



## A Mathematical Theorem on the Onset of Stationary Convection in Couple-Stress Fluid

A. S. Banyal

*Department of Mathematics, NSCBM, Govt. College Hamirpur, (HP,) 177005, India*

*Email: [ajaibbanyal@rediffmail.com](mailto:ajaibbanyal@rediffmail.com)*

(Received April 1, 2011; accepted August 6, 2011)

### ABSTRACT

The thermal instability of a couple-stress fluid heated from below is investigated. Following the linearized stability theory and normal mode analysis, the paper mathematically establishes that the onset of instability at marginal state, cannot manifest itself as stationary convection, if the thermal Rayleigh number  $R$  and the couple-stress parameter  $F$ ,

satisfy the inequality  $R \leq \frac{(2 + \sqrt{1 + 3\pi^2 F})(3\pi^2 F + \sqrt{1 + 3\pi^2 F} - 1)^3}{27F^2(-1 + \sqrt{1 + 3\pi^2 F})}$ , and when the couple-stress parameter  $F$  is

infinitesimally small,  $R \leq \frac{27\pi^4}{4} \left\{ 1 + \frac{\pi^2}{2} F \right\}$ , the result which also clearly mathematically established the stabilizing character of the couple-stress.

**Keywords:** Thermal convection; Couple-stress fluid; Rayleigh number.

### NOMENCLATURE

$a$	dimensionless wave number	$\alpha$	coefficient of thermal expansion, $[1/K]$
$F$	couple-Stress parameter	$\beta \frac{1}{2}$	uniform temperature gradient, $[K/m]$
$g$	acceleration due to gravity, $[m/s^2]$	$\Theta$	perturbation in temperature, $[K]$
$k$	wave number, $[1/m]$	$\kappa$	thermal diffusivity, $[m^2/s]$
$k_x, k_y$	wave numbers in x and y-directions, $[1/m]$	$\nu$	kinematic viscosity, $[m^2/s]$
$n$	growth rate, $[1/s]$	$\nu'$	kinematic viscoelasticity, $[m^2/s]$
$R$	Rayleigh number	$\nabla, \partial, D$	Del operator, Curly operator and Derivative with respect to $z$ ( $=d/dz$ )
$T$	temperature, $[K]$		
$q(u, v, w)$	components of velocity after perturbation		

### 1. INTRODUCTION

Right from the conceptualizations of turbulence, instability of fluid flows is being regarded at its root. The thermal instability of a fluid layer with maintained adverse temperature gradient by heating the underside plays an important role in Geophysics, interiors of the Earth, Oceanography and Atmospheric Physics etc. A detailed account of the theoretical and experimental study of the onset of Bénard Convection in Newtonian fluids, under varying assumptions of hydrodynamics and hydromagnetics, has been given by Chandrasekhar

(1981). The use of Boussinesq approximation has been made throughout, which states that the density changes are disregarded in all other terms in the equation of motion except the external force term. Sharma (1976) has considered the effect of suspended particles on the onset of Bénard convection in hydromagnetics. The fluid has been considered to be Newtonian in all above studies. Chandra (1938) observed that in an air layer, convection occurred at much lower gradients than predicted if the layer depth was less than 7mm and called this motion "columnar instability". However for

a layer deeper than 10mm, Bénard–type cellular convection was observed. Thus there is a contradiction between the theory and experiment. Scanlon and Segel (1973) have considered the effect of suspended particles on the onset of Bénard convection and found that the critical Rayleigh number was reduced solely because the heat capacity of the pure fluid was supplemented by that of the particles.

With the growing importance of non-Newtonian fluids in modern technology and industries, the investigations on such fluids are desirable. Stokes (1966) proposed and postulated the theory of couple-stress fluid. One of the applications of couple-stress fluid is its use to the study of the mechanism of lubrication of synovial joints, which has become the object of scientific research. A human joint is a dynamically loaded bearing which has articular cartilage as the bearing and synovial fluid as lubricant. When fluid film is generated, squeeze film action is capable of providing considerable protection to the cartilage surface. The shoulder, knee, hip and ankle joints are the loaded-bearing synovial joints of human body and these joints have low-friction coefficient and negligible wear. Normal synovial fluid is clear or yellowish and is a viscous, non-Newtonian fluid. According to the theory of Stokes (1966), couple-stresses are found to appear in noticeable magnitude in fluids very large molecules. Since the long chain hylauronic acid molecules are found as additives in synovial fluid. Walicki and Walicka (1999) modeled synovial fluid as couple-stress fluid in human joints. Sharma and Sharma (2001) have studied the couple-stress fluid heated from below in porous medium. The use of magnetic field is being made for the clinical purposes in detection and cure of certain diseases with the help of magnetic field devices. Sharma and Thakur (2000) have studied the thermal convection in couple-stress fluid in porous medium in hydromagnetics.

