



LJMU Research Online

Fairclough, SJ, Taylor, S, Rowlands, AV, Boddy, LM and Noonan, RJ

Average acceleration and intensity gradient of primary school children and associations with indicators of health and wellbeing

<http://researchonline.ljmu.ac.uk/id/eprint/10752/>

Article

Citation (please note it is advisable to refer to the publisher's version if you intend to cite from this work)

Fairclough, SJ, Taylor, S, Rowlands, AV, Boddy, LM and Noonan, RJ (2019) Average acceleration and intensity gradient of primary school children and associations with indicators of health and wellbeing. Journal of Sports Sciences. ISSN 0264-0414

LJMU has developed **LJMU Research Online** for users to access the research output of the University more effectively. Copyright © and Moral Rights for the papers on this site are retained by the individual authors and/or other copyright owners. Users may download and/or print one copy of any article(s) in LJMU Research Online to facilitate their private study or for non-commercial research. You may not engage in further distribution of the material or use it for any profit-making activities or any commercial gain.

The version presented here may differ from the published version or from the version of the record. Please see the repository URL above for details on accessing the published version and note that access may require a subscription.

For more information please contact researchonline@ljmu.ac.uk

<http://researchonline.ljmu.ac.uk/>

1 **Title: Average acceleration and intensity gradient of primary school children and**
2 **associations with indicators of health and wellbeing**

3

4 Running title: Standardised physical activity metrics & associations with child health

5

6 Stuart J. Fairclough¹, Sarah Taylor¹, Alex V. Rowlands^{2,3,4}, Lynne, M. Boddy⁵ and Robert. J.

7 Noonan¹

8 ¹Movement Behaviours, Health, and Wellbeing Research Group, Department of Sport and

9 Physical Activity, Edge Hill University, Ormskirk, UK

10 ²Diabetes Research Centre, University of Leicester, Leicester General Hospital, Leicester,

11 UK

12 ³NIHR Leicester Biomedical Research Centre, UK

13 ⁴Alliance for Research in Exercise, Nutrition and Activity (ARENA), Sansom Institute for

14 Health Research, Division of Health Sciences, University of South Australia, Adelaide,

15 Australia

16 ⁵Physical Activity Exchange, Research Institute for Sport and Exercise Sciences, Liverpool

17 John Moores University, Liverpool, UK

18

19 Corresponding author address

20 Professor Stuart Fairclough, Movement Behaviours, Health, and Wellbeing Research Group,

21 Department of Sport and Physical Activity, Edge Hill University, St Helens Road, Ormskirk,

22 UK; Email: stuart.fairclough@edgehill.ac.uk

23

24 Keywords: physical activity, standardised metrics, raw accelerations, GGIR, wrist, youth

25 Word count: 4814

26 Removed section from anonymous manuscript version because it potentially identifies the
27 authors (as per email request from Camille on 7th May 2019)

28 **Methods**

29 This is a secondary analysis of data collected in the Active Schools: Skelmersdale PA pilot
30 intervention study (ClinicalTrials.gov registration: NCT03283904). The methods have been
31 described previously (8) but are outlined briefly here. Two hundred and thirty two 9-10 year
32 old participants were recruited from 7 primary schools. The schools were situated in a low
33 socioeconomic status (SES) town in West Lancashire, north-west England, where the
34 prevalence of overweight/obesity is above the national average (13). Ethical approval was
35 granted by Edge Hill University's Research Ethics Committee (reference # SPA-REC-2015-
36 330) and informed consent and assent were provided by the participants' parents/carers, and
37 the participants themselves, respectively. Data collection took place between September and
38 December 2017.

39

40 Following collection of baseline measurements, schools were randomly assigned to either
41 intervention (4 schools) or control groups (3 schools). The AS:Sk pilot intervention included
42 eight components which were implemented over 8-weeks.

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

51 **Abstract**

52 Average acceleration (AvAcc) and intensity gradient (IG) have been proposed as standardised
53 metrics describing physical activity (PA) volume and intensity, respectively. *We* examined
54 hypothesised between-group PA differences in AvAcc and IG, and their associations with
55 health and wellbeing indicators in children. ActiGraph GT9X wrist accelerometers were worn
56 for 24-h·d⁻¹ over seven days by 145 children aged 9-10. Raw accelerations were averaged per
57 5-s epoch to represent AvAcc over 24-h. IG represented the relationship between log values
58 for intensity and time. Moderate-to-vigorous PA (MVPA) was estimated using youth cutpoints.
59 BMI z-scores, waist-to-height ratio (WHtR), peak oxygen uptake (VO₂peak), Metabolic
60 Syndrome risk (MetS score), and wellbeing were assessed cross-sectionally, and 8-weeks later.
61 Hypothesised between-group differences were consistently observed for IG only ($p<.001$).
62 AvAcc was strongly correlated with MVPA ($r=0.96$), while moderate correlations were
63 observed between IG and MVPA ($r=0.50$) and AvAcc ($r=0.54$). IG was significantly associated
64 with health indicators, independent of AvAcc ($p<.001$). AvAcc was associated with wellbeing,
65 independent of IG ($p<.05$). IG was significantly associated with WHtR ($p<.01$) and MetS score
66 ($p<.05$) at 8-weeks follow-up. IG is sensitive as a gauge of PA intensity that is independent of
67 total PA volume, and which relates to important health indicators in children.

68

69 **Introduction**

70 Until recently, comparability of data collected using different accelerometer brands was not
71 possible because of the reliance on device-specific ‘counts’, which were based on proprietary
72 algorithms (1). In the last decade, the move towards increased accessibility of raw acceleration
73 signals has greatly increased the potential for cross-device comparability. However, studies
74 using raw accelerations still tend to apply population-specific and protocol-specific thresholds
75 or cutpoints to estimate time spent in different movement intensities (2-4). There is though, no
76 consensus as to the most appropriate raw acceleration cutpoints to estimate time spent in
77 different PA intensities. Application of different cutpoints can result in vastly different
78 estimates of PA (5), which is confusing for interpretation and translation of data for
79 surveillance and intervention evaluation. Most of the studies that have used raw accelerations
80 to describe PA outcomes (6-9) have employed the Euclidean norm minus one g (ENMO) metric
81 to summarise the raw acceleration signal vector magnitude (10). They have also applied
82 acceleration cutpoints from the laboratory calibration study of Hildebrand et al. (2014) (2),
83 which involved a convenience sample of 30, 7-11 year old children. These cutpoints have been
84 used frequently, but are based on a limited number of activities and have not been cross-
85 validated in free-living settings. As such, they may not be appropriate for all youth populations.

