

Hearn, Jasmine and Dewji, Mohamed and Stocker, Claire and Simons, Greg (2019) Patient-centered medical education: A proposed definition. Medical Teacher. ISSN 0142-159X

Downloaded from: http://e-space.mmu.ac.uk/622992/

Publisher: Taylor & Francis

DOI: https://doi.org/10.1080/0142159x.2019.1597258

Please cite the published version

https://e-space.mmu.ac.uk

1 This is a post-peer-review, pre-copyedit version of an article published in *Medical Teacher.*
2 The final authenticated version is available online at:
3 https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/full/10.1080/0142159X.2019.1597258?scroll=top&needAc
4 cess=true

5 **Patient-centred medical education: A proposed definition**

6 Key words: undergraduate medical education; patient-centred care; patient involvement;
7 service users

8

9 **Abstract**

10 Multiple papers have been presented to define patient-centred care, with regulatory bodies such as the
11 General Medical Council mapping this in their professional standards. Educational institutions clearly value
12 instilling appreciation of patient-centredness in medical training, and attempts have been made to make
13 medical education more patient-centred in practice. Such attempts are often limited to expert patients
14 sharing personal stories, and public involvement in teaching. Despite the drive towards patient-centred
15 care and medical education, there has been no attempt to formally define what patient-centred medical
16 education is and what it means to medical educators globally. This paper proposes a definition of patient-
17 centred medical education that is **about** the patients, **with** the patients, and **for** the patients, to ensure
18 current and future doctors remain sensitive to all of the needs of the people they care for. This should be
19 considered at both the micro and macro community levels.

Patient-Centred Care

Patient-centredness is increasingly prioritised across medical schools and medical practice, with the General Medical Council (GMC) standards for Promoting Excellence in Medical Education (2015) emphasising its importance in the UK. However, patient-centredness may be poorly understood and there have been few attempts to formally define *patient-centred medical education*. This underscores the key aim of the present paper.

In order to begin to define patient-centred medical education, it is important to draw on our current understanding of *patient-centred care*. Few common definitions can be found across the literature (Kitson, 2013), but three core themes can be identified: patient participation and involvement, the relationship between the patient and the healthcare professional, and the context in which health care is delivered. That is, health care is delivered in a way that is meaningful and valuable to the individual patient. It has also been recognised that the best way of measuring patient-centredness is an assessment made by the patients themselves, with evidence (Little *et al.*, 2001) highlighting that patients want patient-centred care which *(a)* explores the patients' main reason for the visit, concerns, and need for information; *(b)* seeks an integrated understanding of the patients' world—that is, their whole person, emotional needs, and life issues; *(c)* finds common ground on what the problem is and mutually agrees on management; *(d)* enhances prevention and health promotion; and *(e)* enhances the continuing relationship between the patient and the doctor.

Patient-centred clinical practice is a holistic concept, in which components interact and unite in a unique way in each patient-doctor encounter, implying the requirement for doctors to be flexible in their approach to each patient. This is supported by the recent White Paper published by the Department of Health (2012), which set out the UK government's vision of a National Health Service (NHS) that puts patients first; where 'no decision about me, without me' is to be the norm. This has led to agreement that medical education is required to support the development of doctors who can effectively partner with patients, families, and other healthcare disciplines to foster optimal patient outcomes (American College of Physicians, 2018). For this approach to become embedded in doctors' daily practice, it is imperative that deep appreciation of the utility of a strong partnership and improving/managing health through the patient's eyes is fostered from the outset in medical training.

Patient-Centred Medical Education

So as to illuminate the current understanding of patient-centred medical education, a systematic approach was used to search MEDLINE in May 2018 to identify any publications that

described patient-centred medical education. This included the following search strategy: 'patient-centred' OR 'patient-centered' AND 'medical education'. The search was limited to results from 2000 to 2018 and to full-text articles. 123 articles were identified, at which point titles and abstracts were screened and any referring to patient-centred care only were removed. A final eight articles were identified that made reference to patient-centred medical education (see Figure 1). These are discussed further below.

