assay Kit (XTT; Roche Diagnostics) according to the manufacturer's instructions. Extracellular XTT reduction occurs on the plasma membrane surface via transmembrane electron transport. This assay is widely used to determine cell proliferation or the cytotoxic effects of chemicals (Bernas and Dobrucki, 1999; Ferreira et al., 2016). For these experiments, the cells (10⁴ cells/well) were plated onto 96-well microplates. Each well received 100 µL of HAM F10/DMEM medium containing P. tropicalis or P. elliottii. The tested concentrations ranged from 1.2 to 2,500 µg/mL. The negative (without treatment), solvent (DMSO 1%; Sigma), and positive (DMSO 25%) controls were included. After incubation at 37°C for 24 h, the medium was removed; the cells were washed with 100 µL of PBS and exposed to 100 µL of HAM-F10 medium without phenol red. Then, 25 µL of XTT was added to each well. The microplates were covered and incubated at 37°C for 17 h. The samples had their absorbance determined by using a multiplate reader (ELISA - Asys - UVM

340/Microwin 2000) operating at a test wavelength of 450 nm and a reference wavelength of 620 nm. Cell viability is expressed as percentage of untreated cells, which served as the negative control group and was designated as 100%; hence, results are expressed as a percentage of the negative control. The antiproliferative activity was assessed by using the parameter of 50% inhibition of cell line growth (IC₅₀). The experiments were repeated three times.

The SI of the samples was calculated by using the formula $SI = IC_{50}/MIC$; the concentrations that reduced cell viability in HGF cells by 50% (IC₅₀) and the MIC against the tested bacteria were taken into account (Elisha et al., 2017).

RESULTS

Table 1 lists the MIC and MBC values determined for the *P. elliottii* and *P. tropicalis* resins and for DHA against seven cariogenic bacteria. The values ranged from 12.5 to 400 μ g/mL for the resins and from 25 to 400 for DHA. DMSO alone (5% concentration; negative control) had no effect on the tested bacteria.

The resins and DHA had the same MBC and MIC values against most of the tested bacteria, which meant that they exerted a bactericidal effect in these cases. The *P. elliottii* resin exhibited bacteriostatic action against *S. mutans, L. casei*, and *E. faecalis*, whereas the *P. tropicalis* resin showed this same effect on *S. mutans, S. salivarius*, and *E. faecalis*. DHA displayed bacteriostatic activity against *S. mutans* and *L. casei* (Table 1).

The *P. elliottii* resin presented promising results against *S. mutans, S. mitis, S. sanguinis,* and *S. sobrinus,* while *P. tropicalis* showed promising activity against all the investigated bacteria except *E. faecalis* (\geq 100 µg/mL; **Table 1**). DHA did not provide promising MIC results against any of the evaluated bacteria (\geq 10 µg/mL; **Table 1**).

The remaining experiments only involved the bacteria for which the resins yielded the best MIC results.

The MICB₅₀ values ranged from 6.25 to 200 μ g/mL for the *P. elliottii* resin against *S. mutans*, *S. mitis*, and *S. sanguinis*, and from 0.78 to 400 μ g/ mL for the *P. tropicalis* resin against *S. mutans*, *S. mitis*, *S. sobrinus*, *S. salivarius*, and *L. casei*. The

TABLE 1 | Minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) and minimum bactericidal concentration (MBC) in µg/mL of the *P. elliottii* and *P. tropicalis* resins and of dehydroabietic acid (DHA) against bacteria that cause caries.

Bacterial strains	P. elliot	ttii (μg/mL)	P. tropic	<i>calis</i> (μg/mL)	DHA (μ g/mL)	CHD (μg/mL)
	МІС	MBC	MIC	MBC	МІС	MBC	МІС	MBC
Streptococcus mutans (ATCC 25175)	12.5	25	12.5	25	50	100	0.92	1.84
Streptococcus mitis (ATCC 49456)	25	25	12.5	12.5	25	25	3.68	3.68
Streptococcus sanguinis (ATCC 10556)	12.5	12.5	25	25	50	50	0.92	0.92
Streptococcus sobrinus (ATCC 33478)	25	25	25	25	100	100	0.46	0.92
Streptococcus salivarius (ATCC 25975)	100	100	50	100	100	100	0.92	0.92
Lactobacillus casei (ATCC 11578)	100	200	25	25	50	100	0.92	0.92
Enterococcus faecalis (ATCC 4082)	200	400	100	200	400	400	7.37	7.37

DHA, dehydroabietic acid; CHD, chlorhexidine.

