

PDF hosted at the Radboud Repository of the Radboud University Nijmegen

The following full text is a publisher's version.

For additional information about this publication click this link.

<http://hdl.handle.net/2066/201490>

Please be advised that this information was generated on 2020-09-10 and may be subject to change.



Letter to the Editor

Scand J Work Environ Health [2019;45\(1\):98-99](#)

doi:10.5271/sjweh.3782

Multiple-job holding is not a type of precarious employment

by [Bouwhuis S](#), [Geuskens GA](#), [van der Beek AJ](#), [Boot CRL](#)

Affiliation: Amsterdam UMC, Vrije Universiteit, Public and Occupational Health, van der Boechorststraat 7, 1081 BT Amsterdam, The Netherlands. a.vanderbeek@vumc.nl

Refers to the following texts of the Journal: [2018;44\(4\):341-350](#)
[2018;44\(4\):335-339](#)

The following articles refer to this text: [2019;45\(1\):100](#);
[2019;45\(5\):429-443](#)

Key terms: [multi-jobber](#); [multiple job holding](#); [multiple jobs](#);
[multiple-job holding](#); [precarious employment](#)

This article in PubMed: www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/30358881



This work is licensed under a [Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

Multiple-job holding is not a type of precarious employment

We are writing in regards to the review by Koranyi et al (1) on precarious employment and occupational accidents and injuries. In the review, multiple-job holding was classified as a type of precarious employment. In this letter, we argue multiple-job holding should not be considered a type of precarious employment and that multiple-job holders constitute a heterogeneous group of workers.

In their review, Koranyi et al defined precarious employment as “uncertainty as to the duration of employment, multiple possible employers or a disguised or ambiguous employment relationship, a lack of access to social protection and benefits usually associated with employment, low pay, and substantial legal and practical obstacles to joining a trade union and bargaining collectively” (1). In this ILO definition, multiple-job holding is presented as a type of precarious employment. Most researchers now agree that precariousness, on the one hand, is a multi-dimensional construct, including at least four dimensions: (i) employment instability; (ii) low material rewards; (iii) control over working conditions and pace of work; and (iv) a lack of social rights and protections (2–4). Multiple-job holding, on the other hand, is defined in the scientific literature as having two or more jobs. It does not relate to the quality of the terms of employment associated with these jobs.

Previous research has shown that employees without a permanent contract are more likely to have multiple jobs (5,6). This, however, does not mean that multiple-job holding is equivalent to precarious employment. Firstly, precariousness has many dimensions, and encompasses more than “just” having a temporary contract. Secondly, multiple-job holders are a heterogeneous group of workers (7): not all multiple-job holders have temporary contracts in one or more of their jobs. Recent research on multiple-job holding has made significant progress in exploring its heterogeneity. Rouault conceptualized, but not empirically studied, four groups of multiple-job holders (8). Another study, conducted by the authors of the present letter (9), distinguished four groups using latent class analysis among 702 multi-jobbers: (i) vulnerable; (ii) indifferent; (iii) satisfied combination; and (iv) satisfied hybrid multiple-job holders. The vulnerable group was exposed to more dimensions of precariousness than the other three groups. These workers more often combined jobs with temporary

contracts, for instance. Furthermore, the vulnerable multiple-job holders more often reported low autonomy and a relatively high percentage of these workers reported that their household was short of money. Cross-sectional analyses showed that the vulnerable group, on average, experienced worse physical and mental health than the three other groups of multiple-job holders (9). In contrast to this vulnerable group of multiple-job holders, other groups of multiple-job holders experienced benefits from holding multiple jobs (9). These findings have been confirmed in a qualitative study in which we found that some multi-jobbers only experienced benefits of multiple-job holding, eg, positive spill-over effects between jobs: networks established or skills learned in one job that can be used in others (10).

Marucci-Wellman acknowledged the heterogeneity of multiple-job holders in her editorial on the review by Koranyi et al (11). She underscored the importance of distinguishing groups of multiple-job holders stating that, if we treat multiple-job holders as one group, health effects for the most vulnerable group may be obscured. We would argue that multiple-job holding as such should never be regarded as equivalent to precarious employment, even if a multiple-job holder has one or more jobs that can be considered precarious.

