

Case Rep Oncol 2019;12:339-343

DOI: 10.1159/000500481 Published online: May 16, 2019 © 2019 The Author(s) Published by S. Karger AG, Basel www.karger.com/cro



This article is licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 International License (CC BY-NC) (http://www.karger.com/Services/OpenAccessLicense). Usage and distribution for commercial purposes requires written permission.

Case Report

Lack of Response to Vemurafenib in Melanoma Carrying BRAF K601E Mutation

Fedor V. Moiseyenko^a Vitaliy V. Egorenkov^a Mikhail M. Kramchaninov^a Elizaveta V. Artemieva^b Svetlana N. Aleksakhina^b Maxim M. Holmatov^b Vladimir M. Moiseyenko^a Evgeny N. Imyanitov^{b, c}

^aCity Cancer Center, Saint Petersburg, Russia; ^bN.N. Petrov Institute of Oncology, Saint Petersburg, Russia; ^cSaint Petersburg Pediatric Medical University, Saint Petersburg, Russia

Keywords

Melanoma · BRAF · Vemurafenib · K601E

Abstract

Vemurafenib has been developed to target common BRAF mutation V600E. It also exerts activity towards some but not all rare BRAF substitutions. Proper cataloguing of drug-sensitive and -insensitive rare mutations remains a challenge, due to low occurrence of these events and inability of commercial PCR-based diagnostic kits to detect the full spectrum of BRAF gene lesions. We considered the results of BRAF exon 15 testing in 1872 consecutive melanoma patients. BRAF mutation was identified in 1,090 (58.2%) cases. While drug-sensitive codon 600 substitutions constituted the majority of BRAF gene lesions (V600E: 962 [51.4%]; V600K: 86 [4.6%]; V600R: 17 [0.9%]), the fourth common BRAF allele was K601E accounting for 9 (0.5%) melanoma cases. The data on BRAF inhibitor sensitivity of tumors with K601E substitution are scarce. We administered single-agent vemurafenib to a melanoma patient carrying BRAF K601E mutation as the first-line treatment. Unfortunately, this therapy did not result in a tumor response. Taken together with already published data, this report indicates lack of benefit from conventional BRAF inhibitors in patients with BRAF K601E mutated melanoma.

> © 2019 The Author(s) Published by S. Karger AG, Basel



Evgeny N. Imyanitov Department of Tumor Growth Biology N.N. Petrov National Medical Research Center of Oncology Leningradskaya 68, RU–197758 Saint Petersburg (Russia) E-Mail evgeny@imyanitov.spb.ru

Case Reports in Oncology

Case Rep Oncol 2019;12:339–343	
DOI: 10.1159/000500481	© 2019 The Author(s). Published by S. Karger AG, Basel www.karger.com/cro

Moiseyenko et al.: Lack of Response to Vemurafenib in Melanoma Carrying BRAF K601E Mutation

Introduction

Approximately a half of cutaneous melanomas carry activating mutations in BRAF oncogene. BRAF V600E substitution accounts for more than 90% of these mutations. Several BRAF^{V600E} inhibitors (vemurafenib, dabrafenib, encorafenib) have been developed and approved for clinical use. In addition to BRAF V600E, these inhibitors exert some activity towards some rare BRAF mutations, particularly V600K [1]. However, proper cataloguing of drug sensitivity for uncommon BRAF substitutions remains a challenge, due to rarity of these events and inability of commercial PCR-based diagnostic kits to detect the full spectrum of BRAF activating events.

BRAF K601E is a recurrent mutation in melanoma, thyroid, lung and colorectal cancers (BRAF Gene. Catalogue of Somatic Mutations in Cancer. https://cancer.sanger.ac.uk/cosmic/gene/analysis?ln = BRAF. Accessed December 26, 2018). Its frequency in melanoma approaches to approximately 1% [2]. It demonstrates some sensitivity to vemurafenib treatment in vitro, although the extent of BRAF inhibition is lower as compared to BRAF V600E mutated protein [3]. Clinical data on the efficacy of BRAF inhibitors towards melanoma carrying BRAF K601E allele are limited to 4 patients. Falchook et al. [4] reported the results of phase I dabrafenib trial; they did not observe objective responses in two patients with BRAF K601E mutated melanomas, however one of these subjects had progression-free survival (PFS) of 4.2 months. Hallmeyer et al. [1] described two instances of melanomas carrying BRAF K601E allele. Use of vemurafenib did not result in clinical responses; the duration of PFS was not specified [1].

