# Phytoextraction potential of wetland plants for Copper in Water Bodies

• Zhiwen Luo • Chongqing University, China Sichuan University of Sciences & Engineering, China

> • Xingzhong Yuan\* • Chongqing University, China \*Corresponding author

• Xiangying Chen • Xiaoxia Cui • Sichuan University of Sciences & Engineering, China

## Abstract

Luo, Z., Yuan, X, Chen, X., & Cui, X. (March-April, 2017). Phytoextraction potential of wetland plants for Copper in Water Bodies. *Water Technology and Sciences* (in Spanish), 8(2), 43-50.

Copper is the most common heavy metal contaminant in the environment. Wetland construction engineering and technology have been used to control water pollution due to their low cost and efficiency and the hydrophytes have been the most important constituents of wetland construction. In this experiment, during April of 2014, Cu<sup>2+</sup> accumulation content in different parts of Acorus calamus and Phragmites australis were investigated based on hydroponic experiments of different Cu2+ concentration solutions. Cu2+ concentrations in the water body were 0, 10, 25, 60, 100, 200 and 500 mg/l, respectively. The results showed that there were significant Cu<sup>2+</sup> concentration differences between the above- and below-ground parts of Acorus calamus and Phragmites australis. Cu2+ content in the above- and belowground parts of wetland plants increased with hydroponic solution Cu2+ concentrations, resulting in a significantly positive correlation between Cu2+ content and concentrations of hydroponic solutions. There was a significant difference in Cu<sup>2+</sup> content in the wetland plants under all hydroponic solution Cu2+ concentrations. Acorus calamus exhibited the greatest Cu2+ accumulation in above- and below-ground parts. Acorus calamus and Phragmites australis can be selected for application on the phytoremediation of water polluted by heavy metals due to their excellent Cu2+ accumulation ability.

**Keywords**: *Acorus calamus, Phragmites australis,* Cu<sup>2+</sup>, accumulation.

### Resumen

Luo, Z., Yuan, X, Chen, X., & Cui, X. (marzo-abril, 2017). Potencial de fitoextracción de plantas de humedales para el cobre en cuerpos de agua. Tecnología y Ciencias del Agua, 8(2), 43-50.

El cobre es el metal pesado contaminante más común en el medio ambiente. La ingeniería y tecnología de construcción de humedales se han utilizado para el control de la contaminación del agua debido a su economía y eficiencia, y las hidrófitas han sido los componentes más importantes de la construcción de humedales. En este estudio, llevado a cabo en abril de 2014, se investigó la acumulación de Cu2+ en diferentes partes de Acorus calamus y Phragmites australis mediante métodos hidropónicos de soluciones con diferentes concentraciones de Cu<sup>2+</sup>. Las concentraciones de Cu<sup>2+</sup> en el cuerpo de agua fueron de 0, 10, 25, 60, 100, 200 y 500 mg/l. Los resultados mostraron que existen diferencias significativas en la concentración de Cu<sup>2+</sup> entre las partes de Acorus calamus y Phragmites australis que están por encima y las que están por debajo del suelo de. El contenido de Cu2+ en las partes de arriba y debajo del suelo de plantas de humedal aumentó con solución hidropónica de Cu2+, dando por resultado una correlación significativamente positiva entre el contenido de Cu<sup>2+</sup> y las concentraciones de soluciones hidropónicas. Hubo una diferencia significativa en el contenido de Cu<sup>2+</sup> en las plantas de humedal con todas las concentraciones de Cu<sup>2+</sup> en solución hidropónica. Acorus calamus exhibió la mayor acumulación de Cu2+ en las partes por encima y por debajo del suelo. Acorus calamus y Phragmites australis se pueden seleccionar para su aplicación en fitoremediación de aguas contaminadas por metales pesados, debido a su excelente capacidad de acumulación de cobre.