Given the increasing prevalence of precarious employment and multiple-job holding as well as their potential health effects, future research on these issues is crucial. We recommend to use clear definitions of precarious employment and multiple-job holding. We propose that precariousness refers to the terms of employment and working conditions of a job, whereas multiple-job holding refers to the number of jobs a worker has, regardless of actual employment and working conditions.

To conclude, it is possible, but by no means necessary, that one or more of the jobs held by a multi-job holder can be considered precarious. In fact, for some multiple-job holders, the combination of two precarious jobs may have benefits. Therefore, it is important not to study multiple-job holding as a type of precarious employment and additionally to take the heterogeneity among multiple-job holders into account in future research.

References

1. Koranyi I, Jonsson J, Ronnblad T, Stockfelt L, Bodin T. Precarious employment and occupational accidents and injuries - a systematic review. *Scand J Work Environ Health*. 2018 Jul 1;44(4):341–50. <https://doi.org/10.5271/sjweh.3720>.
2. Julià M, Vives A, Tarafa G, Benach J. Changing the way we understand precarious employment and health: precarisation affects the entire salaried population. *Saf Sci*. 2017;100:66–73. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ssci.2017.01.015>.
3. Van Aerden K, Moors G, Levecque K, Vanroelen C. Measuring employment arrangements in the European labour force: a typological approach. *Soc Indicators Res*. 2014;116(3):771–91. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11205-013-0312-0>.
4. Julià M, Vanroelen C, Bosmans K, Van Aerden K, Benach J. Precarious employment and quality of employment in relation to health and well-being in Europe. *Int J Health Serv*. 2017;47(3):389–409. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0020731417707491>.
5. Böheim R, Taylor M. And in the Evening She's a Singer with the Band - Second Jobs, Plight Or Pleasure? Working Papers of the Institute for Social and Economic Research. Colchester: University of Essex. 2004. Report No: 2004-3.
6. Bouwhuis S, Geuskens GA, Boot CRL, Bongers PM, van der Beek AJ. Predictors of transitions from single to multiple job holding: Results of a longitudinal study among employees aged 45-64 in the Netherlands. *Am J Ind Med*. 2017;60(8):696–710. <https://doi.org/10.1002/ajim.22738>.
7. Bamberry L, Campbell I. Multiple job holders in Australia: Motives and personal impact. *Aust Bull Labour*. 2012;38(4):293–314.
8. Rouault S. Multiple jobholding and path-dependent employment regimes: Answering the qualification and protection needs of multiple job holders WZB Discussion Paper; 2002. Report No. FS I 02-201.
9. Bouwhuis S, Hoekstra T, Bongers PM, Boot CRL, Geuskens GA, van der Beek AJ. Distinguishing groups and exploring health differences among multiple job holders aged 45 years and older. *Int Arch Occup Environ Health*. 2018 [Epub ahead of print]. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00420-018-1351-2>.
10. Bouwhuis S, De Wind A, De Kruif A, Geuskens GA, van der Beek AJ, Bongers PM, Boot CRL. Experiences with multiple job holding: a qualitative study among Dutch older workers. *BMC Public Health*. 2018;18(1):1054. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12889-018-5841-7>.
11. Marucci-Wellman H. Precarious employment and occupational injuries in the digital age—where should we go from here? *Scand J Work Environ Health*. 2018;44(4):335–9. <https://doi.org/10.5271/sjweh.3749>.

Stef Bouwhuis, MSc,^{1,2,3} Goedele A Geuskens, PhD,^{2,3} Allard J van der Beek, PhD,^{1,3} Cécile RL Boot, PhD^{1,3}

- 1 Amsterdam UMC, Vrije Universiteit Amsterdam, Department of Public and Occupational Health, Amsterdam Public Health Research Institute, Amsterdam, The Netherlands.
- 2 Netherlands Organisation for Applied Scientific Research TNO, Leiden, The Netherlands.
- 3 Body@Work, Research Center on Physical Activity, Work and Health, TNO-VU/VUmc, Amsterdam, The Netherlands.

Correspondence to: Allard J van der Beek, Amsterdam UMC, Vrije Universiteit, Public and Occupational Health, van der Boechorststraat 7, 1081 BT Amsterdam, The Netherlands [E-mail: a.vanderbeek@vumc.nl].