INSERT FIGURE 1 HERE

All of the articles identified made reference to patient-centred medical education, with many attempting to describe what patient-centred medical education looks like in practice. For example, 'patient-centred learning' has been described as focus on patients who have medical problems or are being seen in practice for the purpose of health maintenance, particularly those seen multiple times over the course of the students' training (Smith, Cookson, McKendree, and Harden, 2001; Walters and Brooks, 2016. There is a need for community-based learning (Howe, 2001) with a call for education to make use of the developing digital technologies that can contribute to patient- centred care (Glick and Moore, 2001).

However, no published work could be found that has formally and holistically integrated patient-centredness into the entire undergraduate medical curriculum. This may lead to a lack of evidence and guiding structures upon which to develop and evaluate undergraduate medical curricula. To progress, we need a working definition of this concept and our proposed definition is:

*'Patient-centred medical education is **about** the patients, **with** the patients, and **for** the patients, to ensure current and future doctors remain sensitive to all of the needs of the people for whom they care.'*

There have been calls to make medical education more patient-centred. Previous attempts to integrate patient-centredness into medical education have been made by Barr, Ogden and Rooney (2014), who have implied delivering this remit by introducing senior medical students to patient-partner-programmes. They report on students meeting with a patient partner with a chronic illness to hear their narrative and practice consultation skills. Others initiatives include

the use of expert patients in teaching and assessment (Towle & Godolphin, 2013), and contributions from the community in student selection, and curriculum development, implementation and evaluation (Spencer & McKimm, 2010). The Ladder of Patient Involvement (Tew, Gell, & Foster, 2004) denotes five levels of patient involvement in medical education, ranging from 'no involvement' (level 1) through to 'systemic and strategic involvement in all key decisions' and 'consistent participation in teaching sessions' (level 5: partnership) in which patients are valued as peers, recognize themselves as such and are made aware in detail of the improved education and how this impacts back on the patients themselves. However, the primary focus of medical education and discussions remain focused on what the trainee is required to do in order to reach a diagnosis and effectively treat the condition. Such discussions invariably stop short of addressing how the medical professional can best understand the social circumstances surrounding the patient, and the holistic impact that a diagnosis has on someone's life. This underscores the need for a central definition of patient-centred medical education upon which medical curricula can develop to best meet those needs and mitigate the impacts. The aspiration has to be that upon graduation, students have developed the commitment and skills to provide patient-centred approaches in their future career.

About the Patients

This element of the definition principally describes the local morbidity and mortality within the social and cultural context of the patients in their environment, acknowledging that patients do not exist in isolation, but are in communities. The base envelope covers the local causes of morbidity and mortality; equally factors such as the wider family, socioeconomic, ethnic and other pertinent groupings and circumstances have a significant impact on health and health equity (Braveman, 2014), and should be considered in educational settings. Traditionally educational publications have been focussed on long term conditions with their impact on multi-morbidity. This may well be due to the relative focus in a developed health system. In a developing country, greater focus may be placed on communicable diseases and macro factors affecting the health of the patients as a whole. Medical curricula are already themed on mental and physical disease, and regulatory guidance encourages this approach to consider the wider cultural and social backgrounds (GMC, 2018). Whilst this inspires a broader understanding