Bacterial strains		Р.е	lliotti			P. tro	opicalis			Ю	Da	
	MICE	3 ₅₀ b	Cell via	bility ^c	MIC	B50	Cell vi	ability	MICI	B ₅₀	Cell via	bility
	µg/mL	%	Log ₁₀ (CFU/mL)	IC ₅₀ (µ.g/mL)	µg/mL	%	Log ₁₀ (CFU/mL)	IC ₅₀ (µg/mL)	μg/mL	%	Log ₁₀ (CFU/mL)	IC₅0 (µg/mL)
Streptococcus mutans (ATCC 25175)	100	50.78	5.95	1.95	6.25	50.90	5.94	2.53	0.23	85.33	0.20	1.37
Streptococcus mitis (ATCC 49456)	6.25	50.13	6.00	103.05	3.12	50.55	5.50	30.48	14.7	56.53	5.50	2.69
Streptococcus sanguinis (ATCC 10556)	200	53.59	4.00	6.67	*	*	*	*	1.84	76.79	7.01	2.63
Streptococcus sobrinus (ATCC 33478)	×	×	*	×	400	50.86	4.44	0.67	0.46	61.18	0.50	0.93
Streptococcus salivarius (ATCC 25975)	×	×	*	×	0.78	64.80	4.10	0.68	0.46	64.80	7.10	1.43
Lactobacillus casei (ATCC 11578)	*	*	*	*	3.12	50.72	5.50	5.45	0.92	58.37	1.19	1.06

IC₅₀ values for the microorganism count ranged from 1.95 to 103.05 µg/mL for the P. elliottii resin and from 0.68 to 30.48 µg/mL for the *P. tropicalis* resin (Table 2).

The FICI obtained for the resins combined with CHD (Sigma) revealed that the combination between the P. elliottii resin and CHD (Sigma) exerted an antagonistic effect on S. mutans and S. sanguinis and an indifferent effect on S. mitis. The combination between the P. tropicalis resin and CHD (Sigma) had an indifferent effect on the three bacteria (Table 3).

Figure 1 represents the mean of three independent cell viability experiments, and the bars indicate the standard error of the mean. Cell viability reduced significantly at P. elliottii and P. tropicalis resin concentrations above and equal to 312.5 μ g/mL (IC₅₀ 331.37 \pm 10.55 μ g/mL) and 156.3 μ g/mL (IC₅₀ 167.37 \pm 22.96), respectively, indicating that the resins at these concentrations had a cytotoxic effect on the cells. Except for P. tropicalis for E. faecalis, SI demonstrated that both resins were at least once more selective toward bacterial cells than toward normal human cells (Table 4).

DISCUSSION

Biofilms can naturally emerge in dental enamel, but poor oral hygiene can lead to biofilm accumulation, which is not compatible with an individual's health (Marsh, 1992). With this concern in mind, we decided to investigate the antibacterial activity of two resins of the genus Pinus and of an isolated compound, the diterpene DHA, against cariogenic bacteria in the sessile and in the planktonic mode.

According to Ríos and Recio (2005) and Gibbons (2008), plant extracts (as well as essential oils) and isolated compounds with demonstrated activity at concentrations below 100 and 10 µg/mL, respectively, have promising biological action. Here, the P. elliottii and P. tropicalis resins proved to be promising against most of the tested bacteria, while DHA did not yield satisfactory MIC results. In fact, the literature asserts that plant resins and extracts often exhibit more pronounced biological activities than their isolated constituents. This is usually due to synergistic, additive, or potentiating interactions between the constituents, which generally involve action on multiple targets, resulting in significant biological potential (Raskin et al., 2002; Schmidt et al., 2008).