**Palabras clave**: Acorus calamus, Phragmites australis, Cu<sup>2+</sup>, acumulación.

Received: 16/02/2016

Approved: 22/09/2016

# Introduction

Heavy metals are harmful to aquatic ecosystems, and remain in the environment for a long time (Ameh & Akpah, 2011; Bissenbaev, Ishchenko, Taipakova, & Saparbaev, 2011; Tanhan, Kruatrachue, Pokethitiyook, & Chaiyarat, 2007). Copper is a toxic heavy metal pollutant that quickly accumulates in animals and humans through the food chain, which seriously affects the metabolism of the human body. The human body releases Cu<sup>2+</sup> very slowly, causing damage to bodily organs that are irreversible. Therefore, Cu<sup>2+</sup> pollution treatment has become an urgent subject. Traditional methods of treatment to heavy metal pollution in soil mainly use the mixing of soil, leaching method, chemical modifiers, and so on. Not only are these physical and chemical methods expensive, they cannot be applied into small areas and cause second pollution. Such methods cannot fundamentally solve the Cu2+ pollution in soil. (He, Huang-Xiao, & Chen, 2011; Visioli & Marmiroli, 2013; Mirza, Hossain, & Masayuki, 2010). In recent years, people have found the bioconcentration ability of some plants to heavy metals. It is a high efficiency, environmental protection and cost control measures to use such plants as phytoremediation of heavy metal pollution. This treatment measure has very broad application prospects (Engelen, Sharpe-Pedler, & Moorhead, 2007; Chaumont et al., 2012; Rajkumar, Sandhya, Prasad, & Freitas, 2012; Zhou et al., 2007).

The calamus (*Acorus calamus L.*) and reed (*Phragmites australis*) are common across China's natural wetlands. The high Cu<sup>2+</sup> absorption features of these two plants species provide scientific basis for phytoremediation of heavy metal pollution in water bodies.

## Materials and methods

*Acorus calamus* and *Phragmites australis* were collected from the Fuxi River basin of Sichuan Province, China.

In April of 2014, the experiment was carried out with hydroponic cultivation in a ventilated

plastic shed. Foam boards were placed on the clean plastic barrels with three holes to serve as a plant carrier. Three plants of similar height were selected from each species and transplanted into the foam carriers, and the root of the plants were immersed in the nutrient solution with the modified Hoagland formula: 945 mg/l Ca(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>·4H<sub>2</sub>O, 506 mg/l KNO<sub>3</sub>, 80 mg/l NH<sub>4</sub>NO<sub>3</sub>, 136 mg/l KH<sub>2</sub>PO<sub>4</sub>, 493 mg/l MgSO<sub>4</sub>, 2.5 ml Fe-EDTA, 5 ml trace element solution (0.83 mg/l KI, 6.2 mg/l H<sub>3</sub>BO<sub>4</sub>, 22.3 mg/l MnSO<sub>4</sub>, 8.6 mg/l ZnSO<sub>4</sub>, 0.25 mg/l Na<sub>2</sub>MoO<sub>4</sub>, 0.025 mg/l Ca(NO<sub>3</sub>), pH = 6.0.

After two weeks of continued growth of the plant's, the Cu(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub> was added to the aqueous solution according to the concentration gradient: 7 concentration process of 0, 10, 25, 60, 100, 200 and 500mg/l. Each of concentration process was repeated six times.

The well-growing plants were collected after three weeks and divided into above- and belowground parts. They were washed with distilled water and deionized water twice, treated with deactivation enzymes at 105°C, and dried to a constant weight for eight hours at 70°C. After drying, weighing, grinding and digestion, the plant samples were determined Cu<sup>2+</sup> concentration by atomic absorption spectrophotometer.

Copper retention rate was calculated by formula (1) (Xia & Shu, 2001).

 $Cu^{2+}$  retention rate(%) = (C1-C2)/C1 × 100 (1)

- C1: Cu<sup>2+</sup> content in underground part of plants, mg/kg.
- C2: Cu<sup>2+</sup> content in aboveground part of plants, mg/kg.

The experimental data was analyzed by variance analysis (ANOVA), LSD test, and correlation analysis between Cu<sup>2+</sup> concentration of solution and enrichment concentration of plants by Origin 9.0 software.