Sharma and Sharma (2004) have studied the effect of suspended particles on couple-stress fluid heated from below in the presence of rotation and magnetic field and found that rotation has a stabilizing effect while dust particles have a destabilizing effect on the system. Sunil *et al.* (2004) have studied the effect of suspended particles on couple-stress fluid heated and soluted from below in porous medium and found that suspended particles have stabilizing effect on the system. Kumar and Kumar (2011) have studied the combined effect of dust particles, magnetic field and rotation on couple-stress fluid heated from below and for the case of stationary convection, found that dust particles have destabilizing effect on the system, where as the rotation is found to have stabilizing effect on the system, however couple-stress and magnetic field are found to have both stabilizing and destabilizing effects under certain conditions. Shivakumara *et al.* (2011) have studied the effect of non-uniform temperature gradients on the onset of convection in a couple-stress fluid saturated porous medium.

Keeping in mind the importance of non-Newtonian fluids, the present paper is an attempt to characterize the onset of instability at marginal state as stationary convection analytically, in a layer of incompressible

couple-stress fluid heated from below in the presence of suspended particles.

## 2. FORMULATION OF THE PROBLEM AND PERTURBATION EQUATIONS

Considered an infinite, horizontal, incompressible couple-stress fluid layer, of thickness  $d$ , heated from below so that, the temperature and density at the bottom surface  $z = 0$  are,  $\rho_0$  respectively and at the lower surface  $z = d$  are  $T_d, \rho_d$  and that a uniform adverse temperature gradient  $\beta = \left(\frac{dT}{dz}\right)$  is maintained. Let  $\rho, p,$

$T$  and  $\vec{q}(u, v, w)$  denote respectively the density, pressure, temperature and velocity of the fluid respectively. Then the momentum balance, mass balance equations of the couple-stress fluid (Stokes 1966, Chandrasekhar 1981 and Scanlon and Segel 1973) are

$$\frac{\partial \vec{q}}{\partial t} + \left(\vec{q} \cdot \nabla\right) \vec{q} = -\frac{1}{\rho_0} \nabla p + g \left(1 + \frac{\delta \rho}{\rho_0}\right) + \left(v - \frac{\mu'}{\rho_0} \nabla^2\right) \nabla^2 \vec{q} \quad (1)$$

$$\nabla \cdot \vec{q} = 0 \quad (2)$$

The equation of state is

$$\rho = \rho_0 \left[1 - \alpha(T - T_0)\right] \quad (3)$$

Where the suffix zero refer to the values at the reference level  $z = 0$ . Here  $\vec{g}(0, 0, -g)$  is acceleration due to gravity and  $\vec{x} = (x, y, z)$ .

Let  $c_v$  denote the heat capacity of the fluid at constant volume, assuming that the fluid is in thermal equilibrium, the equation of heat conduction gives

$$\rho_0 c_v \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \vec{q} \cdot \nabla\right) T = \vec{q} \cdot \nabla^2 T \quad (4)$$

$$\frac{\partial T}{\partial t} + \left(\vec{q} \cdot \nabla\right) T = \kappa \nabla^2 T$$

The kinematic viscosity  $\nu$ , couple-stress viscosity  $\mu'$ , thermal diffusivity  $\kappa = q / \rho_0 c_v$ , and coefficient of thermal expansion  $\alpha$  are all assumed to be constants.

