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#### Abstract

A $p$-group is called powerful if every commutator is a product of $p$ th powers when $p$ is odd and a product of fourth powers when $p=2$. In the group algebra of a group $G$ of $p$-power order over a finite field of characteristic $p$, the group of normalized units is always a $p$-group. We prove that it is never powerful except, of course, when $G$ is abelian.
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Throughout this note $G$ is a finite $p$-group and $F$ is a finite field of characteristic $p$. Let

$$
V(F G)=\left\{\sum_{g \in G} \alpha_{g} g \in F G \mid \sum_{g \in G} \alpha_{g}=1\right\}
$$

be the group of normalized units of the group algebra $F G$. Clearly $V(F G)$ is a finite p-group of order

$$
|V(F G)|=|F|^{|G|-1} .
$$

A $p$-group is called powerful if every commutator is a product of $p$ th powers when $p$ is odd and a product of fourth powers when $p=2$. The notion of powerful groups was introduced in [5] and it plays an important role in the study of finite $p$-groups (for example, see [2, 4] and [7]). Our main result is the following.

THEOREM. The group of normalized units $V(F G)$ of the group algebra $F G$ of a group $G$ of p-power order over a finite field $F$ of characteristic $p$, is never powerful except, of course, when $G$ is abelian.

In view of the fact that a pro- $p$-group is powerful if and only if it is the limit of finite powerful groups, this has an immediate consequence.
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COROLLARY. The group of normalized units $V(F[[G]])$ of the completed group algebra $F[[G]]$ of a pro-p-group $G$ over a finite field $F$ of characteristic $p$, is never powerful except, of course, when $G$ is abelian.

We denote by $\zeta(G)$ the center of $G$. We say that $G=A \mathrm{Y} B$ is a central product of its subgroups $A$ and $B$ if $A$ and $B$ commute elementwise and $G=\langle A, B\rangle$, provided also that $A \cap B$ is the center of (at least) one of $A$ and $B$. If $H$ is a subgroup of $G$, then we denote by $\mathfrak{I}(H)$ the ideal of $F G$ generated by the elements $h-1$ where $h \in H$. Set $(a, b)=a^{-1} b^{-1} a b$, where $a, b \in G$. Denote by $|g|$ the order of $g \in G$. Put $\Omega_{k}(G)=\left\langle u \in G \mid u^{p^{k}}=1\right\rangle$ and $\widehat{H}=\sum_{g \in H} g \in F G$. If $H \unlhd G$ is a normal subgroup of $G$, then $F G / \Im(H) \cong F[G / H]$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
V(F G) /(1+\Im(H)) \cong V(F[G / H]) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

We freely use the fact that every quotient of a powerful group is powerful [2, Lemma 2.2(i)]).
Proof. We prove the theorem by assuming that counterexamples exist, considering one of minimal order, and deducing a contradiction. Suppose then that $G$ is a counterexample of minimal order. If $G$ had a nonabelian proper factor group $G / H$, that would be a smaller counterexample, for, by (1), $V(F[G / H])$ would be a homomorphic image of the powerful group $V(F G)$. Thus all proper factor groups of $G$ are abelian, that is, $G$ is just nilpotent of class 2 in the sense of Newman [6]. As Newman noted in the lead-up to his Theorem 1, this means that the derived group has order $p$ and the center is cyclic. Of course it follows that all $p$ th powers are central, so the Frattini subgroup $\Phi(G)$ is central and also cyclic.

Suppose $p>2$. Then a finite $p$-group with only one subgroup of order $p$ is cyclic [3, Theorem 12.5.2], so $G$ must have a noncentral subgroup $B=\langle b\rangle$ of order $p$. Now $(b, a)=c \neq 1$ for some $a$ in $G$ and some $c$ in $G^{\prime}$. Of course $\langle c\rangle=G^{\prime} \leq \zeta(G)$, $a^{-1} b^{i} a=b^{i} c^{i}=c^{i} b^{i}$ and $b^{i} \widehat{B}=\widehat{B}$ for all $i$, so

$$
\begin{align*}
(a \widehat{B})^{2} & =a^{2}\left(1+a^{-1} b a+\cdots+a^{-1} b^{p-1} a\right) \widehat{B} \\
& =a^{2}\left(1+c b+\cdots+c^{p-1} b^{p-1}\right) \widehat{B} \\
& =a^{2} \widehat{G}^{\prime} \widehat{B} \tag{2}
\end{align*}
$$

Noting that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\widehat{G}^{\prime}\right)^{2}=0 \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

we get

$$
\begin{align*}
(a \widehat{B})^{3} & =a^{2} \widehat{G^{\prime}} \widehat{B} \cdot a \widehat{B}=a^{2} \widehat{G}^{\prime} a^{-1} \cdot(a \widehat{B})^{2} \\
& =a^{2} \widehat{G}^{\prime} a^{-1} \cdot a^{2} \widehat{G}^{\prime} \widehat{B}=a^{3}\left(\widehat{G}^{\prime}\right)^{2} \widehat{B}=0 \tag{4}
\end{align*}
$$