122 and learning of the health issues for the patient population, the challenge is for curricula to
123 demonstrate an analysis of that population group's needs and is then adaptive as those needs
124 develop.
125
126 ***With the Patients***
127 In addition to the wider sociocultural context in which the patient lives, their unique, individual
128 health and illness context balanced with the communication and consultation challenges
129 presented are just as important to consider, when studying the diagnostic challenge. Indeed,
130 patients are not simply collections of organ systems requiring pharmacological intervention,
131 but present as humans with historical and cultural narratives, values, goals, concerns, and
132 sexual and relational functioning. As such, the GMC advocate that Medical Schools should
133 provide students with opportunities for early patient contact that increases as the student
134 progresses, to follow patients through care pathways, and to learn about the role of the
135 aforementioned narratives and values in health and health care (GMC, 2015). Any curriculum
136 that places emphasis on these, and values the patient as an integral partner within the
137 curriculum, is anticipated to ultimately yield more successful outcomes in teaching and
138 learning (GMC, 2011).
139 Such integration will be diverse, dependent on the local sociocultural context and will develop
140 over time as the population base changes and the trainee's expertise matures. This should be
141 recognised in curricula, an endeavour undertaken using a complexity model approach at our
142 School. Here, students are taught to approach clinical problems with their physical, mental and
143 social dimensions in their entirety in order to achieve a pragmatic solution that fits the
144 individual patient's context. This is done by taking account of this clinical complexity and
145 breaking it down into constituent parts which then inform the curriculum. As such, clinical
146 problems that students encounter at the start of the curriculum begin relatively simply,
147 including single morbidity patients. As they progress through the curriculum, students are
148 exposed to clinical problems that increase in therapeutic, diagnostic, and psychosocial
149 complexity, such that their appreciation of patient-centredness develops appropriately and in
150 accordance with their clinical knowledge. The complexity model will need further discussion
151 as the debate and definition of patient centredness matures.
152
153 ***For the Patients***
154 The definition proposed above draws on the quote by Abraham Lincoln: 'Government
155 of the people, by the people, for the people, shall not perish from the Earth'. Just as Mr Lincoln

was keen to break the link between aristocracy and government, perhaps now is the appropriate time to acknowledge that medical education in general, and undergraduate medical education in particular, has for too long been dominated by a western, first-world perspective. It is therefore proposed that governance of medical education requires input from the patients who will be served by tomorrow's doctors at every level. This naturally requires the involvement of patients in medical education, who can act as a powerful vehicle to provide insight from the patient perspective as to where educational priorities should be placed and whether desired attitudes are being effectively developed and integrated into students' daily practice. However, this requires that patient and public involvement moves beyond tokenistic inclusion on Boards, such that patients are placed at the centre of medical education, rather than on the periphery. Their involvement should, move towards holistic inclusion in the selection of medical students, curriculum development, teaching, assessment, feedback, and quality assurance and governance. Caring for, and improving the health of, patients is at the heart of what it is to be a doctor and should therefore be at the heart of medical education. Holding this, and other vocational attitudes and values, is fundamental to the professionalism that any medical teaching organisation aspires to engender.

The role of patient-centred education in teaching professionalism

The essence of this definition of patient-centredness has been adopted by the Academy of Medical Educators (AoME, 2017). The AoME describe five core values of medical practice, each of which is underpinned by professionalism and ethical values (see Figure 4). Through engagement with assessments of professionalism (e.g. via revalidation by regulatory bodies and personal reflection), students and practitioners can demonstrate commitment to patient-centred care and continued patient-centred education and development (Phelps & Dalton, 2013). However, demonstration of and commitment to professionalism is most effectively developed through receipt of feedback, which can enhance deep learning and personal integration of professionalism (Papadakis *et al,* 2001). Students often receive feedback regarding clinical exposure from medical practitioners, patients and their peers as part of their ongoing professional development planning discussions, thereby demonstrating a patient-centred approach to learning in the context of professionalism. Reflection on patient feedback can be recorded by educators, but also, and often more efficiently, by students themselves (in keeping with the constructivist learning style). Students can reliably evaluate their peers