We did not achieve any promising results against E. faecalis for any of the tested resins or DHA. This could be due to the high levels of resistance that have already been described for this microorganism. Barbosa-Ribeiro et al. (2016) reported that, because of their morphologic and genetic characteristics, E. faecalis might be resistant to intracanal procedures and even to systemic antibiotics. The E. faecalis resistance mechanisms result from physiological or structural changes in the bacterial cell, which is a survival strategy against attack by antimicrobial agents (Barbosa-Ribeiro et al., 2016). Our results corroborate with the data published by Leandro et al. (2014), who used multiresistant strains of this microorganism and obtained MIC values of 100 and 50 µg/mL against E. faecalis (NCTC 14990) and 100 and 25 µg/mL against E. faecalis (clinical isolate)

Silva et al

TABLE 3 | Fraction Inhibitory Concentration Index (FICI) of the P. elliottii (PE) or the P. tropicalis (PT) resin in combination with chlorhexidine (CHD) against cariogenic bacteria.

Bacterial strains	MIC PE	MIC CHD	FIC PE	FIC CHD	FICI	Interpretation	MIC PT	MIC CHD	FIC PT	FIC CHD	FICI	Interpretation
Streptococcus mutans (ATCC 25175)	12.5	0.46	50	0.46	5	Antagonistic	12.5	0.46	25	0.23	2.5	Indifferent
Streptococcus mitis (ATCC 49456)	25	1.84	50	3.68	4	Indifferent	25	1.84	25	1.84	2	Indifferent
Streptococcus sanguinis (ATCC 10556)	12.5	0.92	12.5	3.68	5	Antagonistic	25	0.92	25	0.92	2	Indifferent



TABLE 4 | Determination of the SI of *P. tropicalis* and *P. elliottii* resins.

Bacterial strains	Selectivity index (IC ₅₀ /MIC)						
	P. tropicalis	P. elliotii					
Streptococcus mutans	13.39	26.51					
Streptococcus mitis	6.69	13.25					
Streptococcus sanguinis	13.39	26.51					
Streptococcus sobrinus	6.69	13.25					
Streptococcus salivarius	1.67	3.31					
Lactobacillus casei	1.67	3.31					
Enterococcus faecalis	0.84	1.65					

 IC_{50} , inhibitory concentration of 50% of cell viability; MIC, minimum inhibitory concentration.

for the *P. elliottii* resin and DHA, respectively. On the other hand, in the same study, the authors found promising results against *Staphylococcus epidermidis* (ATCC 14990): MIC values were 25 μ g/mL and 6.25 μ g/mL for the *P. elliottii* resin and DHA, respectively.

Caetano da Silva et al. (2014) evaluated the antibacterial potential of the *P. elliottii* resin and DHA against endodontic anaerobic bacteria. As in the case of our study, the resin afforded

promising MIC values, which ranged from 0.4 to 50 μ g/mL. In contrast, DHA only showed promising antibacterial activity against *P. gingivalis* (ATCC 33277), with MIC of 6.2 μ g/mL. Together with our results, the results of Caetano da Silva et al. (2014) revealed that the *P. elliottii* resin is promising against different microorganisms, whereas DHA, despite its already demonstrated antimicrobial potential, seems to rely on a synergistic action among the resin constituents to act against cariogenic bacteria.

The antibacterial activity of the genus *Pinus* has been explored over the years (Kim and Shin, 2005; Feng et al., 2010; Merah et al., 2018). However, the antibacterial potential of *P. tropicalis* has not been assessed yet, so we had difficulty comparing our data with literature results. Nevertheless, the present study will aid later works that address this issue and is the first study that has verified that the *P. tropicalis* resins exhibit significant antibacterial activity against cariogenic bacteria.

Stoddart (2011) reported that it is essential to conduct other assays when we evaluate the efficacy of a possible antimicrobial agent. For this reason, we also assessed the antibacterial potential of the *Pinus* resins by other techniques. We determined MICB₅₀ to examine whether the *P. elliotti* and *P. tropicalis* resins can prevent the target bacteria from forming biofilm. We performed

this assay, as well as FICI experiments with the bacteria for which the resins gave the best MIC results.

The resins tested herein provided promising MICB₅₀ values against the studied bacteria as demonstrated by both OD reading and IC₅₀ given by microorganism counts. Our results were even better than the results obtained by MIC. For some bacteria (S. mitis for both resins and S. salivarius for the P. tropicalis resin), the results were even better than the results achieved with the positive control, CHD (Sigma). Biofilms respond differently from planktonic cells depending on the growth phase, period of exposure to the antibacterial agent, and antimicrobial concentration (Gursoy et al., 2009). In other words, the same bacterium displays distinct behavior when it is in the biofilm mode and in the planktonic state, a fact that must be considered during all laboratory tests (Signoretto et al., 2012). Wei et al. (2006) highlighted that preventing biofilm formation is extremely relevant because inhibiting biofilm growth also avoids its maturing, which underscores the importance of the results obtained in our study.