Therefore $|1+a \widehat{B}|=p$. We know from 4.12 of [7] that $\Omega_{1}(V(F G))$ has exponent $p$, so it must be that $((1+a \widehat{B}) b)^{p}=1$ as well. However,

$$
\begin{equation*}
b^{i} a b^{-i}=a\left(a, b^{-i}\right)=a c^{i}=c^{i} a \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

which allows one to calculate that

$$
\begin{array}{rlrl}
((1+a \widehat{B}) b)^{p} & =(1+a \widehat{B})\left(1+b a b^{-1} \widehat{B}\right) \cdots\left(1+b^{p-1} a b^{-(p-1)} \widehat{B}\right) \cdot b^{p} & \\
& =(1+a \widehat{B})(1+c a \widehat{B}) \cdots\left(1+c^{p-1} a \widehat{B}\right) & & \text { by (5) } \\
& =1+\widehat{G}^{\prime}(a \widehat{B})+\frac{1}{2}(p-1) \widehat{G}^{\prime}(a \widehat{B})^{2} & & \text { by (4) } \\
& =1+\widehat{G}^{\prime}(a \widehat{B})+\frac{1}{2}(p-1)\left(\widehat{G}^{\prime}\right)^{2} a^{2} \widehat{B} & & \text { by (2) } \\
& =1+\widehat{G}^{\prime}(a \widehat{B}) & & \text { by }(3)  \tag{3}\\
& \neq 1 . &
\end{array}
$$

(To see that the third line is equal to the second, it helps to think in terms of polynomials with $a \widehat{B}$ as the indeterminate and $F G^{\prime}$ as the coefficient ring, the critical point being that in the third line the coefficients of all positive powers of $a \widehat{B}$ are integer multiples of $\widehat{G}^{\prime}$.) This contradiction completes the proof when $p>2$.

Next, we turn to the case $p=2$. Then $G^{\prime}=\left\langle c \mid c^{2}=1\right\rangle$ and the ideal $\mathfrak{I}\left(G^{\prime}\right)$ is spanned by the elements of the form $\widehat{G}^{\prime} g$, while $F G$ is spanned by the elements $h$ of $G$. It is clear that $\widehat{G}^{\prime} g$ and $h$ commute, because

$$
\widehat{G}^{\prime} g h=\widehat{G}^{\prime}\left(g h g^{-1} h^{-1}\right) h g \quad \text { and } \quad \widehat{G}^{\prime}\left(g h g^{-1} h^{-1}\right)=\widehat{G}^{\prime},
$$

so $\mathfrak{I}\left(G^{\prime}\right)$ is central in $F G$ and $1+\Im\left(G^{\prime}\right)$ is central in $V(F G)$. As $\left(\widehat{G}^{\prime}\right)^{2}=0$, it also follows that $\left(\mathfrak{I}\left(G^{\prime}\right)\right)^{2}=0$ and so the square of every element of $1+\Im\left(G^{\prime}\right)$ is 1 . As $V(F G) /\left(1+\Im\left(G^{\prime}\right)\right) \cong V\left(F\left[G / G^{\prime}\right]\right)$, the derived group $V^{\prime}$ of $V(F G)$ lies in $1+\Im\left(G^{\prime}\right)$, a central subgroup of exponent 2 . It follows that in $V(F G)$ all squares are central.

Let $w \in V^{\prime}$. By [5, Proposition 4.1.7], this is the fourth power of some element $u$ of $V(F G)$. Write $u$ as $\sum_{g \in G} \alpha_{g} g$ with each $\alpha_{g}$ in $F$. In the commutative quotient modulo $\Im\left(G^{\prime}\right), u^{2}=\sum_{g \in G} \alpha_{g}^{2} g^{2}$, hence

$$
u^{2}=v+\sum_{g \in G} \alpha_{g}^{2} g^{2}
$$

for some $v$ in $\mathfrak{I}\left(G^{\prime}\right)$. Of course then $v$ and all the $g^{2}$ are central in $F G$ and $v^{2}=0$, so we may conclude that $w=u^{4}=\sum_{g \in G} \alpha_{g}^{4} g^{4}$.

In particular, as $V(F G)$ is not abelian, the exponent of $G$ must be larger than 4. Recall that $\Phi(G)$ is central, the center is cyclic, and $\left|G^{\prime}\right|=2$, so [1, Theorem 2] applies and for this case gives the structure of $G$ as

$$
G=G_{0} \mathrm{Y} G_{1} \mathrm{Y} \ldots \mathrm{Y} G_{r}
$$

where $G_{1}, \ldots, G_{r}$ are dihedral groups of order 8 and $G_{0}$ is either cyclic of order at least 8 (and in this case $r>0$ ) or an $M\left(2^{m+2}\right)$ with $m>1$, where

$$
M\left(2^{m+2}\right)=\left\langle a, b \mid a^{2^{m+1}}=b^{2}=1, a^{b}=a^{1+2^{m}}\right\rangle
$$

One of the conclusions we need from this is that every fourth power in $G$ is already a fourth power in $G_{0}$, thus every element of $V^{\prime}$ is an element of $F G_{0}^{4}$. In particular, when $w$ is the unique nontrivial element of $G^{\prime}$, the linear independence of $G$ as subset of $F G$ implies that $w$ itself is the fourth power of some element of $G_{0}$.

It is easy to verify that, in $M\left(2^{m+2}\right)$ with $m \geq 1$, the inverse of the element $1+a+b$ is

$$
\left(a^{2^{m}-3}+a^{-3}+a^{-2}+a^{-1}\right)+\left(a^{2^{m}-2}+a^{2^{m}-2}+a^{-3}\right) b
$$

and so

$$
(1+a+b, a)=\left(1+a^{2^{m}-2}+a^{-2}\right)+\left(a^{2^{m}-2}+a^{2^{m}-1}+a^{-2}+a^{-1}\right) b
$$

Of course the left-hand side is an element of $V^{\prime}$, but the right-hand side is not an element of $\langle a\rangle$. When $G_{0} \cong M\left(2^{m+2}\right)$, this shows that there is an element in $V^{\prime}$ which does not lie in $F G_{0}^{4}$. When $G_{0}$ is cyclic, then $G_{1} \cong M\left(2^{m+2}\right)$ with $m=1$, and we have an element in $V^{\prime}$ which does not even lie in $F G_{0}$. In either case, we have reached the promised contradiction and the proof of the theorem is complete.
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