## **Results and discussion**

The Two-way ANOVA analysis of Cu<sup>2+</sup> contents of the two emergent plants is as follows: The

plant species and the  $Cu^{2+}$  concen tration process significantly affected the accumulation of copper in plants. The analysis results were showed in Table 1.

Calamus and reeds showed very significant differences at seven concentration processes for the Cu<sup>2+</sup> accumulation capacity in different emergent plants. The Cu<sup>2+</sup> accumulation content in different plant species (calamus and reeds) has significantly affected (P < 0.01). In addition, the interaction of different Cu<sup>2+</sup> concentrations and plant species also significantly affects Cu<sup>2+</sup> enrichment capacity of wetland plants (P < 0.01).

Table 2 shows Cu<sup>2+</sup> accumulation in *Acorus calamus* and *Phragmites australis*.

Figure 1a and 1b indicated that the  $Cu^{2+}$  accumulation contents in calamus and reed showed significant differences. In general,  $Cu^{2+}$  accumulation amounts in calamus were significantly more than in the reed. The calamus has a stronger ability to absorb and transport  $Cu^{2+}$  than reed does.

The experiment results showed that the  $Cu^{2+}$  accumulation contents of calamus were significantly more than that of reed in both the above- and below-ground parts. The  $Cu^{2+}$  enrichment amounts in the underground parts of the two plant species were not significantly different at low  $Cu^{2+}$  concentrations (10 mg/l and 25 mg/l). Yet, the  $Cu^{2+}$  enrichment amounts in calamus were significantly more than that

#### Table 1. The ANOVA analysis of Cu2+ accumulation

	F	Р
Concentration process	33.13**	<0.001
Plant species	5.99*	0.0028
Interaction	5.60*	0.0038

\* Notes: \*\* indicates a significant difference at p = 0.001; \*indicates a significant difference at p = 0.01

Table 2. Cu<sup>2+</sup> accumulation in Acorus calamus and *Phragmites australis*.

Plant part	Cu <sup>2+</sup> concentration (mg/l)	Acorus calamus (mg/ kg)	Phragmites australis (mg/kg)
	0	7.4ª	122.79 <sup>b</sup>
Aboveground	10	115.09ª	159.92 <sup>b</sup>
	25	681.23 ª	143.61 <sup>b</sup>
	60	899.17ª	223.69 <sup>b</sup>
	100	4 107.53 ª	198.39ь
	200	6 208.5 ª	264.75 <sup>b</sup>
	500	4 206.9 ª	349.73 <sup>b</sup>
	0	37.85 ª	113.17 <sup>ь</sup>
	10	282.73 ª	236.54 <sup>b</sup>
	25	955.3 ª	768.41 <sup>b</sup>
Underground	60	2 980.97 ª	373.75 <sup>ь</sup>
	100	8 107.53 ª	429.22 <sup>b</sup>
	200	4 271.03 ª	379.52 <sup>b</sup>
	500	2 198.27 ª	448.83 <sup>b</sup>

\* Notes: Values with different letters in the same element of the same species and same column indicate a significant difference at p = 0.05 according to the LSD test.



Figure 1a. The Cu<sup>2+</sup> accumulation mean content in *calamus*.



Figure 1b. The Cu<sup>2+</sup> accumulation mean content in reeds.

in the reed at higher  $Cu^{2+}$  concentrations (60 mg/l, 100 mg/l, 200 mg/l and 500 mg/l). The aboveground parts of plants were similar to belowground parts

Overall, in lower concentrations (10 and 25 mg/l),  $Cu^{2+}$  accumulation quantity in Acorus calamus and Phragmites australis were similar

at the 0.05 level. Cu<sup>2+</sup> accumulation quantity in the above- and below-ground reed parts were significantly different at higher Cu2+ concentrations, but the same parts of the calamus were not significantly different. The two plant species showed different accumulation abilities at different Cu<sup>2+</sup> concentrations; calamus accumulated more Cu<sup>2+</sup> than the reeds did, as shown in Figure 1a and 1b.