This is the first work that has evaluated the MICB₅₀ of species belonging to the genus *Pinus*. However, assessment of the antibiofilm activity of DHA (extracted from the *P. elliottii* resin) against endodontic bacteria revealed significant results, and MICB₅₀ lay between 7.8 and 62.5 μ g/mL and prevented all the bacteria from forming a biofilm. For some bacteria, the results were lower as compared to the values determined for the reference drug, CHD (Sigma).

It is unusual for bacterial inhibition values of bacteria in the sessile mode to be lower than or equal to bacterial inhibition values of bacteria in the planktonic mode because biofilms are considered to be more resistant to antimicrobial agents as compared to planktonic cells (Stewart and Costerton, 2001). However, this is not unprecedented in the literature (Al-Shuneigat et al., 2005; Karpanen et al., 2008; Fernández et al., 2018). According to Karpanen et al. (2008), unexpected results such as this suggest that the extracellular matrix of the bacterial biofilm may alter the activity of the tested substances, or that substances consisting of several heterogeneous, hydrophilic, and hydrophobic properties may alter ionic interactions in the biofilm extracellular matrix and act on the same target on the bacterial cell. Therefore, further studies are necessary to establish the modes of action of the Pinus resins investigated herein. We emphasize that spectrophotometric readings (OD) and microorganism count (log10 CFU/mL) are recommended to show the ability of antimicrobial agents to inhibit biofilm formation (antibiofilm activity). Together, the techniques applied here can provide reliable results concerning biofilm activity (Kharazmi et al., 1999; Pettit et al., 2005; Caetano da Silva et al., 2014).

The literature has recorded the increased resistance of microorganisms to antiseptics, such as CHD, in addition to their potential cytotoxicity to normal cells (Faria et al., 2007; Lessa et al., 2010; Cieplik et al., 2018). Therefore, the MICB₅₀ values found here are interesting, demonstrating that these *Pinus* resins have potential action against biofilms formed by microorganisms that participate in the formation of dental caries. In other words, these resins deserve to be explored since they may represent an

alternative to the antibacterial chemical agents that have been traditionally employed.

Regarding cytotoxicity, all the promising concentrations of the resins were below the cytotoxic concentrations. The SI demonstrated that both resins are more selective toward bacterial cells than toward normal human cells for most of the tested bacteria. This selectivity is even more evident if we consider the activity of the *P. elliottii* resin against *S. mutans* and *S. sanguinis* and the action of *P. tropicalis* against *S. mutans*, *S. mitis*, *S. sobrinus*, and *S. sanguinis* – in these cases, selectivity toward bacterial cells is at least ten times higher than selectivity toward normal human cells. This is important because it indicates that the resins can be used at concentrations up to 10-fold or higher than their MIC without being cytotoxic to normal cells.

Combinations of one of the resins with CHD (Sigma) do not exhibit any additive effects. A search of the scientific literature did not retrieve any articles on the synergism of P. elliottii, P. tropicalis, or other Pinus species, which made comparison of our results with data by other authors impossible. Despite the absence of synergistic effects when the resins are combined with CHD (Sigma), the P. elliottii and P. tropicalis resins showed promising results during the other antimicrobial tests performed herein. In this sense, this is the first study that has revealed that an association of the resins tested here with CHD (Sigma) is not recommended. On the other hand, the use of natural products may be an economical approach to prevent and to treat dental caries (Wassel and Khattab, 2017). Considering that phytotherapy constitutes a form of medicinal therapy that has notably grown in recent years (Silva et al., 2015), additional research involving the use of P. elliottii and P. tropicalis as possible phytotherapics against cariogenic bacteria is encouraged.