189 (Arnold, 1981) and peer-rating forms have high inter-assessor concordance when measuring
190 professional behaviour (Davis and Inamdar, 1988). This form of reflection on patient feedback
191 is especially desirable for professionalism training considering that peer-assessment facilitates
192 the professional attributes of self-regulation and accountability (Leach, 2002). Moreover,
193 patient feedback on these attributes is integral to the regulatory concerns and fitness to practise
194 processes that feed back to students. Likewise, patients need to be made aware of the
195 importance of their input and both theoretically and practically and the benefits that arise. This
196 reinforces the importance of patient-centred approaches in medical education and the
197 alignment of professionalism training to be about the patients, with the patients, and for the
198 patients is integral in supporting students to align their professional identity with a professional
199 and patient-centred focus.
200
201
202
203 ***INSERT FIGURE 2 HERE***
204
205
206 **The Future of Patient-Centred Medical Education**
207 There is no widely accepted definition of patient-centred medical education. We have
208 endeavoured to propose a definition in this paper, which encapsulates an educational approach
209 that is about the patients (considering local demographics and wider sociocultural factors that
210 influence health), with the patients (in view of their unique and individual historical, relational,
211 and cultural narratives), and for the patients (who are holistically integrated into the centre of
212 medical education).
213 The proposal within the paper is intended to invite wider debate on what it means for
214 medical education to be patient-centred. It is envisaged that, if our proposed definition is
215 accepted in principle then measurable criteria could be developed against which students and
216 doctors as well as their institutions could be assessed in terms of their degree of patient-
217 centredness in their approach to education and learning. The three criteria, with the patients,
218 for the patients, and about the patients also need to be understood within the micro and macro
219 communities they represent. The concept is transferable across different geographies and
220 cultures with respect to the changing nature of what patient-centredness would entail within
221 those populations. It then follows that the teaching within those schools needs to be adaptive
222 to the local demographic. We would further propose that aggregated and appropriate

223 assessments of students' patient-centredness are made regularly throughout curricula that wish
224 to foster this value. Indeed, future work would focus upon the development of valid and reliable
225 measures of patient-centredness that are piloted in a variety of curricula approaches. Such an
226 assessment measure should provide actionable feedback to students, such that they can achieve
227 patient-centred care, and to medical schools, such that they are delivering holistic and
228 integrated patient-centred medical education.

229 We look to this paper inviting future discussions of what patient-centred medical
230 education is and means to medical educators globally, and we hope that all of those involved
231 in healthcare (patients, families, doctors, politicians, commentators and health systems) will be
232 involved in such discussions. We envisage partnerships to look at different themes which may
233 cover disease profiles, cultural awareness, resource implications, traditional models, national
234 and international transferability, self-care and tiered levels of education amongst others. The
235 active participation of patients, medical students, clinicians, and medical educators alike will
236 undoubtedly progress this discussion and ensure that future definitions and attempts to provide
237 patient-centred medical education are aligned with their perspectives and values.

238

239

240 **Acknowledgements**

241 The authors would like to thank Prof. Stewart Petersen and Dr Kenny Langlands for kindly
242 reviewing earlier versions of this manuscript. We would also like to thank our expert patient
243 representatives, Graeme Johnston and Lilia Bogle, for their insights and support in
244 conceptualising the proposed definition.

245 **References**

246 Academy of Medical Educators. Accessed 7th December 2017, from:
247 www.medicaleducators.org
248 American Academy of Family Physicians, American Academy of Pediatrics, American
249 College of Physicians, American Osteopathic Association. Joint principles for the
250 medical education of physicians as preparation for practice in the patient-centered
251 medical home. 2010. Accessed 17th May 2018, from: www.acponline.org/running
252 _practice/delivery_and_payment_models/pcmh/understanding/educ-joint -
253 principles.pdf
254 Arnold, L., Willoughby, L., Calkins, V., Gammon, L. and Eberhart, G. (1981). Use of peer
255 evaluation in the assessment of medical students. Med Educ. 56:35 – 42.
256 Barr, J., Ogden, K., & Rooney, K. (2014). Committing to patient-centred medical education.
257 Clin Teach. 11:503-506.
258 Braveman, P. (2014). What is health equity: And how does a life-course approach take us
259 further toward it? Matern Child Health J. 18:366-372.
260 Davis, J. K. and Inamdar, S. (1988). Use of peer ratings in a pediatric residency. Med Educ.
261 63:647 – 649.
262 Department of Health. (2012). Liberating the NHS: No decision about me, without me.
263 Government response to the consultation. Accessed 18th May 2018, from
264 https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachme
265 nt_data/file/216980/Liberating-the-NHS-No-decision-about-me-without-me-
266 Government-response.pdf
267 General Medical Council (2011). Patient and public involvement in undergraduate medical
268 education. Accessed 4th July, 2018 from https://www.gmc-uk.org/-
269 /media/documents/Patient_and_public_involvement_in_undergraduate_medical_educ
270 ation___guidance_0815.pdf_56438926.pdf
271 General Medical Council (2015). Promoting Excellence: Standards for Medical Education and
272 Training. Accessed 4th July, 2018 from https://www.gmc-uk.org/-
273 /media/documents/promoting-excellence-standards-for-medical-education-and-
274 training-0715_pdf-61939165.pdf
275 General Medical Council (2018). Outcomes for Graduates. Accessed 4th July, 2018 from
276 https://www.gmc-uk.org/-/media/documents/dc11326-outcomes-for-graduates-
277 2018_pdf-75040796.pdf