The initial state of the system is taken to be a quiescent layer (no settling). The basic motionless solution is given by

$$\vec{q} = (0, 0, 0), T = T_0 - \beta z, \rho = \rho_0(1 + \alpha \beta z) \quad (5)$$

Assume small perturbations around the basic solution and let  $\delta \rho, \delta p, \theta$  and  $q(u, v, w)$  denote respectively

the perturbations in density, pressure  $p$ , temperature  $T$  and couple-stress fluid velocity  $(0,0,0)$ . The change in density  $\delta\rho$  caused mainly by the perturbation  $\theta$  in temperature is given by:

$$\delta\rho = -\alpha\rho_0\theta \quad (6)$$

Then the linearized perturbation equations of the couple stress fluid become

$$\frac{\partial \vec{q}}{\partial t} = -\frac{1}{\rho_0}\nabla\delta p - \vec{g}\alpha\theta + \left(v - \frac{\mu'}{\rho_0}\nabla^2\right)\nabla^2\vec{q} \quad (7)$$

$$\nabla \cdot \vec{q} = 0 \quad (8)$$

$$\frac{\partial\theta}{\partial t} = \beta w + \kappa\nabla^2\theta \quad (9)$$

### 3. NORMAL MODE ANALYSIS

Analyzing the disturbances into normal modes, we assume that the Perturbation quantities are of the form

$$[w, \theta] = [W(z), \Theta(z)] \text{Exp}(ik_x x + ik_y y + nt) \quad (10)$$

Where  $k_x, k_y$  are the wave numbers along the x and y-

directions respectively  $k = (k_x^2 + k_y^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ , is the resultant wave number and  $n$  is the growth rate which is, in general, a complex constant.

Using Eq. (10), Eq. (7) and Eq. (9), on using Eq. (8) and, in non-dimensional form, become

$$\left(D^2 - a^2\right)\left[\sigma + F\left(D^2 - a^2\right)^2 - \left(D^2 - a^2\right)\right]W = -\frac{g\alpha d^2 a^2 \Theta}{\nu} \quad (11)$$

$$\left(D^2 - a^2 - p_1\sigma\right)\Theta = -\frac{\beta d^2}{\kappa}W \quad (12)$$

where  $a = kd, \sigma = \frac{nd^2}{\nu}, p_1 = \frac{\nu}{\kappa}, F = \frac{\mu'}{\rho_0 d^2 \nu}, D = \frac{d}{dz}$

and dropping  $(\oplus)$  for convenience. Here  $p_1 = \frac{\nu}{\kappa}$ , is the thermal prandtl number and  $F$  is the couple-stress parameter.

Substituting  $W = W_{\oplus}$  and  $\Theta = \frac{\beta d^2}{\kappa}\Theta_{\oplus}$  in Eq. (11) and Eq. (12) and dropping  $(\oplus)$  for convenience, in non-dimensional form becomes

$$\left(D^2 - a^2\right)\left[\sigma + F\left(D^2 - a^2\right)^2 - \left(D^2 - a^2\right)\right]W = -Ra^2\Theta \quad (13)$$

$$\left(D^2 - a^2 - p_1\sigma\right)\Theta = -W \quad (14)$$

where  $R = \frac{g\alpha\beta d^4}{\kappa\nu}$ , is the thermal Rayleigh number.

Since both the boundaries are maintained at constant temperature, the perturbations in the temperature are zero at the boundaries. The case of two free boundaries is little artificial but it is most appropriate for Stellar atmospheres and enables us to find analytical solutions and to make some qualitative and quantitative conclusions. The appropriate boundary conditions with respect to which Eq. (13) and Eq. (14) must be solved are

$$W = 0, \quad \Theta = 0 \text{ at } z = 0 \text{ and } z = 1 \quad (15)$$

and the constitutive equations of the couple-stress fluid are  $\tau_{ij} = (2\mu - 2\mu'\nabla^2)e_{ij}$  and  $e_{ij} = \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\partial v_i}{\partial x_j} + \frac{\partial v_j}{\partial x_i}\right)$

The conditions on a free surface are

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_{xz} &= \left(\mu - \mu'\nabla^2\right)\left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial z} + \frac{\partial w}{\partial x}\right) = 0 \\ \tau_{yz} &= \left(\mu - \mu'\nabla^2\right)\left(\frac{\partial v}{\partial z} + \frac{\partial w}{\partial y}\right) = 0 \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

From the equation of continuity Eq. (8) differentiating with respect to  $z$ , we conclude that

$$\left[\mu - \mu'\left(\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^2} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial z^2}\right)\right]\frac{\partial^2 w}{\partial z^2} = 0 \quad (17)$$

which implies that

$$\frac{\partial^2 w}{\partial z^2} = 0, \quad \frac{\partial^4 w}{\partial z^4} = 0, \text{ at } z = 0 \text{ and } z = d \quad (18)$$

Using Eq. (10), the boundary conditions Eq. (18) in non-dimensional form transform to

$$D^2 W = D^4 W = 0 \text{ at } z = 0 \text{ and } z = 1 \quad (19)$$

We prove the following theorem.