CONCLUSION

The results of the present study do not demonstrate any activity of DHA against the tested bacteria. On the other hand, our data reveal the in vitro antibacterial activity of the P. elliottii and P. tropicalis resins against cariogenic bacteria in the planktonic and in the sessile modes. The promising MIC/MBC values are lower than the resin concentrations that provide 50% viability for human gingival fibroblast cells. Additionally, on the basis of the SI, the resins are more selective toward the bacterial cells than toward the normal cells. As for the evaluation of the combinations of one of the resins with CHD, results point to antagonism or indifference. Judging from the values of MIC of biofilm obtained herein, the resins can inhibit all the assayed bacteria by at least 50%. These results encourage further studies to unveil the action mechanisms of these resins and contribute to the quest for new effective and less toxic alternatives that can inhibit bacterial growth and reduce the incidence of dental caries.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

CM, DT, RV, and SA conceived the idea for this study. KS, JD, FA, and CM participated in the study design, organized the data, and evaluated their quality. MOI and KS conducted

the antibacterial assays. NF conducted the cytotoxicity assay. CM, RV, SA, and DT critically reviewed the manuscript. All authors have read and approved the final manuscript.

FUNDING

The authors thank National Council for Scientific and Technological Development (CNPq) and Coordination for the

REFERENCES

- Al-Shuneigat, J., Cox, S. D., and Markham, J. L. (2005). Effects of a topical essential oil-containing formulation on biofilm-forming coagulase-negative staphylococci. *Lett. Appl. Microbiol.* 41, 52–55. doi: 10.1111/j.1472-765X.2005. 01699.x
- Andrade, G., Abrão, F., Silva, P., Ambrósio, S. R., Veneziani, R. C. S., Cunha, W. R., et al. (2014). Antifungal activity of oleoresin and fractions of *Pinus elliottii* Engelm and *Pinus tropicalis* against phytopathogens. *Am. J. Plant Sci.* 5, 3899–3903. doi: 10.4236/ajps.2014.526408
- Arruda, C., Aldana Mejía, J. A., Ribeiro, V. P., Gambeta Borges, C. H., Martins, C. H. G., Sola Veneziani, R. C., et al. (2019). Occurrence, chemical composition, biological activities and analytical methods on *Copaifera* genus-A review. *Biomed. Pharmacother.* 109, 1–20. doi: 10.1016/j.biopha.2018.10.030
- BaniHani, A., Deery, C., Toumba, J., Munyombwe, T., and Duggal, M. (2018). The impact of dental caries and its treatment by conventional or biological approaches on the oral health-related quality of life of children and carers. *Int. J. Paediatr. Dent.* 28, 266–276. doi: 10.1111/ipd.12350
- Barbosa-Ribeiro, M., De-Jesus-Soares, A., Zaia, A. A., Ferraz, C. C., Almeida, J. F., and Gomes, B. P. (2016). Antimicrobial susceptibility and characterization of virulence genes of *Enterococcus faecalis* isolates from teeth with failure of the endodontic treatment. *J. Endod.* 42, 1022–1028. doi: 10.1016/j.joen.2016.03.015
- Barenghi, L., Barenghi, A., and Di Blasio, A. (2018). Infection Control in Dentistry and Drug-Resistant Infectious Agents: A Burning Issue. Part 1. London: IntechOpen.
- Beltran, V., Salvadó, N., Butí, S., and Pradell, T. (2016). Ageing of resin from *Pinus* species assessed by infrared spectroscopy. *Anal. Bioanal. Chem.* 408, 4073–4082. doi: 10.1007/s00216-016-9496-x
- Bernas, T., and Dobrucki, J. (1999). Reduction of a tetrazolium salt, CTC, by intact HepG2 human hepatoma cells: subcellular localization of reducing systems. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta* 1451, 73–81. doi: 10.1016/S0167-4889(99)00140-8
- Caetano da Silva, S. D., Mendes de Souza, M. G., Oliveira Cardoso, M. J., da Silva Moraes, T., Ambrósio, S. R., Sola Veneziani, R. C., et al. (2014). Antibacterial activity of *Pinus elliottii* against anaerobic bacteria present in primary endodontic infections. *Anaerobe* 30, 146–152. doi: 10.1016/j.anaerobe. 2014.09.013
- Chinsembu, K. C. (2016). Plants and other natural products used in the management of oral infections and improvement of oral health. *Acta Trop.* 154, 6–18. doi: 10.1016/j.actatropica.2015.10.019
- Cieplik, F., Kara, E., Muehler, D., Enax, J., Hiller, K. A., Maisch, T., et al. (2018). Antimicrobial efficacy of alternative compounds for use in oral care toward biofilms from caries-associated bacteria in vitro. *Microbiologyopen* 26:e00695. doi: 10.1002/mbo3.695
- CLSI (2012). Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute. Methods for Dilution Antimicrobial Susceptibility Tests for Bacteria That Grow Aerobically. Approved standard M7-A9. Wayne, PA: CLSI.
- Cragg, G. M., Grothaus, P. G., and Newman, D. J. (2014). New horizons for old drugs and drug leads. J. Nat. Prod. 77, 703–723. doi: 10.1021/np5000796
- Elamin, A., Garemo, M., and Gardner, A. (2018). Dental caries and their association with socioeconomic characteristics, oral hygiene practices and eating habits among preschool children in Abu Dhabi, United Arab Emirates - the NOPLAS project. *BMC Oral Health* 18:104. doi: 10.1186/s12903-018-0557-8
- Elisha, I. L., Jambalang, A. R., Botha, F. S., Buys, E. M., McGaw, L. J., and Eloff, J. N. (2017). Potency and selectivity indices of acetone leaf extracts of nine selected South African trees against six opportunistic *Enterobacteriaceae*