86

87 Generating further population-specific accelerometer cut-points limits comparability between
88 studies, but this could be overcome by using standardised PA metrics that could maximise data
89 comparability and the potential for data harmonisation (1). Recently, Rowlands (2018) argued
90 that accelerometer metrics should be standardised so that they are meaningful, interpretable,
91 and comparable (1). In particular, it was suggested that raw acceleration data should be used
92 and reported as (i) the average acceleration (i.e., acceleration due to movement, corrected for
93 gravity) as a measure of activity volume, and (ii) the profile of PA intensity, termed the

94 Intensity Gradient (IG) (1). The IG describes the straight line negative slope of the natural logs
95 of time and acceleration intensity (11). A better IG is reflected by a shallower (i.e., less
96 negative) slope, whereas a steeper (i.e., more negative) slope would reflect an inferior IG (11).
97 The IG reflects the entire intensity profile, rather than small proportions of cutpoint-derived
98 PA (e.g., moderate-to-vigorous intensity PA; MVPA). Further, it does not depend on the bias
99 introduced by cutpoint calibration protocols, as it uses the full range of recorded data (11).
100 Moreover, the IG is more independent of overall PA level, and therefore can be used in
101 combination with average acceleration to describe intensity and volume of the PA profile. This
102 allows the relative importance of PA intensity and volume to be examined in relation to specific
103 health outcomes (1, 11). This information could subsequently be used to inform content and
104 design of health-related interventions. Using the GENEActiv wrist accelerometer, Rowlands
105 et al. (2018) demonstrated that average acceleration and IG each explained unique variance in
106 PA profiles and were independently associated with body fatness and physical function (11).
107 Average acceleration and IG therefore have potential as standardised measures describing PA
108 volume and intensity, respectively, to explore their relative contributions to health, and to allow
109 comparisons between studies where raw acceleration signals have been used. Importantly, this
110 approach removes the complications of the ‘cutpoint conundrum’ which often render
111 meaningful between-study comparisons impossible, provide inconsistent estimates of activity
112 levels, and serve to confuse the evidence base and its interpretation (12). Generating and
113 reporting data on PA volume and intensity as standard metrics would increase comparability
114 between studies, with population-specific interpretation of the data (e.g., estimating time in
115 specific intensities) applied post-analysis by the researchers themselves as well as by others (1,
116 11).
117

118 This study further examines the utility of the average acceleration and IG metrics using the
119 ActiGraph accelerometer in a sample of primary school children. The study sought to address
120 the following objectives:

- 121 1. Investigate whether hypothesised differences in PA between sex, weight status, obesity
122 risk, metabolic risk, and cardiorespiratory fitness (CRF) status groups are apparent for
123 average acceleration, IG, and MVPA.
- 124 2. Explore the magnitude of associations between average acceleration, IG, and cutpoint-
125 based estimates of sedentary time (ST), light PA (LPA), and MVPA to determine
126 whether the IG is more independent of average acceleration than the cut-point based
127 metrics.
- 128 3. Investigate cross-sectionally, whether average acceleration and IG are independently
129 associated with obesity indicators, metabolic risk, CRF, and health-related quality of
130 life (HRQoL), when adjusting for covariates.
- 131 4. Examine the associations between baseline PA metrics and the health indicators
132 described in #3 above, measured 8-weeks later.

133

134 **Methods**

135 This is a secondary analysis of data collected in the Active Schools: Skelmersdale PA pilot
136 intervention study (ClinicalTrials.gov registration: NCT03283904). The methods have been
137 described previously (8) but are outlined briefly here. Two hundred and thirty two 9-10 year
138 old participants were recruited from 7 primary schools. The schools were situated in a low
139 socioeconomic status (SES) town in West Lancashire, north-west England, where the
140 prevalence of overweight/obesity is above the national average (13). Ethical approval was
141 granted by Edge Hill University's Research Ethics Committee (reference # SPA-REC-2015-
142 330) and informed consent and assent were provided by the participants' parents/carers, and

143 the participants themselves, respectively. Data collection took place between September and
144 December 2017.

145

146 Following collection of baseline measurements, schools were randomly assigned to either
147 intervention (4 schools) or control groups (3 schools). The AS:Sk pilot intervention included
148 eight components which were implemented over 8-weeks. The components were active
149 classroom breaks, high-intensity jumping activities, structured exercise videos,
150 running/walking activities, playground activity challenge cards, physical education teacher
151 training, parental newsletters, and PA homework. Control schools continued with their usual
152 timetabled amount of playground breaks and physical education lessons without any additional
153 time allocated for PA participation. No intervention effects were observed for MVPA but
154 sedentary time (ST) decreased in the intervention schools (8).

155

156 *Measures*

157 *Physical activity*

158 Participants wore an ActiGraph GT9X triaxial accelerometer (ActiGraph, Pensacola, FL, USA)
159 on the non-dominant wrist for 24 h·d⁻¹ over seven days. Wrist-worn accelerometers have
160 demonstrated excellent validity against energy expenditure as the criterion measure (14), and
161 in comparison to hip-worn accelerometers (14, 15). ActiGraphs recorded accelerations at 100
162 Hz, data were downloaded using ActiLife version 6.11.9 (ActiGraph, Pensacola, FL, USA),
163 and saved in raw format as GT3X files, before being converted to raw csv file format for signal
164 processing. These csv files were processed in R (<http://cran.r-project.org>) using GGIR beta
165 v1.6-1 which carried out autocalibration procedures (16), identified non-wear (10), and
166 converted the raw triaxial accelerometer signals into one omnidirectional measure of
167 acceleration (ENMO) (10). Computed average day (labelled 'AD' in GGIR) ENMO values

168 were averaged per 5 s epoch over each of the seven monitored days to represent average
169 acceleration, and were expressed in milligravitational units (mg). Accelerometer non-wear was
170 determined based on the SD and value range of the accelerations at each axis, calculated for
171 60-min windows with a 15-min sliding window (10). If for at least 2 out of the 3 axes the SD
172 was less than 13 mg or the value range was less than 50 mg, the time window was classified as
173 non-wear (10). By default, GGIR imputed non-wear data by the average at similar time points
174 on other days of the week. Therefore, participants' outcome variables were based on the
175 complete 24-h cycle (i.e., 1440 min). Participants were excluded if the ActiGraph files
176 demonstrated (i) post-calibration error greater than 0.01 g (16), (ii) less than 3 valid days of
177 wear (17), which was defined as at least 16 h d⁻¹ (11), or (iii) missing wear data for any 15-min
178 window over the 24-h cycle, indicated in GGIR by the '24-h cycle <1' variable.