**Theorem:** If  $R > 0, F > 0$  and  $\sigma = 0$  then the necessary condition for the existence of non-trivial solution  $(W, \Theta)$  of Eqs. (13) and (14) together with boundary conditions Eqs. (15) and (19) is that

$$R > \frac{\left(2 + \sqrt{1 + 3\pi^2 F}\right)\left(3\pi^2 F + \sqrt{1 + 3\pi^2 F} - 1\right)^3}{27F^2\left(-1 + \sqrt{1 + 3\pi^2 F}\right)}$$

further, when the couple-stress parameter  $F$  is

$$\text{infinitesimally small, then } R > \frac{27\pi^4}{4}\left\{1 + \frac{\pi^2}{2}F\right\}$$

**Proof:** When the instability sets in stationary convection and the 'exchange principle' is valid, the neutral or marginal state will be characterized by  $\sigma = 0$  and hence the relevant governing Eqs. (13) and (14) reduces to

$$\left( D^2 - a^2 \right) \left[ F \left( D^2 - a^2 \right)^2 - \left( D^2 - a^2 \right) \right] W \quad (20)$$

$$= -Ra^2 \Theta$$

$$\left( D^2 - a^2 \right) \Theta = -W \quad (21)$$

together with the relevant boundary conditions,

$$W = 0 = \Theta = D^2 W = D^4 W \quad (22)$$

on both the horizontal boundaries at  $z = 0$  and  $z = 1$

Multiplying Eq. (20) by  $W^*$  (the complex conjugate of  $W$ ) throughout and integrating the resulting equation over the vertical range of  $z$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned} & F \int_0^1 W^* \left( D^2 - a^2 \right)^3 W dz - \int_0^1 W^* \left( D^2 - a^2 \right)^2 W dz \\ & = -Ra^2 \int_0^1 W^* \Theta dz \end{aligned} \quad (23)$$

Taking complex conjugate on both sides of Eq. (21), we get

$$\left( D^2 - a^2 \right) \Theta^* = -W^* \quad (24)$$

Substituting for  $W^*$  in the right hand side of Eq. (23), we get

$$\begin{aligned} & F \int_0^1 W^* \left( D^2 - a^2 \right)^3 W dz - \int_0^1 W^* \left( D^2 - a^2 \right)^2 W dz \\ & = Ra^2 \int_0^1 \Theta^* \left( D^2 - a^2 \right) \Theta dz \end{aligned} \quad (25)$$

Integrating the terms on both sides of Eq. (25) for an appropriate number of times by making use of the appropriate boundary conditions Eq. (22), we get

$$\begin{aligned} & F \int_0^1 \left\{ \left| D^3 W \right|^2 + 3a^2 \left| D^2 W \right|^2 + 3a^4 \left| DW \right|^2 + a^6 \left| W \right|^2 \right\} dz \\ & + \int_0^1 \left\{ \left| D^2 W \right|^2 + 2a^2 \left| W \right|^2 + a^4 \left| W \right|^2 \right\} dz \\ & = Ra^2 \int_0^1 \left\{ \left| D \Theta \right|^2 + a^2 \left| \Theta \right|^2 \right\} dz \end{aligned} \quad (26)$$

We first note that since  $W$  and  $\Theta$  satisfy  $W(0)=0=W(1)$  and  $\Theta(0)=0=\Theta(1)$  in addition to satisfying to governing equations and hence we have from the Rayleigh-Ritz (1973) inequality

$$\int_0^1 \left| DW \right|^2 dz \geq \pi^2 \int_0^1 \left| W \right|^2 dz \quad (27)$$

and

$$\int_0^1 \left| D \Theta \right|^2 dz \geq \pi^2 \int_0^1 \left| \Theta \right|^2 dz \quad (28)$$

Further, for  $W(0)=0=W(1)$ , Banerjee *et al.* (1992) have shown that

$$\int_0^1 \left| D^2 W \right|^2 dz \geq \pi^4 \int_0^1 \left| W \right|^2 dz \quad (29)$$