278 Glick, T. H. & Moore, G. T. (2001). Time to learn: the outlook for renewal of patient-centred
279 education in the digital age. Med Educ. 35(5):505-9.
280 Howe, A. (2001). Patient-centred medicine through student-centred teaching: a student
281 perspective on the key impacts of community- based learning in undergraduate medical
282 education. Med Educ. 35(7):666-72.
283 Kitson, A., Marshall, A., Bassett, K., & Zeitz, K. (2013). What are the core elements of patient-
284 centred care? A narrative review and synthesis of the literature from health policy,
285 medicine and nursing. J Adv Nurs. 69(1):4-5.
286 Little, P., Everitt, H., Williamson, I., Warner, G., Moore, M., Gould, C., Ferrier, K., & Payne,
287 S. (2001). Preferences of patients for patient centred approach to consultation in
288 primary care: observational study. BMJ. 322:468.
289 Leach, D. C. (2004). Professionalism: The formation of physicians. Am J Bioeth. 4:11 – 12.
290 O'Hanlon, C. E. & Harvey, M. (2017). Doing More with Less: Lessons from Cuba's Health
291 Care System. Accessed 18th July, 2018 from
292 https://www.rand.org/blog/2017/10/doing-more-with-less-lessons-from-cubas-health-
293 care.html
294 Papadakis, M., Loeser, H., Healy, K. (2001). Early detection and evaluation of
295 professionalism deficiencies in medical students: One school's approach. Acad. Med.
296 76: 1100 – 1106.
297 Phelps, G. & Dalton, S. (2013). Demonstrable professionalism: linking patient-centred care
298 and revalidation. Intern Med J. 43:1254-1256.
299 Smith, S. R., Cookson, J., McKendree, J., & Harden, R. M. (2007). Patient-centred learning-
300 back to the future. Med Teach. 29(1):33-7.
301 Spencer, J. & McKimm, J. (2010). Patient involvement in medical education. In Understanding
302 Medical Education: Evidence, Theory and Practice. Edited by: Swanwick T. Oxford:
303 Wiley-Blackwell, 181-194.
304 Tew, J., Gell, C. & Foster, S. (2004). Learning from Experience: involving service users and
305 carers in mental health education and training. Mental Health in Higher
306 Education/NIMHE West Midlands/Trent WDC, York.
307 Towle, A. & Godolphin, W. (2013). Patient involvement in medical education. In Oxford
308 Textbook of Medical Education. Ed. Walsh, K. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
309 Walters, L. & Brooks, K. (2016). Integration, continuity and longitudinality: the 'what' that
310 makes patient-centred learning in clinical clerkships. Med Educ. 50(9):889-91.

311

312
313
314
315
316
317
318
319
320



321
322 Figure 1. Literature search results.

323

324



325
326

327 Figure 2. Adapted from the core values of teaching professionalism (Academy of Medical
328 Educators, 2017).

329