179

180 Total PA was expressed as the average acceleration over 24-h. The IG metric was calculated
181 in GGIR following the method described by Rowlands et al. (2018) (11) and was represented
182 by the 'AD_IG' variable in GGIR. The IG is based on the relationship between log values for
183 intensity (i.e., incremental intensity bins, 0-25 mg, 25-50 mg, etc) and time (i.e., accumulated
184 time in each intensity bin), and is always negative, reflecting the drop in time accumulated in
185 increasing intensity bins (11). For each participant, their IG over 24-h, the constant of the linear
186 regression equation, and R^2 value (indication of the goodness of fit of the linear model) were
187 produced, as were time spent in LPA, MVPA, and time spent inactive. We used the only
188 available published ENMO prediction equations to identify cut-points for classifying activity
189 as MVPA (3 metabolic equivalents (METs; child-specific); 200 mg) (2). Inactive time was
190 defined as time accumulated below 50 mg, which is consistent with the previous average
191 acceleration and IG study (11) and recently published sedentary time thresholds (18). LPA was
192 defined as > 50 and < 200 mg.

193

194 *Obesity-related outcomes*

195 Height was measured using a portable stadiometer (Leicester Height Measure, Seca,
196 Birmingham, UK), and body mass was measured using calibrated scales (813 model, Seca).
197 Body mass index (BMI) was calculated for each participant, BMI z-scores were assigned (19)
198 and IOTF BMI cut-points applied to classify the participants as normal weight or
199 overweight/obese (underweight participants were grouped into the normal weight category)
200 (20). Waist circumference was measured using an anthropometric tape measure, and waist-to-
201 height ratio (WHtR) was calculated as a measure of central obesity (21). A WHtR of ≥ 0.5 was
202 used to categorise participants as at risk or not at risk of central obesity (22). Sex-specific
203 equations were used to predict age from peak height velocity (APHV), as a proxy measure of
204 biological maturation (23). For all measurements the participants wore shorts and t-shirt with
205 shoes removed.

206

207 *Cardiorespiratory fitness*

208 The 20-m multistage shuttle run test was conducted to provide an estimate of cardiorespiratory
209 fitness (CRF). This test has been used extensively with participants of a similar age to those in
210 the current study (24). The running speed at the last completed lap was used to estimate peak
211 oxygen uptake (VO_2 peak; ml kg min^{-1}) using the Leger et al. prediction equation (25).
212 Participants were classified as having higher or lower CRF levels using the 40th centile for VO_2
213 peak in European children, which is the normative quintile-based framework cutoff for low to
214 very low fitness (boys: $47.0 \text{ ml kg min}^{-1}$; girls: $44.4 \text{ ml kg min}^{-1}$) (24).

215

216 *Metabolic health*

217 A metabolic syndrome (MetS) score was calculated to describe metabolic risk using non-
218 invasive variables (26). Z-scores were calculated for WHtR and the inverse of CRF (1/ VO₂
219 peak), summed, then averaged to provide a MetS risk score. This approach has demonstrated
220 sensitivity and specificity of 0.85 in ROC analyses (26) against the International Diabetes
221 Federation definition of MetS encompassing obesity prevalence and elevated levels of
222 triglycerides, HDL-C, blood pressure, and glucose (27). A MetS risk z-score > 0.51 was used
223 to classify participants as low or high risk of MetS (26).

224

225 *Health-related quality of life*

226 The KIDSCREEN-10 Index questionnaire was used as a measure of global health-related
227 quality of life (HRQoL) (28). KIDSCREEN-10 Index is a 10-item questionnaire which asks
228 participants how they felt in the last week. Items reflect the factors of physical well-being,
229 psychological wellbeing, autonomy and parent relations, peers and social support, and school
230 environment, which are derived from the 27-item version of KIDSCREEN and are presented
231 using a 1-5 Likert scale (29). Raw scores were converted to T-scores using the methodology
232 described in the KIDSCREEN administration manual (28).

233

234 *Socioeconomic status*

235 Neighbourhood-level SES was calculated for each child using the 2015 Indices of Multiple
236 Deprivation (IMD) (30). The IMD is a UK government-produced deprivation measure for
237 England comprising income, employment, health, education, housing, environment, and crime.
238 IMD rank scores were generated from parent-reported home post codes using the National
239 Statistics Postcode Directory database. Every neighbourhood in England is ranked from one
240 (most deprived area) to 32,844 (least deprived area).

241

242 *Analyses*

243 Descriptive statistics were calculated for all measures using means (SD) or percentages for
244 continuous and categorical variables, respectively. The main analyses were designed to address
245 each research objective in turn. For objective 1, the dependent variables were average
246 acceleration, IG, and MVPA. Mixed linear models with random intercepts were used to adjust
247 for school-level clustering to compare each dependent variable by sex, weight status, central
248 obesity risk status, MetS risk status, and CRF status. We hypothesised that PA would be greater
249 among boys, participants with normal weight, low central obesity risk, low MetS risk, and
250 higher CRF. Bivariate Pearson correlation coefficients were calculated to address objective 2.
251 For objective 3, separate cross-sectional mixed linear models with random intercepts were
252 constructed accounting for school-level clustering. Model 1 included only the PA metric (i.e.,
253 average acceleration or IG). Model 2 was additionally adjusted for sex, maturation, and SES,
254 while Model 3 was further adjusted for the alternate metric (i.e., average acceleration or IG,
255 depending on which was the predictor) to test whether associations were independent of either
256 metric. Multicollinearity was checked using the variance inflation factor (VIF) with a VIF of
257 >5 indicating excessive multicollinearity (31). To allow comparison of the IG results with
258 MVPA, all models were repeated using average acceleration and MVPA as the alternate
259 metrics. For objective 4, the dependent variables for these analyses were average acceleration,
260 IG, and MVPA. Mixed linear models with random intercepts and adjusted for school-level
261 clustering examined the association between the baseline PA metrics and health indicators
262 measured 8-weeks later. Analyses were adjusted for the alternate PA metric, baseline health
263 indicators, group designation (i.e., Control or Intervention group), sex, BMIz, maturation, and
264 SES.