Case Report

We performed an analysis of melanomas, which were referred to the N.N. Petrov Institute of Oncology (St.-Petersburg, Russia) for BRAF gene testing from February, 2015 to November, 2018. BRAF mutation status was investigated in 1872 consecutive melanoma cases. BRAF exon 15 alterations were analyzed by combination of allele-specific PCR and DNA sequencing as described in [5]. BRAF gene lesions were identified in 1090 (58.2%) cases, including 962 p.V600E, 86 p.V600K, 17 p.V600R, 9 p.K601E, 3 p.L597Q, 2 p.L597S, 2 p.599_V600insT as well as single instances of p.D594G, p.D594N, p.A598_T599insV, p.A598A, p.T599_V600insTT, p.T599_V600insDFGLAT, p.V600_S602>DT, p.V600_W604>E and p.V600_W604>R mutations. The frequency of BRAF K601E substitution in this data set approached to 0.5%.

Here we describe a patient with metastatic BRAF K601E mutated melanoma, who received vemurafenib as a first-line treatment. A 71-year-old male patient underwent wide excision of the back skin tumor on September 12, 2017. Pathological examination revealed ulcerated melanoma with a small amount of pigment, Clark level III, Breslow depth 13 mm. The disease was staged as T4bN0M0 (IIc). Evidences for local recurrence and metastatic involvement of left axillary lymph nodes emerged in October 2017. Surgical resection of the relapsed tumor and affected lymph nodes was undertaken in January 2018. Morphological analysis identified metastases in 6 out of 13 lymph nodes. Follow-up PET-CT examination was performed in April 27, 2018 and revealed new lesions in right axillary lymph nodes, soft tissues of the back as well as multiple metastatic foci in lungs (Fig. 1). Sequencing of exon 15 of BRAF oncogene revealed K601E substitution. Given some preclinical data and limited clinical experience reported in the literature [1, 3, 4], we considered the use of single-agent vemurafenib as an option. We were aware of the fact that even in overtly BRAF inhibitor-sensitive 340



Case Reports in Oli: 10.11

Case Rep Oncol 2019;12:339–343	
DOI: 10.1159/000500481	© 2019 The Author(s). Published by S. Karger AG, Basel www.karger.com/cro

Moiseyenko et al.: Lack of Response to Vemurafenib in Melanoma Carrying BRAF K601E Mutation

melanomas the best clinical results can be obtained by combining BRAF antagonists with MEK inhibitors. However, we reasoned that the use of the doublet in this particular patient would be justified only if we first obtain for him the evidence for single-agent vemurafenib activity. Vemurafenib treatment (960 mg, twice daily, starting on May 3, 2018) was accompanied by skin toxicity (grade 2), hearing loss (grade 2) and fatigue (grade 3). Treatment was interrupted for 7 days to resolve the adverse events and then continued with 75% of the initial dose.

Follow-up PET-CT examinations performed on July 2, 2018 and on August 10, 2018 revealed the disease stabilization by RECIST, thus justifying the continuation of vemurafenib treatment (Fig. 1). However, in the end of August 2018 the patient noticed dysarthria and unsteady gait. Brain MRI revealed multiple metastatic lesions in the brain. Vemurafenib therapy was discontinued and the patient was administered to receive nivolumab. Use of immune checkpoint inhibitor failed to stop the disease progression and the patient died in October 2018.

Discussion

The major drawback of the clinical management of this patient is a failure to arrange the experimental use of MEK inhibitors. Several melanoma patients with BRAF K601E substitution are described in the literature, and some of them benefited from MEK-targeted drugs [6–9]. Furthermore, a recent report, which was released after the treatment failure in this patient, demonstrated potential utility of combined use of dabrafenib and trametinib for the management of BRAF K601E mutated melanoma both in vitro and in a single clinical case [10]. Nevertheless, there are some arguments discouraging the use of drug combinations without proper reference to their single-agent activity and clear evidences for synergistic effect [11]. Taken together with already published data, this report indicates lack of benefit from conventional BRAF inhibitors in patients with BRAF K601E mutated melanoma.

Statement of Ethics

Written informed consent for publication was obtained from the patient.

Disclosure Statement

The authors have no conflicts of interest to declare.