Improvement of Higher Education Personnel (CAPES) for financial support.

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fmicb. 2019.00987/full#supplementary-material

isolates from commercial chicken eggs. *BMC Compl. Altern. Med.* 17:90. doi: 10.1186/s12906-017-1597-3

- Faria, G., Celes, M. R., De Rossi, A., Silva, L. A., Silva, J. S., and Rossi, M. A. (2007). Evaluation of chlorhexidine toxicity injected in the paw of mice and added to cultured l929 fibroblasts. *J. Endod.* 33, 715–722. doi: 10.1016/j.joen.2006.12.023
- Feng, S., Zeng, W., Fuo, F., Zhao, L., Yang, Z., and Sun, Q. (2010). Antibacterial activity of organic acids in aqueous extracts from pine needles (*Pinus massoniana* Lamb.). *Food Sci. Biotechnol.* 19, 35–41. doi: 10.1007/s10068-010-0005-2
- Fernández, Y. A., Damasceno, J. L., Abrão, F., Silva, T. S., Cândido, A. L. P., Fregonezi, N. F., et al. (2018). Antibacterial, preservative, and mutagenic potential of *Copaifera* spp. oleoresins against causative agents of foodborne diseases. *Foodborne Pathog. Dis.* 15, 790–797. doi: 10.1089/fpd.2018.2478
- Ferreira, N. H., de Andrade, K. J., Leandro, L. F., Acésio, N. O., Mendes, S. A., Cintra, L. S., et al. (2016). Testing of *Schefflera vinosa* extract in mammalian cells in vitro and in vivo for potential toxicity, genetic damage, and role of oxidation. *J. Toxicol. Environ. Health. Part A* 79, 1201–1210. doi: 10.1080/15287394.2016. 1229238
- Fonseca, T., Gigante, B., Marques, M. M., Gilchrist, T. L., and De Clercq, E. (2004). Synthesis and antiviral evaluation of benzimidazoles, quinoxalines and indoles from dehydroabietic acid. *Bioorg. Med. Chem.* 12, 103–112. doi: 10.1016/j.bmc. 2003.10.013
- Gibbons, S. (2008). Phytochemicals for bacterial resistance–strengths, weaknesses and opportunities. *Planta Med.* 74, 594–602. doi: 10.1055/s-2008-1074518
- Gursoy, U. K., Gursoy, M., Gursoy, O. V., Cakmakci, L., Könönen, E., and Uitto, V. J. (2009). Antibiofilm properties of *Satureja hortensis* L. essential oil against periodontal pathogens. *Anaerobe* 15, 164–167. doi: 10.1016/j.anaerobe.2009. 02.004
- Karpanen, T. J., Worthington, T., Hendry, E. R., Conway, B. R., and Lambert, P. A. (2008). Antimicrobial efficacy of chlorhexidine digluconate alone and in combination with eucalyptus oil, tea tree oil and thymol against planktonic and biofilm cultures of *Staphylococcus epidermidis*. J. Antimicrob. Chemother. 62:1031e6. doi: 10.1093/jac/dkn325
- Kharazmi, A., Giwercman, B., and Holby, N. (1999). Robbins device in biofilm research. Methods Enzymol. 310:207e15. doi: 10.1016/S0076-6879(99)10018-1
- Kim, Y. S., and Shin, D. H. (2005). Volatile components and antibacterial effects of pine needle (*Pinus densiflora* S. and Z.) extracts. *Food Microbiol.* 22, 37–45. doi: 10.1016/j.fm.2004.05.002
- Leandro, L. F., Cardoso, M. J., Silva, S. D., Souza, M. G., Veneziani, R. C., Ambrosio, S. R., et al. (2014). Antibacterial activity of *Pinus elliottii* and its major compound, dehydroabietic acid, against multidrug-resistant strains. *J. Med. Microbiol.* 63, 1649–1653. doi: 10.1099/jmm.0.081711-0
- Lessa, F. C. R., Aranha, A. M. F., Nogueira, I., Giro, E. M. A., Hebling, J., and De Souza Costa, C. A. (2010). Toxicity of chlorhexidine on odontoblast-like cells. *J. Appl. Oral Sci.* 18, 50–58. doi: 10.1590/S1678-77572010000100010
- Lewis, R. E., Diekema, D. J., Messer, S. A., Pfaller, M. A., and Klepser, M. E. (2002). Comparison of Etest, chequerboard dilution and time-kill studies for the detection of synergy or antagonism between antifungal agents tested against *Candida* species. J. Antimicrob. Chemother. 49, 345–351. doi: 10.1093/jac/49. 2.345
- Leyva, M., Acoronte, J. E., Marquetti, M. D. C., Scull, R., Tiomno, O., Mesa, A., et al. (2009). Utilización de aceites esenciales de pinaceas endémicas como una alternativa en el control del *Aedes aegypti. Rev. Cuba Med. Trop.* 61, 239–243.
- Marsh, P. D. (1992). Microbiological aspects of the chemical control of plaque and gingivitis. J. Dent. Res. 71, 1431–1438. doi: 10.1177/00220345920710071501