265

266 Regression coefficients in the main and interaction models were assessed for significance using
267 the Wald statistic (32). Statistical significance was set at $p < .05$ in all analyses. Analyses for
268 objectives 1, 3, and 4 were performed using MLwiN 2.26 software (Centre for Multilevel
269 Modelling, University of Bristol, UK). IBM SPSS Statistics version 23 (IBM, Armonk, NY)
270 was used to undertake analyses for research objective 2.

271

272 **Results**

273 Descriptive statistics are presented in Table 1. Baseline accelerometer data were available for
274 226 of the 232 participants (6 participants were absent on the day the accelerometers were
275 distributed). Forty-one participants wore the accelerometers for $< 16 \text{ h} \cdot \text{d}^{-1}$ for at least 3 days,
276 39 participants had incomplete accelerometer data (i.e., missing wear data for any 15-min
277 window over the 24-h cycle), and one participant had spurious accelerometer data. These
278 participants were subsequently removed, which resulted in a final analytical baseline sample
279 of 145 participants (62 boys). Almost 70% of the sample were classified as normal weight,
280 62.8% of them had higher CRF levels, and just over half engaged in at least 60 min MVPA per
281 day. There were no significant differences between included and excluded participants in
282 obesity-related variables, CRF, and HRQoL. Excluded participants were more likely to be girls
283 ($p < .05$) with more advanced somatic maturity ($p < .05$).

284

285 TABLE 1

286

287 **Objective 1.** The hypothesised differences in PA metrics between boys and girls were observed
288 for MVPA ($p < .05 - p < .001$), average acceleration ($p < .05 - p < .001$), and IG ($p < .001$) (Table
289 2). Hypothesised differences were observed for IG between normal weight and
290 overweight/obese participants ($p < .001$), between those with low and high risk of central

291 obesity ($p<.001$), those with low and high risk of MetS ($p<.001$), and those with higher and
292 lower CRF ($p<.05$).

293

294 TABLE 2

295

296 **Objective 2.** Average acceleration was strongly correlated with MVPA ($r=0.96$) and inactive
297 time ($r=-0.82$) (both $p<.001$), but only moderately with LPA ($r = 0.59$, $p<0.001$) and IG
298 ($r=0.54$, $p<.001$). IG and MVPA were moderately correlated ($r=0.50$, $p<.001$). IG was weakly
299 and non-significantly correlated with inactive time ($r=-0.13$), and LPA ($r=-0.09$).

300

301 **Objective 3.** Results of the models investigating the cross-sectional associations between
302 average acceleration and IG, with health indicators are presented in Table 3. Significant effects
303 for average acceleration or IG, independent of the alternate metric (Model 3), indicated whether
304 volume or intensity were most important for a given health indicator. Average acceleration was
305 significantly associated with BMIz, CRF, and HRQoL in the first (unadjusted) and second
306 (adjusted) models. Average acceleration was not significantly associated with BMIz, WHtR,
307 CRF, and MetS score in the third models when IG was included, indicating that the associations
308 between average acceleration and these health indicators were not independent of IG.
309 Conversely, the association between average acceleration and HRQoL was independent of IG
310 in model 3, indicating that PA volume, rather than intensity was more important for HRQoL.
311 In unadjusted and adjusted models, IG was negatively significantly associated with BMIz,
312 WHtR, and MetS risk score, and positively significantly associated with CRF, HRQoL. These
313 associations were significant independent of average acceleration in the third models, with the
314 exception of HRQoL, indicating that PA intensity rather than volume was most important for
315 the physical health indicators. When average acceleration and MVPA were included as the

316 alternate PA metrics, MVPA was positively associated with CRF and HRQoL in the unadjusted
317 models and the adjusted models 2 (Table S1). This significant association was not observed in
318 model 3 for HRQoL, which demonstrated that the association with MVPA was not independent
319 of average acceleration, while the significant association between CRF and MVPA was
320 maintained in model 3. MVPA was also significantly associated with MetS score in model 3,
321 indicating that this association was independent of average acceleration. Average acceleration
322 was significantly associated with BMIz, WHtR, CRF, and MetS score when adjusted for
323 MVPA in the third models. In all analyses the VIF values ranged from 1.02 (IMD rank) to 4.26
324 (sex).

325

326 TABLE 3

327

328 **Objective 4.** When baseline and follow-up health indicator data were merged, nine participants
329 were lost due to absence on the day of data collection. This resulted in an analytical sample of
330 136 participants. WHtR and MetS score at follow-up were significantly associated with
331 baseline IG, independent of average acceleration (Table 4). Specifically, significant inverse
332 associations were observed between baseline IG and follow-up WHtR ($p < .01$) and MetS score
333 ($p < .05$), indicating that PA intensity at baseline was more important than volume for follow-
334 up WHtR and MetS score. When the analyses were repeated with baseline average acceleration
335 and MVPA as the alternate metrics, no significant associations were observed with any health
336 indicators at follow-up (Table S2). The Beta values of the health indicators were greatest when
337 baseline IG was the predictor variable compared to average acceleration and MVPA.

338

339 TABLE 4

340

341 An example of translation and interpretation of the descriptive results is presented in Figure 1,
342 which shows the activity profile for the sample categorised by IG tertile. Acceleration is
343 described according to thresholds of 0-49 mg (inactive time), 50-199 mg (pottering/slow
344 walking; LPA), 200-699 mg (brisk walking/jogging; MPA), and 700 mg+ (slow to fast running;
345 VPA). The time spent inactive is at the base of each column. The time spent inactive and in
346 pottering/slow walking was similar across tertiles. For participants in the High IG tertile, ~12
347 min and ~20 min more time was spent in activities equivalent to brisk walking/jogging,
348 compared to participants in the Medium and Low IG tertiles, respectively. Moreover, High IG
349 participants accumulated almost twice as much time in the highest intensity acceleration
350 activities (i.e., slow-to-fast running), than those in the medium tertile, and three times as much
351 time as peers in the low IG group.