Further, multiplying Eq. (21) by  $\Theta^*$  (the complex conjugate of  $\Theta$ ), integrating by parts each term of the resulting equation on the right hand side for an appropriate number of times and making use of boundary condition on  $\Theta$  namely  $\Theta(0)=0=\Theta(1)$ , it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^1 \left\{ \left| D \Theta \right|^2 + a^2 \left| \Theta \right|^2 \right\} dz = \text{Real part} \\ & \int_0^1 \left\{ \left| D \Theta \right|^2 + a^2 \left| \Theta \right|^2 \right\} dz = \text{Real part of } \left\{ \int_0^1 \Theta^* W dz \right\} \\ & \leq \left| \int_0^1 \Theta^* W dz \right| \\ & \leq \int_0^1 \left| \Theta^* W \right| dz \\ & \leq \int_0^1 \left| \Theta^* \right| \left| W \right| dz \\ & \leq \int_0^1 \left| \Theta \right| \left| W \right| dz \end{aligned} \quad (30)$$

$$\leq \left\{ \int_0^1 \left| \Theta \right|^2 dz \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}} \left\{ \int_0^1 \left| W \right|^2 dz \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

(Utilizing Cauchy – Schwartz – inequality)

So that using inequality Eq. (28), we obtained from above inequality

$$\left( \pi^2 + a^2 \right) \left\{ \int_0^1 \left| \Theta \right|^2 dz \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq \left\{ \int_0^1 \left| W \right|^2 dz \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}} \quad (31)$$

And hence inequality Eqs. (30) and (31), gives

$$\int_0^1 \left\{ \left| D \Theta \right|^2 + a^2 \left| \Theta \right|^2 \right\} dz \leq \frac{1}{\left( \pi^2 + a^2 \right)} \int_0^1 \left| W \right|^2 dz \quad (32)$$

Now, if  $R > 0$ , utilizing the inequalities Eqs. (27), (29), and Eq. (32), the Eq. (26) gives

$$I_1 + \left[ \left\{ a^2 \left( \pi^2 + a^2 \right)^2 F + \left( \pi^2 + a^2 \right)^2 \right\} - \frac{Ra^2}{\left( \pi^2 + a^2 \right)} \right] \int_0^1 \left| W \right|^2 dz < 0 \quad (33)$$

Where  $I_1 = \int_0^1 \left( |D^3W|^2 + 2a^2 |D^2W|^2 + a^4 |DW|^2 \right) dz$ ,

positive definite. and therefore, we must have

$$R > \frac{(\pi^2 + a^2)^3}{a^2} \{1 + a^2 F\} \quad (34)$$

and thus we necessarily have

$$R > \frac{\left(2 + \sqrt{1 + 3\pi^2 F}\right) \left(3\pi^2 F + \sqrt{1 + 3\pi^2 F} - 1\right)^3}{27F^2 \left(-1 + \sqrt{1 + 3\pi^2 F}\right)} \quad (35)$$

Since the minimum value of  $\frac{(\pi^2 + a^2)^3}{a^2} \{1 + a^2 F\}$ , is

$$\frac{\left(2 + \sqrt{1 + 3\pi^2 F}\right) \left(3\pi^2 F + \sqrt{1 + 3\pi^2 F} - 1\right)^3}{27F^2 \left(-1 + \sqrt{1 + 3\pi^2 F}\right)} \quad (36)$$

$$\text{for } a^2 = \frac{-1 + \sqrt{1 + 3\pi^2 F}}{3F} > 0$$

Further, when the couple-stress parameter  $F$  is infinitesimally small, then in the expansion of right hand side of Eq. (36), the higher powers of  $F$  can be ignored, and then we have  $a^2 = \frac{\pi^2}{2} > 0$ , Eq. (34) gives

$$R > \frac{27\pi^4}{4} \left\{1 + \frac{\pi^2}{2} F\right\} \quad (37)$$

The same result Eq. (37) also follows for very-very small values of  $F$  and ignoring the higher powers of  $F$  in the expansion of right hand side of Eq. (35) and this completes the proof of the theorem.