- Merah, S., Dahmane, D., Krimat, S., Metidji, H., Nouasri, A., Lamari, L., et al. (2018). Chemical analysis of phenolic compounds and determination of antioxidant, antimicrobial and cytotoxic activities of organic extracts of *Pinus coulteri. Bangladesh J. Pharmacol.* 13, 120–129. doi: 10.3329/bjp.v13i2.35479
- Moreti, D. L. C., Leandro, L. F., da Silva Moraes, T., Moreira, M. R., Sola Veneziani, R. C., Ambrosio, S. R., et al. (2017). *Mikania glomerata* sprengel extract and its major compound ent-kaurenoic acid display activity against bacteria present in endodontic infections. *Anaerobe* 47, 201–208. doi: 10.1016/j.anaerobe.2017. 06.008
- Newman, D. J., and Cragg, G. M. (2016). Natural products as sources of new drugs from 1981 to 2014. *J. Nat. Prod.* 79, 629–661. doi: 10.1021/acs.jnatprod.5b01055
- Pettit, R. K., Weber, C. A., Kean, M. J., Hoffmann, H., Pettit, G. R., Tan, R., et al. (2005). Microplate alamar blue assay for *Staphylococcus epidermidis* biofilm susceptibility testing. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother*. 49:2612e7. doi: 10.1128/ AAC.49.7.2612-2617.2005
- Raskin, I., Ribnicky, D. M., Komarnytsky, S., Ilic, N., Poulev, A., Borisjuk, N., et al. (2002). Plants and human health in the twenty-first century. *Trends Biotechnol.* 20, 522–531. doi: 10.1016/S0167-7799(02)02080-2
- Ríos, J. L., and Recio, M. C. (2005). Medicinal plants and antimicrobial activity. J. Ethnopharmacol. 100, 80–84. doi: 10.1016/j.jep.2005.04.025
- Sarker, S. D., Nahar, L., and Kumarasamy, Y. (2007). Microtitre platebased antibacterial assay incorporating resazurin as an indicator of cell growth, and its application in the in vitro antibacterial screening of phytochemicals. *Methods* 42, 321–324. doi: 10.1016/j.ymeth.2007.01.006
- Schmidt, B., Ribnicky, D. M., Poulev, A., Logendra, S., Cefalu, W. T., and Raskin, I. (2008). A natural history of botanical therapeutics. *Metabolism* 57, S3–S9. doi: 10.1016/j.metabol.2008.03.001
- Sepúlveda, B., Astudillo, L., Rodríguez, J. A., Yáñez, T., Theoduloz, C., and Schmeda-Hirschmann, G. (2005). Gastroprotective and cytotoxic effect of dehydroabietic acid derivatives. *Pharmacol. Res.* 52, 429–437. doi: 10.1016/j. phrs.2005.06.004
- Signoretto, C., Canepari, P., Stauder, M., Vezzulli, L., and Pruzzo, C. (2012). Functional foods and strategies contrasting bacterial adhesion. *Curr. Opin. Biotechnol.* 23, 160–167. doi: 10.1016/j.copbio.2011.08.006
- Silva, N. B., Valença, A. M. G., Costa, A. C., Castro, R. D., and Cavalcanti, A. L. (2015). Atividade antiaderente de produtos fitoterápicos sobre *Streptococcus mutans. Rev. Bras. Ciên. Saúde* 19, 49–54. doi: 10.4034/RBCS.2015.19.01.08
- Stepanović, S., Vuković, D., Hola, V., Di Bonaventura, G., Djukić, S., Cirković, I., et al. (2007). Quantification of biofilm in microtiter plates: overview of testing conditions and practical recommendations for assessment of biofilm production by *Staphylococci. APMIS* 115, 891–899. doi: 10.1111/j.1600-0463. 2007.apm_630.x