352

353 FIGURE 1

354

355 **Discussion**

356 This is the first study to use the ActiGraph GT9X wrist accelerometer with primary-school
357 aged children, to investigate the utility of average acceleration and IG relative to hypothesised
358 between-group differences in PA, and in relation to associations with cutpoint-based PA
359 metrics, and health indicators. The higher average acceleration (45.4 mg) and lower IG (-1.96)
360 values observed in our primary school sample, compared to previously reported values for
361 adolescent girls (36.3 mg and -2.47) and adults (22.1 mg and -3.11) (11) are consistent with
362 expected age-related differences in PA (33, 34). When we investigated hypothesised PA
363 differences between dichotomised groups defined by weight status, obesity risk, MetS risk, and
364 CRF status, significant differences were observed for IG in all analyses, whereby the 'healthier'
365 groups had more favourable (i.e., shallower) intensity profiles, which are indicative of

366 engagement in relatively higher PA intensities. When the analyses were repeated with average
367 acceleration and MVPA, no between-group differences were evident. The significant
368 differences in IG in all analyses offers support for the potentially greater sensitivity of this
369 metric to detect between group differences in PA. This is possibly because the IG reflects the
370 full intensity spectrum and uses all of the acceleration information available (35), compared to
371 cutpoint-based methods which are subject to greater sources of error (36) and which only
372 represent a small proportion of the day (e.g., 63.9 min of MVPA or 4% of the day in this
373 sample).

374

375 The magnitudes of the correlations between IG and inactivity, LPA, and MVPA ($r = -0.09 -$
376 0.50) were smaller than those observed between average acceleration and the cutpoint-based
377 outcomes ($r = 0.59 - 0.96$). These analyses confirm previous work (11), showing that IG is
378 more independent of average acceleration (i.e., volume of PA) than the cutpoint-based
379 outcomes. Furthermore, the stronger correlations between IG and MVPA compared to those
380 with LPA and inactivity, demonstrate the utility of IG as a PA metric that captures higher
381 intensity PA, which has greatest health benefits (6, 35). The cross-sectional relationships
382 between the PA metrics and indicators of adiposity mirrored those reported in adolescent girls
383 (11) whereby in adjusted analyses, IG was significantly associated with BMIz and WHtR,
384 independent of average acceleration. The same outcome was observed with CRF and MetS
385 score as the dependent variables, indicating that PA intensity is more important than PA volume
386 for these health indicators. In adjusted models, average acceleration was significantly
387 associated with BMIz and CRF but these relationships were no longer significant when IG was
388 added. In contrast, when average acceleration and MVPA (rather than IG) were included in the
389 models, a significant independent association was only observed between MVPA and MetS
390 score. This is consistent with studies using ActiGraph counts which demonstrated time spent

391 in higher intensities of PA were most strongly associated with cardiometabolic risk (37, 38).
392 These findings provide further evidence of the sensitivity of IG as an indicator of PA intensity
393 that is relatively independent of total volume of PA (i.e., average acceleration), and which
394 relates to important indicators of physical health in children.

395

396 Further interpretation of these findings is possible by considering the unit change in health
397 indicators relative to the change in IG. The SD of the IG in our sample was 0.14 which we
398 employed with the final regression models to demonstrate predicted change in the health
399 indicators (represented by the Beta values in Table 3). For example, a 0.14 increase in IG would
400 be reflected by the following changes: BMIz Δ -0.57, WHtR Δ -0.03, VO₂ peak Δ +1.93
401 ml·kg·min⁻¹, and MetS score Δ -0.23. Such a change in BMIz is greater than reductions
402 reported in intervention studies among obese (39) and non-obese children (40). Moreover, the
403 equivalent change in CRF for a 0.14 increase in IG would be sufficient to shift a 10-year old
404 child up approximately two deciles of recently published normative VO₂ peak values (24).
405 Thus, improved engagement in higher intensity activities (for example through intervention
406 programming, active play, sports participation, etc) would be reflected by shallower intensity
407 profiles represented by the IG, which are associated with meaningful and favourable changes
408 in physical health indicators. In keeping with recent recommendations, there is a need to
409 provide further translation examples so these new PA metrics are interpretable and user-
410 friendly (1). For example, applying the procedure described by Rowlands et al. (11) children
411 in the current sample would need to replace time spent at the average acceleration with brisk
412 walking for 2-h, slow running for 24-min, or medium-paced running for 19-min, accumulated
413 across the day, in order to increase their average acceleration by 1 SD (13.1 mg). Such changes
414 could be achieved through increased participation in daily PA opportunities such as active
415 school commuting (41) (i.e., brisk walking), and school-based activities such as active recess

416 play (42) and co-curricular activities like running programmes that are becoming increasingly
417 popular in primary school settings (43) (i.e., slow and medium-paced running). For each child,
418 such changes would have an impact on their IG values, with the greatest impact coming from
419 the more intense activities (i.e. running) (11), as described in Figure 1. Therefore, running (or
420 activities of an equivalent intensity) could be recommended for BMIz, WHtR, MetS score, and
421 CRF, which demonstrated an independent effect of IG. Further translation of incremental
422 intensity distributions using health-related acceleration thresholds or indicative activity modes,
423 could also be used to aid public health messaging and intervention programme design.

424

425 The analyses of baseline PA metrics relative to the health indicators measured 8-weeks later
426 resulted in significant associations between IG and WHtR and MetS score. When the Beta
427 values were summed by IG SD of 0.14, this resulted in predicted changes of -0.01 WHtR units
428 and -0.07 MetS score. Although such changes are favourable, the short follow-up period limits
429 how meaningful the magnitude of these associations are. Longitudinal studies of at least 2-
430 years have demonstrated prospective associations between MVPA and decreased fat mass (44),
431 and metabolic risk (45), between absence of organised sport participation and increased BMIz
432 (46), and between VPA and decreases in BMIz and waist circumference, and increases in CRF
433 (47). These prospective associations reinforce the importance of higher intensity PA for health
434 in children, and our findings show that IG is sensitive to capture such higher intensity PA,
435 independent of PA volume, albeit over a relatively short follow-up period.