The accumulation amount of  $Cu^{2+}$  in calamus and reed increased with the increase of pollutant concentration. At medium and low concentrations, the contents in the underground part of calamus were more than that in the aboveground part, and the average retention rate was more than 50%. However, it was the opposite at high concentrations (200 and 500 mg/l); the content in the underground parts was lower. The calamus has a strong ability to enrich and migrate  $Cu^{2+}$ . The content of underground part of reed was higher than that of aboveground parts at six concentration processes, indicating that the root system of reed had a strong retention effect on  $Cu^{2+}$ , and the average retention rate was more than 40% (Table 3). There was no significant difference in mean retention rate between the two species.

The results of the correlation analysis of  $Cu^{2+}$  contents in the aboveground parts of calamus and hydroponic solution  $Cu^{2+}$  concentration showed that a maximum  $Cu^{2+}$  content was achieved when the concentration was 200mg/l. The variation curve of  $Cu^{2+}$  content in the underground and aboveground parts were similar. The optimum enrichment concentration for copper was 100mg/l (Figure 2).

Table 3. Cu <sup>2+</sup> retention	η rate of	calamus a	nd reed.
-------------------------------------	-----------	-----------	----------

Cu <sup>2+</sup> concentration(mg/l)	Acorus calamus (%)	Phragmites australis (%)
0	80.45	-8.50
10	59.29	32.39
25	28.69	81.31
60	69.84	40.15
100	49.34	53.78
200	-45.36	30.24
500	-91.37	22.08



Figure 2. The correlation between Cu<sup>2+</sup> accumulation content in *Acorus calamus* and Cu<sup>2+</sup> concentration.

 $Cu^{2+}$  accumulation contents in plants reaches a maximum with the increase of  $Cu^{2+}$  concentration in hydroponic solution.  $Cu^{2+}$  accumulation contents in plants decreased if the concentration exceeded the optimum enrichment concentration, indicating that the toxicity of heavy metals to plants has exceeded its tolerance, inhibiting plant growth and halting the accumulation of  $Cu^{2+}$ .

The Cu<sup>2+</sup> enrichment amount in the aboveground of reed increased with the increase of Cu<sup>2+</sup> concentration in the solution through the correlation analysis curve (Figure 3). Cu<sup>2+</sup> accumulation content showed slight fluctuations at low concentration processes (10, 25, 60 and 100 mg/l), but  $Cu^{2+}$  accumulation significantly increase as the hydroponic solution of Cu2+ concentration increased. Therefore, Phragmites australis showed good Cu2+ tolerance. Cu2+ content in the underground parts of reed gently increased as hydroponic solution Cu<sup>2+</sup> concentration increased, showing a positive correlation relationship. The enrichment amount of Cu<sup>2+</sup> in the underground part was significantly more than that in the aboveground part. As can be seen from Figure 3, Cu<sup>2+</sup> accumulation contents

in the underground portion of reed fluctuated under low  $Cu^{2+}$  concentrations. As  $Cu^{2+}$  content increased,  $Cu^{2+}$  content stabilized and  $Cu^{2+}$  content reached its maximum in reeds.

The absorption of copper by calamus was higher than that of reed at seven concentration processes, but reed tolerance to high Cu<sup>2+</sup> concentration was higher than calamus. Therefore, calamus is suitable for the ecological restoration of plants for medium and low concentration of heavy metal polluted water bodies.

According to the results of correlation analysis between  $Cu^{2+}$  content in plants and  $Cu^{2+}$ concentration in the hydroponic solution, the  $Cu^{2+}$  accumulation content(y) in aboveground and underground parts of *Acorus calamus* and *Phragmites australis* increased as  $Cu^{2+}$  concentration (x) increased; there was a significant positive correlation (Table 4). This indicated that the concentration of heavy metals in the growing medium plays an important role that impacts plant absorption and heavy metal accumulation.