Funding Sources

KARGER

This work has been supported by the Russian Science Foundation (grant 17-15-01384). Funding sources did not influence the conduction of the study or description of its results.

Case Reports in Oncology

Case Rep Oncol 2019;12:339–343	
DOI: 10.1159/000500481	© 2019 The Author(s). Published by S. Karger AG, Basel www.karger.com/cro

Moiseyenko et al.: Lack of Response to Vemurafenib in Melanoma Carrying BRAF K601E Mutation

Author Contributions

VVE, MMK, EVA treated the patients. SNA and MMH performed BRAF analysis. FVM, VMM and ENI designed the study and prepared the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

References

- 1 Hallmeyer S, Gonzalez R, Lawson DH, Cranmer LD, Linette GP, Puzanov I, et al. Vemurafenib treatment for patients with locally advanced, unresectable stage IIIC or metastatic melanoma and activating exon 15 BRAF mutations other than V600E. Melanoma Res. 2017 Dec;27(6):585–90.
- 2 Voskoboynik M, Mar V, Mailer S, Colebatch A, Fennessy A, Logan A, et al. Clinicopathological characteristics associated with BRAF(K601E) and BRAF(L597) mutations in melanoma. Pigment Cell Melanoma Res. 2016 Mar;29(2):222–8.
- 3 Karoulia Z, Wu Y, Ahmed TA, Xin Q, Bollard J, Krepler C, et al. An integrated model of RAF Inhibitor action predicts inhibitor activity against oncogenic BRAF signaling. Cancer Cell. 2016 Sep;30(3):485–98.
- 4 Falchook GS, Long GV, Kurzrock R, Kim KB, Arkenau TH, Brown MP, et al. Dabrafenib in patients with melanoma, untreated brain metastases, and other solid tumours: a phase 1 dose-escalation trial. Lancet. 2012 May;379(9829):1893–901.
- 5 Frank GA, Aleksakhina SN, Zavalishina LE, Kekeyeva TV, Venina AR, Ivantsov AO, et al. BRAF and NRAS mutations in Russian melanoma patients: results of a nationwide study. Melanoma Res. 2016 Oct;26(5):442– 7.
- 6 Kim KB, Kefford R, Pavlick AC, Infante JR, Ribas A, Sosman JA, et al. Phase II study of the MEK1/MEK2 inhibitor Trametinib in patients with metastatic BRAF-mutant cutaneous melanoma previously treated with or without a BRAF inhibitor. J Clin Oncol. 2013 Feb;31(4):482–9.
- 7 Bowyer SE, Rao AD, Lyle M, Sandhu S, Long GV, McArthur GA, et al. Activity of trametinib in K601E and L597Q BRAF mutation-positive metastatic melanoma. Melanoma Res. 2014 Oct;24(5):504–8.
- 8 Richtig G, Aigelsreiter A, Kashofer K, Talakic E, Kupsa R, Schaider H, et al. Two case reports of rare BRAF mutations in exon 11 and exon 15 with discussion of potential treatment options. Case Rep Oncol. 2016 Sep;9(3):543–6.
- 9 Marconcini R, Galli L, Antonuzzo A, Bursi S, Roncella C, Fontanini G, et al. Metastatic BRAF K601E-mutated melanoma reaches complete response to MEK inhibitor trametinib administered for over 36 months. Exp Hematol Oncol. 2017 Mar;6(1):6.
- 10 Rogiers A, Thomas D, Vander Borght S, van den Oord JJ, Bechter O, Dewaele M, et al. Dabrafenib plus trametinib in BRAF K601E-mutant melanoma. Br J Dermatol. 2019 Feb;180(2):421–2.
- 11 Palmer AC, Sorger PK. Combination cancer therapy can confer benefit via patient-to-patient variability without drug additivity or synergy. Cell. 2017 Dec;171(7):1678–1691.e13.

Case Reports in Oncology

DOI: 10.1159/000500481	$\ensuremath{\mathbb{C}}$ 2019 The Author(s). Published by S. Karger AG, Base www.karger.com/cro
Moiseyenko et al.: Lack of Resp Mutation	oonse to Vemurafenib in Melanoma Carrying BRAF K601E



Fig. 1. Consecutive whole body 18F-FDG PET/CT and brain MRI of the patient with BRAF K601E melanoma during monotherapy with vemurafenib.