436

437 The association between HRQoL and IG was not independent of average acceleration, while
438 the the opposite was true when average acceleration was the metric of interest. This suggests
439 that simply moving more (i.e., increasing PA volume), irrespective of intensity was positively
440 associated with increased HRQoL. PA metrics have seldom been studied in relation to

441 wellbeing, and this is the first study to examine the utility of the IG in relation to HRQoL as a
442 wellbeing indicator. A recent systematic review reported that there were inconclusive
443 relationships between PA and wellbeing, mainly due to lack of consistent PA and wellbeing
444 outcome measures between studies, with greatest variability in the latter (48). For example, it
445 was found that children who self-reported meeting the 60 min·d⁻¹ MVPA guideline scored
446 higher on the KIDSCREEN-52 dimensions of self-perceptions, social acceptance, and social
447 support (49). A similar finding was observed among youth who self-reported time spent in
448 various sports (deemed equivalent to MVPA) and completed the PedsQL HRQoL
449 questionnaire (50). In contrast, an intervention study where children wore a hip-mounted
450 ActiGraph and completed the KIDSCREEN-27 reported increased MVPA in the control group,
451 yet no corresponding changes in HRQoL (51). Further work is needed to better understand the
452 inter-relationships between objective PA metrics and HRQoL. This would be helped by more
453 consistent choice of measures, which reinforces support to the call for the use of average
454 acceleration and IG as standard PA metrics (1).

455

456 This study is limited by the modestly-sized sample that was located in a low SES English town,
457 which may inhibit generalisability of the findings to other populations. Moreover, most of the
458 analyses were cross-sectional, which prohibits conclusions about causality between the PA
459 metrics and health indicators. The cutpoints used to determine MVPA may have been subject to
460 population-specific and protocol-specific biases, which could have influenced the accuracy of
461 the reported MVPA estimates. Further, although accelerometer wear averaged 19.2
462 hours·valid d⁻¹ for 5.2 valid days we cannot be certain that the wear time criteria applied were
463 suitable for use with the average acceleration and IG metrics. Future work may be needed to
464 establish the wear time criteria required to reliably estimate typical 7-day values for these new
465 metrics. The 8-weeks follow-up measures were a strength of the study, but this period may not

466 have been long enough to make meaningful inferences about the associations with the baseline
467 PA metrics. Other strengths included the use of mixed linear models to account for school-
468 level variance and the inclusion of known correlates of PA in the models. Furthermore, the
469 study reported associations between the PA metrics and a range of important physical and
470 mental health indicators.

471

472 **Conclusions**

473 This is the first study to examine the utility of the recently introduced average acceleration and
474 IG metrics using the wrist-worn ActiGraph monitor with primary-school aged children.
475 Significant differences in IG were observed between sex, weight status, central obesity risk,
476 and CRF status groups. Moreover, IG was significantly associated with BMIz, WHtR, CRF,
477 and MetS score independent of average acceleration. The magnitude of these associations
478 reflected meaningfully beneficial changes in health indicators. Significant associations of a
479 smaller magnitude were apparent between baseline IG and WHtR and MetS score at 8-weeks
480 follow-up. The results provide further evidence of the utility of average acceleration and IG to
481 describe children's PA, and go beyond those reported previously reported, by including health
482 indicators reflecting CRF, metabolic risk, and HRQoL, rather than just obesity-related
483 measures. The IG can be considered a meaningful PA metric that is sensitive as a gauge of PA
484 intensity, that is independent of total volume of PA, and which relates to important indicators
485 of physical health in children.

486

487 **Discosure of interest**

488 The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

489

490 **Data access statement**

491 The data that support the findings of this study are available at <https://osf.io/tfpk9/>.

492

493 **Acknowledgements (including author contributions)**

494 We are grateful to the children and teachers for their participation in the project, and West
495 Lancashire Sport Partnership for their assistance with the data collection. The study was funded
496 by West Lancashire Sport Partnership, West Lancashire Leisure Trust, and Edge Hill University.

497 AVR is with the NIHR Leicester Biomedical Research Centre, and the Collaboration for
498 leadership in Applied Health Research and Care (CLAHRC) East Midlands. The views
499 expressed are those of the authors and not necessarily those of the NHS, NIHR, or Department

500 of Health. SJF conceived and designed the study, performed the data analyses, and drafted the
501 manuscript. ST contributed to the study design, collected the data, and edited and commented
502 on the manuscript. AVR advised on the data processing and analyses, and edited and

503 commented on the manuscript. LMB advised on the data analyses and edited and commented
504 on the manuscript. RJN contributed to the study design, edited and commented on the manuscript.
505 All authors had final approval of the submitted manuscript.

506

507 **References**

- 508 1. Rowlands AV. Moving forward with accelerometer-assessed physical activity: two
509 strategies to ensure meaningful, interpretable, and comparable measures. *Pediatr Exerc Sci.*
510 2018;30:450-6.
- 511 2. Hildebrand M, Van Hees VT, Hansen BH, Ekelund U. Age-group comparability of
512 raw accelerometer output from wrist- and hip-worn monitors. *Med Sci Sports Exerc.*
513 2014;46(9):1816-24.
- 514 3. Phillips LR, Parfitt G, Rowlands AV. Calibration of the GENEActiv accelerometer for
515 assessment of physical activity intensity in children. *J Sci Med Sport.* 2012;16(2):124-8.
- 516 4. Schaefer CA, Nigg CR, Hill JO, Brink LA, Browning RC. Establishing and
517 evaluating wrist cutpoints for the GENEActiv accelerometer in youth. *Med Sci Sports Exerc.*
518 2014;46:826-33.
- 519 5. Bornstein DB, Beets MW, Byun W, Welk G, Bottai M, Dowda M, et al. Equating
520 accelerometer estimates of moderate-to-vigorous physical activity: In search of the Rosetta
521 Stone. *J Sci Med Sport.* 2011;14(5):404-10.
- 522 6. Fairclough SJ, Dumuid D, Taylor S, Curry W, McGrane B, Stratton G, et al. Fitness,
523 fatness and the reallocation of time between children's daily movement behaviours: an
524 analysis of compositional data. *Int J Behav Nutr Phys Activity.* 2017;14(1):64.
- 525 7. Fairclough SJ, Noonan R, Rowlands AV, Van Hees V, Knowles Z, Boddy LM. Wear
526 compliance and activity in children wearing wrist- and hip-mounted accelerometers. *Med Sci*
527 *Sports Exerc.* 2016;48(2):245-53.
- 528 8. Taylor S, Noonan R, Knowles Z, Owen M, McGrane B, Curry W, et al. Evaluation of
529 a pilot school-based physical activity clustered randomised controlled trial—Active Schools:
530 Skelmersdale. *Int J Environ Res Public Health.* 2018;15(5):doi:10.3390/ijerph15051011.