The theorem implies from the physical point of view that the onset of instability at marginal state in a couple-stress fluid heated from below, cannot manifest itself as stationary convection, if the thermal Rayleigh number  $R$  and the couple-stress parameter  $F$ , satisfy the

$$\text{inequality } R \leq \frac{27\pi^4}{4} \left\{1 + \frac{\pi^2}{2} F\right\}, \text{ when couple-stress}$$

parameter is infinitesimally small and the boundaries are dynamically free.

#### 4. CONCLUSIONS

In this paper, the onset of instability as stationary convection of couple-stress fluid heated from below is considered and the immediate analytic conclusions of the theorem proved above, are as follows:

(a) The necessary condition for the onset of instability as stationary convection at marginal state for configuration under consideration, is that the inequality (35) is satisfied. Thus the sufficient condition for the

non-existence of stationary convection at marginal state

$$\text{is } R \leq \frac{\left(2 + \sqrt{1 + 3\pi^2 F}\right) \left(3\pi^2 F + \sqrt{1 + 3\pi^2 F} - 1\right)^3}{27F^2 \left(-1 + \sqrt{1 + 3\pi^2 F}\right)},$$

for the configuration under consideration.

(b) When the couple-stress parameter  $F$  is infinitesimally small, then the necessary condition for the onset of instability as stationary convection at marginal state for configuration under consideration is that the inequality (37) is satisfied. Thus the sufficient condition for the non-existence of stationary convection

at marginal state is that  $R \leq \frac{27\pi^4}{4} \left\{1 + \frac{\pi^2}{2} F\right\}$ , for the configuration under consideration.

(c) In the inequalities (35) and (37), the thermal Rayleigh number  $R > 0$ , is directly proportional to the couple-stress parameter  $F > 0$ , which clearly mathematically established the stabilizing character of the couple-stress, for the configuration under consideration as derived by Sharma and Sharma (2004).

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The author is highly thankful to the referees for their very constructive, valuable suggestions and useful technical comments, which led to a significant improvement of the paper.

#### REFERENCES

- Banerjee, M.B., Gupta, J.R. and Prakash, J. (1992). On thermohaline convection of Veronis type, *J. Math Anal. Appl.*, Vol.179, 327-334.
- Chandrasekhar, S. (1981). *Hydrodynamic and Hydromagnetic Stability*, Dover Publication, NewYork
- Chandra, K., (1938). Stability of fluids heated from below, *Proc. Roy. Soc. London*, vol. A164, 231
- Kumar, V. and Kumar, S. (2011). On a couple-stress fluid heated from below in hydromagnetics, *Appl. Math.*, Vol. 05(10), 1529-1542
- Scanlon, J.W. and Segel, L.A. (1973). Some effects of suspended particles on the onset of Bénard convection, *Phys. Fluids*. Vol. 16, 1573-1578
- Schultz, M.H. (1973). *Spline Analysis*, Prentice Hall, Englewood Cliffs, New Jersey.
- Sharma, R.C., Prakash, K. and Dube, S.N. (1976). Effect of suspended particles on the onset of Bénard convection in hydromagnetics, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, USA, Vol. 60, 227-35.
- Sharma, R.C. and Thakur, K. D. (2000). Couple stress-fluids heated from below in hydromagnetics, *Czech. J. Phys.*, Vol. 50, 753-58

- Sharma, R.C. and Sharma, M. (2004). Effect of suspended particles on couple-stress fluid heated from below in the presence of rotation and magnetic field, *Indian J. pure. Appl. Math.*, 35(8), 973-989
- Sharma, R.C. and Sharma S. (2001). On couple-stress fluid heated from below in porous medium, *Indian J. Phys*, Vol. 75B, 59-61.
- Shivakumar, I.S., Kumar, S.S. and Devaraju, N. (2011), "Effect of non- uniform temperature gradients on the onset of convection in a couple-stress fluid saturatd porous medium", *J. of Appld Fluid Mechanics*, (Accepted for publications).
- Stokes, V.K.(1966). Couple-stress in fluids, *Phys. Fluids*, Vol. 9, 1709-1715.
- Sunil, Sharma, R.C. and Chandel, R.S. (2004). Effect of suspended particles on couple-stress fluid heated and soluted from below in porous medium, *J. of Porous Media*, 7(1) 9-18.
- Walicki, E.and Walicka, A. (1999). Inertial effect in the squeeze film of couple-stress fluids in biological bearings, *Int. J. Appl. Mech. Engg.*, Vol. 4, 363-373.