- Stewart, O. S., and Costerton, J. W. (2001). Antibiotic resistance of bacteria in biofilms. *Lancet* 11:559e62. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(01)05321-1
- Stoddart, M. J. (2011). Cell viability assays: introduction. Methods Mol. Biol. 740:741. doi: 10.1007/978-1-61779-108-6_1
- Sunitha, J., Krishna, S., Ananthalakshmi, R., Jeeva, J. S., Girija, A. S., and Jeddy, N. (2017). Antimicrobial effect of leaves of *Phyllanthus niruri* and *Solanum nigrum* on caries causing bacteria: an in vitro study. *J. Clin. Diagn. Res.* 11, KC01–KC04. doi: 10.7860/JCDR/2017/23602
- Tóro, R. M., Gessner, A. A., Furtado, N. A., Ceccarelli, P. S., de Albuquerque, S., and Bastos, J. K. (2003). Activity of the *Pinus elliottii* resin compounds against *Lernaea cyprinacea* in vitro. *Vet. Parasitol.* 118, 143–149. doi: 10.1016/j.vetpar. 2003.08.008
- Wada, H., Kodato, S., Kawamori, M., Morikawa, T., Nakai, H., Takeda, M., et al. (1985). Antiulcer activity of dehydroabietic acid derivatives. *Chem. Pharm. Bull.* 33, 1472–1487. doi: 10.1248/cpb.33.1472
- Wassel, M. O., and Khattab, M. A. (2017). Antibacterial activity against Streptococcus mutans and inhibition of bacterial induced enamel demineralization of propolis, miswak, and chitosan nanoparticles based dental varnishes. J. Adv. Res. 8, 387–392. doi: 10.1016/j.jare.2017. 05.006
- Wei, G. X., Campagna, A. N., and Bobek, L. A. (2006). Effect of MUC7 peptides on the growth of bacteria and on *Streptococcus mutans* biofilm. *J. Antimicrob. Chemother*. 57, 1100–1109. doi: 10.1093/jac/dkl120
- White, R. L., Burgess, D. S., Manduru, M., and Bosso, J. A. (1996). Comparison of three different in vitro methods of detecting synergy: time-kill, checkerboard, and E test. Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 40, 1914–1918. doi: 10.1128/AAC. 40.8.1914
- Xie, Q., Liu, Z., and Li, Z. (2015). Chemical composition and antioxidant activity of essential oil of six pinus taxa native to China. *Molecules* 20, 9380–9392. doi: 10.3390/molecules20059380

Conflict of Interest Statement: The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Copyright © 2019 Silva, Damasceno, Inácio, Abrão, Ferreira, Tavares, Ambrosio, Veneziani and Martins. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.