- 531 9. Rowlands AV, Harrington DM, Bodicoat DH, Davies MJ, Sherar LB, Gorely T, et al.
532 Compliance of adolescent girls to repeated deployments of wrist-worn accelerometers. *Med*
533 *Sci Sports Exerc.* 2018;50(7):1508-17.
- 534 10. van Hees VT, Gorzelniak L, León EC, Eder M, Pias Mo, Taherian S, et al. Separating
535 movement and gravity components in an acceleration signal and implications for the
536 assessment of human daily physical activity. *PLoS ONE.* 2013;8(4):e61691. doi:
537 10.1371/journal.pone.0061691.
- 538 11. Rowlands AV, Edwardson CL, Davies MJ, Khunti K, Harrington DM, Yates T.
539 Beyond cut-points: accelerometer metrics that capture the physical activity profile. *Med Sci*
540 *Sports Exerc.* 2018;50(6):1323-32.
- 541 12. Migueles JH, Cadenas-Sanchez C, Tudor-Locke C, Löf M, Esteban-Cornejo I,
542 Molina-Garcia P, et al. Comparability of published cut-points for the assessment of physical
543 activity: Implications for data harmonization. *Scand J Med Sci Sports.* 2019;29:566-74.
- 544 13. Collins S. *The Seven Wards: A Focus on Skelmersdale.* Preston, England: Lancashire
545 County Council; 2015.
- 546 14. Esliger DW, Rowlands AV, Hurst TL, Catt M, Murray P, Eston RG. Validation of the
547 GENEa accelerometer. *Med Sci Sports Exerc.* 2011;43(6):1085-93.
- 548 15. Rowlands AV, Rennie K, Kozarski R, Stanley RM, Eston RG, Parfitt GC, et al.
549 Children's physical activity assessed with wrist- and hip-worn accelerometers. *Med Sci*
550 *Sports Exerc.* 2014;46(12):2308-16.
- 551 16. van Hees VT, Fang Z, Langford J, Assah F, Mohammad A, da Silva IC, et al.
552 Autocalibration of accelerometer data for free-living physical activity assessment using local
553 gravity and temperature: an evaluation on four continents. *J Appl Physiol.* 2014;117(7):738-
554 44.

- 555 17. Rich C, Geraci M, Griffiths L, Sera F, Dezateux C, Cortina-Borja M. Quality control
556 methods in accelerometer data processing: defining minimum wear time. PLoS ONE.
557 2013;8(6):e67206. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0067206.
- 558 18. Hurter L, Fairclough SJ, Knowles Z, Porcellato L, Cooper-Ryan A, Boddy L.
559 Establishing raw acceleration thresholds to classify sedentary and stationary behaviour in
560 children. Children. 2018;5(12):172. doi: 10.3390/children5120172.
- 561 19. Cole T, Freeman J, Preece M. Body mass index reference curves for the UK, 1990.
562 Arch Dis Child. 1995;73(1):25 - 9.
- 563 20. Cole T, Bellizzi M, Flegal K, Dietz W. Establishing a standard definition for child
564 overweight and obesity worldwide: international survey. Br Med J. 2000;320:1240 - 3.
- 565 21. Mokha JS, Srinivasan SR, DasMahapatra P, Fernandez C, Chen W, Xu J, et al. Utility
566 of waist-to-height ratio in assessing the status of central obesity and related cardiometabolic
567 risk profile among normal weight and overweight/obese children: The Bogalusa Heart Study.
568 BMC Pediatr. 2010;10(1):73. doi: 10.1186/1471-2431-10-73.
- 569 22. Mehta SK. Waist circumference to height ratio in children and adolescents. Clinical
570 Pediatr. 2015;54(7):652-8.
- 571 23. Moore SA, McKay HA, Macdonald H, Nettleford L, Baxter-Jones ADG, Cameron
572 N, et al. Enhancing a somatic maturity prediction model. Med Sci Sports Exerc.
573 2015;47(8):1755-64.
- 574 24. Tomkinson GR, Carver KD, Atkinson F, Daniell ND, Lewis LK, Fitzgerald JS, et al.
575 European normative values for physical fitness in children and adolescents aged 9–17 years:
576 results from 2 779 165 Eurofit performances representing 30 countries. Br J Sports Med.
577 2018;52(22):1445-14563.
- 578 25. Leger LA, Mercier D, Gadoury C, Lambert J. The multistage 20 metre shuttle run test
579 for aerobic fitness. J Sports Sci. 1988;6:93-101.