Cu<sup>2+</sup> primarily accumulates in plant roots (Stolts & Gregor, 2002). This is because Cu<sup>2+</sup> in roots is the primarily forms from precipitation. Additionally, there is ionic and complex states





Luo et al., Phytoextraction potential of wetland plants for Copper in Water Bodies

Plant	Plant part	Correlation Function	$R^2$
Acorus calamus	Aboveground	$4.4082 \times 10^{-16} x^{22.1631x^{-0.18477}}$	0.97386
	Underground	$2.7194 \times 10^{-16} x^{25.4253x^{-0.20935}}$	0.93498
Phragmites australis	Aboveground	$125.1777 + 7.04417x^{0.55753}$	0.85224
	Underground	$463.1011 - 370.54424x^{0.88195}$	0.45869

Table 4. Correlation of Cu<sup>2+</sup> accumulation content in copper-contaminated water to wetland plants.

of Cu in the plant saps. It is difficult to transport Cu<sup>2+</sup> in plant roots due to retention, passivation, or precipitation. Cu<sup>2+</sup> accumulates in the root surface mainly in the form of microcrystals on cell walls. Plants accumulated them in the roots, which prevents harmful ions from inhibiting photosynthesis. In this study, Cu<sup>2+</sup> accumulation quantities in the underground parts of the two wetland plants were significantly greater than those in the aboveground parts (Ren, Tao, & Yang, 2009).

# Conclusions

The two wetland plant species showed strong  $Cu^{2+}$  enrichment abilities at different  $Cu^{2+}$  concentration conditions, both in under-ground microcrystals on cell walls. ons from and above-ground parts. The contents of  $Cu^{2+}$  in calamus and reed were significantly different at the same  $Cu^{2+}$  concentration. Among same type of wetland plants, while below 200 mg/l,  $Cu^{2+}$  accumulation increased as the  $Cu^{2+}$  concentration in the solutions increased.

Because they have the ability to effectivelyabsorb and enrich copper, both Acorus calamus and *Phragmites australis* can be used as selective species for phytoremediation of contaminated water bodies with heavy metal. The *Phragmites australis* has better tolerance to heavy metals Cu<sup>2+</sup>, and compared to other plants, the *Acorus calamus* has stronger absorption and accumulation Cu<sup>2+</sup> abilities (Table 5). Overall, *Acorus calamus* is a better heavy metal contaminated water remediation plant than the *Phragmites australis*.

# Acknowledgements

The research was supported by the Projects of Sichuan Province Science and Technology Support Program (2014GZ0132) and the Projects of the Education Department of Sichuan Province (16ZB0252).

# References

- Ameh, E. G., & Akpah, F. A. (2011). Heavy metal pollution indexing and multivariate statistical evaluation of hydrogeochemistry of River PovPov in Itakpe Iron-Ore mining area, Kogi State, Nigeria. Advances in Applied Science Research, 2(1), 33-46.
- Bissenbaev, A. K., Ishchenko, A. A., Taipakova, S. M., & Saparbaev, M. K. (2011). Presence of base excision repair enzymes in the wheat aleurone and their activation in

Table 5. Possibilities accumulation ability of several plants for Cu<sup>2+</sup> (Zhang, 2014).

Family	Plant	Possibilities of Cu <sup>2+</sup> accumulation
Convolvulaceae	Ipomoea alpina	12 300 mg/kg
Scrophulariaceae	Lindernia perennis	9 322 mg/kg
Labiatae	Gutenbergia katangense	8 356 mg/kg
Cyperaceae	Bulbostylis mucronata	7 783 mg/kg
Amaranthaceae	Pandiaka metallorum	6 260 mg/kg
Leguminosae	Vigna dolomitica	3 000 mg/kg
Asteraceae	Anisopappus davyi	2 889 mg/kg
Pottiaceae	Didymodon constricta	1 962 mg/kg (Peng & Zhang, 2007)

cells undergoing programmed cell death. *Plant Physiology and Biochemistry*, 49(10), 1155-1164.