- 580 26. Andersen LB, Lauersen JB, Brond JC, Anderssen SA, Sardinha LB, Steene-
581 Johannessen J, et al. A new approach to define and diagnose cardiometabolic disorder in
582 children. *J Diabetes Res.* 2015;2015:10. doi: 10.1155/2015/539835.
- 583 27. Zimmet P, Alberti KGM, Kaufman F, Tajima N, Silink M, Arslanian S, et al. The
584 metabolic syndrome in children and adolescents – an IDF consensus report. *Pediatr Diabetes.*
585 2007;8(5):299-306.
- 586 28. KIDSCREEN Group Europe. The KIDSCREEN Questionnaires - Quality of life
587 questionnaires for children and adolescents. Handbook. Lengerich: Pabst Science Publishers;
588 2006.
- 589 29. Ravens-Sieberer U, Gosch A, Rajmil L, Erhart M, Bruil J, Duer W, et al.
590 KIDSCREEN-52 quality-of-life measure for children and adolescents. *Expert Rev*
591 *Pharmacoeconomics & Outcomes Res.* 2005;5(3):353-64.
- 592 30. Department for Communities and Local Government. The English Indices of
593 Deprivation. Statistical Release. London: DCLG; 2015.
- 594 31. Montgomery D, Peck E, Vining G. *Introduction to Linear Regression Analysis.* New
595 York: John Wiley and Sons, Inc.; 2001.
- 596 32. Twisk JWR. *Applied Multilevel Analysis.* Cambridge: Cambridge University Press;
597 2006.
- 598 33. Farooq MA, Parkinson KN, Adamson AJ, Pearce MS, Reilly JK, Hughes AR, et al.
599 Timing of the decline in physical activity in childhood and adolescence: Gateshead
600 Millennium Cohort Study. *Br J Sports Med.* 2017;52:1002-6.
- 601 34. Doherty AR, Jackson D, Hammerla N, Plötz T, Olivier P, Granat MH, et al. Large
602 scale population assessment of physical activity using wrist worn accelerometers: The UK
603 Biobank Study. *PLoS ONE.* 2017;12(2):e0169649. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0169649.

- 604 35. Aadland E, Andersen LB, Anderssen SA, Resaland GK, Kvalheim OM. Associations
605 of volumes and patterns of physical activity with metabolic health in children: A multivariate
606 pattern analysis approach. *Prev Med.* 2018;115:12-8.
- 607 36. Troiano RP, McClain JJ, Brychta RJ, Chen KY. Evolution of accelerometer methods
608 for physical activity research. *Br J Sports Med.* 2014;48(13):1019-23.
- 609 37. Anderson CB, Hagstromer M, Yngve A. Validation of the PDPAR as an adolescent
610 diary: Effect of accelerometer cut points. *Med Sci Sports Exerc.* 2005;37:1224-30.
- 611 38. Tarp J, Child A, White T, Westgate K, Bugge A, Grøntved A, et al. Physical activity
612 intensity, bout-duration, and cardiometabolic risk markers in children and adolescents. *Int J*
613 *Obes.* 2018;42:1639-50.
- 614 39. Ho M, Garnett SP, Baur L, Burrows T, Stewart L, Neve M, et al. Effectiveness of
615 lifestyle interventions in child obesity: systematic review with meta-analysis. *Pediatr.*
616 2012;130(6):e1647-71.
- 617 40. Fairclough SJ, Hackett A, Davies I, Gobbi R, Mackintosh K, Warburton G, et al.
618 Promoting healthy weight in primary school children through physical activity and nutrition
619 education: a pragmatic evaluation of the CHANGE! randomised intervention study. *BMC*
620 *Public Health.* 2013;13(1):626. doi: 10.1186/1471-2458-13-626.
- 621 41. Cooper AR, Page AS, Wheeler BW, Griew P, Davis L, Hillsdon M, et al. Mapping
622 the walk to school using accelerometry combined with a global positioning system. *Am J*
623 *Prev Med.* 2010;38(2):178-83.
- 624 42. Ridgers ND, Salmon J, Parrish A-M, Stanley RM, Okely AD. Physical activity during
625 school recess: a systematic review. *Am J Prev Med.* 2012;43(3):320-8.
- 626 43. Chesham RA, Booth JN, Sweeney EL, Ryde GC, Gorely T, Brooks NE, et al. The
627 Daily Mile makes primary school children more active, less sedentary and improves their

628 fitness and body composition: a quasi-experimental pilot study. *BMC Med.* 2018;16(1):64.
629 doi: 10.1186/s12916-018-1049-z.

630 44. Riddoch CJ, Leary SD, Ness AR, Blair SN, Deere K, Mattocks C, et al. Prospective
631 associations between objective measures of physical activity and fat mass in 12-14 year old
632 children: the Avon Longitudinal Study of Parents and Children (ALSPAC). *Br Med J.*
633 2009;339: doi: 10.1136/bmj.b4544.

634 45. Metcalf BS, Voss LD, Hosking J, Jeffery AN, Wilkin TJ. Physical activity at the
635 government-recommended level and obesity-related health outcomes: a longitudinal study
636 (Early Bird 37). *Arch Dis Child.* 2008;93(9):772-7.

637 46. Koning M, Hoekstra T, de Jong E, Visscher TLS, Seidell JC, Renders CM.
638 Identifying developmental trajectories of body mass index in childhood using latent class
639 growth (mixture) modelling: associations with dietary, sedentary and physical activity
640 behaviors: a longitudinal study. *BMC Public Health.* 2016;16(1):1128. doi: 10.1186/s12889-
641 016-3757-7.

642 47. Carson V, Rinaldi RL, Torrance B, Maximova K, Ball GDC, Majumdar SR, et al.
643 Vigorous physical activity and longitudinal associations with cardiometabolic risk factors in
644 youth. *Int J Obes.* 2013;38:16-21.

645 48. Rafferty R, Breslin G, Brennan D, Hassan D. A systematic review of school-based
646 physical activity interventions on children's wellbeing. *Int Rev Sport Exerc Psychol.*
647 2016;9:215-30.

648 49. Breslin G, Gossrau-Breen D, McCay N, Gilmore G, Macdonald L, Hanna D. Physical
649 activity, gender, weight status, and wellbeing in 9- to 11-year-old children: a cross-sectional
650 survey. *J Phys Act Health.* 2012;9(3):394-401.

- 651 50. Gopinath B, Hardy LL, Baur LA, Burlutsky G, Mitchell P. Physical activity and
652 sedentary behaviors and health-related quality of life in adolescents. *Pediatr.*
653 2012;130(1):e167-e74.
- 654 51. Ha AS, Burnett A, Sum R, Medic N, Ng JYY. Outcomes of the rope skipping ‘STAR’
655 programme for schoolchildren. 2015;45(1):233-240.
- 656

657 Table 1. Descriptive characteristics of the study sample

658 Table 2. Between-group differences in MVPA, average acceleration, and intensity gradient

659 Table 3. Cross-sectional associations between the physical activity metrics and health
660 indicators

661 Table 4. Associations between baseline physical activity metrics and health indicators
662 measured 8-weeks later

663 Figure 1. Accumulated time spent in acceleration ranges of participants categorised by
664 intensity gradient tertile

665