- Chaumont, A., Nickmilder, M., Dumont, X., Lundh, T., Skerfving, S., & Bernard, A. (2012). Associations between proteins and heavy metals in urine at low environmental exposures: Evidence of reverse causality. *Toxicology Letters*, 210(3), 345-352.
- Engelen, D. L. V., Sharpe-Pedler, R. C., & Moorhead, K. K. (2007). Effect of chelating agents and solubility of cadmium complexes on uptake from soil by *Brassica juncea*. *Chemosphere*, 68(3), 401-408.
- He, Q. X., Huang-Xiao, C., & Chen, Z. L. (2011). Influence of organic acids, complexing agents and heavy metals on the bioleaching of iron from kaolin using Fe (III)-reducing bacteria. *Applied Clay Science*, 51(4), 478-483.
- Mirza, H., Hossain, M. A., & Masayuki, F. (2010). Physiological and biochemical mechanisms of nitric oxide induced abiotic stress tolerance in plants. *American Journal* of Plant Physicology, 5(6), 295-324.
- Rajkumar, M., Sandhya, S., Prasad, M. N. V., & Freitas, H. (2012). Perspectives of plant-associated microbes in heavy metal phytoremediation. *Biotechnology Advances*, 30(6), 1562-1574.
- Peng, T., & Zhang, Z. (2007). Analyses of the contents of elements of five mosses and their substrates in Tongshankou Copper mine, Hubei Province, China. *Journal of Wuhan Botanical Research* (in Chinese), 25(6), 596-600.
- Ren, J., Tao, L., & Yang, Q. (2009). Accumulation ability of Phragmites australis, *Acorus calamus* and *Scirpus tabernaemontani* for Pb<sup>2+</sup> in Water body. *Wetland Science*, 7, 255-260.
- Stolts, E., & Gregor M. (2002). Accumulation properties of As, Cd, Cu, Pb and Zn by four wetland species growing in submerged mine tailings. *Environmental and Experimental Botany*, 47, 271-280.
- Tanhan, P., Kruatrachue, M., Pokethitiyook, P., & Chaiyarat, R. (2007). Uptake and accumulation of cadmium, lead and zinc by Siam weed *Chromolaena odorata L*. King & Robinson. *Chemosphere*, 68(2), 323-329.
- Visioli, G., & Marmiroli, N. (2013). The proteomics of heavy metal hyperaccumulation by plants. *Journal of Proteomics*, 79(4), 133-145.
- Xia, H. P., Shu, W. S. (2001). Resistance to and uptake of heavy metals by *Vetiveria zizanioides* and *Paspalum*

*notatumfrom* lead/zinc mine tailings. *Acta Ecologica Sinica* (in Chinese), 21, 1121-1129.

- Zhang, J. (2014). Selection of Copper Hyperaccumulators. Beijing: Beijing Forestry University.
- Zhou, S. B., Wang, C. J., Yang, H. J., Bi, D., Li, J. H., & Wang, Y. (2007). Stress responses and bioaccumulation of heavy metals by *Zizania latifolia* and *Acorus calamus*. *Acta Ecologica Sinica* (in Chinese), 27, 281-287.

## Author's institutional address

Zhiwen Luo

Ph.D. Candidate Chongqing University College of Resources and Environmental Science Chongqing 400044, CHINA and Sichuan University of Sciences & Engineering College of Chemistry and Environmental Engineering Zigong 643000, CHINA Telephone: +86 (23) 6510 2421(O) luoyanlizw@sina.com

#### Xingzhong Yuan

Ph.D. and Professor, Chongqing University College of Resources and Environmental Science Chongqing 400044, CHINA Telephone: +86 (23) 6510 2421(O) 3612074@qq.com

#### Xiangying Chen

Bachelor, Sichuan University of Sciences & Engineering College of Chemistry and Environmental Engineering Zigong 643000, CHINA; Telephone: +86 (813) 5505 863(O) 380148523@qq.com

#### Xiaoxia Cui

Bachelor, Sichuan University of Sciences & Engineering College of Chemistry and Environmental Engineering Zigong 643000, CHINA Telephone: +86 (813) 5505 863(O) 475121522@qq.com